Journal of Human Sciences and Extension

Volume 4 | Number 2

Article 3

6-30-2016

The Impact of Competitive Foods on Children's Fruit and Vegetable Consumption: An Observational Plate Waste Study

Janie W. Cole University of Mississippi

Christopher R. Aloia University of Mississippi

Lacy M. Dodd University of Mississippi

Kathy B. Knight University of Mississippi, kknight@olemiss.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/jhse

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons, and the Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Cole, J. W., Aloia, C. R., Dodd, L. M., & Knight, K. B. (2016). The Impact of Competitive Foods on Children's Fruit and Vegetable Consumption: An Observational Plate Waste Study. *Journal of Human Sciences and Extension*, *4*(2), 3. https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/jhse/vol4/iss2/3

This Original Research is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Human Sciences and Extension by an authorized editor of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com.

The Impact of Competitive Foods on Children's Fruit and Vegetable Consumption: An Observational Plate Waste Study

Acknowledgments

The study was funded as a part of Eating Good ...and Moving Like We Should, an ongoing school-based nutrition intervention program conducted by the Department of Nutrition and Hospitality Management at the University of Mississippi. Eating Good...and Moving Like We Should was supported by the W. K. Kellogg Foundation under Grant # P3023331. The authors are thankful to all the elementary teachers and students who participated in the study; Superintendent of Oxford City Schools Mr. Brian Harvey; Della Davidson Elementary Schools; and Good Food for Oxford Schools.

The Impact of Competitive Foods on Children's Fruit and Vegetable Consumption: An Observational Plate Waste Study

Janie W. Cole Christopher R. Aloia Lacy M. Dodd Kathy B. Knight University of Mississippi

Little is known about the effect of competitive foods on children's consumption of lunch meals in elementary schools in Mississippi. The objective of this observational study was to examine how competitive food purchases affect entrée, fruit, and vegetable consumption using the quarter-waste method. A total of 862 meals and purchase of competitive foods were observed. Children who purchased ice cream or pudding were 1.6 times more likely to throw away more than 50% of their entrée, 3.5 times more likely to not eat their vegetables, and more than two times more likely to not eat their fruit than children who did not purchase ice cream or pudding. Children who purchased chips were also less likely to consume more than 50% of their entrée. These findings suggest that competitive foods can impact children's fruit and vegetable consumption.

Keywords: childhood obesity, child nutrition programs, school lunch, competitive foods, plate waste, fruit and vegetable consumption

Introduction

With obesity rates doubling in preschool children and tripling in adolescents over the last four decades and increasing concern over the nutritional status of the nation's youth, the United States government declared childhood obesity a national priority in 2005 (Hoelscher, Kirk, Ritchie, Cunningham-Sabo, & Academy Positions Committee, 2013). Mississippi and neighboring states are ground zero for childhood obesity and other diet-related diseases (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2012, 2015). The epidemiological reality of childhood obesity caught the attention of Mississippi lawmakers and led to the passage of the Healthy Students Act (MS S. 2369) in 2007 (Mississippi Legislature, 2007). Since that time, Mississippi has seen obesity rates stabilize among its middle and high school students and decline among elementary students. Schools are serving less fried food and more healthy food options in their cafeterias (Kolbo et al., 2012). Many public health researchers attribute this positive finding, in large part,

Direct correspondence to Kathy B. Knight at kknight@olemiss.edu

to policy decisions and school nutrition interventions that encouraged healthier school lunches and better nutrition choices by students (Kolbo et al., 2012; Story, Kaphingst, & French, 2006). Since school attendance is required by law, schools offer a long-term opportunity to provide healthy food to more than 95% of U.S. youths and adolescents (Story, 1999; Story et al., 2006).

Competitive Foods

Although all foods served as part of reimbursable meals in child nutrition programs are required to meet nutritional standards (United States Department of Agriculture [USDA] Food and Nutrition Service, 2013), there are other foods sold "a la carte" or in vending machines that are broadly referred to as "competitive foods" (Fox, Gordon, Nogales, & Wilson, 2009). In 2010, the Mississippi Department of Education (2014) developed a strong policy regarding competitive foods, which decreased their availability but still allowed some access by specifically identifying ice cream as a milk product, thus allowing children to purchase ice cream with meals. The purchase of baked and other reduced-fat chips, a popular snack, is also allowed because they meet the USDA "Smart Snacks" standards for fat, saturated fat, sugar, and sodium (Mississippi Department of Education, 2014; USDA Food and Nutrition Service, 2015). Additionally in 2010, the Mississippi School Nutrition Environment Evaluation Data System (MS NEEDS) found that over the course of four years, the number of Mississippi public schools in compliance with the state policy on competitive foods increased, and ice cream and reduced-fat chips were the main competitive food items sold (Carithers et al., 2010).

In a review of the influence of competitive foods on consumption of school meals and students' body mass indexes (BMIs), Chriquip, Pickel, and Story (2014) concluded that there was ample evidence to suggest that limiting competitive foods reduced children's consumption of them, but there were mixed findings as to whether this reduction would decrease BMIs. Earlier studies found that the purchase of competitive foods decreased school lunch consumption and increased plate waste, which resulted in lower intakes of vital nutrients (Larson & Story, 2010; Templeton, Marlette, & Panemangalore, 2005). Although Mississippi has made modest progress in stabilizing childhood obesity and has restricted the sales of competitive foods, more understanding is needed about the use of competitive foods and how they affect the consumption of fruits and vegetables in Mississippi children. The objective of this research was to determine if competitive food purchases, specifically ice cream, pudding, and chips, affect lunch entrée and fruit and vegetable consumption.

Methodology

Sample and Study Design

The study was conducted in a north Mississippi elementary school serving 615 fourth and fifth graders, with 51% of children receiving free/reduced lunch (ElementarySchools.org, 2015), and

where ice cream, pudding, and potato chips are available for children to purchase with meals. An observer at the cafeteria line checkout station noted competitive food purchases. The quarter-waste method was used to measure tray consumption because it has been found to be an accurate and cost-effective method of measuring plate waste (Hanks, Wansink, & Just, 2014). This study was approved by the University of Mississippi Institutional Review Board. The *Eating Good...and Moving Like We Should* project was funded by the W.K. Kellogg Foundation.

Instrument and Protocol

Six trained observers were present in the cafeteria on three nonconsecutive days (2-24-2014, 2-25-2014, and 3-14-2014). The convenience sample included only trays of children who received a school lunch meal with an entrée, vegetable, and fruit (*n* = 862) at the one elementary school in the district for third and fourth graders. Before lunchtime on each of the three days, a registered dietitian and a health educator trained the research assistants and the school lunch staff on the quarter-waste method for measuring food consumption (Hanks et al., 2014). A tray was prepared with an entrée, vegetable, and fruit, which was considered a 100% serving. Tray servings were standardized, with all children receiving the same portion sizes as outlined in the USDA's *Nutrition Standards in the National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs: Proposed Rule* (Concanno, 2011). Study trainers then removed a quarter of the contents to provide the observers with an example of a 25% consumption looked like. Finally, another quarter was taken to show 75% consumption. Although during training, the research assistants expressed similar observations regarding plate waste amounts, their tray observations were not weighed to assess inter-rater reliability.

Data sheets with columns of the 0, 25, 50, and 75 percentages were then distributed to research assistants to circle the amount consumed for each student. Effort was made to not let the teachers or the children know that food consumption was being measured. Observers approached the tables as the children were preparing to take their trays to the "dish window" for food disposal. They then walked around the table and observed the children's trays. If asked by the children what they were doing, the observers were instructed to say they were looking at the trays to see if the cafeteria needed new trays. This was to guard against the possibility of observer influence on children's meal consumption. It is important to note that the school has a policy of not allowing children to bring their backpacks to the cafeteria, and children cannot take food out of the cafeteria.

Statistical Analysis

After the data were collected and entered, each tray percentage from a reimbursable school lunch was considered a case. All cases were grouped into two categories, high and low consumption. Consumers in the high category ate 50% to 100% of their entrée, vegetable, and fruit; trays of

children who consumed less than 50% of each item were placed in the low food consumer group for that item. The creation of a binomial variable allowed for the data to be analyzed using odds ratios. Only the unadjusted odds ratios were reported (confidence interval of 95%) because this study did not investigate other factors that could influence plate consumption such as socioeconomic status, recess times, or taste preferences (Szklo & Nieto, 2007). Significance was tested with a *p*-value derived from the Mantel-Haenszel common odds ratio estimate (i.e., a *p*-value of 0.05 and less was considered statistically significant). All analyses were performed on a personal computer using IBM® SPSS® Statistics 22.

Results and Discussion

During the three-day data collection period, an average of 297 reimbursable school meals were served per day at one elementary school in North Mississippi. Although chef salads were available as an alternate entrée on all days, the relatively few (n < 10 per day) students that chose these were not included in the study. A total of 862 meals with entrées, vegetables, and fruit were observed by either the researchers or the trained assistants. Only the children receiving those meals were counted when observing the purchase of competitive foods. Of those, 80 children purchased ice cream or pudding, 143 purchased chips, and 24 purchased both ice cream or pudding and chips (see Table 1). Ice cream and pudding were counted together as one group because of similar nutrient content and because there were only 4 pudding purchases. No competitive foods were purchased with 639 of the observed meals.

| | Number of Children | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Student Lunch Component | High ^a | Low ^b | | | | |
| Entrée | 403 (46.8%) | 459 (53.2%) | | | | |
| Vegetable | 108 (12.5%) | 754 (87.5%) | | | | |
| Fruit | 265 (30.7%) | 597 (69.3%) | | | | |
| | Purchased with Meal? | | | | | |
| Competitive Food Purchased | Yes | No | | | | |
| Ice Cream or Pudding | 80 (9.3%) | 782 (90.7%) | | | | |
| Chips | 143 (16.6%) | 719 (83.4%) | | | | |
| Ice Cream or Pudding and Chips Together | 24 (2.8%) | 838 (97.2%) | | | | |
| Total Student Meals: 862 | | | | | | |
| ^a Consumed \geq 50% of meal | | | | | | |

Table 1. Numbers of Children in Each School Meal Consumption and Competitive FoodsPurchase Category

 b Consumed < 50% of meal

The entrées served during the observation periods were chicken nachos, Philly cheesesteak sandwiches, and chicken-pot-pie. The vegetables served to the children included broccoli, green beans, and whole kernel corn. The fruits offered were applesauce on day 2 and different fruit cups on days 1 and 3.

The children who chose ice cream or pudding were 1.6 times more likely to throw away greater than 50% of their entrée (p < 0.01). When comparing vegetable consumption, the ice cream or pudding purchasers were over 3.5 times more likely to not eat their vegetables and over 2 times more likely to not eat their fruit than children who did not purchase ice cream or pudding (p < 0.02) (see Table 2). This finding is consistent with the findings of Fox et al. (2009), Larson and Story (2010), and Templeton et al. (2005), who all reported an impact of competitive foods on the consumption of school meals that meet USDA requirements and suggested that total nutrient density of the children's diets were being affected.

| Food Consumption Categories | Unadjusted Odds Ratio | 95% CI | <i>p</i> -value* | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|--|
| Entrée Consumption | | | | |
| High ^a | 0.75 | (0.63-0.86) | 0.002 | |
| Low ^b | 1.62 | (1.45-2.28) | 0.002 | |
| Vegetable Consumption | | | | |
| High ^a | 0.90 | (0.85-0.94) | 0.021 | |
| Low ^b | 3.58 | (1.16-11.02) | 0.021 | |
| Fruit Consumption | | | | |
| High ^a | 0.80 | (0.72-0.88) | 0.002 | |
| Low ^b | 2.16 | (1.23-3.27) | 0.002 | |

Table 2. School Lunch Consumption with Ice Cream or Pudding Purchases

^a Consumed \geq 50% of meal

 b Consumed < 50% of meal

*A p-value of 0.05 and less was considered statistically significant using the Mantel-Haenszel common odds ratio estimate.

The other finding regarding competitive food purchases revealed that more children purchased chips (n = 143) than ice cream or pudding (n = 80) (see Table 1). The prevalence of chip purchases was nearly double that of ice cream or pudding purchases. While the data for this study show that the purchase of chips had less impact on entrée, fruit, and vegetable consumption, there was still an impact. Children who purchased chips were 1.06 times less likely to consume more than 50% of their entrée than those who did not (p < 0.047). They were also less likely to consume more than 50% of their vegetables. However, in a somewhat

surprising finding, chips did not significantly affect fruit consumption (see Table 3). One possible explanation for this could be that the sweetness of the ice cream or pudding could satiate the desire for the fruit, whereas the saltiness of the chips could initiate a desire for sweetness. Although there is some research to suggest that dietary salt intake increased sweetened beverage consumption, this may or may not be extrapolated to an increased desire for fruit (Mennella, Finkbeiner, Lipchock, Hwang, & Reed, 2014; Sijtsema, Reinders, Hiller, & Guàrdia, 2012; Wansink, Bascoul, & Chen, 2006). Classic taste studies have reported that salt highlights sweet tastes, while sugar reduces saltiness (McWilliams, 2012), so the interaction of these flavors may produce even more pleasurable sensations than either alone.

| _ | - | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Food Consumption Categories | Unadjusted Odds Ratio | 95% CI | <i>p</i> -value* | |
| Entrée Consumption | | | | |
| High ^a | 0.73 | (0.54 - 0.99) | 0.047 | |
| Low ^b | 1.06 | (1.01 - 1.13) | - 0.047 | |
| Vegetable Consumption | | | | |
| High ^a | 0.50 | (0.34 - 0.75) | 0.001 | |
| Low ^b | 1.12 | (1.06-1.19) | - 0.001 | |
| Fruit Consumption | | | | |
| High ^a | 0.58 | (0.325 - 1.04) | 0.050 | |
| Low ^b | 1.87 | (0.976 - 3.589) | - 0.059 | |
| | | | | |

Table 3. Chip Purchases and School Lunch Consumption

^a Consumed \geq 50% of meal

^b Consumed < 50% of meal

*A p-value of 0.05 and less was considered statistically significant using the Mantel-Haenszel common odds ratio estimate.

As seen in Table 4, the ice creams and pudding available at this school were not significantly higher in kcalories or fat content than the choices of chips (USDA Agricultural Research Service, 2015). However, the two ice cream choices were significantly higher (p < 0.05) in protein than the group of chips. Feeding studies have shown that protein provides a more satiating effect than equal kcalories of carbohydrate or fat (Astrup, 2006). Although one of the chip choices had a protein content approximating the ice creams and pudding, the rest were significantly lower (p < 0.05). Also, even if the fat or kcaloric content of the competitive foods was not enough to completely satiate the children, they may have been enough to allow the children to eat less or none of their school meal. The higher protein content of the ice creams and pudding would then have led to even less consumption of the school meal.

| | Entrée Day 1 | Entrée Day 2 | Entrée Day 3 | Fruit Day 1 | Fruit Day 2 | Fruit Day 3 | Vegetable Day 1 | Vegetable Day 2 | Vegetable Day 3 | Chip Choice 1 | Chip Choice 2 | Chip Choice 3 | Chip Choice 4 | Ice Cream Choice 1 | Ice Cream Choice 2 | Pudding |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Calories | 260 | 286 | 382 | 37 | 80 | 65 | 39 | 145 | 50 | 132 | 90 | 118 | 159 | 137 | 143 | 153 |
| Total Fat (g) | 21.00 | 6.85 | 19.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.87 | 2.87 | 1.78 | 5.11 | 2.54 | 1.62 | 6.19 | 3.67 | 4.89 | 4.97 |
| Total Saturated Fat (g) | 6.00 | 3.00 | 4.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 0.81 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.89 | 2.23 | 2.98 | 0.50 |
| % Calories from Fat | 72.6 | 21.6 | 44.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.2 | 17.8 | 32.1 | 34.84 | 25.2 | 12.35 | 35.03 | 24.11 | 30.78 | 29.24 |
| % Calories from Saturated Fat | 20.8 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 5.5 | 14.6 | 0.61 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 12.62 | 14.65 | 18.75 | 2.85 |
| Calcium (mg) | 0 | 150.0 | 21.8 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 45.0 | 42.0 | 122.0 | 108.0 | 55.0 |
| Iron (mg) | 1.26 | 1.08 | 2.20 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.18 | 1.08 | 0.36 | 0.72 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 1.37 |
| Vitamin A (IU) | 123 | 180 | 906 | 852 | 11 | 30 | 1080 | 0 | 2340 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 340 | 289 | 50 |
| Vitamin C (mg) | 2.46 | 3.60 | 4.28 | 34.2 | 1.70 | 6.00 | 72.20 | 3.60 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.30 |
| Sodium (mg) | 169.04 | 722.77 | 452.61 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 108.15 | 189.58 | 57.51 | 160.00 | 135.00 | 147.00 | 188.00 | 56.00 | 48.00 | 164.00 |
| Dietary Fiber (g) | 1.40 | 3.60 | 3.35 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 2.45 | 3.29g | 2.27 | 1.60 | 1.11 | 1.50 | 1.66 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
| Protein (g) | 18.00 | 26.99 | 21.32 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.50 | 4.35 | 2.27 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.12 | 1.72 | 3.63 | 3.41 | 2.26 |
| Carbohydrates (g) | 0.00 | 28.80 | 29.44 | 9.57 | 19.00 | 17.00 | 4.38 | 30.67 | 5.67 | 19.00 | 7.08 | 22.68 | 24.28 | 22.39 | 18.01 | 24.85 |

Table 4. Nutrient Compositions for Meals Served vs. Those in Competitive Foods

Another theory is that low-caloric entrées or vegetables may offer low satiety, while the highcaloric competitive food options hold the promise of satiety. While at the time of the study, the nutrition standards for competitive foods as reflected in the Interim Final Rule on Smart Snacks (USDA Food and Nutrition Service, 2013) were proposed rules only and were not established, this school district adhered to those standards. Thus, when comparing nutrient content for all items served (see Table 4), the energy and fat contents of the chip, ice cream, and pudding choices were not greater than the entrées. Two of the three vegetable choices were lower in fat and kcalories than the competitive foods but were not chosen significantly more or less than the vegetable choice that was higher in fat and comparable in kcalories. Therefore, the children were not gaining greater satiety from competitive foods than from the foods in their meal.

Finally, as stated in classic nutrition literature (Stunkard, 1975), satiety is a conditioned response. Most Americans see ice cream and pudding as an end to a meal, while chips, when served at mealtime, are eaten with the meal. Further research is needed to identify whether sweets, regardless of fat or protein content, significantly impact the amounts of food eaten in the school lunch setting.

Conclusions and Application

The results of this study suggest that the type of competitive food purchased affects lunch component consumption. Specifically, children who purchased ice cream or pudding with their meal were more likely to eat that and throw away most of the meal, thus working against the USDA's efforts to provide healthy school meals. Also, although in relation to ice cream, chips do not have as strong an impact on the consumption of the total meal, chips still had an impact. Interestingly, children who purchased chips were more likely to consume their fruit than children who purchased ice cream. This study's findings were consistent with Gonzalez, Jones, and Frongillo's 2009 study that concluded that eliminating availability of competitive foods was more effective at encouraging children to eat healthier foods than simply limiting their choices of competitive foods. Future studies should explore the influence of specific competitive foods on lunch completion, especially using larger samples of children in different regions of the U.S. Additionally, it would be interesting to investigate barriers that child nutrition programs have experienced in eliminating competitive foods altogether and how revenue generated by competitive foods influences policies that allow them.

Limitations

The study design was cross-sectional, and as such, any finding cannot directly link a cause to a specific outcome. Also, researchers noted that children in classes typically sit together, but occasionally some children attend lunch with different groups. In future research, a research assistant should take a total headcount and include a measure of children who brought lunch from home. Additionally, using the unadjusted odds ratios could allow for confounding factors.

In future studies, more variables should be included, such as socioeconomic status, BMI, recess times, and family eating patterns. This would allow use of the adjusted odds ratios.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests in the writing and researching of the information in this paper.

References

- Astrup, A. (2006). Carbohydrates as macronutrients in relation to protein and fat for body weight control. *International Journal of Obesity*, *30*, S4–S9. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803485
- Carithers, T., Lambert, L., Parkes, E., Dickerson, A., Edwards, P., Zhang, L., ... Coyle, K. (2010). Mississippi School Nutrition Environment Evaluation Data System (MS NEEDS), Technical report year one (2008-2009) outcomes. Retrieved from http://stage.mshealthpolicy.com/wp-

content/uploads/2012/06/MSNEEDSYearOne_Report1-1-2010.pdf

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2012). *Diabetes report card*, 2012. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/pdf/diabetesreportcard.pdf
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2015). *Obese youth over time*. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/obesity/obesity-youth.htm
- Chriquip, J. F., Pickel, M., & Story, M. (2014). Influence of school competitive food and beverage policies on obesity, consumption, and availability: A systematic review. JAMA Pediatrics, 168(3), 279–286. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.4457
- Concanno, K. (2011). Nutrition standards in the National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs: Proposed rule. *Federal Register*, *76*, 2493–2570.
- ElementarySchools.org. (2015). *Mississippi elementary schools*. Retrieved from http://elementaryschools.org/directory/ms/
- Fox, M. K., Gordon, A., Nogales, R., & Wilson, A. (2009). Availability and consumption of competitive foods in US public schools. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(2 Suppl. 1), S57–S66. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2008.10.063
- Gonzalez, W., Jones, S. J., & Frongillo, E. A. (2009). Restricting snacks in U.S. elementary schools is associated with higher frequency of fruit and vegetable consumption. *The Journal of Nutrition*, *139*(1), 142–144. doi:10.3945/jn.108.099531
- Hanks, A. S., Wansink, B., & Just, D. R. (2014). Reliability and accuracy of real-time visualization techniques for measuring school cafeteria tray waste: Validating the quarterwaste method. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 114(3), 470–474. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2013.08.013

- Hoelscher, D. M., Kirk, S., Ritchie, L., Cunningham-Sabo, L., & Academy Positions Committee. (2013). Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: Interventions for the prevention and treatment of pediatric overweight and obesity. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 113(10), 1375–1394. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2013.08.004
- Kolbo, J. R., Zhang, L., Molaison, E. F., Harbaugh, B. L., Hudson, G. M., Armstrong, M. G., & Werle, N. (2012). Prevalence and trends in overweight and obesity among Mississippi public school students, 2005-2011. *Journal of the Mississippi State Medical Association*, 53(5), 140–146.
- Larson, N., & Story, M. (2010). Are 'competitive foods' sold at school making our children fat? *Health Affairs*, 29(3), 430–435. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2009.0716
- McWilliams, M. (2012). Foods: Experimental perspectives (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Prentice Hall.
- Mennella, J. A., Finkbeiner, S., Lipchock, S. V., Hwang, L. -D., & Reed, D. R. (2014). Preferences for salty and sweet tastes are elevated and related to each other during childhood. *PloS One*, 9(3), e92201. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092201
- Mississippi Department of Education. (2014). *MS Department of Education Office of Child Nutrition vending regulations for food and beverages*. Retrieved from http://www.mde.k12.ms.us/child-nutrition/resources/vending-regulations
- Mississippi Legislature. (2007). Senate Bill 2369 (The Mississippi Healthy Students Act). Retrieved from http://www.mde.k12.ms.us/docs/healthy-schools/senatebill2369.pdf
- Sijtsema, S. J., Reinders, M. J., Hiller, S. R. C. H., & Guàrdia, M. D. (2012). Fruit and snack consumption related to sweet, sour and salty taste preferences. *British Food Journal*, *114*(7), 1032–1046. doi:10.1108/00070701211241608
- Story, M. (1999). School-based approaches for preventing and treating obesity. *International Journal of Obesity*, 23(Suppl. 2), S43–S51. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0800859
- Story, M., Kaphingst, K. M., & French, S. (2006). The role of schools in obesity prevention. *The Future of Children*, 16(1), 109–142. doi:10.1353/foc.2006.0007
- Stunkard, A. (1975). Satiety is a conditioned reflex. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *37*(5), 383–387. doi:10.1097/00006842-197509000-00001
- Szklo, M., & Nieto, F. J. (2007). *Epidemiology: Beyond the basics* (2nd ed.). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett.
- Templeton, S. B., Marlette, M. A., & Panemangalore, M. (2005). Competitive foods increase the intake of energy and decrease the intake of certain nutrients by adolescents consuming school lunch. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 105(2), 215–220. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2004.11.027
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service. (2012). *New meal* pattern requirements and nutrition standards: USDA's National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs [PowerPoint slides]. Retrieved from http://www.fns.usda.gov/sites/default/files/LAC_03-06-12_0.pdf

- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service. (2013). National School Lunch Program and School Breakfast Program: Nutrition standards for all foods sold in school as required by the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010. Interim final rule. *Federal Register*, 78(125), 39068–39120. Retrieved from http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-06-28/pdf/2013-15249.pdf
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service. (2015). *Healthier* school day. Tools for schools: Focusing on smart snacks. Retrieved from http://www.fns.usda.gov/healthierschoolday/tools-schools-focusing-smart-snacks
- Wansink, B., Bascoul, G., & Chen, G. T. (2006). The sweet tooth hypothesis: How fruit consumption relates to snack consumption. *Appetite*, 47(1), 107–110. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2005.07.011

Janie W. Cole, MS, RD, LD, is director of the coordinated graduate program in Dietetics and Nutrition and an instructor in the Department of Nutrition and Hospitality Management at the University of Mississippi.

Christopher R. Aloia, MPH, is a research consultant and has worked with the Department of Nutrition and Hospitality Management at the University of Mississippi.

Lacy M. Dodd, MS, is a health educator and was a research associate in the Department of Nutrition and Hospitality Management at the University of Mississippi.

Kathy B. Knight, PhD, RD, LD, is an associate professor in the Department of Nutrition and Hospitality Management at the University of Mississippi.

Acknowledgements

The study was funded as a part of *Eating Good...and Moving Like We Should*, an ongoing school-based nutrition intervention program conducted by the Department of Nutrition and Hospitality Management at the University of Mississippi. *Eating Good...and Moving Like We Should* was supported by the W.K. Kellogg Foundation under Grant # P3023331.

The authors are thankful to all the elementary teachers and students who participated in the study; Superintendent of Oxford City Schools Mr. Brian Harvey; Della Davidson Elementary Schools; and Good Food for Oxford Schools.