

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Psychological distress, cardiometabolic diseases and musculoskeletal pain: A cross-sectional, population-based study of syndemic ill health in a Dutch fishing village

Slagboom, M.N.; Reis, R.; Tsai, A.C.; Büchner, F.L.; Dijk, D.J.A. van; Crone, M.R.

DOI 10.7189/jogh.11.04029 **Publication date** 2021 **Document Version** Final published version

Published in Journal of Global Health

License CC BY

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Slagboom, M. N., Reis, R., Tsai, A. C., Büchner, F. L., Dijk, D. J. A. V., & Crone, M. R. (2021). Psychological distress, cardiometabolic diseases and musculoskeletal pain: A crosssectional, population-based study of syndemic ill health in a Dutch fishing village. Journal of Global Health, 11, [04029]. https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.11.04029

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be Rentacted as sources passiale of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

© 2021 The Author(s) JoGH © 2021 ISGH Cite as: Slagboom MN, Reis R, Tsai AC, Büchner FL, van Dijk DJA, Crone MR. Psychological distress, cardiometabolic diseases and musculoskeletal pain: A cross-sectional, population-based study of syndemic ill health in a Dutch fishing village. J Glob Health 2021;11:04029.

iournal of

Psychological distress, cardiometabolic diseases and musculoskeletal pain: A cross-sectional, population-based study of syndemic ill health in a Dutch fishing village

M Nienke Slagboom¹, Ria Reis^{1,2,3}, Alexander C Tsai^{4,5}, Frederike L Büchner¹, D J Annemarie van Dijk⁶, Mathilde R Crone¹

¹Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, the Netherlands ²Department of Anthropology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands ³The Children's Institute, School of Child and Adolescent Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa ⁴Center for Global Health and Mongan Institute, Harvard Medical School and Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁵Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda ⁶Gemeentelijke Gezondheidsdienst Hollands Midden, Leiden, the Netherlands

Correspondence to:

Nienke Slagboom (BA and MSc) Postzone V0-P Postbus 9600 2300 RC Leiden The Netherlands m.n.slagboom@lumc.nl **Background** Disease clustering is a growing public health concern and is increasingly linked to adverse socioeconomic conditions. Few population-based studies have focussed on interaction between non-communicable diseases. In this cross-sectional study, we examine clustering of, and synergistic interactions between, frequently occurring non-communicable diseases in Katwijk, a former fishing village in the Netherlands. Additionally, our study identifies contextual variables associated with these clusters of non-communicable diseases.

Methods In a survey among adults (>19 years) living in the former fishing village Katwijk, Netherlands, were asked about non-communicable diseases, psychological distress, self-rated health scores and contextual factors, eg, socio-demographic, psychosocial and health behavior characteristics. Interaction was measured on the additive and the multiplicative scale. We used generalized ordered logistic regression analysis to examine associations with contextual variables.

Results Three disease clusters were found to be most prevalent among the study participants (n=1408). Each cluster involved a combination of frequently occurring conditions in this population: psychological distress (n=261, 19%), cardiometabolic diseases (n=449, 32%) and musculoskeletal pain (n=462, 33%). These three diseases interact synergistically on the additive scale to increase the odds of reporting a low self-rated health. None of the disease clusters showed a statistically significant positive interaction on a multiplicative scale. Multiple contextual factors were associated with these disease clusters, including gender, loneliness, experiencing financial stress, and a BMI \geq 30.

Conclusion Our findings imply that psychological distress, cardiometabolic diseases and musculoskeletal pain synergistically interact, leading to a much lower self-rated health than expected. Several contextual factors are related to this interaction emphasizing the importance of a multicomponent, ecological approach.

Disease clustering is increasingly recognized as a major public health concern [1]. In the European Union alone, it is estimated that 50 million people suffer simultaneously from multiple conditions and with a rapidly aging population this number is expected to increase [2].

The field of syndemics looks at the clustering and interaction of multiple diseases, with particular attention to macro and microsocial factors that contribute to disease clustering within a population and a given context [3]. The theory posits that these intertwined health problems produce a stronger and more intense overall adverse health

1

outcome than if each of the conditions were experienced separately [3]. With syndemic theory Singer elaborated an epidemiological framework that would allow room to describe complex health problems resulting from the interaction between epidemic diseases and harmful endemic social conditions [3,4]. This framework was introduced in the midst of long standing and well documented debates on the single disease framework, comorbidity and multimorbidity and its determinants [2,4-6].

Non-communicable diseases account for the greatest burden of disease and highest number of deaths, and disability in high income settings and are rapidly rising in low- income settings [7]. Several studies have examined the clustering of non-communicable diseases [8,9], but fewer have studied the interactions between these diseases [10,11]. As disease interaction has been theorized as one of the defining characteristics of syndemics [3], this paper examines if the presence of two or more diseases leads to a higher burden of disease than expected based on the independent contributions of the diseases considered in isolation [12,13].

This study examines these research questions in the population of Katwijk, the Netherlands. The objectives of the study were to estimate the prevalence and co-occurrence of non-communicable diseases in Katwijk, to estimate whether disease interaction contributes to self-rated health, and to identify which contextual variables were associated with the interacting clusters of non-communicable diseases.

METHODS

Study population

This study was set in Katwijk, the Netherlands. This Dutch former fishing village was previously known for its close-knit families, limited in-migration, social stratification, religious traditions and migratory work among men [14]. The community has experienced rapid contextual changes over the past five decades due to welfare reforms, climate change and globalization [15]. Currently, the population of Katwijk is characterized by a high prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases [16].

Study sample and design

This study is based on secondary analysis of anonymized and pooled data from the Health Monitor Survey (2009 and 2012) [17,18] for the working age (19-64 years) and elderly age population (>65 years) in the Netherlands. This cross-sectional, population-based health survey is developed and routinely carried out every four years in all Dutch municipalities to monitor well-being and health across the general population of adults, under auspices of the Municipal Health Organization for Preventive Healthcare (GGD) [17], in collaboration with the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) [18] and Statistics Netherlands (CBS) [19].

To be able to compare health outcomes, the Municipal Health Organization for Preventive Healthcare (GGD) [17] draws random samples of 3%-4% of each Dutch municipality every four years [20]. The sample size for the Health Monitor Survey is calculated using the following formula [21]:

 $384 \div (1 + (383 \div \text{population size target group}) \times 1 \div \text{expected response rate}).$

Based on these calculations, a sample size between 700 and 750 was needed in both survey years.

A total of 1624 (2009) and 1849 (2012) people were invited to participate via a postal mailing to their home address (Figure S1 in the **Online Supplementary Document**). Individuals living in institutions (asylums, prisons or care facilities for elderly, mental health or learning disability) were excluded from participation. The data were collected through paper and pencil and online questionnaires. The working age group initially received a login code for the online questionnaire (2009 and 2012). A reminder letter and paper version were sent after two weeks (2009, 2012) and a reminder letter after four weeks of non-response (2009, 2012). The elderly population was invited to fill out a paper (2009, 2012) or online questionnaire (2009, 2012). Confidentially was explained by outlining procedures that warranted anonymous processing of data, such as assigning each respondent a unique code. Because this study is based on secondary analysis of anonymized data, ethical approval was not needed.

For our study on disease clustering and interaction, we excluded individuals that did not complete all questions on non-communicable diseases (Figure S1 in the **Online Supplementary Document**).

Measurements

The Health Monitor Survey in 2009 and 2012 elicited information about illnesses, health and health behaviors. While the age specific questionnaires contained different questions in each wave (2009 and 2012), all questionnaires registered Self-rated health (SRH) and indicators for the presence of 17 non-communicable diseases.

Self-rated health (SRH) was used as the outcome measure and as an indicator for burden of disease. SRH has been widely acknowledged to provide an integrative summary of one's health status and to predict morbidity and mortality [22,23].

For all non-communicable conditions, except psychological distress, prevalence of a condition was defined by the participant's self-report of a diagnosed or undiagnosed condition within the past 12 months. Disease clustering was defined as the co-occurrence of two or more non-communicable diseases. To ensure replicability and comparability [24,25], we included HMS disease data that was available for the working age as well as the elderly age and we did not restrict on eligibility of conditions.

The following measures of self-rated health, non-communicable diseases and context were included in the analysis (Table 1):

Table 1. Characteristics of the sample, stratified according to low vs high self-reported health

	N	(N%)	Low SRH (N%)	High SRH (ℕ%)
Total (n=1408)	1408	100.0	17.5	82.5
Age:				
Working age (19-64 years)	901	64.0	9.7	90.3
Elderly age (>65 years)	507	36.0	31.4	68.6
Gender:				
Female	761	54.5	17.9	82.1
Male	635	45.5	16.5	83.5
Education:				
Low	761	54.7	23.8	76.2
Middle	400	28.8	9.0	91.0
High	229	16.5	10.9	89.1
Marital status:				
Married or partnered	1090	77.5	15.9	84.1
Widowed or divorced	156	11.1	32.7	67.3
Single	160	11.4	13.1	86.9
Conditions:				
Musculoskeletal pain	462	32.9	32.3	67.7
Severe or chronic back disorder				
Severe or chronic neck and shoulder pain				
Severe or chronic pain in wrist/hand/elbow				
Rheumatoid arthritis				
Arthritis of hip or knee				
Cardiometabolic diseases	449	31.9	33.0	67.0
Coronary heart disease				
Heart failure				
Venous disease				
Stroke				
Diabetes				
Psychological distress	261	18.5	44.1	55.9
Migraine or severe headache	163	11.6	24.5	75.5
Asthma and COPD	127	9.0	40.2	59.8
Chronic inflammatory skin diseases	96	6.8	24.0	76.0
Chronic eczema				
Psoriasis				
Cancer	48	3.4	56.3	43.8
Chronic enteritis	45	3.2	60.0	40.0

SRH – self rated health, COPD – chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Self-rated health

SRH was measured by a single question from the validated Short-Form 36 [26]: "'In general, how would you say your health is?" (scale 1-5). 2009: '1=Excellent'; '2=Very good'; '3=Good', '4=Fair' or '5=Poor'; 2012: '1=Very good'; '2=Good'; '3=Fair', '4=Poor' and '5=Very poor'. Responses were dichotomized into "High SRH" (excellent/ very good/ good') and "Low SRH" (Fair/ Poor/ Very poor) [26,27].

Psychological distress

Within the HMS, the presence of psychological distress (in the previous month) was assessed through the self-administered 10-item Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10) [28,29], a validated instrument [30] to screen for depression and anxiety in the general population. The items of the survey asses symptoms that represent the entire range of psychological distress: 'In the past 30 days, how often have you' (1=none of the time to 5 = all of the time). Responses were summed, and scores ranged from 10 (no distress) to 50 (severe distress). Following previous (Dutch) population studies [30-32], a cut off score of >19 was used to categorize the respondents as having "Medium to high risk for mental health problems".

Non-communicable diseases

Seventeen non-communicable diseases were assessed with questions developed under auspices of Statistic Netherlands [33], which have been used in health surveys in the Netherlands over the past two decades [27,34,35]. Respondents were asked to indicate, for each of the conditions separately, whether they suffered from the condition within the last 12 months (Table 1) [35]. A detailed description of the way the Health Monitor Survey assessed the seventeen diseases per survey wave is provided in Appendix S2 in the Online Supplementary Document.

Following previous population studies [36], we grouped diseases together into three system groups: "cardiometabolic disease" (heart failure/coronary heart disease/high blood pressure/venous disease/stroke and diabetes); "musculoskeletal pain" (severe and chronic back/neck and shoulder pain/chronic pain in wrist/ hand/arthritis of hip or knee and rheumatoid arthritis); "chronic inflammatory skin disease" (eczema/psoriasis).

Context

Across survey waves, the HMS registered the following eleven variables on context:

- 1) Age: "20-34"; "35-49"; "50-64"; "65-79"; ">80"
- 2) Gender: 'Male'; 'Female'
- 3) *Education* was measured by eliciting the highest level of completed education and then grouped into three categories: "High" (university and higher professional education)'; "Middle" (pre-university and senior general secondary education); "Low" (no education, primary school, lower secondary school, pre-vocational secondary school).
- 4) Civil status was grouped into three categories "Married or partnered"; "Widowed or divorced"; "Single".
- 5) Employment status for working age individuals (19-64 years) was assessed by asking "Which situation is most applicable to your situation?" 'Employed'; 'Paid work for >32 hours'; 'Paid work for <20-<32 hours'; 'Paid work for >12 < 20 hours', 'Paid work for <12 hours'; 'Retired'; 'Unemployed'; 'Not able to work and on benefits'; 'On benefits'; 'Full time homemaker'; 'Student'. Elderly age individuals (≥65 years) answered the question "Is the AOW (Dutch state pension) your only source of income?" 'Yes'; 'No'. Employment status was then grouped into four categories "Homemaker"; "Retired"; "Benefits"; "Paid work".</p>
- 6) *Financial stress* was measured by asking questions about debt ('No debt'; 'Risky debt', 'Problematic debt, in need of help') and experiencing difficulties in getting by financially ('Yes'; 'No'). Individuals reporting debt or troubles getting by financially were assigned a value of 1 for presence of financial stress.
- 7) Loneliness was assessed using the self-administered 11-item De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale [37,38]. Participants were asked to indicate the extent to which five positively and six negatively and formulated statements applied to their current situation, using three response categories 'No'; 'More or less' 'Yes'. The calculation of item scores is described in detail elsewhere [37,39]. Total scores could range from 0 (not lonely) to 11 (extreme lonely). A cut off score of >3 is considered to be an indication of "Medium to severe loneliness" [37].
- 8) Alcohol intake was measured based on reported number of glasses of alcoholic beverage consumed weekly. Following Dutch Health Council Guidelines 2015 [40], the GGD [17] used ≥7 (female) or ≥14 (male) drinks per week as an indicator for heavy drinking.
- 9) Smoking was assessed by the question "Do you (ever) smoke?" 'Yes'; 'I used to smoke'; 'No'.
- 10) Body mass index (BMI) was calculated based on self-reported weight and height (kg/m²) [41] and then categorized into three standardized categories of weight "Healthy weight" (BMI<25); "Overweight" (BMI=25-29.9"; "Obesity" (BMI≥30) [41,42].
- 11) Physical activity: Participants were asked to indicate on how many days they had been physically active for at least 30 minutes, in the past week. Following the Dutch norm for physical activity for adults [43], responses (0-7 days) were grouped into '>5 days per week' and '≤5 days per week'.

Statistical analyses

The prevalence for each disease and accompanying self-rated health (0=high SRH and 1=low SRH) was calculated. This was repeated for each possible disease cluster. We fitted logistic regression models to assess for synergistic interaction between disease clusters affecting \geq 4% of the population (cardiometabolic diseases [CMD], psychological distress [PD], and musculoskeletal pain [MUS]), adjusting for gender and age. Synergistic interaction was measured on both the additive and multiplicative scales. Building on previous syndemic research [44] and recent methodological recommendations [10,11], additive interaction was calculated using relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI) [45]. RERI measures whether the extent to which the effect of two exposures combined exceeds the effect of each exposure considered individually. RERI can range from infinity to+infinity [46], with a RERI of 0 indicating no departure from additivity and a RERI of one or higher indicating a positive departure from additivity. Multiplicative interaction (M) indicates "the extent to which, on the risk ratio scale, the effect of both exposures together exceeds the product of the effects of the two exposures considered separately" [47].

Lastly, for the disease clusters with statistically significant additive or multiplicative synergistic interaction, we performed logistic regression analysis to examine associations with contextual variable using an ordered outcome (no disease, one disease, two diseases) [48]. Due to violations of the assumption of proportional odds, we used partial proportional odds regression to estimate associations between this ordered outcome variable and the contextual variables described previously [49]. The partial proportional odds regression model is similar to the ordered logistic regression model except that it permits selected regression coefficients associated with covariates to differ across the logit equations. We constrained the regression coefficients to be equal across the logit equations except for explanatory variables where the proportional odds assumption was violated. For these covariates, we report two odds ratios: one odds ratio that estimates the association between the explanatory variable and the probability of having one or two diseases (compared with no disease), and one odds ratio that estimates the association between the explanatory variable and the probability of having two diseases (compared with one disease or no diseases). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). R Studio 1.3.959 (RStudio PBC, Boston, MA, USA) was used for the interaction assessment [50]. Stata (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA) was used for the partial proportional odds regression analysis [48].

RESULTS

The initial Health Monitor Survey pooled sample consisted of 1699 participants: 817 respondents from 2009 and 882 from 2012 (Figure S1 in the **Online Supplementary Document**). The analysis for this syndemics study was conducted based on a sample of 1408 participants (**Table 1**).

Clustering

Thirty four percent of the population reported two or more non-communicable diseases. Table 2 shows that the three most prevalent clusters were musculoskeletal pain and cardiometabolic diseases (15%), musculo-skeletal pain and psychological distress (9%) and cardiometabolic diseases and psychological distress (7%).

Condition 1	Condition 2	%N	Low SRH %N	AOR (95%CI)	RERI (95%CI)	MULTIPLICATIVE INTERACTION
Cardiometabolic diseases	Psychological distress					
0	0	56.7	6.8	1		
1	0	24.8	22.1	3.9 (2.6, 5.65)		
0	1	11.4	27.3	4.7 (3.05, 7.51)		
1	1	7.1	71.0	32.52 (19.44, 54.38)	25.04 (9.18, 40.89)	1.73 (0.88, 3.40)
Musculoskeletal	Psychological					
pain	distress					
0	0	57.5	7.3	1		
1	0	24.0	21.3	3.51 (2.42, 5.10)		
0	1	9.7	27.7	4.92 (3.09, 7.84)		
1	1	8.8	62.1	20.68 (13.06, 32.76)	10.40 (2.81, 17.99)	1.20 (0.61, 2.35)
Cardiometabolic	Musculoskeletal					
diseases	pain					
0	0	50.4	6.6	1		
1	0	16.8	21.2	3.84 (2.47, 5.93)		
0	1	17.7	20.5	3.56 (2.31, 5.49)		
1	1	15.1	46.0	12.04 (8.04, 18.04)	5.64 (1.73, 9.55)	0.88 (0.48, 1.60)

Table 2. Logistic regression model estimates of the additive and multiplicative interactions between disease pairs in their associations with self-rated health, adjusted for age and gender

AOR – adjusted odds ratio, CI – confidence interval, SRH – self rated health, RERI – relative excess risk due to interaction

Disease-disease interaction

Table 3 presents the adjusted odds ratios (AORs) for lower self-rated health corresponding to the pairwise association between the three most frequently occurring disease clusters. The RERI exceeded 1 for each of these three disease clusters, indicating positive departures from additivity, ie, that the diseases have an interactive association with low self-rated health that is greater than what would be expected on the additive scale. None of these disease clusters showed statistically significant positive interaction on the multiplicative scale.

Disease-context association

In the analysis of correlates of disease clustering (Table S3 in the **Online Supplementary Document**), most of the explanatory variables did not violate the proportional odds assumption, meaning that the association between the explanatory variable and moving from a lower disease cluster category (from no diseases to 1 disease) to a higher disease cluster category (from no diseases or 1 disease) to a higher disease cluster category (from no diseases or 1 disease) to a higher disease cluster category (from no diseases or 1 disease, to 2 diseases) was similar irrespective of the level of the dependent variable. Across the three disease clusters, having a greater number of diseases was associated with being of middle age and older, gender (female), financial stress, and body weight (BMI>30).

For a few explanatory variables, violations of the proportional odds assumption were noted. For example, in the analysis of the cardiometabolic disease-psychological distress disease cluster, the effect of loneliness differed across the logit equations, where the effect was much larger for moving from no diseases vs 1 or 2 diseases compared with the effect of moving from no diseases or 1 diseases.

DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional, population-based syndemics study, we examined the clustering of and synergistic interactions between frequently occurring non-communicable conditions among adults in a Dutch former fishing village. Three disease clusters were found to be most prevalent in this village, involving combinations of psychological distress, cardiometabolic diseases and musculoskeletal pain. We showed that the three diseases interact in mutually exacerbating ways, meaning that these combinations of non-communicable diseases lead to a much lower self-rated health than would be expected based on their independent contributions to self-rated health. We also showed that these three disease clusters were not only associated with age; our findings indicate that they were also more likely to occur among people, particularly women, whose health is impacted by financial stress and increased body weight. Lastly, people suffering from psychological distress in combination with either cardiometabolic disease or musculoskeletal pain were more often not engaged in paid work, suffered more from loneliness, and scored low on physical activity.

Overall, our findings add to the body of knowledge on depression and diabetes syndemics among populations that experienced social and economic hardship [8,51-53]. Our study provides a unique empirical test of biological-biological and biological-social relationships of non-communicable conditions in the general population. While the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms for synergistic interaction could not be determined through this study, previous studies have argued that interaction between depression, cardiometabolic conditions, and musculoskeletal pain is most likely associated with a systemic inflammatory dysregulation [54-57], which has been linked to stress, possibly from the prenatal phase onwards [58], and is believed to destabilize the autonomic nervous system and dysregulate immune response [59,60]. Building on fishermen health studies [61,62], Slagboom [15] argued that communities like Katwijk are likely vulnerable to such adverse disease interactions "because of their history of harsh working conditions, occupational hazards, as well as the adverse socioeconomic conditions in which fishermen communities typically lived, characterized by income uncertainty and poor access to health care". In a previous syndemics study in Katwijk [15], following the identification of psychological distress, cardiometabolic conditions and musculoskeletal pain, life events and contextual factors were examined more closely, using a life-course approach and qualitative methodology. This study showed that people suffering from these diseases often reported a history of adverse life events beginning in early childhood and that these diseases often restricted the ability to work - a major stressor in a context with a distinct work ethic and sociocultural norms that emphasize perseverance and being strong. Distress over ill health and income was often experienced during home confinement, which might explain the associations with loneliness, limited physical activity and financial stress as reported in this present study.

Direct comparison of our findings with other population-based studies proved to be complex, as most studies in this literature have examined multimorbidity and have focused on older-age populations or clinical samples [24]. The strong impairing effect of psychological distress combined with cardiometabolic diseases or pain found in this study, however, is consistent with previous studies [63,64]. A worldwide study in the Lancet, for

			2000									A LLC	
			CIMI	0-r0				U-VL			CIMID-	NUS	
		1 0	R 2 DISEASES /S NONE	2 DISE DISEASES	ASES VS NO OR 1 DISEASE*	1 OF	k 2 DISEASES /S NONE	2 DIS DISEASE	eases vs no ; or 1 disease*	101	k 2 diseases /s none	2 DISE DISEASES	ASES VS NO OR 1 DISEASE*
Variable		AOR	95%CI	AOR	95%CI	AOR	95%CI	AOR	95%CI	AOR	95%CI	AOR	95%CI
	19-34#	Ref				1				1			
	35-49	06.0	(0.57,1.41)			0.99	(0.65, 1.52)			1.65	(1.03, 2.65)		
Age (years)	50-64	2.17	(1.37, 3.44)			1.69	(1.08, 2.65)			5.35	(3.29, 8.70)	10.70	(5.29, 21.62)
	65-79	3.52	(1.81, 6.84)			2.55	(1.32, 4.93)	0.97	(0.44,2.14)	8.13	(4.15, 15.92)	17.05	(7.53, 39.06)
	>80	6.59	(2.73, 15.91)			2.75	(1.19, 6.36)			25.64	(10.16, 64.66)		
	Male#	Ref				1				-			
Gender	Female	1.67	(1.28, 2.19)			1.85	(1.43, 2.41)			1.46	(1.12, 1.91)		
	High#	Ref				-				-			
Education	Middle	0.98	(0.67, 1.44)			1.14	(0.78, 1.65)			1.17	(0.81, 1.70)		
	Low	1.20	(0.84, 1.72)			1.11	(0.78, 1.58)			1.14	(0.81, 1.62)		
	Married or partnered#	Ref				1				1			
Civil status	Widowed or divorced	1.19	(0.80, 1.77)			1.11	(0.75, 1.65)			1.01	(0.69, 1.48)		
	Single	1.37	(0.89, 2.10)			0.95	(0.62, 1.44)			0.96	(0.61, 1.50)		
	Paid work#	Ref				-				-			
Emularmont	Retirement	1.51	(0.89, 2.57)			1.20	(0.71, 2.02)	4.50	(2.13, 9.49)	1.39	(0.84, 2.30)		
сшрюушен	Benefits	1.94	(1.03, 3.65)			1.49	(0.77, 2.87)	5.40	(2.31, 12.63)	1.36	(0.70, 2.62)		
	Homemaker	1.13	(0.72, 1.79)			1.11	(0.70, 1.75)	3.38	(1.66, 6.85)	1.31	(0.85, 2.02)		
Financial	No#	Ref				1				1			
stress	Yes	1.91	(1.38, 2.66)			1.90	(1.38, 2.62)			1.12	(0.78, 1.61)	1.85	(1.17, 2.91)
Ionaliana	Low score#	Ref				1				1			
FUIEIIIESS	High score	1.82	(1.39, 2.37)	3.50	(2.16, 5.66)	2.22	(1.73, 2.84)			1.13	(0.88, 1.45)		
	No#	Ref				1				1			
Smoking	Former smoker	1.06	(0.79, 1.40)			1.07	(0.82, 1.42)			1.17	(0.87, 1.58)	0.75	(0.52, 1.08)
	Yes	1.14	(0.81, 1.61)			1.19	(0.85, 1.65)			1.08	(0.77, 1.52)	0.86	(0.58, 1.28)
Alcohol	<7(f)/14(m) units/week #	Ref				1				1			
intake	≥7(f)/14(m) units/week	1.20	(0.89, 1.61)			0.79	(0.59, 1.04)			1.30	(0.95, 1.77)		
t 	BMI<25#	Ref				1				1			
Body mass indev	BMI 25-29.9	1.46	(1.10, 1.94)			1.21	(0.92, 1.59)			1.75	(1.33, 2.31)		
	BMI>30	3.05	(2.16, 4.32)			1.62	(1.15, 2.27)			3.54	(2.52, 4.98)		
Physical	>5 days/week#	Ref				-				1			
activity	≥5 days/week	1.35	(1.05, 1.73)			1.07	(0.83, 0.36)	1.69	(1.09, 2.62)	1.24	(0.97, 1.57)		

PAPERS

not

example, showed that depression combined with other health conditions "incrementally worsens health outcomes compared with depression alone, with any of the chronic diseases alone, and with any combination of chronic diseases without depression" [65]. Interestingly, our study showed that synergistic interaction was not confined to the presence of psychological distress [66]. The presence of musculoskeletal pain too, increased the odds of poor self-rated health incrementally.

Our findings on contextual factors help to reconcile key findings in (social) epidemiology, which have shown that multimorbidity is a multifactorial phenomenon that is not merely related to elderly age [67-69]. Within the field of syndemics, these findings confirm the link between an early onset of disease clustering, socio economic position and gender as described in previous qualitative studies of depression and diabetes [8,53]. In line with another study of disease clustering in a welfare state setting, our findings point at heterogeneity in contextual factors [70], which are partly dependent on the disease cluster concerned.

Limitations

Limited causal conclusions can be drawn from this study given its use of cross-sectional self-report survey data. Self-report of presence and history of conditions has been questioned for accuracy of estimating (true) prevalence of diseases and critiqued for limiting comparability with other studies. Further, the study results could be biased due to voluntary response, oversampling of the working age population and combining of multiple data sets.

While more research is certainly needed to verify the reported patterns, the measurement of conditions, including psychological distress, might be quite accurate and useful for syndemic research in contexts like Katwijk where help seeking is often delayed and underreporting of health conditions is common [15].

Because of the cross-sectional design, we could not draw inferences about causality, directionality or temporality of the contextual factors. As such, we could not fully explain how these factors act and why contextual factors act differently depending on specific disease combinations. No evidence for an association, however, does not necessarily imply the absence of a relation, this is especially true for factors which are well documented in studies of fishermen health and could have contributed to the development of disease earlier in life, such as heavy drinking and smoking. While our findings indicate that it is possible to test the three tennets of syndemic theory using routine data, our findings underscore the need for more longitudinal and mixed methods research, including more refined measurements of context, to come to a better understanding of adverse disease interaction on a population level.

CONCLUSION

Psychological distress, cardiometabolic diseases and musculoskeletal pain were found to interact in mutually exacerbating ways, leading to a much lower self-rated health than expected. Adverse disease interaction between these conditions is likely to be shaped by multiple social conditions, including gender, financial stress and loneliness.

Our findings suggest that musculoskeletal pain is a useful focus for future syndemics research, for example in other populations with a strong history or shift to "blue collar" occupations that do not require a college degree, in which a high prevalence of chronic pain, opioid medication treatment, disability, and substance use disorders has been documented [71-73]. Such research needs to incorporate a historical and placed based approach, amongst others focusing on working conditions and power relations.

The social interconnectedness of diseases and context as shown in this study emphasize the need to analyse pathways to non-communicable or 'lifestyle related' diseases outside a discourse of "responsibilization" [74,75]. Our findings support that syndemic vulnerability is unlikely to be fully addressed with approaches such as medical screenings and treatments or public health interventions that target individual behavior change [76]. Instead, a multicomponent, ecological approach is needed, which integrates interventions directed at different domains and educates policymakers and care professionals about the social interconnectedness of psychosocial well-being, cardiometabolic and painful conditions.

Acknowledgments: This study would not have been possible without a range of people. We wish to express gratitude to GGD Hollands Midden for supporting of our study. Also, we thank the two anonymous reviewers for their feedback, which greatly strengthened this manuscript.

Funding: This study was funded by a Gezonde Toekomst Dichterbij Grant (project numer 101625) provided by Foundation NutsOhra.

Authorship contributions: MNS: analysis, writing; RR: supervision, reviewing and editing manuscript; ACT: reviewing analysis, reviewing and editing manuscript; FLB: reviewing and editing manuscript; DJAvD: data management, reviewing manuscript; MRC: supervision, analysis, reviewing and editing manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors completed the ICMJE Unified Competing Interest form (available upon request from the corresponding author), and declare no conflicts of interest.

Additional material Online Supplementary Document

REFERENCES

- 1 World Health Organization. Multimorbidity: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care. Geneva: WHO; 2016.
- 2 Navickas R, Petric V-K, Feigl AB, Seychell M. Multimorbidity: what do we know? What should we do? J Comorb. 2016;6:4-11. Medline:29090166 doi:10.15256/joc.2016.6.72
- **3** Singer M. A dose of drugs, a touch of violence, a case of AIDS: conceptualizing the SAVA syndemic. Free Inq Creat Sociol. 1996;28:99-110.
- 4 Weaver LJ, Barrett R, Nichter M. Special Section on Comorbidity: Introduction. Med Anthropol Q. 2016;30:435-41. Medline:27555467 doi:10.1111/maq.12335
- **5** Lefèvre T, d'Ivernois J-F, De Andrade V, Crozet C, Lombrail P, Gagnayre R. What do we mean by multimorbidity? An analysis of the literature on multimorbidity measures, associated factors, and impact on health services organization. Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique. 2014;62:305-14. Medline:25444838 doi:10.1016/j.respe.2014.09.002
- 6 van den Akker M, Buntinx F, Knottnerus JA. Comorbidity or multimorbidity: what's in a name? A review of literature. Eur J Gen Pract. 1996;2:65-70. doi:10.3109/13814789609162146
- 7 World Health Organization. Global health estimates: Life expectancy and leading causes of death and disability. Geneva: WHO, 2019.
- 8 Mendenhall E, Kohrt BA, Norris SA, Ndetei D, Prabhakaran D. Non-communicable disease syndemics: poverty, depression, and diabetes among low-income populations. Lancet. 2017;389:951-63. Medline:28271846 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30402-6
- 9 Weaver LJ, Mendenhall E. Applying syndemics and chronicity: interpretations from studies of poverty, depression, and diabetes. Med Anthropol. 2014;33:92-108. Medline:24512380 doi:10.1080/01459740.2013.808637
- 10 Tsai AC, Burns BF. Syndemics of psychosocial problems and HIV risk: a systematic review of empirical tests of the disease interaction concept. Soc Sci Med. 2015;139:26-35. Medline:26150065 doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.06.024
- 11 Tsai AC, Venkataramani AS. Syndemics and Health Disparities: A Methodological Note. AIDS Behav. 2016;20:423-30. Medline:26662266 doi:10.1007/s10461-015-1260-2
- 12 Tsai AC. Syndemics: a theory in search of data or data in search of a theory? Soc Sci Med. 2018;206:117-22. Medline:29628175 doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.03.040
- Tsai AC, Mendenhall E, Trostle JA, Kawachi I. Co-occurring epidemics, syndemics, and population health. Lancet. 2017;389:978-82. Medline:28271848 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30403-8
- 14 Deursen AT. In Katwijk is alles anders: een christelijk dorp ontmoet de wereld 1940–2005. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Bert Bakker; 2011.
- 15 Slagboom MN, Crone MR, Reis R. Exploring syndemic vulnerability across generations: A case study of a former fishing village in the Netherlands. Soc Sci Med. 2020. In press. Medline:32576403 doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113122
- 16 Hollands Midden GGD. Gezondheid Katwijk 2020. Available: https://eengezonderhollandsmidden.nl/dashboard/dashboard/heengezondheid. Accessed: 5 January 2021.
- 17 Municipal Health Organization for Preventive Healthcare. Gezondheidsmonitor Volwassenen en Ouderen [Health Monitor Survey Elderly and Adults]. 2009. Available: https://ggdhm.nl/gemeenten/item/gemeenten/onderzoek-en-cijfers. Accessed: 11 February 2021.
- **18** National Institute for Public Health and the Environment. Gezondheidsmonitor Volwassenen en Ouderen. 2012. Available: https://www.monitorgezondheid.nl/gezondheidsmonitor-volwassenen-en-ouderen. Accessed: 11 February 2021.
- 19 Statistics Netherlands. Gezondheidsmonitor. Available: https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/onze-diensten/methoden/onderzoeksomschrijvingen/korte-onderzoeksbeschrijvingen/gezondheidsmonitor. Accessed: 11 February 2021.
- 20 van den Brink C, Viet A, Boshuizen H, van Ameijden E, Droomers M. Methodologie Lokale en Nationale Monitor Volksgezondheid; gevolgen voor vergelijkbaarheid van gegevens. RIVM rapport 260854009. 2005.
- 21 Korzilius H. De kern van survey-onderzoek: Uitgeverij Van Gorcum; 2000.
- 22 Idler EL, Benyamini Y. Self-rated health and mortality: a review of twenty-seven community studies. J Health Soc Behav. 1997;38:21-37. Medline:9097506 doi:10.2307/2955359
- 23 DeSalvo KB, Bloser N, Reynolds K, He J, Muntner P. Mortality prediction with a single general self-rated health question. J Gen Intern Med. 2006;21:267-75. Medline:16336622 doi:10.1111/j.1525-1497.2005.00291.x

- 25 Fortin M, Stewart M, Poitras M-E, Almirall J, Maddocks H. A systematic review of prevalence studies on multimorbidity: toward a more uniform methodology. Ann Fam Med. 2012;10:142-51. Medline:22412006 doi:10.1370/afm.1337
- **26** Aaronson NK, Muller M, Cohen PD, Essink-Bot M-L, Fekkes M, Sanderman R, et al. Translation, validation, and norming of the Dutch language version of the SF-36 Health Survey in community and chronic disease populations. J Clin Epidemiol. 1998;51:1055-68. Medline:9817123 doi:10.1016/S0895-4356(98)00097-3
- 27 Vos HM, Bor HH, Rangelrooij-Minkels MJ, Schellevis FG, Lagro-Janssen AL. Multimorbidity in older women: the negative impact of specific combinations of chronic conditions on self-rated health. Eur J Gen Pract. 2013;19:117-22. Medline:23336329 doi:10.3109/13814788.2012.755511
- 28 Kessler R, Mroczek D. Final versions of our non-specific psychological distress scale. Ann Arbor, MI: Survey Research Center of the Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan. 1994.
- 29 Kessler RC, Barker PR, Colpe LJ, Epstein JF, Gfroerer JC, Hiripi E, et al. Screening for serious mental illness in the general population. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2003;60:184-9. Medline:12578436 doi:10.1001/archpsyc.60.2.184
- **30** Donker T, Comijs H, Cuijpers P, Terluin B, Nolen W, Zitman F, et al. The validity of the Dutch K10 and extended K10 screening scales for depressive and anxiety disorders. Psychiatry Res. 2010;176:45-50. Medline:20071036 doi:10.1016/j.psy-chres.2009.01.012
- 31 Uitewaal P. Depressie in Den Haag. Epidemiologisch Bull. 2012;47:23-9.
- **32** Andrews G, Slade T. Interpreting scores on the Kessler psychological distress scale (K10). Aust N Z J Public Health. 2001;25:494-7. Medline:11824981 doi:10.1111/j.1467-842X.2001.tb00310.x
- 33 Standaardvraagstelling Volwassenen en Ouderen. Available: https://www.monitorgezondheid.nl/vragenlijsten/vragenlijsten-volwassenen-en-ouderen. Accessed: 12 February 2021.
- **34** Westert GP, Schellevis F, De Bakker D, Groenewegen P, Bensing J, Van der Zee J. Monitoring health inequalities through general practice: the Second Dutch National Survey of General Practice. Eur J Public Health. 2005;15:59-65. Medline:15788805 doi:10.1093/eurpub/cki116
- **35** Cavelaars A. Cross-national comparisons of socioeconomic differences in health indicators: Erasmus University Rotterdam; 1998.
- 36 McDaid O, Hanly MJ, Richardson K, Kee F, Kenny RA, Savva GM. The effect of multiple chronic conditions on self-rated health, disability and quality of life among the older populations of Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland: a comparison of two nationally representative cross-sectional surveys. BMJ Open. 2013;3:e002571. Medline:23794595 doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2013-002571
- 37 De Jong-Gierveld J, Van Tilburg T. Manual of the loneliness scale 1999. Dep. of Social Research Methodology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam (updated version 19-5-2020).
- **38** De Jong Gierveld JDJ, Van Tilburg T. The De Jong Gierveld short scales for emotional and social loneliness: tested on data from 7 countries in the UN generations and gender surveys. Eur J Ageing. 2010;7:121-30. Medline:20730083 doi:10.1007/s10433-010-0144-6
- 39 Uysal-Bozkir Ö, Fokkema T, MacNeil-Vroomen JL, van Tilburg TG, de Rooij SE. Translation and validation of the De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale among older migrants living in the Netherlands. J Geront B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2017;72:109-19. Medline:26248622 doi:10.1093/geronb/gbv044
- **40** Gezondheidsraad. Richtlijn goede voeding 2015. 2015. Available: https://www.gezondheidsraad.nl/documenten/ad-viezen/2015/11/04/richtlijnen-goede-voeding-2015. Accessed: 11 February 2021.
- **41** Keys A, Fidanza F, Karvonen MJ, Kimura N, Taylor HL. Indices of relative weight and obesity. J Chronic Dis. 1972;25:329-43. Medline:4650929 doi:10.1016/0021-9681(72)90027-6
- 42 World Health Organization. Body Mass Index. Available: https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/theme-details/GHO/body-mass-index-(bmi). Accessed: 11 February 2021.
- 43 Hildebrandt V, Ooijendijk W, Stiggelbout M, editors. Trendrapport bewegen en gezondheid 1998/1999: Koninklijke Vermande; 1999.
- 44 Herrick A. Syndemic processes among young men who have sex with men (MSM): Pathways toward risk and resilience: University of Pittsburgh; 2011.
- 45 Rothman K. Interactions between causes. Modern Epidemiology. 1986;3:311-26.
- 46 Knol MJ, VanderWeele TJ, Groenwold RH, Klungel OH, Rovers MM, Grobbee DE. Estimating measures of interaction on an additive scale for preventive exposures. Eur J Epidemiol. 2011;26:433-8. Medline:21344323 doi:10.1007/s10654-011-9554-9
 47 VanderWeele TJ, Knol MJ. A tutorial on interaction. Epidemiol Methods. 2014;3:33-72.
- **48** Williams R. Gologit2: A program for generalized logistic regression/partial proportional odds models for ordinal variables. 2005. Available: https://www.stata.com/meeting/4nasug/gologit2.pdf . accessed: 2 April 2021.
- 49 Williams R. Generalized ordered logit/partial proportional odds models for ordinal dependent variables. Stata J. 2006;6:58-82. doi:10.1177/1536867X0600600104
- 50 Mathur MB, VanderWeele TJ. R Function for Additive Interaction Measures. Epidemiology. 2018;29:e5-6. Medline:28901974 doi:10.1097/EDE.000000000000752
- 51 Mendenhall E. Beyond Comorbidity: A Critical Perspective of Syndemic Depression and Diabetes in Cross-cultural Contexts. Med Anthropol Q. 2016;30:462-78. Medline:25865829 doi:10.1111/maq.12215

- 52 McCurley JL, Gutierrez AP, Bravin JI, Schneiderman N, Reina SA, Khambaty T, et al. Association of social adversity with comorbid diabetes and depression symptoms in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos Sociocultural Ancillary Study: a syndemic framework. Ann Behav Med. 2019;53:975-87. Medline:30951585 doi:10.1093/abm/kaz009
- 53 Mendenhall E. Syndemic Suffering: Social Distress, Depression, and Diabetes Among Mexican Immigrant Women: Left Coast Press; 2012.
- 54 Nikiphorou E, Lempp H, Kohrt BA. Treatment failure in inflammatory arthritis: time to think about syndemics? Rheumatology. 2019;58:1526-33. Medline:31236573 doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kez222
- 55 Yazdi M, Zeverdegani SK. MollaAghaBabaee AH. Association of derived patterns of musculoskeletal disorders with psychological problems: a latent class analysis. Environ Health Prev Med. 2019;24:34. Medline:31092202 doi:10.1186/s12199-019-0784-x
- 56 Lamers F, Milaneschi Y, Vinkers CH, Schoevers RA, Giltay EJ, Penninx BW. Depression profilers and immuno-metabolic dysregulation: longitudinal results from the NESDA study. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;88:174-83. Medline:32272220 doi:10.1016/j. bbi.2020.04.002
- 57 Milaneschi Y, Lamers F, Berk M, Penninx BW. Depression heterogeneity and its biological underpinnings: towards immuno-metabolic depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2020;88:369-80. Medline:32247527 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.01.014
- 58 Goldstein JM, Hale T, Foster SL, Tobet SA, Handa RJ. Sex differences in major depression and comorbidity of cardiometabolic disorders: impact of prenatal stress and immune exposures. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2019;44:59-70. Medline:30030541 doi:10.1038/s41386-018-0146-1
- **59** Joynt KE, Whellan DJ, O'Connor CM. Depression and cardiovascular disease: mechanisms of interaction. Biol Psychiatry. 2003;54:248-61. Medline:12893101 doi:10.1016/S0006-3223(03)00568-7
- **60** Narasimhan M, Campbell N. A tale of two comorbidities: understanding the neurobiology of depression and pain. Indian J Psychiatry. 2010;52:127. Medline:20838499 doi:10.4103/0019-5545.64586
- **61** Matheson C, Morrison S, Murphy E, Lawrie T, Ritchie L, Bond C. The health of fishermen in the catching sector of the fishing industry: a gap analysis. Occup Med (Lond). 2001;51:305-11. Medline:11473136 doi:10.1093/occmed/51.5.305
- **62** Woodhead AJ, Abernethy KE, Szaboova L, Turner RA. Health in fishing communities: A global perspective. Fish Fish. 2018;19:839-52. doi:10.1111/faf.12295
- 63 O'Neil A, Stevenson CE, Williams ED, Mortimer D, Oldenburg B, Sanderson K. The health-related quality of life burden of co-morbid cardiovascular disease and major depressive disorder in Australia: findings from a population-based, cross-sectional study. Qual Life Res. 2013;22:37-44. Medline:22323040 doi:10.1007/s11136-012-0128-4
- 64 Dominick CH, Blyth FM, Nicholas MK. Unpacking the burden: understanding the relationships between chronic pain and comorbidity in the general population. Pain. 2012;153:293-304. Medline:22071318 doi:10.1016/j.pain.2011.09.018
- 65 Moussavi S, Chatterji S, Verdes E, Tandon A, Patel V, Ustun B. Depression, chronic diseases, and decrements in health: results from the World Health Surveys. Lancet. 2007;370:851-8. Medline:17826170 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61415-9
- 66 Molarius A, Janson S. Self-rated health, chronic diseases, and symptoms among middle-aged and elderly men and women. J Clin Epidemiol. 2002;55:364-70. Medline:11927204 doi:10.1016/S0895-4356(01)00491-7
- **67** van Oostrom SH, Gijsen R, Stirbu I, Korevaar JC, Schellevis FG, Picavet HSJ, et al. Time trends in prevalence of chronic diseases and multimorbidity not only due to aging: data from general practices and health surveys. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0160264. Medline:27482903 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160264
- **68** Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, Watt G, Wyke S, Guthrie B. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. Lancet. 2012;380:37-43. Medline:22579043 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60240-2
- **69** Roberts KC, Rao D, Bennett T, Loukine L, Jayaraman G. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can. 2015;35:87. Medline:26302227 doi:10.24095/hpc-dp.35.6.01
- **70** Schäfer I, Hansen H, Schön G, Höfels S, Altiner A, Dahlhaus A, et al. The influence of age, gender and socio-economic status on multimorbidity patterns in primary care. First results from the multicare cohort study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2012;12:89. Medline:22471952 doi:10.1186/1472-6963-12-89
- **71** Case A, Deaton A. Rising morbidity and mortality in midlife among white non-Hispanic Americans in the 21st century. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112:15078-83. Medline:26575631 doi:10.1073/pnas.1518393112
- 72 Venkataramani AS, Bair EF, O'Brien RL, Tsai AC. Association between automotive assembly plant closures and opioid overdose mortality in the United States: a difference-in-differences analysis. JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180:254-62. Medline:31886844 doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.5686
- 73 Krueger AB. Where have all the workers gone? An inquiry into the decline of the US labor force participation rate. Brookings Pap Econ Act. 2017;2017:1-87. Medline:30739945 doi:10.1353/eca.2017.0012
- 74 Manderson L, Warren N. "Just one thing after another": recursive cascades and chronic conditions. Med Anthropol Q. 2016;30:479-97. Medline:26756733 doi:10.1111/maq.12277
- 75 Giesler M, Veresiu E. Creating the responsible consumer: Moralistic governance regimes and consumer subjectivity. J Consum Res. 2014;41:840-57. doi:10.1086/677842
- 76 Singer M, Bulled N, Ostrach B. Whither syndemics?: Trends in syndemics research, a review 2015–2019. Glob Public Health. 2020;15:943-55. Medline:32037962 doi:10.1080/17441692.2020.1724317