We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



149,000

185M Downloads



Our authors are among the

TOP 1%





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Chapter

Tracing the Inside of Pigs Non-Invasively: Recent Developments

Armin M. Scholz, Goran Kusec, Alva D. Mitchell and Ulrich Baulain

Abstract

Regional markets require a large variety of pig breeds and pork products. Noninvasive techniques like computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, computer vision, or, very often, ultrasound helps to provide the information required for breeding, quality control, payment, and processing. Meanwhile, computed tomography is being used as phenotyping tool by leading pig breeding organizations around the world, while ultrasound B- or A-mode techniques belong to the standard tools, especially to measure subcutaneous fat and muscle traits. Magnetic resonance imaging and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, however, are still mainly used as research tools to develop and characterize new phenotypic traits, which usually could not be measured without slaughtering the breeding pigs. A further noninvasive method—already used on a commercial basis, not only in abattoirs—is video 2D or 3D imaging. This chapter will review the latest developments for these noninvasive techniques.

Keywords: phenotyping, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound, video image analysis, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, pig breeding

1. Introduction

It is anticipated that pork will continue to be a main source of animal-derived proteins in human consumption—although pork production in Europe and world-wide will not increase at the same rate as in the years before 2020 [1, 2]. Presently, the worldwide pork production is heavily affected by spreading African Swine Fever cases in China, East-Europe, including eastern parts of Germany, and more countries around the globe, especially Africa and Asia.

Pig breeding is basically organized either by local breeding organizations or by worldwide operating breeding companies. Both breeding organizations aim at an efficient marketing of the best male and female breeding animals with outstanding breeding values. The specific (total) breeding value of pigs depends on the market situation and includes, in addition to fertility and fitness or health traits, mainly growth and feed efficiency, lean meat percentage, and meat quality traits.

Performance testing for these traits occurs either in the field (farm environment) and/or at test stations – still often combined with the slaughtering of potential breeding pigs. In order to keep potential breeding pigs alive, it is necessary to use

non-destructive and, preferably, noninvasive performance test methods. One of the most important traits in pig production is the lean meat percentage (LMP), because the payment of slaughtered pigs is based on a carcass classification like the (S)EUROP system in the European Union (EU). Pigs with the greatest lean meat percentage usually receive the highest price per kg net weight.

Therefore, various scientists and engineers started to develop techniques, which could help to measure or estimate the lean meat percentage without having the pigs sacrificed (CT: [3], MRI: [4], DXA: [5], US: [6], VIA: [7–9]).

These techniques are mainly based on the utilization of physical principles used for clinical diagnostics in human or animal medicine (e.g., X-ray based techniques), as are computed tomography and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, or netmagnetization-based techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging/spectroscopy, including quantitative magnetic resonance, and the velocity of sound-based technologies, as are amplitude (A mode)- or brightness (B mode)-derived methods. A further technique is the light photon-based technology, as could be 2D or 3D video imaging [10, 11].

In recent years, several publications reviewed (noninvasive) methods for determining the body composition of livestock, especially pigs [12–15]. A comprehensive review of the methods applied or being tested for carcass grading and meat quality determination in livestock has been published recently by Delgado-Pando et al. [16]. Therefore, this review will mainly focus on the latest developments of noninvasive methods to study body composition, health, growth, and efficiency of pigs *in vivo*.

2. Computed tomography (CT)

A couple of worldwide operating breeding companies (Topigs-Norsvin and Choice—the swine division of Groupe Grimaud partly owned by Han Swine Food Group Co., Ltd) use or develop CT applications for routine performance testing of potential breeding boars [17–20], while the Danish Meat Industry is developing a CT for online carcass classification [21]. Other groups use CT mainly for research purposes on pigs [13, 22–25] and other livestock species [12].



Figure 1. *Computed tomography (left: CT scanner, right: principle of CT).*

CT makes use of tissue differences in the attenuation of X-ray photons when passing through the body of the pigs (**Figure 1**). Bone is the tissue with the greatest X-ray attenuation coefficient, while fat or adipose tissue attenuates the smallest amount of X-ray photons. The X-ray attenuation is measured in Hounsfield units (HU) with water having—by definition—a HU of 0 and air of -1000 [26]. The full formula for the calculation of the Hounsfield units is as follows:

$$HU = 1000 \times (\mu_x - \mu \text{ water}) / (\mu \text{ water} - \mu \text{ air})$$
(1)

where μ_x is the linear mass attenuation coefficient of the specific element, tissue, or component of interest. Usually μ air is set to zero leading to a simplified equation:

$$HU = 1000 \times (\mu_{x} - \mu \text{ water}) / \mu \text{ water}$$
(2)

though Zheng et al. [27] suggested using body size and body depth, as well as tube-voltage dependent correction factors for the calculation of the "correct" HU in order to account for Rayleigh scattering of water.

Meanwhile, various groups try to automate [20, 28] or have automated [29–31] the segmentation of CT images into the most important tissues for pork production, as are lean (muscle tissue), fat (adipose tissue), and bone (bone mineral and bone marrow) by excluding the gut and lung volumes in order to provide a virtual dissection and "*in vivo* diagnostics" resulting in a vast number of "old" and "new" phenotypes. Artificial intelligence-based techniques are further options to automate the segmentation process—not only for CT images [32, 33]. New CT phenotypes are, for example, shoulder (scapula) or joint lesion scores related to locomotion and leg health of potential breeding pigs [34, 35]. Likewise, van Son et al. [36] found a significant association between the number of vertebrae (counted with the help of CT) and the number of teats in two pig breeds. Both in Duroc and Landrace, the difference in the number of teats is partly due to genetic variation in a region on SSC7. Landrace showed in the average a number of 29.78 (±0.53) vertebrae, combined with an average number of teats of 15.84 (±1.03), while Duroc had in the average only 28.72 (±0.6) vertebrae and 12.93 (±1.05) teats.

Generally, it is still an issue to overcome the uncertainty of the LMP estimates for carcass or *in vivo* classification results for payment or ranking of breeding animals, respectively [21]. The authors [21] focus on issues based on differences between scanners (manufacturer, type, multiple or single slice system) and the measuring protocol itself. The development of effective and reliable reference materials (phantoms) might provide parts of the puzzle for the solution. The final objective is a system that could replace the "butcher's knife" (dissection) as (International System of Units = SI) reference. Therefore, a digital pig anatomical atlas is being developed based on CT data of potential breeding pigs [19, 37, 38].

3. Magnetic resonance imaging

To date, MRI in pigs is mainly being used for research purposes—and not for classical performance testing [39–44]. A few studies exist, however, where MRI (+DXA) helped to verify or to develop new performance test equations related to fattening of intact boars [45] or to test relationships between male phenotypes and carcass and meat quality [46, 47], as was also done by using CT [48]. Testes volume (in combination with belly fat [46]) is positively related to the androstenone (and skatole) content but cannot be used as single indicator for a higher or lower risk of boar taint in intact boars.

MRI is based on the resonance characteristics of nuclei with an individual spin, which depends—with one exception (²H = Deuterium)—on an uneven



Figure 2.

Principle of magnetic resonance imaging: The body part of interest is positioned in the center of the magnetic field, while a body (gradient) coil helps to receive the 3D information (voltage reading) about the spin-spin (T2) or spin-lattice (T1) interaction of spinning ¹H nuclei resulting in voxels (volume elements) with different signal intensities which are being made visible as gray values, as shown in **Figures 3** and **4**.

number of protons and neutrons for the atoms of interest. The most common nucleus for MRI applications is ¹H (hydrogen isotope 1 = proton) because it is the most common nucleus in living objects on earth. The specific radio frequency necessary for nuclear magnetic resonance studies targeting one or several nuclei



Figure 3.

MRI loin region of a Pietrain sow (left: localizer image with slice positions in blue; right: single slices within the loin region; bright voxels originate from adipose tissue, gray pixels originate from lean tissues as are muscle or inner organs, black voxels usually originate from air).



Figure 4. *MRI loin region of a Large Black boar.*



Figure 5.

Example for the volume determination of the visceral fat in pigs (top: singles slices for the definition of visceral fat = marked in green; bottom: visceral fat as 3D structure as a result of the 3D semi-automatic segmentation by using the FDA-approved 3D Doctor software) (Able Software Inc., Lexington, USA); (data source: [39]).

(e.g., ¹H, ¹³C, or ³¹P) is called Larmor frequency and depends on the magnetic field strength (**Figure 2**). Several types of magnets are available for human and animal studies or diagnostic purposes. Low-field to very high-field magnets with field strength between 0.2 and 7 Tesla are being used for human and animal studies *in vivo*.

Figures 3 and **4** demonstrate the obvious differences in the body composition of a "modern" pig breed (e.g., Pietrain) and a classical autochthonous pig breed (e.g., Large Black). The subcutaneous fat layer is almost "invisible" (very thin) in Pietrain, while Large Black deposits significant amounts of subcutaneous and visceral fat. Even the outer shapes of the bodies of both breeds differ widely, which can be used for 3D (2D) video imaging [8, 9, 16]. Large Black shows a more circular body shape, while Pietrain looks more quadratic or rectangular with a slight ridge at the middle line of the back (spine), which is related to the significant difference when comparing the volume and the shape of the longissimus muscle (measured as loin eye area in cm² or loin eye volume in cm³).

Weigand et al. [39] used MRI as reference method (**Figure 5**) for the determination of the amount or volume of the visceral adipose tissue (VAT) in comparison with the results of a special software mode (CoreScan[™], GE Healthtech) for the determination of VAT by using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (see DXA for further details).

Further useful applications of MRI include the determination of *in vivo* tissue aberrations (density and volume changes) after the administration of different vaccines or hormone analogs in pigs [40, 49, 50]. The administration of a GnRF analog can lead to massive tissue changes and ultimately tissue necrosis at the administration site [40, 50], negatively affecting muscle function and local meat quality.

4. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry

A further technology is the dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA—**Figure 6**). The use of DXA has been intensified in association with swine growth and efficiency studies [51, 52] or related to carcass composition [53, 54]. Weigand et al. [39] verified





the use of the CoreScan[™] mode of GE Lunar iDXA machines for the determination of the visceral fat volume (or mass), in combination with reference measurements by using magnetic resonance imaging (see MRI). They [39] found a close relationship between reference MRI and DXA volume measurements for VAT ($R^2 = 0.76$; RMSE = 399 cm³), though there was a significant bias. DXA CoreScanTM (mode "thick") overestimated VAT in comparison with MRI by approximately 35% (1687 ± 805 cm³ vs. $1108 \pm 284 \text{ cm}^3$), while castrated males tend to accumulate more visceral adipose tissue than females (MRI 1188.83 \pm 47.48 cm³ vs. 1016.65 \pm 49.08 cm³), and the first multiple (4-way) crossbred generation (F1) deposits more adipose tissue than the multiple F2 crossbred generation (MRI: 1196.40 \pm 56.44 vs. 1009.08 \pm 53.86 cm³). The difference (bias) in both DXA software modes ("thick" and "standard") rises with a slope of 1.5 (1.498) cm³ per cubic centimeter more VAT, measured by MRI. Keeping these discrepancies in mind, DXA can be used in vivo (or post-mortem) to measure the volume of the visceral fat in addition to the standard records, as are bone mineral density (g/cm^2) , bone mineral content (g), fat (g), and soft lean tissue (g) for the whole body or defined regions of interest.

Kasper et al. [51] verified the accuracy of the *i*DXA technology in a comprehensive study by scanning and chemically analyzing a total of 68 intact male pigs in a body weight range between 20 and 100 kg. The authors [51] conclude "that the creation of generic regression equations that yield reliable estimates of body composition in pigs of different growth stages, sexes and genetic breeds could be achievable in the near future. DXA may be a promising tool for high-throughput phenotyping for genetic studies, because it efficiently measures body composition in a large number and wide array of animals." This was already shown, for example, in a study by Rothammer et al. [55], where a genome-wide QTL mapping for regional DXA body composition and bone mineral density traits in 551 pigs led to the findings that high genome-wide Pearson correlations exist between mapping results that are based on DXA scans with "whole-body standard setting" and mapping results for DXA data that were obtained by time-consuming manual definition of the regions of interest. Totally, a number of 117 QTL could be identified for whole body or regional DXA traits characterizing the variability in live weight, body fat, lean, bone mineral content, or bone mineral density. The number of QTL significantly detected for bone mineral density of the whole body (WB), pelvic (P), or abdominal region (A) on porcine chromosomes 6, 9, 12, and 13 with LRT values >31.275 (genome-wide p < 0.001), however, was surprisingly low (n = 4). Only chromosome 12 showed a wider genome region with 7 peaks surpassing the LRT threshold. Therefore, future studies should rather focus on the DXA analysis of single bones instead of a whole body or regional analysis in terms of bone mineral density. Generally, DXA is very well suited for whole body or regional body composition studies. The realized heritability estimates based on age-corrected parent-offspring regression data of the study of Rothammer et al. [55] yielded values of $h^2 = 0.48 (\pm 0.20)$ for DXA lean tissue percentage, and of $h^2 = 0.54 (\pm$ 0.13) for DXA BMD (Figure 7).

Bernau et al. [56] used DXA to study male sex differences in bone mineral density related to leg health and stability. Unexpectedly, at 90 kg body weight, entire boars showed the significantly (p < 0.05) lowest bone mineral density ($1.069 \pm 0.004 \text{ g/cm}^2$) in comparison with surgically castrated ($1.123 \pm 0.004 \text{ g/cm}^2$) and immunological castrated boars ($1.103 \pm 0.004 \text{ g/cm}^2$). Boars, however, were the most efficient male pigs during fattening between 60 and 90 kg body weight, in terms of lean tissue food conversion with 4.06 (± 0.23) kg feed per kg lean meat deposition in comparison with 4.8 (± 0.22) kg/kg for surgically castrated or 4.88 (± 0.22) for immunological castrated boars [46].



Figure 7.

Realized heritability for DXA BMD \rightarrow bone mineral density (unpublished data from [55]) with MPV = mid parent value.

5. Ultrasound

The differences in the velocity of sound traveling through different body tissues are the basis of ultrasound performance testing of gilts and boars in pig breeding [57, 58] or of fattening pigs [59]. Very often, simple A-mode or B-mode ultrasound devices are being used to measure subcutaneous fat and muscle depths



Figure 8.

Results of linear measurements on an ultrasound (B-mode) image at the longissimus muscle (between 13th/14th vertebrae) of a German Landrace gilt.



Figure 9. Fully automatic ultrasound AutoFom III™ device from Carometec A/S.

at standardized body positions of the potential breeding pig (**Figure 8**). Simple regression equations provide, finally, an estimate for the lean meat percentage of the individual pig, while Maignel et al. [60] validated an ultrasound imaging procedure (BioSoft Toolbox® II for Swine 2.5) [61] to determine the intramuscular fat within the longissimus muscle of pigs. Ultrasound measurements serve additionally for carcass grading either using, for example, the AUTOFOM III technology [62] by combining a number of 16 2-MHZ ultrasound transducers (**Figure 9**) or the BioQscan® pork carcass grading system (Biotronics, Inc., Ames, Iowa, USA). The lean meat percentage (or intramuscular fat) originating from ultrasound (carcass grading) can be used as important offspring information for boar or sire line selection in (cross-)breeding programs [63].

In addition to ultrasound, other research groups undertake attempts to measure pork quality parameters noninvasively by using, for example, CT [22, 64].

6. Computer vision systems

While video image analysis (VIA) has been successfully used as a carcass grading tool, in particular, in cattle [16, 65], a roughly equal predictive performance could not be achieved for the evaluation of body composition in live animals. The main drawback is that computer vision systems (CVS) like VIA (**Figure 10**) do not provide images of the animal's inside as is possible with MRI, CT, DXA, and US. First efforts by Doeschl-Wilson et al. [66] to estimate the body composition of live pigs led to comparatively low estimation accuracies. Recently, Fernandes et al. [9] applied deep learning methods, which do not require image processing steps. Compared with previous studies, the authors present an improvement of accuracy with R² for lean muscle and fat depth of 0.50 and 0.45, respectively. But the authors concluded that these coefficients of determination are still too low and that further improvement of the prediction accuracy of lean muscle and fat is required.



Figure 10.

Principle of Video Image Analysis (or Computer Vision Systems); The boar is a cross between Pietrain \mathcal{J} and Black Iberian \mathcal{G} (LAMPIÑO variety).

In a recent literature review, Fernandes et al. [8] pointed to several studies that focused on non-contact weight determination of live pigs by means of CVS. In living pigs, VIA has been applied to describe growth in terms of size and shape by Doeschl-Wilson et al. [66] or to describe the pig locomotion by Kongsro [67]. Parsons et al. [68] have demonstrated that data from VIA can be used to model growth curves of pigs and that weight gain can be controlled by an integrated management system. Fernandez et al. [69] presented an autonomous framework for real-time video segmentation and extraction of image features to predict body weight of pigs in commercial farms. Moreover, Zhang et al. [10] proposed a method to estimate weight and body size of pigs using a multiple output regression convolutional neural network (CNN). In combination with the LabVIEW software, weight and body size of pigs can be automatically and quickly determined with a high accuracy.

7. In vivo assessment of pig's growth

Methods for studying the growth of pigs are mainly based upon measurements quantifying the distribution of main body tissues (muscle, adipose, bone) at different moments in time or throughout the range of body weights. The data can be collected using techniques that are destructive or non-destructive to the animal.

Destructive methods like total dissection of the pig carcass are labor and time consuming, and often yield inaccurate estimates of the true component growth rates. Additionally, slaughtering of pigs involved in the investigation prevents them from being used for further growth analysis, so they need to be replaced with additional pigs that would have to be identical with the slaughtered ones, which is not really possible [44]. Therefore, the procedures that can be applied to the living animal are preferable not only for growth studies. Various noninvasive imaging techniques such as ultrasound, video imaging, computer tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) have been proved as useful tools to estimate the body composition of pigs, as shown previously. At present, the bulk of growth studies with pigs is carried out by the use of CT, MRI, and DXA [13, 14, 16, 45, 46, 56].

Various approaches in the studies of body composition based on repeated crosssectional MR images can be found in literature [70–72]. Kusec et al. [73] investigated influence of MHS genotype and feeding regime by the examination of live



(a) Live weight growth curves of two MHS-genotypes (NN and Nn) of pigs kept on intensive and restrictive feeding regime; (b) Muscle growth curves of two MHS-genotypes (NN and Nn) of pigs kept on intensive and restrictive feeding regime.

weight and muscle growth patterns determined by four consecutive MRI measurements at a 4-week interval on 68 hybrid barrows, as shown in **Figure 11**.

Authors found that the intensive feeding regime did not improve muscle growth of hybrid pigs and that the more cost-effective restrictive feeding can be considered as more appropriate in pig fattening. It was also found that the usage of MHS-gene carriers is not justified in the attempt to enhance the growth performances of fattening pigs. By applying MRI, growth patterns of the major tissues (muscle and fat) could be investigated without time-consuming and expensive stepwise slaughter of full sibs. Moreover, when MRI measurements of muscle traits, combined with the live weight, were analyzed by generalized logistic S-function, optimal slaughter time/weight for pigs could be estimated in terms of maximum muscle growth potential [69].

Similarly, based on CT image analysis, Font-i-Furnols et al. [23] were able to estimate the effects of different feeding strategies on the body composition and carcass quality parameters of gilts during growth on the same animals throughout the trial. The results showed clear differences in the growth rate and fat composition between different feeding strategies during growth of the pigs. CT has proven to be useful even when the chemical composition of live pigs is needed for growth studies [24].

Depending on the study purpose, chemical composition like protein, moisture, fat, or ash content is essential parameters for pig growth models. Although at the beginning, CT scanning was mainly used for estimating the body composition of live pigs, with recent advancements in technology and chemometrics, the accuracy of prediction for chemical components of the carcass has significantly improved [16]. In that respect, Zomeño et al. [24] evaluated the growth of four sex types of pigs. Similarities between the prediction parameters in vivo and the chemical carcass composition indicated the versatility of CT and the possibility of estimating the chemical composition in live animals using this technology. In a study by Font-i-Furnols et al. [23], the feeding restriction treatments, however, did not show significant effects on the chemical composition of the carcass (ash, moisture, and protein) at the final weight. But, the use of CT technology enabled the authors to examine the influence of these feeding treatments during growth, which turned out to be significant. Similar investigations can also be carried out by using DXA. Gonzalo et al. [74] studied concomitant P and Ca depletion and repletion feeding sequences on pig growth performance and body mineral composition. Though the outcome of their approach did not lead to clear results related to growth performance, the bone mineral content (BMC) of the vertebrae was more affected than that of other skeletal bones by

depletion – repletion probably due to its higher proportion of metabolically active trabecular bone. Generally, a "P–Ca depletion reduces DXA bone mineral mass and deposition but might increase the dietary digestible P efficiency. A subsequent P–Ca repletion might also increase the digestible P efficiency which might recover the bone mineral content at the end of the growth period as a result of a physiological readjustment to cope with P and Ca deficiency."

8. Conclusions

Noninvasive techniques such as computed tomography, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, nuclear magnetic resonance imaging or spectroscopy, ultrasoundbased measurements, and video imaging become more and more common in farm animal breeding and, more generally, in farm animal science, especially for body composition, growth, efficiency, and more basic animal welfare-related studies. The number and characteristics of phenotypes (traits), which can be determined or actually measured, is increasing rapidly, because the technology itself undergoes a tremendous progress in terms of measurement ease and accuracy as well as speed of data processing and quality of output presentation. Simple ultrasound A-mode or B-mode techniques, however, are still the methods used most frequently for performance testing in animal (pig) breeding. A few progressive, worldwide acting breeding companies use alternatively—the most precise—computed tomography for phenotyping of performance- and welfare-related traits. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and nuclear magnetic resonance techniques, however, are mainly being used for research purposes in pigs. This is also the case for video imaging. In a few cases, video imaging is being installed in fattening units to select pigs based on their 3D body shape, which is positively correlated with body weight but not with the body composition.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.



IntechOpen

Author details

Armin M. Scholz^{1*}, Goran Kusec², Alva D. Mitchell³ and Ulrich Baulain⁴

1 Veterinary Faculty, Livestock Center Oberschleissheim, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Oberschleissheim, Germany

2 Faculty of Agrobiotechnical Sciences Osijek, Department for Animal Production and Biotechnology, Croatia

3 ARS-USDA, Beltsville, MD, USA

4 Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute, Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Institute of Farm Animal Genetics, Neustadt-Mariensee, Germany

*Address all correspondence to: armin.scholz@lvg.vetmed.uni-muenchen.de

IntechOpen

© 2021 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

[1] FAO OECD. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook. 2020-2029. Paris: FAO, Rome/ OECD Publishing; 2020. DOI: 10.1787/ 1112c23b-en

[2] EC. EU Agricultural Outlook for Markets, Income and Environment, 2020-2030. Brussels: European Commission, DG Agriculture and Rural Development; 2020. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/default/ files/food-farming-fisheries/farming/ documents/agricultural-outlook-2020report_en.pdf [Accessed: July 12, 2021]

[3] Skjervold H, Grønseth K, Vangen O, Evensen A. In vivo estimation of body composition by computerized tomography. Zeitschrift für Tierzüchtung und Züchtungsbiologie. 1981;**98**:77-79

[4] Groeneveld E, Kallweit E,
Henning M, Pfau A. Evaluation of body composition of live animals by X-ray and NMR computed tomography.
London and New York: Elsevier Applied Science Publishers; November 30
December 1 1983. Bristol UK; 1984.
pp. 84-89

[5] Mitchell AD, Conway JM, Scholz AM. Incremental changes in total and regional body composition of growing pigs measured by dualenergy-x-ray absorptiometry. Growth Development and Aging. 1996;**60**: 113-123

[6] Kliesch J, Neuhaus U, Silber E, Kostzewske H. Versuche zur Messung der Speckdicke am lebenden Tier mit Hilfe des Ultraschalls. Zeitschrift für Tierzüchtung und Züchtungsbiologie. 1957;**70**:29-32

[7] Newman PB. The use of video image analysis for quantitative measurement of visible fat and lean in meat: Part
1—boneless fresh and cured meats.
Meat Science. 1984;10(2):87-100.
DOI: 10.1016/0309-1740(84)90062-7 [8] Fernandes AFA, Dórea JRR, Rosa GJM. Image analysis and computer vision applications in animal sciences: An overview. Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2020;7:551269. DOI: 10.3389/ fvets.2020.551269

[9] Fernandes AFA, Dórea JRR, Valente BD, Fitzgerald R, Herring W, Rosa GJM. Comparison of data analytics strategies in computer vision systems to predict pig body composition traits from 3D images. Journal of Animal Science. 2020;**98**(8):skaa250. DOI: 10.1093/jas/skaa250

[10] Zhang J, Zhuang Y, Ji H, Teng G. Pig weight and body size estimation using a multiple output regression convolutional neural network: A fast and fully automatic method. Sensors.
2021;21:3218. DOI: 10.3390/s21093218

[11] Teixeira A, Silva SR, Hasse M, Almeida JMH, Dias L. Intramuscular fat prediction using color and image analysis of Bísaro pork breed. Foods. 2021;**10**:143. DOI: 10.3390/ foods10010143

[12] Scholz AM, Bünger L, Kongsro J, Baulain U, Mitchell AD. Non-invasive methods for the determination of body and carcass composition in livestock: Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound: invited review. Animal. 2015;9(7):1250-1264. DOI: 10.1017/S1751731115000336

[13] Carabús A, Gispert M, Fonti-Furnols M. Imaging technologies to study the composition of live pigs: A review. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016;**14**(3):e06R01. DOI: 10.5424/sjar/2016143-8439

[14] Kušec G, Scholz AM, Baulain U, Djurkin Kušec I, Bernau M. Noninvasive techniques for exact phenotyping assessment of carcass

composition and tissue growth in domestic animals. Acta agriculturae Slovenica. 2016;5:12-17

[15] Pomar C, Kipper M, Marcoux M. Use of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry in non-ruminant nutrition research. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia. 2017;46(7):
621-629. DOI: 10.1590/s1806-92902017000700010

[16] Delgado-Pando G, Allen P, Troy DJ, McDonnell CK. Objective carcass measurement technologies: Latest developments and future trends. Trends in Food Science & Technology. 2021;**111**:771-782. DOI: 10.1016/j. tifs.2020.12.016

[17] Eggert J. Accelerating Genetic Development and Progress. Combining Technology with Genetic Programs Adds Value to the Pork Production Chain [Internet]. PigChamp Benchmark Magazine, 2017. Available from: https:// www.pigchamp.com/news/benchmarkmagazine/articles/accelerating-geneticdevelopment-and-progress-2017 [Accessed: June 23, 2021]

[18] Olijslagers H. Precision phenotyping. CSR MAGAZINE Topigs Norsvin.
2018/19;2019:6-7. Available from: https:// www.worldfoodinnovations.com/ userfiles/documents/5d9c37a7ba620.pdf Accessed: June 23, 2021

[19] Ho H, Yu HB, Gangsei LE, Kongsro J. A CT-image based pig atlas model and its potential applications in the meat industry. Meat Science. 2019;**148**:1-4. DOI: 10.1016/j. meatsci.2018.09.011

[20] Pan X, Zhu J, Tai W, Fu Y. An automated method to quantify the composition of live pigs based on computed tomography segmentation using deep neural networks. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2021;**183**:105987. DOI: 10.1016/j. compag.2021.105987 [21] Olsen EV, Christensen LB, Nielsen DB. A review of computed tomography and manual dissection for calibration of devices for pig carcass classification - Evaluation of uncertainty. Meat Science. 2017;**123**:35-44. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.08.013

[22] Font-i-Furnols M, Brun A, Gispert M. Intramuscular fat content in different muscles, locations, weights and genotype-sexes and its prediction in live pigs with computed tomography. Animal. 2019;**13**(3):666-674. DOI: 10.1017/S1751731118002021

[23] Font-i-Furnols M, Luo X, Brun A, Lizardo R, Esteve-Garcia E, Solera J, et al. Computed tomography evaluation of gilt growth performance and carcass quality under feeding restrictions and compensatory growth effects on the sensory quality of pork. Livestock Science. 2020;**237**:104023. DOI: 10.1016/ j.livsci.2020.104023

[24] Zomeño C, Gispert M, Carabús A, Brun A, Font-i-Furnols M. Predicting the carcass chemical composition and describing its growth in live pigs of different sexes using computed tomography. Animal. 2016;**10**(1):172-181. DOI: 10.1017/S1751731115001780

[25] Lipiski M, Eberhard M, Fleischmann T, Halvachizadeh S, Kolb B, Maisano F, et al. Computed tomography-based evaluation of porcine cardiac dimensions to assist in pre-study planning and optimized model selection for pre-clinical research. Scientific Reports. 2020;**10**:6020. DOI: 10.1038/ s41598-020-63044-1

[26] Garnett R. A comprehensive review of dual-energy and multi-spectral computed tomography. Clinical Imaging. 2020;**67**:160-169. DOI: 10.1016/j. clinimag.2020.07.030

[27] Zheng X, Al-Hayek Y, Cummins C, Li X, Nardi L, Albari K, et al. Body size and tube voltage dependent corrections for Hounsfield Unit in medical X-ray computed tomography: Theory and experiments. Scientific Reports. 2020;**10**:15696. DOI: 10.1038/ s41598-020-72707-y

[28] Xiberta P, Boada I, Bardera A, Font i Furnols M. A semi-automatic and an automatic segmentation algorithm to remove the internal organs from live pig CT images. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2017;**140**:290-302. DOI: 10.1016/j.compag.2017.06.003

[29] Glasbey CA, Robinson CD,
Young M. Segmentation of X-ray CT
images using stochastic templates. In:
Proceedings 10th International
Conference on Image Analysis and
Processing; 27-29 September 1999.
Venice, Italy: IEEE; 1999. pp. 746-751

[30] Gangsei LE, Kongsro J. Automatic segmentation of Computed Tomography (CT) images of domestic pig skeleton using a 3D expansion of Dijkstra's algorithm. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2016;**121**:191-194. DOI: 10.1016/j.compag.2015.12.002

[31] Kvam J, Gangsei LE, Kongsro J, Schistad Solberg AH. The use of deep learning to automate the segmentation of the skeleton from CT volumes of pigs. Translational Animal Science. 2018;**2**(3): 324-335. DOI: 10.1093/tas/txy060

[32] Gampala S, Vankeshwaram V, Gadula SP. Is artificial intelligence the new friend for radiologists? A review article. Cureus. 2020;**12**(10):e11137. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.11137

[33] Borrelli P, Kaboteh R, Enqvist O, Ulén J, Trägårdh E, Kjölhede H, et al. Artificial intelligence-aided CT segmentation for body composition analysis: A validation study. European Radiology Experimental. 2021;5:11. DOI: 10.1186/s41747-021-00210-8

[34] Olstad K, Wormstrand B, Kongsro J, Grindflek E. Computed tomographic development of physeal osteochondrosis in pigs. BMC Veterinary Research. 2019; **15**:454. DOI: 10.1186/s12917-019-2163-7

[35] Nordbø Ø. Modelling the shape of the pig scapula. Genetics Selection Evolution. 2020;**52**:36. DOI: 10.1186/ s12711-020-00555-5

[36] van Son M, Lopes MS, Martell HJ, Derks MFL, Gangsei LE, Kongsro J, et al. A QTL for number of teats shows breed specific effects on number of vertebrae in pigs: Bridging the gap between molecular and quantitative genetics. Frontiers in Genetics. 2019;**10**:272. DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00272

[37] Gangsei LE, Kongsro J, Olstad K, Grindflek E, Sæbø S. Building an in vivo anatomical atlas to close the phenomic gap in animal breeding. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2016;**127**: 739-743. DOI: 10.1016/j.compag. 2016.08.003

[38] Kongsro J, Gangsei LE, Karlsson-Drangsholt TM, Grindflek E. Genetic parameters of in vivo primal cuts and body composition (PigAtlas) in pigs measured by computed tomography (CT). Translational Animal Science. 2017;1(4):599-606. DOI: 10.2527/ tas2017.0072

[39] Weigand A, Schweizer H, Knob DA, Scholz AM. Phenotyping of the visceral adipose tissue using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in pigs. Animals. 2021;**10**(1165):1-25. DOI: 10.3390/ani10071165

[40] Bernau M, Schwanitz S, Kreuzer LS, Scholz AM. Detection of local tissue reactions after anti-GnRF injection in male pigs assessed using magnetic resonance imaging. Animals. 2021;**11**(968):1-8. DOI: 10.3390/ ani11040968

[41] Hinrichs A, Kessler B, Kurome M, Blutke A, Kemter E, Bernau M, et al.

Growth hormone receptor-deficient pigs resemble the pathophysiology of human Laron syndrome and reveal altered activation of signaling cascades in the liver. Molecular Metabolism. 2018;**11**:113-128. DOI: 10.1016/j. molmet.2018.03.006

[42] Renner S, Blutke A, Dobenecker B, Dhom G, Müller TD, Finan B, et al. Metabolic syndrome and extensive adipose tissue inflammation in morbidly obese Göttingen minipigs. Molecular Metabolism. 2018;**16**:180-190. DOI: 10.1016/j.molmet.2018.06.015

[43] Fil JE, Joung S, Zimmerman BJ, Sutton BP, Dilger RN. High-resolution magnetic resonance imaging-based atlases for the young and adolescent domesticated pig (*Sus scrofa*). Journal of Neuroscience Methods. 2021;**354**: 109107. DOI: 10.1016/j.jneumeth. 2021.109107

[44] Elabyad IA, Terekhov M, Lohr D, Stefanescu MR, Baltes S, Schreiber M. A novel mono-surface antisymmetric 8Tx/16Rx coil array for parallel transmit cardiac MRI in pigs at 7T. Scientific Reports. 2020;**10**(1):3117. DOI: 10.1038/ s41598-020-59949-6

[45] Bernau M, Kremer PV, Lauterbach E, Tholen E, Petersen B, Pappenberger E, et al. Evaluation of carcass composition of intact boars using linear measurements from performance testing, dissection, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Meat Science. 2015;**104**:58-66. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.01.011

[46] Bernau M, Schwanitz S, Kremer-Rücker PV, Kreuzer LS, Scholz AM. Size matters: Boar taint in relationship with body composition and testis volume measured by magnetic resonance imaging. Livestock Science. 2018;**213**:7-13. DOI: 10.1016/j. livsci.2018.04.008 [47] Schwanitz S, Bernau M, Kreuzer LS, Kremer-Rücker PV, Scholz AM. Körperzusammensetzung und Ebergeruch bei intakten Ebern, immunologisch und chirurgisch kastrierten Schweinen. Züchtungskunde. 2017;**89**(6):413-433

[48] Font-i-Furnols M, Carabús A, Muñoz I, Čandek-Potokar M, Gispert M. Evolution of testes characteristics in entire and immunocastrated male pigs from 30 to 120 kg live weight as assessed by computed tomography with perspective on boar taint. Meat Science. 2016;**116**:8-15. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci. 2016.01.008

[49] Bernau M, Kremer PV, Pappenberger E, Kreuzer LS, Cussler K, Hoffmann A, et al. Safety testing of veterinary vaccines using magnetic resonance imaging in pigs. ALTEX. 2015;**32**(1):51-58. DOI: 10.14573/ altex.1407071

[50] Bernau M, Kremer PV, Kreuzer LS, Emrich D, Pappenberger E, Cussler K, et al. Assessment of local reaction to vaccines in live piglets with magnetic resonance imaging compared to histopathology. ALTEX. 2016;**33**(1): 29-36. DOI: 10.14573/altex.1507211

[51] Kasper C, Schlegel P, Ruiz-Ascacibar I, Stoll P, Bee G. Accuracy of predicting chemical body composition of growing pigs using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Animal. 2021;**15**(8):100307. DOI: 10.1016/j.animal.2021.100307

[52] Bee G, Ampuero Kragten S, Früh B, Girard M. Impact of 100% organic diets on pig performance, carcass composition and carcass nutrient deposition efficiency. Organic Agriculture. SpringerLink. 2021;**11**:421-433. DOI: 10.1007/s13165-021-00348-0

[53] Soladoye O, Campos ÓL, Aalhus J, Gariépy C, Shand P, Juárez M. Accuracy of dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) in assessing carcass composition from different pig populations. Meat Science. 2016;**121**:310-316

[54] Kipper M, Marcoux M, Andretta I, Pomar C. Repeatability and reproducibility of measurements obtained by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry on pig carcasses. Journal of Animal Science. 2018;**96**:2027-2037

[55] Rothammer S, Bernau M, Kremer-Rücker PV, Medugorac I, Scholz AM. Genome-wide QTL mapping results for regional DXA body composition and bone mineral density traits in pigs. Archives Animal Breeding. 2017;**60**:51-59. DOI: 10.5194/ aab-60-51-2017

[56] Bernau M, Schrott J, Schwanitz S, Kreuzer LS, Scholz AM. "Sex" and body region effects on bone mineralization in male pigs. Archives Animal Breeding. 2020;**63**(1):103-111. DOI: 10.5194/ aab-63-103-2020

[57] Szyndler-Nędza M, Eckert R, Blicharski T. Estimation of meat content in the carcasses of young pigs based on performance testing of live animals and carcass evaluation. Annals of Animal Science. 2016;**16**(2):551-564. DOI: aoas-2015-0069

[58] Chen S-Y, Freitas PHF, Oliveira HR, Lázaro SF, Huang YJ, Howard JT, et al. Genotype-by-environment interactions for reproduction, body composition, and growth traits in maternal-line pigs based on single-step genomic reaction norms. Genetics Selection Evolution. 2021;**53**:51. DOI: 10.1186/s12711-021-00645-y

[59] Reckels B, Hölscher R, Schwennen C, Lengling A, Stegemann U, Waldmann K-H, et al. Resource-efficient classification and early predictions of carcass composition in fattening pigs by means of ultrasound examinations. Agriculture. 2020; **10**(6):222. DOI: 10.3390/agriculture 10060222

[60] Maignel L, Daigle JP, Gariépy C, Wilson D, Sullivan B. Prediction of intramuscular fat in live pigs using ultrasound technology and potential use in selection. In: World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production Digital Archive, Massey University, New Zealand and LIC, New Zealand, Leipzig, Germany, Volume Species breeding: Pig breeding - Lecture Sessions, 2010. p. 0668. Available from: http://www.wcgalp.org/system/files/ proceedings/2010/predictionintramuscular-fat-live-pigs-usingultrasound-technology-and-potentialuse-selection.pdf Accessed: July 13, 2021

[61] BioSoft Toolbox® II for Swine 2.5. Biotronics, Inc., 1609 Golden Aspen Drive, Suite 105, Ames, IA 50010-8011, USA. Available from: http://www. biotronics-inc.com/BioSoft%20 Toobox%20II%20Brochure.pdf [Accessed: August 23, 2021]

[62] Choi JS, Kwon KM, Lee YK, Joeng JU, Lee KO, Jin SK, et al. Application of AutoFom III equipment for prediction of primal and commercial cut weight of Korean pig carcasses. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2018;**31**(10):1670-1676. DOI: 10.5713/ajas.18.0240

[63] Elbert K, Matthews N, Wassmuth R, Tetens J. Effects of sire line, birth weight and sex on growth performance and carcass traits of crossbred pigs under standardized environmental conditions. Archives Animal Breeding. 2020;**63**:367-376. DOI: 10.5194/aab-63-367-2020

[64] Font-I-Furnols M, García-Gudiño J, Izquierdo M, Brun A, Gispert M, Blanco-Penedo I, et al. Non-destructive evaluation of carcass and ham traits and meat quality assessment applied to early and late immunocastrated Iberian pigs. Animal. Elsevier. 2021;**15**(100189):8. DOI: 10.1016/j.animal.2021.100189

[65] Craigie CR, Navajas EA, Purchas RW, Maltin CA, Buenger L, Hoskin SO, et al. A review of the development and use of video image analysis (VIA) for beef carcass evaluation as an alternative to the current EUROP system and other subjective systems. Meat Science. 2012;**92**:308-318

[66] Doeschl-Wilson AB, Green DM, Fisher AV, Carroll SM, Schofield CP, Whittemore CT. The relationship between body dimensions of living pigs and their carcass composition. Meat Science. 2005;**70**:229-240

[67] Kongsro J. Development of a computer vision system to monitor pig locomotion. Open Journal of Animal Sciences. 2013;**3**(3):254-260. DOI: 10.4236/ojas.2013.33038

[68] Parsons DJ, Green DM, Schofield CP, Whittemore CT. Real-time control of pig growth through an integrated management system. Biosystems Engineering. 2007;**96**:257-266

[69] Fernandes AFA, Dórea JRR, Fitzgerald R, Herring W, Rosa GJM. A novel automated system to acquire biometric and morphological measurements and predict body weight of pigs via 3D computer vision. Journal of Animal Science. 2019;**97**:496-508. DOI: 10.1093/jas/sky418

[70] Kastelic M, Baulain U, Kallweit E. Early prediction of body composition in living pigs. In: Proceedings 46th Annual Meeting of European Association for Animal Production. Prague, Czech Republic; 1-7 September 1995

[71] Baulain U, Henning M, Kallweit E. Bestimmung der Körperzusammensetzung von Landrasse-Schweinen unterschiedlichen Alters mittels MRI. Archiv für Tierzucht (Archives Animal Breeding). FBN Dummerstorf. 1996;**39**:431-440 [72] Margeta V, Kralik G, Kušec G, Baulain U. Lean and fat development in the whole body and hams of hybrid pigs studied by magnetic resonance tomography. Czech Journal of Animal Science. 2007;**52**:130-137

[73] Kušec G, Kralik G, Đurkin I, Baulain U, Kallweit E. Optimal slaughter weight of pigs assessed by means of the asymmetric S-curve. Czech Journal of Animal Science. 2008;**53**(3):98-105

[74] Gonzalo E, Létourneau-Montminy MP, Narcy A, Bernier JF, Pomar C. Consequences of dietary calcium and phosphorus depletion and repletion feeding sequences on growth performance and body composition of growing pigs. Animal. 2018;**12**(6): 1165-1173

