

School of Medicine Faculty Publications

School of Medicine

2-24-2021

Multimodal Single-Cell/Nucleus RNA Sequencing Data Analysis Uncovers Molecular Networks Between Disease-Associated Microglia and Astrocytes With Implications for Drug Repurposing in Alzheimer's Disease

Jielin Xu
Cleveland Clinic Foundation

Pengyue Zhang Indiana University

Yin Huang
Cleveland Clinic Foundation

Yadi Zhou

Editional and Common a

இசி அரு the Genomics Commons, and the Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience Commons Cleveland Clinic Foundation

Repository Citation

Kue hexhage For Languign Albouth Virstou, Y., Bekris, L. M., Lathia, J., Chiang, C., Li, L., Pieper, A. A., Leverenz, J. B., Cummings, J., Cheng, F. (2021). Multimodal Single-Cell/Nucleus RNA Sequencing Data Analysis Uncovers Molecular Networks Between Disease-Associated Microglia and Astrocytes With Implications for Drug Repurposing in Alzheimer's Disease. Genome Research, 31(10), 1900-1912. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.272484.120

This Article is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Scholarship@UNLV with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this Article in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/or on the work itself.

This Article has been accepted for inclusion in School of Medicine Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Scholarship@UNLV. For more information, please contact digitalscholarship@unlv.edu.

Authors Jielin Xu, Pengyue	zhang, Yin Huang, Yadi Zhou, Yuan Hou, Lynn M. Bekris, Justin Lathia, Chien-Wei
Chiang, Lang Li, Ai	ndrew A. Pieper, James B. Leverenz, Jeffrey Cummings, and Feixiong Cheng

Multimodal single-cell/nucleus RNA-sequencing data analysis uncovers molecular networks between disease-associated microglia and astrocytes with implications for drug repurposing in Alzheimer's disease Jielin Xu^{1,#}, Pengyue Zhang^{2,#}, Yin Huang^{1,#}, Yadi Zhou¹, Yuan Hou¹, Lynn M. Bekris^{1,3}, Justin Lathia^{3,4,5}, Chien-Wei Chiang⁶, Lang Li⁶, Andrew A. Pieper⁷⁻¹², James B. Leverenz¹³, Jeffrey Cummings¹⁴, Feixiong Cheng^{1,3,4,*}

¹Genomic Medicine Institute, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA

²Department of Biostatistics, School of Medicine, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA

³Department of Molecular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA

⁴Case Comprehensive Cancer Center, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio 44106, USA.

⁵Department of Cardiovascular & Metabolic Sciences, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA

⁶Department of Biomedical Informatics, College of Medicine, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210

⁷Harrington Discovery Institute, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

⁸Department of Psychiatry, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

⁹Geriatric Psychiatry, GRECC, Louis Stokes Cleveland VA Medical Center; Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

¹⁰Institute for Transformative Molecular Medicine, School of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland 44106, OH, USA

¹¹Weill Cornell Autism Research Program, Weill Cornell Medicine of Cornell University, NY, NY

10065, USA

¹²Department of Neuroscience, Case Western Reserve University, School of Medicine,

Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

¹³Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health, Neurological Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

44195, USA

¹⁴Chambers-Grundy Center for Transformative Neuroscience, Department of Brain Health,

School of Integrated Health Sciences, University of Nevada Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV 89154,

USA.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to Feixiong Cheng, Ph.D.

Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic

Tel: +1-216-444-7654; Fax: +1-216-636-0009

Email: chengf@ccf.org

Abstract

Because disease-associated microglia (DAM) and disease-associated astrocytes (DAA) are involved in the pathophysiology of Alzheimer's disease (AD), we systematically identified molecular networks between DAM and DAA in order to uncover novel therapeutic targets for AD. Specifically, we develop a network-based methodology that leverages single-cell/nucleus RNAsequencing data from both transgenic mouse models and AD patient brains, as well as drugtarget network, metabolite-enzyme associations, the human protein-protein interactome, and large-scale longitudinal patient data. Through this approach, we find both common and unique gene network regulators between DAM (i.e., PAK1, MAPK14, and CSF1R) and DAA (i.e., NFKB1, FOS, and JUN) that are significantly enriched by neuro-inflammatory pathways and well-known genetic variants (i.e., BIN1). We identify shared immune pathways between DAM and DAA, including Th17 cell differentiation and chemokine signaling. Lastly, integrative metabolite-enzyme network analyses suggest that fatty acids and amino acids may trigger molecular alterations in DAM and DAA. Combining network-based prediction and retrospective case-control observations with 7.2 million individuals, we identify that usage of fluticasone (an approved glucocorticoid receptor agonist) is significantly associated with a reduced incidence of AD (hazard ratio (HR) = 0.86, 95% confidence interval (Cl) 0.83-0.89, p< 1.0×10^{-8}). Propensity score-stratified cohort studies reveal that usage of mometasone (a stronger glucocorticoid receptor agonist) is significantly associated with a decreased risk of AD (HR=0.74, 95% CI 0.68-0.81, p<1.0×10⁻⁸) compared to fluticasone after adjusting age, gender, and disease comorbidities. In summary, we present a network-based, multimodal methodology for singlecell/nucleus genomics-informed drug discovery and has identified fluticasone and mometasone as potential treatments in AD.

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is expected to double in incidence by 2050 (Hebert et al. 2001), affecting upwards of 16 million Americans and 90 million people worldwide (Alzheimer's Association 2016). Without new treatments, this will represent an unprecedented crisis of human suffering and financial cost. The attrition rate for AD clinical trials (2002-2012) is estimated at 99.6% (Cummings et al. 2014), and improved methods of drug discovery are therefore needed. The underlying pathophysiology of AD is especially poorly understood, and appears to involve a complex, polygenic, and pleiotropic genetic architecture (Tasaki et al. 2018). Recent studies strongly implicate a crucial role of neuroinflammation in the pathophysiology of AD (Cao and Zheng 2018). However, broad anti-inflammatory therapies have not been clinically efficacious against AD. We believe this suggests a pressing need to better understand the heterogeneity of immune cells in AD, which could translate to identification of novel drug targets.

Recent single-cell/nucleus RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq or snRNA-seq) studies have suggested essential roles for microglia and astrocytes, such as determining the distribution of immune cell subpopulations in AD (Keren-Shaul et al. 2017; Habib et al. 2020). For example, disease-associated microglia (DAM) have been identified as a unique microglia subtype associated with AD (Keren-Shaul et al. 2017), and disease associated astrocytes (DAA) have been identified as becoming increasingly abundant with progression of AD (Habib et al. 2020). Astrocytic release of cytokines, the primary immune messenger, influence the microglial activation state (e.g., CCL2 and ORM2) and also help microglia modulate astrocytic phenotype and function (e.g., IL1A and TNF) (Jha et al. 2019). A growing body of evidence suggests that both microglia and astrocytes are exquisitely sensitive to their environment and are affected by dysregulation of multiple biochemical pathways, such as abnormal lipid metabolism, in AD pathogenesis (Desale and Chinnathambi 2020). Systematic identification of the underlying

molecular mechanisms linking DAM and DAA and AD could thus advance understanding of the underlying biology and offer potential novel drug targets.

Existing data resources, including transcriptomics and interactomics (protein-protein interactions [PPIs]), have not yet been fully exploited in pursuit of understanding the causal disease pathways in AD (Fang et al. 2020). With this in mind, integrative analyses of genomics, transcriptomics, and other omics can enable us to elucidate the cascade of molecular events contributing to complex neuro-inflammatory mechanisms, including microglia and astrocytes. We show how these analyses can accelerate the translation of high-throughput single-cell/nucleus omics findings into innovative therapeutic approaches for AD centered on the interactions of microglia and astrocytes.

Results

Network-based methodology pipeline

In this study, we presented an integrative multi-omics, network-based methodology to uncover molecular networks of DAM and DAA and to prioritize drug candidates for AD. We integrated sc/snRNA-seq data from both AD transgenic mouse models and AD patient brains, drug-target networks, enzyme-metabolite associations, PPIs, along with large-scale patient database validation (**Fig. 1**). The whole procedure is divided into 4 components: i) We first assembled the 5 recent sc/snRNA-seq datasets (**Supplemental Table S1**) covering both microglia and astrocytes from either AD transgenic mouse models or human brains; ii) We performed standard bioinformatics analysis for sc/snRNA-seq data, including quality control, cell/nucleus clustering, and differential expression analysis; iii) We built the molecular network for DAM and DAA using the state-of-the-art network-based algorithm by integrating sc/snRNA-seq data into the human protein-protein interactome (**Methods**); iv) We prioritized repurposed drugs for potential treatment of AD by identifying those that specifically reverse dysregulated gene

expression of microglia and astrocytes; and (v) We validated top drug candidates using the state-of-the-art pharmacoepidemiologic observations of a large-scale, longitudinal patient data (Fig. 1).

Discovery of DAM-specific molecular networks

We compared expression of cell marker genes (*Cst7*, *Lpl*, *P2ry12* and *Cx3cr1*) for DAM among all cell/nucleus clusters (**Fig. 2A,B**; **Supplemental Fig. S1A,B**). Here, we used homeostasis-associated microglia (HAM) (Ginhoux and Prinz 2015) as control groups. We found a higher abundance of DAM nuclei in 5XFAD mice compared to wild-type (WT) mice (p = 0.048, *t*-test, **Supplemental Table S2**; **Supplemental Fig. S2A**); yet, there was no nucleus abundance difference for HAM between 5XFAD and WT mice (p = 0.786, **Supplemental Fig. S2A**). We observed a similar pattern when considering the scRNA-seq profile, in that the cell abundance percentage of the DAM in 5XFAD mice was much higher than in WT mice (p = 9.11×10⁻¹⁰, **Supplemental Table S3**; **Supplemental Fig. S2B**). Altogether, both scRNA-seq and snRNA-seq profiles show significantly elevated abundance of DAM in 5XFAD compared to WT mice.

We next performed differential expression analyses between DAM and HAM. As expected, 35 AD genes and microglia markers were differentially expressed in DAM compared to HAM in 5XFAD mice, including *Apoe, Trem2, Cst7, Lpl, P2ry12* and *Cx3cr1* (**Supplemental Fig. S1C**) for S3A,B). We next re-constructed molecular networks (**Fig. 2C**; **Supplemental Fig. S1C**) for DAM based on snRNA-seq (termed snDAMnet) and scRNA-seq (termed scDAMnet) datasets, using the GPSnet algorithm (Cheng et al. 2019b). The snDAMnet includes 227 PPIs connecting 72 human gene products (e.g., BIN1, HCK, HSP90AA1, IL6ST, PAK1, PRKCD and SYK, **Supplemental Table S4**). We assembled AD-associated genes from multiple sources, including the GWAS catalog (Buniello et al. 2019) and experimental evidences from animal models and human studies (Piñero et al. 2017). We found that genes in snDAMnet were significantly enriched in AD-association (adjusted p-value [q] = 5.44×10⁻¹¹, Fisher's exact test,

Supplemental Table S4), such as *Adam10*, *Bin1*, *Cd33*, and *Mapk14*. The scDAMnet contains 69 gene products (e.g., *AxI*, *Cst7*, *Lyn*, *Mertk* and *P2ry12*, **Supplemental Table S5**) involving in 97 human PPIs. The scDAMnet is significantly enriched by 27 AD-associated genes (e.g., *Apoe, CcI3, Ctsd, Inpp5d*, and *Marcks*, q = 1.56×10⁻⁸, **Supplemental Table S5**) as well. We found that genes in DAMnets are significantly enriched in immune pathways (**Supplemental Tables S4,S5**), including multiple key immune modulators related to AD (**Fig. 2C**; **Supplemental Fig. S1C**). Lastly, we illustrated snDAMnet and scDAMnet across 3 selected immune pathways: fragment crystallizable (Fc) gamma receptor (R)-mediated phagocytosis, the chemokine signaling pathway, and Th17 cell differentiation (**Supplemental Fig. S3C,D**), as below.

Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis. We identified 15 genes (such as Bin1, Prkcd, Syk, Inpp5d and Hck) in the Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis pathway enriched by either snDAMnet or scDAMnet (Supplemental Tables S4,S5). Bridging integrator 1 (BIN1), a well-established risk gene for AD by the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project, contains a microglia-specific enhancer and promoter encoded by a genome-wide significant AD variant rs6733839 (Medway and Morgan 2014). One possible role for BIN1 in DAM function may be gene regulation as a microglia-specific enhancer and promoter altered by rs6733839 (Corces et al. 2020). Spleen associated tyrosine kinase (SYK) has also already been shown to play a role in AD pathological lesions, and has been proposed as a possible drug target for AD (Schweig et al. 2017). Inositol polyphosphate-5-phosphatase D (INPP5D), identified as one of the genetic risk factors for late-onset AD, also affects AD pathology by regulating microglia (Rosenthal and Kamboh 2014).

Chemokine signaling pathway. Chemokine signaling is enriched in both snDAMnet and scDAMnet, and these two networks contain 13 genes, including *Pak1*, *Ccl3*, *Ccl4*, *Ccr5* and *Lyn* (**Supplemental Tables S4,S5**). P21 (RAC1) activated kinase 1 (*PAK1*) is dysregulated in AD, and targeting the PAK signaling pathway has been proposed as a therapeutic strategy for AD

(Ma et al. 2012). C-C motif chemokine ligand 3 and 4 (*CCL3* and *CCL4*), and C-C motif chemokine receptor 5 (*CCR5*) (Guedes et al. 2018), have been shown to be upregulated in adult human microglia or in mouse microglia exposed to Aβ. A recent study observed elevated activity of LYN proto-oncogene, Src family tyrosine kinase (*LYN*) in AD patients, and inhibiting *LYN* expression prevents Aβ-induced neuronal cell death, suggesting *LYN* as an potential therapeutic target for AD (Gwon et al. 2019).

Th17 cell differentiation. The T helper type 17 (Th17) cells are CD4⁺ T cells that promote a cell-mediated immune response against invading bacteria and fungi. We identified 6 genes (*Ppp3ca*, *Hsp90aa1*, *Mapk14*, *Hif1a*, *Tgfbr2* and *Il6st*) in the Th17 cell differentiation pathway enriched by snDAMnet (**Supplemental Table S4**). With respect to mitogen-activated protein kinase 14 (*MAPK14*), a mouse model study suggested that inhibiting MAPK14 mitigates AD pathology (Alam and Scheper 2016). The transcriptional factor hypoxia inducible factor 1 subunit alpha (*HIF1A*) was involved in a variety of neurodegenerative diseases, including AD (Zhang et al. 2011). Heat shock protein 90 (HSP90), a chaperone protein, regulates tau pathology by forming macromolecular complexes with co-chaperones and inhibiting HSP90-mitigated tau pathology by proteasomal degradation (Campanella et al. 2018).

Discovery of DAA-specific molecular networks

We compared gene expression of 13 DAA cell markers among all nuclei clusters (**Fig. 3A,B**; **Supplemental Fig. S4A**). We found that a normalized nucleus abundance of DAA in 5XFAD mice is higher than that in WT mice (p = 9.79×10⁻³, *t*-test, **Supplemental Table S6**; **Supplemental Fig. S2C**). The mDAAnet (**Fig. 3C**) includes 407 PPIs connecting 116 proteins (**Supplemental Table S7**). The mDAAnet contains 56 AD-associated genes (q = 1.84×10⁻²², Fisher's exact test, **Supplemental Table S7**). A t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) plot of DAA and non-DAA nuclei are presented in **Fig. 4A** (**Supplemental Fig. S5A**). The

hDAAnet contains 16 PPIs connecting 10 proteins (**Fig. 4B**), including 6 AD-associated proteins (JUND, MAP1B, FOS, MFGE8, JUNB and JUN, q =8.69×10⁻⁴, Fisher's exact test, **Supplemental Table S8**).

We further inspected human brain region-specific molecular networks for DAA (**Supplemental Fig. S6**). The uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plots of DAA and non-DAA nuclei are presented for 2 brain regions of AD patients, including entorhinal cortex (EC) and superior frontal gyrus (SFG) (**Figs. 4C,D**). The hDAAECnet contains 43 human PPIs connecting 26 proteins (**Fig. 4E**), including 11 AD-associated proteins (q = 3.77×10⁻⁴, **Supplemental Table S9**). The hDAASFGnet contains 22 PPIs connecting 13 proteins (**Fig. 4F**), including 8 AD-associated proteins (q = 1.22×10⁻⁴). Molecular networks (hDAAECnet and hDAASFGnet) between EC and SFG share 9 proteins: DCLK2, HPSE2, HSP90AA1, HSPA1A, HSPA1B, HSPB1, ID2, JUN and TNC (**Figs. 4E,F**). For two brain regions, there are no apparent differences of nucleus abundance percentage across different Braak stages for both DAA and non-DAA (**Supplemental Tables S10-12** and **Supplemental Figs. S2D-F**).

We next performed functional pathway enrichment analysis and found that genes identified in DAAnets were significantly enriched in multiple immune pathways (**Supplemental Figs. S4B**, **S5B**,**S7A**,**B**). We next turned to investigate gene functions using 2 most significant immune pathways as examples: IL-17 signaling pathway and antigen processing and presentation. We identified 7 genes (*NFKB1*, *CEBPB*, *MAPK1*, *HSP90AA1*, *FOS*, *JUND* and *JUN*) in the IL-17 signaling pathway jointly enriched by all 4 DAA networks from both mouse models and AD patient brains (**Supplemental Tables S7-S9**). Nuclear factor kappa B subunit 1 (*NFKB1*) and NFKB inhibitor alpha (*NFKBIA*) control transcription of cytokines and chemokines in astrocytes and they commonly result in cellular damage or accelerate the production of Aβ in astrocytes (González-Reyes et al. 2017). Fos proto-oncogene, AP-1 transcription factor subunit (*JUN*) are transcriptional factors

mediating functional roles in AD pathobiology (Anderson et al. 1994). There are 3 genes (*HSP90AA1*, *HSPA1A*, and *HSPA1B*) in the antigen processing and presentation pathway enriched in either hDAAECnet or hDAASFGnet (**Supplemental Table S9**). Heat shock protein 90 alpha family class A member 1 (*HSP90AA1*) has been previously linked to AD (Campanella et al. 2018). Both heat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A (*HSPA1A*) (Evgen'ev et al. 2017) and heat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1B (*HSPA1B*) have been shown to regulate oxidative stress in either mouse model or human AD brains (Clarimón et al. 2003), suggesting their crucial roles in AD biology and possible treatment approaches.

Alzheimer's conserved molecular networks between microglia and astrocytes We next compared the network relationship between DAM and DAA under the human interactome model (Methods). We only investigated DAM and DAA in transgenic mouse models as there are lack of well-defined DAM in human AD brains. Using a network proximity measure (Methods), we found a statistically significant network-based relationship between DAM and DAA (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Table S13): 1) scDAMnet and mDAAnet (z-score = -1.9, p=0.029, permutation test) and 2) snDAMnet and mDAAnet (z-score = -4.07, p < 0.001, permutation test). Mechanistically, we found 8 overlapped genes (APOE, CALM2, CD9, CD63, CTSB, CTSD, IQGAP1 and LGALS3BP) and 11 commonly enriched immune pathways between DAM and DAA, such as B cell and T cell receptor signaling and Th17 cell differentiation (Supplemental Tables S4,S5,S7). For example, Cd9 and Lgals3bp are differentially expressed in both DAM and DAA of mouse models (Fig. 5B). Galectin-3 binding protein (LGALS3BP), a secreted glycoprotein, has been reported as a potential marker in aging (Costa et al. 2020). Two immune pathways (Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis and chemokine signaling) are also enriched in both DAMnets and mDAAnet. Except for LGALS3BP and CD9 (Fig. 5B), another 7 proteins (AXL, CKB, CSF1R, FGR, HIF1A, INPP5D and RPLP2) are also shared between scDAMnet and snDAMnet (Fig. 5A). The immune pathway platelet

activation is uniquely enriched in snDAMnet (**Supplemental Table S4**); yet, IL-17 signaling pathway and Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation are exclusively enriched in mDAAnet (**Supplemental Table S7**). In summary, microglia and astrocytes may trigger neuroinflammation in AD by a specific molecular network manner.

Metabolites trigger molecular networks between astrocyte and microglia

AD is a pervasive metabolic disorder associated with altered immune responses (Mahajan et al. 2020). We found that metabolic genes from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa et al. 2017) have a closer network relationship with DAM and DAA networks in the human interactome (**Supplemental Table S13**). We next investigated whether metabolites trigger network perturbation between DAM and DAA under the human protein-protein interactome model. We constructed a network with 373,320 edges (26,990 metabolite-enzyme associations and 346,330 PPIs). We assembled 155 AD-related metabolites supported by experimental evidences (**Supplemental Table S14**) and then re-constructed a subnetwork consisting of 266 AD-related metabolites and enzymes (**Fig. 6A** and **Supplemental Fig. S8A**).

We found 77 enzymes involving in the AD-related metabolites: i) 50 enzymes from DAM; ii) 30 ones from DAA, and iii) 3 enzymes (CTSB, CTSD and APOE) shared between DAM and DAA (**Supplemental Fig. S8B**; **Supplemental Table S14**). *Ctsb*, encoding cathepsin B in catabolism and immune resistance (Wu et al. 2017), has elevated expression (**Fig. 6B,C**) in both DAM (Fold-Change [FC] = 2.48, q = 8.89×10⁻⁸⁴) and DAA (FC = 2.14, q = 3.95×10⁻⁴³) of mouse models. Pathway enrichment analysis revealed that 77 enzymes were enriched in metabolic homeostasis (e.g., glycolysis and gluconeogenesis) and immune signaling pathways (including IL-3 and IL-5, **Supplemental Fig. S8C**).

Using a betweenness centrality measure (**Supplemental Table S14**), we found that fatty acids and amino acids (**Fig. 6A**) were two primary types of metabolites involved in molecular networks between DAM and DAA. For example, *Spp1* (Shan et al. 2012) and *Fos* (Sun et al.

2017), two cellular molecules that promote chronic inflammatory diseases, are significantly over-expressed in both DAM (FC = 5.35, q = 5.51×10⁻⁵⁶, **Fig. 6D**) and DAA (FC = 1.92, q = 1.09×10⁻⁴⁹), compared to HAM and non-DAA, respectively. Elaidic acid shows the largest centrality among all metabolites and is connected with SPP1 and CD44 through involving in fatty acid metabolism, including phospholipase D family member 3 (PLD3) and galactosidase beta 1 (GLB1) (Kim et al. 2010; Hsieh et al. 2017). Co-expression analysis reveals a slight correlation of *Spp1* and *Pld3* in DAM (Spearman's correlation r = 0.48, p = 0.06, *t*-test, **Fig. 6E**). Meanwhile, arachidonic acid and palmitic acid, two long-chain fatty acids that have well-documented effects in inducing inflammatory responses (Freigang et al. 2013), are also involved in both DAA and DAM (**Fig. 6A**). In summary, these findings suggest functional roles of cellular metabolites (including fatty acids and amino acids) in the immune interplay of astrocyte and microglia in AD. Further experimental validations are warranted to verify network-based astrocyte-/microglia-associated metabolism findings.

Network-based discovery of repurposable drugs

We next turned to identify drug candidates by specifically targeting molecular networks of DAM and DAA. As shown in **Fig. 1**, we assembled drug-gene signatures in human cell lines from the Connectivity Map (CMap) database (Lamb et al. 2006). We posited that if a drug significantly reverses dysregulated gene expression of DAM or DAA, this drug may have potential in treating AD. For gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA), we used enrichment score (ES) > 0 and q < 0.05 as a cutoff to prioritize drug candidates. For 1309 drugs from the CMap (Lamb et al. 2006), we obtained 27, 53, 28, 33, and 94 candidate drugs (ES > 0 and q < 0.05) for snDAMnet, scDAMnet, hDAAECnet, hDAASFGnet and hDAAnet, respectively (**Supplemental Table S15**). As shown in **Fig. 7A**, we found that network-predicted drugs parsed into seven pharmacological categories: anti-inflammatory, immunosuppressive, adrenergic beta receptor agonists, adrenergic alpha-antagonists, antihypertensive, antineoplastic and others. Tretinoin, also known

as all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA), a FDA-approved drug for acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) (Warrell et al. 1991), is one of our highest predictions (**Supplemental Table S15**). Treatment with tretinoin reduced microglia and astrocyte activities and enhanced cognitive capabilities (Ding et al. 2008) in a mouse model. Mechanistically, tretinoin directly targets mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 (*MAPK1*), *LYN* and *FGR* in the scDAMnet (**Fig. 7B**). Salbutamol, a selective beta2-adrenergic receptor agonist in treating asthma, is a highly predicted candidate on snDAMnet (**Supplemental Table S15**). *In vitro* studies showed that salbutamol was a direct inhibitor of Tau filament formation (Townsend et al. 2020). As shown in **Fig. 7C**, salbutamol interacts with 3 immune gene products (PRKCD, GRB2 and MAPK14) in snDAMnet, consistent with mechanistic observations in AD (Russo et al. 2002). Altogether, these network-predicted drugs (**Supplemental Table S15**) offer potential candidate compounds to be tested in nonclinical models or clinical trials in the future.

Validating likely causal drug-AD associations in patient data

We next selected drug candidates using subject matter expertise based on a combination of factors: (i) strength of the predicted associations; (ii) novelty of the predicted associations with established mechanisms-of-action; (iii) literature-based evidence in support of prediction; (iv) availability of sufficient patient data for meaningful evaluation (exclusion of infrequently used medications). Applying these criteria resulted in fluticasone, an approved glucocorticoid receptor [NR3C1] agonist for several inflammation-related indications (Lumry 1999). As shown in **Fig. 7A**, we found anti-inflammatory agents are the biggest network-predicted drug class. We thus evaluated fluticasone on AD by analyzing 7.23 million U.S. commercially insured individuals from the MarketScan Medicare supplemental database. We conducted two cohort analyses to evaluate the predicted association using state-of-the-art pharmacoepidemiologic analysis: (i) fluticasone vs. a matched control population (non-fluticasone user), and (ii) fluticasone vs. mometasone (a stronger NR3C1 agonist (Lumry 1999)). For each comparison, we estimated

the un-stratified Kaplan-Meier curves and conducted propensity score-stratified log-rank tests using the Cox regression model.

We found that individuals taking fluticasone were at significantly decreased risk for development of AD (hazard ratio (HR) = 0.86, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.83-0.89, p < 1.0×10⁻⁸, **Fig. 8A,C**). Propensity score-stratified cohort studies confirmed that usage of mometasone (a stronger NR3C1 agonist) are significantly associated with reduced risk of AD compared to fluticasone (HR = 0.74, 95% CI 0.68-0.81, p < 1.0×10⁻⁸, **Fig. 8B,C**). Another independent database, FDA MedWatch Adverse Events Database, revealed that the combination of fluticasone and ibuprofen could be a therapeutic option for AD (Lehrer and Rheinstein 2018). Fluticasone and mometasone are approved steroids to treat asthma and various allergies with anti-inflammatory, antipruritic, and vasoconstrictive properties (Lumry 1999). Previous studies showed crucial roles of NR3C1 in AD (Canet et al. 2018; de Quervain et al. 2004), suggesting possible protective effects of fluticasone and mometasone on AD (**Fig. 8A-C**) via modulating the glucocorticoid signaling.

To further infer the potential mechanisms-of-action of fluticasone and mometasone in AD, we next integrated networks from drug-target interactions, predicted networks of DAM and DAA, and human PPIs. Network analysis shows that fluticasone and mometasone indirectly target glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK3B) and cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (CDK5) via PPIs in molecular networks of DAM and DAA (**Fig. 8D,E**). Lipopolysaccharide-stimulation increased inflammatory responses in microglia by activating phosphorylation of CDK5 (Na et al. 2015). CDK5/p35 signaling plays a crucial role in microglial phagocytosis of amyloid beta-protein (Aβ) (Ma et al. 2013). GSK3B inhibitors reduce microglial migration, inflammation, and inflammation-induced neurotoxicity (Huang and Mucke 2012). Altogether, these observations suggest that fluticasone and mometasone have potentially protective effects on AD by reducing glucocorticoid signaling and CDK5/GSK3B mediated inflammation on microglia or astrocytes

(**Fig. 8**). Further experimental validation on the network-inferred mechanism-of-action is warranted.

Discussion

We acknowledged several potential limitations in the current study. Although two snRNA-seq and scRNA-seq datasets of DAM present consistent expression patterns (**Supplemental Tables S4,S5**), snDAMnet and scDAMnet showed a small overlap of differentially expressed genes. There are several possible explanations. Single-cell and single-nucleus may generate different cell abundances during cell processing. The procedure for preparing single cell suspensions from fresh samples may alter the gene expression profiles of individual cells and change the derived cell type proportions since some cells are more vulnerable to cell dissociation protocols (Lake et al. 2016).

The network proximity analyses show significant network-based relationships between DAM and DAA (**Supplemental Table S13**), including immune pathways enriched by both DAM and DAA. These findings provide insights into intercellular communication between microglia and astrocytes; yet, systematic identification of ligand-receptor interactions connecting cell surface proteins of DAM and DAA may identify previously unrecognized mechanisms regarding intercellular communication between microglia and astrocytes in AD and offer novel drug targets for development of anti-inflammatory treatments. There was less significant association between human and mouse molecular networks (DAM versus DAA) (**Supplemental Table S13**), consistent with different immune responses of AD brains between human and mouse models (Hemonnot et al. 2019). Another study reported distinct gene signatures of DAM between 5XFAD mouse model and human AD brains (Keren-Shaul et al. 2017); furthermore, upregulation of two mouse DAM marker genes (*Lpl* and *Cst7*) cannot be detected in human AD brains (Zhou et al. 2020b). In addition, divergence of mouse and human cortex may influence

network-based findings presented here (Hodge et al. 2019). Development of advanced network-based methodologies to identify conserved cell types and the underlying molecular networks between human and animal models from evolutionary perspectives is needed in the future.

Finally, potential literature biases regarding PPIs, incompleteness of networks, and small sample size of sn/scRNA-seq datasets (**Supplemental Table S1**), may influence our network-based findings as well.

In summary, we presented a network-based methodology that incorporates large-scale snRNA-seq and scRNA-seq data from either mouse models or AD patient brains, human PPIs, enzyme-metabolite associations, and drug target networks, along with large-scale patient-level data observation. We showed that molecular networks derived from DAM and DAA are significantly enriched for various well-known immune pathways and AD-related pathobiological pathways. We demonstrated that the identified molecular networks from DAM and DAA offer potential targets for drug repurposing and we validated two of network-predicted drugs (fluticasone and mometasone) in reducing risk of AD using large-scale, longitudinal patient data. In summary, we believe that the network-based methodology presented here, if broadly applied, would significantly catalyze innovation in AD drug discovery by utilizing the large-scale single-cell/nucleus omics data.

Methods and Materials

Resources of single-cell/nucleus RNA-sequencing data

The complete sc/snRNA-seq datasets used in this study (**Supplemental Table S1**) are available from Gene Expression Omnibus (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) database under accession numbers: GSE98969 (Keren-Shaul et al. 2017), GSE140511 (Zhou et al. 2020b), GSE143758 (Habib et al. 2020), GSE147528 (Leng et al. 2020), and GSE138852 (Grubman et al. 2019). One scRNA-seq dataset (GSE98969) contains C57BL/6 (whole brain, n=16) and

5XFAD (n=16) mice, including 12,288 sequenced cells (Keren-Shaul et al. 2017). Two out of four snRNA-seq datasets were collected from mouse samples as well (GSE140511 and GSE143758). Dataset GSE140511 (Zhou et al. 2020b) contained 4 types transgenic male mouse models, including C57BL/6, 5XFAD, Trem2 knock out C57BL/6 and Trem2 knock out 5XFAD. In this study, we considered the 7-month mouse models which in total sequenced 90,647 nuclei. The second mouse nucleus dataset (GSE143758) contains 2 transgenic mouse models (C57BL/6 and 5XFAD) from both hippocampus and cortex regions (Habib et al. 2020). We utilized in total 55,367 nuclei data from the 7-month hippocampus mouse models: (a) 5XFAD (n=5) and (b) C57BL/6 (n=5) (Habib et al. 2020). A human snRNA-seq dataset (Leng et al. 2020) contains 10 male frozen post-mortem human brain tissues for both superior frontal gyrus (63,608 nuclei) and entorhinal cortex (42,528 nuclei), including astrocytes, excitatory neurons, inhibitory neurons, microglia, oligodendrocytes, oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (OPCs) and endothelial cells (GSE147528) (Leng et al. 2020). A new human snRNA-seg dataset (Grubman et al. 2019) containing 12 frozen post-mortem human brain tissues (n=6 AD case and n=6 healthy controls [GSE138852]) from entorhinal cortex regions was further used, which covers astrocyte, microglia, neuron, oligodendrocyte, OPC, and endothelial cell types. All statistical analyses were conducted in R (R Core Team, 2020) and the details for bioinformatics analysis of each dataset were provided in the **Supplemental Material**.

Building Human Protein-protein interactome

To build the comprehensive human interactome from the most contemporary data available, we assemble 18 commonly used PPI databases with experimental evidence: (i) binary PPIs tested by high-throughput yeast-two-hybrid (Y2H) systems (Luck et al. 2020); (ii) kinase-substrate interactions; (iii) signaling networks; (iv) binary PPIs from three-dimensional protein structures; (v) protein complexes data; and (vi) carefully literature-curated PPIs. In total, 351,444 PPIs

connecting 17,706 unique proteins were used in this study (**Supplemental Material**) and are free available at https://alzgps.lerner.ccf.org.

Description of GPSnet

GPSnet (Cheng et al. 2019b) takes two inputs: node (gene) scores and a background PPI network. The node score was defined as follows: for differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with q ≤ 0.05 and the node scores denote absolute value of log₂FC. In order to generate a network module, GPSnet starts with a randomly selected gene/protein (node). During each iteration, one of candidate genes (1st order neighbor) that satisfying the following two conditions at the same time will be added: (1) p-value of the connectivity significance P(i) (Eq. 1) is less than 0.01; (2) the updated module score is greater than the current one (Eq. 2). We repeated steps (1) and (2) until no more genes (nodes) can be added to generate each raw module. In this study, we built ~100,000 raw modules ranked by module scores. For each raw module, the corresponding module score can be computed (Eq. 2) and all raw modules are ranked in decreasing module score order. The protein frequency is defined based on truncated raw modules. We generated the final network modules by assembling top raw network modules (Supplemental Tables S4,S5, S7-S9).

$$P(i) = \sum_{d=d_n}^{d_i} \frac{\binom{n}{d} \binom{N-n}{d_i-d}}{\binom{N}{d_i}} \tag{1}$$

$$MS_{n+1}(i) = \frac{(s(i) - \mu) + \sum_{j \in M} (S(j) - \mu)}{\sqrt{n+1}}$$
 (2)

Where, N denotes all proteins/genes in the PPI, n represents numbers of nodes in the module, d_n is the numbers of neighbors of gene i in current module, d_i is the degree of gene i, $MS_{n+1}(i)$ denotes the updated module score if adding node i, s(i) denotes the score of node i, M denotes

the current module, and μ is the average node score of all genes with respect to the PPI network.

Network proximity

To quantify the relationships of two molecular networks (DAM vs. DAA) in the human interactome, we adopted the shortest-based network proximity measure (Cheng et al. 2019a) as below.

$$d_{shortest}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{\|X\| \cdot \|Y\|} \sum_{x \in X, y \in Y} d(x,y)$$
(3)

where d(x,y) is the shortest path length between gene x and y from gene sets X and Y, respectively. To evaluate whether such proximity was significant, the computed network proximity is transferred into z score form as shown below:

$$Z_{d_{shortest}} = \frac{d_{shortest} - \mu_d}{\sigma_d} \tag{4}$$

Here, μ_d and σ_d are the mean and standard deviation of permutation test with 1000 random experiments. In each random experiment, two random subnetworks X_r and Y_r are constructed with the same numbers of nodes and degree distribution as the given 2 subnetworks X and Y, separately, in the PPI network.

Network analysis metabolite-enzyme associations

We assembled 155 AD-related metabolites from 12 studies (**Supplemental Table S14**) and the Human Metabolome Database (HMDB) (Wishart et al. 2018). All metabolites were identified in AD-related human samples, including brain tissues, cerebrospinal fluid, and blood. All of these results are free available in our AlzGPS (Zhou et al. 2021) database,

https://alzgps.lerner.ccf.org. We mapped 240 DAM and DAA disease module genes and the 155 AD-related metabolites to the network and computed the maximal subgraph. Finally, we

computed the network paths connecting the DAM and DAA gene products on the network as well as the betweenness centrality of each vertex (**Supplemental Material**).

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)

We assembled drug-gene signatures from the CMap database containing 6,100 expression profiles relating 1,309 compounds (Lamb et al. 2006). We utilized GSEA algorithm to predict drugs across each molecular network of DAM and DAA. Detailed descriptions of GSEA have been provided in our recent study (Zhou et al. 2020a) and the **Supplemental Material**.

Enrichment Analysis

All pathway and disease enrichment analyses were conducted using either KEGG 2019 Mouse or KEGG 2019 Human and DisGeNET (Piñero et al. 2017) from Enrichr (Kuleshov et al. 2016), respectively.

Pharmacoepidemiologic validation

We used the MarketScan Medicare Claims database from 2012 to 2017 for the pharmacoepidemiologic analysis. This database includes individual-level procedure codes, diagnosis codes, and pharmacy claim data for 7.23 million patients. Pharmacy prescriptions of fluticasone and mometasone were identified using RxNorm and National Drug Code (NDC). For an individual exposed to fluticasone and mometasone, a drug episode was defined as from drug initiation to drug discontinuation. A control cohort was selected from patients who were not exposed to fluticasone. The disease outcome defined by the International Classification of Disease [ICD] codes (Supplemental Table S16) was time from drug initiation to diagnosis of AD. The survival curves for time to AD were estimated using a Kaplan-Meier estimator approach. We used the large number of covariates generated throughout the process to address clinical scenarios evaluated in each drug cohort. Propensity score stratified survival

analyses were conducted to investigate the risk of AD between fluticasone users and non-fluticasone users, as well as fluticasone users and mometasone users. Specifically, for each comparison, the propensity score of taking fluticasone was estimated by using a logistic regression model, in which the covariates included age, gender, geographical location, and disease comorbidities. Further, propensity score-stratified Cox-proportional hazards models were used to conduct statistical inference for the hazard ratios (HR) of developing AD between two cohorts. All details were provided in the **Supplemental Material**.

Software availability

All codes written for and used in this study are available from https://github.com/ChengF-Lab/alzGPSnet and as **Supplemental Code**.

Competing interest statement

Dr. Cummings has provided consultation to Acadia, Actinogen, Alkahest, Alzheon, Annovis, Avanir, Axsome, Biogen, BioXcel, Cassava, Cerecin, Cerevel, Cortexyme, Cytox, EIP Pharma, Eisai, Foresight, GemVax, Genentech, Green Valley, Grifols, Karuna, Merck, Novo Nordisk, Otsuka, Resverlogix, Roche, Samumed, Samus, Signant Health, Suven, Third Rock, and United Neuroscience pharmaceutical and assessment companies. Dr. Cummings has stock options in ADAMAS, AnnovisBio, MedAvante, BiOasis. Dr. Leverenz has received consulting fees from Acadia, Biogen, Eisai, GE Healthcare, and Sunovion. There are no computing interests for other authors.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Institute of Aging (NIA) under Award Number R01AG066707 and 3R01AG066707-01S1 to F.C. This work was supported in part by the NIA

under Award Number R56AG063870 to F.C., L.M.B. and J.B.L. A.A.P., L.M.B., J.C., J.B.L., and F.C. are supported together by the Translational Therapeutics Core of the Cleveland Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (NIH/NIA: 1 P30 AG062428-01). A.A.P. is also supported by the Brockman Foundation, Project 19PABH134580006-AHA/Allen Initiative in Brain Health and Cognitive Impairment, the Elizabeth Ring Mather & William Gwinn Mather Fund, S. Livingston Samuel Mather Trust, G.R. Lincoln Family Foundation, Wick Foundation, Gordon & Evie Safran, the Leonard Krieger Fund of the Cleveland Foundation, the Maxine and Lester Stoller Parkinson's Research Fund, and Louis Stokes VA Medical Center resources and facilities. J.B.L. is supported by the Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation, Cleveland Clinic Lerner Research Institute, Department of Defense, Douglas Herthel DVM Memorial Research Fund, Eisai, GE Healthcare, Jane and Lee Seidman Fund, Lewy Body Dementia Association, Michael J Fox Foundation, NIH/NIA funds (P30 AG062428, UO1 NS100610, RO1 AG022304, RO1 AG0577552, RO3 AG063235, R21 AG064271, P20 AG068053), and Sanofi. J.C. is supported by Keep Memory Alive (KMA); NIGMS grant P20GM109025; NINDS grant U01NS093334; and NIA grant R01AG053798.

Authors' contributions: F.C. conceived the study. J.X., P.Z., and Y.H., performed all experiments and data analysis. L.M.B., J.L., C.C., L.L., Y. Hou, Y.Z., A.A.P., J.B.L., and J.C. discussed and interpreted all results. F.C., J.X., P.Z., Y. Huang and J.C. wrote the manuscript and all authors critically revised the manuscript and gave final approval.

References

Alam J, Scheper W. 2016. Targeting neuronal MAPK14/p38α activity to modulate autophagy in the Alzheimer disease brain. *Autophagy* **12**: 2516–2520. doi:10.1080/15548627.2016.1238555

- Alzheimer's Association. 2016. 2016 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement* **12**: 459–509. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2016.03.001
- Anderson AJ, Cummings BJ, Cotman CW. 1994. Increased Immunoreactivity for Jun- and Fos-Related Proteins in Alzheimer's Disease: Association with Pathology. *Exp Neurol* **125**: 286–295. doi:10.1006/exnr.1994.1031
- Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, Harris LW, Hayhurst J, Malangone C, McMahon A, Morales J, Mountjoy E, Sollis E, et al. 2019. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**: D1005–D1012. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1120
- Campanella C, Pace A, Caruso Bavisotto C, Marzullo P, Marino Gammazza A, Buscemi S, Palumbo Piccionello A. 2018. Heat Shock Proteins in Alzheimer's Disease: Role and Targeting. *IJMS* **19**: 2603. doi:10.3390/ijms19092603
- Canet G, Chevallier N, Zussy C, Desrumaux C, Givalois L. 2018. Central Role of Glucocorticoid Receptors in Alzheimer's Disease and Depression. *Front Neurosci* **12**. doi:10.3389/fnins.2018.00739
- Cao W, Zheng H. 2018. Peripheral immune system in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* **13**: 51. doi:10.1186/s13024-018-0284-2
- Cheng F, Kovács IA, Barabási A-L. 2019a. Network-based prediction of drug combinations. *Nat Commun* **10**: 1197. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09186-x
- Cheng F, Lu W, Liu C, Fang J, Hou Y, Handy DE, Wang R, Zhao Y, Yang Y, Huang J, et al. 2019b. A genome-wide positioning systems network algorithm for in silico drug repurposing. *Nat Commun* **10**: 3476. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10744-6
- Clarimón J, Bertranpetit J, Boada M, Tàrraga L, Comas D. 2003. HSP70-2 (HSPA1B) is associated with noncognitive symptoms in late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* **16**: 146–150. doi:10.1177/0891988703256051
- Corces MR, Shcherbina A, Kundu S, Gloudemans MJ, Frésard L, Granja JM, Louie BH, Eulalio T, Shams S, Bagdatli ST, et al. 2020. Single-cell epigenomic analyses implicate candidate causal variants at inherited risk loci for Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. *Nat Genet* **52**: 1158–1168. doi:10.1038/s41588-020-00721-x
- Costa J, Pronto-Laborinho A, Pinto S, Gromicho M, Bonucci S, Tranfield E, Correia C, Alexandre BM, de Carvalho M. 2020. Investigating LGALS3BP/90 K glycoprotein in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with neurological diseases. *Sci Rep* **10**: 5649. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-62592-w
- Cummings JL, Morstorf T, Zhong K. 2014. Alzheimer's disease drug-development pipeline: few candidates, frequent failures. *Alzheimer's Res Ther* **6**: 37. doi:10.1186/alzrt269
- de Quervain DJ-F, Poirier R, Wollmer MA, Grimaldi LME, Tsolaki M, Streffer JR, Hock C, Nitsch RM, Mohajeri MH, Papassotiropoulos A. 2004. Glucocorticoid-related genetic susceptibility for Alzheimer's disease. *Hum Mol Genet* **13**: 47–52. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddg361

- Desale SE, Chinnathambi S. 2020. Role of dietary fatty acids in microglial polarization in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neuroinflammation* **17**: 93. doi:10.1186/s12974-020-01742-3
- Ding Y, Qiao A, Wang Z, Goodwin JS, Lee E-S, Block ML, Allsbrook M, McDonald MP, Fan G-H. 2008. Retinoic acid attenuates beta-amyloid deposition and rescues memory deficits in an Alzheimer's disease transgenic mouse model. *J Neurosci* **28**: 11622–11634. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3153-08.2008
- Evgen'ev MB, Krasnov GS, Nesterova IV, Garbuz DG, Karpov VL, Morozov AV, Snezhkina AV, Samokhin AN, Sergeev A, Kulikov AM, et al. 2017. Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Neuroprotective Effect of Intranasal Administration of Human Hsp70 in Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* **59**: 1415–1426. doi:10.3233/JAD-170398
- Fang J, Pieper AA, Nussinov R, Lee G, Bekris L, Leverenz JB, Cummings J, Cheng F. 2020. Harnessing endophenotypes and network medicine for Alzheimer's drug repurposing. *Med Res Rev* **40**: 2386–2426. doi:10.1002/med.21709
- Freigang S, Ampenberger F, Weiss A, Kanneganti T-D, Iwakura Y, Hersberger M, Kopf M. 2013. Fatty acid–induced mitochondrial uncoupling elicits inflammasome-independent IL-1α and sterile vascular inflammation in atherosclerosis. *Nat Immunol* **14**: 1045–1053. doi:10.1038/ni.2704
- Ginhoux F, Prinz M. 2015. Origin of Microglia: Current Concepts and Past Controversies. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **7**: a020537. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a020537
- González-Reyes RE, Nava-Mesa MO, Vargas-Sánchez K, Ariza-Salamanca D, Mora-Muñoz L. 2017. Involvement of Astrocytes in Alzheimer's Disease from a Neuroinflammatory and Oxidative Stress Perspective. *Front Mol Neurosci* **10**. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2017.00427
- Grubman A, Chew G, Ouyang JF, Sun G, Choo XY, McLean C, Simmons RK, Buckberry S, Vargas-Landin DB, Poppe D, et al. 2019. A single-cell atlas of entorhinal cortex from individuals with Alzheimer's disease reveals cell-type-specific gene expression regulation. *Nat Neurosci* 22: 2087–2097. doi:10.1038/s41593-019-0539-4
- Guedes JR, Lao T, Cardoso AL, El Khoury J. 2018. Roles of Microglial and Monocyte Chemokines and Their Receptors in Regulating Alzheimer's Disease-Associated Amyloid-β and Tau Pathologies. *Front Neurol* **9**: 549. doi:10.3389/fneur.2018.00549
- Gwon Y, Kim S-H, Kim HT, Kam T-I, Park J, Lim B, Cha H, Chang H-J, Hong YR, Jung Y-K. 2019. Amelioration of amyloid β-FcγRIIb neurotoxicity and tau pathologies by targeting LYN. *FASEB J* **33**: 4300–4313. doi:10.1096/fj.201800926R
- Habib N, McCabe C, Medina S, Varshavsky M, Kitsberg D, Dvir-Szternfeld R, Green G, Dionne D, Nguyen L, Marshall JL, et al. 2020. Disease-associated astrocytes in Alzheimer's disease and aging. *Nat Neurosci* **23**: 701–706. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-0624-8
- Hebert LE, Beckett LA, Scherr PA, Evans DA. 2001. Annual incidence of Alzheimer disease in the United States projected to the years 2000 through 2050. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* **15**: 169–173. doi:10.1097/00002093-200110000-00002

- Hemonnot A-L, Hua J, Ulmann L, Hirbec H. 2019. Microglia in Alzheimer Disease: Well-Known Targets and New Opportunities. *Front Aging Neurosci* **11**. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2019.00233
- Hodge RD, Bakken TE, Miller JA, Smith KA, Barkan ER, Graybuck LT, Close JL, Long B, Johansen N, Penn O, et al. 2019. Conserved cell types with divergent features in human versus mouse cortex. *Nature* **573**: 61–68. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1506-7
- Hsieh P, Hallmark B, Watkins J, Karafet TM, Osipova LP, Gutenkunst RN, Hammer MF. 2017. Exome Sequencing Provides Evidence of Polygenic Adaptation to a Fat-Rich Animal Diet in Indigenous Siberian Populations. *Mol Biol Evol* **34**: 2913–2926. doi:10.1093/molbev/msx226
- Huang Y, Mucke L. 2012. Alzheimer mechanisms and therapeutic strategies. *Cell* **148**: 1204–1222. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.040
- Jha MK, Jo M, Kim J-H, Suk K. 2019. Microglia-Astrocyte Crosstalk: An Intimate Molecular Conversation. *Neuroscientist* **25**: 227–240. doi:10.1177/1073858418783959
- Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2017. KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**: D353–D361. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1092
- Keren-Shaul H, Spinrad A, Weiner A, Matcovitch-Natan O, Dvir-Szternfeld R, Ulland TK, David E, Baruch K, Lara-Astaiso D, Toth B, et al. 2017. A Unique Microglia Type Associated with Restricting Development of Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell* **169**: 1276-1290.e17. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.018
- Kim M-J, Wainwright HC, Locketz M, Bekker L-G, Walther GB, Dittrich C, Visser A, Wang W, Hsu F-F, Wiehart U, et al. 2010. Caseation of human tuberculosis granulomas correlates with elevated host lipid metabolism. *EMBO Mol Med* **2**: 258–274. doi:10.1002/emmm.201000079
- Kuleshov MV, Jones MR, Rouillard AD, Fernandez NF, Duan Q, Wang Z, Koplev S, Jenkins SL, Jagodnik KM, Lachmann A, et al. 2016. Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: W90–W97. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw377
- Lake BB, Ai R, Kaeser GE, Salathia NS, Yung YC, Liu R, Wildberg A, Gao D, Fung H-L, Chen S, et al. 2016. Neuronal subtypes and diversity revealed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing of the human brain. *Science* **352**: 1586–1590. doi:10.1126/science.aaf1204
- Lamb J, Crawford ED, Peck D, Modell JW, Blat IC, Wrobel MJ, Lerner J, Brunet J-P, Subramanian A, Ross KN, et al. 2006. The Connectivity Map: using gene-expression signatures to connect small molecules, genes, and disease. *Science* **313**: 1929–1935. doi:10.1126/science.1132939
- Lehrer S, Rheinstein PH. 2018. Alzheimer's Disease and Intranasal Fluticasone Propionate in the FDA MedWatch Adverse Events Database. *J Alzheimers Dis Rep* **2**: 111–115. doi:10.3233/ADR-170033

- Leng K, Li E, Eser R, Piergies A, Sit R, Tan M, Neff N, Li SH, Rodriguez RD, Suemoto CK, et al. 2020. Molecular characterization of selectively vulnerable neurons in Alzheimer's Disease. *Nat Neurosci*, **24**: 276-287. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-00764-7
- Luck K, Kim D-K, Lambourne L, Spirohn K, Begg BE, Bian W, Brignall R, Cafarelli T, Campos-Laborie FJ, Charloteaux B, et al. 2020. A reference map of the human binary protein interactome. *Nature* **580**: 402–408. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2188-x
- Lumry WR. 1999. A review of the preclinical and clinical data of newer intranasal steroids used in the treatment of allergic rhinitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **104**: S150-158. doi:10.1016/s0091-6749(99)70311-8
- Ma Q-L, Yang F, Frautschy SA, Cole GM. 2012. PAK in Alzheimer disease, Huntington disease and X-linked mental retardation. *Cell Logist* 2: 117–125. doi:10.4161/cl.21602
- Ma Y, Bao J, Zhao X, Shen H, Lv J, Ma S, Zhang X, Li Z, Wang S, Wang Q, et al. 2013. Activated cyclin-dependent kinase 5 promotes microglial phagocytosis of fibrillar β-amyloid by up-regulating lipoprotein lipase expression. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **12**: 2833–2844. doi:10.1074/mcp.M112.026864
- Mahajan UV, Varma VR, Griswold ME, Blackshear CT, An Y, Oommen AM, Varma S, Troncoso JC, Pletnikova O, O'Brien R, et al. 2020. Dysregulation of multiple metabolic networks related to brain transmethylation and polyamine pathways in Alzheimer disease: A targeted metabolomic and transcriptomic study. *PLOS Med* 17: e1003012. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1003012
- Medway C, Morgan K. 2014. Review: The genetics of Alzheimer's disease; putting flesh on the bones. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* **40**: 97–105. doi:10.1111/nan.12101
- Na YR, Jung D, Gu GJ, Jang AR, Suh Y-H, Seok SH. 2015. The early synthesis of p35 and activation of CDK5 in LPS-stimulated macrophages suppresses interleukin-10 production. *Sci Signal* 8: ra121. doi:10.1126/scisignal.aab3156
- Piñero J, Bravo À, Queralt-Rosinach N, Gutiérrez-Sacristán A, Deu-Pons J, Centeno E, García-García J, Sanz F, Furlong LI. 2017. DisGeNET: a comprehensive platform integrating information on human disease-associated genes and variants. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**: D833–D839. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw943
- R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- Rosenthal SL, Kamboh MI. 2014. Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease Genes and the Potentially Implicated Pathways. *Curr Genet Med Rep* **2**: 85–101. doi:10.1007/s40142-014-0034-x
- Russo C, Dolcini V, Salis S, Venezia V, Zambrano N, Russo T, Schettini G. 2002. Signal Transduction through Tyrosine-phosphorylated C-terminal Fragments of Amyloid Precursor Protein via an Enhanced Interaction with Shc/Grb2 Adaptor Proteins in Reactive Astrocytes of Alzheimer's Disease Brain. *J Biol Chem* 277: 35282–35288. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110785200

- Schweig JE, Yao H, Beaulieu-Abdelahad D, Ait-Ghezala G, Mouzon B, Crawford F, Mullan M, Paris D. 2017. Alzheimer's disease pathological lesions activate the spleen tyrosine kinase. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* **5**: 69. doi:10.1186/s40478-017-0472-2
- Shan M, Yuan X, Song L, Roberts L, Zarinkamar N, Seryshev A, Zhang Y, Hilsenbeck S, Chang S-H, Dong C, et al. 2012. Cigarette Smoke Induction of Osteopontin (SPP1) Mediates TH17 Inflammation in Human and Experimental Emphysema. *Sci Transl Med* 4: 117ra9-117ra9. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3003041
- Sun Y, Lin Z, Liu C-H, Gong Y, Liegl R, Fredrick TW, Meng SS, Burnim SB, Wang Z, Akula JD, et al. 2017. Inflammatory signals from photoreceptor modulate pathological retinal angiogenesis via c-Fos. *J Exp Med* **214**: 1753–1767. doi:10.1084/jem.20161645
- Tasaki S, Gaiteri C, Mostafavi S, De Jager PL, Bennett DA. 2018. The Molecular and Neuropathological Consequences of Genetic Risk for Alzheimer's Dementia. *Front Neurosci* **12**. doi:10.3389/fnins.2018.00699
- Townsend DJ, Mala B, Hughes E, Hussain R, Siligardi G, Fullwood NJ, Middleton DA. 2020. Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy Identifies the β-Adrenoceptor Agonist Salbutamol As a Direct Inhibitor of Tau Filament Formation in Vitro. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **11**: 2104–2116. doi:10.1021/acschemneuro.0c00154
- Warrell RP, Frankel SR, Miller WH, Scheinberg DA, Itri LM, Hittelman WN, Vyas R, Andreeff M, Tafuri A, Jakubowski A, et al. 1991. Differentiation Therapy of Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia with Tretinoin (All-trans-Retinoic Acid). *N Engl J Med* **324**: 1385–1393. doi:10.1056/NEJM199105163242002
- Wishart DS, Feunang YD, Marcu A, Guo AC, Liang K, Vázquez-Fresno R, Sajed T, Johnson D, Li C, Karu N, et al. 2018. HMDB 4.0: the human metabolome database for 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**: D608–D617. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1089
- Wu Z, Ni J, Liu Y, Teeling JL, Takayama F, Collcutt A, Ibbett P, Nakanishi H. 2017. Cathepsin B plays a critical role in inducing Alzheimer's disease-like phenotypes following chronic systemic exposure to lipopolysaccharide from Porphyromonas gingivalis in mice. *Brain Behav Immun* 65: 350–361. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2017.06.002
- Zhang Z, Yan J, Chang Y, Yan SS, Shi H. 2011. Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 as a Target for Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Curr Med Chem* **18**: 4335–4343. doi:10.2174/092986711797200426
- Zhou Y, Fang J, Bekris LM, Kim YH, Pieper AA, Leverenz JB, Cummings J, Cheng F. 2021. AlzGPS: a genome-wide positioning systems platform to catalyze multi-omics for Alzheimer's drug discovery. *Alzheimer's Res Ther* **13**: 24. doi:10.1186/s13195-020-00760-w
- Zhou Y, Hou Y, Shen J, Huang Y, Martin W, Cheng F. 2020a. Network-based drug repurposing for novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Discov* **6**: 14. doi:10.1038/s41421-020-0153-3
- Zhou Y, Song WM, Andhey PS, Swain A, Levy T, Miller KR, Poliani PL, Cominelli M, Grover S, Gilfillan S, et al. 2020b. Human and mouse single-nucleus transcriptomics reveal

TREM2-dependent and TREM2-independent cellular responses in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Med* **26**: 131–142. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-0695-9

Figure legends

Figure 1. A diagram illustrating the network-based framework. A standard single-cell/-nucleus RNA-sequencing (sc/snRNA-seq) data analysis pipeline includes quality control, clustering analysis and differentially expressed gene (DEG) analysis. We built the molecular network using the state-of-the-art network-based algorithm (termed GPSnet) by integrating sc/snRNA-seq data into the human protein-protein interactome (Methods). Next, we prioritized repurposed drugs for potential treatment of Alzheimer's disease (AD) by identifying those that specifically reverse dysregulated gene expression for molecular networks of disease-associated microglia (DAM) or astrocyte (DAA): if drug-induced up- or down-related genes are significantly enriched in the dysregulated molecular networks, these drugs will be prioritized as potential candidates for treatment of AD. Finally, top drug candidates were validated further using a large-scale, longitudinal patient database. GSEA: Gene-set enrichment analysis; CMap: Connectivity Map.

Figure 2. Discovery of disease-associated microglia (DAM) specific molecular networks for the transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease (AD). (A) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plot of clustering 4,389 microglia cells: blue cluster denotes the homeostasis associated microglia (HAM) and green cluster denotes the DAM. (B) Expression levels (heatmap) of representative marker genes (up-regulation in DAM: Cst7 and Lpl and down-regulation in DAM: P2ry12 and Cx3cr1) in different microglia sub-clusters. (C) A predicted DAM specific molecular network contains 227 protein-protein interactions (PPIs) connecting 72 proteins. Node sizes are proportional to their corresponding |log₂FC| during differential expression analysis. FC: fold-change. Node (gene/protein) color is coded by known immune pathways from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. Edge color

is coded by known experimental evidences of PPIs (Methods). Key immune modulators related to AD are highlighted by bold text.

Figure 3. Discovery of disease-associated astrocyte (DAA) specific molecular networks in transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease (AD). (A) T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) plot of clustering 7,748 astrocyte nuclei. Red cluster denotes the disease associated astrocyte (DAA). (B) Stacked violin plot displaying the expression patterns of 4 representative genes (with the rest 9 genes in Supplemental Fig. S4A) across different astrocyte sub-clusters. (C) A predicted DAA specific molecular network contains 407 protein-protein interactions (PPIs) connecting 116 gene products (proteins). Node sizes are proportional to their corresponding |log₂FC| during differential expression analysis. Node color is coded by known immune pathways from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. Edge color is coded by experimental evidences of PPIs. Key immune modulators related to AD are highlighted by bold text.

Figure 4. Discovery of disease-associated astrocyte (DAA) specific molecular networks from single-nucleus RNA-sequencing data of human brains with Alzheimer's disease (AD). (*A*) T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) plot of clustering 2,119 astrocyte nuclei between AD patients and healthy controls. (*B*) An identified DAA specific molecular network contains 16 protein-protein interactions (PPIs) connecting 10 gene products (proteins). (*C*) UMAP plot for 5,599 astrocyte nuclei clustering analysis between AD patients' (with different Braak stages) brain entorhinal cortex (EC) region. (*D*) UMAP plot of clustering 8,348 astrocyte nuclei for AD patients' (with different Braak stages) brain superior frontal gyrus (SFG) regions. (*E*) An identified DAA specific molecular network containing 43 protein-protein interactions (PPIs) connecting 26 gene products (proteins) for EC. (*F*) An identified DAA specific molecular network containing 22 PPIs connecting 13 genes/proteins for SFG. Node sizes are proportional to their

corresponding |log₂FC|. Node color is coded by known immune pathways from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. Edge color is coded by experimental evidences of PPIs. Key immune modulators related to AD are highlighted by bold text.


Figure 5. Comparison of molecular networks between disease-associated astrocytes (DAA) and microglia (DAM). (*A*) Visualization of interplays between DAM and DAA molecular networks in the human protein-protein interactome network model. (*B*) Expression levels of *Lgals3bp* and *Cd9* for homeostatic associated microglia (HAM) versus DAM and DAA versus non-DAA. The adjusted p-value (q) is computed using the 'MAST' function from Seurat R package (Supplemental Material). All details for gene differential expression analyses are provided in **Supplemental Tables S4,S5,S7**.


Figure 6. A metabolite-triggered molecular network between disease-associated astrocyte (DAA) and microglia (DAM). (A) A highlighted subnetwork of the metabolite-enzyme network between DAM and DAA in the human protein-protein interactome network model; (B-C) Expression of Ctsb is significantly elevated in (B) DAM (GSE98969) and (C) DAA (GSE143758), compared to homeostatic associated microglia (HAM) and non-DAA, respectively. (D) Expression of Spp1 is significantly elevated in DAM (GSE98969) compared with HAM. Each dot represents one cell/nucleus; (E) Spearman's correlation analysis shows that Spp1 and Pld3 have a slight coordinated change trends in DAM. Gene expression is counted by the average Unique Molecular Identifier (UMI) count.


Figure 7. Network-based discovery of repurposable drug candidates for AD by specifically reversing gene expression of disease-associated microglia (DAM) and disease-associated astrocytes (DAA). (*A*) Selected drugs that specifically target five different DAM or DAA molecular networks. Drug are grouped by five different classes (immunological, respiratory,


neurological, cardiovascular, and cancer (**Supplemental Table S12**)) defined by the first-level of the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) codes. Four high-confidence drugs (fluticasone, mometasone, salbutamol, and tretinoin) were highlighted. (*B*) Proposed mechanism-of-actions for two selected drugs (tretinoin and salbutamol) by drug-target network analysis.


Figure 8. Retrospective case-control analysis reveals that usage of fluticasone and mometasone is significantly associated with reduced likelihood of AD in a longitudinal patient database with 7.23 million subjects. Two comparison analyses were conducted including: (*A*) fluticasone (a glucocorticoid receptor agonist) vs. a matched control population (non-fluticasone users) and (*B*) mometasone (a stronger glucocorticoid receptor agonist) vs. fluticasone. For each comparator, we estimated the un-stratified Kaplan-Meier curves, conducted propensity score stratified (n strata = 10) rank test and applied Cox models after adjusting all possible confounding factors, including age, gender, race, and disease comorbidities (**Supplemental Table S16**). (*C*) Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for two drug cohort studies. Propensity score stratified Cox-proportional hazards models were used to conduct statistical inference for the hazard ratios. (*D*,*E*) Proposed mechanism-of-action for treatment of AD by fluticasone and mometasone using drug-target network analysis.




























Multimodal single-cell/nucleus RNA sequencing data analysis uncovers molecular networks between disease-associated microglia and astrocytes with implications for drug repurposing in Alzheimer's disease

Jielin Xu, Pengyue Zhang, Yin Huang, et al.

Genome Res. published online February 24, 2021 Access the most recent version at doi:10.1101/gr.272484.120

Supplemental Material

http://genome.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2021/08/18/qr.272484.120.DC1

Related Content

Iterative epigenomic analyses in the same single cell

Hidetaka Öhnuki, David J. Venzon, Alexei Lobanov, et al. Genome Res. October, 2021 31: 1819-1830 Semisupervised adversarial neural networks for single-cell classification

Jacob C. Kimmel and David R. Kelley

Genome Res. October, 2021 31: 1781-1793 Linear-time cluster ensembles of large-scale single-cell RNA-seq and multimodal data

Van Hoan Do, Francisca Rojas Ringeling and Stefan Canzar

Genome Res. April , 2021 31: 677-688

P<P Published online February 24, 2021 in advance of the print journal.

Accepted Manuscript Peer-reviewed and accepted for publication but not copyedited or typeset; accepted

manuscript is likely to differ from the final, published version.

Open Access

Freely available online through the Genome Research Open Access option.

Creative Commons License

This manuscript is Open Access. This article, published in Genome Research, is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0

International license), as described at

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

Email Alerting Service Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the

top right corner of the article or click here.

Advance online articles have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet appeared in the paper journal (edited, typeset versions may be posted when available prior to final publication). Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To subscribe to Genome Research go to: https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions



Downloaded from genome.cshlp.org on December 28, 2021 - Published by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press