Detecting microvariability in type 2 quasars using enhanced F-test

J. Polednikova,^{1,2*} A. Ederoclite,^{3*} J. A. de Diego,^{4,5*} J. Cepa,^{1,2} J. I. González-Serrano,⁶ A. Bongiovanni,^{1,2} I. Oteo,^{7,8} A. M. Pérez García,^{1,2,9} R. Pérez-Martínez,^{10,11} I. Pintos-Castro,^{1,2,12} M. Ramón-Pérez^{1,2} and M. Sánchez-Portal^{11,13}

¹Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, C/Via Lactea s/n, E-38205 La Laguna, Spain

³Centro de Estudios de Física del Cosmos de Aragón, E-44001 Teruel, Spain

- ⁵Instituto de Astrofísia de Canarias Universidad de La Laguna, CEI Canarias: Campus Atlántico Tricontinental, E-38205 La Laguna, Spain
- ⁶Instituto de Física de Cantabria (CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria), E-39005 Santander, Spain

⁷Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK

⁸European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany

⁹ASPID Association, Ap. correos 412, E-38205 La Laguna, Spain

¹⁰XMM/Newton Science Operations Centre, ESAC/ESA, Villanueva de la Cañada, E-28692 Madrid, Spain

¹¹Ingeniería de Sistemas para la Defensa de España (Isdefe), E-28692 Madrid, Spain

¹²Centro de Astrobiología, INTA-CSIC, Villanueva de la Cañada, E-28850 Madrid, Spain

¹³Herschel Science Centre, ESAC/ESA, Villanueva de la Cañada, E-28692 Madrid, Spain

Accepted 2016 May 23. Received 2016 April 27; in original form 2016 February 17

ABSTRACT

Microvariability (intranight variability) is a low amplitude flux change at short time-scales (i.e. hours). It has been detected in unobscured type 1 active galactic nuclei (AGN) and blazars. However in type 2 AGN, the detection is hampered by the low contrast between the presumably variable nucleus and the host galaxy. In this paper, we present a search for microvariability in a sample of four type 2 quasars as an astrostatistical problem. We are exploring the use of a newly introduced enhanced F-test, proposed by Diego. The presented results show that out of four observed target, we are able to apply this statistical method to three of them. Evidence of microvariations is clear in the case of quasar J0802+2552 in all used filters (g', r') and i') during both observing nights, and they are present in one of the nights of observations, J1258+5239 in one filter (i'), while for the J1316+4452, there is evidence for microvariability within our detection levels during one night and two filters (r' and i'). We demonstrate the feasibility of the enhanced F-test to detect microvariability in obscured type 2 quasars. At the end of this paper, we discuss possible causes of microvariability. One of the options is the misclassification of the targets. A likely scenario for explanation of the phenomenon involves optically thin gaps in a clumpy obscuring medium, in accordance with the present view of the circumnuclear medium. There is a possible interesting connection between the merging state of the targets and detection of microvariability.

Key words: methods: statistical – galaxies: active – quasars: general.

1 INTRODUCTION

Active galactic nuclei (AGN) can be explained by the standard (unified) model, introduced by Antonucci (1993). An AGN is described as a central supermassive black hole, surrounded by an accretion disc of an optically thick plasma emitting great amounts of energy

*E-mail: polednik.jana@gmail.com (JP); aederocl@cefca.es (AE); jdo@astro.unam.mx (JAdD) in ultraviolet (UV) and X-ray wavelengths, and by an optically thin region of free fall on to the central black hole, where hard X-rays and gamma-rays are emitted. On top of the accretion disc, there is a corona of energetic electrons, able to scatter the light via the inverse Compton effect. All of this is surrounded by an obscuring torus of dust and gas, located at a greater distance from the central singularity.

Different classes of AGN are explained by the unified scenario as they are solely an orientation effect of the obscuring torus. Based on the unified model, the central engine of type 1 AGN is directly

²Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, E-38205 La Laguna, Spain

⁴Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 04510 México, D.F., Mexico

The obscured sources are detected mostly via X-ray selection (Iwasawa, Fabian & Ettori 2001), from ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs; Tran, Cohen & Villar-Martin 2000). Recently, Reyes et al. (2008) established a new proxy for identifying type 2 quasars in the optical regime, replacing the need of bolometric luminosity which is accessible with difficulty in type 2 objects due to the presence of the obscuring torus. Instead they proposed measurements of [O III] luminosities, which is more easily accessible. This way, obscured AGN with $L_{[O III]} \ge 10^{8.3} L_{\odot}$ are classified as type 2 quasars.

The discovery of the variable behaviour of guasars (Matthews & Sandage 1963) followed along the discovery of quasars. The variable behaviour is present also in the lower luminosity classes of AGN (Seyfert galaxies; Fitch, Pacholczyk & Weymann 1967). Variability is present in most, if not all, type 1 AGN. The variable behaviour in the lower luminosity classes of AGN, along with the change in the characteristics of the optical spectrum of some of the Sevfert galaxies and low-ionization nuclear emission-line region galaxies (LINERs) from type 2 to type 1 (Khachikian & Weedman 1971; Cohen et al. 1986; Tran, Osterbrock & Martel 1992; Storchi-Bergmann, Baldwin & Wilson 1993; Aretxaga et al. 1999) and vice versa, indicate that at least some of the type 2 AGN are also variable, although these variations are attributed to changes in column density along the observer's line of sight rather than originating in the central engine. Recently there have also been reports of observing variability in the so-called unobscured type 2 quasars in the longterm time-scale (Li et al. 2015).

The amplitude of the variability depends on the time-scale of the phenomenon as well as on the observed wavelength. The amplitude is increasing with the increasing frequency and shortening of the wavelength. In the optical regime, variability is detected on time-scales which can range from minutes to years (Kidger & de Diego 1990; Carini et al. 1992; Gopal-Krishna, Sagar & Wiita 1995; Jang & Miller 1995; Ramírez et al. 2004).

We define microvariability as variations of flux on a time-scale from minutes to hours, with amplitudes ~ 0.05 mag. The first microvariability detections were reported in Matthews & Sandage (1963) – variations of 15 min in 3C 48 (type 1 quasar). However due to small amplitude of the variations and the limitations imposed by the instruments, the results were considered unreliable until the development of the CCDs that allowed more precise photometry. Nevertheless microvariability studies mostly covered blazars (e.g. Miller, Carini & Goodrich 1989) or type 1 AGN, with the emphasis on the differences between radio loud (RL) and radio quiet (RQ) sources. It was proposed that the microvariable behaviour is common between the radio loud sources (Jang & Miller 1995, 1997). According to Gopal-Krishna et al. (2003), Stalin et al. (2004) and Carini et al. (2007), there is smaller fraction of microvariable sources among RQ targets. However, extensive studies by de Diego et al. (1998) and Ramírez et al. (2009) showed that there is no significant difference between the RQ and RL AGN in terms of microvariability detection. The mentioned studies were dedicated to unobscured sources. As according to the causal arguments, we can assume that the microvariations are originating close to the central engine of the AGN, directly accessible in blazars and type 1 sources.

Table 1. Overview of the observed sample. Galaxy J1316+4452b is neighbour of J1316+4452 which was observed in the same field as the targeted type 2 QSO J1316+4452. It is described as broad line galaxy in SDSS-III survey.

Target	RA	Dec.	z	gmag
J0802+2552	08 02 52	+25 52 55	0.0811	15.93
J0843+3549	08 43 45	+35 49 42	0.0539	15.28
J1258+5239	12 58 50	+52 39 12	0.0552	15.63
J1316+4452	13 16 39	+44 52 35	0.0906	15.98
J1316+4452b	13 16 36	+44 51 57	0.0602	16.17

Following this assumption, microvariability helps us gain insights about the innermost regions close to the central black hole.

As the microvariations are attributed to the innermost regions of the AGN, their detection in type 2 sources presents an opportunity to probe regions otherwise inaccessible or very challenging to access. Nevertheless, the detection of microvariability is challenging on its own. Currently, the analysis of the photometric data for microvariability relies on a statistical approach. Various statistical tests were used in the past to get an estimation whether the source is variable or not, amongst the most notable ones, C-test (Jang & Miller 1997), one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; de Diego et al. 1998) and F-test (Howell, Warnock & Mitchell 1988) and its modification (Joshi et al. 2011; Goyal et al. 2013a; Joshi & Chand 2013; Paliya et al. 2013). Nevertheless, not all of the mentioned tests are suitable and powerful enough to detect microvariability, as discussed in de Diego (2014).

In this paper, we are presenting the results from a campaign dedicated to searching microvariability in a sample of four type 2 quasars, using the enhanced F-test as proposed by de Diego (2014). The paper is organized as following: Section 2 describes the sample and the data reduction; Section 3 describes in the detail the enhanced F-test; Section 4 contains discussion about the results obtained on every target and Section 5 provides conclusions.

2 SAMPLE, OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

2.1 Sample selection

The sample was chosen from the catalogue of type 2 quasars by Reyes et al. (2008). This catalogue is based on the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 6 (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008). With 887 sources, this is the biggest collection of type 2 quasars to date. We have restricted the sources to be bright (g' < 18 mag) and with redshift z < 0.1. By restraining the redshift range, we are avoiding differences which might arise due to a change of the rest frame of the targets. This effect might result in an unnecessary bias of monitoring different physical regions. The spread over the redshifts is 0.0367, which results in $\Delta\lambda \approx 144$ Å. This difference is covered within one broad-band filter.

The restriction placed on the brightness is based on the aim to obtain high-quality data with signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) >100. There are 14 targets meeting our criteria. The final sample was chosen based on the visibility during the observing nights. The properties of the selected targets are reported in Table 1.

Although we have not initially focused on the morphology, it should be noticed that two of our targets have highly disturbed morphologies (Fig. 1, panels a and b), pointing towards recent merging events.



Figure 1. Targets observed in our observing campaign are marked with a circle, mimicking the size of the aperture used for the photometry. Panels (a) and (b) show targets with disturbed morphologies. J1316+4452 shows accompanying galaxy of approximately same brightness as the source itself J1316+4452b. North is up in all the images, east on the right.

2.2 Observations

We have observed our targets on 2014 February 21 and 22 with the 2.5-m Nordic Optical Telescope on La Palma, equipped with the Andalucia Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC). Our observing strategy partly followed works by de Diego et al. (1998) and Ramírez et al. (2004). During the first observing night, we were observing solely in the Sloan g' filter. During the second night, we were interchanging Sloan g', r' and i' filters. We have observed with 2×2 binning. We have reached a SNR >100 in 60 s exposures in all filters. The targets were chosen to be close to each other, so that we could easily switch between them. The targets were switched every five exposures. Such setting allowed us to monitor the pairs on a longer time-scale without long overheads. Previously detected microvariability events in guasars were reported to be of the timescales of ≈ 1.5 h (de Diego et al. 1998; Ramírez et al. 2004). Based on this assumption, we have increased the chance of detecting any microvariations by setting the time on target to 4 h per night.

Our monitoring resulted in roughly 70 points on the light curve per target during the first night, and 30 point per target per night in every filter during the second observing night.

2.3 Data reduction

Bias subtraction and flat-fielding were performed using the standard tasks in IRAF.¹ Aperture photometry was performed using SCXTRACTOR 2.8.6 (Bertin & Arnouts 1996).² To avoid any possible systematic errors arising from inhomogeneities in the CCD detector, which might be irremovable with flat-fielding, we used a dithering pattern when obtaining the images. Therefore arose the need for precise astrometry on every image. For this purpose we used sCAMP 2.0.4 (Bertin 2006),³ which directly uses output from SCXTRACTOR. SCAMP matches the known pointing and SCXTRACTOR catalogues of the images with chosen catalogue (in our case USNO-B2.0 catalogue). Resulting astrometric catalogues for every image were matched using TOPCAT (Taylor 2005).⁴

The ALFOSC i' images are affected by fringing which needed to be removed prior to photometry. The pattern proved to be time variable, therefore, we grouped the i' filter observations in sets

of five, following the observational pattern. The groups of five exposures were taken within 10 min, therefore, we can assume that over such time-scale, the pattern remains stable. The removal was performed using procedures natively available in IRAF. The pattern proved to be stable within the groups of five exposures and therefore was successfully removed from all the *i'* filter images.

We have used aperture photometry, even though our targets have resolved host galaxies. At the moment, there is no software which would allow us to decompose the galaxies which have complex irregular morphologies. Any attempt in decomposing our galaxies would most likely result in the introduction of additional errors. We have included the whole target in the aperture, including the nonvariable host galaxy. Including non-variable part would more likely underestimate the variation than enhance it. Besides the circular apertures, SCXTRACTOR offers also the option of elliptical apertures (AUTO and PETRO). Nevertheless the control of the size of the ellipse and its ellipticity is limited. On top of that, while the elliptical apertures might be more appropriate for galaxies, they are completely unjustified for stars. In order to obtain photometry as homogeneous as possible, we have used the same aperture diameter for the field stars. Unfortunately, the lack of precise photometric tools to measure heavily distorted galaxies such as the host of J0843+3549 prevents a reliable analysis of the variability for this object. For the details, see Section 4.

3 ANALYSIS

We have analysed our data using the enhanced F-test introduced by de Diego (2014), applied to differential photometry light curves. Such test already proved successful in analysis of blazar light curves (Gaur et al. 2015). The enhanced F-test makes use of not only one star in the field, such as in the case of 'normal' F-test, where only one non-variable star is used to compare with the source, thus increasing the power of the test and ability to detect finer variations. We are testing the null hypothesis that the target is not variable. We fail to reject the null hypothesis when p > 0.001. If we reject the null hypothesis, we accept the alternative hypothesis that the target is variable. However, there are several steps which need to be undertaken before the computation of the F statistics. We describe the procedure in the subsections below.

3.1 Estimating the error

SCXTRACTOR is a very convenient and fast tool for photometry, but the photometric error provided by this software often seems underestimated. A similar issue was addressed by other authors (e.g.

¹ Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (http://iraf.net).

² Source Extractor (http://www.astromatic.net/software/sextractor).

³ Software for Calibrating AstroMetry and Photometry (http://www. astromatic.net/software/scamp).

⁴ Tool for OPeration on Catalogues And Tables (http://www.star. bris.ac.uk/mbt/topcat/).



Figure 2. Calibration of the photometric error of the star field around J0802+2552. The *x*-axis marks the differential magnitudes of the field stars, *y*-axis is the photometric error, Δ predicted from the exponential fit. The dashed line marks 95 per cent confidence interval of the fit. The big black circle marks the position of the QSO (in all figures). First panel corresponds to 2014 February 21, when we observed solely in *g'* filter, the three following panels correspond to *g'*, *r'* and *i'* filters observations on 2014 February 22. The graphs are colour coded, according to the filters (*g'* green, *r'* red and *i'* orange; colour version available only in the electronic journal).

Goyal et al. 2013b), who introduced multiplicative factor for errors in the APPHOT package in IRAF. To our knowledge, there is no study which would estimate possible multiplicative factor for SCXTRACTOR. There is no evidence that even with the availability of this factor, it would be universal, independent of characteristics of the telescope, instruments or observed bands. Therefore to achieve the highest possible accuracy, we need to estimate the photometric errors independently on SCXTRACTOR.

In order to fully benefit from the enhanced F-test, we need to calibrate the brightness of stars in the field. To obtain the relation for the photometric error, depending on the brightness, we have constructed differential light curves for all the stars present in the field along the observed quasar. Out of those, we have chosen a constant comparison star for the differential photometry, based on the light curve obtained in the previous step. If the light curve did not show any significant trend or features, the star was considered constant at the first approximation. The comparison star is of roughly similar brightness as the studied target. We have computed the standard deviation of every light curve which serves as the estimated photometric error for a given star brightness. Stars with high errors are likely variable, hence we can exclude them from our calibration field. The quasars themselves were also excluded from the fitting as we assume they might be variable and would show up as outliers. Figs 2-4 show that the errors are following an exponential trend. As



Figure 3. Calibration of the photometric error of the star field around J1258+5239. The black circle marks the differential magnitude of the QSO with error Δ . The axes and colour coding is adapted from the previous figure.

the precision decreases towards the faint end, we have decided to fit only a handful of comparatively bright stars, using the weighted exponential. The error we can reach for an infinitely bright source gives us the maximal precision we can reach in our field, which is <0.01 mag in most cases.

3.2 Errors scaling

In the ideal case, our comparison field stars would be of the same brightness as the targeted quasar. As it is not the case, we have to employ a scaling factor, ω_j to scale variance of the *j*th star to the level of quasar *q*, where ω_j is determined based on the values obtained from the fits in Figs 2–4. Howell et al. (1988) and Joshi et al. (2011) proposed different approaches to determine this scaling factor. As de Diego (2014) remarks, it is not necessary to have the same number of observations of all comparison stars. Let us assume *k* number of stars observed in the field and N_j number of the observations of the *j*th star. Observations are indexed over *i*. We will estimate the pooled (combined) variance. We are using the scaled magnitudes of the stars, but this does not affect the estimation of pooled variance. For N_i stars, we can calculate the pooled variance as

$$s_{c}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} (N_{j} - 1) s_{j}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} (N_{j} - 1)}$$
$$= \frac{(N1 - 1)s_{1}^{2} + (N_{2} - 1)s_{2}^{2} + \dots + (N_{k} - 1)s_{k}^{2}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} N_{j}\right) - k},$$
(1)



Figure 4. Calibration of the photometric error of the star field around J1316+4452. The *x*-axis marks the differential magnitudes of the field stars, *y*-axis is the photometric error, Δ predicted from the exponential fit. The black circle marks the position of the QSO. Colour coding is following the same philosophy as in the previous figures. The field contains fewer stars bright enough to be fitted in comparison with the other targets, especially in *i'* filter.

where s_i^2 are the transformed light-curve variance for the star *j*:

$$s_j^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_j} (x_{j,i} - \overline{x_j})^2}{N_j - 1},$$
(2)

and the $(x_{j,i} - \bar{x_j})^2$ are the square deviations for the scaled magnitudes of the stars:

$$(x_{j,i} - \overline{x_j})^2 = \omega_j (m_{j,i} - \overline{m_j})^2 = s_{j,i}^2, \qquad (3)$$

where *m* denotes the magnitudes of the observed stars. $\overline{m_j}$ is the mean magnitude of the light curve of each star.

Equations (2) and (3) yield

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_j} s_{j,i}^2 = (N_j - 1)s_j^2, \tag{4}$$

which can be rearranged as

$$s_c^2 = \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^k N_j\right) - k} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^{N_j} s_{j,i}^2.$$
 (5)

We have found that the empirical relation for the photometric errors, which for a non-variable object are distributed as the standard deviation of the light curve *s*, is in the form

$$s_{\text{star}} = s_j = a + b \, e^{\overline{m}},\tag{6}$$

where s_{star} is the standard deviation of the given star light curve. In the case of non-variable quasar, the s_{qso} could be also fitted by equation (6). If the QSO is variable, it appears as an outlier from the fit. Fitting the dependence with a variable quasar results in a poor fit. We assume that the distribution of the observed magnitudes of non-variable comparison stars is nearly normal, hence

$$\frac{m_{\text{star},i} - \overline{m}_{\text{star}}}{s_{\text{star}}^2} \sim \frac{m_{\text{qso},i} - \overline{m}_{\text{qso}}}{s_{\text{qso}}^2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1).$$
(7)

Taking into account equation (6), we can derive the relation for computing the transformed magnitude, which is

$$m_{t,\text{star},i} = (m_{\text{qso},i} - \overline{m}_{\text{qso}}) \frac{s_{\text{star}}^2}{s_{\text{qso}}^2} + \overline{m}_{\text{star}},$$
(8)

where s_{qso} is the standard deviation of the quasar based on the fits. where the index *t* denotes the transformed magnitudes. Taking into account the transformed magnitudes, we can rewrite equation (3) as

$$(x_{j,i} - \overline{x_j})^2 = (m_{t,j,i} - \overline{m_{t,j}})^2 = s_{j,i}^2.$$
(9)

3.3 F-test

The F-test compares the variances of two samples. In our case we are testing whether our sample of stars with transformed magnitudes has similar variance as the quasar. Therefore

$$F = \frac{s_{\rm qso}^2}{s_c^2},\tag{10}$$

where the variance s_{aso}^2 is obtained directly from the photometry. As we have scaled all the observations of all suitable field stars, we can greatly benefit from the high number of degrees of freedom which enhances the power of the F-test. Assuming k is the total number of the scaled down stars and $N_{\rm qso}$ is the total number of observations of the quasar, we have $v_1 = N_{qso} - 1$ degrees of freedom in the numerator and $v_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (N_j - 1)$ in the denominator, where N_j is the number of observations of the *j*th star. We are losing two additional degrees of freedom due to fitting of two parameters in equation (6). As we are scaling the stars to the brightness of the quasar, we can see that it is not necessary to observe all the calibrating field stars on every image. This allows us to dither images. The only star which needs to be present in all the images is the comparison star we are subtracting from all the field stars in order to obtain differential magnitudes. To determine variability, we have computed the F test as in equation (10). To determine the success of the detection, we have computed cut-off levels for two level of significance; $\alpha = 0.01$ and 0.001. Cut-off levels values are different for each target as we had different number of images and different number of field stars in every case. We have used the R-code⁵ (R core team 2013) to determine the values.

4 RESULTS

The overall results for the separate filters are contained in the Tables 2–4. We provide overview of the numbers of degrees of freedom used for the enhanced F-test in Table 5.

J0802+2552 was observed during the first half of the night in both cases. As we were observing just before the last quarter, the Moon was not affecting our observations. The data from the first observing night, 2014 February 21, were calibrated using nine stars from

⁵ http://www.r-project.org

Table 2. Results from enhanced F-test on sample in g' filter. Y marks variability at the 0.1 per cent ($\alpha = 0.001$) significance level by default. $F_{\alpha = 0.01}$ and $F_{\alpha = 0.001}$ mark the cut-off values for claiming the variability, given the degrees of freedom used. Column marked ' F_{star} ' provides F test for a check star from the same field, measured with the same method as the quasars. The variability result is marked in the var? column, Y is variability detected at $\alpha = 0.001$, P means that the source is probably variable (the variability is detected at $\alpha = 0.01$) and N signifies no variability detected.

		2014 February 21					2014 February 22					
Target	F_{star}	$F_{\rm QSO}$	$F_{\alpha=0.01}$	$F_{\alpha=0.001}$	var?	<i>p</i> -value	F_{star}	$F_{\rm QSO}$	$F_{\alpha=0.01}$	$F_{\alpha=0.001}$	var?	<i>p</i> -value
J0802+2552	1.19	2.93	1.52	1.74	Y	1.54e-10	1.41	2.07	1.77	2.11	Р	2.0e-3
J1258+5239	1.16	1.02	1.44	1.62	Ν	0.43	1.00	0.56	1.81	2.17	Ν	0.96
J1316+4452	0.16	0.31	1.44	1.61	Ν	0.31	0.58	0.58	1.81	2.17	Ν	0.99
J1316+4452b	0.16	0.25	1.44	1.61	Ν	0.99	0.40	0.55	1.80	2.17	Ν	0.99

Table 3. Results from the observations taken on 2014 February 22 in r' filter. The columns follow the same arrangement as in the previous table.

Target	F _{star}	Fobs	$F_{\alpha=0.01}$	$F_{\alpha = 0.001}$	var?	<i>p</i> -value
J0802+2552	0.59	2.45	1.76	2.10	Y	7.0e-05
J1258+5239	0.89	1.30	1.78	2.12	Ν	0.14
J1316+4452	0.77	2.36	1.83	2.21	Y	3.8e-4
J1316+4452b	0.77	4.03	1.83	2.21	Y	6.3e-09

Table 4. Results from the observations taken on 2014 February 22 in i' filter. The columns follow the same arrangement as in the previous tables for g' and i' filters.

Target	F _{star}	Fobs	$F_{\alpha=0.01}$	$F_{\alpha=0.001}$	var?	<i>p</i> -value
J0802+2552	1.49	3.04	1.78	2.12	Y	1.1e-06
J1258+5239	0.64	4.96	1.76	2.10	Y	1.1e-11
J1316+4452	1.01	2.87	1.85	2.25	Y	2.2e-05
J1316+4452b	1.01	3.73	1.85	2.25	Y	1.1e-07

Table 5. Numbers of degrees of freedom df1 and df2 used for the enhanced

 F-test. The number of degrees of freedom of the star (df2) does not correspond to the expected number based on multiplication of the exposures by the number of stars used. This is caused by a slight shift in the observations. It does not affect the results.

Source	Date	Filter	df1	df2
J0802+2552	2014/02/21	g'	59	504
	2014/02/22	g'	29	313
	2014/02/22	r'	29	402
	2014/02/22	i'	29	305
J1258+5239	2014/02/21	g'	84	479
	2014/02/22	g'	29	202
	2014/02/22	r'	29	293
	2014/02/22	i'	29	351
J1316+4452	2014/02/21	g'	83	553
	2014/02/22	g'	29	202
	2014/02/22	r'	29	163
	2014/02/22	i'	29	135

the field. The omitted stars were either too faint or too bright and hence saturated (e.g. north-eastern part of Fig. 1). Using them would introduce imprecisions. We have obtained 60 exposures of the target in g' band. We have used apertures of 34.4 arcsec (90 pixels). Not all the calibration stars were available in all the images, therefore, the total number of calibration stars from the set of 60 images is 522 instead of 540. All of these stars were used as a reference to detect variability. We have detected variability at a significance level 0.001 during this observing night. During the second night of the run, we have observed in three filters (g', r' and i'). We have obtained only 30 exposures per filter, therefore, reducing the number of reference star data points and number of degrees of freedom. Nevertheless we had better quality observations and we were able to use more field stars (11 in g', 15 in r' and 11 in i'), hence the reduction in the number of exposures in a given filter with respect to the first night was partially compensated by the increase in the number of comparison stars. However the test lost some power, which is a possible reason why the target does not appear variable at the significance level 0.001. The variability is detected at the 0.01 level of significance. It is detected as variable at the significance level 0.001 in the r' and i' which both have higher number of field stars and therefore higher number of degrees of freedom. The aperture used for photometry was the same as during the first observing night.

The light curves are displayed in Fig. 5. Overall we conclude positive detection of variability.

J0843+3549 is a target with highly disturbed morphology. The irregular morphology presents a problem for the aperture photometry we are using in all the other cases. The target is quite extended, therefore, to include it completely within the aperture is not feasible. Aperture diameter containing this target is approximately 45.6 arcsec (120 pixels). Such a big aperture is unsuitable for the stars. However the target, as shown in Fig. 1, seems to be a composite of two merging targets. We focus on the brighter (southern) target. SCXTRACTOR treats the whole source as two, the tail (in north–south direction) and the quasar itself, therefore it seem sensible to use smaller aperture enclosing only one of the targets.

The aperture photometry used by SCXTRACTOR counts the pixels above the detection threshold in the given aperture. The fainter tail is above the threshold level and as the seeing varied slightly over



Figure 5. Upper panels: Differential light curve for J0802+2552 during both nights in all available filters. The upper panel shows light curve of the QSO; the lower panel shows transformed differential light curve of the non-variable star used as check star in the test. There is a noticeable increase in the brightness in QSO g' filter during the first night. During the second observing night, there is a decrease in brightness in both, r' and i' filters while g' appears to be non-variable. Colour coding is adopted from previous figures. The errors are computed in SCXTRACTOR.

the first half of the night, we cannot control the propagation of the light from the tail into the target we are interested in. The varying propagation of the light would introduce higher variation of the target, which is not of physical origin.

We have tried to constrain the threshold more strictly to avoid detection of the tail. The number of pixels above the threshold was increased to discard the tail. There are numerous caveats in this approach. We are severely lowering the number of stars which SCXTRACTOR detects. On the other hand, the bright stars are saturated. Therefore we fit only three stars which are roughly comparable in the brightness with the quasar. The aperture used in this case was 83 pixels (31.54 arcsec). To obtain the error estimate, we are fitting only three stars, which is the minimum number of stars to perform the F-test as pointed out by de Diego (2014). The asymptotic error is high in comparison with the other targets.

The resulting F statistic is very high (F > 20), providing very unlikely results. Comparing with the positive detections we have obtained in the other cases ($F \approx 5$) it is highly possible that there is a fluctuation caused by light propagating into the aperture, which is not of a physical origin. Therefore we refrain from drawing conclusions about this target. Because of difficulties in performing accurate photometry, the results for the second observing night are also inconclusive.

J1258+5239 was observed during the second part of the night, when the Moon had already risen.

We have obtained 85 observations in g' filter during the first night. The aperture photometry was performed with a diameter of 34.2 arcsec (90 pixels). We have used seven stars of the field. Taking into account the number of the exposures and the number of the field stars, considering that not all of them might be present on all the images due to the dithering pattern, we have obtained in total 535 scaled down star observations used for analysis of the variability. The statistical analysis results in negative detection of variability. The asymptote of the fit has a higher value compared with other days (≈ 0.01 mag for the infinitely bright source) which reduces our ability to detect microvariability. It borders the limit we set up for a sensible analysis.

The seeing improved slightly during the second observing night, therefore, we have reduced the size of the aperture to 28.5 arcsec (75 pixels). We have obtained 30 images in all filters. Accounting for this change with respect to the first night, following the strategy for J0802+2552, we have used more field stars (eight in g', 11 in r' and 14 in i'). The variability is detected only in i' filter, at the significance level of 0.001.

Considering that the variability was detected only during one night in one filter, we conclude that there is not enough evidence to confirm variable behaviour in the source. Light curves for both observing nights of J1258+5239 are shown in Fig. 6. As the microvariability was detected only in one filter, we conclude that we have not found enough evidence for microvariations during our observations of this source.

Given the presence of the Moon during the observations, the sensitivity of the observations might have been affected.

J1316+4452 was observed during the second half of the nights, with the same conditions of lunar illumination as J1258+5239. Aside from our targeted source, there is a galaxy present in the field of view, south-east from the target as seen in Fig. 1. It is of roughly similar brightness as our target. It is classified as a broad line galaxy in SDSS-III (Eisenstein et al. 2011). We have applied the same statistical tests on the galaxy as on the target.

During the first observing night, we have obtained 84 images of the field (hence 84 observations of both, targeted type 2 quasar and accompanying galaxy) in g' filter. We used nine stars in the field for scaling. The total number of stars we have used for scaling was 580. Aperture photometry was measured within a diameter of 22.8 arcsec (60 pixels). The enhanced F-test on both, accompanying galaxy (J1316+4452b) and J1316+4452 itself results in negative detection of microvariability.

We have followed the same strategy as for the other targets and during the second observing night, we were circulating g', r' and i'



Figure 6. Upper panels: differential light curve for J1258+5239 during both nights in all available filters; lower panels: the same, transformed, for the non-variable check star used to control the test result. Variations were reported for February 22 in *i*' filter with 0.001 significance level.



Figure 7. Upper panel: differential light curve for J1316+4452 during both nights in all available filters. We have detected microvariations at the 0.001 significance level in the r' and i' filters. Lower panels: transformed differential light curve of the check star. There are fewer observations of the check star, which is the result of the dithering pattern in combination with few stars in the field.

filters. We have obtained 30 exposures of the target in each filter. Usually there are not many comparison stars accompanying the quasar and the galaxy. However in this case, we were able to use nine stars in g', eight in r' and nine in i' filters. As the seeing improved compared to the first night, we have used aperture of diameter 20.8 arcsec (55 pixels). The statistical analysis results in detection of variability at the significance level 0.001 in both, broad line galaxy and the type 2 quasar. The broad line sources (in this case quasars), were previously detected as variable sources on small scales (e.g. Fitch et al. 1967; Paliya et al. 2013). Both light curves are displayed in Figs 7 and 8 (respectively).

As in the case of J1258+5239, the observations were carried out in the presence of the Moon. As the only filter where we fail to detect variability is g', which seem to be more sensitive to the Moon shine, it is possible that the sources are indeed variable and the variability is not prominent enough to be detectable in g' filter. Therefore we conclude that the source is probably variable.

5 DISCUSSION

We have observed four type 2 quasars during two nights in 2014 February 2014 the Nordic Optical Telescope located at the Roque



Figure 8. Differential light curve for the broad line galaxy J1316+4452b. The galaxy was observed in all the images obtained for the target, regardless of the dithering. It was detected as variable in r' and i' filter. There is no differential light curve for the check star plotted as it would be similar as in the preceding figure.

de Los Muchachos observatory on La Palma, Canary islands. Out of four targets, two have disturbed morphologies. We have detected microvariability on a time-scale less than 4 h in one target (J0802+2552) in all filters at the 0.001 significance level during both observing nights, in J1258+5239 (in *i'* filter) and J1316+4452 (in *r'* and *i'* filter) with 0.001 significance level only in the second observing night. In the cases of J1258+5239 and J1316+4452, the Moon was present during the observations, which probably resulted in lessening the sensitivity of the *g'* and possibly *r'* filter. As the phenomenon we are detecting is very fine, the smallest contributions from the Moon are likely affecting the observations.

J1258+5939 was detected as variable only in one filter and therefore we conclude there is not enough evidence for microvariability, J1316+4452 was detected as variable with a level of significance 0.01 in two filters, the negative detection is consistently in g' filter which is affected by the Moon more than the i' and r'. Therefore we conclude the target is probably variable. We are not drawing conclusions on one of the targets (J0843+3549) since the analysis was impossible due to the complex morphology of its host galaxy.

5.1 F-test

We have tested a newly proposed method for detecting variability/microvariability: the enhanced F-test (de Diego 2014) which was previously used only on simulated data and for detection of microvariability in blazars (Gaur et al. 2015). The test shows sensible results and provides enough power to search for variability. But as the power of the test relies on the sample size, it is desirable to have as many observations as possible, and a high number of stars in the field observed.

However there are limitations posed by the nature of the targets we have observed. As long as the target is only moderately extended without irregularities, the aperture photometry works reasonably well. Irregular targets are problematic as, at the moment, there is no routine available which would be able to separate the quasar from its host galaxy. Including the presumably steady host galaxy, increases the noise in the data, which results in a possible underestimation of the amplitude of the variation. We have shown that in the case of significantly extended host galaxies (as in some interacting systems), the variability detection is hampered due to the problems with aperture photometry. Alternative photometry available in SCXTRACTOR (e.g. isocor photometry or elliptical photometries using Kron radius) might introduce inhomogeneities into the data set, due to different aperture sizes for the stars in the field and the quasars, therefore we are not focusing on them. We have tried to limit the threshold to account for light propagating into the main target from the fainter tail of the source. Such adjustment severely reduces the number of stars that can be used for differential photometry and, therefore, diminishes the main advantage of the F-test, which is to increase the number of degrees of freedom based on using as many field stars as possible. We have shown that the brightness of the sky might play a role in the reliability of the test as we were unable to achieve similar photometric precisions for targets observed when the sky was partially illuminated by the Moon.

5.2 Microvariability results

Optical microvariability in type 2 quasars is a phenomenon previously unaccounted for. It is hard to observe short time-scale variability in this kind of objects since our view to the central engine is supposed to be blocked by the dusty obscuring torus.

Possible explanation of this phenomenon might lie in the misclassification of the targets, caused by the insufficient quality of the spectra used for analysis. Such misclassification can be addressed by infrared data as studied by Chen & Shan (2009). They suggested that the population of type 2 quasars might be divided into a group of true type 2 quasars without any underlying broad line regions and heavily obscured type 1 quasars, based on the infrared colourcolour diagram. The study by Chen & Shan (2009) showed that 43 per cent of type 2 quasars are dominated by a thermal spectrum, while the rest is following a power law, pointing out a non-thermal origin of the emission, which bears a similarity with type 1 quasars. Out of our studied sample, one target (J13116+4452) falls into the power-law group. This target is detected as probably variable which is consistent with the results of microvariability detections in type 1 sources (e.g. Fitch et al. 1967; Paliya et al. 2013). Such result hints that the belonging to the power-law group, based on the infrared colour, might have an effect on microvariability detection. Drawing conclusion based on one source is however not reliable and we would need a larger sample to confirm the hypothesis.

The only target in which we have detected microvariability during both nights in all filters stands out in the sample at first sight because of its morphology. Since all our targets are selected based on the SDSS catalogue, we were able to check the morphology types based on citizen science projects Galaxy ZOO and Galaxy ZOO2 (Lintott et al. 2011; Willett et al. 2013). All of our targets have been classified by more than 30 Galaxy ZOO users. In the first iteration, J1258+5239 is the only one which reaches the reliability threshold for the classification. It is classified as spiral galaxy, while the other two (J0802+2552 and J1316+4452) are uncertain. The second iteration of the morphology classification marked J1316+4452 as a spiral with a bulge and medium winded arms with notable irregularity, while J0802+2552 was marked as an irregular elliptical with many votes in favour of a merging state. However since our sample f microvariability and the morphology. Carini M. T., Miller H. R., Noble J. C., Goodrich B. D., 1992, AJ, 104, 15

- Carini M. T., Noble J. C., Taylor R., Culler R., 2007, AJ, 133, 303
- Chen P. S., Shan H. G., 2009, MNRAS, 393, 1408
- Cohen R. D., Puetter R. C., Rudy R. J., Ake T. B., Foltz C. B., 1986, ApJ, 311, 135
- de Diego J. A., 2014, AJ, 148, 93
- de Diego J. A., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Ramírez A., Benítez E., 1998, ApJ, 501, 69
- Eisenstein D. J. et al., 2011, AJ, 142, 72
- Fitch W. S., Pacholczyk A. G., Weymann R. J., 1967, ApJ, 150, L67
- Gaur H. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 452, 4263
- Gopal-Krishna, Sagar R., Wiita P. J., 1995, MNRAS, 274, 701
- Gopal-Krishna, Stalin C. S., Sagar R., Wiita P. J., 2003, ApJ, 586, L25 Goyal A., Mhaskey M., Gopal-Krishna, Wiita P. J., Stalin C. S., Sagar R.,
- 2013a, J. Astrophys. Astron., 34, 273 Goyal A., Gopal-Krishna, Paul J. W., Stalin C. S., Sagar R., 2013b, MNRAS, 435, 1300
- Howell S. B., Warnock A., III, Mitchell K. J., 1988, AJ, 95, 247
- Iwasawa K., Fabian A. C., Ettori S., 2001, MNRAS, 321, L15
- Jang M., Miller H. R., 1995, ApJ, 452, 582
- Jang M., Miller H. R., 1997, AJ, 114, 565
- Joshi R., Chand H., 2013, MNRAS, 429, 1717
- Joshi R., Chand H., Gupta A. C., Wiita P. J., 2011, MNRAS, 412, 2717
- Khachikian E. Y., Weedman D. W., 1971, ApJ, 164, L109
- Kidger M. R., de Diego J. A., 1990, A&A, 227, L25
- Li Y., Yuan W., Zhou H. Y., Komossa S., Ai Y. L., Liu W. J., Boisvert J. H., 2015, AJ, 149, 75
- Lintott C. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 410, 166
- Matthews T. A., Sandage A. R., 1963, ApJ, 138, 30
- Miller H. R., Carini M. T., Goodrich B. D., 1989, Nature, 337, 627
- Nenkova M., Sirocky M. M., Ivezić Ž., Elitzur M., 2008, ApJ, 685, 147
- Paliya V. S., Stalin C. S., Kumar B., Kumar B., Bhatt V. K., Pandey S. B., Yadav R. K. S., 2013, MNRAS, 428, 2450
- Ramírez A., de Diego J. A., Dultzin-Hacyan D., González-Pérez J. N., 2004, A&A, 421, 83
- Ramírez A., de Diego J. A., Dultzin D., González-Pérez J.-N., 2009, AJ, 138, 991
- R core team 2013, A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing
- Reyes R. et al., 2008, AJ, 136, 2373
- Risaliti G., Elvis M., Fabbiano G., Baldi A., Zezas A., 2005, ApJ, 623, L93
- Risaliti G. et al., 2009, MNRAS, 393, L1
- Stalin C. S., Gopal-Krishna, Sagar R., Wiita P. J., 2004, MNRAS, 350, 175
- Storchi-Bergmann T., Baldwin J. A., Wilson A. S., 1993, ApJ, 410, L11 Taylor M. B., 2005, in Shopbell P., Britton M., Ebert R., eds, ASP Conf.
- Iaylor M. B., 2005, in Shopbell P., Britton M., Ebert R., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 347, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XIV. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 29
- Tran H. D., Osterbrock D. E., Martel A., 1992, AJ, 104, 2072
- Tran H. D., Cohen M. H., Villar-Martin M., 2000, AJ, 120, 562
- Willett K. W. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 2835

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.

3959

is very small, it would be premature to draw conclusions on a relation between the detection of microvariability and the morphology.

The physical processes lying behind the microvariations are difficult to determine as there are not many reports of similar phenomena to this date. Recent studies dedicated to rapid variations are directed mostly on local AGN in X-ray (Risaliti et al. 2005, 2009). Risaliti et al. (2009) observe changes on the time-scales of hours in NGC 1365, a Seyfert 1.8 galaxy. They report a transition from the reflection dominated source to increase in the 7-10 keV emission in ~ 10 h. The authors propose that the variations are due to the rapid changes in the absorbing column density along the line of sight. Although we cannot confirm such behaviour in our target, as we have no X-ray data available, we cannot rule out the possibility of a similar behaviour in our case. Detected microvariations in the optical regime would fall into the gaps where there is no Compton-thick clouds obscuration. We can assume that such change would be reflected in the optical spectra, although to the best of our knowledge, there was no rapid optical spectroscopic follow-up performed on the NGC 1365. Changes in the spectrum were not reported in our microvariable target either. The explanation based on the window in the obscuring medium is consistent with the clumpy model of the torus as proposed by e.g. Nenkova et al. (2008), which includes clouds of obscuring material passing through the line of sight, occasionally allowing the view on to the central engine. As microvariability is common in the unobscured targets, the behaviour of our targets seems to be consistent with such scenario.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Based on observations made with the Nordic Optical Telescope operated on the island of La Palma by the Nordic Optical Telescope Scientific Association in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de Los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. This research has been supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO) under the grant AYA2014-58861-C3-1. IO acknowledges support from the European Research Council (ERC) in the form of Advanced Grant, COSMICISM. JAdD is grateful for the support from the grant UNAM-DGAPA-PAPIIT IN110013 Program and the Canary islands CIE: Tricontinental Atlantic Campus. AE acknowledges support by the grant AYA2012-30789.

REFERENCES

- Adelman-McCarthy J. K. et al., 2008, ApJS, 175, 297
- Antonucci R., 1993, ARA&A, 31, 473
- Aretxaga I., Joguet B., Kunth D., Melnick J., Terlevich R. J., 1999, ApJ, 519, L123
- Bertin E., 2006, in Gabriel C., Arviset C., Ponz D., Enrique S., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 351, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XV. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 112