



Ford, H. C., Allen, W. J., C. Pereira, G., Liu, X., Dillingham, M. S., & Collinson, I. R. (2021). *Towards a molecular mechanism underlying mitochondrial protein import through the 2 TOM-TIM23 complex*. (bioRxiv). https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.30.458282

Early version, also known as pre-print License (if available): CC BY Link to published version (if available): 10.1101/2021.08.30.458282

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the submitted manuscript (SM). It first appeared online via BioRxiv at https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.08.30.458282v2. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

1	Towards a molecular mechanism underlying mitochondrial protein import through the
2	TOM-TIM23 complex
3	Holly C. Ford, William J. Allen, Gonçalo C. Pereira*, Xia Liu, Mark S. Dillingham and Ian
4	$\operatorname{Collinson}^\dagger$
5	School of Biochemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TD, UK
6 7	[†] corresponding outhor: ion collingon@brietal as uk
8	* present address: MRC Mitochondrial Biology Unit University of Cambridge The Keith
0	Peters Building Cambridge Biomedical Campus Cambridge CB2 0YY UK
9	Telers Bullaing, Cambriage Biomedical Campus, Cambriage CB2 0A1, OK
10	ABSTRACT
11	Mitochondria contain over a thousand different proteins, which, aside from a few encoded on the
12	mitochondrial genome, are translated in the cytosol and targeted for import. For the majority, the
13	first port of call is the translocase of the outer membrane (TOM-complex); their onward journey
14	is via a procession of alternative molecular machines, conducting transport to their final sub-
15	compartment destination: the outer-mitochondrial membrane (OMM), inner-mitochondrial
16	membrane (IMM), inter-membrane space (IMS) or matrix. The pre-sequence translocase of the
17	inner-membrane (TIM23-complex) is responsible for importing proteins with cleavable pre-
18	sequences, and comes in two distinct forms: the TIM23 ^{SORT} complex mediates IMM protein
19	insertion and the TIM23 ^{MOTOR} complex is responsible for matrix import. Progress in understanding
20	these transport mechanisms has, until recently, been hampered by the poor sensitivity and time-
21	resolution of import assays. However, with the development of an assay based on split NanoLuc
22	luciferase, we can now explore this process in greater detail. Here, we apply this new methodology
23	to understand how $\Delta\psi$ and ATP hydrolysis, the two main driving forces for transport through the
24	TIM23 ^{MOTOR} complex, contribute to the import of pre-sequence-containing precursors (PCPs) with
25	varying properties. Notably, we found that two major rate limiting steps define the PCP import
26	time: passage of the PCP across the OMM and initiation of IMM transport by the pre-sequence.
27	The rates of these steps are influenced by PCP properties such as size and net charge, but correlate
28	poorly with the total amount of PCP imported - emphasising the importance of collecting rapid
29	kinetic data to elucidating mechanistic detail. Our results also indicate that PCPs spend very little
30	time in the TIM23 channel - presumably rapid success or failure of import is critical for
31	maintaining mitochondrial health.

1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 Mitochondria are eukaryotic organelles responsible for the biosynthesis of ATP and many other 4 essential cellular functions: biosynthesis of fatty acids (Nowinski et al., 2018) and Fe-S clusters 5 (Rouault, 2012), calcium regulation (Nicholls, 1978), generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) 6 (Chen et al., 2003)(Nishikawa et al., 2000), intracellular signalling (Hoth et al., 1997)(Chandel, 7 2015), and apoptosis (Wang and Youle, 2009). Owing to their double-membrane, mitochondria 8 comprise four distinct compartments: the outer-mitochondrial membrane (OMM), inter-membrane 9 space (IMS), inner-membrane (IMM), and matrix. The IMM is highly specialised for the 10 chemiosmotic mechanism of aerobic respiration; it is impermeable to ions and maintains an 11 electrochemical gradient of protons (acidic and positive on the IMS side) - the proton-motive force 12 (PMF). This serves as a form of potential energy, composed of an electrical ($\Delta \psi$) and a chemical 13 (ΔpH) component, used to drive the rotary mechanism of ATP synthesis *inter alia*.

14

15 Of more than a thousand proteins that constitute the mitochondrial proteome, all but a handful 16 encoded on the mitochondrial genome (13 in human), are synthesised in the cytosol. A number of 17 bespoke protein import machineries have evolved to transport this highly diverse mixture of 18 soluble and membrane-associated proteins to their various submitochondrial destinations, and for 19 their subsequent folding and assembly into multi-protein complexes. Almost all mitochondrial 20 precursor proteins enter mitochondria via the translocase of the outer membrane (TOM complex) 21 which contains the pore-forming β -barrel protein, Tom40. The TOM complex in *Saccharomyces* 22 *cerevisiae* is thought to form dimers, and possibly trimers and tetramers, and notably their pores 23 have highly negatively charged inner surfaces (Tucker and Park, 2019)(Araiso et al., 2019).

24

Roughly 60-70% of mitochondrial precursor proteins – almost all those targeted to the matrix and a subset of IMM proteins – have a positively-charged, amphipathic α -helical pre-sequence, also known as a mitochondrial targeting sequence (MTS) (Araiso et al., 2019)(Vögtle et al., 2009). These pre-sequence-containing precursors (PCPs) are transferred to the translocase of the inner membrane (TIM23-complex) once their N-termini emerge from the Tom40 channel, and pass through in an unfolded state (Eilers and Schatz, 1986)(Matouschek et al., 1997)(Neupert and Brunner, 2002)(Rassow et al., 1990) (Neupert and Herrmann, 2007). Genetic and biochemical

1 experiments have elucidated the key constituents of the TIM23-complex (Blom et al., 1993) 2 (Maarse et al., 1992) (Emtage and Jensen, n.d.) (Maarsea et al., 1994): the core (TIM23^{CORE}) 3 comprises three membrane-spanning proteins: Tim23, Tim17 and Tim50. Tim23 is the channel-4 forming protein and has 4 trans-membrane α -helices (TMH) and a hydrophilic N-terminal region projecting into the IMS which can bind to pre-sequences. Tim17 is required by Tim23 for 5 6 structural integrity, while the large IMS domain of Tim50 provides the main receptor for PCPs. TIM23^{CORE} associates with different proteins to form complexes tailored to different tasks: 7 8 together with Tim21 and Mgr2 it forms the TIM23^{SORT} complex, capable of lateral release of 9 proteins with hydrophobic sorting sequences, while association with the pre-sequence translocaseassociated motor (PAM) forms the TIM23^{MOTOR} complex, responsible for matrix import. After 10 crossing the IMM, either way, the MTS is cleaved by a matrix processing peptidase (MPP) (Vögtle 11 12 et al., 2009).

13

14 Both pathways into and across the IMM have been shown to require the electrical component of 15 the PMF, the membrane potential $(\Delta \psi)$, for transport – as an electrophoretic force on the positively charged pre-sequence (Martin et al., 1991)(Geissler et al., 2000)(Truscott et al., 2001). Δψ alone 16 is sufficient for insertion of membrane proteins via the TIM23^{SORT} complex (Callegari et al., 2020), 17 but complete import into the matrix by the TIM23^{MOTOR} complex requires an additional driving 18 19 force - ATP hydrolysis by the main component of PAM, the mtHsp70 protein (Ssc1 in 20 yeast)(Wachter et al., 1994). The remaining proteins belonging to PAM facilitate its dynamic 21 assembly at the matrix face of the import channel and ensure tight regulation of the ATPase activity 22 of mtHsp70. $\Delta \psi$ has also been implicated in other aspects of TIM23^{MOTOR}-mediated PCP import. 23 It promotes Tim23 dimerisation (Bauer and Sirrenberg, 1996), necessary for PCP binding, and is 24 required for Tim17-mediated opening of the channel, which in turn allows the recruitment of 25 Hsp70 by Tim44 (S et al., 2007)(Demishtein-Zohary et al., 2017)(Ting et al., 2017)(Ramesh et al., 26 2016).

27

Our basic understanding of the mechanism of protein import *via* the TOM-TIM23^{MOTOR}-complex is summarised in Fig. 1A. The unfolded or chaperone-bound PCP binds to the TOM receptor, Tom20, in the OMM. This is followed by sequential: threading and passage of the PCP through the Tom40 channel, transfer to the TIM23 complex in the IMS/ IMM, $\Delta \psi$ -dependent translocation

of the pre-sequence into the matrix, and ATP-dependent translocation of the remainder of the protein. This model is primarily derived from end point measurements from a classical import assay involving autoradiography or Western blotting. However, this method is highly limited in its time resolution, and insufficient to provide a deep understanding of the individual steps that make up transport, or their relative contributions to its kinetics. For this reason, we recently developed a highly time-resolved and sensitive assay which exploits a split NanoLuc enzyme (Pereira et al., 2019) to measure protein transport across membranes (Fig. 1B).

8

9 In the NanoLuc assay, PCPs tagged with a small fragment of the NanoLuc enzyme (an 11 amino 10 acid peptide called pep86), are added to mitochondria isolated from yeast engineered to contain a matrix-localised large fragment of the enzyme (the enzyme lacking a single beta strand, called 11 12 11S). When the PCP-pep86 fusion protein reaches the matrix, pep86 binds rapidly and with tight 13 affinity to 11S forming a complete NanoLuc luciferase. In the presence of the NanoLuc substrate 14 (furimazine), this generates a luminescence signal proportional to the amount of NanoLuc formed. 15 Luminescence is thus a direct readout of the amount of PCP that has entered the matrix, up to the 16 total amount of 11S. As expected, it is $\Delta \psi$ -dependent, affected by depletion of ATP, and sensitive 17 to specific inhibitors of TIM23-dependent protein import (Pereira et al., 2019). 18

19 Here, we have used the NanoLuc translocation assay to obtain precise, time-resolved 20 measurements of protein delivery into the matrix mediated by the TOM and TIM23^{MOTOR} 21 complexes. To add mechanistic detail to the above model (Fig. 1A), we systematically varied the 22 length and charge of the mature sequences of PCPs and profiled their import kinetics. To better 23 understand the cause of any observed effects on amplitude, rate and lag, we performed experiments 24 under conditions where either of the two main driving forces, $\Delta \psi$ or ATP, had been depleted. Our 25 results suggest that IMM transport itself is fast in normally functioning mitochondria, and limited 26 by the availability of $\Delta \psi$. Analyses such as these, together with emerging structures of the import 27 machinery (Tucker and Park, 2019), will be fundamental to understanding the underlying 28 molecular basis of mitochondrial protein import.

- 29
- 30
- 31 **RESULTS**

1 Basic characterisation of protein import suggests under experimental conditions the reaction

2 is largely single turnover

3 An exemplar NanoLuc import trace is shown in Fig. 1C, collected using the model yeast matrix 4 protein Acp1 (used in previous import studies as a matrix-targeted precursor (Wurm and Jakobs, 2006)) fused to a pep86 (Acp1-pep86). The most intuitive parameter of this trace is amplitude, 5 6 which corresponds to the amount of NanoLuc formed when the reaction reaches completion, and 7 thus the total number of import events; so long as the pep86 tag does not exceed matrix 11S. In 8 order to verify that this was not the case we estimated the concentration of 11S in the mitochondria 9 by quantitative Western blotting. An antibody raised against 11S was used to compare the 10 quantities of the mitochondrial contained luciferase with known quantities of the purified protein 11 (Fig. S1A). The results reveal high (µM) internal 11S concentrations of with significant variation 12 between mitochondrial preparations ($\sim 2.8 - 7.5 \mu$ M). We see no correlation between amount of 13 11S and signal amplitude even with saturating PCP (Fig. S1B-C, and see below). Thus, we 14 conclude that the matrix concentration of 11S is far in excess of the imported PCP, regardless of 15 how much of it is added to the outside.

16

We next measured signal amplitude over a wide range of concentrations of Acp1-pep86. Plotting the results shows that amplitude is linearly related to PCP concentration up to ~ 45 nM, where it plateaus (Fig. 2A). Because the mitochondrial matrix volume is only ~1/12,000 of the total reaction volume (see Methods), if all 45 nM PCP were imported it would correspond to roughly 540 μ M inside the matrix. This is not only far in excess of the internal 11S concentration (as low as ~2.8 μ M), but is also implausible simply from the amount of physical space available. Evidently, therefore, only a tiny fraction of the PCP added reaches the matrix.

24

As neither the amount of PCP added nor the amount of 11S in the matrix appear to be limiting, we next tested to see whether the number of import sites might be having an effect. To estimate the number of import sites, we generated a PCP that can import and give a signal, but which prevents subsequent import events through the same import site – *i.e.* forcing single turnover conditions. To do this, we fused dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) to the PCP which, in the presence of the inhibitor methotrexate (MTX), folds tightly and cannot be imported (Gold et al., 2017). As expected, if DHFR is positioned N-terminal to pep86 (DHFR-pep86), we see very little

luminescence with MTX present, consistent with blocked import (Fig. 2B). However, when DHFR
is positioned C-terminal to pep86 (pep86-DHFR) with sufficient length between the two to span
the TOM and TIM complexes (212 amino acids in this case, longer than the 135 required (Rassow
et al., 1989)), we do see an import signal (Fig. 2B), confirming that NanoLuc can form as soon as
pep86 enters the matrix. Surprisingly, the presence or absence of MTX makes only a minor
difference to the amplitude of this signal (Fig. 2B).

7

8 Signal amplitude as a function of the pep86-DHFR PCP concentration with or without MTX shows 9 a similar but not identical relationship (Fig. 2C). The slope, which corresponds to the increase in 10 amplitude per 1 nM PCP, is 1.22 times greater in the absence of MTX, meaning only about 20% 11 of the signal arises from turnovers beyond the first. While this does not necessarily mean that 12 import is strictly single turnover – which seems unlikely for fully functional mitochondria – it does 13 suggest that it behaves as single turnover under the reaction conditions here.

- 14
- 15

16 Kinetic analysis of import suggests two major rate-limiting steps

In addition to the amplitude data, the import traces contain information about the kinetics of the reaction. Looking again at the data from in Fig. 1C, it can be seen that import does not start at its maximum rate; in most cases there is a lag before transport accelerates. This is characteristic of reactions with multiple consecutive steps, where only the last one gives rise to a signal. As an approximation, the data fit well to a closed equation for a two-step process (see Methods), which gives two apparent rate constants (k_1' and k_2') in addition to amplitude (Fersht, 1984).

23

In the simplest case possible, where the two steps are irreversible and have very different values, k_1' and k_2' correspond to the two rates for these steps $(k_1 \text{ and } k_2)$ (Fersht, 1984). This is complicated if the reactions are reversible (in which case the reverse rates also factor), or if k_1 and k_2 are very similar (in which case they are both convoluted into k_1' and k_2'). Nonetheless, this analysis is very useful for understanding the mechanism of import (see below) – especially under conditions where k_1 and k_2 are well separated.

30

1 It should be noted that the order of the two steps cannot be determined a priori, but we expect the 2 first rate to be dependent on PCP concentration, as association of TOM and PCP is the first step 3 in the transport process. It is also important to note that any additional step faster than about 5 min⁻ 4 ¹ will not be resolved in our experimental set up using deploying a multi-plate reader (Fig. S2A), and will instead manifest as a small apparent lag before the signal appears (equal to $1/k_{\text{step}}$, where 5 k_{step} is the rate constant for that process)(Allen et al., 2020). This includes formation of NanoLuc 6 7 as this is >7.4 min⁻¹ even at the lowest estimated 11S concentration, as determined in solution (Fig. S2B). As expected, the internal concentration of 11S does not appreciably affect transport kinetics 8 9 (Fig. S2C).

- 10
- 11

12 Transport is dependent on total protein size

13 To begin to determine what the two apparent rates correspond to, we first designed and purified 14 two series of four PCPs, varying either in total length or N- to C-terminal positioning of pep86 15 (Fig. 3A). The length variants all similarly contained the pre-sequence of Acp1 followed by the 16 Acp1 mature domain, with pep86 (L) at the C-terminus. Increase in length was achieved by 17 repeating the mature part of Acp1 up to three times. In between each Acp1 mature domain we 18 included a scrambled pep86 sequence (D), which does not interact with 11S (Allen et al., 2020), 19 such that each tandem repeat has the same overall amino acid (aa) composition. This protein set 20 was designed to reveal potential PCP size-dependence of import. The other set (sequence variants) 21 were all identical to the longest length-variant PCP (four tandem repeats), but with the active pep86 22 in different positions. Because the sequence variants (abbreviated as LDDD, DLDD, DDLD and 23 DDDL) are identical save for number of aa that must enter the matrix before the NanoLuc signal 24 does, differences in import kinetics between them should reflect processive translocation through the TOM-TIM23^{MOTOR} complex (the ATP-dependent step in Fig. 1A). 25

26

27 Transport of all four length variants (L, DL, DDL and DDDL) and sequence variants (LDDD, 28 DLDD, DDLD and DDDL) at high concentration (1 μ M) fit well to the simple two-step model, 29 giving an amplitude and two apparent rate constants (assigned arbitrarily k_1' and k_2') for each. 30 Import traces and the results of fits to the two-step model are plotted in Fig. 3B and C respectively, 31 with error bars representing the SEM from three biological replicates.

1

2 For the length series, signal amplitude is inversely correlated with protein length (Fig. 3C). This 3 is not because the PCPs become trapped in the import channel during transport, as the sequence 4 variants all have the same amplitude (Fig. 3C). Instead, it seems that small proteins are able to accumulate at higher levels in the matrix than large ones. This is consistent with the idea that 5 6 energy depletion limits import – it takes more energy to import a larger protein – rather than a 7 limitation of the amount of 11S, as this would result in the same maximum amplitude for all 8 proteins. In terms of rates, we find that the faster rate constant (k_1) has a strong inverse correlation 9 with PCP length (but not pep86 position), *i.e.* it is faster for smaller proteins, while k_2' shows little 10 effect.

11

12 To explore the length and sequence variants further, we measured protein import for both protein 13 sets over a range of PCP concentrations, and fitted the data in the same way (Fig. 4). Just as before, 14 we find no systematic difference between the sequence variants (Fig. 4D-F) – clearly passage of 15 the PCP through TIM is not limiting the overall transport rate. For the length series, however, the 16 apparent K_d for import increases as PCP length increases; effectively, more PCP must be added to 17 produce the maximum amplitude (Fig. 4A), even though that amplitude is lower (Fig. 3B-C). It is 18 difficult to estimate accurate K_Ms for the fitted rate constants as they are hard to assign when they 19 are very similar, but it appears the slow rates (k_2) have approximately the same apparent K_M as 20 amplitude, while the fast rates (k_1) all have a similar apparent K_M, in the low 100s of nM.

21

22 Depletion of $\Delta \psi$ has a stronger effect on import than depleting ATP

23 To better understand the molecular basis for the length-dependence of import, we measured import 24 of the length and sequence variants under conditions in which each of the two driving forces ($\Delta \psi$ 25 and ATP) were depleted. Partial depletion of $\Delta \psi$ by pre-treatment of mitochondria with 26 valinomycin, a potassium ionophore, causes a decrease in signal amplitude for all lengths and 27 sequence variants, affecting them roughly equally (Fig 5A). Intriguingly, valinomycin has very 28 different effects on the two apparent rate constants: k_1' is somewhat slowed for shorter proteins but 29 largely unaffected for longer ones (Fig. 5A), while k_2' is somewhat slowed for short proteins but 30 dramatically reduced for longer ones (Fig. 5A).

31

Depletion of matrix ATP was achieved simply by excluding ATP and its regenerating system from 1 2 the assay buffer. Endogenous matrix ATP under these conditions is minimal, as is evident from 3 the fact that import becomes highly sensitive to antimycin A, an inhibitor of oxidative 4 phosphorylation (Fig. S3). This sensitivity arises because ATP is required for hydrolysis by the ATP synthase to maintain $\Delta \psi$ in the absence of oxidative phosphorylation(Campanella et al., 5 6 2008). Import experiments performed with depleted ATP show reduced amplitude, but unlike 7 valinomycin this effect is more pronounced for the longer PCPs (Fig. 5B) – consistent with 8 proposed role for ATP in promoting transport of the mature part of the PCP. ATP depletion has 9 little or no effect on k_1' , and a relatively minor effect on k_2' (Fig. 5B), affecting both the length and 10 sequence variants roughly equally.

11

12 A simple working model for transport based on the above results

13 Taking all the above observations together, we can propose a simplified model for import that 14 incorporates two major rate-limiting steps. Because k_2' is somewhat sensitive to ATP, it most likely comes at the end of transport, as the contribution of Hsp70 requires at least some of the PCP to be 15 16 in the matrix. Since k_2' shows very little dependence on PCP length in fully energised 17 mitochondria, we propose that it is primarily the $\Delta \psi$ -dependent insertion of the pre-sequence 18 through TIM23, not the subsequent passage of the unfolded passenger domain that is limiting 19 (although both presumably contribute the apparent rate constant). However, under conditions of 20 $\Delta \psi$ depletion, a length-dependence of k_2' emerges: this is consistent with import rate of the rest of 21 the PCP being affected by $\Delta \psi$ ((Schendzielorz et al., 2017), and see also below). It is also possible 22 that transport of longer PCPs has a higher chance of failure, with the PCP slipping back into the 23 IMS - this would be a useful mechanism to prevent TIM23 complexes becoming blocked with 24 defective PCPs, and would explain the difference in the effect of $\Delta \psi$ depletion on the length and 25 sequence variants.

26

The other apparent rate constant, k_1 ', is strongly dependent on PCP concentration (Fig. 4), however this saturates with an apparent K_M of around 100-200 nM. This is indicative of a two-step process, where the first is PCP binding and dissociating in rapid equilibrium (too fast to measure as a step in itself), followed by a slower step that proceeds from the bound form:

$$PCP + TOM \xrightarrow[k_{off}]{k_{off}} TOM.PCP \xrightarrow{k_1} TOM.PCP^*.$$

2

1

The strong dependence of k_1' on PCP length provides a clue as to the nature of k_1 – it is likely to correspond to passage of the PCP across the OM, through the TOM complex.

5

6 Putting all of this together, we propose the following minimal kinetic scheme for PCP import:

7

$$PCP_{out} + TOM \xrightarrow[k_{off}]{k_{on}} TOM.PCP_{out} \xrightarrow{k_1} TOM.PCP_{IMS} \xrightarrow{k_2} PCP_{in},$$

9

8

where the subscript to PCP indicates its location (<u>out</u>side the OM, in the <u>IMS</u>, or <u>in</u>side the matrix). In this model, k_{on} and k_{off} are both fast compared with k_1 , and give an affinity ($K_d = k_{off} / k_{on}$) in the order of 100 nM, similar to the affinity (~ 35 nM) of a bacterial secretion preprotein to bacterial inner membrane vesicles (Hartl et al., 1990). The two extracted rate constants can be approximately determined as ([PCP] designates PCP concentration):

15

16
$$k_1' \sim k_1 \frac{[PCP]}{K_d + [PCP]}$$
 and $k_2' \sim k_2$

17

This model fits the data, and we believe it is the most reasonable interpretation of the above 18 19 experimental results. However it still leaves open several questions, notably the extent to which k_1 and k_2 are reversible. For example, the fact that k_1' is somewhat affected by valinomycin (Fig. 5A) 20 21 suggests that k_1 is to some extent reversible, given that passage through TOM can occur in the 22 absence of $\Delta \psi$ (Mayer et al., 1993)(Lill et al., 1992): slowing k_2 would then leave more opportunity 23 diffusion back out of the IMS through TOM, a process that occurs in the absence of ATP 24 (Ungermann et al., 1996). In addition, we cannot determine from this data exactly at what stage 25 handover from TOM to TIM23 occurs, whether the entire PCP must pass through TOM first, or 26 even whether it can dissociate from TOM before binding to TIM23.

27

28 Changing PCP net charge affects import amplitude and rate differently

 $\Delta \psi$, the electrical component of the PMF (positive outside), has been proposed to act primarily 30 upon positively charged residues in the PCP, pulling them through electrophoretically (Martin et

al., 1991)(Geissler et al., 2000)(Truscott et al., 2001). To test this idea, we designed a series of proteins, based on a engineered version of the N-terminal section of yeast cytochrome b_2 lacking the stop-transfer signal (Δ 43-65) to enable complete matrix import (Gold et al., 2014), with varying numbers of charged residues (Fig. 6A). These proteins were of the same length, but spanned 5.43 units of pI ranging from 4.97 to 10.4. Import of these charge variants under saturating conditions (1 μ M PCP) was measured using the NanoLuc assay as above (Fig. 6B).

7

8 The most immediately striking observation is that amplitude is strongly *inversely* correlated with 9 net charge of the PCP – *i.e.* the opposite of what might be expected given the direction of $\Delta \psi$ (Fig. 10 6C). To understand why this would be, we turned to our earlier interpretation of signal amplitude: 11 that it is limited by the availability of $\Delta \psi$. If transport of positively charged residues depletes $\Delta \psi$ 12 while transport of negatively charged residues replenishes it, this could explain why negatively 13 charged proteins accumulate to a higher level.

14

15 To test this hypothesis, we monitored $\Delta \psi$ in isolated mitochondria over time by measuring TMRM 16 fluorescence, then assessed the effect of adding the PCPs with differing net charge (Fig. 6D). The 17 PCPs did indeed cause strong depletion of $\Delta \psi$ and, moreover, this effect diminished with 18 increasing net negative charge. Increasing net positive charge did not seem to result in enhanced 19 depletion of $\Delta \psi$, but TMRM does not resolve $\Delta \psi$ well in this range, so this does not necessarily 20 mean that this effect is not occurring. A second prediction from this hypothesis is that membrane 21 depolarisation prior to protein import will abolish the correlation between net charge and 22 amplitude. This is indeed exactly what we see: valinomycin reduces amplitudes for all PCPs, but 23 the effect is greater for more negatively charged PCPs, bringing all amplitudes to about the same 24 level (Fig. 6E). Depleting ATP, meanwhile, has very little effect on amplitude, just as for the Acp1-25 based PCPs.

26

27 Looking at the import traces for the charge series, it is clear that positively charged PCPs are 28 imported much faster than negatively charged ones (albeit reaching a lower final amplitude; Fig. 29 6B-C). This is again consistent with $\Delta \psi$ specifically assisting the transport of positively charged 30 residues. Unlike the length variants based on Acp1, however, not all of the import traces from the 31 charge variants fit to the two step model (Fig. 6B). While the more negatively charged ones have a clear lag before reaching their maximum rate, the positively charged ones appear to have only a single rate-limiting step, or even to have a burst of rapid import, followed by a slower phase (Fig. 6B). Because steps are only resolved on the plate reader if they are \leq about 5 min⁻¹, the most likely explanation for this is that one phase has become too fast to measure. This is most likely transport through TIM23, which is strongly $\Delta \psi$ -dependent and thus presumably faster for more positively charged proteins. A burst suggests multiple turnovers, with the first one very fast, and subsequent ones limited by a slower resetting of TIM23 (see Discussion).

8

9 Testing the above results with native PCPs

10 While the use of artificial PCPs, as above, allows their properties to be varied in a systematic 11 manner, it is possible that these modifications will affect native features with fundamental roles in 12 the import process. To confirm that the above observations hold true for native PCPs we performed import experiments with four pep86-tagged native PCPs differing in length and charge. We chose 13 14 the F₁ α and F₁ β subunits of the mitochondrial ATP synthase, both large proteins (>500 amino acids) with mature amino acid sequences differing in predicted pI by ~ 1.55 (F₁ β = 5.43 and F₁ α 15 = 6.98); and two smaller proteins (<200 amino acids), Acp1 and Mrp21, with predicted mature 16 17 sequence pIs of 4.87 and 10.00 respectively (Fig. 7A).

18

Consistent with our earlier results, we see higher amplitudes for the shorter and more negatively charged PCPs (Fig. 7B), and faster transport of the shorter PCPs than the longer ones (Fig. 7B). The effect of net charge holds true for the larger PCPs, which both have clear two-step transport (Fig. 7B), but the small PCPs appear to have only a single rate-limiting step, and do not differ significantly in import rate (Fig.7B). Presumably the charge dependence only becomes measurable when transport through TIM23 is slow enough to be appreciable. Overall, these results suggest that the data collected with artificial PCPs will hold true for native ones as well.

26 27

28 **DISCUSSION**

Protein import into mitochondria is, by nature, a complicated process with machineries in two membranes having to coordinate with one another as well as with parallel import pathways to deliver a wide range of proteins to their correct destinations. Here, we have built a basic

1 mechanistic model of one of the major import routes – the TOM-TIM23^{MOTOR} pathway of matrix 2 proteins – using a high-resolution import assay based on NanoLuc. Our results suggest that two 3 major events are responsible for the majority of the PCP transit time: passage of the PCP through 4 the TOM complex and initiation of import by insertion of the pre-sequence through the TIM23^{MOTOR} complex. By contrast, the initial binding of PCP to TOM is fairly rapid, as is passage 5 6 of the mature PCP domain through TIM23. Crucially, the rates of the different steps correlate very 7 poorly with the amount of PCP in the matrix when the reaction ends, which has always been the 8 conventional readout of import. It therefore seems that this pre-steady-state kinetic approach will 9 be critical in the future, both for further dissecting import via the TOM-TIM23^{MOTOR} complex and 10 for understanding the other pathways that together comprise the mitochondrial protein import 11 machinery.

12

13 Import appears to be largely single turnover under our experimental conditions, that is each import 14 site only imports a single PCP. While this is fortuitous in that it allows us to access pre steady-15 state events easily, it is incongruent with mitochondrial protein import *in vivo*. We propose that, 16 under experimental conditions, transport is limited by the amount of energy available in the form 17 of $\Delta \psi$. Indeed, measurements of $\Delta \psi$ using TMRM confirm that PCP import causes a depolarisation 18 of the IMM that is not restored. Also consistent with $\Delta \psi$ being consumed, we find that the PCPs 19 that require more total energy to import (such as longer ones), or that are likely to consume more 20 $\Delta \psi$ (positively charged ones) reach a lower concentration in the mitochondrial matrix. The 21 mechanism by which $\Delta \psi$ -depletion leads to single turnover conditions is likely to relate to the 22 requirement of $\Delta \psi$ for dimerization of TIM23 and recruitment of Tim44, both required for delivery 23 to the matrix. As PCPs bind only to dimeric TIM23 complexes and, during transport, disrupt this 24 conformation, loss of $\Delta \psi$ would prohibit the resetting of the TIM23 complex to allow turnovers 25 after the first (Bauer and Sirrenberg, 1996). With some of the faster transporting PCPs we do 26 indeed see a rapid burst of import followed by a slower phase, as would be expected for multiple 27 turnovers where the first is fast. This could therefore provide a window for future studies to 28 investigate this priming event.

29

30 Previous studies have shown that the TOM-complex is in excess over TIM23, with 1 mg yeast 31 mitochondria containing ~17-20 pmol TIM23 (~9-10 pmol dimer) and estimations of between 85

1 and 250 pmol TOM40 (Sirrenberg et al., 1997)(Dekker et al., 1997). In our experiments, this 2 TIM23 dimer concentration equates to ~62.5 fmol per well (10 pmol.mg⁻¹ × 50 μ g.ml⁻¹ × 125 μ l) 3 - similar to the estimated amount of 11S (~28-76 fmol per well, based on an estimated 4.46-12.17 4 pmol.mg⁻¹). This close correspondence presumably explains why we find that 11S is not limiting, but intriguingly, it also suggests that each import site only imported on average one 11S, even 5 6 though 11S import occurred in live yeast before mitochondrial isolation. This correspondence may 7 not be coincidental; if the number of TIM23 sites limited import, this could be calibrated as a 8 regulatory mechanism to avoid proteotoxic stress.

9

10 The transfer of PCPs from TOM to TIM23 is thought to involve cooperative interactions of 11 subunits of the two complexes (Callegari et al., 2020). But the extent to which transport of PCPs 12 across the OMM and IMM is coupled, remains unknown. It has been suggested that the rate of 13 PCP passage through the OMM is one factor that determines whether PCPs are transferred to the 14 matrix or released laterally into the IMM (Harner et al., 2011), implying simultaneous and 15 cooperative activities of TOM and TIM23. PCPs have been captured spanning both membrane 16 complexes at the same time in super-complexes of ~600 kDa (Dekker et al., 1997)(Gold et al., 17 2014)(Chacinska et al., 2010). Moreover, it has been proposed that Tim23 has a topology spanning 18 the OMM as well as the IMM, with its N-terminus domain exposed on the cytosolic face of the 19 OMM, and is thus thought to act as a tether (Donzeau et al., 2000), presumably increasing import 20 efficiency. These proximal associations of TOM and TIM23 suggest that import through TOM 21 does not have to be complete before import through TIM23 can begin.

22

23 However, there is also evidence to suggest that the TOM and TIM23 complexes can transport 24 PCPs independently, in steps that are not necessarily concurrent. Matrix import of PCPs has been 25 observed in mitoplasts (Hwang et al., 1989)(Ohba and Schatz, 1987), in which the OMM has been 26 removed, suggesting that a handover from TOM is not absolutely required. The *in vivo* existence 27 of TOM-TIM23 super-complexes is unconfirmed. They have been detected only when engineered 28 PCPs with C-terminal domains that cannot pass through TOM are used (Chacinska et al., 2003). 29 And only under these artificial conditions do TOM and TIM23 subunits co-immuno-precipitate 30 (Horst et al., 1995). Their assembly must be dynamic and transient. Moreover, the N-terminal

1 domain of Tim23, that tethers the IMM and OMM, is not required for either PCP import though

3

4 Our results also hint that this handover is not absolutely required. The data here suggest that 5 transport of a PCP through TOM is reversible, and therefore possible in the absence of TIM23 6 activity. Reverse transport of proteins through TOM, and in some cases also through TIM23, has 7 been observed previously, although this process is not well understood. Proteins that are reduced 8 or conformationally unstable in the IMS can retro-translocate to the cytosol via TOM40, and the 9 efficiency of this process is relative to protein size (both linear length and 3D complexity); smaller 10 proteins are more efficiently retro-translocated (Bragoszewski et al., 2015). Under physiological 11 conditions, PINK1 is cleaved in the IMM by PARL, releasing the remaining C-terminal region for 12 release back to the cytosol for proteosomal degradation. But the process is not well understood, 13 such as if, and how, it is regulated, and if a driving force is required. Additionally, we see some 14 PCP concentration dependence of k_2 ; if direct interaction of TOM with TIM23 were strictly 15 required then k_2 would not be affected by PCP concentration, but if PCP can accumulate in the 16 IMS this would explain our finding.

17

Overall, the above analysis provides good estimates of the two rate limiting steps for import, and provides evidence as to the constraints that act upon the others. If a few of the above questions are resolved, we believe it should be possibly to construct a complete kinetic model of mitochondrial import, as has been recently achieved for the bacterial Sec system (Allen et al., 2020).

22

23 MATERIALS AND METHODS

24

25 Strains and plasmids

E. coli α-select cells were using for amplifying plasmid DNA and BL21 (DE3) used for protein
expression. Genes encoding pep86 tagged mitochondrial PCP proteins were cloned into either
pBAD or pRSFDuet. YPH499 yeast cells transformed with pYES2 containing the mt-11S gene
under control of the GAL promoter, were used for isolation of mitochondria containing matrixlocalised 11S. *E. coli* cells were routinely grown at 37°C on LB agar and in either LB or 2XYT
medium containing appropriate antibiotics for selection. Yeast cells were grown at 30°C on

² TIM23, or TOM-TIM23 super-complex formation (Chacinska et al., 2003).

synthetic complete dropout agar supplemented with 2% glucose, penicillin and streptomycin, or
in synthetic complete dropout medium, supplemented with 3% glycerol, penicillin and
streptomycin in baffled flasks. For yeast cells with mitochondrial matrix-localised 11S, mt-11S
was expressed by adding 1% galactose at mid-log phase, 16 hours prior to harvesting of cells.

5

6 **Protein production and purification**

7 BL21 (DE3) cells from a single colony, containing the chosen protein expression plasmid were 8 grown in LB overnight then sub-cultured in 2XYT medium until OD_{600} reached 0.6. Protein 9 expression was induced by adding arabinose or IPTG, for pBAD and pRSFDuet plasmids 10 respectively. Cells were harvested 2-3 hours later and lysed using a cell disrupter. Proteins were 11 purified from inclusion bodies using Nickel affinity chromatography on prepacked HisTrap FF 12 columns (Cytiva), followed by ion exchange chromatography on either HiTrap Q HP or HiTrap 13 SP HP columns (Cytiva) depending on protein charge, described in full previously (Pereira et al., 14 2019).

15

16 Isolation of mitochondria from yeast cells

17 Yeast cells were harvested by centrifugation (4,000 x g, 10 min, room temperature) and 18 mitochondria isolated by differential centrifugation (Daum et al., 1982). Briefly, cell walls were 19 digested with zymolyase in phosphate-buffered sorbitol (1.2 M sorbitol, 20 mM potassium 20 phosphate pH 7.4), after being reduced with DTT (1 mM DTT in 100 mM Tris-SO4 at pH 9.4, for 21 15 min at 30°C). Cells were disrupted at 4°C with a glass Potter-Elvehjem homogeniser with 22 motorised pestle in a standard homogenisation buffer (0.6 M sorbitol, 0.5% (w/v) BSA, 1 mM 23 PMSF, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4). The suspension was centrifuged at low speed (1,480 x g, 5 min) 24 to pellet unbroken cells, cell debris and nuclei, and mitochondria harvested from the supernatant 25 by centrifugation at 17,370 x g. The pellet, containing mitochondria, was washed in SM buffer 26 (250 mM sucrose and 10 mM MOPS, pH 7.2), and then centrifuged at low speed again, to remove 27 remaining contaminants. The final mitochondrial sample, isolated from the supernatant by 28 centrifugation (17,370 x g, 15 min), was resuspended in SM buffer and protein quantified by 29 bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Smith et al., 1985) using a bovine serum albumin protein standard. Mitochondria were stored at -80°C, at a concentration of at 30 mg/ml in single use 30 31 aliquots, after being snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

1

2 NanoLuc import assay

3 Unless stated otherwise, import experiments were performed at 25°C with mt-11S mitochondria 4 diluted to 50 µg/ml in import buffer (250 mM sucrose, 80 mM KCl, 1 mM K₂HPO₄/KH₂PO₄, 5 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MOPS-KOH and 0.1% (v/v) Prionex reagent (Merck), pH 7.2), supplemented with 2 mM NADH, 1 mM ATP, 0.1 mg/ml creatine kinase, 5 mM phosphocreatine, and 1 µM 6 7 pep86-tagged PCP protein. We also added 10 µM GST-Dark protein; a fusion of glutathione S-8 transferase and a peptide with high affinity for 11S, that inhibits pep86 binding and concomitant 9 enzymatic activity, and thereby reduces background signal caused by trace amounts of 11S outside 10 of the mitochondrial matrix. Mitochondria and GST-Dark were added to 1X import buffer at 1.25X final concentrations (mixture 1), and pep86-tagged PCP protein, NADH, ATP, creatine kinase and 11 12 phosphocreatine added to 1X import buffer at 5X final concentrations (mixture 2) so that import 13 reactions could be started by the injection of 4 vols mixture 1 onto 1 vol mixture 2. In selected 14 experiments, depletion of $\Delta \psi$ was achieved by pre-treating mitochondria for 5 minutes with 10 nM 15 valinomycin, and depletion of ATP was achieved by omitting ATP, creatine kinase and 16 phosphocreatine from the reaction. Luminescence was read from 125 µl reactions in a white round-17 bottom 96 well plate (Thermo Scientific) on either a CLARIOStar Plus (BMG LABTECH), or a 18 BioTek Synergy Neo2 plate reader (BioTek Instruments) without emission filters. The 19 mitochondrial matrix volume as a fraction of reaction volume was estimated using the previously 20 published yeast mitochondrial matrix volume of 1.62±0.3 µl/mg (Koshkin and Greenberg, 2002). 21 Thus when mitochondria are at 50 μ g/ml, matrix volume will be 81±15 nl/ml, or ~1/12345.68 total 22 volume (between 1/15151.5 and 1/10416.7 accounting for error).

23

24 Data processing and analysis

NanoLuc assay data were processed using a combination of software: Microsoft Excel, pro Fit and
 GraphPad Prism. Data were then normalised to the maximum luminescence measurement for each
 experiment.

In most cases, the resulting data were fitted using pro Fit to a model for two consecutive, irreversible steps, where the final one gives rise to a signal (Fersht, 1984):

30 $Y = A_0 (1 + \frac{1}{k_1 - k_2} (k_2 e^{-k_1 t} - k_1 e^{-k_2 t}),$

1 where A_0 is amplitude, k_1 and k_2 the two rate constants, Y the signal and time. Note that this 2 equation produces the same result whichever order k_1 and k_2 are in. Subsequent analyses of the 3 resultant data were done in GraphPad Prism; linear and non-linear (Michaelis-Menten) regression.

4

5 Membrane potential measurements with isolated mitochondria

6 Isolated mitochondria were diluted to 50 µg/ml in import buffer (described above) supplemented 7 with 1 mM ATP, 0.1 mg/ml creatine kinase, 5 mM phosphocreatine, 10 µM GST-Dark protein 8 and 0.5 μ M Tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM). Relative $\Delta \psi$ was monitored over time 9 as a change in fluorescence of the $\Delta \psi$ -dependent dye TMRM in quenching mode. Fluorescence 10 was measured at an excitation wavelength of 548 nm and an emission wavelength of 574 nm, in 11 black plates, on a BioTek Synergy Neo2 plate reader (BioTek Instruments). The inner membrane 12 PMF was generated by injecting 2 mM NADH, and PCP proteins added manually after 13 stabilisation of fluorescence.

14

15 Acknowledgments:

We would like to thank Prof. Andrew Halestrap for his insight and enthusiastic discussions on the mysteries of mitochondrial bioenergetics. We also thank past and present members of the Collinson lab who helped to get this project off the ground, particularly Drs Andrew Richardson and Dylan Noone.

20

21 Funding:

22 This research was funded by the Wellcome Trust: Investigator Award to IC (104632/Z/14/Z).

23

24 Author contribution:

- 25 Project conceptualisation: GCP, HCF and IC
- 26 Sample preparation: HCF and XL
- 27 Data Collection: HCF
- 28 Data Analysis: HCF and WJA
- 29 Data interpretation: HCF, WJA and MSD

- 1 Manuscript writing: HCF, WJA and IC
- 2 Funding acquisition and project management: IC
- 3

4 **Declarations:**

- 5 The authors declare no competing interests. The funding agency and the University had no role in
- 6 study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
- 7 For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any
- 8 Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

9

1 FIGURE LEGENDS:

2

3 Figure 1: Model of PCP import into mitochondria and outline of the NanoLuc import assay

- 4 A) Simple model of presequence-containing precursor (PCP) import into mitochondria, showing
- 5 binding of PCP to the TOM complex, $\Delta \psi$ -dependent movement of the presequence into the matrix,
- 6 ATP-dependent translocation of the remainder of the protein, and binding of the C-terminal pep86
- 7 to 11S which forms NanoLuc in the matrix.
- 8 B) Diagramatic representation of the NanoLuc real-time import assay.
- 9 C) An example of luminescence data from the NanoLuc import assay, showing the fit to a model
- 10 for two consecutive, irreversible steps (see Methods).
- 11

12 Figure 2: Basic characterisation of PCP import and turnover number

- 13 A) The effect of varying PCP concentration (Acp1-pep86) on signal amplitude. A straight line was
- 14 fitted to the data where amplitude increased linearly with PCP concentration (red), and to the data
- 15 where amplitude increased only marginally (blue). The intersect of these lines and corresponding
- 16 PCP concentration, the point of plateau, is also shown (purple).
- 17 B) The effect of MTX on signal amplitude of three proteins (depicted schematically below): Acp1-
- 18 DHFR-pep86 (purple), where MTX prevents entry of pep86; Acp1-pep86-DHFR (orange), where
- 19 MTX limits import to one pep86 per import site; and Acp1-pep86 (grey), for which MTX should
- 20 have no effect. Bars show the average and SEM from three independent biological replicates.
- 21 C) Signal amplitude as a function of Acp1-pep86-DHFR concentration in the absence (solid
- 22 circles) and presence (open circles) of MTX.
- 23

24 Figure 3: Using proteins of varying lengths to elucidate import kinetics

- A) Schematic of two protein series (length variants and sequence variants), with MTS in grey,
- 26 mature Acp1 in red, pep86 in yellow (L for light) and scrambled pep86 in blue (D for dark).
- 27 B) Example of import traces for length variants (left panel) and sequence variants (right panel).
- 28 Error bars show SD from biological triplicate, each conducted in duplicate.
- 29 C) Parameters obtained from two step fits to the data shown in panel B. The length series is shown
- 30 in orange and the sequence series in teal. Error bars show SEM from biological triplicate, each
- 31 conducted in duplicate.

1

2 Figure 4: The concentration dependence of length and sequence variants

A-F) Amplitudes (A, D), k_1' , assigned as the faster rate (B, E) and k_2' (C, F) for the length (A-C) and sequence (D-F) series, coloured red, orange, yellow and green in order of increasing length or pep86 position. All individual fits from 4-6 independent biological replicates of each set are shown, and the secondary data are fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation, with errors estimated from the fitting.

8

9 Figure 5: Effects of energy depletion on transport of the length and sequence variants

10 A) Transport without (solid circles) or with (open circles) depletion of $\Delta \psi$, for the length (orange)

11 and sequence (teal) series. Plots show amplitude (left), k_1' (middle) and k_2' (right) extracted from

12 two-step fits to import traces as a function of PCP length or pep86 position. Each point is the

- 13 average and SEM of three independent biological replicates.
- B) As in panel A, but without (solid circles) or with (open circles) ATP depletion instead ofvalinomycin.
- 16

17 Figure 6: The effect of PCP charge on import kinetics

A) Overview of the charge variant protein series, showing numbers of positively (blue) and
 negatively (red) charged residues, and symbols for each protein with colours corresponding to
 theoretical pI, according to the scale shown on the left.

21 B) Import traces for the charge variant proteins in which the number of negative (left) and positive

22 (right) charges are varied, normalised to the native PCP, coloured by rainbow from most negative

23 (red) to most positive (violet). Data shown are a single representative trace; this is because starting

24 points for each data set are slightly offset due to the injection time of the plate reader. Full data –

three biological replicates each performed in duplicate – are shown in Fig. S4A.

- C) Amplitudes obtained from panel (B) as a function of net charge (coloured as in panel B), with a line of best fit shown.
- 28 D) TMRM fluorescence over time with isolated yeast mitochondria (left), with PCPs added at the
- 29 time indicated by arrowhead. A no protein control (buffer only) is shown in grey, and the
- 30 remaining traces are shown with the PCP coloured as in panel B. Average TMRM fluorescence
- 31 over a 5 minute window (between orange lines) was calculated for each trace then plotted, relative

1 to no protein control, against protein net charge (right). Data shown is mean \pm SD from three

2 biological repeats.

- 3 E) Amplitude (normalised to the native PCP in standard conditions) of import signal for the charge
- 4 variants, where numbers of negatively (left) or positively (right) charged residues is varied, under
- 5 standard reaction conditions (grey) or when $\Delta \psi$ (purple) or ATP (green) is depleted. Each data
- 6 point is the mean \pm SEM from three biological repeats (shown in Fig. S4B-C).
- 7

8 Figure 7: Transport of pep86 fused native precursors

- 9 A) Schematic representation of the four native precursors chosen: $F_1\alpha$ (long, positively charged),
- 10 $F_1\beta$ (long, negatively charged), Mrp21 (short, positively charged) and Acp1 (short, negatively
- 11 charged).

12 **B)** Transport signal for the four proteins in panel A, normalised to Acp1. Each trace is the mean ±

- 13 SD of three biological repeats.
- 14

15 Figure S1: 11S levels and signal amplitude

16 A) Western blot against 11S (bottom) and TOM40 (control, top) of eight different mitochondrial

17 preparations extracted from four different batches of yeast. 60 µg each sample of mitochondria

- 18 was fractionated by SDS-PAGE prior to Western blot. Two known concentrations of purified his-
- 19 tagged 11S are also included for quantification by densitometry.

20 B) Import traces of Acp1-pep86 with each of the mitochondrial preps in panel A, performed in

- 21 parallel and unnormalised.
- 22 C) Signal amplitude from panel B as a function of 11S concentration (normalised to TOM40) from

23 panel A, with points coloured as in panel B. The results show no correlation between 11S

24 concentration and amplitude.

25

26 Figure S2: Constraints of data fitting to the NanoLuc import traces.

A) The expected signal for a two-step transport process, with k_2' fixed at 0.5 min⁻¹ and k_1' varied

between 0.1 min⁻¹ (red) and 12.8 min⁻¹ (magenta). As k_1' increases, it makes increasingly less

- 29 difference to the overall shape of the curve. Because the plate reader measures luminescence with
- 30 a frequency of 10 min⁻¹ (represented as vertical gridlines in the zoomed in panel, right), any rate

1 constants faster than about 5 min⁻¹ will not be resolved. The same effect holds true for any 2 additional rates that form part of the mechanism but are faster than $\sim 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$.

3 B) Amplitude (blue) and rate (green) determined from a single exponential fits to NanoLuc

4 formation is solution. Substrate (pep86) is provided in the form of GST-pep86 which is not a PCP,

5 and 11S comes from mitochondria solubilised completely with digitonin (5 mg/ml) to simulate

6 binding within the mitochondrial matrix. Fits are to the Michaelis Menten equation giving an

- 7 affinity of 15.6 nM and a v_{max} of 7.4 min⁻¹.
- 8 C) The import traces in Fig. S1B all normalised to 1, coloured in the same way. The fact that all
- 9 the traces overlay well confirms that binding of 11S is too fast to constitute either of the rates
- 10 extracted from the two step fits as expected given that the binding rate should be close to v_{max}
- 11 for NanoLuc formation (as determined in panel **B**).
- 12

13 Figure S3. Confirmation of ATP depletion in the mitochondrial matrix.

14 Import traces for Acp1-pep86 (left) and Mrp21-pep86 (right) in the presence (filled circles) or

15 absence (open circles) of ATP and its regenerating system, and the absence (red and blue) or

16 presence (orange and lilac) of antimycin A (AA).

17

18 Figure S4. Complete import traces for the data in Fig. 6.

19 A) Two technical repeats each of three biological replicates, under standard conditions.

20 **B)** Three biological replicates with $\Delta \psi$ depletion (valinomycin)

21 C) Three biological replicates with ATP depletion.

22

23

1 **REFERENCES:**

2	Allen WJ, Watkins DW, Dillingham MS, Collinson I. 2020. Refined measurement of SecA-
3	driven protein secretion reveals that translocation is indirectly coupled to ATP turnover.
4	<i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 117 :31808–31816.
5	Araiso Y, Tsutsumi A, Qiu J, Imai K, Shiota T, Song J, Lindau C, Wenz LS, Sakaue H, Yunoki
6	K, Kawano S, Suzuki J, Wischnewski M, Schütze C, Ariyama H, Ando T, Becker T,
7	Lithgow T, Wiedemann N, Pfanner N, Kikkawa M, Endo T. 2019. Structure of the
8	mitochondrial import gate reveals distinct preprotein paths. Nature 575:395-401.
9	Bauer MF, Sirrenberg C. 1996. Role of Tim23 as Voltage Sensor and Presequence Receptor in
10	Protein Import into Mitochondria. Cell 87:33-41
11	Blom J, Kubrich M, Rassow J, Voos W, Dekker PJT, Maarse AC, Meijer M, Pfanner2 N,
12	Maarse JC, Blom LA, Grivell M, Meijer J. 1993. The Essential Yeast Protein MIM44
13	(encoded by MPI) Is Involved in an Early Step of Preprotein Translocation across the
14	Mitochondrial Inner Membrane The essential yeast gene MPI1 encodes a mitochondrial
15	membrane protein that is possibly involved in protein. Mol Cell Biol 13:7364–7371.
16	Bragoszewski P, Wasilewski M, Sakowska P, Gornicka A, Böttinger L, Qiu J, Wiedemann N,
17	Chacinska A. 2015. Retro-translocation of mitochondrial intermembrane space proteins.
18	<i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 112 : 7713-7718.
19	Callegari S, Cruz-Zaragoza LD, Rehling P. 2020. From TOM to the TIM23 complex – handing
20	over of a precursor. Biol Chem 401:709–721.
21	Campanella M, Casswell E, Chong S, Farah Z, Wieckowski MR, Abramov AY, Tinker A,
22	Duchen MR. 2008. Regulation of Mitochondrial Structure and Function by the F1Fo-
23	ATPase Inhibitor Protein, IF1. Cell Metab 8:13-25.
24	Chacinska A, Rehling P, Guiard B, Frazier AE, Schulze-Specking A, Pfanner N, Voos W,
25	Meisinger C. 2003. Mitochondrial translocation contact sites: separation of dynamic and
26	stabilizing elements in formation of a TOM–TIM–preprotein supercomplex. $EMBOJ$
27	22 :5370.
28	Chacinska A, van der Laan M, Mehnert CS, Guiard B, Mick DU, Hutu DP, Truscott KN,
29	Wiedemann N, Meisinger C, Pfanner N, Rehling P. 2010. Distinct Forms of Mitochondrial
30	TOM-TIM Supercomplexes Define Signal-Dependent States of Preprotein Sorting. Mol
31	<i>Cell Biol</i> 30 :307–318.

1	Chandel NS. 2015. Evolution of Mitochondria as Signaling Organelles. Cell Metab 22:204-206.
2	Chen Q, Vazquez EJ, Moghaddas S, Hoppel CL, Lesnefsky EJ. 2003. Production of reactive
3	oxygen species by mitochondria: Central role of complex III. J Biol Chem 278:36027-
4	36031.
5	Daum G, Böhni PC, Schatz G. 1982. Import of proteins into mitochondria. Cytochrome b2 and
6	cytochrome c peroxidase are located in the intermembrane space of yeast mitochondria. J
7	<i>Biol Chem</i> 257 :13028–13033.
8	Dekker PJT, Martin F, Maarse AC, Bömer U, Müller H, Guiard B, Meijer M, Rassow J, Pfanner
9	N. 1997. The Tim core complex defines the number of mitochondrial translocation contact
10	sites and can hold arrested preproteins in the absence of matrix Hsp70-Tim44. EMBO J
11	16 :5408–5419.
12	Demishtein-Zohary K, Günsel U, Marom M, Banerjee R, Neupert W, Azem A, Mokranjac D.
13	2017. Role of Tim17 in coupling the import motor to the translocation channel of the
14	mitochondrial presequence translocase. Elife 6:e22696
15	Donzeau M, Káldi K, Adam A, Paschen S, Wanner G, Guiard B, Bauer MF, Neupert W,
16	Brunner M. 2000. Tim23 links the inner and outer mitochondrial membranes. Cell 101:401-
17	412.
18	Eilers M, Schatz G. 1986. Binding of a specific ligand inhibits import of a purified precursor
19	protein into mitochondria. Nature 322:228-32.
20	Emtage JLT, Jensen RE. 1993. MAS6 Encodes an Essential Inner Membrane Component of the
21	Yeast Mitochondrial Protein Import Pathway. The Journal of Cell Biology 122:1003-1012.
22	Fersht A. 1984. Enzyme Structure and Mechanism.
23	Geissler A, Krimmer T, Bö mer U, Guiard B, Rassow J, Pfanner N. 2000. Membrane Potential-
24	Driven Protein Import into Mitochondria The Sorting Sequence of Cytochrome b 2
25	Modulates the-Dependence of Translocation of the Matrix-targeting Sequence. Molecular
26	Biology of the Cell 11:3977-3991
27	Gold VA, Chroscicki P, Bragoszewski P, Chacinska A. 2017. Visualization of cytosolic
28	ribosomes on the surface of mitochondria by electron cryo-tomography. EMBO Rep
29	18 :1786–1800.
30	Gold VAM, Ieva R, Walter A, Pfanner N, Van Der Laan M, Kühlbrandt W. 2014. Visualizing
31	active membrane protein complexes by electron cryotomography. Nat Commun 5:4129.

1	Harner M, Neupert W, Deponte M. 2011. Lateral release of proteins from the TOM complex into
2	the outer membrane of mitochondria. EMBO J 30:3232-3241.
3	Hartl FU, Lecker S, Schiebel E, Hendrick JP, Wickner W. 1990. The binding cascade of SecB to
4	SecA to SecY E mediates preprotein targeting to the E. coli plasma membrane. Cell
5	63 :269–279.
6	Horst M, Hilfiker-Rothenfluh S, Oppliger W, Schatz G. 1995. Dynamic interaction of the protein
7	translocation systems in the inner and outer membranes of yeast mitochondria. EMBO J
8	14:2293–2297.
9	Hoth M, Fanger CM, Lewis RS. 1997. Mitochondrial regulation of store-operated calcium
10	signaling in T lymphocytes. J Cell Biol 137:633-648.
11	Hwang S, Jascur T, Vestweber D, Pon L, Schatz G. 1989. Disrupted yeast mitochondria can
12	import precursor proteins directly through their inner membrane. J Cell Biol 109:487–493.
13	Koshkin V, Greenberg ML. 2002. Cardiolipin prevents rate-dependent uncoupling and provides
14	osmotic stability in yeast mitochondria. Biochem J 364:317.
15	Lill R, Stuart RA, Drygas ME, Nargang FE, Neupert W. 1992. Import of cytochrome c heme
16	lyase into mitochondria: a novel pathway into the intermembrane space. EMBO J 11:449-
17	456.
18	Maarse AC, Blom J, Grivell LA, Meijer M. 1992. MPI1, an essential gene encoding a
19	mitochondrial membrane protein, is possibly involved in protein import into yeast
20	mitochondria. <i>EMBO J</i> 11:3619–3628.
21	Maarsea AC, Blom J, Keilb P, Pfannerb N, Meijer M. 1994. Identification of the essential yeast
22	protein MIM17, an integral mitochondrial inner membrane protein involved in protein
23	import. FEBS Lett 349 :15–22.
24	Martin J, Mahlke K, Pfanner N. 1991. Role of an energized inner membrane in mitochondrial
25	protein import: $\Delta \Psi$ drives the movement of presequences. <i>J Biol Chem</i> 266 :18051–18057.
26	Matouschek A, Azem A, Ratliff K, Glick BS, Schmid K, Schatz G. 1997. Active unfolding of
27	precursor proteins during mitochondrial protein import 16:6727-6736.
28	Mayer A, Lill R, Neupert W. 1993. Translocation and insertion of precursor proteins into
29	isolated outer membranes of mitochondria. J Cell Biol 121:1233-1243.
30	Neupert W, Brunner M. 2002. The Protein Import Motor of Mitochondria. Nature Reviews
31	Molecular Cell Biology 3 :555-565

1	Neupert W, Herrmann JM. 2007. Translocation of Proteins into Mitochondria. Annual Review of
2	Biochemistry 76:1, 723-749
3	Nicholls DG. 1978. The regulation of extramitochondrial free calcium ion concentration by rat
4	liver mitochondria. Biochem J 176:463–474.
5	Nishikawa T, Edelstein D, Du XL, Yamagishi SI, Matsumura T, Kaneda Y, Yorek MA, Beebe
6	D, Oates PJ, Hammes HP, Glardino I, Brownlee M. 2000. Normalizing mitochondrial
7	superoxide production blocks three pathways of hyperglycaemic damage. Nature 404:787-
8	790.
9	Nowinski SM, Van Vranken JG, Dove KK, Rutter J. 2018. Impact of Mitochondrial Fatty Acid
10	Synthesis on Mitochondrial Biogenesis. Curr Biol 28:R1212-R1219
11	Ohba M, Schatz G. 1987. Disruption of the outer membrane restores protein import to trypsin-
12	treated yeast mitochondria. EMBO J 6:2117-2122.
13	Pereira GC, Allen WJ, Watkins DW, Buddrus L, Noone D, Liu X, Richardson AP, Chacinska A,
14	Collinson I. 2019. A High-Resolution Luminescent Assay for Rapid and Continuous
15	Monitoring of Protein Translocation across Biological Membranes. J Mol Biol 431:1689-
16	1699.
17	Ramesh A, Peleh V, Martinez-Caballero S, Wollweber F, Sommer F, Laan M van der, Schroda
18	M, Alexander RT, Campo ML, Herrmann JM. 2016. A disulfide bond in the TIM23
19	complex is crucial for voltage gating and mitochondrial protein import. J Cell Biol 214:417.
20	Rassow J, Guiard B, Wienhues U, Herzog V, Hartl FU, Neupert W. 1989. Translocation arrest
21	by reversible folding of a precursor protein imported into mitochondria. A means to
22	quantitate translocation contact sites. J Cell Biol 109:1421–1428.
23	Rassow J, Hartl FU, Guiard B, Pfanner N, Neupert W. 1990. Polypeptides traverse the
24	mitochondrial envelope in an extended state. FEBS Lett 275:190-194.
25	Rouault TA. 2012. Biogenesis of iron-sulfur clusters in mammalian cells: New insights and
26	relevance to human disease. DMM Dis Model Mech 5:155-164
27	Martinez-Caballero S, Grigoriev SM, Herrmann JM, Campo ML, Kinnally KW. 2007. Tim17p
28	regulates the twin pore structure and voltage gating of the mitochondrial protein import
29	complex TIM23. J Biol Chem 282:3584–3593.
30	Schendzielorz AB, Schulz C, Lytovchenko O, Clancy A, Guiard B, Ieva R, van der Laan M,
31	Rehling P. 2017. Two distinct membrane potential-dependent steps drive mitochondrial

1	matrix protein translocation. J Cell Biol 216:83-92.
2	Sirrenberg C, Endres M, Becker K, Bauert MF, Walther E, Neupert W, Brunner M. 1997.
3	Functional cooperation and stoichiometry of protein translocases of the outer and inner
4	membranes of mitochondria. J Biol Chem 272:29963-29966.
5	Smith PK, Krohn RI, Hermanson GT, Mallia AK, Gartner FH, Frovenzano MD, Fujimoto EK,
6	Goeke NM, Olson BJ, Klenk DC. 1985. Measurement of Protein Using Bicinchoninic Acid.
7	Analytical Biochemistry 150:76-85
8	Ting SY, Yan NL, Schilke BA, Craig EA. 2017. Dual interaction of scaffold protein Tim44 of
9	mitochondrial import motor with channel-forming translocase subunit Tim23. Elife
10	6 .e23609
11	Truscott KN, Kovermann P, Geissler A, Merlin A, Meijer M, Driessen AJM, Rassow J, Pfanner
12	N, Wagner R. 2001. A presequence- and voltage-sensitive channel of the mitochondrial
13	preprotein translocase formed by Tim23. Nat Struct Biol 2001 812 8:1074-1082.
14	Tucker K, Park E. 2019. Cryo-EM structure of the mitochondrial protein-import channel TOM
15	complex at near-atomic resolution. Nat Struct Mol Biol 26:1158–1166.
16	Ungermann C, Guiard B, Neupert W, Cyr DM. 1996. The $\Delta\Psi$ - and Hsp70/MIM44-dependent
17	reaction cycle driving early steps of protein import into mitochondria. EMBO J 15:735–744.
18	Vögtle FN, Wortelkamp S, Zahedi RP, Becker D, Leidhold C, Gevaert K, Kellermann J, Voos
19	W, Sickmann A, Pfanner N, Meisinger C. 2009. Global Analysis of the Mitochondrial N-
20	Proteome Identifies a Processing Peptidase Critical for Protein Stability. Cell 139:428-439.
21	Wachter C, Schatz G, Glick BS. 1994. Protein import into mitochondria: the requirement for
22	external ATP is precursor-specific whereas intramitochondrial ATP is universally needed
23	for translocation into the matrix. Mol Biol Cell 5:465-474.
24	Wang C, Youle RJ. 2009. The role of mitochondria in apoptosis. Annu Rev Genet 43:95-118
25	Wurm CA, Jakobs S. 2006. Differential protein distributions define two sub-compartments of the
26	mitochondrial inner membrane in yeast. FEBS Lett 580:5628-5634.
27	
•	

28











Fig 5.



Fig 6.





1

Fig S1.









Fig S3.



Fig S4.



1