



Wortinger, L. A., Barth, C., Nerland, S., Jørgensen, K. N., Shadrin, A. A., Szabo, A., Haukvik, U. K., Westlye, L. T., Andreassen, O. A., Thoresen, M., & Agartz, I. (2021). Association of Birth Asphyxia With Regional White Matter Abnormalities Among Patients With Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorders. *JAMA Network Open*, *4*(12), [e2139759]. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.39759

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record License (if available): CC BY Link to published version (if available): 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.39759

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via American Medical Association at 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.39759. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

JAMA Network Open

Original Investigation | Psychiatry

Association of Birth Asphyxia With Regional White Matter Abnormalities Among Patients With Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorders

Laura A. Wortinger, PhD; Claudia Barth, PhD; Stener Nerland, MSc; Kjetil Nordbø Jørgensen, PhD; Alexey A. Shadrin, PhD; Attila Szabo, PhD; Unn Kristin Haukvik, MD, PhD; Lars T. Westlye, PhD; Ole A. Andreassen, MD, PhD; Marianne Thoresen, MD, PhD; Ingrid Agartz, MD, PhD

Abstract

IMPORTANCE White matter (WM) abnormalities are commonly reported in psychiatric disorders. Whether peripartum insufficiencies in brain oxygenation, known as birth asphyxia, are associated with WM of patients with severe mental disorders is unclear.

OBJECTIVE To examine the association between birth asphyxia and WM in adult patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorders (BDs) compared with healthy adults.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS In this case-control study, all individuals participating in the ongoing Thematically Organized Psychosis project were linked to the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN), where a subset of 271 patients (case group) and 529 healthy individuals (control group) had undergone diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI). Statistical analyses were performed from June 16, 2020, to March 9, 2021.

EXPOSURES Birth asphyxia was defined based on measures from standardized reporting at birth in the MBRN.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Associations between birth asphyxia and WM regions of interest diffusion metrics, ie, fractional anisotropy (FA), axial diffusivity (AD), and radial diffusivity (RD), were compared between groups using analysis of covariance, adjusted for age, age squared, and sex.

RESULTS Of the 850 adults included in the study, 271 were in the case group (140 [52%] female individuals; mean [SD] age, 28.64 [7.43] years) and 579 were in the control group (245 [42%] female individuals; mean [SD] age, 33.54 [8.31] years). Birth asphyxia measures were identified in 15% to 16% of participants, independent of group. The posterior limb of the internal capsule (PLIC) showed a significant diagnostic group × birth asphyxia interaction ($F_{(1, 843)}$ = 11.46; P = .001), reflecting a stronger association between birth asphyxia and FA in the case group than the control group. RD, but not AD, also displayed a significant diagnostic group × birth asphyxia interaction ($F_{(1, 843)} = 9.28$; P = .002) in the PLIC, with higher values in patients with birth asphyxia and similar effect sizes as observed for FA.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this case-control study, abnormalities in the PLIC of adult patients with birth asphyxia may suggest a greater susceptibility to hypoxia in patients with severe mental illness, which could lead to myelin damage or impeded brain development. Echoing recent early-stage schizophrenia studies, abnormalities of the PLIC are relevant to psychiatric disorders, as the PLIC contains important WM brain pathways associated with language, cognitive function, and sensory function, which are impaired in schizophrenia and BDs.

JAMA Network Open. 2021;4(12):e2139759. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.39759

Den Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

JAMA Network Open. 2021;4(12):e2139759. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.39759

December 20, 2021

Key Points

Question Are signs of insufficient brain oxygenation before, during, and immediately after birth, known as birth asphyxia, associated with white matter abnormalities in adult patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder compared with healthy control participants?

Findings In this case-control study of 850 adult patients and healthy individuals with birth registry and diffusion-weighted imaging data, measures of birth asphyxia were significantly associated with lower fractional anisotropy and higher radial diffusivity in the posterior limb of the internal capsule in the patients with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia but not in the control group.

Meaning White matter abnormalities in the posterior limb of the internal capsule could suggest early changes or impeded brain development because of an increased susceptibility to birth asphyxia in patients, which is not present in healthy adults.

Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

Introduction

Widespread white matter (WM) abnormalities in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder (BD) support the dysconnectivity hypothesis, which involves dysfunctional communication between brain regions¹⁻⁴ and disturbances in WM microstructural organization.^{5,6} Furthermore, oligodendrocyte and myelin abnormalities in patients with schizophrenia and BD are commonly reported.⁷⁻⁹ Since premyelinating oligodendrocytes are key cellular targets in hypoxic brain injury.^{10,11} previous findings of WM abnormalities in patients might be partly accounted for by having experienced birth asphyxia.

Birth asphyxia is a condition in which affected newborns experience a peripartum deficiency in brain oxygenation. This can cause hypoxic injury and, in severe cases, lead to permanent brain damage or death in offspring. Common causes of birth asphyxia are mechanically obstructed labor (shoulder dystocia), abnormal fetal positioning, and placental abruption as well as dysfunction or maternal hypotension.¹² A history of severe birth asphyxia often leads to cerebral palsy with or without cognitive deficit and has been associated with increased risk of developing schizophrenia (odds ratio, 4.4)¹³ and BD (hazard ratio, 5.3),¹⁴ but so have other serious obstetric complications (OCs).¹⁵

The genetic risk of developing schizophrenia is multiplied by a factor of 5 in the context of severe OCs, ¹⁶ suggesting that a genetic liability for schizophrenia predisposes the developing fetus and newborn to these complications. However, severe OCs are defined by a broad range of perinatal complications (eg, birth asphyxia, discolored placenta/amniotic fluid, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, birth \leq 35 weeks, low birth weight \leq 2000g). In our clinical cohort studies, ¹⁷ we found significantly more cases of several severe OCs co-occurring in the presence of birth asphyxia (>50%) than when birth asphyxia was not present (<3%), confirming that birth asphyxia is more frequent in complicated pregnancies.

The Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN) offers unique insight into the occurrence of birth asphyxia in the peripartum period, but it does not specify its severity (mild, moderate, or severe), its treatment, or information from neonatal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examinations. Also, inflammatory presentation increases vulnerability,¹⁸ so milder insults may cause injury or alterations in those with a genetic liability for schizophrenia development.¹⁶ Birth asphyxia had a prevalence of 14% in our previous studies, without case-control differences,^{17,19,20} suggesting that the birth asphyxia variable from the MBRN contains a wide range of birth asphyxia exposure.

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is a method for indirectly characterizing WM microstructure and gross architecture. In DTI studies of individuals with schizophrenia and BD, WM abnormalities are commonly reported, with significantly lower fractional anisotropy (FA) in patients compared with healthy control participants.^{5,6} FA reflects the degree of diffusion directionality, and higher FA has often been interpreted as indicating better integrity.²¹ While FA is sensitive to structural alterations, the biological specificity is relatively low and cannot distinguish between different pathophysiological processes, such as dysmyelination or demyelination, inflammation, and axonal injury. Combining FA with axial diffusivity (AD) and radial diffusivity (RD), which reflect the diffusion along and perpendicular to the primary axis of the diffusion tensor, respectively, may allow for higher neurobiological specificity. For instance, decreased AD has been linked to axonal damage, while increased RD has been linked to either dysmyelination or demyelination.²¹⁻²⁴

Using prospective birth registry and DTI data, the aims of this study were to (1) examine the prevalence of birth asphyxia measures in patients and healthy control participants; (2) test whether the association of a history of birth asphyxia with DTI metrics differed between patients and healthy control participants; (3) determine whether birth asphyxia-associated WM differences in patients are also associated with age of disease onset, disease severity, medication use, and symptoms.

Methods

Participants

This study is part of the Thematically Organized Psychosis (TOP) study, which is the main study protocol at the Norwegian Center for Mental Disorders Research (Oslo, Norway). All participants gave written informed consent, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki²⁵ and received approval from the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics-South East Norway. The Norwegian Data Inspectorate also approved the study. Patient and healthy control participant inclusion and exclusion criteria for the TOP study and sample information are described in the eMethods in the Supplement. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline for case-control studies.

Birth Asphyxia

Birth data were collected from the MBRN.²⁶ Clinical features of severe birth asphyxia include neonatal encephalopathy (lethargy, stupor, or coma), an Apgar score of 5 or less at 10 minutes after birth, continued need for resuscitation, fetal acidosis, and others.²⁷ While some information is not available in the MBRN (eg, lethargy, stupor, Apgar scores at 10 minutes, or fetal acidosis), birth asphyxia together with respiratory complications in affected newborns could indicate conditions contributing to insufficiencies in the supply of oxygen reaching the brain. Birth asphyxia was defined as having had a complication coded KP15 (threatening intrauterine asphyxia), AS54 (asphyxia and poor fetal sound), AS61 (asphyxia), 7762 (respiratory distress), 7763 (primary apnea), 7765 (atelectasis pulmonary), 7767 (suspended animation, irregular/slow heart reaction), 7768 (cyanosis attack), or 7769 (other hypoxic conditions/asphyxia) in the MBRN.

Diffusion-Weighted Imaging Acquisition and Processing

We obtained diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) from two 3T MRI scanners (Signa HDxt and Discovery MR750; GE) (eMethods in the Supplement). Preprocessing, including eddy current correction, echo-planar imaging-induced distortion correction, and tensor fitting, was performed as previously described.²⁸ FA, AD, and RD maps were processed using tract-based spatial statistics,²⁹ part of the FSL software.³⁰ We extracted FA, AD, and RD from 34 lateral regions of interest (ROIs) representing both projection and association fibers and 5 ROIs from commissural fibers based on the intersection between the WM skeleton and relevant ROIs in probabilistic Johns Hopkins University WM atlases.^{31,32}

To account for the effect of scanner and image acquisition protocols, we used ComBat to remove unwanted variation associated with scanner.^{33,34} ComBat harmonization was performed on the 34 lateral, 5 commissural, and average skeleton values for FA and diffusivity measures separately (eFigures 1 to 3 in the Supplement). Age, sex, diagnostic group, and birth asphyxia were entered as variables of interest.

After ComBat harmonization, 17 bilateral WM ROIs (averaged from the 34 unilateral ROIs), 2 bilateral ROIs (averaged from 6 unilateral ROIs each), 5 commissural ROIs, and average skeleton FA, AD, or RD resulted in a total of 25 ROIs, representing all major WM fasciculi,⁶ and were used in the main analysis. Since the 2 hemispheres may show differential associations with birth asphyxia, we examined significant regions in each hemisphere separately in follow-up analyses.

Clinical Variables

From the structured clinical interview, information was obtained about the age of disease onset, disease severity (using the Global Assessment of Functioning scale [GAF]),³⁵ medication use and psychotic symptoms (using the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale [PANSS]).³⁶ Age of disease onset was assessed retrospectively and defined as the year of the first occurrence of psychotic symptoms (equivalent to having had 4 or more on selected PANSS items for a week or longer) for patients with psychotic disorders and the year of first occurrence of a major mood episode

(depressive, hypomanic, manic, or mixed episode) for patients with BDs. Current medication use was assessed by clinical interviews and/or medical record review.

Statistical Analysis

Clinical, Demographic, and Birth Asphyxia Variables

Within and between-group comparisons were performed with 1-way analysis of variance for continuous variables and Pearson χ^2 tests for categorical variables. Post hoc, pairwise group comparisons were performed if there were significant main effect sizes of group. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 27 (IBM Corp).

WM ROIs and Birth Asphyxia Between Groups

We assessed the 25 WM FA ROIs using a full factorial 2 × 2 analysis of covariance with birth asphyxia (birth asphyxia positive or negative) and group (case or control) included as between-group factors, covarying for age, age squared, and sex. Age squared was added to model the association of age more accurately, given that both linear and nonlinear age effects have been reported for FA.^{37,38} For our primary FA analysis of whether the associations of birth asphyxia differed between individuals in the case and control groups, results of interaction tests were declared significant if they survived correction for multiple comparisons, with a Bonferroni correction threshold of 0.05 / 25, or P = .002. Effect sizes were reported as Cohen *d* values³⁸ for birth asphyxia-positive/birth asphyxia-negative differences within groups.

For significant FA regions from the main analysis, follow-up analyses were performed to examine associations in diagnostic subgroups, RD, and AD. Because we were interested in neural abnormalities associated with birth asphyxia and their further association with clinical outcomes, follow-up analyses were performed to explore whether variation in FA and its interactions with group and birth asphyxia were associated with age of disease onset, chlorpromazine equivalence scores, PANSS scores, or GAF scores in separate multivariable linear regression models. These analyses are described in detail in the eMethods in the Supplement. Given the well-established dependence of FA on age,^{37,38} sensitivity analyses were performed within both case and control groups separately to assess whether differences in birth asphyxia were still present.

Results

Clinical and Demographic Variables

Of the 850 adults included in the study, 271 were in the case group (140 [52%] female individuals; mean [SD] age, 28.64 [7.43] years) and 579 were in the control group (245 [42%] female individuals; mean [SD] age, 33.54 [8.31] years). In the case group, 111 (41%) had BD, and 160 (59%) had schizophrenia. Clinical and demographic data are presented in **Table 1**. There were no significant differences between the control, BD, and schizophrenia groups in birth weight (mean [SD]: control, 3506.53 [583.49] g; BD, 3608.81 [586.29] g; schizophrenia, 3460.04 [582.76] g), gestational age (mean [SD]: control, 39.78 [1.89] weeks; BD, 39.83 [1.75] weeks; schizophrenia, 39.47 [2.50] weeks), birth head circumference (mean [SD]: control, 35.20 [1.46] cm; BD, 35.40 [1.46] cm; schizophrenia, 35.12 [1.45] cm), or birth length (mean [SD]: control, 50.21 [2.36] cm; BD, 50.65 [2.36] cm; schizophrenia, 3460.04 [582.76] g) and longer birth weight (mean [SD]: BD, 3608.81 [586.29] g; schizophrenia, 3460.04 [582.76] g) and longer birth length (mean [SD]: BD, 50.65 [2.36] cm; SZ, 50.04 [2.42] cm) were found.

Birth Asphyxia

Birth asphyxia was identified in 93 of 579 participants in the control group (16%), 17 of 111 in the BD group (15%), and 26 of 160 in the schizophrenia group (16%), and this prevalence did not significantly differ between groups (**Table 2**). Of those with birth asphyxia in the control group,

Birth Asphyxia, Regional White Matter Abnormalities, Schizophrenia, and Bipolar Disorders

Table 1. Clinical and Demographic Variables	l and Demogr	aphic Variat	oles														
	CG			BDG			SG			Main effect size	ct size	Pairwise					
Characteristic	No BA (n = 486)	BA (n = 93)	F (df)	No BA (n = 94)	BA (n = 17)	F (df)	No BA (n = 134)	BA (n = 26)	F (df)	F or χ ² (df)	P value	SG vs CG, difference, mean (SEM)	P value	BD vs CG, difference, mean (SEM)	P value	SG vs BDG, difference, mean (SEM)	P value
Sex, No. (%)																	
Female	214 (44)	31 (33)	3.66 (1,	55 (59)	12 (71)	0.89 (1,	59 (44)	14 (54)	0.85 (1,	12.25			Ļ		100		ç
Male	272 (56)	62 (67)	579) ^{a,b}	39 (42)	5 (29)	111) ^b	75 (56)	12 (46)	160) ^b	(2) ^b	200.	NA	C 1 .	BU>HL	TOU.>	26~03	20.
NR, No. (%) ^c	61 (13)	7 (8)	1.92 (1, 566) ^b	7 (8)	3 (20)	2.18(1, 104) ^b	11 (9)	4 (17)	$\frac{1.61}{149}^{ m b}^{ m b}$	0.80 (2) ^b	.67	NA	.44	NA	.48	NA	.91
Age, mean (SD), y	33.83 (8.43)	32.01 (7.49)	3.77 (1, 577)	28.88 (7.72)	28.76 (6.98)	0.00 (1, 109)	28.34 (7.23)	29.23 (8.01)	0.32 (1, 158)	34.29 (2, 847)	<.001	-5.05 (0.72)	<.001	-4.67 (0.83)	<.001	-0.38 (0.99)	.70
Education, mean (SD), y ^d	14.72 (2.13)	14.43 (2.13)	1.41 (1, 565)	13.72 (2.27)	13.53 (1.51)	0.09 (1, 101)	12.50 (1.95)	12.12 (2.03)	0.80 (1, 152)	70.41 (2, 824)	<.001	-2.23 (0.19)	<.001	-0.98 (0.23)	<.001	-1.25 (0.27)	<.001
Adult height, mean (SD), cm ^{e,f}	176.66 (5.95)	175.44 (5.86)	2.48 (1, 392)	174.28 (6.23)	172.76 (6.27)	0.85 (1, 106)	175.10 (7.04)	173.22 (7.09)	1.54 (1, 152)	1.41 (2, 655)	.25	-0.69 (0.59)	.25	0.60 (0.68)	.38	-1.29 (0.78)	.10
Birth measures																	
BW, mean (SD), g ^{e,g}	3524 (573.18)	3438 (578.62)	1.74 (1, 576)	3612 (484.77)	3471 (482.40)	1.21 (1, 108)	3500 (648.25)	3254 (652.67)	3.09 (1, 157)	2.17 (2, 846)	.12	-46.50 (52.07)	.37	102.28 (60.82)	.10	-148.77 (72.23)	.04
GA, mean (SD), wk ^h	39.76 (1.76)	39.86 (2.47)	0.20 (1, 549)	39.80 (1.76)	40.00 (1.75)	0.17 (1, 100)	39.57 (2.03)	39.00 (4.15)	1.08 (1, 150)	1.56 (2, 802)	.21	-0.31 (0.18)	.10	0.05 (0.22)	.81	-0.36 (0.26)	.16
BHC, mean (SD), cm ^{e,i}	35.23 (1.54)	35.14 (1.47)	0.20 (1, 357)	35.36 (1.42)	35.21 (1.42)	0.12 (1, 90)	35.11 (1.39)	35.31 (1.44)	0.28 (1, 128)	0.99 (2, 580)	.37	-0.08 (0.15)	.60	0.19 (0.17)	.19	-0.27 (0.20)	.17
BL, mean (SD), cm ^{e,j}	50.26 (2.41)	50.12 (2.36)	0.24 (1, 565)	50.52 (1.92)	50.63 (1.90)	0.05 (1, 106)	50.16 (2.69)	49.32 (2.67)	1.85 (1, 145)	2.23 (2, 821)	.11	-0.17 (0.22)	.44	0.44 (0.25)	.07	-0.60 (0.30)	.04
Age at onset, mean (SD), y	NA	NA	NA	18.21 (5.22)	16.77 (3.95)	1.18 (1, 109)	21.70 (6.22)	21.92 (5.54)	0.03 (1, 155)	28.20 (1, 266)	<.001	NA	NA	NA	NA	3.75 (0.71)	<.001
Scale scores, mean (SD)																	
GAF-S	NA	NA	NA	62.02 (9.31)	61.35 (8.85)	0.08 (1, 109)	47.78 (13.77)	52.04 (14.84)	2.03 (1, 158)	78.77 (1, 269)	<.001	NA	NA	NA	NA	-13.44 (1.52)	<.001
GAF-F	NA	NA	NA	61.37 (10.92)	62.82 (10.92)	0.26 (1, 108)	49.04 (13.67)	52.00 (14.78)	1.00 (1, 158)	58.66 (1, 268)	<.001	NA	NA	NA	NA	-12.07 (1.58)	<.001
PANSS	NA	NA	NA	42.45 (7.73)	44.29 (5.72)	0.88 (1, 108)	59.84 (16.44)	54.81 (10.68)	2.25 (1, 158)	102.03 (1, 268)	<.001	NA	NA	NA	NA	16.29 (1.61)	<.001
PANSS general	NA	NA	NA	24.19 (4.41)	25.41 (3.81)	1.14 (1, 109)	30.89 (8.10)	29.00 (5.15)	1.31 (1, 158)	58.77 (1, 269)	<.001	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.20 (0.81)	<.001
PANSS negative	NA	NA	NA	9.55 (2.99)	9.88 (2.98)	0.18 (1, 108)	15.14 (5.91)	13.08 (4.43)	2.86 (1, 158)	76.50 (1, 268)	<.001	NA	NA	NA	NA	5.21 (0.60)	<.001
PANSS positive	NA	NA	NA	8.68 (2.05)	9.00 (2.29)	0.34 (1, 109)	13.81 (4.88)	12.73 (4.09)	1.13 (1, 158)	103.90 (1, 269)	<.001	NA	NA	NA	NA	4.91 (0.48)	<.001
APD																	
CPZ equivalent APD dose, mean (SD)	NA	NA	NA	182.29 (122.08)	271.78 (159.69)	2.85 (1, 41)	299.27 (207.30)	256.35 (142.50)	0.86 (1, 129)	8.67 (1, 172)	.004	N	NA	A	NA	95.21 (32.34)	.004
)	(continued)

Table 1. Clinical and Demographic Variables (continued)	nd Demogra	aphic Variab	les (conti	nued)													
	90			BDG			SG			Main effect size	t size	Pairwise					
Characteristic	No BA (n = 486)	BA (n = 93)	F (df)	No BA (n = 94)	BA (n = 17)	F (df)	No BA (n = 134)	BA (n = 26)	F (df)	F or X ² (df)	P value	SG vs CG, difference, mean (SEM)	P value	BD vs CG, difference, mean (SEM)	P value	SG vs BDG, difference, mean (SEM)	P value
Taking APD, No. (%)	NA	NA	NA	36 (38)	7 (41)	0.05(1) 111) ^b	112 (84)	22 (85)	0.02 (1, 160) ^b	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	(SZ>BD)	<.001
Taking lithium, No. (%)	NA	NA	NA	16 (17)	2 (12)	0.29(1, 111) ^b	4 (3)	3 (12)	3.81 (1, 160) ^b	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	(BD>SZ)	<.001
Psychotic symptoms, No. (%)	NA	NA	NA	51 (54)	9 (53)	0.01 (1, 111) ^b	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Abbreviations: APD, antipsychotic drug; BA, birth asphyxia; BD, bipolar disorder spectrum group; BHC, birth head circumference; BL, birth length; BW, birth weight; CG, control group; CPZ, chlorpromazine; <i>df</i> , degrees of freedom; GA, gestational age; GAF-S, Global Assessment of Functioning-Symptoms; GAF-F, Global Assessment of	D, antipsych: ., birth length ational age; C	otic drug; BA 1; BW, birth w 3AF-S, Global	, birth aspl ,eight; CG, Assessmel	yxia; BD, bipo control group; nt of Function.	lar disorder sf ; CPZ, chlorpro ing-Symptom	pectrum gro omazine; <i>df</i> , 1s; GAF-F, Gl	up; BHC, birt degrees of obal Assessm		Total sample size incl birth asphyxia and 17 schizophrenia group.	e size incluc tia and 17 w ia group.	led 486 pa ith in the B	tients without l D group, and 13	birth asphyxi 34 patients w	a and 93 with i ithout birth as	in the conti sphyxia anc	⁸ Total sample size included 486 patients without birth asphyxia and 93 with in the control group; 94 without birth asphyxia and 17 with in the BD group, and 134 patients without birth asphyxia and 26 with in the schizophrenia group.	thout
Functioning-Functioning; NR, non-right handed; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; SG, schizophrenia spectrum group.	tioning; NR, r	ıon-right har	nded; PANS	S, Positive and	d Negative Syr	ndrome Scal	e; SG, schizol		Total sample birth asphyx	e size incluc :ia and 16 w	led 463 pai ith in the B	ients without l D group, and 1	oirth asphyxi. 27 patients w	a and 88 with i ithout birth as	in the cont sphyxia anc	^h Total sample size included 463 patients without birth asphyxia and 88 with in the control group; 86 without birth asphyxia and 16 with in the BD group, and 127 patients without birth asphyxia and 25 with in the	thout
^a P = .04, per 1-tailed Fisher exact test.	iled Fisher ex	act test.							schizophrenia group.	ia group.							
^b Values are χ^2 with df .	:h df.								Total sample	e size includ	led 293 pat	ients without b	irth asphyxia	and 67 with ir	n the contr	Total sample size included 293 patients without birth asphyxia and 67 with in the control group; 79 without birth	hout birth
^c Total sample size included 475 patients without birth asphyxia and 91 with in the control group; 89 without birth asphyxia and 15 with in the BD group, and 126 patients without birth asphyxia and 23 with in the	e included 47. with in the BI	5 patients wi O group, and	ithout birth 126 patient	asphyxia and ts without birt	91 with in the h asphyxia an	e control groi 1d 23 with in	up; 89 witho the		asphyxia and 14 with schizophrenia group.	d 14 with in ia group.	the BD grc	asphyxia and 14 with in the BD group, and 114 patients without birth asphyxia and 17 with in the schizophrenia group.	ients withou	t birth asphyxi	ia and 17 wi	ith in the	
schizophrenia group. ^d Total sample size included 476 patients without birth asphyxia and 91 with in the control group: 88 without birth	'oup. ≯included 47(6 patients wi	ithout birth	asphyxia and	91 with in the	control grou	up: 88 withou	-	Total sample asphyxia anc	e size incluo 117 with in	led 479 pat the BD gro	Total sample size included 479 patients without birth asphyxia and 89 with in the control group: . asphyxia and 17 with in the BD group, and 126 patients without birth asphyxia and 22 with in the	oirth asphyxia tients withou	i and 89 with ir t birth asphyxi	n the contr ia and 22 w	Total sample size included 479 patients without birth asphyxia and 89 with in the control group; 92 without birth asphyxia and 17 with in the BD group, and 126 patients without birth asphyxia and 22 with in the	hout birth
asphyxia and 15 with in the BD group, and 129 patients without birth asphyxia and 25 with in the schizophrenia group.	with in the BI oup.	D group, and	129 patien	ts without birt	th asphyxia an	id 25 with in	the		schizophrenia group.	iia group.							
^e Means are adjusted for sex.	ted for sex.																
^f Total sample size included 325 patients without birth asphyxia and 70 with in the control group; 92 without birth asphyxia and 17 with in the BD group, and 129 patients without birth asphyxia and 26 with in the schizophrenia group.	e included 32 with in the BI oup.	5 patients wi D group, and	ithout birth 129 patien	asphyxia and ts without birt	70 with in the h asphyxia an:	e control gro nd 26 with in	up; 92 witho the	ut birth									

	CG (n = 579)	(6		BDG (n = 111)	(1)		SG (n = 160)			SG vs CG	15	BDG vs CG	9	SG vs BD	
Outcome	No BA, No.	BA, No.	Total No. (%) ^a	No BA, No.	BA, No.	Total No. (%) ^a	No BA, No.	BA, No.	Total No. (%) ^a	X ²	P value	χ ²	P value	χ ²	P value
Birth asphyxia	0	93	93 (16.1)	0	17	17 (15.3)	0	26	26 (16.3)	0.00	.95	0.04	.84	0.04	.84
Asphyxia/hypoxia	0	81	81 (14.0)	0	17	17 (15.3)	0	19	19 (11.9)	0.48	.49	0.13	.71	0.67	.41
Respiratory complications															
Distress, apnea, atelectasis pulmonary, almost dead, cyanosis	0	2	2 (0.3)	0	0	0	1	4	5 (3.1)	10.32	.001	0.39	.54	3.53	.06
Threatening intrauterine asphyxia	12	4	16 (2.8)	0	2	2 (1.8)	9	m	9 (5.6)	3.14	.08	0.34	.56	2.46	.12
Preterm birth (≤35 wk)	7	9	13 (2.2)	2	1	3 (2.7)	7	4	11 (6.9)	8.55	.003	0.09	77.	2.33	.13
Low birth weight (≤2500 g)	14	10	24 (4.1)	0	0	0	5	5	10 (6.3)	1.27	.26	4.77	.03	7.2	.007
Apgar score 0-7 at 1 or 5 min	6	21	30 (5.2)	4	7	11 (9.9)	6	12	21 (13.1)	12.31	<.001	3.73	.05	0.65	.42
Apgar score 0-3 at 1 min or 0-7 at 5 min	1	9	7 (1.2)	0	4	4 (3.6)	1	2	3 (1.9)	0.42	.52	3.41	.07	0.78	.38
Preeclampsia	11	2	13 (2.2)	m	0	3 (2.7)	0	0	0	3.66	.06	0.09	.77	4.37	.04
Gestational diabetes	1	0	1 (0.2)	1	0	1 (0.9)	1	0	1 (0.6)	0.95	.33	1.71	.19	0.07	.79
Umbilical cord prolapse	1	m	4 (0.7)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.11	.29	0.77	.38	NA	NA
Placental abruption	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3.62	.06	NA	NA	0.70	.40
Breech delivery	14	7	21 (3.6)	5	1	6 (5.4)	11	2	13 (8.1)	5.78	.02	0.78	.38	0.74	.39
Emergency cesarean delivery	7	7	14 (2.4)	4	1	5 (4.5)	5	4	9 (5.6)	4.28	.04	1.51	.22	0.17	.68
Shoulder dystocia	2	e	5 (0.9)	1	0	1 (0.9)	0	1	1 (0.6)	0.09	77.	0.00	.97	0.07	.79
Discolored placenta or amniotic fluid	30	38	68 (11.7)	8	7	15 (13.5)	10	9	16 (10)	0.38	.54	0.28	.60	0.80	.37

significantly more male participants experienced birth asphyxia than female participants (62 [67%] vs 31 [33%]; P = .04).¹⁶ There were no significant sex differences in those who experienced birth asphyxia in the BD and schizophrenia groups.

Comparing the schizophrenia group with the control group, there were significantly more cases of preterm birth (11 [7%] vs 13 [2%]), low Apgar scores (21 [13%] vs 30 [5%]), breech deliveries (13 [8%] vs 21 [4%]), and emergency cesarean deliveries (9 [6%] vs 14 [2%]). Additionally, we found significantly more severe OCs co-occurred in the presence of birth asphyxia (eg, 70 of 93 control group participants [75%]), than when birth asphyxia was not present (82 of 486 control group participants [17%]), across groups (**Table 3**).

WM ROIs and Birth Asphyxia Between Groups

For the 25 ROIs, no significant main associations of birth asphyxia were observed (eTable in the Supplement). A statistically significant interaction between birth asphyxia and diagnostic group was found for the posterior limb of the internal capsule (PLIC) ($F_{(1, 843)} = 11.46$; P = .001), which survived correction for multiple comparisons. The significant interaction revealed lower mean (SD) FA in patients with birth asphyxia (0.68 [0.02]) compared with patients without birth asphyxia (0.69 [0.02]), which was not found in the control group (mean [SD] among healthy participants with birth asphyxia, 0.69 [0.02]; without birth asphyxia, 0.68 [0.02]) (eTable in the Supplement).

In follow-up analyses on FA in the PLIC, a significant interaction between birth asphyxia and diagnostic subgroups ($F_{(2, 841)} = 5.66$; P = .004) revealed the lowest mean (SD) FA in patients with birth asphyxia and schizophrenia (with birth asphyxia, 0.68 [0.02]; without, 0.69 [0.02]; d = -0.46) followed by those with birth asphyxia and BD (with birth asphyxia, 0.68 [0.02]; without, 0.69 [0.02]; d = -0.46) followed by those with birth asphyxia and BD (with birth asphyxia, 0.68 [0.02]; without, 0.69 [0.02]; d = -0.40), with similar effect sizes in both groups. In the control group, higher mean (SD) FA was shown in the group with birth asphyxia (with birth asphyxia, 0.69 [0.02]; without, 0.68 [0.02]; d = 0.24) (**Figure**). This pattern was found in individual analyses of both the left and right PLIC-FA (eAppendix 1 and eFigure 4 in the Supplement).

In follow-up analyses of the PLIC, a significant interaction between birth asphyxia and diagnostic group was observed for RD ($F_{(1, 843)} = 9.28$, P = .002) but not for AD ($F_{(2, 841)} = 2.49$, P = .12) and showed higher mean (SD) values in patients with birth asphyxia (0.37 [0.05]) compared with those without (0.36 [0.02]), which was not found in the control group (with birth asphyxia, 0.36 [0.05]; without, 0.36 [0.02]). Additional follow-up analyses are reported in eAppendix 1, eFigure 5, and eFigure 6 in the Supplement.

Discussion

We found an equal prevalence of birth asphyxia between patients and healthy individuals in the control group. The association of birth asphyxia with DTI measures was specific to patients, with significantly lower FA in the PLIC of patients with birth asphyxia compared with patients without

Diagnostic subgroup	Participants, No./total No.(%)	χ ²	P value
Control group			
No BA	82/486 (17)	127.50	. 001
BA	70/93 (75)	137.50	<.001
BD group			
No BA	21/94 (22)	26.02	1
BA	14/17 (82)	26.02	<.001
Schizophrenia group			
No BA	29/134 (22)	27.42	. 001
ВА	19/26 (73)	27.43	<.001

Abbreviation: BA, birth asphyxia.

^a Co-occurring obstetric complications include 1 or more of the following: preterm birth, low birth weight, low Apgar score (O-3 at 1 minute or O-7 at 5 minutes), preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, umbilical cord prolapse, placental abruption, breech delivery, emergency cesarean delivery, shoulder dystocia, or discolored placenta/amniotic fluid, with or without the presence of BA.

birth asphyxia. RD of the PLIC showed similar deviations as FA, with higher values in patients with birth asphyxia; effect sizes were also similar.

Together with the smaller basal ganglia and thalamic volumes demonstrated in a previous birth asphyxia study on an overlapping sample,¹⁷ the WM abnormalities in the PLIC of patients with birth asphyxia are consistent with MRI findings of asphyxiated infants with the poorest outcomes.^{12,39,40} The PLIC contains fibers of the superior thalamic radiation, corticospinal, corticofugal, and corticopontine tracts that support important motor, language, cognitive, and sensory functions,⁴⁰ often found to be impaired in individuals with BD and schizophrenia.^{41,44} Even though participants with neurological disorders or neuroradiological MRI findings indicating brain pathology were excluded (eAppendix 2 in the Supplement), we were still able to find abnormalities in the PLIC of patients with birth asphyxia, which might suggest early damage or impeded brain development.

Interestingly, the PLIC was one of the few WM regions where no FA abnormalities were detected in adult patients with schizophrenia and BD in 2 large meta-analyses conducted by the ENIGMA SZ⁶ and BD⁵ working groups. In an early-onset schizophrenia study, lower FA of the PLIC was reported.⁴⁵ The corticospinal tract, constituting a large part of the PLIC, showed the lowest FA in early-onset schizophrenia, but differences became less prominent over time.⁴⁶ Application of machine learning in a structural and diffusion imaging study found one of most discriminative features of case-control status was FA of the corticospinal tract and PLIC in first-episode schizophrenia.⁴⁷ In a 6-week trial of risperidone on patients with schizophrenia not previously receiving medication, increased orientation dispersion index (ODI), a biophysical characterization of WM microstructure, was only detected in the PLIC, and increases in whole brain ODI were associated with poorer responses to treatment.⁴⁸ Even though differences within the PLIC were not evident in large meta-analysis data sets,^{5,6} it could be that delayed maturation of corticospinal tract, differences in disease stages, or differences in medication use could explain these discrepancies.

Genetic and environmental factors are thought to disrupt normal development from early in life, resulting in cumulative molecular and histogenic responses,⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ which may not be fully captured in large data sets of chronic adult patients and healthy control participants. Considering the interacting environment of pregnancy and birth, the interplay between birth asphyxia and diagnosis may explain more of the variability in PLIC, which is not revealed with simple main effects. Given that birth asphyxia was equally occurring in all groups, our findings support the idea that having a history of birth asphyxia is an interactive, rather than a directly causal, risk factor in the development of a psychiatric disorder.

Severe hypoxia-ischemia in preterm or term infants tends to affect brain regions in the border zones between the end fields of major cerebral arteries (eg, parasagittal cortex and hippocampus)




Figure. Diagnostic Subgroup × Birth Asphyxia Interaction for Fractional Anisotropy in the Posterior Limb of the Internal Capsule

JAMA Network Open. 2021;4(12):e2139759. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.39759

Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.

and in areas that are actively myelinating (eg, thalamus, basal ganglia, and PLIC).^{10,11,52} Higher RD values were significantly associated with the PLIC of patients with birth asphyxia, which gives an indication that the low FA values could be driven by abnormalities in myelin. Myelination of axons progresses from the brainstem and deep brain structures prior to birth to peripheral brain regions mainly during the first year after birth but also throughout life.⁵³⁻⁵⁵ Myelination of deep brain structures, like the ventro-lateral nuclei and sub-thalamic nucleus, begins first at 29 weeks' gestational age and then in the PLIC at 39 to 40 weeks.⁵⁶

Myelination of the PLIC is considered an important landmark for evaluating neonatal brain development.⁵⁷ Because gestational age was similar between groups (39-40 weeks), it could be that PLIC abnormalities in patients with birth asphyxia may be associated with genetics or other mechanisms underlying both myelination and an intolerance to hypoxia, which was not found in the individuals in the control group. The functional hypoxia-inducible lipid droplet-associated (HILPDA) protein is a novel regulator of intracellular lipid and energy metabolism expressed under hypoxia.⁵⁸ HILPDA expression, attenuated in schizophrenia,⁵⁹ might represent a protective factor that explains the higher FA in the PLIC of individuals in the control group with birth asphyxia.

Limitations

This study has limitations, including the wide definition and lack of details in the measures of birth asphyxia from the MBRN. The wide definition might account for the high prevalence (>15%) of birth asphyxia, as birth asphyxia resulting in hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy only occurs in 1.8 per 1000 live births,⁶⁰ and the prevalence reported here is nearly 100 times more. We found an equal prevalence of birth asphyxia among the groups, which is unexpected if genetic risk of schizophrenia predisposes patients to early insults around birth. Since obvious organic pathology and/or brain lesions in their MR images and neurological disorders precluded recruitment, it could be that the birth asphyxia measure and design of the TOP study do not fully capture the severe end of the hypoxic spectrum, which results in a series of functional deficits later in life. Even so, the birth asphyxia measures from the MBRN are likely associated with a range of hypoxia and asphyxia exposures, which were less tolerated because of genetic susceptibilities already present in newborns who later developed a psychiatric disorder.

We studied adults, whereas examining myelination trajectories in newborns and during early development would be of interest for future studies. Another limitation is the use of WM DTI metrics, which lack biological specificity. DTI metrics can be affected by various neurobiological processes, such as neuroinflammation, edema, and WM fiber crossing,⁶¹ which have been reported in patients with shizophrenia⁶² and BD.⁶³ Future studies including myelin-sensitive MRI sequences, such as multishell diffusion MRI metrics,⁵¹ may allow for stronger biological interpretations.

Conclusions

This study reported DTI abnormalities in the PLIC of patients with birth asphyxia that were not detected in the control group, potentially indicating early myelin damage or impeded brain development caused by an intolerance to birth asphyxia. Abnormalities of in the PLIC might be especially relevant to patients because the PLIC contains important WM brain pathways associated with language, cognitive functions, and sensory functions, which are impaired in schizophrenia and BD. Results should be replicated in independent samples.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: October 25, 2021. Published: December 20, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.39759

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License. © 2021 Wortinger LA et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

Corresponding Author: Laura A. Wortinger, PhD, Department of Psychiatric Research, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, PO Box 23 Vinderen, O319, Oslo, Norway (l.a.w.bakke@medisin.uio.no).

Author Affiliations: Department of Psychiatric Research, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway (Wortinger, Barth, Nerland, Jørgensen, Agartz); Norwegian Centre for Mental Disorders Research, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (Wortinger, Barth, Nerland, Jørgensen, Shadrin, Szabo, Haukvik, Westlye, Andreassen, Agartz); Norwegian Centre for Mental Disorders Research, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway (Shadrin, Szabo, Westlye, Andreassen); Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway (Szabo); Centre of Research and Education in Forensic Psychiatry, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway (Szabo); Centre of Research and Education in Forensic Psychiatry, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway (Haukvik); Department of Adult Psychiatry, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (Haukvik); Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (Westlye); KG Jebsen Centre for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (Westlye, Agartz); Department of Physiology, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (Thoresen); Neonatal Neuroscience, Translational Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom (Thoresen); Centre for Psychiatric Research, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden (Agartz).

Author Contributions: Dr Wortinger had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Wortinger, Barth, Haukvik, Westlye, Agartz.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Wortinger, Nerland, Szabo, Agartz.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Statistical analysis: Wortinger, Barth, Nerland, Jørgensen.

Obtained funding: Andreassen, Agartz.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Wortinger, Nerland, Jørgensen, Szabo, Westlye, Andreassen.

Supervision: Westlye, Thoresen, Agartz.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Andreassen reported receiving unrestricted research funding from KG Jebsen Stiftelsen, South East Norway Health Authority, and the Research Council of Norway during the conduct of the study; receiving consulting fees from HealthLytix; receiving speaker's honoraria from Lundbeck and Sunovion outside the submitted work; and serving on the scientific advisory board of the Milken Institute. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: We appreciate the support from the Research Council of Norway (grant Nos. 223273 and 300767), the South East Norway Regional Health Authority (grant No. 2017-093), and the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program (ERC StG; grant No. 802998).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Additional Contributions: We thank the participants of the Thematically Organized Psychosis study and express gratitude to clinicians, research assistants, and referring hospital units involved in recruitment and assessment for the Norwegian Centre for Mental Disorders.

REFERENCES

1. Friston KJ. Dysfunctional connectivity in schizophrenia. World Psychiatry. 2002;1(2):66-71.

2. Strakowski SM, Adler CM, Almeida J, et al. The functional neuroanatomy of bipolar disorder: a consensus model. *Bipolar Disord*. 2012;14(4):313-325. doi:10.1111/j.1399-5618.2012.01022.x

3. Phillips ML, Swartz HA. A critical appraisal of neuroimaging studies of bipolar disorder: toward a new conceptualization of underlying neural circuitry and a road map for future research. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2014;171(8): 829-843. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.13081008

4. Kumar J, Iwabuchi S, Oowise S, Balain V, Palaniyappan L, Liddle PF. Shared white-matter dysconnectivity in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder with psychosis. *Psychol Med*. 2015;45(4):759-770. doi:10.1017/ S0033291714001810

5. Favre P, Pauling M, Stout J, et al; ENIGMA Bipolar Disorder Working Group. Widespread white matter microstructural abnormalities in bipolar disorder: evidence from mega- and meta-analyses across 3033 individuals. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2019;44(13):2285-2293. doi:10.1038/s41386-019-0485-6

6. Kelly S, Jahanshad N, Zalesky A, et al. Widespread white matter microstructural differences in schizophrenia across 4322 individuals: results from the ENIGMA Schizophrenia DTI Working Group. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2018;23(5): 1261-1269. doi:10.1038/mp.2017.170

7. Bellani M, Boschello F, Delvecchio G, et al. DTI and myelin plasticity in bipolar disorder: integrating neuroimaging and neuropathological findings. *Front Psychiatry*. 2016;7:21. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00021

8. Schmitt A, Simons M, Cantuti-Castelvetri L, Falkai P. A new role for oligodendrocytes and myelination in schizophrenia and affective disorders? *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2019;269(4):371-372. doi:10.1007/s00406-019-01019-8

9. Kolomeets NS, Uranova NA. Reduced oligodendrocyte density in layer 5 of the prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2019;269(4):379-386. doi:10.1007/s00406-018-0888-0

10. Singh DK, Ling EA, Kaur C. Hypoxia and myelination deficits in the developing brain. *Int J Dev Neurosci*. 2018; 70:3-11. doi:10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2018.06.012

11. Volpe JJ, Kinney HC, Jensen FE, Rosenberg PA. Reprint of "The developing oligodendrocyte: key cellular target in brain injury in the premature infant". *Int J Dev Neurosci*. 2011;29(6):565-582. doi:10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2011. 07.008

12. Thoresen M, Jary S, Walløe L, et al. MRI combined with early clinical variables are excellent outcome predictors for newborn infants undergoing therapeutic hypothermia after perinatal asphyxia. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2021;36: 100885. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100885

13. Dalman C, Thomas HV, David AS, Gentz J, Lewis G, Allebeck P. Signs of asphyxia at birth and risk of schizophrenia: population-based case-control study. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2001;179:403-408. doi:10.1192/bjp. 179.5.403

14. Nosarti C, Reichenberg A, Murray RM, et al. Preterm birth and psychiatric disorders in young adult life. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012;69(6):E1-E8. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.1374

15. Davies C, Segre G, Estradé A, et al. Prenatal and perinatal risk and protective factors for psychosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(5):399-410. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30057-2

16. Ursini G, Punzi G, Chen Q, et al. Convergence of placenta biology and genetic risk for schizophrenia. *Nat Med*. 2018;24(6):792-801. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0021-y

17. Wortinger LA, Engen K, Barth C, Andreassen OA, Nordbø Jørgensen K, Agartz I. Asphyxia at birth affects brain structure in patients on the schizophrenia-bipolar disorder spectrum and healthy participants. *Psychol Med.* 2020;1-10. doi:10.1017/S0033291720002779

18. Serdar M, Kempe K, Rizazad M, et al. Early pro-inflammatory microglia activation after inflammation-sensitized hypoxic-ischemic brain injury in neonatal rats. *Front Cell Neurosci*. 2019;13:237. doi:10.3389/fncel.2019.00237

19. Wortinger L, Engen K, Barth C, et al. Obstetric complications and intelligence in patients on the schizophreniabipolar spectrum and healthy participants. *Psycho Med*. 2020;50(11):1914-1922. doi:10.1017/ \$0033291719002046

20. Wortinger LA, Jørgensen KN, Barth C, et al. Significant association between intracranial volume and verbal intellectual abilities in patients with schizophrenia and a history of birth asphyxia. *Psychol Med.* 2021;1-10. doi:10. 1017/S0033291721000489

21. Pierpaoli C, Jezzard P, Basser PJ, Barnett A, Di Chiro G. Diffusion tensor MR imaging of the human brain. *Radiology*. 1996;201(3):637-648. doi:10.1148/radiology.201.3.8939209

22. Fink F, Klein J, Lanz M, et al. Comparison of diffusion tensor-based tractography and quantified brain atrophy for analyzing demyelination and axonal loss in MS. *J Neuroimaging*. 2010;20(4):334-344. doi:10.1111/j.1552-6569. 2009.00377.x

23. Goldberg-Zimring D, Mewes AU, Maddah M, Warfield SK. Diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging in multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimaging*. 2005;15(4)(suppl):685-815. doi:10.1177/1051228405283363

24. Song SK, Sun SW, Ramsbottom MJ, Chang C, Russell J, Cross AH. Dysmyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water. *Neuroimage*. 2002;17(3):1429-1436. doi:10.1006/nimg. 2002.1267

25. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013;310(20):2191-2194. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.281053

26. Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Accessed November 15, 2021. https://www.fhi.no/en/hn/h.ealth-registries/medical-birth-registry-of-norway

27. Azzopardi DV, Strohm B, Edwards AD, et al; TOBY Study Group. Moderate hypothermia to treat perinatal asphyxial encephalopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361(14):1349-1358. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0900854

28. Tønnesen S, Kaufmann T, de Lange AG, et al; Karolinska Schizophrenia Project. Brain age prediction reveals aberrant brain white matter in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: a multisample diffusion tensor imaging study. *Biol Psychiatry Coan Neurosci Neuroimaging*. 2020;5(12):1095-1103. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2020.06.014

29. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, et al. Tract-based spatial statistics: voxelwise analysis of multisubject diffusion data. *Neuroimage*. 2006;31(4):1487-1505. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.02.024

30. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Woolrich MW, et al. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. *Neuroimage*. 2004;23(suppl 1):S208-S219. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.051

31. Hua K, Zhang J, Wakana S, et al. Tract probability maps in stereotaxic spaces: analyses of white matter anatomy and tract-specific quantification. *Neuroimage*. 2008;39(1):336-347. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.07.053

32. Mori S, Oishi K, Jiang H, et al. Stereotaxic white matter atlas based on diffusion tensor imaging in an ICBM template. *Neuroimage*. 2008;40(2):570-582. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.12.035

33. Fortin JP, Cullen N, Sheline YI, et al. Harmonization of cortical thickness measurements across scanners and sites. *Neuroimage*. 2018;167:104-120. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.11.024

34. Johnson WE, Li C, Rabinovic A. Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. *Biostatistics*. 2007;8(1):118-127. doi:10.1093/biostatistics/kxj037

35. Pedersen G, Hagtvet KA, Karterud S. Generalizability studies of the Global Assessment of Functioning-split version. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2007;48(1):88-94. doi:10.1016/j.comppsych.2006.03.008

36. Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 1987;13(2):261-276. doi:10.1093/schbul/13.2.261

37. Kochunov P, Williamson DE, Lancaster J, et al. Fractional anisotropy of water diffusion in cerebral white matter across the lifespan. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012;33(1):9-20. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2010.01.014

38. Westlye LT, Walhovd KB, Dale AM, et al. Life-span changes of the human brain white matter: diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and volumetry. *Cereb Cortex*. 2010;20(9):2055-2068. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhp280

39. Martinez-Biarge M, Diez-Sebastian J, Kapellou O, et al. Predicting motor outcome and death in term hypoxicischemic encephalopathy. *Neurology*. 2011;76(24):2055-2061. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31821f442d

40. Inder TE, Volpe JJ. Hypoxic-ischemic injury in the term infant: clinical-neurological features, diagnosis, imaging, prognosis, therapy. In: Volpe JJ, ed. *Neurology of the Newborn*. Elsevier; 2018:510-563.e5.

41. Carter O, Bennett D, Nash T, et al. Sensory integration deficits support a dimensional view of psychosis and are not limited to schizophrenia. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2017;7(5):e1118. doi:10.1038/tp.2017.69

42. Walther S, Mittal VA. Motor system pathology in psychosis. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2017;19(12):97. doi:10.1007/s11920-017-0856-9

43. Van Rheenen TE, Lewandowski KE, Tan EJ, et al. Characterizing cognitive heterogeneity on the schizophreniabipolar disorder spectrum. *Psychol Med*. 2017;47(10):1848-1864. doi:10.1017/S0033291717000307

44. de Boer JN, van Hoogdalem M, Mandl RCW, et al. Language in schizophrenia: relation with diagnosis, symptomatology and white matter tracts. *NPJ Schizophr*. 2020;6(1):10. doi:10.1038/s41537-020-0099-3

45. Ublinskii MV, Semenova NA, Lukovkina OV, et al. Characteristics of diffusion in the corticospinal tract of patients with early stage of schizophrenia: diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging. *Bull Exp Biol Med*. 2015; 159(1):29-31. doi:10.1007/s10517-015-2881-1

46. Douaud G, Mackay C, Andersson J, et al. Schizophrenia delays and alters maturation of the brain in adolescence. *Brain*. 2009;132(Pt 9):2437-2448. doi:10.1093/brain/awp126

47. Liang S, Li Y, Zhang Z, et al. Classification of first-episode schizophrenia using multimodal brain features: a combined structural and diffusion imaging study. *Schizophr Bull*. 2019;45(3):591-599. doi:10.1093/schbul/sby091

48. Kraguljac NV, Anthony T, Monroe WS, et al. A longitudinal neurite and free water imaging study in patients with a schizophrenia spectrum disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2019;44(11):1932-1939. doi:10.1038/s41386-019-0427-3

49. Birnbaum R, Weinberger DR. Genetic insights into the neurodevelopmental origins of schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2017;18(12):727-740. doi:10.1038/nrn.2017.125

50. Stilo SA, Murray RM. Non-genetic factors in schizophrenia. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2019;21(10):100. doi:10.1007/s11920-019-1091-3

51. Alnæs D, Kaufmann T, Marquand AF, Smith SM, Westlye LT. Patterns of sociocognitive stratification and perinatal risk in the child brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020;117(22):12419-12427. doi:10.1073/pnas.2001517117

52. Volpe JJ. Hypoxic-ischemic injury in the term infant: pathophysiology. In: Volpe JJ, ed. *Neurology of the Newborn*. Elsevier; 2018:500-509. doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-42876-7.00019-3

53. Barkovich AJ. Magnetic resonance techniques in the assessment of myelin and myelination. *J Inherit Metab Dis*, 2005;28(3):311-343. doi:10.1007/s10545-005-5952-z

54. Barkovich AJ, Lyon G, Evrard P. Formation, maturation, and disorders of white matter. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 1992;13(2):447-461.

55. Laule C, Vavasour IM, Kolind SH, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of myelin. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2007;4(3): 460-484. doi:10.1016/j.nurt.2007.05.004

56. Wang S, Ledig C, Hajnal JV, Counsell SJ, Schnabel JA, Deprez M. Quantitative assessment of myelination patterns in preterm neonates using T2-weighted MRI. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1):12938. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-49350-3

57. Bird CR, Hedberg M, Drayer BP, Keller PJ, Flom RA, Hodak JA. MR assessment of myelination in infants and children: usefulness of marker sites. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 1989;10(4):731-740.

58. de la Rosa Rodriguez MA, Kersten S. Regulation of lipid droplet homeostasis by hypoxia inducible lipid droplet associated HILPDA. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids*. 2020;1865(9):158738. doi:10.1016/j.bbalip.2020. 158738

59. Akkouh IA, Ueland T, Hansson L, et al. Decreased IL-1β-induced CCL20 response in human iPSC-astrocytes in schizophrenia: potential attenuating effects on recruitment of regulatory T cells. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020;87: 634-644. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2020.02.008

60. Thornberg E, Thiringer K, Odeback A, Milsom I. Birth asphyxia: incidence, clinical course and outcome in a Swedish population. *Acta Paediatr*. 1995;84(8):927-932. doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.1995.tb13794.x

61. Winklewski PJ, Sabisz A, Naumczyk P, Jodzio K, Szurowska E, Szarmach A. Understanding the physiopathology behind axial and radial diffusivity changes-what do we know? *Front Neurol*. 2018;9:92. doi:10.3389/fneur. 2018.00092

62. Mongan D, Ramesar M, Föcking M, Cannon M, Cotter D. Role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia: a review of the evidence, proposed mechanisms and implications for treatment. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2020;14(4):385-397. doi:10.1111/eip.12859

63. Pereira AC, Oliveira J, Silva S, Madeira N, Pereira CMF, Cruz MT. Inflammation in bipolar disorder (BD): identification of new therapeutic targets. *Pharmacol Res.* 2021;163:105325. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2020.105325

SUPPLEMENT.

eMethods. Participants, DWI Acquisition, and Statistical Analyses eAppendix 1. Supplementary Results eTable. Twenty-five FA ROIs eFigure 1. FA Comparison Before and After ComBat Harmonization for Scanners eFigure 2. RD Comparison Before and After ComBat Harmonization for Scanners eFigure 3. AD Comparison Before and After ComBat Harmonization for Scanners eFigure 4. Diagnostic Subgroup × Birth Asphyxia Interaction for Fractional Anisotropy in the Left and Right Hemisphere Posterior Limb of the Internal Capsule eFigure 5. Diagnostic Subgroup × Birth Asphyxia Interaction for Radial Diffusivity in the Posterior Limb of the Internal Capsule eFigure 6. Diagnostic Subgroup × Birth Asphyxia Interaction for Radial Diffusivity in the Left and Right

Hemisphere Posterior Limb of the Internal Capsule

eAppendix 2. TOP MRI Grading Scheme

eReferences.