

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Bovine host genome acts on rumen microbiome function linked to methane emissions

Citation for published version:

Martínez-Alvaro, M, Auffret, MD, Duthie, C-A, Dewhurst, RJ, Cleveland, MA, Watson, M & Roehe, R 2022, 'Bovine host genome acts on rumen microbiome function linked to methane emissions', Communications biology, vol. 5, pp. 1 -16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03293-0

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1038/s42003-022-03293-0

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Communications biology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



communications biology

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03293-0

OPEN

Bovine host genome acts on rumen microbiome function linked to methane emissions

Marina Martínez-Álvaro^{® 1}, Marc D. Auffret², Carol-Anne Duthie¹, Richard J. Dewhurst^{® 1}, Matthew A. Cleveland³, Mick Watson^{® 4} & Rainer Roehe^{® 1⊠}

Our study provides substantial evidence that the host genome affects the comprehensive function of the microbiome in the rumen of bovines. Of 1,107/225/1,141 rumen microbial genera/metagenome assembled uncultured genomes (RUGs)/genes identified from whole metagenomics sequencing, 194/14/337 had significant host genomic effects (heritabilities ranging from 0.13 to 0.61), revealing that substantial variation of the microbiome is under host genomic control. We found 29/22/115 microbial genera/RUGs/genes host-genomically correlated (|0.59| to |0.93|) with emissions of the potent greenhouse gas methane (CH₄), highlighting the strength of a common host genomic control of specific microbial processes and CH₄. Only one of these microbial genes was directly involved in methanogenesis (cofG), whereas others were involved in providing substrates for archaea (e.g. bcd and pccB), important microbial interspecies communication mechanisms (ABC.PE.P), host-microbiome interaction (TSTA3) and genetic information processes (RP-L35). In our population, selection based on abundances of the 30 most informative microbial genes provided a mitigation potential of 17% of mean CH_4 emissions per generation, which is higher than for selection based on measured CH_4 using respiration chambers (13%), indicating the high potential of microbiome-driven breeding to cumulatively reduce CH₄ emissions and mitigate climate change.

¹ Scotland's Rural College, Edinburgh, UK. ² Agrifirm, Drongen, Belgium. ³ Genus plc, DeForest, WI, USA. ⁴ The Roslin Institute and the Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. [⊠]email: Rainer.Roehe@sruc.ac.uk



uminants harbour a unique symbiotic gut microbial population that transforms indigestible fibrous feed into high-quality products such as meat and milk for human consumption. Moreover, ruminant livestock is vital to meet global food security and contribute to poverty¹ reduction in an increasing world population². Yet to be solved is the negative environmental impact, as dairy and beef cattle account for 9.5% of all anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions³. Of those, ruminal microbial fermentation represents 40-50%; in particular, due to the highly potent GHG methane $(CH_4)^4$. Additionally, CH₄ emissions imply a considerable energy loss to the animal, ranging from 2 to 12% of gross energy intake⁵. Therefore, decreasing CH₄ emissions is acknowledged to contribute to the mitigation of climate change and optimize the economic efficiency of cattle production⁶. Ruminal methanogenesis is a complex process dependent on the cooperation of taxonomic communities with different metabolic activities⁷⁻¹⁰. A diverse community of bacteria, ciliate protozoa, and anaerobic fungi¹¹ convert complex diet carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids into volatile fatty acids, lactate, microbial proteins, and vitamins while releasing CO₂, H₂, and other compounds. Four orders of ruminal methanogenic archaea use electrons derived from H₂, formate or methyl compounds to reduce carbon dioxide into CH₄ to obtain energy for growth¹². Dietary interventions designed to alter the microbiome for CH₄ mitigation (e.g. protozoa defaunation^{13,14}, seaweed¹⁵, and 3-NOP¹⁶ additives) have often failed in the long term due to microbiota adaptation to the new environment¹⁷ or are associated with increased production costs. In contrast, the genomic selection that targets the part of the host genome modulating microbiome composition related to low CH₄-emitting cattle opens up the opportunity to provide a cost-effective permanent solution to reduce CH₄ emissions from ruminants.

There is increasing evidence of a host genetic impact on the composition of the microbiota in the rumen of bovines^{7,18–25}, monogastric livestock^{26,27}, and humans²⁸⁻³³. These previous metagenomic studies were based on microbiota profiles mostly identified using sequence polymorphisms of the 16S rRNA gene and therefore did not consider the functional versatility of unique rumen microbial species, nor the ability of some microbial organisms to integrate foreign DNA from other microbial organisms into their DNA. Novel microbial species in the rumen have recently been identified using metagenome-assembled genomes generated from whole metagenomic sequence data of microbial DNA from rumen samples^{8,34}, but how their abundances are shaped by host genomics is still unknown. There is also a lack of knowledge of the host genomic associations with the abundances of functional microbial KEGG genes which were found to predict methane emissions on the phenotypic level with a prediction ability of $r^2 = 0.81^7$. Moreover, a microbiome-driven selection strategy based on this functional information of microbial genes in animal breeding and a study of its effect on the response to selection is to the best of our knowledge not available, since previous research emphasized host genetic effects on the taxonomical composition of the microbiome^{22,35,36}. To identify the functionality of the rumen microbiome directly, we applied genome-resolved metagenomics to generate abundances of the microbial KEGG genes based on whole metagenomic sequencing. Based on these data, we carried out comprehensive research that elucidates how host genomics influences complex functions of ruminal microbes (determined by their microbial genes) genomically correlated to CH₄ emissions. Furthermore, we developed a microbiome-driven selection strategy showing how this information can best be included in cattle breeding to directly change the rumen microbiome function and reduce these emissions.

We identified the host genomic (using single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) information) influence on an extensively

characterized microbiome in relation to CH₄, using whole metagenome sequencing of rumen microbial DNA samples from a bovine population designed for a powerful host genomic analysis³⁷⁻³⁹ with high standardization of diets and other husbandry effects. We characterized the core ruminal microbiome by identifying 1,108 cultured microbial genera by mapping our sequences to the Hungate1000 reference genome collection⁴⁰ and RefSeq⁴¹ databases (Supplementary Data 1); 225 ruminal uncultured genomes (RUGs) by de novo metagenome-assembly of genomes³⁴, 34 of them classified at strain level (Supplementary Data 2), and 1142 functional microbial genes (Supplementary Data 3); present in all (n = 359) and for RUGs in >200 of our animals. Our specific hypothesis is that the host genome influences the abundance of not only functional microbial genes involved in metabolism, but also in interspecies communication, host-microbiome interactions, and genetic information processing. These functions may play a key integrating role in achieving a ruminal balance where fermentation of feed into essential nutrients utilized by the host is optimized and substrates utilized by methanogenesis e.g. H₂ excess are minimized. Our comprehensive description of ruminal microbiome functionalities includes the abundances of 34 microbial genes carried by methanogenic archaea directly implicated in CH₄ metabolism; 511 involved in other metabolic pathways of bacteria, archaea, ciliate protozoa or fungi, indirectly influencing methanogenesis by minimizing required substrates through non-methanogenic routes that yield beneficial nutrients for ruminants⁴² (e.g. acetogenesis, propionogenesis^{13,43-45}), or generating methanogen-inhibitor metabolites⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸; 207 in microbial communication processes and host-microbiome interaction (e.g. ABC transporters of different metabolites or fucose sensing) carried by fungi, bacteria and archaea⁴⁹⁻⁵¹, of importance because the synthesis of CH₄ in cooperation with other main metabolic routes in the rumen⁵²⁻⁵⁴ are syntrophic processes amongst microbial communities⁵⁵; 330 involved in genetic information processes (e.g. ribosomal biosynthesis) related to microbial growth⁵⁶; and 60 at present not functionally characterized. For each of these 2475 functional and taxonomic characteristics of the rumen microbiome, the host genomic determination and correlation with CH4 emissions were analysed. After stringent adjustment for multiple testing, we demonstrate that our hypothesis of a common host genomic control is valid by discovering heritabilities (h^2) of microbial profiles and host-genomic correlations with CH₄ emissions $(r_{\rm gCH4})$ significantly deviating from zero, which shows the effectiveness of this strategy. Our results are obtained in bovines, but also provide an indication of potential host genomic effects on functional microbial genes and their biological processes in other species.

Besides providing a better understanding of the complex host genomic effects on the rumen microbiome function, this research provides the basis for a cost-effective microbiome-driven breeding strategy to mitigate CH_4 emissions from cattle without measuring it directly, which is necessary considering the cost-prohibitive limitations of measuring individual animal CH_4 emissions.

Results

Bovine host genomics affected CH_4 emissions produced by ruminal archaea. CH_4 emissions⁵⁷ were accurately measured from individual beef cattle (n = 285) using the gold-standard method of respiration chambers. Animals within the same breed or diet expressed high phenotypic variability in CH_4 emissions with coefficients of variation from 16.3 to 28.5% (Supplementary Fig. 1a, b). Genomic h^2 of CH_4 emissions revealed that 33% а



Fig. 1 Genomic heritability (h^2) estimates of additive log-ratio-transformed abundances of microbial taxa and their genes in the rumen of 359 bovines. Bars show the h^2 values of 194/14/337 rumen microbial genera/uncultured genomes (RUGs)/genes tested exhibiting non-zero h^2 estimates and significant host genomic effects (based on Bayes Factor >3 and Deviance Information Criterion difference between models with or without host genomic effects \leq -20). **a** Cultured microbial genera and RUGs classified within the phylum. **b** Microbial genes grouped by microbial biological processes: microbial communication and host-microbiome interaction (Comm. & Host Interact.), genetic information processes (Genetic Inform.), metabolism other than methane (Other Metabol.), and methane metabolism (CH₄ Metabol.). Source data is in Supplementary Data 4-6.

(Bayes Factor for genomic effects (BF) = 5.91) of this phenotypic variation was explained by host genome variation, which is consistent with other studies^{58–60}. The h^2 obtained for CH₄ emissions is at the level of other traits for which substantial gains due to breeding are achieved, such as growth rate⁶¹ and milk yield⁶². In addition, there was large genomic variation for CH₄ emissions as deviation from the mean ranged from -2.67 to 3.51 g/kg of dry matter intake (DMI) with no difference between breeds (P > 0.16), which suggests that bovines have most likely not been indirectly selected for CH₄ emissions as a result of a lack of genetic correlation to those traits under selection.

Host genomics affects the ruminal microbiome composition. We next investigated the proportion of the ruminal microbiome variation at taxonomic and functional levels explained by the host genomic variation among individuals, by estimating h^2 of the ruminal abundances of 1107 genera, 225 RUGs, and 1141 microbial genes. Our results demonstrate significant host genomic effects with h^2 in a range between 0.13 and 0.61 for the abundances of 194 microbial genera, 14 RUGs, and 337 microbial genes representing cumulatively 58.4, 5.63, and 27.2%, respectively, of the total relative abundance (RA) (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Data 4-6). Among the 194 genera, 20 were highly heritable $(h^2 > 0.40)$, which belonged exclusively to bacteria (e.g. Firmicutes Acidaminococcus (RA = 0.3%), $h^2 = 0.54$, BF = $8.82 \times 10^{+5}$) and archaea (e.g. hydrogenotrophic methanogen Methanospirillum⁶³ (RA = 0.0005%, $h^2 = 0.40$, BF =; $1.04 \times 10^{+2}$). Host genome also shaped the abundance of the hydrogenotrophic/methylotrophic⁵⁵ methanogen Candidatus Methanoplasma (RA = 0.002%, $h^2 = 0.32$, $BF = 6.31 \times 10^{+2}$), and to a lesser extent the abundance of ubiquitous Methanobrevibacter (RA = 5.02%, $h^2 = 0.24$, BF = 9.10)-which is coherent with estimates from other studies^{18–20}—*Candidatus Methanomethylophilus* (RA = 0.05%, $h^2 = 0.26$, BF = 4.18), Methanothermus (RA = 0.002%, $h^2 =$

0.25, $BF = 1.26 \times 10^{+1}$) and Methanoplanus (RA = 0.0008%, $h^2 = 0.24$, BF = 9.56). Reinforcing the evidence of a hostgenomic component in the abundance of methanogenic archaea, 5 RUGs annotated as uncultured Methanobrevibacter strains (RA > 0.27%) demonstrated moderate to high h^2 estimates (0.39–0.48, BF from 3.5 to $4.65 \times 10^{+1}$), indicating that more specific classification using RUGs provides the opportunity to find highly heritable strains. The most abundant complex carbohydrates degraders in the rumen-Eubacterium (RA = 1.02%), Prevotella (RA = 39.2%), Butyrivibrio (RA =2.54%), Bacteroides (RA = 1.39%) and Pseudibutyrivibrio (RA = 0.54%)—were highly ($h^2 = 0.51$ for *Eubacterium*, BF = $9.72 \times 10^{+3}$) or moderately ($h^2 = 0.23 - 0.33$ for the others, BF from 7.42 to $9.73 \times 10^{+1}$) heritable; with 7 highly abundant RUGs (RA > 0.25%) classified as uncultured Prevotellaceae bacterium having h^2 from 0.32 to 0.45 (BF from 7.48 to $1.67 \times 10^{+2}$). These results support the concepts of a "core heritable microbiome^{"21,64} and stability over time of certain microbial genera abundance such as *Prevotella*⁶⁵. None of the fungi and protist genera, which are considered to be nonessential for rumen function and highly variable within different host species⁶⁶, were highly heritable.

We elucidated that the specific functional capacity of the ruminal microbiome is heritable by estimating the h^2 of a comprehensive set of microbial genes, of which 33 were highly $(h^2 > 0.4)$, and 304 were moderately $(0.2 < h^2 < 0.4)$ heritable. These microbial genes are involved in a wide variety of metabolic functions (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Data 6), e.g. synthesis of microbial proteins or volatile fatty acids, suggesting that the host genome influences the growth of microbes responsible for the release of nutrients during microbial fermentation^{67,68}. Among 34 microbial genes involved in the CH₄ metabolism pathway, 13 showed moderate h^2 of 0.22–0.29 (BF from 3.96 to 7.82 × 10⁺¹), e.g. mcrA, mcrB, mtrD, mtrE, and cofG. Ribosomal biosynthesis was revealed to be under strong host-genomic



Fig. 2 Reaction scheme of 2-oxocarboxylic acid metabolism and glycine, serine, threonine, arginine, lysine, and Coenzyme B biosynthesis in which additive log-ratio transformed microbial gene abundances strongly host-genomically correlated with methane emissions (r_{gCH4}) are involved. Small rectangles symbolize proteins encoded by the microbial genes. Microbial genes are highlighted in red when their r_{gCH4} estimates range between -0.74 and -0.93 and show a probability of being different from 0 (P_0) \geq 0.95, and in orange when they range between |0.55| and |0.77| and $P_0 \geq 0.85$. Source data is in Supplementary Data 9. Compounds are denoted by their short names. Full names of compounds and microbial genes are given in Supplementary Data 17.

control with 56 heritable microbial genes, representing a cumulative RA of 6.57%, including 9 highly heritable genes $(h^2 = 0.40-0.53, BF = 1.62 \times 10^{+2}$ to $1.18 \times 10^{+8})$ synthesizing the large ribosomal subunit. Intracellular ribosomal biosynthesis reflects the growth rate of microbial organisms, given that ribosomes can account for up to 40% of their cellular dry mass⁵⁶, and cell fitness and optimal growth are tightly coupled to efficient protein synthesis⁶⁹. Demonstrating that differences among animals in complex microbiome functions are partly due to host genomic variation opens up opportunities to consider a new source of genetic variation not only in ruminants but also in humans, where the h² of microbial gene abundances was estimated to be even larger $(0.65-0.91)^{70}$.

Ruminal microbial mechanisms related to CH₄ emissions are influenced by host genomics. The existence of a common host genomic influence on CH₄ emissions and the rumen microbiome was evaluated by estimating host-genomic correlations between CH₄ emissions and each microbial genus/RUG/gene abundance $(r_{\rm gCH4})$. Based on the probability of $r_{\rm gCH4}$ being different from 0 $(P_0) \ge 0.95$, our study revealed 29 microbial genera, 22 RUGs, and 115 functional microbial genes strongly host-genomically correlated with CH₄ emissions (r_{gCH4} from [0.59] to [0.93], Supplementary Data 7-9). Among the significant microbial communities, most were bacteria (22 genera/17 RUGs) belonging to Bacteroidetes (5/ 14), Firmicutes (6/2), and Proteobacteria (9/1) phyla. Most microbial genes with strong $r_{\rm gCH4}$ were not directly involved in CH₄ metabolism pathways, but rather mechanisms indirectly affecting CH₄ production most likely by limiting substrates for methanogenesis^{10,71}, inhibiting methanogens, playing a role in coordinating actions among microbial communities and the host or leading microbial genetic processes. Only H2-oxidizing Methanoregula (RA = 0.003%) with unknown activity in rumen⁴⁹ and the microbial gene *cofG* involved in F_{420} coenzyme biosynthesis^{72,73} resulted in significant negative r_{gCH4} (-0.82 and -0.71, $P_0 \ge 0.95$), suggesting that these are abundant under ruminal conditions unfavourable for high CH4 producing methanogens. Four uncultured Methanobrevibacter strains showed negative $r_{\rm gCH4}$ (<-0.72, $P_0 \ge 0.95$) and one was positive (0.91, $P_0 = 0.99$), indicating that the relationship between the abundance of Methanobrevibacter and CH₄ emissions is complex as different strains may have functional versatility. We hypothesize that some Methanobrevibacter sp. can produce CH₄ even under a challenging ruminal environment (e.g. low pH value), however, at a substantially lower level than those adapted to more favourable conditions. To visualize which microbial genus/gene abundances in the rumen are influenced by a common host genomic background, we constructed a coabundance network based on Pearson correlations among deregressed host genomic effects for each microbial genus/RUG/gene (Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Data 10). This approach revealed co-abundance clusters of bacterial and fungal genera⁷⁴ with strong $r_{\rm gCH4}$ and methanogenic archaea, e.g. fungal Metschnikowia $(r_{\rm gCH4} = 0.77, P_0 = 0.96)$ and archaeal Methanosarcina (cluster 9 in Supplementary Fig. 2); and of microbial genes not directly involved in CH4 metabolism but with strong rgCH4 (e.g. RP-L6, $r_{\rm gCH4}$ 0.71, $P_0 = 0.96$) and those involved directly in CH₄ metabolism (e.g. fbaA, cluster 1 in Supplementary Fig. 2). The most important host-genomically affected ruminal microbial mechanisms associated with CH_4 production (based on r_{gCH4}) are as follows:

Microbial metabolism. An extensive group of microbial genes involved in amino acid metabolic and transport pathways displayed negative $r_{\rm gCH4}$. Part of this group of microbial genes was involved in the biosynthesis of arginine⁷⁵ and branched-chain amino acids^{76,77} via oxocarboxylic acid metabolism (*argF*, *argD* and *ilvA* with $r_{\rm gCH4} = -0.84$ to -0.88, $P_0 \ge 0.96$; and *argJ*,



Fig. 3 Reaction scheme of 2-oxocarboxylic acid metabolism and branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis, in which additive log-ratio transformed microbial gene abundances strongly host genomically correlated with methane emissions (r_{gCH4}) are involved. Small rectangles symbolize proteins encoded by the microbial genes. Microbial genes are highlighted in red when their r_{gCH4} estimates range between -0.74 and -0.93 and show a probability of being different from 0 (P_0) ≥ 0.95 , and in orange when they range between |0.55| and |0.77| and $P_0 \ge 0.85$. Source data is in Supplementary Data 9. Compounds are denoted by their short names. Full names of compounds and microbial genes are given in Supplementary Data 17.



Fig. 4 Reaction scheme of phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis in which additive log-ratio transformed microbial gene abundances strongly host genomically correlated with methane emissions (r_{gCH4}) are involved. Small rectangles symbolize proteins encoded by the microbial genes. Microbial genes are highlighted in red when their r_{gCH4} estimates range between -0.74 and -0.93 and show a probability of being different from 0 (P_0) \geq 0.95, and in orange when they range between |0.55| and |0.77| and $P_0 \geq 0.85$. Compounds are denoted by their short names. Source data is in Supplementary Data 9. Full names of compounds and microbial genes are given in Supplementary Data 17.

argC, alaA, ilvH, leuB, and leuD with $r_{\rm gCH4} = -0.55$ to -0.77 at lower evidence $P_0 \ge 0.85$, Figs. 2 and 3). Aconitate hydratase (ACO) catalysing the isomerization of citrate to isocitrate in the early stage of the oxocarboxylic chain extension, and *bcd* and *pccB* degrading branched-chain amino acids into branched-chain volatile fatty acids, which have an inhibitory effect on methanogens⁴⁶, also expressed negative $r_{\rm gCH4} = -0.76$ to -0.90 ($P_0 \ge 0.95$). We also estimated negative $r_{\rm gCH4}$ for microbial genes coding ABC transporters of polar and branched-chain amino acids (*ABC.PA.A*, *ABC.PA.S*, *livH*, *livG*, and *livK* $r_{\rm gCH4} = -0.83$ and -0.93, $P_0 \ge 0.95$). Another group of microbial genes was related to the metabolism of aromatic amino acids tryptophan, tyrosine, and phenylalanine (*AROA2*, *trpA*, *trpD*, *trpE*, *tyrA2* and *paaH* with $r_{\rm gCH4} = -0.74$ to -0.87, $P_0 \ge 0.95$ and *aroC*, *aroA*, *aroF*, *trpG*, and *trpB*, with $r_{\rm gCH4} = -0.68$ to -0.74 at lower evidence $P_0 \ge 0.85$, Fig. 4). More specifically, *trpE*, *trpD*, and *trpA*

take part in the metabolism of L-tryptophan (Fig. 4) whose catabolites (e.g. indole) are important signalling molecules in biofilm formation⁷⁸, and activation of the host immune system⁷⁹. Moreover, 2-oxocarboxylic acid and tyrosine catabolites are precursors for the biosynthesis of coenzyme B77,80 and methanofuran⁷³ methanogenic cofactors, and their diversion into the synthesis of other substrates (e.g. arginine, branched-chain amino acids or tryptophan) could explain their negative $r_{\rm gCH4}$. Lastly, four microbial genes with negative r_{gCH4} (-0.61 to -0.87, $P_0 \ge 0.95$) were associated with methionine metabolism (*metE*, DNMT1) and transport (metQ and metN). Methionine is associated with minor methylotrophic methanogenesis pathway⁸¹ in the rumen^{82,83} and with an enhancement of microbial long-chain fatty acid production⁸⁴, a highly H_2 demanding process⁴⁴. Our study highlights that the negative association between microbial amino acid metabolism and CH485,86 has a host genomic



Fig. 5 Reaction scheme of starch and sucrose metabolism in which additive log-ratio transformed microbial gene abundances strongly host genomically correlated with methane emissions (r_{gCH4}) are involved. Small rectangles symbolize proteins encoded by the microbial genes. Microbial genes are highlighted in red when their r_{gCH4} estimates range between -0.74 and -0.93 and show a probability of being different from 0 (P_0) \geq 0.95, and in orange when they range between |0.55| and |0.77| and $P_0 \geq 0.85$. Source data is in Supplementary Data 9. Compounds are denoted by their short names. Full names of compounds and microbial genes are given in Supplementary Data 17.

component. This could be partly due to host genomic effects¹⁹ on ruminal feed retention times, which have opposite effects on microbial protein synthesis efficiency⁶⁷ and CH_4 production⁸⁷.

We obtained negative $r_{\rm gCH4}$ (from -0.60 to -0.85, $P_0 \ge 0.95$) for the abundance of several microbial genes responsible for sucrose metabolism (sacA, maIZ, bgLB, SPP, and sucrose phosphorylase, Fig. 5), including the highly abundant sucrose fermenter⁸⁸ Eubacterium (RA = 1.02%), transporters of multiple sugars across the membrane⁸⁵ (ABC.MS.P1, ABC.MS.S, and ABC.MS.P), and the microbial gene PTS-EI that catalyses the phosphorylation of incoming sugar substrates concomitantly with their translocation across the cell membrane. Microorganisms capable of fast growth on soluble sugars are suggested to be favoured in hosts with low rumen size and high digesta turnover rate^{85,89}, features also associated with low CH₄ emissions⁸⁷. Degradation of easily fermentable carbohydrates, such as sucrose or starch, causes a pH decline which has a strong CH₄ reducing effect as a result of pH sensitivity of methanogens or H2producing microbes⁹⁰. Furthermore, previously mentioned microbial genes aroA and trpE are involved in the shikimate pathway91 linking sugar metabolism with the synthesis of microbial proteins (aromatic amino acids, tyrosine, phenylalanine, and tryptophan) which are an important source of amino acids for the host. Microbial protein yield from sucrose is suggested to be more persistent over time in comparison to other carbohydrates⁹², and partially stored by sucrose utilizers (e.g. *Eubacterium*) for the maintenance of the microbial population⁹².

We also found negative r_{gCH4} for the abundance of hydrogenotrophic acetogenic bacteria *Blautia*⁴⁵, together with *Eubacterium*⁹³ ($r_{gCH4} = -0.60$ and -0.73, $P_0 \ge 0.95$), and the *fhs* microbial gene involved in the reductive Wood–Ljungdahl acetyl-CoA pathway ($r_{gCH4} = -0.79$, $P_0 = 0.98$). Acetogens produce volatile fatty acids (mainly acetate but also propionate and butyrate⁹⁴), which serve as host nutrients to improve animal performance⁴² and simultaneously compete against methanogens for metabolic H₂^{9,43,45}. Despite acetogenesis being thermodynamically less favourable than the reduction of CO₂ into CH₄⁹⁵ in the rumen, this may vary upon microbial interactions and host-genomically influenced ruminal environmental factors^{42,45,68}. Propionogenesis via acrylate^{34,85,89,96} and lactaldehyde routes⁴⁰ was another microbial mechanism under host genomic influence lowering CH4 emissions as indicated by negative r_{gCH4} (-0.76 to -0.90, $P_0 \ge 0.95$) for the abundances of microbial genes bcd and pccB involved in propanoyl-CoA metabolism and fucO catalysing the reduction of lactaldehyde into 1,2-propanediol, as well as the highly abundant (RA = 0.08%) lactate-producing bacteria Kandleria ($r_{gCH4} = -0.87$, $P_0 = 0.99$). Propionate production from lactate not only reduces H₂ availability for methanogenesis^{13,97} but also prevents rumen acidosis⁹⁸ and results in a more efficient rumen fermentation^{99,100}. The abundance of six microbial genes encoding [4Fe-4S] cluster containing proteins (bioB, cobL, cofG, nifU, ACO, and pflA) involved in electron transfer mechanisms in redox reactions presented $r_{\rm gCH4}$ from -0.71 to -0.87 ($P_0 \ge 0.96$). The first two proteins are involved in the synthesis of substrates required for methanogenic cofactors; i.e. bioB catalyses the conversion of dethiobiotin to biotin¹⁰¹, which competes with coenzyme B for the synthesis of its alkyl portion^{102,103}; and *cobL* together with *hemC* ($r_{gCH4} = -0.91$, $P_0 = 1.00$) take part in porphyrin metabolism, required for different processes including the synthesis of porphyrin-based cofactors vitamin B_{12} and F_{430}^{104} . Nitrogen fixation protein *nifU* carries out N_2 reduction into ammonia¹⁰⁵, which can act as an alternative H₂consuming sink competing with ruminal methanogenesis. Further negative r_{gCH4} were obtained for microbial genes in thiamine metabolism (iscS, thiD, thiH, and thiE with r_{gCH4} from -0.88 to $-0.70, P_0 \ge 0.91$)¹⁰⁶; hydration of long-chain fatty acid oleate into anti-tumoral hydroxystearic acid^{107,108} (*ohyA*, -0.81, $P_0 = 0.95$), or import of methanogen inhibitors long-chain fatty acids47 (ABCB- BAC^{109} , $r_{gCH4} = -0.9$, $P_0 = 0.99$). Moreover, highly abundant bacteria genera with ruminal fatty acid biohydrogenation activity^{110,111}, Eubacterium and Butyrivibrio (RA = 2.54%, r_{gCH4} = -0.37, $P_0 = 0.80$) were negatively correlated with CH₄.

Microbial communication and host-microbiome interaction mechanisms. The majority of methanogens in the rumen are



Fig. 6 Top 20 rumen uncultured genomes (RUGs) highly enriched with the 115 microbial genes host-genomically correlated to methane emissions with a probability of being higher or lower than 0 (P_0) \geq 0.95. Colour scale represents the number of unique proteins mapping into each KEGG orthologous group (i.e. microbial gene). Source data is in Supplementary Data 11. Full names of microbial genes are given in Supplementary Data 18.

integrated into the biofilm on the surface of feed particles where H₂ producing bacteria are active¹¹²⁻¹¹⁴. We found strong negative $r_{\rm gCH4}$ (-0.78 to -0.92, $P_0 \ge 0.96$) for abundances of microbial genes mediating microbial interactions, involved in ABC transport of cobalt/nickel (*cbiO* and *cbiQ*) and quorum sensing-related peptide/nickel ions (ABC.PE.P, ABC.PE.S, ABC.PE.A, ABC.PE.P1) -cobalt and nickel being detrimental for hydrogenotrophic and aceticlastic methanogenic activity⁴⁸-protein export (secD and secF) and chemotaxis (cheA and mcp); and positive r_{gCH4} for transcription protein *cbpA* (0.85, $P_0 = 0.97$) acting as a microbial response to maintain plasmids replication during amino acid starvation¹¹⁵. CH₄ emissions were also host-genomically correlated with abundances of microbial genes mediating hostmicrobiome interaction; e.g. *cbh* and *baiN*¹¹⁶ ($r_{gCH4} = -0.80$, $P_0 \ge 0.96$) involved in bacterial biosynthesis of secondary bile acids which activate metabolic receptors within gut, host liver, and peripheral tissues^{116,117} and inhibit CH₄ production in the rumen by transferring metabolic H₂ into propionate production¹¹⁸. Another interesting finding is that TSTA3, involved in the metabolism of host-microbiome crosstalk mediator fucose¹¹⁹, displays a positive r_{gCH4} (0.85, $P_0 = 0.98$). Fucose is a component of mucins present in saliva¹²⁰, which is produced abundantly by ruminants and acts as a pH buffer during ruminal fermentation due to its phosphate and bicarbonate content¹²¹. Cellulolytic Fibrobacter, an indicator of high pH levels in rumen¹²², was positively host-genomically correlated to TSTA3 in our data (0.66, $P_0 = 0.94$), while lactic acid producer Kandleria, generally associated with low pH levels and negative $r_{\rm gCH4}$, was host-genomically correlated to TSTA3 negatively (-0.70, $P_0 = 0.90$). Thus TSTA3 could be involved in signalling enhanced saliva production, resulting in increased rumen pH that is known to stimulate the growth of methanogenic archaea and CH₄ emissions¹²³.

Genetic information processes. Ribosomal biogenesis represented by *RP-S10*, *RP-S12*, *RP-S17*, *RP-L2*, *RP-L3*, *RP-L6*, *RP-L23*, *RP-L28*, *RP-L34*, and *RP-L35*, was one of the few microbial mechanisms with positive r_{gCH4} from 0.71 to 0.84 ($P_0 \ge 0.95$). All of them are universal ribosomal proteins homologous in bacteria, archaea, and eukarya; except for *RP-L28*, *RP-L34*, and *RP-L35* exclusively found in bacteria^{124,125}. Given that protein synthesis is highly coupled with cellular growth⁶⁹, these results suggest that the rumen environment provided by low CH₄-emitter host genomes are related to lower growth or activities of specific microbes directly or indirectly involved in methanogenesis.

RUGs enriched with CH_4 -related microbial genes are strongly host-genomically correlated to CH_4 emissions. The 20 highly prevalent (present in >200 animals) RUGs containing the highest number of unique proteins from the 115 microbial genes with strong r_{oCH4} were all bacterial RUGs carrying between 114 to 180 unique proteins classified into 60 to 84 microbial genes (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Data 11 and 12). Of these 20 highly enriched bacterial RUGs, 18 showed negative r_{gCH4} consistently with the majority of the microbial genes; 6 of them with $r_{gCH4} < -0.65$ $(P_0 \ge 0.85)$ from which 5 RUGs were classified as uncultured Lachnospiraceae bacterium (RUG10082, RUG13438, RUG13308, RUG13002, RUG12132) and 1 as uncultured Clostridiales bacterium (RUG10940). The abundance of Blautia and Dorea microbial genera within Lachnsopiraceae family (identified by alignment to Hungate1000 collection and Refseq databases) also presented negative $r_{\rm gCH4} < -0.72$ ($P_0 \ge 0.95$, Supplementary Data 7). We also investigated the enrichment of these 115 microbial genes in the 6 RUGs with r_{gCH4} ($P_0 \ge 0.95$) annotated at the genus level (Supplementary Data 8), and in those RUGs annotated in the same phylogeny level as any of the 29 microbial genera with r_{gCH4} ($P_0 \ge 0.95$, Supplementary Data 7), which had low occupancies in our cattle population (<200 animals) and therefore were not included in the 225 considered for breeding (see methods). Our findings show that part of the mechanisms identified in this study occurs in the 5 RUGs classified as uncultured Methanobrevibacter strains, each carrying at least 45 out of the 115 microbial genes (Supplementary Fig. 3). The uncultured Methanobrevibacter strain with positive rgCH4 (RUG12982) carried fewer unique proteins (67 vs. 75 to 93) and microbial genes (51 vs. 55 to 62) than the other 4 uncultured Methanobrevibacter sp. RUGs with negative r_{gCH4} ; lacking, for example, argD in arginine biosynthesis, tyrA2 in tyrosine and tryptophan metabolism, and DNMT1 in methionine metabolism, which reinforces the hypothesis of functional versatility amongst different Methanobrevibacter strains explaining their different effects and estimated $r_{\rm gCH4}$ on CH₄ emissions. Low-occupancy RUGs annotated as Eubacterium ruminatum, Eubacterium pyruvativorans, Kandleria vitulina, and uncultured sp. of Blautia RUGs carried at least 49 out of the 115 microbial genes each (Supplementary Fig. 3). Interestingly, their counterparts identified at the genera level presented negative $r_{\rm gCH4} < -0.60$ ($P_0 \ge 0.95$, Supplementary Data 7).

Microbiome-driven breeding of the bovine host for mitigation of CH_4 emissions. The comprehensive findings of the hostgenomic associations between microbial genus/RUG/gene abundances and CH_4 emissions enabled us to predict its mitigation potential when applying genomic selection targeting each of them individually (Supplementary Data 13), indirectly informing about the impact of each microbial mechanism on methanogenesis. Considering 30% of our cattle population being selected based on the abundances of each microbial gene, *maiZ* in sucrose



Fig. 7 Microbial genes selected to be used collectively for selecting the host genomes associated with low CH₄ emissions, meeting 3 criteria: showing significant heritability (h^2) based on Bayes Factor >3 and Deviance Information Criterion difference between models with or without host genomic effects \leq -20; a host genomic correlation with CH₄ (r_{gCH4}) with a probability of being higher or lower than 0 (P_0) > 0.95, and showing a relative abundance >0.01%. a Estimates of h^2 and r_{gCH4} (error bars represent the highest posterior density interval enclosing 95% probability). Microbial genes grouped by microbial biological processes: methane metabolism (CH₄), microbial communication and host-microbiome interaction (Comm. & host interact.), genetic information processes and metabolism other than CH₄ (Metabolism). **b** Correlogram showing the median of the pairwise host-genomic correlations estimates among the additive log-ratio transformed microbial gene abundances selected for breeding purposes. Source data is in Supplementary Data 14. Full names of microbial genes selected for breeding purposes are given in Supplementary Data 19.

metabolism, ABC.PE.P in quorum sensing peptide/nickel transport, hemc in porphyrin or upp in pyrimidine metabolism are predicted to result in the highest CH_4 mitigation potential (-5.2, -5.3, -5.8 and -6.54% of CH₄ emissions mean respectively, $P_0 \ge 0.99$). Subsequently, our study aimed to find a group of heritable (BF > 3 and Deviance Information Criterion difference between models with or without host genomic effects ≤ -20) ruminal microbial genera/RUGs/genes (RA > 0.01%) with strong $r_{\rm gCH4}$ ($P_0 \ge 0.95$) to be used collectively for selecting the host genomes associated with low CH₄ emissions. We identified 2 microbial genera (Eubacterium and Blautia), 3 RUGs (two annotated as uncultured Methanobrevibacter sp. and one as uncultured Prevotellaceae bacterium) and 38 microbial genes meeting these requirements (Supplementary Data 14). We selected 30 out of the 38 microbial genes (Fig. 7a) covering several microbial mechanisms, e.g. sugar and nickel transport (ABC.PE.P, ABC.MS.P1 and ABC.MS.S), fucose sensing (TSTA3), chemotaxis (mcp), ribosomal biosynthesis (RP-L6, RP-L23, RP-L28, RP-L35, RP-S12 and RP-S17), reductive acetogenesis (fhs) and metabolism of amino acids (argD), sucrose (SPP), CH₄ (cofG), biotin (bioB), propionate (pccB), porphyrin (hemC), thiamine (thiD) and pyrimidine (upp). A deep study of the host-genomic correlations among these 30 selected microbial genes showed a common host genomic background influencing the abundance of ABC.PE.P, ABC.MS.P1, fhs, cofG, argD, hemC, thiD, upp, tlyC, NTH, and copB with host-genomic correlations among each other ranging from 0.62 ($P_0 = 0.90$) to 0.99 ($P_0 = 1.00$) (Fig. 7b).

Finally, we evaluated the accuracies and response to selection in CH₄ emission mitigation in our population based on the prediction of CH₄ host genomic effects using three different sources of information: (1) CH₄ emissions measured by the "gold-standard" technique of respiration chambers, (2) the 30 microbial gene abundances exhibiting strong r_{gCH4} , and (3) combining both preceding criteria. A single (1) or multiple (2, 3) trait genomic estimation approach was applied in each case. In (2) and (3), CH₄ host genomic effects were estimated based on observations of the 30 microbial gene abundances, the genomic relationship matrix amongst individuals, and the estimated hostgenomic and residual (co)variance matrix comprising CH₄ and the 30 microbial gene abundances; assuming unknown (2) or known (3) CH₄ observations (see methods). Using microbiomedriven breeding based on the abundance of 30 specific microbial genes (2) resulted in the mean estimation accuracy of host genomic effects for CH₄ emissions to be 34% higher than using measured CH₄ emissions (1) $(0.70 \pm 0.18 \text{ vs. } 0.52 \pm 0.11)$ and confirmed that functional microbial genes are an extremely valuable source of information to perform host genomic evaluations for CH₄ emissions. Using the combined selection criteria (3), the accuracy of estimation was 14% larger than using rumen microbial gene information alone (0.80 ± 0.20) . Response to selection in CH₄ emissions achieved by selecting animals with low CH₄ emission host genomic effects predicted exclusively by microbial gene abundance information resulted in a reduction in emissions of -1.43 ± 0.14 to -3.32 ± 0.77 g CH₄/kg DMI per generation, depending on selection intensity (from 1.16 to 2.67 in the analysed population, Fig. 8 and Supplementary Data 16). These results indicate that in our population, microbiome-driven breeding for CH₄ emissions reduced its magnitude by 7-17% of its mean per generation, without the necessity for costly measures of CH₄ emissions.

Robustness of estimation of genomic parameters of CH₄ emissions and microbiome traits from a cross-classified design of breeds and basal diets. The data, from the highly environmentally standardized experiments, comprised of animals from different breeds that were offered different diets, which could be challenging as different breeds might have different genomic backgrounds for the analysed traits, and different diets could have inflated their variances. To consider the difference in means of these effects we fitted a combination of experiment, breed, and diet effects so that an adjustment of each of these effects and their interactions was achieved. To analyse whether after this adjustment the genomic variances of CH4 emissions and the abundances of 30 microbial genes selected for microbiome-driven breeding are homogeneous across breeds and diets, we computed their posterior distributions separately (Supplementary Figs 4 and 5), following the partition of the variance suggested by Sorensen et al.¹²⁶, and recently used by Lara et al.¹²⁷. Using this methodology, we found that our genomic parameter estimates for CH₄ emissions are based on similar genomic variances across breeds



Fig. 8 Response to selection per generation on methane (CH₄) emissions estimated using direct genomic selection based on measured CH₄ emissions (light blue), indirect genomic selection based on 30 microbial gene abundances most informative for host genomic selection for CH₄ (dark blue) or selection on both criteria (green). Intensities of selection 1.1590, 1.400, 1.755, 2.063, or 2.665 are equivalent to selecting 30, 20, 10, 5, or 1%, respectively, of our n = 285 animal population with CH₄ and metagenomic data based on the above-described selection criteria. Dots display the medians and violin plots represent the estimated marginal posterior distributions of the response to selection for each intensity of selection and breeding strategy. Source data is in Supplementary Data 16.

(with medians of 3.8, 3.7, 4.0, and 3.9 (g/kg DMI)² for Aberdeen Angus, Limousin, Charolais crosses and pure breed Luing, respectively) and diets (with medians of 3.8 and 3.9 (g/kg DMI)² for Forage and Concentrate based diets) with almost entirely overlapping distributions indicating their homogeneity (Supplementary Fig. 4). We also identified homogeneous genomic variances for the abundances of microbial genes with overlapping distributions across breeds (Supplementary Fig. 5a) and diets (Supplementary Fig. 5b); for example, we estimated genomic variances with medians between 0.024–0.028 (g/kg DMI)² across breeds and 0.028-0.029 (g/kg DMI)² across diets for RP-L35, or between 0.25-0.30 (g/kg DMI)² across breeds and 0.292-0.296 (g/ kg DMI)² across diets for cofG (Supplementary Fig. 5). These results indicate that the data recorded under controlled experimental conditions with a cross-classified breed and diet two-way experimental design and progeny groups balanced over diets resulted in reliable and robust genomic parameters estimates.

Discussion

Previous metagenomic studies using 16S rRNA identified microbiota revealed host genomic effects on the rumen microbial community^{7,18-25}, e.g., Wallace et al.²¹ found significant heritabilities for several members of Prevotella and Butyrivibrio genera. These species, together with other non-heritable OTUs from the core microbiota, explained up to 40% of the phenotypic variation of CH₄ emissions²¹ that is expected to be the result of both genetic and environmental correlations, which we estimated in the present study separately for each taxa and microbial gene. Moreover, the challenge of previous studies is the lack of taxonomic resolution to sufficiently explain these associations²¹, which we resolved using metagenome-assembled RUGs. For example, we revealed that different Methanobrevibacter strains expressed divergent host-genomic correlations with CH₄ emissions which correspond to the diverse microbial gene content of these strains.

To increase the predictability of CH_4 emissions, Roehe et al.⁷, suggested genome-resolved metagenomics and identified 20 microbial gene abundances, mainly involved in the methanogenesis pathway, which explained 81% of the phenotypic variation in the emissions. In contrast, the present study uses a host genomic-microbiome analysis strategy and provides a robust and comprehensive insight into joint host-genomic correlations between rumen microbial genes known to affect complex functional mechanisms and CH₄ emissions which further enabled us to predict the expected response of selection in the emissions based on each and a combination of the microbial genes. The findings of this research will be of major importance for the mitigation of the highly potent GHG CH₄ in bovine through genomic selection on the functional microbiome associated with CH₄ referred to as microbiome-driven breeding. The highlights of our research are that the host genome influences CH₄ emissions by favouring the growth of reductive acetogenic microbes limiting the excess of metabolic H₂ substrate (specifically, Blautia, Eubacterium genera and microbial gene fhs found in the genome of uncultured Lachnospiraceae bacterium, Eubacterium pyruvativorans, Eubacterium ruminatum, uncultured Eubacterium and Blautia sp. RUGs); and promoting the shift in the fermentation towards volatile fatty acids (Kandleria genera and microbial genes bcd, pccB, fucO, carried by uncultured Lachnospiraceae bacterium and Kandleria vitulina RUGs) and microbial proteins vield including arginine and branched-chain amino acids (argF, argD, ilvA, AROA2), tryptophan, tyrosine and phenylalanine (trpA, trpD, trpE, tyrA2, paaH) and methionine (metE or DNMT1), which are expected to lead to animals with improved efficiency of converting feed into nutrients^{42,128}. Moreover, host genome contributes to lower CH4 emissions by enhancing the growth of microbes that consume H_2 in alternative pathways (e.g. nitrogen fixation (nifU)); by promoting the pathways that divert specific substrates (e.g. tyrosine and 2-oxocarboxylic acid catabolites) required to produce methanogenic coenzymes or cofactors (coenzyme B and methanofuran) to other routes; and that inhibit methanogenic organisms (e.g. by the presence of branched-chain amino acids or cobalt/nickel (cbiO, cbiQ)) and maintain a lower optimum ruminal pH (sucrose metabolism (sacA, maIZ, bgLB, SPP, sucrose phosphorylase)) preventing gut disorders (e.g. thiamine metabolism (iscS, thiD, thiH, thiE)). The latter result supports our hypothesis that hosts who are genomically resilient to gut disorders produce less CH₄, which is compatible with nutritional studies demonstrating that blocking methanogenesis has no undesirable effects on cattle health status or feed intake⁸⁶. A further highlight of our study is that the host genome influenced the ABC transport of different metabolites (some of them in quorum sensing processes (ABC.PE.P,

ABC.PE.S, ABC.PE.A, ABC.PE.P1)), interspecies electron transfers (bioB, cobL, cofG), sensitivity of environmental conditions (cheA, mcp), and host-microbiome interaction mechanisms (cbh, baiN, TSTA3), all host-genomically associated with CH₄ emissions. These results shed light on the complex processes of methanogenesis regulated by different microbial mechanisms where communication between microbial communities and their interactions with the host plays an essential role. Genetic information processes in the microbiota (e.g. ribosomal biosynthesis (e.g. RP-S10)) also had a substantial host genomic effect on CH₄ emissions, potentially reflecting different microbial community growth profiles.

Our findings on the functional microbial level are complementary to studies investigating the biological mechanisms underlying host genome influence on the colonization and maintenance of specific ruminal microbial groups, such as host genomic effects on rumen size⁸⁷, muscle contraction associated with passage rate¹⁹, or ruminal pH²⁰. Other studies in bovines have elucidated host candidate genes for CH4 emissions involved in similar mechanisms^{87,129,130}, fitting into our demonstrated hypothesis that the host genome commonly influences rumen microbiome profile and CH₄ emissions. From nutritional studies⁶⁶, it is well known that the rumen pH has an overarching effect on the rumen microbial community and its metabolism. The rumen pH is intimately related to the production level of buffer-acting saliva⁹⁸ that is rich in fucose¹²⁰. We found that the abundance of TSTA3, encoding the sensor for host-microbiome crosstalk mediator fucose¹¹⁹, was host-genomically positively correlated to CH₄ emissions and to Fibrobacter genera, an indicator for high pH¹²², making TSTA3 a highly valuable biomarker for rumen pH, CH₄ metabolism and potentially, for host-microbiome mediation to enhance saliva production.

Our results provide comprehensive insight into which communities and functions of the rumen microbiome can be modified by genomic selection to obtain low CH₄-emitter animals. We revealed that specific microbiome functionalities (i.e. microbial gene abundances) are more informative for breeding purposes than specific taxonomies, as indicated by a higher number of microbial genes than genera/RUGs being host-genomically correlated to CH₄ emissions. This could be due to the closely defined function of those genes, e.g. being involved in producing specific substrates or mediating a specific pathway that interferes with CH₄ metabolism; while each microbial genera expresses many microbial gene functions as indicated by functional versatility within different niche-specific species or clades classified in the same genus^{12,34,89,131,132} (as observed within different RUGs annotated as uncultured Methanobrevibacter strains); or as a result of horizontal transfer of genes among microbial species^{133,134}. Thus, the knowledge generated in this study overcomes previous efforts exploring the breeding possibilities of rumen microbiome, where overall functional description was not considered and the number of taxonomic units associated with CH₄ was limited²¹. Our previous research has shown that the abundance of microbial communities, in particular their genes and interactions, are excellent biomarkers for the phenotypic prediction of CH₄ emissions^{7,10,37}; however, the data sets were insufficient to estimate host genomic influence on these biomarkers⁷. The present study using a unique data set with highly standardized hosting and management conditions represents a large step further by discovering 38 heritable microbial gene abundances strongly host-genomically correlated with CH4 emissions and by designing a microbiome-based breeding strategy to evaluate their potential to mitigate CH₄. Microbiomedriven (indirect) genomic selection for CH₄ emissions collectively using 30 of these microbial gene abundances resulted in our population in substantial mitigation of CH₄ (up to 17% of its

mean per generation; approximately 8% per year using genomic selection), even larger than direct genomic selection based on the accurately measured CH_4 emissions. This mitigation potential is permanent and can be cumulatively increased over generations. The selection strategy would at least partially avoid the high cost involved in measuring CH_4 emissions, and the cost-effectiveness of indirect selection could be further improved by the development of a microarray to quantify the abundances of the most informative microbial genes¹³⁵. Another advantage of the proposed selection strategy is that it is based on host-genomic correlations between microbial gene abundances and CH_4 emissions which as we discussed have specific biological meanings.

Methods

Animals. Animal experiments were conducted at the Beef and Sheep Research Centre of Scotland's Rural College (SRUC). The experiment was approved by the Animal Experiment Committee of SRUC and was conducted following the requirements of the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. The data were obtained from 363 steers used in different experiments^{38,39,136–138} conducted over 5 years (2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2017) in the same farm under the same hosting conditions. In these experiments, we tested different breeds (rotational cross from Aberdeen Angus and Limousin breeds, Charolais-crosses, and pure breed Luing) and two basal diets consisting of 480:520 and 80:920 forage: concentrate ratios (DM basis) and subsequently referred to as forage and concentrate diet. Supplementary Data 15 gives the distribution of the animals across experiments, breeds, and diets. Each experiment was balanced for the breed and diet effects, as well as the progenies of each sire was balanced over each diet, so that the experimental design by itself has high power to disentangle genomic effects from diet effects. Additionally, a power analysis indicated that for the given number of animals per experiment, a genetic design of sires with on average 8 progeny per sire showed the highest power to identify genetic differences between sires in methane yield with an achieved power of 0.93 using the sire estimates and root mean square error of 3.27 as obtained in experiment of our previous study7.

Methane emissions data. Methane emissions were individually measured in 285 of the 363 animals for 48 h within six indirect open-circuit respiration chambers³⁹. One week before entering the respiration chambers, the animals were housed individually in training pens, identical in size and shape to the pens inside the chambers, to allow them to adapt to being housed individually. At the time of entering the chamber, the average age of the animals was 528 ± 38 days and the average live weight was 659 ± 54 kg. In each experiment, the animals were allocated to the respiration chambers in a randomized design within breed and diet. Animals were fed once daily, and the weight of the feed offered and refused was recorded. Methane emissions were expressed as g of CH₄/kg of DMI, by dividing the average CH₄ emissions (g/day) by the average DMI (kg/day) recorded both over 48 h.

Hosts genomic samples. For host DNA analysis, 6-10 ml of blood from the 363 steers were collected from the jugular or coccygeal vein in live animals or during slaughter in a commercial abattoir. In addition to the 363 samples, 7 blood and 23 semen samples from sires of the steers were available (n = 393 samples in total). Blood was stored in tubes containing 1.8 mg EDTA/ml blood and immediately frozen to -20 °C. Genomic DNA was isolated from blood samples using the Qiagen QIAamp toolkit and from semen samples using Qiagen QIAamp DNA Mini Kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA concentration and integrity were estimated with Nanodrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies). Genotyping was performed by Neogen Genomics (Ayr, Scotland, UK) using GeneSeek Genomic Profiler (GGP) BovineSNP50k Chip (GeneSeek, Lincoln, NE). Genotypes were filtered for quality control purposes using PLINK version 1.09b135 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms were removed from further analysis if they met any of these criteria: no known chromosomal location according to Illumina's maps¹⁴⁰, non-autosomal locations, call rates less than 95% for SNPs, deviation from Hardy-Weinberg proportions (χ^2 test $P < 10^{-4}$), or minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.05. Seven animals, showing genotypes with a call rate <90%, were removed so that 386 animals and 36,780 autosomal SNPs remained for the analyses.

Hosts metagenomic samples. For microbial DNA analysis, post mortem digesta samples (approximately 50 ml) from 363 steers were taken at slaughter immediately after the rumen was opened to be emptied. Five ml of strained ruminal fluid was mixed with 10 ml of PBS containing glycerol (87%) and stored at -20 °C. DNA extraction from rumen samples was carried out following the protocol from Yu and Morrison¹⁴¹ based on repeated bead beating with column filtration and DNA concentrations and integrity was evaluated by the same procedure (Nanodrop ND-1000) as for blood samples. Four animals out of 363 did not yield rumen samples of sufficient quality for metagenomics analysis. DNA Illumina TruSeq libraries were

prepared from genomic DNA and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq systems 2500 (samples from 4 animals from the experiment year 2011), HiSeq systems 4000 (samples from 284 animals from experiment years 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014)^{8,34} or NovaSeq (samples from 76 animals from the experiment year 2017) by Edinburgh Genomics (Edinburgh, Scotland, UK). Paired-end reads (2×100 bp for Hiseq systems 2500 and 2×150 bp for Hiseq systems 400 and NovaSeq) were generated, resulting in between 7.8 and 47.8 GB per sample (between 26 and 159 million paired reads).

Bioinformatics. For phylogenetic annotation of rumen samples, the sequence reads of 359 samples were aligned to a database including cultured genomes from the Hungate 1000 collection⁴⁰ and Refseq genomes⁴¹ using Kraken software¹⁴². From 1178 cultured microbial genera identified, we used only those present in all the samples and with a RA > 0.001% (1108 microbial genera) for downstream analysis, equivalent to 99.99% of the total number of counts. We used the 4941 RUGs generated by Stewart et al.³⁴ with sequences of 282 rumen samples included in this study to identify and quantify the abundance of uncultured species. A detailed description of the metagenomics assembly and binning process and estimation of the depth of each RUG in each sample is described in Stewart et al.³⁴. For breeding purposes, microbial taxa that are present in a large proportion of the animals are required; so we discarded those RUGs present in <200 animals (using a cut-off of 1× coverage) and kept 225 RUGs. RUGs coverages <1, which comprised 17.7% of the whole RUGs data set were imputed based on a Geometrical Bayesianmultiplicative method (GBM) of replacement by using cmultrepl function in zCompositions package¹⁴³. This algorithm imputes zero values from a posterior estimate of the multinomial probability assuming a Dirichlet prior distribution with default parameters for GBM method¹⁴⁴ and performs a multiplicative readjustment of non-zero components to respect original proportions in the composition. The 225 RUGs considered showed a mean relative abundance ≥0.15%. Bioinformatic analysis for the identification of rumen microbial genes was carried out as previously described by Wallace et al.¹⁴⁵. Briefly, to measure the abundance of known functional microbial genes whole metagenome sequencing reads were aligned to the Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database (https://www.genome.jp/kegg/ko.html)¹⁴⁶ using Novoalign (www.novocraft.com). Parameters were adjusted such that all hits were reported that were equal in quality to the best hit for each read and allowed up to a 10% mismatch across the fragment. The KEGG orthologous groups (KO) of all hits that were equal to the best hit were examined. If we were unable to resolve the read to a single KO, the read was ignored; otherwise, the read was assigned to the unique KO, the resulting KO grouping corresponding to a highly similar group of sequences. We identified 3,602 KO (also referred to as microbial genes), common in all animals. As for microbial genera, we used only core microbial genes present in all the samples and with a RA > 0.001% (1142 microbial genes) for downstream analysis, equivalent to 96.25% of the total number of counts. We combined information from KEGG, UniProt, and Clusters of Orthologous Groups of protein databases to classify 1141 microbial genes into classes depending on the biological processes they are involved in CH4 metabolism (34), metabolism other than CH₄ pathway (511), genetic information processes (329), microbial communication and host-microbiome interaction (207) and other unknown or at present poorly characterized (61).

Statistics and reproducibility

Log-ratio transformation of metagenomic data. To describe the composition of the microbiome at the taxonomic level (cultured microbial genera and RUGs) and functional level (KO or microbial genes) we estimated their RA by dividing each microbial genus/gene (in counts) by the total sum of counts of microbial genera/genes identified in each sample (Supplementary Data 1–3). To compute host genomic analysis on the microbial cultured genera and gene abundances, we first applied a log-ratio transformation to attenuate the spurious correlations due to their compositional nature¹⁴⁷. We used additive log-ratio transformation by using a reference microbial genera/gene because of the linear independence achieved between each variable and all the variables in the composition and because of the facility of its interpretation^{148,149}. Assuming *J* denotes the number of variables in each microbial genera), and *J*–1 all of them excluding the reference microbial genera/gene, the RA of each microbial genus/gene within a sample was transformed as follows¹⁵⁰:

$$\ln\left(\frac{x_j}{x_{\text{ref}}}\right) = \ln(x_j) - \ln(x_{\text{ref}}), j = 1, \dots, J-1, j \neq \text{ref}$$
(1)

where x_j is the RA of each microbial genus/gene *j* and x_{ref} is the RA of a specific microbial genus/gene in the database selected as a reference. We selected the 16S rRNA gene and *Oribacterium* as reference microbial gene and microbial genus, respectively. These reference variables were selected based on the criteria recommended by Greenacre et al.¹⁵¹: (1) present in rumen samples of 359 animals; (2) highly abundant (mean RA 8.56% and 0.35%, respectively); (3) not mentioned to be associated with CH₄ emissions in previous literature; (4) low log-ratio variance so the variation mainly proceeds to the numerator (0.09 and 0.24, both located in the first quartile when ordering the microbial variables by log-ratio variance in decreasing order) and (5) reproducing the geometry of the full set of log-ratios in the original data set shown by the estimate of the Procrustes correlation^{148,152}

between the geometrical space defined by all log-ratios and the one defined by the selected additive log-ratios (Procrustes correlation is 0.95 and 0.92). *Oribacterium* is a strictly anaerobic and non-spore-forming bacterial genus from the order Clostridiales and family of *Lachnospiraceae*; commonly found in the rumen of cattle^{19,153} and also in the human oral cavity^{154,155}. The abundance of RUGs was centred log-ratio transformed¹⁴⁹ as additive log-ratio transformation was here hampered by the difficulty of selecting a reference RUG present in all animals. Assuming *J* denotes the total number of RUGs (*J* = 225):

$$\ln\left(\frac{x_j}{\left[\prod_j x_j\right]^{\frac{1}{j}}}\right) = \ln(x_j) - \frac{1}{J} \sum_j \ln(x_j), j = 1, \dots, J$$
(2)

where x_i is the depth of each RUG j.

Influence of breed, diet, and experiment on CH4 emissions and microbial traits. In our genomic models, we applied an optimal adjustment of the fixed effects as a combination of experiment, breed, and diet so that adjustment of each of these effects and their interactions was achieved (see below section: Estimation of host genomic parameters of CH4 emissions and microbial traits). Sequencer effects were except for only 4 samples nested within the experiment and therefore were accounted for due to the inclusion of this effect. Since these are nuisance effects with the potential of influencing the estimation of genomic effects, we carried out further exploratory analyses and revealed that they were appropriately adjusted and do not interfere with the estimation of genomic parameters. In this exploratory analyses, we evaluated the effect of breed, diet, and experiment on microbial genes, genera, and RUGs using a PERMANOVA analysis with 999 permutations computed with the R package vegan¹⁵⁶. Diet and experiment showed the largest effects on the composition of the rumen microbiome. Diet accounted for 18.9, 12.0, and 13.19% of the total phenotypic variance in the microbial genes/microbial genera/ RUGs databases, while experiment explained 7.5, 11.17, and 6.09%, respectively (Pvalue < 0.001 in all cases). Breed effects on microbial genes/microbial genera/RUGs databases were depreciable (0.49, 0.65, and 0.78%) and non-significant (P values = 0.668, 0.385, and 0.141) indicating that the breeds considered in this study did not show substantial differences in their functional or taxonomical microbiome composition. As expected, all effects became negligible (explaining 0% of the phenotypic variance) after their adjustment as a combined fixed effect. We also evaluated the effects of breed and diet on CH4 emissions mean and variance (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Before adjustment, diet had a significant (Pvalue = 2.2×10^{-16}) large effect on CH₄ (explained 41.2% of the phenotypic variance), while the effect of breed (2.92%, P-value = 0.0007) was smaller but still significant, however, both turned to 0% after the fixed-effects adjustment. Importantly, for the reliability of estimation of genetic parameters, animals from different breeds, or fed different diets presented homogenous CH4 phenotypic variances (*P*-values of Levene test = 0.19 and 0.48).

Estimation of host genomic parameters of CH_4 emissions and microbial traits. Genomic heritabilities (h^2) of CH_4 emissions, log-transformed microbial genera (n = 1107), RUGs (n = 225) and microbial genes (n = 1141) abundances were estimated by fitting 2474 GBLUP univariate animal models described as:

$$y = Xb + Zg + e \tag{3}$$

Data were assumed to be conditionally distributed as:

$$y | b, g, R \sim N(Xb + Zg, I\sigma_e^2),$$
 (4)

where *y* is the n = 359 or n = 285 observations of the microbiome or CH₄ emissions trait, *b* is the vector of fixed effects including a combination of breed, diet, and experiment effect, *g* is the random host genomic effect, *e* is the residual of the model, and *X* and *Z* are known incidence matrices for fixed and random effects. Host genomic effects were normally distributed as:

$$\mathbf{g}|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{q}}^2 \sim N(0, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M}}\sigma_{\mathbf{q}}^2)$$
 (5)

Residuals were independently normally distributed as:

$$e|I, \sigma_e^2 \sim N(0, I\sigma_e^2),$$
 (6)

in which σ_g^2 and σ_e^2 are the host-genomic and residual variances, I is an identity matrix of the same order as the number of data, and $G_{\rm RM}$ is the host-genomic relationship matrix between the individuals defined as¹⁵⁷:

$$G_{RM} = \frac{W/W}{2\sum_{n}^{1} p_{n}(1-p_{n})},$$
(7)

where *W* contains genotypes adjusted for allele frequency, and p_n is the allele frequency for marker *n* in the whole genotyped population. Host genomic and residual effects were assumed to be uncorrelated between them. Host genomic (r_{gCH4}) and residual correlations among CH₄ emissions and log-transformed abundances of microbial genera, RUGs and microbial genes were estimated by fitting 2473 GBLUP bivariate animal models including the same effects as Eq. (3). Host genomic effects were distributed as:

$$g|G_{RM}, G_0 \sim N(0, G_{RM} \otimes G_0),$$
 (8)

and residuals as:

$$\mathbf{R} = e|\mathbf{R}_0 \sim N(0, \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{R}_0), \tag{9}$$

where G_0 and R_0 are the 2 × 2 host genomic and residual (co)variance matrices between CH4 emissions and each microbial genus, RUG, or microbial gene, I is an identity matrix of the same order as the number of individuals with data. Bayesian statistics were used¹⁵⁸, assuming priors for all unknowns as implemented in THRGIBBSF90 program¹⁵⁹. Results were based on Markov chain Monte Carlo chains consisting of 1,000,000 iterations, with a burn-in period of 200,000, and to reduce autocorrelations only 1 of every 100 samples was saved for inferences. In all analyses, convergence was tested using the POSTGIBBSF90159 program by calculating the Z criterion of Geweke (varying between -0.05 and 0.05 in univariate and -0.09 and 0.1 in bivariate models). Monte Carlo sampling errors were computed using time-series procedures and checked to be at least 10 times lower than the standard deviation of the marginal posterior distribution. As h^2 estimates, we used the median of its marginal posterior distribution of CH₄, each microbial genus, RUG, or microbial gene, and the highest posterior density interval at 95% probability (HPD_{95%}). We considered microbial abundances with h^2 estimates <0.20 being lowly heritable, $0.20 < h^2 < 0.40$ being moderately heritable and h^2 estimates >0.40 being highly heritable. To test the significance of host genomic effects we analysed the fitness of the full univariate genomic model vs. the univariate model without host genomic effect by comparing the deviance information criterion (DIC)¹⁶⁰ between models and computed the BF using an approximation of the marginal likelihood probability¹⁶¹. BF was corrected for multi-testing by assuming prior odds ratios equal to 1/number of hypothesis tests performed (n = 2473). We assumed evidence of a host genomic effect on the microbial trait when the DIC of the full model was at least 20 points lower than the DIC in the reduced model, and corrected BF was $>3^{162}$. To study the homogeneity of genomic variances for CH4 emissions and microbial traits within each breed and within each diet, we computed the marginal posterior distribution of the genomic variance for the most relevant microbial traits in each of the 4 different breeds and in each of the 2 different diets, following the same steps as described for the partition of the variance in Sorensen et al.^{126,127}. As an estimate for the host genomic correlations, we used the median of its marginal posterior distribution and the HPD_{95%}. To investigate the confidence level of r_{gCH4} , we estimated the posterior probability of $r_{\rm gCH4}$ being >0 when the median of the correlation was positive or <0 when the median was negative (P_0). We only considered significant those r_{gCH4} estimates with $(P_0) \ge 0.95$. Additionally, univariate analyses were run using the frequentist approach using AIREMLF90¹⁵⁹ and similar results were obtained

To predict the impact of indirect selection for reduced CH₄ emissions using microbial genera/genes significantly ($P_0 \ge 0.95$) host-genomically correlated with CH₄ emissions, we estimated the marginal posterior distribution of the correlated response in CH₄ emissions after host genomic selection for each of these microbial genera/genes, considering only the own performance of each individual¹⁶³:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{CH4j} = i \, \boldsymbol{h}_j \boldsymbol{r}_{gCH4j} \sigma_{gCH4}, \tag{10}$$

where R_{CH4j} presents the selection response in CH₄ emissions after selection for the abundance of each microbial genus/gene *j*, *i* is the intensity of selection considered to be 1.159 (equivalent to 30% of our cattle population being selected based on the selection criterion), h_j is the marginal posterior distribution of the square root of the h^2 estimate of the microbial genus/gene from univariate analyses, r_{gCH4j} is the marginal posterior distribution of the host-genomic correlation between CH₄ emissions and microbial genus/gene *j* from bivariate models, and σ_{gCH4} is the squared root of the genomic variance of CH₄ emissions. The median, standard deviation, and the probability (P_0) of the correlated response to selection to be higher (lower) than 0 when the correlated response was positive (negative) were computed.

Co-abundance network analysis of host genomic effects on the rumen microbiome. To study the correlation structure among host genomic effects of the logtransformed abundances of 1107 microbial genera, 225 RUGs, and 1141 microbial genes, we built a co-abundance network analysis using deregressed host genomic effects (dGEBVs) for all microbial traits in the 359 samples. Deregressed host genomic effects were calculated from previously described univariate GBLUP models by using ACCF90 and DEPROOF90 programs¹⁵⁹. Co-abundance network (Graphia software¹⁶⁴) connected or edged microbial traits (nodes) based on a Pearson correlation >0.70 among their dGEBVs. The complexity of the graph was reduced by discarding nodes with a minimum number of incident edges (referred to as node degree) of 2, i.e. only those microbial traits Pearson-correlated (>0.70) with at least other 2 microbial traits were kept. The total number of microbial genera, RUGs, and microbial genes included in the network was 2129 out of the 2473 tested. The number of edges of each node was reduced by ranking the edges based on k-nearest neighbour algorithm and retaining only 80% of them. The software applies Markov Clustering algorithm by a flow simulation model¹⁶⁵ to find discrete groups of nodes (clusters) based on their position within the overall topology of the graph. The granularity of the clusters, i.e. the minimum number of nodes that a cluster has to contain, was set to 2 nodes. The network showed 106 clusters, but only those 12 clusters including \geq 3 methanogenic archaea genera, RUGs and microbial genes involved in CH4 metabolism pathway according to

KEGG¹⁴⁶ database or microbial genera/RUGs/genes host-genomically correlated with CH₄ emissions ($P_0 \ge 0.95$) were studied in depth.

Enrichment analysis of microbial gene abundances in RUGs. To identify which of the 225 RUGs were carrying the microbial genes (KO) demonstrating a r_{gCH4} with a confidence level $P_0 \ge 0.95$, an enrichment analysis was performed by counting the number of unique proteins clustered in the 115 microbial genes mapped in each of the 225 RUGs.

Identification of most informative microbial traits to predict CH₄ emission host genomic effects and maximize response to selection. Only microbial variables present in the 359 animals, showing a RA \ge 0.01%, with significant h^2 $(P \le 2.02 \times 10^{-5})$, and host-genomically correlated with CH_4 emissions $(P_0 \ge 0.95)$ were considered for breeding purposes. Four microbial genera and 36 microbial genes met these conditions. Due to computation reasons, only 30 microbial gene abundances were carried forward for downstream analysis. To use microbial gene information to select hosts emitting less CH4, the estimation between their host genomic and residual (co)variance matrices was required. Host-genomic and residual (co)variances among the 30 selected microbial gene abundances were estimated using 435 bivariate analyses. Bivariate analyses fitted the same model as previously described for estimation of $r_{\rm gCH4}$ with the same assumptions (Eqs. (8) and (9)). Results were based on Markov chain Monte Carlo chains consisting of 1,000,000 iterations, with a burn-in period of 200,000, and only 1 of every 100 samples was saved for inferences. Convergence was tested with POST-GIBBSF90 program by checking Z criterion of Geweke to be between -0.12 and 0.15. Monte Carlo sampling errors were computed using time-series procedures and checked to be at least 10 times lower than the standard deviation of the posterior marginal distribution¹⁵⁸. The 31 × 31 host-genomic and residual variance-covariance matrices, including CH4 emissions and the 30 microbial genes were built based on medians of the estimated variance components from the bivariate analyses and mean across all previous bivariate models for host genomic and residual variances of CH4 emissions. Both matrices needed bending to be positive definite (tolerance for minimum eigenvalues = 0.001). The difference between original and bent matrices was never higher than the posterior standard error of the corresponding parameters.

Estimation of the selection response of CH₄ emissions based on different sources of information. We analysed three different scenarios to predict host-genomic effects of CH₄ emissions: (1) by using measured CH₄ emissions only, (2) by using the 30 microbial gene abundances only, and (3) by using a combination of both, measured CH4 emissions and the 30 microbial gene abundances. The three scenarios were computed with data from 285 animals with CH₄ emissions and metagenomics information. All scenarios were calculated by GBLUP analysis assuming as fixed variance components the previously estimated 31 × 31 host genomic and residual variance-covariance matrices of the traits after bending. Scenario (1) was performed using a univariate GBLUP analysis including only measured CH4 emissions; scenario (2) was computed by fitting a multivariate GBLUP model including the 30 microbial gene abundances host-genomically correlated to CH4 emissions (using measured CH₄ emissions as missing value¹⁶⁶); and scenario (3) considered besides the abundance of the 30 microbial genes, the measured CH₄ emission values. In all cases, models included the same effects as in Eq. (3). Host genomic values estimates for CH4 emissions were based on Markov chain Monte Carlo chains consisting of 100,000 iterations, with a burn-in period of 20,000, and to reduce autocorrelation only 1 of every 100 samples was saved for inferences. Response to selection was estimated as the marginal posterior distributions of the difference between the mean of CH₄ emissions host genomic values of all animals with data and the mean of selected animals when alternatively, 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50% of our population were selected. The mean accuracy of the CH₄ emissions genomic values in each scenario was estimated as the average of the individual accuracies:

$$Accuracy_i = \sqrt{1 - \frac{sd_i^2}{g_{RMii}\sigma_{CH4}^2}},$$
(11)

where sd_i is the standard deviation of the posterior marginal distribution of the host genomic value for animal *i* and g_{RMii} is the G_{RM} diagonal element for animal *i*.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Metagenomic sequence reads for all rumen samples are available under European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under accession projects PRJEB31266, PRJEB21624, and PRJEB10338. The genotypes of the host animals are readily available from the authors.

Code availability

Metagenomic data processing was carried out using Kraken (https://ccb.jhu.edu/ software/kraken/) for taxonomic annotation and Novoalign (http://www.novocraft.com/ support/download/ available under license) for functional annotation. SNP data filtering was performed PLINK (https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink2). Host genomic analysis were carried out using the RENUMF90, THRGIBBSF90, POSTGIBBSF90, ACCF90, and DEPROOF90 software, which have free access in http://nce.ads.uga.edu/wiki/doku.php? id=application_programs, except for ACCF90 and DEPROOF90 available only under research agreement. Network analysis was carried out by free access to Graphia software whose code source can be found at https://graphia.app/download.html.

Received: 17 September 2021; Accepted: 17 March 2022; Published online: 12 April 2022

References

- OECD/FAO. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2020-2029 (OECD Publishing/ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2020).
- Vollset, S. E. et al. Fertility, mortality, migration, and population scenarios for 195 countries and territories from 2017 to 2100: a forecasting analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet* 396, 1285–1306 (2020).
- Gerber, P. J. et al. Tackling Climate Change Through Livestock A Global Assessment of Emissions and Mitigation Opportunities (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2013).
- Myhre, G. et al. Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing: Supplementary Material. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2013).
- Johnson, K. A. & Johnson, D. E. Methane emissions from cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 73, 2483–2492 (1995).
- Manafiazar, G. et al. Methane and carbon dioxide emissions and grazed forage intake from pregnant beef heifers previously classified for residual feed intake under drylot conditions. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 101, 71–84 (2021).
- Roehe, R. et al. Bovine host genetic variation influences rumen microbial methane production with best selection criterion for low methane emitting and efficiently feed converting hosts based on metagenomic gene abundance. *PLoS Genet.* 12, 1–20 (2016).
- Stewart, R. D. et al. Assembly of 913 microbial genomes from metagenomic sequencing of the cow rumen. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1–11 (2018).
- 9. Wallace, R. J. et al. Archaeal abundance in post-mortem ruminal digesta may help predict methane emissions from beef cattle. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 5892 (2015).
- Martínez-Álvaro, M. et al. Identification of complex rumen microbiome interaction within diverse functional niches as mechanisms affecting the variation of methane emissions in bovine. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 1–13 (2020).
- Barrett, K., Jensen, K., Meyer, A. S., Frisvad, J. C. & Lange, L. Fungal secretome profile categorization of CAZymes by function and family corresponds to fungal phylogeny and taxonomy: example Aspergillus and Penicillium. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 1–12 (2020).
- Tapio, I., Snelling, T. J., Strozzi, F. & Wallace, R. J. The ruminal microbiome associated with methane emissions from ruminant livestock. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 8, 7 (2017).
- 13. Cottle, D. J., Nolan, J. V. & Wiedemann, S. G. Ruminant enteric methane mitigation: a review. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* **51**, 491–514 (2011).
- 14. Martin, C., Morgavi, D. P. & Doreau, M. Methane mitigation in ruminants: from microbe to the farm scale. *Animal* **4**, 351–365 (2010).
- Roque, B. M. et al. Red seaweed (*Asparagopsis taxiformis*) supplementation reduces enteric methane by over 80 percent in beef steers. *PLoS ONE* 16, e0247820 (2021).
- Dijkstra, J., Bannink, A., France, J., Kebreab, E. & van Gastelen, S. Short communication: Antimethanogenic effects of 3-nitrooxypropanol depend on supplementation dose, dietary fiber content, and cattle type. *J. Dairy Sci.* 101, 9041–9047 (2018).
- Hristov, A. N. et al. Special topics-Mitigation of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from animal operations: I. A review of enteric methane mitigation options. J. Anim. Sci. 91, 5045–5069 (2013).
- 18. Difford, G. F. et al. Host genetics and the rumen microbiome jointly associate with methane emissions in dairy cows. *PLoS Genet.* **14**, 1–22 (2018).
- Zhang, Q. et al. Bayesian modeling reveals host genetics associated with rumen microbiota jointly influence methane emission in dairy cows. *ISME J.* 14, 2019–2033 (2020).
- Li, F. et al. Host genetics influence the rumen microbiota and heritable rumen microbial features associate with feed efficiency in cattle. *Microbiome* 7, 1–17 (2019).
- 21. Wallace, J. R. et al. A heritable subset of the core rumen microbiome dictates dairy cow productivity and emissions. *Sci. Adv.* 5, eaav8391 (2019).
- Saborío-Montero, A. et al. Structural equation models to disentangle the biological relationship between microbiota and complex traits: Methane production in dairy cattle as a case of study. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 137, 36–48 (2020).

- Sasson, G. et al. Heritable bovine rumen bacteria are phylogenetically related and correlated with the cow's capacity to harvest energy from its feed. *MBio* 8, 1–12 (2017).
- Weimer, P. J., Stevenson, D. M., Mantovani, H. C. & Man, S. L. C. Host specificity of the ruminal bacterial community in the dairy cow following near-total exchange of ruminal contents. J. Dairy Sci. 93, 5902–5912 (2010).
- 25. Abbas, W. et al. Influence of host genetics in shaping the rumen bacterial community in beef cattle. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 15101 (2020).
- Bergamaschi, M. et al. Heritability and genome-wide association of swine gut microbiome features with growth and fatness parameters. Sci. Rep. 10, 1–12 (2020).
- Chen, C. et al. Contribution of host genetics to the variation of microbial composition of cecum lumen and feces in pigs. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 1–13 (2018).
- 28. Poole, A. C. et al. Human salivary amylase gene copy number impacts oral and gut microbiomes. *Cell Host Microbe* **25**, 553–564.e7 (2019).
- Kurilshikov, A. et al. Large-scale association analyses identify host factors influencing human gut microbiome composition. *Nat. Genet.* 53, 156–165 (2021).
- 30. Turpin, W. et al. Association of host genome with intestinal microbial composition in a large healthy cohort. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 1413–1417 (2016).
- Qin, Y. et al. Combined effects of host genetics and diet on human gut microbiota and incident disease in a single population cohort. *Nat. Genet.* 54, 134–142 (2022).
- Hughes, D. A. et al. Genome-wide associations of human gut microbiome variation and implications for causal inference analyses. *Nat. Microbiol.* 5, 1079–1087 (2020).
- Goodrich, J. K. et al. Human genetics shape the gut microbiome. *Cell* 159, 789–799 (2014).
- Stewart, R. D. et al. Compendium of 4,941 rumen metagenome-assembled genomes for rumen microbiome biology and enzyme discovery. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 953–961 (2019).
- Perez-Enciso, M. Opportunities and limits of combining microbiome and genome data for complex trait prediction. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 53, 65 (2021).
- Weishaar, R., Wellmann, R., Camarinha-Silva, A., Rodehutscord, M. & Bennewitz, J. Selecting the hologenome to breed for an improved feed efficiency in pigs—a novel selection index. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.* 137, 14–22 (2020).
- Auffret, M. D. et al. Identification, comparison, and validation of robust rumen microbial biomarkers for methane emissions using diverse Bos Taurus breeds and basal diets. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1–15 (2018).
- Duthie, C. A. et al. The impact of divergent breed types and diets on methane emissions, rumen characteristics and performance of finishing beef cattle. *Animal* 11, 1762–1771 (2017).
- Rooke, J. A. et al. Hydrogen and methane emissions from beef cattle and their rumen microbial community vary with diet, time after feeding and genotype. *Br. J. Nutr.* **112**, 398–407 (2014).
- Seshadri, R. et al. Cultivation and sequencing of rumen microbiome members from the Hungate1000 Collection. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 36, 359–367 (2018).
- Pruitt, K. D., Tatusova, T. & Maglott, D. R. NCBI Reference Sequence (RefSeq): a cuarted non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, D501–D504 (2005).
- 42. Joblin, K. N. Ruminal acetogens and their potential to lower ruminant methane emissions. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* **50**, 629–650 (1999).
- McAllister, T. A. & Newbold, C. J. Redirecting rumen fermentation to reduce methanogenesis. Aust. J. Exp. Agric. 48, 7–13 (2008).
- Hegarty, R. S. Mechanisms for competitively reducing ruminal methanogenesis. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 50, 629–650 (1999).
- Greening, C. et al. Diverse hydrogen production and consumption pathways influence methane production in ruminants. *ISME J.* 13, 2617–2632 (2019).
- Hajarnis, S. R. & Ranade, D. R. Inhibition of methanogens by n- and isovolatile fatty acids. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 10, 350–351 (1994).
- Henderson, C. The effects of fatty acids on pure cultures of rumen bacteria. J. Agric. Sci. 81, 107–112 (1973).
- Paulo, L. M., Ramiro-Garcia, J., van Mourik, S., Stams, A. J. M. & Sousa, D. Z. Effect of nickel and cobalt on methanogenic enrichment cultures and role of biogenic sulfide in metal toxicity attenuation. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1–12 (2017).
- Zhou, M., Chen, Y. & Guan, L. L. in *Rumen Microbiology: From Evolution to Revolution* (eds. Puniya, A. K., Singh, R. & Kamra, D. N.) 79–95 (Springer, 2015).
- van Wolferen, M., Orell, A. & Albers, S. V. Archaeal biofilm formation. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 16, 699–713 (2018).
- Chen, H. & Fink, G. R. Feedback control of morphogenesis in fungi by aromatic alcohols. *Genes Dev.* 20, 1150–1161 (2006).
- Thauer, R. K. Anaerobic oxidation of methane with sulfate: On the reversibility of the reactions that are catalyzed by enzymes also involved in methanogenesis from CO₂. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 14, 292–299 (2011).
- McInerney, M. J., Sieber, J. R. & Gunsalus, R. P. Syntrophy in anaerobic global carbon cycles. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 20, 623–632 (2009).

ARTICLE

- McInerney, M. J. et al. Physiology, ecology, phylogeny, and genomics of microorganisms capable of syntrophic metabolism. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* 1125, 58–72 (2008).
- Evans, P. N. et al. An evolving view of methane metabolism in the Archaea. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 17, 219–232 (2019).
- Nomura, M., Gourse, R. & Baughman, G. Regulation of the synthesis of ribosomes and ribosomal components. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 53, 75–117 (1984).
- 57. Garnsworthy, P. C. et al. Comparison of methods to measure methane for use in genetic evaluation of dairy cattle. *Animals* **9**, 1–12 (2019).
- Manzanilla-Pech, C. I. V. et al. Genomewide association study of methane emissions in angus beef cattle with validation in dairy cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 94, 4151–4166 (2016).
- 59. Hayes, B. J. et al. Genomic heritabilities and genomic estimated breeding values for methane traits in Angus cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 94, 902–908 (2016).
- Donoghue, K. A., Bird-Gardiner, T., Arthur, P. F., Herd, R. M. & Hegarty, R. F. Genetic and phenotypic variance and covariance components for methane emission and postweaning traits in Angus cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 94, 1438–1445 (2016).
- Cesarani, A. et al. Beef trait genetic parameters based on old and recent data and its implications for genomic predictions in Italian Simmental cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 98, 1–8 (2020).
- 62. Gengler, N., Wiggans, G. R. & Gillon, A. Adjustment for heterogeneous covariance due to herd milk yield by transformation of test-day random regressions. *J. Dairy Sci.* **88**, 2981–2990 (2005).
- 63. Gunsalus, R. P. et al. Complete genome sequence of *Methanospirillum* hungatei type strain JF1. Stand. Genom. Sci. 11, 1–JF10 (2016).
- 64. Henderson, G. et al. Rumen microbial community composition varies with diet and host, but a core microbiome is found across a wide geographical range. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 14567 (2015).
- 65. Snelling, T. J. et al. Temporal stability of the rumen microbiota in beef cattle, and response to diet and supplements. *Anim. Microbiome* **1**, 1–14 (2019).
- 66. Morgavi, D. P., Forano, E., Martin, C. & Newbold, C. J. Microbial ecosystem and methanogenesis in ruminants. *Animal* 4, 1024–1036 (2010).
- Uddin, M. J. K. Dynamics of microbial protein synthesis in the rumen A Review. Ann. Vet. Anim. Sci. 2, 116–131 (2015).
- Lovendahll, P. et al. Review: Selecting for improved feed efficiency and reduced methane emissions in dairy cattle. *Animal* 12, S336–S349 (2018).
- 69. Tobin, C. Removal and Replacement of Ribosomal Proteins. PhD thesis, Uppsala Univ. (2011).
- Liu, X. et al. A genome-wide association study for the gut microbiome in Chinese adults illuminates on complex diseases. *Cell Discov.* 7, 9 (2019).
- Vanwonterghem, I. et al. Methylotrophic methanogenesis discovered in the archaeal phylum Verstraetearchaeota. *Nat. Microbiol.* 1, 16170 (2016).
- Graham, D. E., Xu, H. & White, R. H. Identification of the 7,8-didemethyl-8hydroxy-5-deazariboflavin synthase required for coenzyme F420 biosynthesis. *Arch. Microbiol.* 180, 455–464 (2003).
- Grochowski, L. L. & White, R. H. Biosynthesis of the methanogenic coenzymes. Compr. Nat. Prod. II Chem. Biol. 7, 711–748 (2010).
- Peng, X. et al. Genomic and functional analyses of fungal and bacterial consortia that enable lignocellulose breakdown in goat gut microbiomes. *Nat. Microbiol.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-00861-0 (2021).
- Miyazaki, J., Kobashi, N., Nishiyama, M. & Yamane, H. Functional and evolutionary relationship between arginine biosynthesis and prokaryotic lysine biosynthesis through α-aminoadipate. *J. Bacteriol.* 183, 5067–5073 (2001).
- Andries, J. I., Buysse, F. X., De Brabander, D. L. & Cottyn, B. G. Isoacids in ruminant nutrition: Their role in ruminal and intermediary metabolism and possible influences on performances - a review. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 18, 169–180 (1987).
- Drevland, R. M., Waheed, A. & Graham, D. E. Enzymology and evolution of the pyruvate pathway to 2-oxobutyrate in *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii*. J. Bacteriol. 189, 4391–4400 (2007).
- Lee, J. H. & Lee, J. Indole as an intercellular signal in microbial communities. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 34, 426–444 (2010).
- Roager, H. M. & Licht, T. R. Microbial tryptophan catabolites in health and disease. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1–10 (2018).
- Drevland, R. M., Jia, Y., Palmer, D. R. J. & Graham, D. E. Methanogen homoaconitase catalyzes both hydrolyase reactions in coenzyme B biosynthesis. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 28888–28896 (2008).
- Neill, A. R., Grime, D. W. & Dawson, R. M. Conversion of choline methyl groups through trimethylamine into methane in the rumen. *Biochem. J.* 170, 529–535 (1978).
- Janssen, P. H. & Kirs, M. Structure of the archaeal community of the rumen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74, 3619–3625 (2008).
- Liu, Y. & Whitman, W. B. Metabolic, phylogenetic, and ecological diversity of the methanogenic archaea. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 1125, 171–189 (2008).

- Chamberlain, D. G. & Thomas, P. C. The effect of supplemental methionine and inorganic sulphate on the ruminal digestion of grass silage in sheep. J. Sci. Food Agric. 34, 440–446 (1983).
- Kamke, J. et al. Rumen metagenome and metatranscriptome analyses of low methane yield sheep reveals a Sharpea-enriched microbiome characterised by lactic acid formation and utilisation. *Microbiome* 4, 1–16 (2016).
- Yanibada, B. et al. Inhibition of enteric methanogenesis in dairy cows induces changes in plasma metabolome highlighting metabolic shifts and potential markers of emission. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1–14 (2020).
- Goopy, J. P. et al. Low-methane yield sheep have smaller rumens and shorter rumen retention time. Br. J. Nutr. 111, 578–585 (2014).
- Stewart, C. S., Flint, H. J. & Bryant, M. P. in *The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem* (eds. Hobson, P. N. & Stewart, C. S.) 10–72 (Blackie Academic and Professional, 1997).
- Kittelmann, S. et al. Two different bacterial community types are linked with the low-methane emission trait in sheep. *PLoS ONE* 9, 1–9 (2014).
- Strobel, H. J. & Russell, J. B. Effect of pH and energy spilling on bacterial protein synthesis by carbohydrate-limited cultures of mixed rumen bacteria. J. Dairy Sci. 69, 2941–2947 (1986).
- Herrmann, K. M. & Weaver, L. M. The shikimate pathway. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 50, 473–503 (1999).
- Hall, M. B. & Herejk, C. Differences in yields of microbial crude protein from in vitro fermentation of carbohydrates. J. Dairy Sci. 84, 2486–2493 (2001).
- Nollet, L. & Verstraete, W. Gastro-enteric methane versus sulphate and volatile fatty acid production. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 42, 113–131 (1996).
- Demeyer, D., De Graave, K., Durand, M. & Stevani, J. Acetate: a hydrogen sink in hindgut fermentation as opposed to rumen fermentation. *Acta Vet. Scabd Suppl.* 86, 68–75 (1989).
- Lopez, S., Mcintosh, F. M., Wallace, R. J. & Newbold, C. J. Effect of adding acetogenic bacteria on methane production by mixed rumen microorganisms. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 78, 1–9 (1999).
- Baldwin, R. L., Wood, W. A. & Emery, R. S. Conversion of lactate-c'4 to propionate by the rumen microflora. J. Bacteriol. 83, 907–913 (1961).
- 97. Janssen, P. H. Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formation and fermentation balances through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation thermodynamics. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **160**, 1–22 (2010).
- Owens, F. N., Secrist, D. S., Hill, W. J. & Gill, D. R. Acidosis in cattle: a review. J. Anim. Sci. 76, 275–286 (1998).
- 99. Doyle, N. et al. Use of lactic acid bacteria to reduce methane production in ruminants, a critical review. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 2207 (2019).
- 100. Kruger Ben Shabat, S. et al. Specific microbiome-dependent mechanisms underlie the energy harvest efficiency of ruminants. *ISME J.* **10**, 2958–2972 (2016).
- Ugulava, N. B., Sacanell, C. J. & Jarrett, J. T. Spectroscopic changes during a single turnover of biotin synthase: destruction of a [2Fe-2S] cluster accompanies sulfur insertion. *Biochemistry* 40, 8352–8358 (2001).
- 102. Howell, D. M., Harich, K., Xu, H. & White, R. H. α-Keto acid chain elongation reactions involved in the biosynthesis of coenzyme B (7-mercaptoheptanoyl threonine phosphate) in methanogenic archaea. *Biochemistry* 37, 10108–10117 (1998).
- Widdel, F. Growth of methanogenic bacteria in pure culture with 2-propanol and other alcohols as hydrogen donors. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 51, 1056–1062 (1986).
- 104. Moore, S. J. et al. Elucidation of the biosynthesis of the methane catalyst coenzyme F430. Nature 543, 78–82 (2017).
- 105. Bulen, W. A. & LeComte, J. R. The nitrogenase system from Azotobacter: twoenzyme requirement for N2 reduction, ATP-dependent H2 evolution, and ATP hydrolysis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 56, 979–986 (1966).
- 106. Wang, M., Wang, H., Zheng, H., Dewhurst, R. J. & Roehe, R. A heat diffusion multilayer network approach for the identification of functional biomarkers in rumen methane emissions. *Methods* https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ymeth.2020.09.014 (2020).
- 107. Jenkins, T. C., Abughazaleh, A. A., Freeman, S. & Thies, E. J. The production of 10-hydroxystearic and 10-ketostearic acids is an alternative route of oleic acid transformation by the ruminal microbiota in cattle. J. Nutr. 136, 926–931 (2006).
- Abe, A. & Sugiyama, K. Growth inhibition and apoptosis induction of human melanoma cells by omega-hydroxy fatty acids. *Anticancer. Drugs* 16, 543–549 (2005).
- 109. Martin, A. & Daniel, J. The ABC transporter Rv1272c of Mycobacterium tuberculosis enhances the import of long-chain fatty acids in Escherichia coli. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 496, 667–672 (2018).
- 110. Jenkins, B., West, J. A. & Koulman, A. A review of odd-chain fatty acid metabolism and the role of pentadecanoic acid (C15:0) and heptadecanoic acid (C17:0) in health and disease. *Molecules* 20, 2425–2444 (2015).
- 111. Jenkins, T. C. Lipid metabolism in the rumen. J. Dairy Sci. 76, 3851-3863 (1993).

- 112. Leng, R. A. Interactions between microbial consortia in biofilms: a paradigm shift in rumen microbial ecology and enteric methane mitigation. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 54, 519–543 (2014).
- 113. Won, M. Y., Oyama, L. B., Courtney, S. J., Creevey, C. J. & Huws, S. A. Can rumen bacteria communicate to each other? *Microbiome* 8, 1–8 (2020).
- 114. Patra, A., Park, T., Kim, M. & Yu, Z. Rumen methanogens and mitigation of methane emission by anti-methanogenic compounds and substances. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 8, 1–18 (2017).
- 115. Węgrzyn, A., Taylor, K. & Węgrzyn, G. The cbpA chaperone gene function compensates for dnaJ in λ plasmid replication during amino acid starvation of *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* **178**, 5847–5849 (1996).
- 116. Wahlström, A., Sayin, S. I., Marschall, H. U. & Bäckhed, F. Intestinal crosstalk between bile acids and microbiota and its impact on host metabolism. *Cell Metab.* 24, 41–50 (2016).
- 117. Ramírez-Pérez, O., Cruz-Ramón, V., Chinchilla-López, P. & Méndez-Sánchez, N. The role of the gut microbiota in bile acid metabolism. *Ann. Hepatol.* 16, S21–S26 (2017).
- 118. Immig, I. The effect of porcine bile acids on methane production by rumen contents in vitro. Arch. Anim. Nutr. 51, 21–26 (1998).
- Hooper, L. V. & Gordon, J. I. Glycans as legislators of host-microbial interactions: spanning the spectrum from symbiosis to pathogenicity. *Glycobiology* 11, 1–10 (2001).
- 120. Hoorens, P. R. et al. Genome wide analysis of the bovine mucin genes and their gastrointestinal transcription profile. BMC Genomics 12, 140 (2011).
- 121. Aschenbach, J. R., Penner, G. B., Stumpff, F. & Gäbel, G. Ruminant nutrition symposium: Role of fermentation acid absorption in the regulation of ruminal pH. J. Anim. Sci. 89, 1092–1107 (2011).
- 122. Lee, M., Jeong, S., Seo, J. & Seo, S. Changes in the ruminal fermentation and bacterial community structure by a sudden change to a high-concentrate diet in Korean domestic ruminants. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **32**, 92–102 (2019).
- Van Kessel, J. A. S. & Russell, J. B. The effect of pH on ruminal methanogenesis. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 20, 205–210 (1996).
- 124. Lecompte, O., Ripp, R., Thierry, J. C., Moras, D. & Poch, O. Comparative analysis of ribosomal proteins in complete genomes: an example of reductive evolution at the domain scale. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 5382–5390 (2002).
- 125. Smith, T. F., Lee, J. C., Gutell, R. R. & Hartman, H. The origin and evolution of the ribosome. *Biol. Direct* 3, 1–13 (2008).
- 126. Sorensen, D., Fernando, R. & Gianola, D. Inferring the trajectory of genetic variance in the course of artificial selection. *Genet. Res.* 77, 83–94 (2001).
- 127. Lara, L. A. d. C., Pocrnic, I., Gaynor, R. C. & Gorjanc, G. Temporal and genomic analysis of additive genetic variance in breeding programmes. *Heredity* 128, 21–32 (2020).
- Rowe, S. J. et al. Selection for divergent methane yield in New Zealand sheep a ten year perspective. Proc. Assoc. Adv. Anim. Breed. Genet. 306–309 (2019).
- 129. Pszczola, M., Strabel, T., Mucha, S. & Sell-Kubiak, E. Genome-wide association identifies methane production level relation to genetic control of digestive tract development in dairy cows. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 1–11 (2018).
- 130. Maekawa, M., Beauchemin, K. A. & Christensen, D. A. Effect of concentrate level and feeding management on chewing activities, saliva production, and ruminal pH of lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 85, 1165–1175 (2002).
- Danielsson, R. Methane Production in Dairy Cows Impact of Feed and Rumen Microbiota (Acta Universitatis Agriculturae Sueciae, 2016).
- 132. Poehlein, A., Schneider, D., Soh, M., Daniel, R. & Seedorf, H. Comparative genomic analysis of members of the genera methanosphaera and methanobrevibacter reveals distinct clades with specific potential metabolic functions. *Archaea* 2018, 1–9 (2018).
- 133. Ricard, G. et al. Horizontal gene transfer from bacteria to rumen ciliates indicates adaptation to their anaerobic, carbohydrates-rich environment. BMC Genomics 7, 1–13 (2006).
- 134. Klieve, A. V. et al. Naturally occurring DNA transfer system associated with membrane vesicles in cellulolytic Ruminococcus spp. of ruminal origin. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 4248–4253 (2005).
- 135. Hess, M. K. et al. A restriction enzyme reduced representation sequencing approach for low-cost, high-throughput metagenome profiling. *PLoS ONE* 15, 1–18 (2020).
- 136. Duthie, C.-A. et al. Impact of adding nitrate or increasing the lipid content of two contrasting diets on blood methaemoglobin and performance of two breeds of finishing beef steers. *Animal* 10, 786–795 (2016).
- 137. Duthie, C. A. et al. The effect of dietary addition of nitrate or increase in lipid concentrations, alone or in combination, on performance and methane emissions of beef cattle. *Animal* 12, 280–287 (2018).
- 138. Somarriba, M. et al. The effects of a composite chronic stress treatment on fear responses and attention bias in beef cattle. in *ISAE 2019. Proc. 53rd Congr. ISAE* 53, 333 (2019).
- 139. Purcell, S. et al. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81**, 559–575 (2007).

- 140. Matukumalli, L. K. et al. Development and characterization of a high density SNP genotyping assay for cattle. *PLoS ONE* 4, e5350 (2009).
- 141. Yu, Z. & Morrison, M. Improved extraction of PCR-quality community DNA from digesta and fecal samples. *Biotechniques* 36, 808–812 (2004).
- 142. Wood, D. E. & Salzberg, S. L. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact alignments. *Genome Biol.* 15, 1–12 (2014).
- 143. Palarea-Albaladejo, J. & Martín-Fernández, J. A. ZCompositions R package for multivariate imputation of left-censored data under a compositional approach. *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.* 143, 85–96 (2015).
- 144. Martín-Fernández, J. A., Hron, K., Templ, M., Filzmoser, P. & Palarea-Albaladejo, J. Bayesian-multiplicative treatment of count zeros in compositional data sets. *Stat. Model.* **15**, 134–158 (2015).
- 145. Wallace, R. J. et al. The rumen microbial metagenome associated with high methane production in cattle. *BMC Genomics* 16, 839 (2015).
- 146. Kanehisa, M. & Goto, S. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 27–30 (2000).
- 147. Gloor, G. B., Macklaim, J. M., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V. & Egozcue, J. J. Microbiome datasets are compositional: and this is not optional. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 2224 (2017).
- Greenacre, M. Variable selection in compositional data analysis using pairwise logratios. *Math. Geosci.* 51, 649–682 (2018).
- 149. Greenacre, M. Compositional Data Analysis in Practise (CRC Press, 2019).
- Aitchison, J. The statistical analysis of compositional data. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Methodol.) 44, 139–177 (1982).
- 151. Greenacre, M., Martínez-Álvaro, M. & Blasco, A. Compositional data analysis of microbiome and any-omics datasets: a revalidation of the additive logratio transformation. *Front. Microbiol.* **12**, 727398 (2021).
- Greenacre, M. Compositional data analysis. Annu. Rev. Stat. Appl. 8, 271–299 (2021).
- 153. Zeng, H., Guo, C., Sun, D., Seddik, H. E. & Mao, S. The ruminal microbiome and metabolome alterations associated with diet-induced milk fat depression in dairy cows. *Metabolites* **9**, 154 (2019).
- 154. Kang, S., Denman, S. & McSweeney, C. Draft genome sequence and annotation of Oribacterium sp. strain C9, isolated from a cattle rumen. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* 8, e01562–18 (2019).
- 155. Iwasawa, K. et al. Dysbiosis of the salivary microbiota in pediatric-onset primary sclerosing cholangitis and its potential as a biomarker. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 1–10 (2018).
- Oksanen, J. et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-7 (2020).
- 157. VanRaden, P. M. Efficient methods to compute genomic predictions. J. Dairy Sci. 91, 4414–4423 (2008).
- Blasco, A. Bayesian Data Analysis for Animal Scientists: The Basics. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-54274-4 (2017).
- 159. Misztal, I. et al. Manual for BLUPF90 Family of Programs (Univ. Georgia Athens, 2018).
- 160. Spiegelhalter, D. J., Best, N. G., Carlin, B. P. & Van Der Linde, A. Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol. 64, 583–616 (2002).
- 161. Newton, M. A. & Raftery, Adrian E. Approximate Bayesian Inference with the weighted likelihood bootstrap. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol. 58, 3–48 (1984).
- 162. van Doorn, J. et al. The JASP guidelines for conducting and reporting a Bayesian analysis. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-020-01798-5 (2020).
- 163. Falconer, D. S. & Mackay, T. F. C. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics (Pearson, 1981).
- 164. Freeman, T. C. et al. Graphia: a platform for the graph-based visualisation and analysis of complex data. Preprint at *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/ 2020.09.02.279349 (2020).
- Freeman, T. C. et al. Construction, visualisation, and clustering of transcription networks from microarray expression data. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 3, 2032–2042 (2007).
- 166. Schneeberger, M., Barwick, S. A., Crow, G. H. & Hammond, K. Economic indices using breeding values predicted by BLUP. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 109, 180–187 (1992).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Professor Ignacy Misztal and Dr. Shogo Tsuruta for making software available to us, Professor Agustín Blasco and Professor Chris Haley for their statistic advice, and Professor Michael Greenacre for his advice on compositional data analysis. We also thank Bin Zhao for his contribution to the identification and biological description of metagenomics data and Dr. Larissa Zetouni for her comments on the manuscript.

Author contributions

M.M.-A., R.R., and M.W. conceived and designed the overall study, and M.M.-A., M.W., and R.R. conceived, designed, and executed the bioinformatics analysis. M.D.A., C.-A.D., R.J.D., and M.A.C. provided essential insight into microbiology, rumen metabolism, nutrition, methane emissions and animal breeding. M.M.-A. and R.R. wrote the initial draft, and subsequently, all authors contributed intellectually to the interpretation and presentation of the results in the manuscript, which was edited and approved by all authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03293-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Rainer Roehe.

Peer review information *Communications Biology* thanks Grum Gebreyesus and the other anonymous reviewer for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Primary handling editors: Anna Heintz-Buschart and Caitlin Karniski. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022