



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Perspective using cross-species vaccination approaches to counter emerging infectious diseases

Citation for published version:

Warimwe, GM, Francis, MJ, Bowden, TA, Thumbi, SM & Charleston, B 2021, 'Perspective using cross-species vaccination approaches to counter emerging infectious diseases', *Nature Reviews Immunology*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 815-822. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00567-2>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1038/s41577-021-00567-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00567-2)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Nature Reviews Immunology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 **Perspective**

2 **Using cross-species vaccination approaches to counter emerging infectious diseases**

3 George M. Warimwe^{1,2,3}, Michael J. Francis⁴, Thomas A. Bowden⁵, Samuel M. Thumbi^{6,7,8}, Bryan

4 Charleston³

5 ¹Centre for Tropical Medicine & Global Health, University of Oxford, UK; ²KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research
6 Programme, Kenya; ³The Pirbright Institute, UK; ⁴BioVacc Consulting Ltd, UK; ⁵Wellcome Centre for Human
7 Genetics, Division of Structural Biology, University of Oxford, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK;

8 ⁶Institute of Immunology and Infection Research, University of Edinburgh, UK; ⁷Center for Epidemiological
9 Modelling and Analysis, Institute of Tropical and Infectious Diseases, University of Nairobi, Kenya. ⁸Paul G
10 Allen School for Global Animal Health, Washington State University, USA.

11
12 Correspondence: george.warimwe@ndm.ox.ac.uk

13
14 Since the initial use of vaccination in the 18th century, our understanding of human and animal immunology
15 has greatly advanced and a wide range of vaccine technologies and delivery systems have been developed.
16 The COVID-19 pandemic response leveraged these innovations to enable rapid development of candidate
17 vaccines within weeks of the viral genetic sequence being made available. Development of vaccines to
18 tackle emerging infectious diseases is a priority for the World Health Organization and other global entities.
19 More than 70% of emerging infectious diseases are acquired from animals, with some causing illness and
20 death in both humans and the respective animal host. Yet the study of critical host–pathogen interactions
21 and the underlying immune mechanisms to inform development of vaccines for their control are traditionally
22 done in medical and veterinary immunology ‘silos’. In this Perspective article we highlight a ‘One Health
23 vaccinology’ approach and discuss some key areas of synergy in human and veterinary vaccinology that
24 could be exploited to accelerate the development of effective vaccines against these shared health threats.
25

26 [H1] Introduction

27 Vaccination to control infectious diseases has had a major direct impact on human health and welfare
28 directly and has also secured food supply by improving animal health and controlling zoonotic diseases. For
29 instance, childhood vaccination averts over 2 million deaths every year, with vaccination coverage being a
30 strong indicator of the incidence of vaccine-preventable diseases in humans (for example, measles, yellow
31 fever and polio) ¹. Similarly, veterinary vaccination against endemic diseases improves survival and
32 increases productivity in food-producing animals such as cattle and poultry, with net gains in disposable
33 household income and access to protein-rich animal source foods improving human nutrition ²⁻⁵. However,
34 despite these and many other examples of vaccine impact, very little interaction occurs between human and
35 animal vaccine developers and policymakers.

36 The development pipeline for human and animal vaccines is a similar process, including biological
37 and scientific parallels in vaccine design and evaluation, as well as common bottlenecks that influence the
38 success of vaccine development programmes ⁶ (**Figure 1**). However, there are differences in the complexity
39 of the vaccine pipelines, largely due to the varying types of clinical data and regulatory requirements for
40 licensure and the associated bottlenecks that are unique to the animal or human vaccine pipeline ⁶. One
41 example is the need for vaccine safety and efficacy data as assessed by experimental infection of
42 vaccinated and unvaccinated target animal species in the veterinary field; in humans, phase 2 and phase 3
43 randomized controlled studies for estimation of vaccine efficacy against natural exposure are used, though
44 human infection studies are now in use for some vaccine programmes ⁷. Despite these differences, solutions
45 to address bottlenecks in the animal and human vaccine development pipelines tend to be similar ⁶. For
46 instance, optimizing immunogenicity of vaccines, whether in animals or humans, involves iterative study of
47 vaccination regimens or adjuvant combinations to inform 'go' or 'no-go' decisions with regards to subsequent
48 development of promising vaccine candidates (**Figure 1**).

49 Most human infectious diseases have an animal origin, with more than 70% of emerging infectious
50 diseases that affect humans initially crossing over from animals ⁸. Generating wider knowledge of how
51 pathogens behave in animals can give indications of how to develop control strategies for human diseases,
52 and vice versa. 'One Health vaccinology', a concept in which synergies in human and veterinary immunology
53 are identified and exploited for vaccine development, could transform our ability to control such emerging
54 infectious diseases. Due to similarities in host-pathogen interactions, the natural animal hosts of a zoonotic
55 infection may be the most appropriate model to study the disease and evaluate vaccine performance ⁹. This
56 could result in a scenario where a cross-species vaccine is feasible, such as Louis Pasteur's live attenuated

57 rabies vaccine that was protective in dogs and humans ¹⁰ — although different products are now in use for
58 rabies vaccination in humans and dogs ¹¹ — or our own group’s Rift Valley Fever vaccine, which is in co-
59 development for use in humans and multiple livestock species ¹². Effective control of zoonotic diseases may
60 require vaccination within reservoir animal hosts to break transmission to humans, and in humans to prevent
61 disease ¹³, making One Health vaccinology relevant for disease control policy. This strategy is already in use
62 for prevention and elimination of rabies, where mass dog vaccination remains the most cost-effective
63 strategy for breaking disease transmission to humans ^{14,15}. However, due to the difficulty in predicting
64 spillover events of new infections from animals to humans ¹⁶, implementing a cross-species vaccination
65 programme may only be feasible where the domestic animal reservoir of human infection is known (see
66 **Table 1** for some examples), but a cost-benefit analysis would be necessary to inform implementation.

67 For non-zoonotic illnesses, the natural course of infection and acquisition of immunity against closely
68 related pathogens may be similar between animals and humans allowing accelerated development of
69 vaccines that target similar protective mechanisms. For example, bovine and human respiratory syncytial
70 viruses (RSVs), which cause pneumonia in young calves and children, respectively, are closely related
71 genetically and are targeted by the same types of immune mechanisms suggesting that vaccine strategies
72 exploiting the same underlying mechanism of immunity may work for both species ^{17,18}. The most widely
73 used human vaccine, bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) against tuberculosis, is essentially an attenuated strain
74 of the cattle-infecting bacterium *Mycobacterium bovis* that is avirulent in a wide range of animal species ¹⁹.
75 BCG was developed through close collaboration between medical and veterinary practitioners over 100
76 years ago ¹⁹ and the extensive experience of its use in humans is now informing vaccination strategies to
77 control tuberculosis in cattle ²⁰. A recent study has found that BCG vaccination in humans also confers
78 protection against other non-tuberculous infections in early childhood ²¹; we are aware of no studies of non-
79 specific effects of BCG vaccination in cattle, but this clearly warrants future investigation. Edward Jenner’s
80 observation that milkmaids exposed to the cattle virus, cowpox, were protected against smallpox is perhaps
81 the earliest example of exploiting pathogen relatedness for vaccine development and was the basis of the
82 vaccine that was used for eradication of smallpox ²².

83 Early manufacture of Jenner’s smallpox vaccine involved serial propagation of the cowpox virus in
84 calves reared in ‘vaccine farms’ ²³. Vaccine manufacture has advanced considerably but animal-sourced
85 materials are still in use for production of human vaccines; for example, embryonated chicken eggs are
86 routinely used for manufacture of influenza and yellow fever vaccines ^{24,25}. New highly scalable platform
87 technologies and delivery systems are accelerating vaccine development, such that it is now possible to go

88 from pathogen genetic sequence encoding an immunogen of choice to a vaccine candidate in a matter of
89 weeks ²⁶. Bioinformatic analyses, X-ray crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy continue to be
90 leveraged for the identification and optimization of protective antigens for human and veterinary vaccines ²⁷⁻
91 ²⁹. These new technologies are being applied to emerging infectious diseases such as COVID-19 or
92 stubborn persistent challenges, including malaria and brucellosis ³⁰⁻³³.

93 In this Perspective, we highlight some key areas of synergy in human and veterinary vaccinology
94 that could be exploited to accelerate the development and deployment of effective vaccines against zoonotic
95 diseases. We focus on comparative immunology, applications of current vaccine technologies, and
96 regulatory and operational considerations for vaccine deployment.

97

98 **[H1] Immune systems of different species**

99 The overall structure and composition of the innate and adaptive immune systems of humans and animal
100 species are broadly similar and comparing their responses to inoculation or infection with similar antigens or
101 pathogens can inform vaccine development ³⁴. Allometric scaling is an important consideration and the body
102 size and physiology of livestock species are more similar to humans than rodents. While rodents may be
103 convenient for lab studies due to the ready availability of specific immunological reagents, lower purchase
104 and maintenance costs and ease of handling, they may not reproduce the pathology and immunological
105 attributes that would be observed in a natural animal host of infection ⁹. The similarities between humans and
106 livestock species may be most important when comparing the responses to aerosol delivery of antigens or
107 pathogens ³⁵. Clearly, non-human primates are an ideal species to predict responses in humans, but their
108 availability is limited and certainly not possible for field studies. Nevertheless, the differences between the
109 immune systems of humans and animals are important and a cautious approach is justified when drawing
110 detailed conclusions from animal studies.

111 Some of the most striking differences between the immune systems of humans and animals relate to
112 their T cell populations and antibody structures (**Figure 2**). Pigs are increasingly used to study vaccine
113 candidates, in particular influenza vaccines ³⁶⁻³⁸. However, there are key differences between pigs and
114 humans that should be kept in mind. For example, three distinct subpopulations of CD8⁺ T cells have been
115 identified in pigs by flow cytometry; a bright staining population that expresses the CD8 $\alpha\beta$ heterodimer, a
116 population that expresses the CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ homodimer and a CD8⁺ population that co-expresses CD4 ³⁹⁻⁴¹.
117 Ongoing studies indicate that the majority of memory T cells in pigs are present in the double-positive

118 population and that this population is the predominant source of interferon- γ (IFN γ) in recall responses to live
119 viral vaccines ^{39,42}. Peripheral CD4⁺CD8⁺ T cells have been characterized in many different species but the
120 proportion of these cells in the total T cell population varies greatly, from 1-2% in humans to 10-20% in pigs.
121 In humans the number and function of this sub-population changes in response to a range of infectious and
122 neoplastic diseases ⁴³. Recently, these double-positive human T cells have been shown to exhibit a memory
123 phenotype ⁴⁴, similar to the double-positive T cells in pigs. The impact on responses to vaccination and
124 infection due to the marked difference in the proportion of these double positive cells in different species is
125 yet to be resolved.

126 Another striking difference is the large percentage of circulating $\gamma\delta$ T cells in young pigs and
127 ruminants. $\gamma\delta$ T cells comprise up to 60% of circulating lymphocytes in young cattle ⁴⁵ and pigs ⁴⁶. Even in
128 adulthood, 30% of the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) found in these species are $\gamma\delta$ T cells ⁴⁷,
129 whereas in humans only approximately 4% of PBMCs are $\gamma\delta$ T cells⁴⁸. Despite this difference between
130 humans and ruminants the results of protection studies in ruminants can provide valuable evidence to
131 support development of human vaccines; for example, the protection of calves from bovine RSV by a
132 stabilized prefusion F protein vaccine may guide human vaccines against RSV ⁴⁹. There is a case to be
133 made that the results of vaccine efficacy and safety studies in large animals can provide important
134 information to help shape vaccine development programmes in humans, but the precise immune
135 mechanisms conferring that resistance may be different between species.

136 The similarities between human and bovine tuberculosis offer a potential opportunity for cross-
137 species development of novel vaccines against the diseases. A BCG challenge model has been used to
138 investigate the protective immune response in humans. Genes linked to protective responses
139 included *IFNG* and *IL17F*, together with other genes associated with these two cytokines, such as *NOD2*,
140 *IL22*, *IL23A*, and *FCGR1B* ⁵⁰. A recent review highlights the potential role of IL-22 in the protective response
141 to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection in cattle and humans ⁵¹. In cattle, IL-22 and IFN γ produced by
142 protein purified derivative (PPD)-stimulated PBMCs were identified as the primary predictors of vaccine-
143 induced protection in a *M. bovis* challenge model ⁵². Further, BCG vaccination in children and in young
144 calves provides protection against tuberculosis, with activation of natural killer cells being a key immune
145 mechanism in the induction of protective immunity in both species ^{53,54}. Therefore, there are elements of the
146 protective immune response to tuberculosis that are consistent between cattle and humans, including
147 humoral responses ⁵⁵. The similarities of immune responses in different species to closely related pathogens
148 may help identify protective vaccine responses.

149 Another example where studying comparative immunology may improve our understanding of
150 protective immune responses is in determining the role of antibodies with long heavy chain complementarity-
151 determining region 3 (CDR H3) gene segments. Human antibody CDR H3 range between 8 to 16 amino
152 acids (aa) in length, though antibodies with longer CDR H3 have been observed (for example, 18aa⁵⁶ and
153 28aa⁵⁷) and seem to play an important role in cross-protective immune responses to HIV-1^{56,57}. However,
154 such long CDR H3 antibodies are rare in humans, which makes some investigations more challenging⁵⁸. In
155 contrast, cattle have a larger population of antibodies (>10% of antibodies) with long and ultra-long (>70 aa)
156 CDR H3 gene segments⁵⁹ and the study of these ultra-long cattle antibodies may prove useful for
157 development of human interventions. For instance, immunizing cattle with HIV envelope glycoprotein results
158 in rapid induction of broadly neutralizing ultra-long CDR H3 antibodies, whereas it takes many years for such
159 broadly neutralizing antibodies to develop in humans following HIV infection⁶⁰. These bovine antibodies may
160 be engineered for prophylactic or therapeutic use and, in addition, determining the immune mechanisms that
161 underlie their induction could inform vaccine design.

162 On the other hand, the antibody repertoire in dromedary camels is composed of both conventional
163 and heavy-chain only IgG antibody (HCAb) molecules, with the latter accounting for >70% of the repertoire
164⁶¹. These smaller HCAb molecules are better adapted for binding cryptic epitopes on pathogens that may be
165 inaccessible to conventional IgG molecules⁶², allowing their use in diverse applications in diagnostics,
166 therapy and research⁶². However, very little is known about the relative contribution of HCABs to naturally
167 acquired immunity to infections and whether vaccines could be tailored to elicit immune responses solely
168 focused on either HCABs or conventional IgG molecules. Dromedary camels are susceptible to infection with
169 a wide range of pathogens that are also able to infect humans and domestic livestock such as cattle, sheep
170 and goats; examples include Rift Valley fever virus, *Brucella* species (which cause brucellosis) and Crimean-
171 Congo hemorrhagic fever (CCHF) virus, among others⁶³. They are also reservoirs of Middle East respiratory
172 syndrome (MERS) coronavirus, which emerged in 2012 and is associated with high case fatality in humans
173⁶³. Understanding how different species are able to mount protective immunity against common pathogens,
174 despite profound differences in IgG structures, could transform approaches to vaccine design and
175 development. These differences in antibody structure can be exploited to identify different mechanisms of
176 protection, for example, long CDR H3 antibodies penetrating viral glycan shields⁶⁴. Importantly a single
177 vaccine platform can induce protection across multiple species¹², including humans⁶⁵, despite these
178 differences in immune response. Veterinary vaccinology has made a significant contribution to the broad
179 knowledge base to develop vaccines and understand how they work, but there may be a difference in the

180 response to similar vaccine platforms in different species. It may also be the case that some platforms may
181 work well in humans and not some other species. However, within each species, individual heterogeneity in
182 mounting immune responses does occur and this might be due to factors such as chronic underlying
183 illnesses, genetics, age, among others ⁶⁶. Carrying out comparative studies to identify the common protective
184 mechanisms across species, while accounting for individual within-species heterogeneity, will move the field
185 beyond identifying correlates of protection to defining protective mechanisms.

186

187 **[H1] Vaccine deployment in different species**

188 The goals of vaccination programmes in humans and animals are similar and range from global disease
189 eradication (permanent worldwide reduction of incidence of a specific disease to zero), elimination of target
190 diseases from a specified region with deliberate measures to prevent re-establishment, prevention of
191 epidemic cycles, and minimizing mortality and morbidity associated with infectious diseases. To date,
192 vaccination has resulted in the eradication of smallpox in humans and rinderpest in cattle ^{22,67}. Although
193 focused on two separate species, the two eradication programmes employed similar vaccine deployment
194 strategies combining mass vaccination campaigns to achieve herd immunity, intensive surveillance systems
195 to identify and contain outbreaks promptly, and surveillance reporting and sharing of new knowledge that
196 allowed eradication in stubborn pockets of each disease ^{67,68}. The endgame for these programmes required
197 innovative strategies that included house-to-house case searches for smallpox and containment of
198 outbreaks, and participatory community-based surveillance approaches to identify the hidden rinderpest
199 disease pockets and deploy the vaccines. The key similarities in the deployment of vaccines and cross-
200 learning from the medical and veterinary fields go beyond these disease eradication programmes to the
201 control and elimination of current vaccine-preventable diseases.

202 Vaccination for the control of zoonoses has dual benefits for both human and animal health. A good
203 example is the control of rabies, a viral zoonosis transmitted to humans through dog bites, which is
204 responsible for about 60,000 human deaths annually ¹⁵. A global elimination goal for human deaths from
205 rabies has been set for 2030 ¹⁴. The key strategies for achieving this goal are mass dog vaccinations to
206 break the dog-dog and dog-human transmission cycles, prompt provision of post-exposure prophylaxis
207 (PEP) to prevent clinical rabies among bite patients, and enhanced surveillance systems to detect areas
208 where the virus circulates and targeting the rabies interventions ¹⁴. Whereas provision of rabies PEP
209 prevents clinical disease and death in people, elimination of human deaths from rabies is only cost-effective
210 when combined with mass dog vaccinations ^{69,70}. Similarly, animal vaccination against brucellosis (a

211 bacterial zoonosis transmitted to humans by livestock) reduces the incidence of human brucellosis whilst
212 improving milk production and other production indices among vaccinated livestock ⁷¹.

213 Animal vaccination against epidemic zoonoses is a key strategy to limit human illness. The design
214 and implementation of vaccine programmes for this purpose requires interaction between veterinary and
215 public health personnel. Ideally, such collaboration needs to be in place before the occurrence of an
216 epidemic, rather than be reactive ⁷². The zoonotic diseases unit (ZDU) in Kenya, a national entity co-led by
217 medical and veterinary epidemiologists for the purpose of zoonotic disease surveillance, may provide an
218 exemplar framework through which vaccine programmes to tackle endemic/epidemic zoonoses can be
219 implemented ⁷³. For instance, through extant surveillance for key disease syndromes in livestock, the most
220 recent Rift Valley fever outbreak in Kenya was detected in humans within a fortnight of confirmed livestock
221 cases ⁷⁴. In such a scenario, Rift Valley fever vaccination could be implemented among susceptible animals
222 (licensed vaccines are already available) and humans (when a vaccine is available) within a radius in
223 proximity to the initial cases. Such a 'ring vaccination' approach has its roots in the control of disease
224 outbreaks in livestock ⁷⁵ and has been used successfully to control Ebola virus disease epidemics ⁷⁶.

225 However, not all zoonoses of public health importance cause clinical disease in animals. For
226 instance, domestic ruminants such as sheep and goats are key animal hosts of CCHF virus, which only
227 results in asymptomatic infection in these species ⁷⁷. In contrast, CCHF is a highly fatal disease in humans
228 and is among the diseases prioritized by the WHO for urgent development of countermeasures ⁷².
229 Investigating the pathophysiology and immunology of CCHF virus in ruminant species may provide clues
230 towards identifying therapeutic targets and aid the development of vaccines against human CCHF. Further,
231 assessment of vaccine efficacy against CCHF virus infection in livestock field trials could support
232 development of human CCHF vaccines by providing a stringent test for ranking the performance of
233 candidate vaccines outside of a high-containment laboratory environment. Due to the lack of clinical disease
234 in animals, estimation of vaccine efficacy following natural exposure could rely on serological detection of
235 responses to virus components that are not part of a vaccine, thereby allowing distinction of infected from
236 vaccinated animals (DIVA), a concept well-known in veterinary vaccinology. Following widespread COVID-
237 19 vaccine use, a similar serological monitoring strategy could be useful for tracking population-level SARS-
238 CoV-2 exposure, based on detection of antibodies against antigens absent from approved vaccines. There
239 are several licensed veterinary vaccines against coronavirus infections in domestic animals (**Box 1, Table**
240 **2**); the experience with licensure and use of these products provides insights on the likely performance of

241 vaccines against COVID-19 and other coronavirus infections in humans but has rarely been discussed in the
242 medical debate on vaccine development.

243

244 **[H1] Operational considerations**

245 The target product profile for any vaccine, whether human or veterinary, needs to incorporate an efficient
246 manufacturing strategy, design of optimal vaccination regimens and consideration for deployment
247 requirements very early in the development pipeline. All these factors influence the final cost per vaccine
248 dose and, after considering the potential benefit of using the product, inform go versus no-go decisions on
249 vaccine implementation programmes and policy. The business case for development of vaccines against
250 many of the known zoonotic pathogens with epidemic potential is poor⁷⁸. This is largely due to the sporadic
251 nature of the epidemics they cause — for example, there are typically intervals of 5-15 years between
252 epidemics of Rift Valley Fever, and even longer intervals between epidemics of CCHF and other diseases —
253 in addition to their restricted geography and poor data on their economic costs, which make the design of
254 cost-effective vaccine implementation plans challenging. The costs associated with vaccine development
255 and manufacture (**Figure 1**) mean that returns on investments made by vaccine developers on such
256 diseases are unlikely to be high.

257 Initiatives such as the coalition for epidemic preparedness innovations (CEPI) are de-risking the
258 human vaccine development process through provision of funding to support early to late-stage development
259 of vaccines against epidemics, including clinical evaluation that is crucial for licensure⁷⁹. Funding schemes
260 to advance veterinary vaccine development are also available, though none exclusively target epizootic
261 diseases. The global alliance for livestock veterinary medicines (GALVmed) was founded in 2004 with a
262 primary focus on supporting the development and eventual registration of control interventions for a wide
263 range of livestock diseases in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)⁸⁰; CEPI now plays a similar role for
264 human vaccines.

265 For veterinary vaccines, the ideal cost per dose for ruminants should be less than \$0.5 to allow cost-
266 effective use in LMICs⁸¹. The per dose cost of human vaccines tends to be much higher and highly variable
267 from product-to-product⁸². However, though higher human vaccine costs can be tolerated, especially in
268 high-income countries, vaccine cost remains a key factor that underlays the demand, affordability and
269 implementation of immunization programs in LMICs⁸³. To further reduce deployment costs, most veterinary
270 vaccines are multivalent, composed of different immunogens co-formulated to target two or more diseases

271 with a single vaccination (see **Table 2** for coronavirus vaccines as an example). Co-administration of
272 different immunogens in a single product (for example, the childhood pentavalent vaccine that targets
273 diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b) is commonplace for the
274 expanded programme for immunization (EPI) in children, which accounts for the bulk of global vaccine use.
275 Cross-learning between the veterinary and medical fields from their respective experiences relating to
276 vaccine development and implementation of programmes based on multivalent or co-administered products
277 could be of mutual benefit.

278 Where a single vaccine is developed for use in both animals and humans, the same vaccine master
279 seed stock could be used to generate bulk material that is then processed in parallel for human and animal
280 use in accordance with the respective manufacturing requirements to derive a livestock product and one for
281 use in humans from the same manufacturing run. Bulk material could be stockpiled with downstream
282 processing initiated as soon as the need for vaccination arises. However, this strategy would only be
283 successful if the bulk material was stable in the long-term and a regulatory approval strategy incorporating
284 veterinary and human considerations were in place. Harmonized regulatory processes allowing mutual
285 recognition of vaccine registration procedures between countries are already in place, aiming to address
286 operational bottlenecks that limit rapid access to licensed human and veterinary vaccines ^{84,85}.

287

288 **[H1] Conclusions**

289 Studying animal pathogens, diseases and protective immune responses has had a major impact on
290 controlling human diseases over the past century It is usually the case that new vaccine platforms are
291 deployed more rapidly in veterinary species than in humans. Veterinary vaccines commonly undergo
292 rigorous safety and efficacy testing in the target hosts using challenge models before registration and
293 widespread use. Safety testing and pharmacovigilance are especially important in food-producing animals.
294 Also, developing cost effective manufacturing at scale is essential for animal vaccines. In the past few
295 decades, the widespread use of safe and effective vaccines in livestock has given confidence to develop the
296 same platforms or indeed the same active substances for use in human vaccines. However, there remain
297 untapped opportunities to leverage advances in human and veterinary immunology for development of
298 vaccines, as well as operational experiences to inform vaccine deployment. Effective control of zoonotic
299 infections, which account for the bulk of public health emergencies, require One Health approaches with
300 complementary interventions in both animal hosts and humans. The success of the One Health approach in
301 eliminating disease burden also requires attention to the challenges associated with eradication of zoonotic

302 diseases in natural reservoirs. Indeed, the elimination of the risk associated with other natural hosts such as
303 bats, rodents, and arthropods constitute a long-standing challenge, which may only be addressed through an
304 improved understanding of reservoir species immunobiology and epidemiology. Research strategies and
305 funding priorities need to be realigned in order to improve interactions between animal and human health
306 communities.

307

308 **Acknowledgements**

309 We are grateful to Philip Bejon and Mainga Hamaluba for helpful discussions and comments on the
310 manuscript. G.M.W. is supported by a fellowship from the Oak Foundation, and grants from the Wellcome
311 Trust (220991/Z/20/Z and 203077/Z/16/Z). T.A.B. is supported by the Medical Research Council UK
312 (MR/S007555/1) and by the Wellcome Trust through the Wellcome Centre for Human (203141/Z/16/Z). As
313 the authors are supported by the Wellcome Trust, for the purpose of Open Access, the authors have applied
314 a CC-BY public copyright licence to any author accepted manuscript version arising from this submission.

315 **Author contributions**

316 All authors researched data for the article and contributed substantially to discussion of the content. G.M.W, M.J.F,
317 T.A.B, S.M.T & B.C. wrote the article. All authors reviewed and/or edited the manuscript before submission.

318 **Competing interests**

319 The authors declare no competing interests.

320 **Peer review information**

321 *Nature Reviews Immunology* thanks the anonymous, reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

322

323

324

325 **References**

- 326 1 Greenwood, B. The contribution of vaccination to global health: past, present and future. *Philos*
327 *Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **369**, 20130433, doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0433 (2014).
- 328 2 Marsh, T. L., Yoder, J., Deboch, T., McElwain, T. F. & Palmer, G. H. Livestock vaccinations translate
329 into increased human capital and school attendance by girls. *Sci Adv* **2**, e1601410,
330 doi:10.1126/sciadv.1601410 (2016).
- 331 3 Roth, J. A. Veterinary Vaccines and Their Importance to Animal Health and Public Health. *Procedia*
332 *Vaccinol* **5**, 127-136, doi:10.1016/j.provac.2011.10.009 (2011).
- 333 4 Knueppel, D., Cardona, C., Msoffe, P., Demment, M. & Kaiser, L. Impact of vaccination against
334 chicken Newcastle disease on food intake and food security in rural households in Tanzania. *Food*
335 *Nutr Bull* **31**, 436-445, doi:10.1177/156482651003100306 (2010).
- 336 5 McElwain, T. F. & Thumbi, S. M. Animal pathogens and their impact on animal health, the economy,
337 food security, food safety and public health. *Rev Sci Tech* **36**, 423-433, doi:10.20506/rst.36.2.2663
338 (2017).
- 339 6 UK Vaccine R&D Network. *Vaccine Development Process Map*,
340 <<https://www.vaccinedevelopment.org.uk/>> (2020).
- 341 7 Pollard, A. J. *et al.* Human microbial challenge: the ultimate animal model. *Lancet Infect Dis* **12**, 903-
342 905, doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70292-X (2012).
- 343 8 Taylor, L. H., Latham, S. M. & Woolhouse, M. E. Risk factors for human disease emergence. *Philos*
344 *Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **356**, 983-989, doi:10.1098/rstb.2001.0888 (2001).
- 345 9 Bean, A. G. *et al.* Studying immunity to zoonotic diseases in the natural host - keeping it real. *Nat*
346 *Rev Immunol* **13**, 851-861, doi:10.1038/nri3551 (2013).
- 347 10 Berche, P. Louis Pasteur, from crystals of life to vaccination. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **18 Suppl 5**, 1-6,
348 doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03945.x (2012).
- 349 11 Perez, O. & Paolazzi, C. C. Production methods for rabies vaccine. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* **18**,
350 340-347, doi:10.1038/sj.jim.2900391 (1997).
- 351 12 Warimwe, G. M. *et al.* Chimpanzee Adenovirus Vaccine Provides Multispecies Protection against
352 Rift Valley Fever. *Sci Rep* **6**, 20617, doi:10.1038/srep20617 (2016).
- 353 13 Haydon, D. T., Cleaveland, S., Taylor, L. H. & Laurenson, M. K. Identifying reservoirs of infection: a
354 conceptual and practical challenge. *Emerg Infect Dis* **8**, 1468-1473, doi:10.3201/eid0812.010317
355 (2002).

- 356 14 Abela-Ridder, B. *et al.* 2016: the beginning of the end of rabies? *Lancet Glob Health* **4**, e780-e781,
357 doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30245-5 (2016).
- 358 15 Hampson, K. *et al.* Estimating the global burden of endemic canine rabies. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **9**,
359 e0003709, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003709 (2015).
- 360 16 Morse, S. S. *et al.* Prediction and prevention of the next pandemic zoonosis. *Lancet* **380**, 1956-1965,
361 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61684-5 (2012).
- 362 17 Taylor, G. *et al.* Efficacy of a virus-vectored vaccine against human and bovine respiratory syncytial
363 virus infections. *Sci Transl Med* **7**, 300ra127, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aac5757 (2015).
- 364 18 Taylor, G. Bovine model of respiratory syncytial virus infection. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* **372**,
365 327-345, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-38919-1_16 (2013).
- 366 19 Luca, S. & Mihaescu, T. History of BCG Vaccine. *Maedica (Bucur)* **8**, 53-58 (2013).
- 367 20 Waters, W. R., Palmer, M. V., Buddle, B. M. & Vordermeier, H. M. Bovine tuberculosis vaccine
368 research: historical perspectives and recent advances. *Vaccine* **30**, 2611-2622,
369 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.02.018 (2012).
- 370 21 Prentice, S. *et al.* BCG-induced non-specific effects on heterologous infectious disease in Ugandan
371 neonates: an investigator-blind randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis*, doi:10.1016/S1473-
372 3099(20)30653-8 (2021).
- 373 22 Fenner, F. Smallpox: emergence, global spread, and eradication. *Hist Philos Life Sci* **15**, 397-420
374 (1993).
- 375 23 Esparza, J., Lederman, S., Nitsche, A. & Damaso, C. R. Early smallpox vaccine manufacturing in the
376 United States: Introduction of the "animal vaccine" in 1870, establishment of "vaccine farms", and
377 the beginnings of the vaccine industry. *Vaccine* **38**, 4773-4779, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.05.037
378 (2020).
- 379 24 Settembre, E. C., Dormitzer, P. R. & Rappuoli, R. Bringing influenza vaccines into the 21st century.
380 *Hum Vaccin Immunother* **10**, 600-604, doi:10.4161/hv.27600 (2014).
- 381 25 Barrett, A. D. Yellow Fever in Angola and Beyond--The Problem of Vaccine Supply and Demand. *N*
382 *Engl J Med* **375**, 301-303, doi:10.1056/NEJMp1606997 (2016).
- 383 26 Walsh, E. E. *et al.* Safety and Immunogenicity of Two RNA-Based Covid-19 Vaccine Candidates. *N*
384 *Engl J Med*, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2027906 (2020).
- 385 27 Porta, C. *et al.* Rational engineering of recombinant picornavirus capsids to produce safe, protective
386 vaccine antigen. *PLoS Pathog* **9**, e1003255, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003255 (2013).

- 387 28 Hsieh, C. L. *et al.* Structure-based design of prefusion-stabilized SARS-CoV-2 spikes. *Science* **369**,
388 1501-1505, doi:10.1126/science.abd0826 (2020).
- 389 29 Crank, M. C. *et al.* A proof of concept for structure-based vaccine design targeting RSV in humans.
390 *Science* **365**, 505-509, doi:10.1126/science.aav9033 (2019).
- 391 30 Bundle, D. R. & McGiven, J. Brucellosis: Improved Diagnostics and Vaccine Insights from Synthetic
392 Glycans. *Acc Chem Res* **50**, 2958-2967, doi:10.1021/acs.accounts.7b00445 (2017).
- 393 31 De Groot, A. S. *et al.* Better Epitope Discovery, Precision Immune Engineering, and Accelerated
394 Vaccine Design Using Immunoinformatics Tools. *Front Immunol* **11**, 442,
395 doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.00442 (2020).
- 396 32 Graham, B. S. Rapid COVID-19 vaccine development. *Science* **368**, 945-946,
397 doi:10.1126/science.abb8923 (2020).
- 398 33 Duffy, P. E. & Patrick Gorres, J. Malaria vaccines since 2000: progress, priorities, products. *NPJ*
399 *Vaccines* **5**, 48, doi:10.1038/s41541-020-0196-3 (2020).
- 400 34 Mwangi, W., Maccari, G., Hope, J. C., Entrican, G. & Hammond, J. A. The UK Veterinary
401 Immunological Toolbox Website: promoting vaccine research by facilitating communication and
402 removing reagent barriers. *Immunology* **161**, 25-27, doi:10.1111/imm.13227 (2020).
- 403 35 Tungatt, K. *et al.* Induction of influenza-specific local CD8 T-cells in the respiratory tract after aerosol
404 delivery of vaccine antigen or virus in the Babraham inbred pig. *PLoS Pathog* **14**, e1007017,
405 doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007017 (2018).
- 406 36 Holzer, B. *et al.* Immunogenicity and Protective Efficacy of Seasonal Human Live Attenuated Cold-
407 Adapted Influenza Virus Vaccine in Pigs. *Front Immunol* **10**, 2625, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.02625
408 (2019).
- 409 37 Holzer, B. *et al.* Comparison of Heterosubtypic Protection in Ferrets and Pigs Induced by a Single-
410 Cycle Influenza Vaccine. *J Immunol* **200**, 4068-4077, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1800142 (2018).
- 411 38 Gauger, P. C. *et al.* Live attenuated influenza A virus vaccine protects against A(H1N1)pdm09
412 heterologous challenge without vaccine associated enhanced respiratory disease. *Virology* **471-473**,
413 93-104, doi:10.1016/j.virol.2014.10.003 (2014).
- 414 39 Pescovitz, M. D., Sakopoulos, A. G., Gaddy, J. A., Husmann, R. J. & Zuckermann, F. A. Porcine
415 peripheral blood CD4+/CD8+ dual expressing T-cells. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* **43**, 53-62,
416 doi:10.1016/0165-2427(94)90120-1 (1994).
- 417 40 Zuckermann, F. A. & Husmann, R. J. Functional and phenotypic analysis of porcine peripheral blood
418 CD4/CD8 double-positive T cells. *Immunology* **87**, 500-512 (1996).

419 41 Yang, H. & Parkhouse, R. M. Differential expression of CD8 epitopes amongst porcine CD8-positive
420 functional lymphocyte subsets. *Immunology* **92**, 45-52, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2567.1997.00308.x
421 (1997).

422 42 Zuckermann, F. A. & Gaskins, H. R. Distribution of porcine CD4/CD8 double-positive T lymphocytes
423 in mucosa-associated lymphoid tissues. *Immunology* **87**, 493-499 (1996).

424 43 Overgaard, N. H., Jung, J. W., Steptoe, R. J. & Wells, J. W. CD4+/CD8+ double-positive T cells:
425 more than just a developmental stage? *J Leukoc Biol* **97**, 31-38, doi:10.1189/jlb.1RU0814-382
426 (2015).

427 44 Clenet, M. L., Gagnon, F., Moratalla, A. C., Viel, E. C. & Arbour, N. Peripheral human CD4(+)CD8(+)
428 T lymphocytes exhibit a memory phenotype and enhanced responses to IL-2, IL-7 and IL-15. *Sci*
429 *Rep* **7**, 11612, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11926-2 (2017).

430 45 Mackay, C. R. & Hein, W. R. A large proportion of bovine T cells express the gamma delta T cell
431 receptor and show a distinct tissue distribution and surface phenotype. *Int Immunol* **1**, 540-545,
432 doi:10.1093/intimm/1.5.540 (1989).

433 46 Takamatsu, H. H. *et al.* Porcine gammadelta T cells: possible roles on the innate and adaptive
434 immune responses following virus infection. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* **112**, 49-61,
435 doi:10.1016/j.vetimm.2006.03.011 (2006).

436 47 Rogers, A. N. *et al.* Gammadelta T cell function varies with the expressed WC1 coreceptor. *J*
437 *Immunol* **174**, 3386-3393, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.174.6.3386 (2005).

438 48 Groh, V. *et al.* Human lymphocytes bearing T cell receptor gamma/delta are phenotypically diverse
439 and evenly distributed throughout the lymphoid system. *J Exp Med* **169**, 1277-1294,
440 doi:10.1084/jem.169.4.1277 (1989).

441 49 Zhang, B. *et al.* Protection of calves by a prefusion-stabilized bovine RSV F vaccine. *NPJ Vaccines*
442 **2**, 7, doi:10.1038/s41541-017-0005-9 (2017).

443 50 Matsumiya, M. *et al.* Gene expression and cytokine profile correlate with mycobacterial growth in a
444 human BCG challenge model. *J Infect Dis* **211**, 1499-1509, doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu615 (2015).

445 51 Ronacher, K., Sinha, R. & Cestari, M. IL-22: An Underestimated Player in Natural Resistance to
446 Tuberculosis? *Front Immunol* **9**, 2209, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.02209 (2018).

447 52 Bhuju, S. *et al.* Global gene transcriptome analysis in vaccinated cattle revealed a dominant role of
448 IL-22 for protection against bovine tuberculosis. *PLoS Pathog* **8**, e1003077,
449 doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003077 (2012).

450 53 Hamilton, C. A., Mahan, S., Entrican, G. & Hope, J. C. Interactions between natural killer cells and
451 dendritic cells favour T helper1-type responses to BCG in calves. *Vet Res* **47**, 85,
452 doi:10.1186/s13567-016-0367-4 (2016).

453 54 Zufferey, C., Germano, S., Dutta, B., Ritz, N. & Curtis, N. The contribution of non-conventional T
454 cells and NK cells in the mycobacterial-specific IFN γ response in Bacille Calmette-Guerin
455 (BCG)-immunized infants. *PLoS One* **8**, e77334, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077334 (2013).

456 55 Tanner, R., Villarreal-Ramos, B., Vordermeier, H. M. & McShane, H. The Humoral Immune
457 Response to BCG Vaccination. *Front Immunol* **10**, 1317, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.01317 (2019).

458 56 Sapphire, E. O. *et al.* Crystal structure of a neutralizing human IGG against HIV-1: a template for
459 vaccine design. *Science* **293**, 1155-1159, doi:10.1126/science.1061692 (2001).

460 57 Pejchal, R. *et al.* Structure and function of broadly reactive antibody PG16 reveal an H3 subdomain
461 that mediates potent neutralization of HIV-1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 11483-11488,
462 doi:10.1073/pnas.1004600107 (2010).

463 58 Wang, F. *et al.* Reshaping antibody diversity. *Cell* **153**, 1379-1393, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.04.049
464 (2013).

465 59 Stanfield, R. L. *et al.* The Unusual Genetics and Biochemistry of Bovine Immunoglobulins. *Adv*
466 *Immunol* **137**, 135-164, doi:10.1016/bs.ai.2017.12.004 (2018).

467 60 Sok, D. *et al.* Rapid elicitation of broadly neutralizing antibodies to HIV by immunization in cows.
468 *Nature* **548**, 108-111, doi:10.1038/nature23301 (2017).

469 61 Hamers-Casterman, C. *et al.* Naturally occurring antibodies devoid of light chains. *Nature* **363**, 446-
470 448, doi:10.1038/363446a0 (1993).

471 62 Muyldermans, S. & Smider, V. V. Distinct antibody species: structural differences creating
472 therapeutic opportunities. *Curr Opin Immunol* **40**, 7-13, doi:10.1016/j.coi.2016.02.003 (2016).

473 63 Zhu, S., Zimmerman, D. & Deem, S. L. A Review of Zoonotic Pathogens of Dromedary Camels.
474 *Ecohealth* **16**, 356-377, doi:10.1007/s10393-019-01413-7 (2019).

475 64 Stanfield, R. L. *et al.* Structural basis of broad HIV neutralization by a vaccine-induced cow antibody.
476 *Sci Adv* **6**, eaba0468, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aba0468 (2020).

477 65 Voysey, M. *et al.* Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-
478 CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK.
479 *Lancet*, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32661-1 (2020).

480 66 Brodin, P. & Davis, M. M. Human immune system variation. *Nat Rev Immunol* **17**, 21-29,
481 doi:10.1038/nri.2016.125 (2017).

482 67 Mariner, J. C. *et al.* Rinderpest eradication: appropriate technology and social innovations. *Science*
483 **337**, 1309-1312, doi:10.1126/science.1223805 (2012).

484 68 Henderson, D. A. The eradication of smallpox--an overview of the past, present, and future. *Vaccine*
485 **29 Suppl 4**, D7-9, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.06.080 (2011).

486 69 Consortium, W. H. O. R. M. The potential effect of improved provision of rabies post-exposure
487 prophylaxis in Gavi-eligible countries: a modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis* **19**, 102-111,
488 doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30512-7 (2019).

489 70 Zinsstag, J. *et al.* Vaccination of dogs in an African city interrupts rabies transmission and reduces
490 human exposure. *Sci Transl Med* **9**, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf6984 (2017).

491 71 Roth, F. *et al.* Human health benefits from livestock vaccination for brucellosis: case study. *Bull*
492 *World Health Organ* **81**, 867-876 (2003).

493 72 Mehand, M. S., Al-Shorbaji, F., Millett, P. & Murgue, B. The WHO R&D Blueprint: 2018 review of
494 emerging infectious diseases requiring urgent research and development efforts. *Antiviral Res* **159**,
495 63-67, doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2018.09.009 (2018).

496 73 Mbabu, M. *et al.* Establishing a One Health office in Kenya. *Pan Afr Med J* **19**, 106,
497 doi:10.11604/pamj.2014.19.106.4588 (2014).

498 74 Hassan, A. *et al.* Epidemiological Investigation of a Rift Valley Fever Outbreak in Humans and
499 Livestock in Kenya, 2018. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **103**, 1649-1655, doi:10.4269/ajtmh.20-0387 (2020).

500 75 Abdrakhmanov, S. K. *et al.* Spatiotemporal analysis of foot-and-mouth disease outbreaks in the
501 Republic of Kazakhstan, 1955 - 2013. *Transbound Emerg Dis* **65**, 1235-1245,
502 doi:10.1111/tbed.12864 (2018).

503 76 Gsell, P. S. *et al.* Ring vaccination with rVSV-ZEBOV under expanded access in response to an
504 outbreak of Ebola virus disease in Guinea, 2016: an operational and vaccine safety report. *Lancet*
505 *Infect Dis* **17**, 1276-1284, doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30541-8 (2017).

506 77 Whitehouse, C. A. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever. *Antiviral Res* **64**, 145-160,
507 doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2004.08.001 (2004).

508 78 Plotkin, S. A., Mahmoud, A. A. & Farrar, J. Establishing a Global Vaccine-Development Fund. *N*
509 *Engl J Med* **373**, 297-300, doi:10.1056/NEJMp1506820 (2015).

510 79 Brende, B. *et al.* CEPI-a new global R&D organisation for epidemic preparedness and response.
511 *Lancet* **389**, 233-235, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30131-9 (2017).

512 80 Bessell, P. R. *et al.* Assessing the impact of a novel strategy for delivering animal health
513 interventions to smallholder farmers. *Prev Vet Med* **147**, 108-116,
514 doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2017.08.022 (2017).

515 81 Lyons, N. A., Jemberu, W. T., Chaka, H., Salt, J. S. & Rushton, J. Field-derived estimates of costs
516 for Peste des Petits Ruminants vaccination in Ethiopia. *Prev Vet Med* **163**, 37-43,
517 doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2018.12.007 (2019).

518 82 WHO European Region Member States. Review of vaccine price data. (2013).

519 83 Wouters, O. J. *et al.* Challenges in ensuring global access to COVID-19 vaccines: production,
520 affordability, allocation, and deployment. *Lancet*, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00306-8 (2021).

521 84 GALVmed. A policy scoping study on harmonization of registration requirements for veterinary
522 products for mutual recognition among East African Community partner states. (Global Alliance for
523 Livestock Veterinary Medicines, 2016).

524 85 Ndomondo-Sigonda, M. *et al.* Harmonization of medical products regulation: a key factor for
525 improving regulatory capacity in the East African Community. *BMC Public Health* **21**, 187,
526 doi:10.1186/s12889-021-10169-1 (2021).

527 86 McLellan, J. S. *et al.* Structure of HIV-1 gp120 V1/V2 domain with broadly neutralizing antibody PG9.
528 *Nature* **480**, 336-343, doi:10.1038/nature10696 (2011).

529 87 Zhu, X. *et al.* The origin of enantioselectivity in aldolase antibodies: crystal structure, site-directed
530 mutagenesis, and computational analysis. *J Mol Biol* **343**, 1269-1280, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2004.08.102
531 (2004).

532 88 Koch, K. *et al.* Selection of nanobodies with broad neutralizing potential against primary HIV-1
533 strains using soluble subtype C gp140 envelope trimers. *Sci Rep* **7**, 8390, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-
534 08273-7 (2017).

535 89 Alluwaimi, A. M., Alshubaith, I. H., Al-Ali, A. M. & Abohelaika, S. The Coronaviruses of Animals and
536 Birds: Their Zoonosis, Vaccines, and Models for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV2. *Front Vet Sci* **7**,
537 582287, doi:10.3389/fvets.2020.582287 (2020).

538 90 Tizard, I. R. Vaccination against coronaviruses in domestic animals. *Vaccine* **38**, 5123-5130,
539 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.06.026 (2020).

540 91 Francis, M. J. Lessons from animal coronaviruses. *The Biologist* **67**, 18-21 (2020).

541

542

543 **Table 1: Key diseases where cross-species vaccination programmes may be feasible**

Human disease	Key domestic animal hosts	Licensed human vaccines available?	Licensed veterinary vaccines available?
Rabies	Dogs	Yes	Yes
Rift Valley Fever	Sheep, goats, cattle, camels	No	Yes
Brucellosis	Sheep, goats, cattle, camels	No	Yes
Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever	Sheep, goats, cattle, camels	No	No
Middle East respiratory syndrome	Camels	No	No
Tuberculosis	Cattle	Yes	No
Q fever	Sheep, goats, cattle, camels	Yes	No
Nipah virus infection	Pigs	No	No
Hendra virus infection	Horses	No	Yes

544

545 **Table 2: Examples of licensed coronavirus (CoV) vaccines for veterinary use**

546

Target species	CoV genus targeted by vaccine	CoV-induced disease	Licensed product	Technology	Formulation
Cattle	Betacoronavirus	Gastroenteritis, neonatal calf diarrhoea	Rotavec™ Corona	Inactivated plus adjuvant	Trivalent (CoV, Rotavirus, <i>E. coli</i>)
			Bovigen® Scour	Inactivated plus adjuvant	Trivalent (CoV, Rotavirus, <i>E. coli</i>)
			Calf-Guard®	Live attenuated	Bivalent (CoV and Rotavirus)
Poultry	Gammacoronavirus	Respiratory disease, reduced egg yields	Nobilis® IB+ND+EDS	Live attenuated	Multivalent (Infectious bronchitis virus, Newcastle disease virus and Egg drop syndrome virus)
Pigs	Alphacoronavirus G	Gastroenteritis	ProSystem® TGE/Rota	Live attenuated	Bivalent (TGE virus and Rotavirus)
Dogs	Alphacoronavirus G	Gastroenteritis	Solo-Jec® 6	Live attenuated plus inactivated plus Adjuvant	Multivalent (CoV, adenovirus, parainfluenza virus, parvovirus)

			Nobivac® Canine 1-Cv	Inactivated plus adjuvant	Monovalent (CoV)
Cats	Alphacoronavirus G	Peritonitis	Felocell® FIP	Live attenuated	Monovalent (FIP virus)

547
548
549
550

Abbreviations: CoV, coronavirus; FIP, feline infectious peritonitis; TGE, transmissible gastroenteritis.

551 **Figure legends**

552 **Figure 1: Vaccine development pipeline.**

553 The typical vaccine development pipeline is shown, starting from target product profiling to licensure and
554 deployment. The respective stages and approximate costs for veterinary and human vaccines are shown.
555 Though presented as a linear chronological process, some of the different stages of the pipeline for a 'multi-
556 species' vaccine can occur in parallel. For instance, the candidate ChAdOx1 RVF vaccine against Rift Valley
557 Fever ¹² will soon undergo evaluation in human clinical trials in parallel with the veterinary development,
558 having been made using the same manufacturing starting material.

559

560 **Figure 2: The heavy chains of bovine antibodies can encode a very long CDR H3, which contrasts the**
561 **equivalent CDRs of human, mouse, and heavy chain camelid antibodies.** Structures of antigen binding
562 fragment regions from (A) bovine (BLV1H12, PDB ID 4K3D ⁵⁸), (B) human (PG9, PDB ID 3U2S ⁸⁶), (C)
563 mouse (93F3, PDB ID 1T4K ⁸⁷), and (D) camelid (VHH-5, PDB ID 5U65 ⁸⁸) antibodies (shown in cartoon
564 representation). Heavy chains are colored blue and light chains are colored green. The CDR H3 (or CDR3 in
565 the case of the camelid antibody) for each structure is colored orange. Note, PG9 contains a relatively long
566 CDR H3 for human antibodies. Structures were rendered using PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics
567 System, Version 1.8.6.0, Schrödinger, LLC).

568

569 **Box 1: Experience and lessons from the use of coronavirus vaccines in animals**

570 All major domestic animal species are susceptible to coronavirus (CoV) infection, typically resulting in clinical
571 symptoms involving the respiratory or gastrointestinal systems. Several licensed veterinary vaccines against
572 CoV-associated disease are available (see **Table 2** for examples) and these are predominantly composed of
573 live-attenuated CoV or whole inactivated virions that are administered in adjuvant. However, subunit, viral-
574 vectored, and other types of recombinant vaccines are in development. Some examples of licensed animal

575 CoV vaccines and some key immunological observations are summarized below, with further details
576 available in recent reviews of animal CoV vaccines ⁸⁹⁻⁹¹.

577

578

579 Key observations from veterinary use of coronavirus vaccines ⁸⁹⁻⁹¹:

580

581 • Virus-neutralizing antibodies directed to the surface spike (S) protein play a major role in protective
582 immunity.

583

584 • The duration of vaccine-induced immunity is variable but can last for at least 12 months with annual
585 boosters required to maintain protective levels of immunity.

586

587 • Vaccines can be highly protective against severe CoV illness yet show limited protection against
588 mild disease or infection.

589

590 • Passive transfer of maternal antibodies from vaccinated dams can provide protective immunity
591 against both enteric and respiratory CoV infections, as has been demonstrated in cattle.

592

593 • T cell responses play an active role in the control of CoV infections. For instance, adoptive transfer
594 of CD8⁺ T cells from immune chickens into unvaccinated chicks provides protection from acute
595 infectious bronchitis, with epitopes mapped on the nucleocapsid and spike protein.

596

597 • Different routes of administration can be used for CoV vaccination. Some veterinary vaccines have
598 been deployed for use orally (for example, infectious bronchitis in poultry), intranasally (for example,
599 bovine CoV vaccines in calves) or as an oral prime followed by an intramuscular boost (for example,
600 TGE in pigs). Induction of mucosal immunity, mediated by IgA, is thought to improve protective
601 efficacy of vaccines.

602

603 • Emergence of CoV spike protein variants may impact vaccine performance, resulting in insufficient
604 protection and necessitating updates to vaccine immunogens. Strategies used to improve the
605 breadth of the protective immune response against different CoV variants include; one, prime–boost
606 regimens using vaccines incorporating different CoV variants, that is, vaccinating with one strain and
607 boosting with another, and two, inclusion of multiple CoV strains within a single vaccine.

608

609 • Antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of CoV infection following vaccination and virus exposure
610 can be readily demonstrated in cats. This may provide a useful model to understand the ADE
611 phenomenon.

612

613 • Monitoring of CoV antibody seroprevalence in poultry has been used to inform decisions on whether
614 to implement a vaccination programme based on the levels of flock immunity. This is primarily aimed
615 at achieving a cost-efficient disease control programme but could also be used in a scenario where
616 vaccine supply is limited.

617

618