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## Abstract

Objective To use an online survey to obtain information from cat owners about their experiences of medicating cats.

Methods An online survey containing 35 questions on experiences of medicating cats was circulated to cat owners globally.

Results 2507 surveys from 57 countries were analysed; 1724 from 'cat owners' and 783
from 'cat owners+' (respondents with significant cat experience, including veterinary professionals). Around half (50.75\%) of cat owners were 'sometimes' or 'never' provided with information or advice on how to administer medication; however, $91.78 \%$ of those given information found it 'somewhat' or 'very' useful. Around half (53.61\%) of owners sought information from the internet about how to administer medication. Total cat owners (cat owners and cat owners+) administered liquids (61.30\%), pastes (45.30\%) or tablets (39.51\%) directly into their cat's mouth; fewer (22.59-24.08\%) hid these medications in food. Total cat owners rated tablets significantly harder to administer than liquids; 52.96\% chose liquids as a first choice formulation, followed by tablets (29.27\%). Insulin injections and 'spot-ons' were significantly easier to administer than any oral medications. Over half (51.57\%) of owners reported that medicating their cat(s) had changed their relationship with them; $77.03 \%$ reported that their cat(s) had tried to bite or scratch them when medicating. Other challenges included the cat(s) spitting out tablets (78.68\%), refusing medication in food (71.71\%) and running away (52.70\%). Of owners who failed to complete a course of medication (35.36\%), $27.85 \%$ stopped near the end of the course, while $19.28 \%$ stopped after a few doses, in both cases as medicating was too difficult. Conclusions and relevance Owners appreciate being provided information about the administration of medication. Frequent challenges when medicating cats including potential

Commented [SC1]: Pedantic comment here but possibly relevant: my understanding was that $29 \%$ of owners said tablets were their first choice and this is different to the suggestion of this sentence that tablets were the second choice (it's the words 'followed by' which is maybe putting me off this sentence)? Maybe re-word as $52.96 \%$ chose liquids as their first choice formulation while $29.27 \%$ chose tablets.
human injury and damage to the owner-cat relationship. Pharmaceutical companies should
provide a range of formulations to ease compliance. Veterinary clinics should provide information/demonstrations, and web-links when prescribing medications.

## Introduction

Medications, in the form of tablets, liquids, capsules, powders, pastes, transdermal preparations, 'spot-ons' and injections, are prescribed to cats by veterinarians for owners to administer at home, both for the treatment of illnesses, and the prevention and treatment of parasitic infection. Owners may find giving the prescribed medications to their cat challenging and 'compliance' (also termed 'adherence') to medication courses is a poorly studied area of feline practice. ${ }^{1}$ A previous smaller study, ${ }^{2}$ showed that a quarter of cat owners were unable to give some doses of medication prescribed, with owners reporting challenges including cats refusing medication in food, spitting out the medication or hypersalivating. Medication formulation may affect adherence in cats. ${ }^{3}$ For example, formulations designed for cats (as opposed to human medications) are usually easier to administer, ${ }^{2}$ yet still only $35 \%$ of cats in that study took the drug willingly. Liquids (solutions and suspensions) may be easier to administer, ${ }^{2}$ with fewer missed doses than tablets, ${ }^{4}$ but tablets were preferred by owners for long-term administration. ${ }^{2,4}$ There is a need to create palatable medications for companion animals, ${ }^{2,3}$ but this may be easier in dogs than cats who are, by their nature, more fastidious. ${ }^{5}$

In human medicine, medication adherence is identified as a target outcome to reduce healthcare costs and use of health services ${ }^{6}$ and parallels in challenges to adherence are seen in paediatric medical practice. ${ }^{7}$ Multicomponent and behavioural interventions can produce marked effects on human adherence ${ }^{8}$ and the American Animal Hospital Association (AHAA) review ${ }^{9}$ suggested the same may be true in animals, showing that good communication and provision of written information was strongly correlated with adherence. In that survey, pet owners shown one or more ways to administer medication had a significantly higher rate of 'never missing a dose' than those not shown. ${ }^{9}$

Attempts to medicate unwilling cats can result in human injury, ${ }^{2}$ but failure to complete a course of medication has wider-human health implications if antibiotic courses are not completed, potentially promoting antibiotic resistance, which is a major concern in veterinary and human medicine. ${ }^{10}$ Additionally, perceptions that cats are challenging to medicate may promote the use of highest priority, critically important antimicrobial drugs, such as cefovecin ${ }^{11}$ in a long-acting subcutaneous injection formulation, which may not comply with the International Society for Companion Animal Infectious Diseases (ISCAID) guidelines, ${ }^{12}$ and raises antibiotic stewardship concerns. Improved compliance is likely to result in improved treatment success, optimised preventative healthcare, reduced antibiotic resistance and an improved cat and owner bond. Understanding barriers to medicating cats will assist the pharmaceutical manufacturers and veterinary profession when creating and prescribing medicines for cats.

The aim of this study was to conduct a worldwide survey of cat owners, to obtain information on how they give medications to their cats, what information is provided by the veterinary clinic, challenges of administering medication, the cat's behavioural responses to being medicated, as well as owner preferences for formulation and barriers to completion of a medication course.

## Methods

An online survey was created to collect data from cat owners on their experiences of medicating their cats. The survey included 35 questions on a range of topics from experiences of administering different forms of medications, use of online pharmacies, preferences for medication formulation, support from the veterinary clinic, negative feline behavioural responses to being medicated, and the impact on the owner's relationship with their pet. Drop down menus allowed respondents to select answers to several questions, including selecting from a list of categories to distinguish cat owners without veterinary or professional pet experience (termed 'cat owners') from veterinary professionals and those working with cats in other capacities (termed cat owners+); this latter group included veterinary professionals, cat behaviourists, breeders, fosterers, those working with unowned cats, and anyone who spent considerable time with cats. The full survey is included in the supplementary materials.

The survey was publicised via several routes to encourage responses, these included social media and veterinary organisations, as well as via the Vet Professionals and International Cat Care databases, and the International Society of Feline Medicine newsletters to members. Press releases were supplied to veterinary publications and publicity was gained via several veterinary corporates and pharmaceutical companies. The survey was open for four months between February to May 2021. Data was collected via the Vet Professionals website and stored using FormSite (Vroman Systems) and downloaded into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet.

For analysis, some questions were more applicable to cat owners rather than cat owners+ (e.g., advice given by veterinary surgeon), hence the data was separated. In other questions,
data from both groups is included (termed 'total cat owners'), and in appropriate cases statistics have been used look at the differences between cat owners and cat owners+.

Descriptive statistics were performed as well as $\chi 2$ test to compare groups when
appropriate. P values $<0.05$ were considered to be significant. Free-text statements were examined individually.

## Results

A total of 2702 responses were received between February 2021 and May 2021. However, 195 respondents were not cat owners and where excluded from the study, leaving 2507 surveys for analysis. This included 1724 from cat owners and 783 from cat owners+. Of the cat owners+, 346 were veterinarians, 301 veterinary nurses or technicians, 46 veterinary receptionists, 33 boarding cattery staff, 29 veterinary behaviourists, and 29 cat breeders; the remainder were a combination of shelter staff, fosterers and those working with animals on other capacities (some respondents selected more than one role). Respondent demographics

Survey responses were received from cat owners in 57 countries. The majority of respondents were from the UK (69.88\%), with $14.76 \%$ from the United States and Canada, 10.21\% from European Union countries and 2.79\% from Australia and New Zealand. The remainder were from outside those locations eg South America, Africa.

Source of medication

Almost all respondents had been given medications from their veterinarian to give to their cat at home (98.92\%). A total of 642 (25.61\%) owners had obtained medication from an online pharmacy with a prescription from their veterinarian

Experience of medicating

The majority of cat owners and cat owners+ had experience of administering tablets (95.53\%), 'spot on' preparations (78.34\%) and liquids (77.38\%). See table 1 for total cat owners' experience of medication formulations

Advice and support from their veterinary clinic on medicating their cat Information or advice on how to administer medication was described as 'always given' by 47.51\% of cat owner respondents and 'sometimes' or 'never' by 50.75\% (40.02 and 10.73\%,
respectively). How information was provided is illustrated in figure 1. When information was provided, this was mainly a verbal explanation by a veterinarian (77.87\%), with a demonstration by a vet or nurse to $55.07 \%$ of cat owner respondents. Two-thirds (66.99\%) of cat owners were advised if the medication could be given with food, and less than half of cat owners giving a tablet or capsule were told if the tablet could be crushed or the capsule opened (45.91\%). Of cat owners given information, $91.78 \%$ found the information 'somewhat' or 'very' useful.

Cat owner respondents who found medicine difficult to administer only contacted their veterinary clinic for help in $41.78 \%$ of cases, and of these $67.52 \%$ reported that the clinic was able to provide a solution to their difficulties.

Advice from other sources

When cat owners were asked if they had sought advice from the internet on how to give medications to their pets, $53.61 \%$ answered that they had.

How owners administer medication

Table 2 shows how cat owners and cat owners+ prefer to administer various types of medication. The majority of people administer liquids (61.30\%), pastes (45.30\%) or tablets (39.51\%) directly into their cat's mouth. Capsules are more often opened and mixed with a small amount of food or a treat (37.32\%). Around a quarter of respondents answered that they hide pastes (24.08\%), tablets (23.39\%), and liquids (22.59\%) in a small amount of food or a treat, whereas just over two-thirds (67.62\%) added powders/granules to food or a treat. Only $16.79 \%$ of owners crushed tablets into food or a treat, and 9.93\% and 9.45\%, respectively, used a pill-giving device for tablets or capsules.

Challenges of medicating cats and the effect on cat owners

Table 3 and figure 2 illustrate answers to the question 'In general, how easy do you find it to administer each of the following formulations?' with answers ranked from 1 (very easy) to 10 (impossible). Cat owners were significantly more likely to rate tablets between 7 and 10 than liquids ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), and significantly more likely to rate 'spot ons' between 1 and 3 than any other formulation apart from insulin injections, which $70.94 \%$ of respondents ranked between 1 and 3.

The most frequently reported challenge was the cat spitting out the tablet (78.68\%), with the cat refusing the medication in food reported by nearly three-quarters of respondents (total cat owners) (71.71\%). A total of $77.03 \%$ of total cat owner respondents answered that their cat tried to bite or scratch them. Further information on reported challenges is illustrated in table 4 and figure 3.

Respondents were asked how restraining/holding their cat to give it medication made them feel, and for cat owners+, $62.24 \%$ felt confident handling their cat, significantly more than the $38.24 \%$ of cat owners ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ). Just under half of cat owners ( $46.72 \%$ ) worried they would hurt their cat, and $33.96 \%$ worried they would get bitten or scratched; in both cases, this was significantly less for cat owners+ ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Further data is shown in table 4. Of 2166 responses, just over half (51.57\%) of total cat owners reported that giving medication to their cat at home had changed their relationship with them.

## Completing medication courses

Cat owners were asked if they always finished the course of medicine their veterinary clinic had given them, $62.50 \%$ answered yes, always, $24.20 \%$ yes, most of the time, $11.16 \%$ no, not always. Cat owners were then asked if they have not always finished a course of medication, which of a choice of reasons accounted for their decision. The answer selected most frequently was 'I stopped near the end of the course as the medicine was difficult to
give' (27.85\%), with $19.28 \%$ answering that they 'stopped after a few doses as the medicine was so difficult to give'. Further answers are illustrated in figure 4.

Preferred formulations for oral medication
Respondents (total cat owners) chose liquids (52.96\%) as the preferred first choice
formulation from options of tablets, capsules, pastes, powder/granules and liquids. This was followed by tablets (28.85\%), powder/granules (6.19\%), paste (5.96\%) and lastly capsules (3.60\%) (figure 5 shows first and second choices of medication formulation). Of those choosing liquid as first choice, $29.27 \%$ chose tablet as second choice. Of those choosing tablets as first choice, $26.07 \%$ chose liquids as second choice. In both cases, answers from the remaining respondents were spread between different formulations.

## Discussion

In the veterinary profession it has long been accepted that giving medications to cats is challenging; however, little published literature supports this assumption or examines the reasons for, and potential consequences of, attempts to medicate cats and the risk of poor compliance. ${ }^{1,2}$ In the current study we examined owners' experience of medicating their cats at home, using an online survey. Siven ${ }^{2}$ sampled 46 owners and found $24 \%$ were unable to give the prescribed doses, therefore calculating compliance as $76 \%$. The present study showed nearly two thirds (62.5\%) of cat owners answered that they 'always' completed a course of medication. Owner reported estimates of compliance are likely to overestimate, ${ }^{13}$ and the aim of this study was more to assess the challenges of medicating cats according to owners; however, it did show that for half of respondents, failure to complete a course of medication was due to an inability to medicate the cat.

This study showed that half of cat owners 'sometimes' or 'never' received information on how to administer the medication that their cat had been prescribed, a third were not told if the medication could be given in food, and less than half of owners were told if a tablet could be crushed, or a capsule opened. This suggests support for clients could be improved and this may improve compliance. Data from the AAHA report ${ }^{9}$ suggests that demonstrating how to medicate a patient could reduce the number of missed doses. This is supported by the present study, as $91.78 \%$ of owners provided with information found it 'somewhat' or 'very' useful. Most information was provided verbally, with less than 5\% of cat owners being directed to online information or videos. However, around half of owners looked for information online, suggesting there is an opportunity to provide clients with reliable online sources and videos to help them understand how to give medication to their cat. In a review of interventional tools to improve human medication compliance, ${ }^{14}$ single
components (e.g., information or education) seem ineffective; however, when combined with other interventions, such as skills training and even medication packaging design, clinical outcomes may be improved. Alarmingly, in the present study, less than half of cat owners contacted the clinic to discuss their challenges, although of those that did, twothirds found it helpful. Maintaining an open and honest dialogue regarding the difficulties of medicating cats is important, encouraging owners to report challenges so help can be provided or alternative formulations discussed. Without support, there is potential for damage to the relationship between the owner and the clinic, and reluctance to return or even report their cat's illnesses promptly for fear of being dispensed further medications they cannot give.

Most cat owners in this study administered medications directly into the cat's mouth, with around a quarter hiding medications (i.e., pastes, liquids and tablets) in food/treats. Ensuring owners are aware of options such as hiding drugs in food when giving medications, and the names of preferred treats, may increase the number of owners trying this method of improving cat compliance. However, $72 \%$ of total cat owners reported their cat 'would not eat the medication in food or a treat'. Clearly, there is a need to create palatable oral formulations that are voluntarily accepted by cats using research into palatability in this species. ${ }^{3}$

Respondents were asked about formulation preference and significantly more cat owners selected liquids as a first choice and were significantly less likely to rate liquids between 7 and 10 than tablets (with 1 very easy and 10 being impossible), i.e., they generally found liquids relatively easy to give. However, $29 \%$ selected tablets as a preferred first choice, and second choices varied between formulations. Pastes and capsules were least preferred for both first and second choice of formulation. Siven ${ }^{2}$ showed an owner
preference for liquid and suspension formulations, and liquid preparations have been associated with fewer missed doses in cats; ${ }^{4}$ however, as the current study showed, there is variation between cat owners and cats with regard to choice of formulation, suggesting that offering clients different formulation options may be optimal to encourage compliance.

It is clear that cat owners in the current study found 'spot on' administration significantly easier than other formulations of routine medication; however, unexpectedly, insulin injections were found to be simple to administer in many cases, with approximately $40 \%$ of cat owners rating them as easy to give. This may be because owners of diabetic cats are given more support, and demonstrations of insulin administration, so they feel more confident about medicating their cat; however, there are still gaps in provision of this training, as $51 \%$ of owners in one study ${ }^{15}$ were not supervised when drawing up and administering insulin.

Cat owners met challenges administering medication. Nearly $80 \%$ of total cat owners reported their cat 'spat the tablet out' and concerningly $45 \%$ and $32 \%$, respectively, reported their cat tried to scratch or bite them. Given the risk of cat bite or scratch wounds requiring medical attention, ${ }^{16}$ this is a concern. If we accept that giving medication to cats can be difficult, then we should consider the effect on the owner as well as the potential negative impact on the cat's welfare. 'Caregiver burden' is a term used in human healthcare to describe an individual's response to caring for a family member. In veterinary medicine owners of animals with chronic or terminal illnesses show increased caregiver burden, leading to higher stress and anxiety, and a lower quality of life. ${ }^{17}$ In the present study, just over half of total cat owners reported that giving medication to their cat had changed their relationship with them, a similar proportion reported that their cat tried to run away from them, and $47 \%$ of cat owners felt worried they would hurt their cat restraining them to give
medication. As veterinary professionals we should not underestimate the negative consequences to owners of trying to medicate their cats.

Challenges in medicating cats may result in antibiotic courses not being completed, or requests by owners for inappropriate long-acting injections. The use of cefovecin has been studied in several countries, with a recent Australian study showing that it is used more commonly in cats than dogs, and in scenarios where antimicrobials may not be indicated or where an antimicrobial of lower importance to human health is recommended. ${ }^{18}$ Compliance with appropriate oral antibiotics may be facilitated by supporting clients to improve their ability to medicate their cat using tablets, liquids or paste (as determined by the particular antibiotic that is recommended), and limiting the use of long-acting injections.

Owners with experience of handling cats (cat owners+) felt significantly more confident handling their pet and significantly less concerned about getting bitten or scratched, or of hurting their cat. These findings, along with other data from this study showing the cat owner+ group finding medicating their cat easier, suggest that training, experience and familiarity with the species aids compliance. This can be used as further evidence that support and training is beneficial to cat owners when they need to medicate their cat.

Given the lack of published information on medication compliance in cats, ${ }^{1}$ it is hoped that the present study will contribute to the literature, encourage veterinary clinics to support and educate their clients on how to administer medications to cats, and demonstrate to pharmaceutical companies the importance of considering administration, formulation, palatability and choice when designing medications for this species.

Limitations of the study

Limitations of the study include the nature of an online survey, which selected for cat owners who use the internet and are motivated to complete the survey. They may also have been motivated to complete the survey due to either negative or positive experiences of medicating their cats, and a desire to discuss this experience. It is also possible that in some questions compliance is over-estimated due to reluctance to admit that they found medicating their cat so difficult. For this reason, 'failure' to complete a course of medication, or finding giving medication 'impossible', may have been underestimated. The survey focused on oral medications, and did not specifically discuss the transdermal route, and ease of administration of these medications requires further study. Additionally, specific types of medication were not investigated (e.g., antibiotics, analgesia, etc.), nor was how the frequency of administration might affect compliance. The survey was only available in English, which selected for completion in predominantly English-speaking countries. Despite limitations, the survey gained large number of respondents from many countries, and results showing a wide range of experiences giving medications, meaning the results are useful and may help with future conception of medication formulations for cats, and encourage further support from veterinary professionals to owners.

## Conclusions

Cat owners' face several challenges when medicating their cats, the most serious being the potential risk of human injury, damage to the cat and owner bond, and uncompleted courses of medication. Veterinary clinics should support clients by demonstrating how to medicate their cats, and directing owners to reliable sources of information. Although liquid formulations are generally preferred and 'spot-on' and insulin injections found the easiest to give to cats, different options should be provided. Pharmaceutical companies should focus on palatability and ease of medicating.
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## Tables and figures

Table 1: Medication formulations that total cat owners (cat owners and cat owners+) had experience of administering to their cats. Cat owners = owners without significant cat experience; Cat owners+ = respondents with significant cat experience, including veterinary professionals.

| Formulation | Respondents with experience | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tablet | 2395 | 95.53 |
| 'Spot on' | 1964 | 78.34 |
| Liquid | 1940 | 77.38 |
| Capsule | 1132 | 45.15 |
| Eye drops/ointment | 1112 | 44.36 |
| Powder or granules | 1014 | 40.45 |
| Paste | 935 | 37.30 |
| Ear drops | 916 | 36.54 |
| Cream or ointment on the skin | 807 | 32.19 |
| Insulin injections | 409 | 16.31 |
| Other | 267 | 10.65 |
| None of the above | 5 | 0.20 |

Figure 1: How information on medicating was provided to cat owners. Cat owners = owners
without significant cat experience.


Table 2: How total cat owners (cat owners and cat owners+) prefer to give medications

|  | Type of medication: number of respondents (percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred method of administering medication | Tablets | Capsule | Liquid | Paste | Powder/granules |  |
| I open the cat's mouth and put it in with my fingers | 887 (39.51) | 498 (32.89) | NA | NA | NA |  |
| I open the cat's mouth and administer directly with a syringe | NA | NA | 1229 (61.30) | 568 (45.30) | NA |  |
| I hide the medication (whole) in a small amount of food or a treat | 525 (23.39) | 135 (8.92) | 453 (22.59) | 302 (24.08) | 919 (67.62) |  |
| I add the liquid/paste to a liquid treat | NA | NA | 168 (8.38) | 96 (7.66) | 209 (15.38) |  |
| I add the liquid/powder to a liquid cat treat and syringe directly into the cat's mouth | NA | NA | 28 (1.40) | NA | 38 (2.80) |  |
| I mix the powder/granules with water and syringe directly into the cat's mouth | NA | NA | NA | NA | 115 (8.46) |  |
| I open the capsule and mix the contents with a small amount of food or a treat | NA | 565 (37.32) | NA | NA | NA |  |
| I open the capsule and mix the contents with a small amount of liquid and give by syringe directly into the cat's mouth | NA | 68 (4.29) | NA | NA | NA |  |
| I crush the tablet and mix with a small amount of food or a treat | 377 (16.79) | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |
| I open the cat's mouth and put it in using a pill 'shooter' or 'popper' | 223 (9.93) | 143 (9.45) | NA | NA | NA |  |
| It varies too much to say | 110 (4.90) | 53 (3.50) | 40 (2.00) | 34 (2.71) | 31 (2.28) |  |
| Other | 99 (4.41) | 44 (2.91) | 66 (3.29) | 142 Commented [SC3]: Again a pedantic comment but I would 97 ( tend to have 'other' as the last row in the table (before totals, with 'it varies' the penultimate line). And maybe give some examples - esp thinking of pastes where other accounted for $>10 \%$ of responses |  |  |
| I offer it on its own (e.g., in an empty food bowl) | 24 (1.07) | 8 (0.53) | 21 (1.05) |  |  |  |
| Total respondents | 2245 | 1514 | 2005 |  |  |  | medication'). NA = not applicable. Cat owners = owners without significant cat experience;

Cat owners+ = respondents with significant cat experience, including veterinary
professionals.

423 Table 3: Cat owners and cat owners+ ease/difficultly of administration rating for
administering different formulations of medication. Rated between 1 (very easy) to 10

425 (impossible). CO = cat owner, CO+ = cat owner+ (excluding answers of 'not applicable' or
426 'can't recall'). Cat owners $=$ owners without significant cat experience; Cat owners+ =
427 respondents with significant cat experience, including veterinary professionals.

428
429

| Formulation | Tablet |  | Capsule |  | Liquid |  | Paste |  | Powder |  | 'Spot on' |  | Cream/ointment |  | Ear drops |  | Eye drops |  | Insulin injection |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CO | CO+ | CO | CO+ | CO | CO+ | CO | CO+ | CO | CO+ | CO | CO+ | CO C |  | CO | CO+ | CO | CO+ | CO | CO+ |
| Rating/Total (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \text { (very }$ easy) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 172 \\ & (11.73) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & (18.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 \\ & (11.07) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 128 \\ & (21.59) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 268 \\ & (21.49) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 212 \\ & (33.28) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 115 \\ & (16.64) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 91 \\ & (18.92) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 155 \\ & (20.03) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 111 \\ & (22.89) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 516 \\ & (38.42) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 276 \\ & (42.53) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 199 \\ & (25.51) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 158 \\ & (35.91) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 91 \\ (13.34) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50 \\ & (6.78) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 58 \\ & (21.89) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 108 \\ & (40.76) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 107 \\ & (42.97) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \\ & (8.45) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 118 \\ & (17.80) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & (9.17) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 79 \\ & (13.32) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 176 \\ & (14.11) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 123 \\ & (19.31) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 73 \\ & (10.56) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \\ & (18.09) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & (12.53) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 74 \\ & (15.26) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 237 \\ & (17.65) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 126 \\ & (19.41) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 144 \\ & (18.46) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 91 \\ & (20.68) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 72 \\ (10.56) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & (10.18) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 61 \\ & (12.68) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & (15.85) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50 \\ & (20.08) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 156 \\ & (10.64) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 121 \\ & (18.25) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 94 \\ & (10.51) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \\ & (16.02) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 191 \\ & (15.32) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 98 \\ & (15.38) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 101 \\ & (14.62) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & (20.79) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 123 \\ & (15.89) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 83 \\ & (17.11) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 159 \\ & (11.84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 63 \\ & (9.71) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & (15.38) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 62 \\ & (14.09) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 125 \\ & (18.33) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & (13.30) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 75 \\ & (15.59) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 38 \\ & (14.43) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 40 \\ & (16.06) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 114 \\ & (7.78) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 60 \\ (9.05) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & (9.62) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 69 \\ & (11.64) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 131 \\ & (10.51) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & (9.73) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & (12.01) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 57 \\ & (11.85) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 83 \\ & (10.72) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60 \\ & (12.37) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \\ & (8.19) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & (6.63) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & (10.51) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & (8.86) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 86 \\ (12.61) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & (12.48) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & (15.38) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & (4.91) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16 \\ & (6.43) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 178 \\ & (12.14) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 73 \\ (11.01) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 122 \\ & (13.65) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70 \\ & (11.80) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 154 \\ & (12.35) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & (8.32) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 113 \\ & (16.35) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60 \\ & (12.47) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 107 \\ & (13.82) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 62 \\ & (12.78) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \\ & (7.82) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & (8.01) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & (11.80) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & (6.82) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 92 \\ (13.50) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 83 \\ & (11.26) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 62 \\ & (12.89) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & (7.55) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & (3.61) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 116 \\ & (7.91) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 39 \\ & (5.88) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 69 \\ & (7.72) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & (5.73) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & (6.73) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & (3.30) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & (8.39) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & (5.20) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65 \\ & (8.40) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 37 \\ & (7.63) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & (5.00) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & (4.01) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & (6.15) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & (6.59) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & (8.50) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & (9.09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & (7.28) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & (3.77) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & (3.21) \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 190 \\ & (12.96) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 53 \\ & (7.99) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 \\ & (10.85) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & (7.76) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & (7.78) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29 \\ & (4.55) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & (8.39) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & (5.20) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & (6.98) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & (6.19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & (4.24) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & (3.39) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & (4.49) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & (3.64) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70 \\ & (10.26) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & (12.89) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & (9.36) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & (3.40) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & (3.61) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 160 \\ & (10.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 35 \\ & (5.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & (10.29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & (6.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & (5.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & (3.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & (6.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & (4.78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & (4.01) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & (3.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & (4.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & (2.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & (3.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & (2.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 43 \\ & (6.31) \end{aligned}$ | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & (10.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & (7.07) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & (4.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & (1.61) \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & (8.53) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & (3.62) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & (8.39) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & (2.87) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 43 \\ & (3.45) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12 \\ & (1.88) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & (3.04) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & (1.25) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & (2.84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & (1.24) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & (1.64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & (2.00) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & (2.31) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & (0.23) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & (2.79) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50 \\ & (6.78) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & (4.57) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & (1.13) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & (0.40) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & \text { (impossible) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131 \\ & (8.94) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 18 \\ & (2.71) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 78 \\ & (8.73) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16 \\ & (2.70) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & (2.49) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & (0.31) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & (3.47) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & (1.46) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & (4.78) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & (1.03) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & (0.97) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & (1.54) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & (1.80) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & (0.46) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 26 \\ (3.81) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 51 \\ & (6.92) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & (3.12) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & (3.77) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & (2.01) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 1466 | 663 | 894 | 593 | 1247 | 637 | 691 | 481 | 774 | 485 | 1343 | 649 | 780 | 440 | 682 | 417 | 737 | 481 | 265 | 249 |

430


Figure 2: Rating of ease/difficulty of administering various formulations of medications (cat
owners). 1 = very easy, $10=$ impossible.

Cat owners = owners without significant cat experience

Figure 3: Negative behavioural responses to medicating reported by total cat owners (cat owners and cat owners+).

Cat owners = owners without significant cat experience; Cat owners+ = respondents with significant cat experience, including veterinary professionals.


Table 4: Negative behavioural responses to medicating reported by total cat owners (cat
owners and cat owners+).
significant cat experience, including veterinary professionals.

|  | Total (percentage of respondents to question) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Behavioural response | Cat owners | Cat owners+ | Total respondents |
| My cat spat the tablet out | $1308(82.73)$ | $500(70.13)$ | $1808(78.68)$ |
| My cat would not eat the <br> medication in food or a <br> treat | $1152(72.87)$ | $493(69.14)$ | $1645(71.71)$ |
| My cat would try to run <br> away from me | $861(54.46)$ | $348(48.81)$ | $1209(52.70)$ |
| My cat tried to scratch me | $745(47.12)$ | $294(41.23)$ | $1039(45.29)$ |
| My cat tried to bite me | $524(33.14)$ | $204(28.61)$ | $728(31.73)$ |
| My cat would not tolerate <br> the tablet being put into <br> its mouth | $771(48.77)$ | $270(37.87)$ | $1041(45.38)$ |
| I could not hold my cat <br> still for long enough | $700(44.28)$ | $221(31.00)$ | $921(40.15)$ |
| I could not open my cat's <br> mouth | $700(44.28)$ | $162(22.72)$ | $437(10.05)$ |
| I could not catch my cat | $327(20.68)$ | $110(15.43)$ | $157(6.84)$ |
| Other | $95(6.01)$ | $62(8.70)$ |  |

Table 5: How cat owners and cat owners+ felt restraining/holding their cat to give medicine

* = significant difference between cat owners and cat owners+ ( $p<0.05$ ).

Cat owners = owners without significant cat experience; Cat owners+ = respondents with
significant cat experience, including veterinary professionals.

|  | Total (percentage) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| How owner felt | Cat owners | Cat owners+ |
| Worried I would hurt my cat* | $699(46.72)$ | $121(18.06)$ |
| Confident to handle my cat* | $572(38.24)$ | $417(62.24)$ |
| Worried I would get bitten or <br> scratched* | $508(33.96)$ | $150(22.39)$ |
| Worried I would do it wrong* | $348(23.26)$ | $52(7.76)$ |
| No problem, I found it easy* | $162(10.83)$ | $155(23.13)$ |
| Not confident <br> hold my cat* | $126(8.42)$ | $15(2.24)$ |
| Other unsure how to | $113(7.55)$ | $73(10.90)$ |

Figure 4: How cat owners answered the question 'If you have not always finished a course of
medicine, which of the following possible reasons accounted for that decision.'

Cat owners = owners without significant cat experience.


Figure 5: Total cat owners (cat owners + cat owners+) preferred choice of medication
formulation.

Cat owners = owners without significant cat experience; Cat owners+ = respondents with significant cat experience, including veterinary professionals.


