



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Hajj health examination for pilgrims with asthma in Malaysia

Citation for published version:

Ramli, R, Hanafi, NS, Hussein, N, Lee, PY, Shariff-Ghazali, S, Cheong, AT, Ihsan Abu Bakar, A, Abdullah, S, Abdul Samad, A, Pinnock, H, Sheikh, A & Ee Ming, K 2022, 'Hajj health examination for pilgrims with asthma in Malaysia: An ethnographic study', *Journal of Global Health*, vol. 12, pp. 04023. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.12.04023>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.7189/jogh.12.04023](https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.12.04023)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Journal of Global Health

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Title

Hajj health examination for pilgrims with asthma in Malaysia: An ethnographic study

Authors

We would like to dedicate this paper to the memory of Prof Dr Liew Su May.

Rizawati Ramli¹, Nik Sherina Hanafi¹, Norita Hussein¹, Ping Yein Lee², Sazlina Shariff Ghazali³, Ai Theng Cheong³, Ahmad Ihsan Abu Bakar⁴, Suhazeli Abdullah⁵, Azah Abdul Samad⁵, Hilary Pinnock⁶, Aziz Sheikh⁶, Ee Ming Khoo¹, on behalf of the RESPIRE Collaborators.

Corresponding author

Rizawati Ramli
Department of Primary Care Medicine
Faculty of Medicine
Universiti Malaya
50603 Kuala Lumpur
Malaysia
Email : drieza79@um.edu.my

Authors' affiliations

¹Department of Primary Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Malaysia, ²UMeHealth Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, ³Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University Putra Malaysia, ⁴Hospital Pusrawi Sdn. Bhd., ⁵Ministry of Health Malaysia, ⁶Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

Word count

3301 words

Keywords

Hajj health examination, asthma, primary care

Abstract

Objective: To observe current organisational and clinical routines of Hajj health examination in Malaysia with a focus on the delivery of care for pilgrims with asthma.

Design: We conducted non-participant observation to obtain ethnographic understanding of Hajj health examination activities for 2019. Observations were guided by a checklist and recorded as notes that were analysed thematically.

Setting: The study was conducted at 11 public (two each from each region in Malaysia, namely, North, South, East, West of Peninsular Malaysia, and Sabah and Sarawak of East Malaysia) and two private primary care clinics.

Primary outcome measure: Organisational and clinical routines of the Hajj health examination for pilgrims with asthma

Results: We observed considerable variation in the implementation and practice of Hajj health examinations among the 11 public clinics but no marked variation among the private clinics. The short time span of between three to four months was inadequate for disease control measures and had put pressure on health care providers. They mostly viewed the Hajj health examination as merely a certification of fitness to perform the pilgrimage, though respiratory health assessment was often inadequate. The opportunity to optimise the health of pilgrims with asthma by providing the appropriate medications, asthma action plan and asthma education including the preventive measures was disregarded. The preliminary health screening, which aimed to optimise pilgrims' health before the actual Hajj health examination was not appreciated by either pilgrims or health care providers.

Conclusion: There is great potential to reform the current system of Hajj health certification in order to optimise its potential benefits for pilgrims with asthma. A systematic approach to restructuring the delivery of Hajj health examination could address the time constraints, clinical competency of primary health care providers and resources limitations.

BACKGROUND

The Hajj pilgrimage is a religious obligation for all adult Muslims who are physically fit and financially able¹. This congregational act of worship that takes place in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is one of the largest human gatherings, with an estimated two to three million pilgrims annually². Globally, 1.6 billion Muslims desire to perform Hajj at least once in their lifetime. Therefore, the Saudi government places country quotas on the number of pilgrims allowed. Before the Covid-19 pandemic, the quota for Malaysia was about 30,000 pilgrims per year. The Hajj Fund Board (HFB) (or its official corporate name Lembaga Tabung Haji), is an Islamic institution in Malaysia that manages fund deposited by Muslims and provides Hajj services for Malaysian pilgrims. It ensures a well-organised and safe Hajj operation to facilitate pilgrims obtaining a 'Mabroor' Hajj (Hajj that is accepted by God). Muslims who deposit money in HFB can register as prospective pilgrims and use their fund to perform the Hajj.

The pilgrimage is challenging even for those who are physically fit and able. It involves long walks of between 7-10 kilometres per day for at least five days, performing compulsory rituals over rough terrain in extreme climates, some of which occur in confined spaces³⁻⁶. The cause of hospitalisation due to respiratory diseases during Hajj was estimated between 13.5% to 57.0%^{4,6,8}. Cough, common cold, sore throat and shortness of breath are the commonest respiratory symptoms seen among pilgrims^{7,9-11}. Malaysia has mandated all pilgrims to undergo the Hajj health examination and to be certified fit in order to perform Hajj. Hajj health examination is an activity whereby prospective pilgrims of the given year undergo clinical evaluation by primary care doctors with the aim of optimising pilgrims' physical and mental health to perform the rituals. This is organised by the HFB with assistance from the Ministry of Health, Malaysia (MOH). Pilgrims are given the option to undertake the examination at any selected public or private primary care clinics in their district. Public clinics are governed and fully funded by the government of Malaysia whilst private clinics are privately owned, funded and operated by a group of or an individual. Public clinics have been catering for the majority of the Hajj health examinations, which are normally conducted over a few days.

Table 1 shows the organisation of the Hajj health certification in 2019 which outlines the process from the issuance of Hajj offer letter by HFB through departure for pilgrimage. The modules used for the training of doctors cover general physical examination, assessment of daily life activities, renal diseases and psychiatric assessment. HFB had also produced a guideline book for Hajj health examination¹² for healthcare providers' further references. The doctors consisted of doctors from public and private primary care clinics. A 'preliminary health screening' was instituted in 2019 to identify and resolve medical issues in advance of the Hajj health examination. It is not mandatory but is encouraged especially for pilgrims with chronic disease(s) and can be done by their regular doctors and/or clinics. The Hajj treatment record book (BRRJH) is a record of the pilgrims' medical history and examination, vaccination, and the status of fitness certification for Hajj. There are also sections for pilgrims' self-reported medical problems.

This book is mandatory for use during the Hajj health examination and has to be carried by pilgrims throughout the pilgrimage.

Theoretically the system should ensure that pilgrims with uncontrolled asthma achieve good control before embarking on the pilgrimage¹². In neighbouring Indonesia, despite the compulsory Hajj health examination, 47% of partly controlled and 61% of uncontrolled pilgrims with asthma at embarkation had exacerbations during Hajj¹³. There is no study to date of its incidence among Malaysian pilgrims. However, a nationwide study across primary care centres in Malaysia found that based on GINA guidelines on asthma control, 41% had well-controlled, 38% partly controlled and 21% uncontrolled asthma¹⁴. Hence, we aimed to observe the implementation of Hajj health examination in Malaysia using asthma as an exemplar chronic disease to inform future strategies to improve care among pilgrims.

METHODOLOGY

Study design

We conducted non-participant observation to obtain ethnographic understanding¹⁵ of the organisational and clinical routines of the Hajj health examination for pilgrims with asthma in 2019. The study was conducted at 11 public and two private primary care clinics. Two clinics were selected from each region in Malaysia, namely, North, South, East, West of Peninsular Malaysia, and Sabah and Sarawak of East Malaysia. The clinics were selected to represent a range of organisational arrangements in primary care clinics including the location (urban and rural), infrastructure and facilities (large and small clinics) and the estimated number of pilgrims in the district. We obtained consent from the person in-charge of the Hajj health examination in the selected clinics.

Data collection

The observations were conducted for one whole day for clinics with many pilgrims and two days for clinics with small number of pilgrims. A checklist was used to facilitate the observation of the Hajj health examination processes¹⁶. The checklist was developed prior to observation through discussions among researchers and was adapted from domains described by Spradly, namely space, actors, activities, objects, actions, occasions, sequence, goals, and feelings¹⁷. Specific observations included the organisational preparation, appointments arrangement, clinical assessments and outcomes, continuity of care, vaccinations, management of pilgrims who were deemed unfit, access and communication of information to pilgrims.

Data analysis

Researchers' observation notes were documented and entered into Microsoft Word documents, which were transferred into the NVivo 12 software for coding. Two researchers independently coded the first observation notes based on the domain

framework. Domain analysis involved systematic identification of components of the observed scene and possible subcategories. The observation notes were coded and categorised. Any discrepancies were discussed, and consensus reached to formulate the coding framework, which was then used to code the rest of the observation notes. Researcher AIB compared all the codes for accuracy and the codes were analysed thematically with the team. All researchers constantly reflected on the possible biases they had that could have influenced the interpretation during observation and analysis.

RESULTS

1. Public primary care clinics

We observed variation in the organisation of the Hajj health examination at the 11 public primary care clinics. Two clinics catered pilgrims only from their clinic coverage area (decentralised) while the rest accepted pilgrims from the whole district (centralised). The number of pilgrims examined in each clinic ranged from 7 to 324 per day. There was no fee imposed on the pilgrims.

Scheduling

All appointments for the Hajj health examination had been arranged by the HFB and district health offices, and agreed upon by the clinics. Each clinic varied in their scheduling of examinations depending on the number of pilgrims allotted, the clinic workload and the availability of resources including the human resource, imaging, and laboratory facilities. Some conducted the Hajj examinations over the weekend while others conducted the examinations during weekdays amidst normal clinic activities. All but one clinic only examined pilgrims who had a scheduled appointment. The clinic in exception contacted pilgrims prior to examination date to confirm attendance; anyone who defaulted on the scheduled day were contacted and pilgrims who attended without appointment were also being seen.

Documentation

All pilgrims were instructed by the HFB to bring all relevant medical documents and medication to facilitate the clinical assessment by doctors. The preliminary health screening form, if done and completed, was used as a guide for doctors. However, for a number of reasons this did not routinely happen. Some pilgrims did not receive the form while some who received, had not undergone this preliminary screening. Some pilgrims who had completed the screening did not bring the form on the examination day, so assessments or tests that had already been done had to be repeated. Some doctors were not aware of or did not check the preliminary screening form. The majority of the pilgrims at centralised clinics were not the clinics' regular patients and hence, their medical records were not available to the doctors on duty.

Health care personnel

Various categories of health care personnel were involved in the Hajj health examination. Most of them were staff from the clinic itself while others were deployed from other clinics or the district health office. The ratio of doctors to pilgrims for the examinations ranged from 1:3 to 1:37. Table 2 and 3 summarise the overall findings of observations at the 11 public primary care

Work process

The organisation of the Hajj health examination varied depending on a combination of factors including the number of pilgrims, individual clinic needs and resources. When the examination was carried out over the weekend, most of the clinic areas were utilised and the process appeared to be more systematic and better coordinated. Two clinics undertook Hajj health examinations on Saturday and ran their out-patient service concurrently in separate clinic areas and both ran smoothly. Some clinics that ran the examination on weekdays amidst their usual outpatient clinics used separate registration counters and queues, or provided special counters for vital signs and anthropometric measurements and for checking completeness of documents. Some clinics created multiple 'stations' for pilgrims to follow in a sequence which seemed to streamline the flow. One clinic provided a checklist to help pilgrims understand the work flow. One clinic that did not separate the Hajj health examination from the usual out-patient care had long queues and appeared chaotic. Clinics that provided staggered appointments for the Hajj health examination appeared to have a manageable process, despite conducting it during working days. For six clinics where we timed the process, the time from registration until the completion of the whole process ranged between 1.5 to 6 hours.

Pre-consultation

Vital signs (body temperature, blood pressure, pulse rate) anthropometric measurements (weight, height and body mass index), Malaysian mental health screening (SSKM-20) scale and the Elderly Cognitive Assessment Questionnaire (ECAQ) and investigations (random blood glucose, haemoglobin and ABO blood group) had to be completed in the BRRJH. Electrocardiogram (ECG) and chest X-ray (CXR) were arranged if indicated as per HFB guideline requirements¹². At one clinic, Pap smear and pelvic examinations were done on female pilgrims who were married and aged 40 years and above and had consented. At another clinic, MOH health status screening questionnaires were administered to all pilgrims before undergoing the Hajj health examination. These two screenings were not part of the Hajj health examination requirements but were carried out as opportunistic screening in these two clinics.

Consultation

The duration of doctor's consultation timed at three clinics ranged between 15 to 30 minutes for each pilgrim. The assessments listed in the HFB checklist¹² included a review of medical history, vital signs, physical examination, and laboratory tests when necessary. Breast examination is mandatory for all female pilgrims. All the findings, diagnosis and

management plan were recorded in the BRRJH. Most of the consultation rooms at the public primary care clinics lacked privacy; with between 2 and 4 doctors carrying out consultations in the same room, making physical examination and maintenance of confidentiality impossible. We observed many doctors did not conduct a proper physical examination. For example, for examination of the respiratory system, auscultations were done over the clothes and only over two points.

Asthma care

Spirometry and nomogram for PEFr measurements were not readily available in most clinics. Handheld spirometry was available at one clinic, but it was not utilised. Most clinics assessed Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR) on pilgrims with asthma. However, the measurements were not done consistently for all patients. Variation was observed in the assessment of peak flow; this included the category of staff who conducted the PEFr, the technique and the interpretation of the measurements. Some clinics had staff nurses and medical assistants performing the PEFr prior to doctors' consultations while others were conducted by the doctors as part of their consultations. Some clinics measured PEFr twice, some performed it on pilgrims without asthma, some used a paediatric peak flow meter for adults and some read the measured PEFr without referring to the nomogram¹⁸.

The assessment of asthma control, medication adherence and inhaler techniques including spacer use or need were observed to be suboptimal. The interpretation of the PEFr readings did not seem to influence the doctor's management. There seemed to be hesitation among the doctors on the assessment, management, and fitness certification for pilgrims with uncontrolled asthma. They typically referred pilgrims with uncontrolled asthma to a family medicine specialist for further management and fitness certification rather than optimising asthma treatment themselves. Pilgrims were mainly given general advice such as ensuring sufficient, non-expired medications including inhalers, to wear a mask to protect from dust and to stay hydrated. There was little/no individualised asthma education on preventive measures or provision of asthma action plans for the pilgrimage. Almost all patients were encouraged to get the optional influenza and pneumococcal vaccinations from private centres if they were willing to pay.

2. Private GP clinics

We observed two and three pilgrims who attended two private GP clinics respectively for Hajj health examination. Pilgrims were encouraged to make appointments to ensure the availability of doctor who was eligible to certify the Hajj health examinations. Laboratory investigations were outsourced to private laboratories. The optional influenza and pneumococcal vaccines were readily available at both clinics. All pilgrims were charged a fee. With the very small number of pilgrims, the process of Hajj health examination at both clinics was manageable. Only one pilgrim with asthma attended one of the clinics. For this pilgrim, a thorough assessment of control and adequate respiratory examination were conducted. Table 4 and 5 summarise the overall finding of observations at the two private primary care clinics.

Outcomes of the Hajj health examination

There were four outcomes for pilgrims after the Hajj health examination: (i) passed and certified fit for Hajj, (ii) identified to have uncontrolled medical problem and referred for treatment optimisation followed by re-evaluation (iii) identified to have serious medical problem and referred to specialised disciplines at tertiary centres followed by re-evaluation, or (iv) failed and certified physically unfit for Hajj. All doctors performing the Hajj health examination were required to fill in a summary form in the BRRJH, stating the pilgrim's final status of fitness certification for submission to the HFB database system.

DISCUSSION

We observed considerable variation in the organisation of Hajj health examination among public primary care clinics. The implementation of centralised Hajj health examination posed a challenge to the health care providers in balancing the need for appropriate clinical evaluation and disease control, and the pressure for the Hajj certification within a limited time frame. As a result, Hajj health examination was mostly viewed as merely a ticket to certify fitness for pilgrimage rather than an opportunity to optimise chronic disease management including asthma. Poor physical examination of the respiratory system and suboptimal long-term management of chronic disease were two consistent observations related to asthma care and concerning aspects of the process that require further attention.

Two main disadvantages of the organisation of centralised clinic for Hajj health examination were time constraint due to heavy workload and unavailable medical records of pilgrims from external clinics. These compromised the comprehensiveness of clinical evaluation and disease management, provision of relevant health education including preventive measures and delayed the certification process for more complex cases. Time constraint is a recognised stress factor at work that can result in adverse consequences for primary care doctors and their patients' care¹⁹. As the preliminary health assessment was not mandatory and was not often done, it was of concern that some pilgrims did not declare their known health issues. Moreover, unfamiliarity of the pilgrims to external doctors who were pooled to work at the centralised clinics possibly affected the establishment of appropriate doctor-patient relationship and hindered the delivery of health education and disrupted the continuity of care. Doctor-patient relationship is a powerful component of consultation and can alter various health related outcomes for patients. New patients, time-constraints and the health care setting are some identified factors that can adversely affect the doctor-patient relationship²⁰.

Despite time constraint being a contributing factor, the suboptimal assessment and management of asthma by the doctors, also significantly reflects the level of clinical competencies among primary care doctors, in particular the assessment of control,

evaluation and management of uncontrolled asthma and provision of asthma education especially the asthma action plan. Many doctors did not seem to assess and manage the disease based on any guideline recommendation such as Malaysian Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG)¹⁸ or the GINA²¹ recommendations. This is consistent with a study that found the implementation of asthma CPG as suboptimal in primary care²². Heavy workload and inadequate training were two important barriers to low adherence by primary care doctors to the guidelines²³. Clinical pathway and supporting educational materials can be created and used to translate the evidence-based guidelines into succinct algorithms and facilitate the asthma care by primary care doctors^{24,25}. Effective asthma self-management requires a comprehensive approach comprising of patients' education and resources, professional skills and motivation and organisation priorities and routines²⁵.

The implementation of the Hajj health examination can be carried out more systematically if it is directed towards clearer objectives. The two main objectives should be (i) final certification of pilgrim's health status and (ii) checking of the relevant documents. The HFB can make the Hajj preliminary health screening compulsory on receipt of the Hajj offer letter to ensure pilgrims' health is assessed by their regular doctors or clinics in advance to the Hajj health examination. For asthma, this would provide ample time for optimisation of the pilgrims' asthma control through adequate clinical evaluation including investigation and referral if necessary and opportunity to provide health education. Hence, the main role of doctors on duty for the Hajj health examination would be to consolidate the medical information prepared beforehand and establish the final status of fitness certification. For pilgrims with no known medical problem, the preliminary health screening is an avenue to detect occult disease and prompt earlier investigation, treatment, and disease stabilization. Neighbour country Indonesia uses an Integrated Hajj Computerized System (SISKOHAT), whereby pilgrims' health information system is one of the integrated components²⁶. It allows a longer and adequate time frame for pilgrims' health optimisation and enables entry and update of pilgrims' health information by all health services accessed by the pilgrims at any point of time. It involves three steps: (1) screening at primary health care, (2) disease control taking place in hospitals and (3) final certification of fitness for Hajj. In step (1) their policy established a mandatory health screening and health coaching programs before the Hajj medical examination, as an effort to prepare fit and healthy pilgrims for Hajj. These programs comprise of a preliminary medical check-up, health promotion and prevention and physical exercise activities besides the Hajj rituals training^{27,28}.

For pilgrims, HFB could initiate and promote the importance of being fit and healthy for pilgrimage, by sending health reminders and guides to the pilgrims in the year before their Hajj scheduled year. This would hopefully trigger early awareness and efforts to achieve adequate disease control (including asthma) and sustain optimum health status to perform pilgrimage. Printed or electronic educational resources can provide quick access to information related to asthma. Supporting materials like videos or links to YouTube channels relevant to health and disease care can be provided along with the reminder²⁹.

This study is the first to observe the implementation and practice of the Hajj health examination in Malaysia with a focus on the delivery of care for pilgrims with asthma. It captured the real practice of Hajj health examination across a range of primary care organisations including the location, infrastructure and facilities, and the number of pilgrims. However, our selected clinics might not have included all organisational variations, and the days we observed might not have been typical while the number of private general practitioner was too small to draw definitive conclusions on the practice of Hajj health examination at private primary care clinics. Moreover, participation in research and the presence of an observer might have affected the health care providers' behaviour and clinical conduct, especially of asthma care. Studies on the views of pilgrims and various stakeholders involved in the Hajj health examination process should provide more supportive data. Nevertheless, the findings may provide performance data for analysis and basis for potential avenues to improvement by the HFB and MOH. Besides asthma, it could also be extended to other chronic diseases to influence future strategies to improve care among pilgrims.

CONCLUSIONS

There is great potential to reform the Hajj health certification process in Malaysia and to improve the provision of asthma care in primary healthcare. Strategies to restructuring the delivery of Hajj health examination could address time constraint, clinical competency of primary health care providers and resources limitations. This is to reduce the risks posed from asthma, and by extension the other chronic diseases, not only during pilgrimage but also on the impact to the long-term health.

ABBREVIATIONS

BRRJH: Pilgrims treatment record book; COC: Continuity of Care; CXR: Chest X-Ray; ECAQ: Elderly Cognitive Assessment Questionnaire; ECG: Electrocardiogram; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, FMS: Family Medicine Specialist; GINA: Global Initiative for Asthma; GP: General Practitioner; Hb: Haemoglobin; HCP: Health Care Providers; HFB: Hajj Fund Board; MOH: Ministry of Health, Malaysia; MLT : Medical lab technician; PEF: Peak Expiratory Flow Rate; PFM: Peak Flow Meter; SSKM-20: Mental health status screening-20.

REFERENCES

1. Gatrads AR, Sheikh A. Hajj: journey of a lifetime. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*. 2005; 330(7483), 133-137.
2. General Authority for Statistics (GASTAT), Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Hajj Statistics 2019 - 1440.
3. Aldossari M., Aljouidi, A, Celentano D. Health issues in the Hajj pilgrimage: a literature review. *Eastern Mediterranean health journal*. 2019; 25(10), 744-753.
4. Madani TA, Ghabrah TM, Al-Hedaithy MA, et al. Causes of hospitalization of pilgrims in the Hajj season of the Islamic year 1423 (2003). *Ann Saudi Med*. 2006; 26(5):346-51.
5. Salmon-Rousseau, A, Piednoir E, Cattoir V, de La Blanchardière A. Hajj-associated infections. *Medecine et maladies infectieuses*. 2016; 46(7), 346-354.
6. Murtaza SRA, Abu A, Yussof S. Determining the Types of Diseases and Emergency Issues in Pilgrims During Hajj: A Literature Review. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science*. 2016.
7. Alzahrani AG, Choudhry AJ, Al Mazroa MA, Turkistani AH, Nouman GS, Memish ZA. Pattern of diseases among visitors to Mina health centers during the Hajj season, 1429 H (2008 G). *J Infect Public Health*. 2012 Mar; 5(1), 22-34.
8. Dzaraly, ND, A. Rahman NI, Simbak N, A Wahab S, Osman O, Ismail S, et al. Patterns of Communicable and Non-Communicable Diseases in Pilgrims during Hajj. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, 7(9). 2014 Sept; 1052-1059.
9. Deris ZZ, Hasan H, Sulaiman SA, Wahab MS, Naing NN, Othman NH. The prevalence of acute respiratory symptoms and role of protective measures among Malaysian hajj pilgrims. *J Travel Med*. 2010 Mar-Apr;17(2):82-8.
10. Khamis NK. Epidemiological pattern of diseases and risk behaviors of pilgrims attending mina hospitals, hajj 1427 h (2007 g). *J Egypt Public Health Assoc*. 2008;83(1-2):15-33. PMID: 18992201.
11. Al-Ghamdi SM, Akbar HO, Qari YA, Fathaldin OA, Al-Rashed RS. Pattern of admission to hospitals during muslim pilgrimage (Hajj). *Saudi Med J*. 2003 Oct;24(10):1073-6. PMID: 14578971.
12. Lembaga Tabung Haji dan Kementerian Kesihatan Malaysia. Garis panduan Pemeriksaan Kesihatan Jemaah Haji. Edisi 7(2018).
13. Saifuddin A, Zaini NU, Rengganis I. The Asthma Control Test (ACT) as Predictor of Asthma Exacerbation among Indonesian Hajj Pilgrims in 2018. *International Journal of Human and Health Sciences (IJHHS)*. 2020 Jan; 4(2), 128.
14. Mohd Isa NA, Cheng CL, Nasir NH, Naidu V, Gopal VR, Alexander AK. Asthma control and asthma treatment adherence in primary care: results from the prospective, multicentre, non-interventional, observational cohort ASCOPE study in Malaysia. *Med J Malaysia*. 2020 Jul;75(4):331-337. PMID: 32723990.
15. Russell G, Advocat J, Geneau R, Farrell B, Thille P, Ward N, Evans S. Examining organizational change in primary care practices: experiences from using ethnographic methods. *Fam Pract*. 2012 Aug;29(4):455-61. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cm117. Epub 2011 Dec 1. PMID: 22135321.
16. Kawulich, B. B. Participant Observation as a Data Collection Method [81 paragraphs]. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*. 2005 May; 6(2), Art. 43.

17. Spradley, J. P. Participant observation. Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich College Publishers; 1980.
18. Academy of Medicine of Malaysia. (2017). Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPGs) on Management of Asthma in Adults. MOH/P/PAK/354.17(GU). Malaysia: Ministry of Health.
19. Knesebeck, OVD, Koens S, Marx,G, Scherer M. Perceptions of time constraints among primary care physicians in Germany. *BMC Fam Pract* **20**. 2019 Oct; 142. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-019-1033-5>.
20. Chipidza FE, Wallwork RS, Stern TA. Impact of the Doctor-Patient Relationship. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord*. 2015 Oct 22;17(5):10.4088/PCC.15f01840. doi: 10.4088/PCC.15f01840. PMID: 26835164; PMCID: PMC4732308.
21. Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA). Pocket guide for asthma management and prevention (for adults and children older than 5 years), 2020.
22. Gagné ME, Boulet LP. Implementation of asthma clinical practice guidelines in primary care: A cross-sectional study based on the Knowledge-to-Action Cycle. *J Asthma*. 2018 Mar;55(3):310-317. doi: 10.1080/02770903.2017.1323919. Epub 2017 May 26. PMID: 28548896.
23. Almutawa FN, Al-Mutairy G, Al-Arada N, Kamel MI. Perception of primary care physicians about guidelines of bronchial asthma, *Alexandria Journal of Medicine*. 2014; 50:1, 17-24, doi: 10.1016/j.ajme.2013.05.002
24. Fletcher MJ, Tsiligianni I, Kocks JWH, Cave A, Chunhua C, Sousa JCD et al. Improving primary care management of asthma: do we know what really works?. *npj Prim. Care Respir. Med*. **30**. 2020 June; 29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41533-020-0184-0>
25. Pinnock, Hilary. Supported self-management for asthma. *Breathe*. 11. 98-109, 2015.
26. Febianti F, Farida I. The effectiveness of integrated hajj information and computerization system (SISKOHAT) in the hajj pilgrimage in Sumedang regency. *JHSS J Humanities and Social Studies*. 2020; 4(2) 124-129.
27. Rustika R, Oemiati R, Asyary A, Rachmawati T. An Evaluation of Health Policy Implementation for Hajj Pilgrims in Indonesia. *J Epidemiol Glob Health*. 2020 Dec;10(4):263-268. doi: 10.2991/jegh.k.200411.001. Epub 2020 Apr 20. PMID: 32959605; PMCID: PMC7758857.
28. Rudyanto CH, Damayanti R, Junadi P. "Preparing Fit and Healthy Pilgrims In order to Be Able to Perform Hajj Optimally Through Health Policy In Indonesia". *Indian Journal of Public Health Research and Development*. 2019; 10(8), 1415-1419.
29. Talek M, Chaisukkosol C, Wichaidit W. 'Health Beliefs on Chronic Disease Management and Changes in Daily Routine among Thai Pilgrims during the Haj: A Qualitative Study', *Journal of Clinical & Diagnostic Research*. 2021 April; 15(4):10-14.

Table 1: Organisation of the Hajj health certification process

<p>Before hajj health examination 6 - 7 months before departure</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pilgrims receive Hajj offer letter; together with; (i) preliminary health screening form (Figure 1) (ii) Hajj pilgrim's treatment record book (BRRJH) 2. Compulsory training of doctors; using standardised modules prepared by the Centre of Disease Control, MOH 3. Optional pilgrims courses: 16 educational sessions including one on health
<p>During hajj health examination at centralised or individual primary care clinics Within 3 - 4 months period</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clinical evaluation and consultation 2. Outcome of examination; (i) pass, (ii) fail or (iii) refer to family medicine specialist (FMS) or to specialised disciplines at tertiary centres for further evaluation 3. Meningococcal vaccination for pilgrims who pass
<p>After hajj health examination At individual primary care clinics Up to 1 month before departure</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Re-evaluation of referred cases 2. Outcome of re-evaluation; (i) pass or (ii) fail 3. Meningococcal vaccination for pilgrims who pass

Table 2 : Observations related to organisation of Hajj health examination in public primary care clinics (n=11)

	Clinic 1	Clinic 2	Clinic 3	Clinic 4	Clinic 5	Clinic 6	Clinic 7	Clinic 8	Clinic 9	Clinic 10	Clinic 11
Health examination time frame	7 days	1-day	2 days	1-day	Until no more pilgrims came	1-day	2-days	Half working day	Until no more pilgrims came	5 days	1 day
Examination day	Weekdays	Saturday	Saturday and Sunday	Saturday	Weekdays	Saturday	Saturday and Sunday	Weekdays	Weekday	5 consecutive Sundays	Saturday
Specific time	8:30am - 10:30am	8am - until no more pilgrims attended	8am - 2pm	8am - 1pm	8.30am - 10.30am	8am, 11am, 2pm	Half day from 8 am	6 pilgrims/30 minutes	Amidst normal clinic	9am -12pm	Until no more pilgrims attended
Organisation (centralised / decentralised)	Centralised	Centralised	Centralised	Centralised	Decentralised	Centralised	Centralised	Centralised	Decentralised -	Centralised	Centralised
No. of pilgrims (load)	Total 118 20 slots/day	Total 324	Total 252 Day 1 - 263 Day 2 - 116	Total 100-150	Maximum 20 pilgrims/day	Total 170	Total 100	60 pilgrims/day	Average 7 pilgrims/day	Total 200	Total 280
Staff (same clinic / deployed from other clinics)	Same Clinic staff and deployed from 6 other clinics Categories: HFB staff FMS Doctors SN MLT Radiographer	Clinic staff and deployed staff from other clinics Categories: HFB staff FMS Doctors SN MLT	Clinic staff and deployed staff from other clinics Categories: FMS Doctors SN MA MLT	Clinic staff and deployed staff from other clinics Categories: HFB staff District health officer FMS Doctors SN MA MLT	Clinic staff and some doctors were deployed from other clinics Categories: FMS Doctors SN MA MLT Radiographer	Clinic staff and some doctors were deployed from other clinics Categories: FMS Doctors SN MA MLT	Clinic staff and some doctors were deployed from other clinics Categories: FMS Doctors SN MA MLT	Clinic staff and some doctors were deployed from other clinics Categories: FMS Doctors SN MA MLT Radiographer	Clinic staff Categories: FMS Doctors SN MA MLT Radiographer	Clinic staff and some doctors were deployed from other clinics Categories: FMS Doctors SN MA MLT	Clinic staff and some doctors were deployed from other clinics Categories: FMS Doctors SN MA MLT
Hajj health examination venue (separated / combined from outpatient service)	Separated	Separated	Separated (from extended hour outpatient clinic which ran on Saturday)	Same waiting area with outpatient pool	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated	Integrated with usual outpatient pool	Separated	Separated
Hajj health examination process (separated / combined from)	Indicated 'Hajj pilgrims 2019' at the printed queue number	Two registration tracks (special queue for senior citizens)	Separated (from extended hour clinic which ran on Saturday)	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated	Combined with outpatient pool	Separated	Separated

outpatient service)											
Stations	<p>1. Common registration counter</p> <p>2. Vital signs / anthropometry / check documents</p> <p>3. Special test – lab, ECG, CXR</p> <p>4. Doctor consultations</p> <p>5. Vaccination</p>	<p>1. Health screening questionnaire (clinic KPI)</p> <p>2. Registration</p> <p>3. Vital signs / anthropometry</p> <p>4. Special test – lab, ECG, CXR</p> <p>5. Doctor consultations</p> <p>6. Vaccination</p>	<p>1. Registration</p> <p>2. Vital signs / anthropometry</p> <p>3. SSKM-20</p> <p>4. Special tests – lab, ECG, CXR</p> <p>5. Doctor consultations</p>	<p>Signs and checklist prepared for staff and patients easy referral</p> <p>2. Registration - HFB counter (check completeness of documents)</p> <p>3. Staff ran through BRRJH to check for special tests indication like ECG, CXR</p> <p>4. Vital signs / anthropometry</p> <p>5. Tests counter for RBS, Hb, ABO grouping</p> <p>6. Doctor consultations</p>	<p>1. Special registration counter</p> <p>2. Vital signs / anthropometry</p> <p>3. Special doctor's consultation rooms</p> <p>4. Special test – lab, ECG, CXR</p> <p>5. Referral - to FMS or follow-up for certain cases</p> <p>6. Vaccination</p>	<p>2 zones with same work process</p> <p>1. HFB counter</p> <p>2. Registration</p> <p>3. Vital signs / anthropometry</p> <p>4. ECAQ/PEFR counter</p> <p>5. Doctor consultations</p> <p>6. Special test – lab, ECG, CXR</p> <p>7. Vaccination</p>	<p>1. 3 registration lines:</p> <p>i. Vital signs / anthropometry</p> <p>ii. ECG, CXR</p> <p>iii. Hb, RBS, ABO grouping</p> <p>2. Pap smear for all married women above 40 years old</p> <p>3. Doctor consultations</p> <p>4. Vaccination</p>	<p>Common registration</p> <p>Special stations</p> <p>Station 1: Vital signs/ anthropometry</p> <p>Station 2: Lab, ECG/CXR</p> <p>Station 3: Consultation rooms</p> <p>Station 4: Vaccination</p> <p>Station 5 : Senior MO checked completeness of documentation</p>	<p>1. Common registration</p> <p>2. Screening room - no queue number to enter - check completeness of documentation - queue number for blood test and for doctor consultations</p> <p>3. Patients followed normal clinic workflow</p> <p>4. Vaccination – if passed</p>	<p>1. At the entrance - check completeness of required forms & BRRJH</p> <p>2. Registration - 2 tracks with same process</p> <p>Each track:</p> <p>- vital signs counter</p> <p>- 5 rooms (1-2 doctors each room)</p> <p>- 1 FMS</p> <p>3. Special test – lab, ECG, CXR</p> <p>4. Vaccination – if passed</p>	<p>1. Registration</p> <p>2. Form and BRRJH into a box</p> <p>3. Screening room - vital signs and check BRRJH if need certain tests</p> <p>4. Doctor consultations</p> <p>5. Vaccination</p> <p>6. HFB counter (check completeness of documentation after examination)</p>
Investigations	<p>1. Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests (glucose, ABO grouping, Hb, urinalysis, OGTT)</p>	<p>1. Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p> <p>2. Ordered but not available – Spirometry</p>	<p>Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p>	<p>Available</p> <p>- ECG (1 was loaned from another clinic)</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p>	<p>Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p>	<p>Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p>	<p>1. Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p> <p>2. Special test - pap smear for all married women above 40 years old</p>	<p>Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p>	<p>Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p>	<p>Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p>	<p>Available</p> <p>- ECG</p> <p>- CXR</p> <p>- Lab tests</p>
Referral/follow-up	<p>1. FMS</p> <p>2. Hospital specialists</p> <p>3. For review - patients given some time to optimise control</p>	<p>1. FMS</p> <p>2. Hospital specialists</p> <p>3. For review - patients given some time to optimise control</p>	<p>1. FMS</p> <p>2. Hospital specialists</p> <p>3. For review - patients given some time to optimise control</p>	<p>Referred mainly to FMS - resolved most issues</p>	<p>Follow-up for review - patients given some time to optimise control</p> <p>Some patients had to return</p>	<p>1. FMS</p> <p>2. Follow-up for review - patients given some time to optimise control</p>	<p>Referred mainly to FMS - resolved most issues</p>	<p>1. FMS</p> <p>2. Follow-up for review - patients given some time to optimise</p>	<p>More patients had to return multiple times to clinic for unresolved problem – most were not passed during first encounter</p>	<p>1. FMS</p> <p>2. For review - patients given some time to optimise</p> <p>3. For certain investigations at hospital</p>	<p>Referred mainly to FMS - resolved most issues</p>

	4. For certain investigations at hospital	4. To another clinic for long-term follow-up 5. For certain investigations at hospital	4. To another clinic for long-term follow-up 5. For certain investigations at hospital		multiple times to clinic for unresolved problem	3. To another clinic for long-term follow-up					
Continuity of care (COC)	Follow-up for review - patients given some time to optimise control.	No COC for most patients Referred to their clinics mostly for medications or investigations	Follow-up for review - patients given some time to optimise control No COC for some patients	Referred to another clinic for long-term follow-up No COC for some patients	Follow-up for review - patients given some time to optimise control	Referred to another clinic for long-term follow-up No COC for some patients	No COC for some patients	Follow-up for review - patients given some time to optimise Patients required individualised care were referred to their respective clinic	Follow-up same clinic	No COC for many patients Referred to their clinics mostly for medications or investigations	No COC for many patients Referred to their clinics mostly for medications or investigations
Other remarks/special observations	Some doctors were unaware of preliminary health screening form attached to the back of BRRJH and repeated certain investigations again	Doctors were briefed before the examination activities started No previous medical records brought/ traced from other centres Documentations on both BRRJH and outpatient records (double entry)	No previous medical records brought/traced from other centres	No previous medical records brought/traced from other centres	Few patients had records from other clinic	No previous medical records brought/traced from other centres	Preliminary health screening did not work well (blood tests, specialist opinions and medications list unavailable) Often preliminary health screening form not brought by patients		No previous medical records brought/traced from other centres Doctor did not know how to handle PSH		

Table 3 : Observation related to respiratory health and asthma care in public primary care clinics(n=11)

	Clinic 1	Clinic 2	Clinic 3	Clinic 4	Clinic 5	Clinic 6	Clinic 7	Clinic 8	Clinic 9	Clinic 10	Clinic 11
PFM/nomogram¹⁸/spirometry	No PFM No handheld spirometry	Children PFM (used for adults) No nomogram One handheld spirometry (but not used) PEFR was performed by MA in the treatment room by the doctor's order.	PFM available but not in all rooms Nomogram (on the wall) available in all rooms No handheld spirometry PEFR was checked 3 times	PFM available No nomogram, No handheld spirometry	PFM available No nomogram, No handheld spirometry PEFR were performed by doctor (technique was inconsistent and improper; no referral to nomogram) One MO performed PEFR on most patients even without asthma	PFM available Nomogram, available No handheld spirometry One patient – PEFR done twice, one sitting and one standing One patient – low PEFR reading was regarded as poor patient's technique	PFM available No nomogram, No handheld spirometry PEFR not done to all asthma patients Some patients PEFR done for two times only (no third reading)	PFM available No nomogram, No handheld spirometry	PFM available No nomogram, No handheld spirometry	PFM available No nomogram, No handheld spirometry PEFR not done to all asthmatics example those with good control, not on MDI and children	PFM available No nomogram No handheld spirometry Doctor referred to nomogram in the phone
Consultation (history-taking)	Not applicable	Last attack, allergy, comorbidity Doctors assessed asthma control by asking symptoms based on individual understanding and/or referred to the Hajj health examination and/or GINA guideline	Onset of asthma, medications used, asthma attack for past week, exercise tolerance and triggers Doctors assessed asthma control based on GINA guideline.	Last attack, triggers, MDI use, history of admission Lack of primary care consultation skills	Symptoms, last attack, MDI use Lack of primary care consultation skills	Last attack, triggers, symptoms, MDI use	Last attack, MDI use, triggers History relevant to asthma control was not taken properly by one MO who almost passed a patient with history of life-threatening asthma	Symptoms, last attack, MDI use	Symptoms, last attack, MDI use	Last attack, MDI use, triggers	Last attack, medications compliance

<p>Consultation (physical examination)</p>	<p>Inconsistent and poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes</p>	<p>Adequate general and respiratory system examination</p>	<p>Inconsistent and poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes</p>	<p>Inconsistent and poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes</p>	<p>Inconsistent and poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes</p>	<p>Inconsistent and poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes</p> <p>No examination bed</p> <p>There was a doctor who did not examine one patient at all but passed the patient based on normal CXR</p>	<p>Poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes.</p> <p>Some MO did no examination unless problem detected in the book but marked the examination as normal</p>	<p>Inconsistent and poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes</p>	<p>Inconsistent and poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes, no attempt to lift head cover, lack of optimisation</p>	<p>Inconsistent and poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes</p>	<p>Inconsistent and poor examination technique, auscultation over clothes</p>
<p>Assessment and optimisation of control</p>	<p>Lack of communication with patients, no motivation for patients for self-management and promotion</p>	<p>One asthma patient was sent for CXR</p> <p>One patient with uncontrolled asthma was referred to FMS, then referred for spirometry at hospital and given appointment for review.</p> <p>Some doctors were uncertain about management of uncontrolled asthma, did no step-up treatment and patients were referred to FMS or other clinic for review.</p>	<p>Medications/M DI compliance and asthma control was not consistently emphasised.</p> <p>Most patients with uncontrolled asthma were given some period of time for optimisation before were passed.</p> <p>Some doctors focused more on other chronic disease like hypertension and kidney-related for optimisation, control and reassessment.</p>	<p>No structured and inaccurate assessment of control on few patients</p> <p>Some doctors were uncertain about management of uncontrolled asthma, did no step-up treatment and patients were referred to FMS after which step-up treatment was provided. This patient was referred for long-term follow-up at another clinic.</p>	<p>Poor communication, did not assess using GINA, focus on other chronic diagnosis care; lack of management of asthma, tend to refer to FMS for clinical decision</p>	<p>There was a patient referred to other clinic for long-term follow-up</p> <p>Poor clinical judgement (unsure if patient has COPD), did not check asthma control, lack optimisation, aim to certify only.</p> <p>One asthma patient – was informed of well-controlled asthma despite attack past 1 week, only can walk 1 flight of stairs and no further investigation was carried out</p>	<p>Important asthma control points were not consistently assessed</p> <p>MDI technique was not checked</p> <p>Few patients with uncontrolled asthma were referred for CXR.</p> <p>Some patients' control was optimised by step-up treatment while others were referred to FMS</p> <p>More focused on other chronic disease like stroke</p>	<p>Lack of optimisation of control</p> <p>Care more for chronic disease</p>	<p>Lack of optimisation of control</p>	<p>MDI technique was not checked to all asthmatic patients (only for poorly controlled or if to refer to FMS).</p> <p>There was a patient referred for spirometry at hospital</p> <p>There was a practice of step-up asthma treatment.</p>	<p>No optimisation of a patient with poorly controlled asthma or referred to FMS but failed the patient</p>

<p>Preventive measures for attacks</p>	<p>No specific advice on asthma Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>	<p>Some doctors were uncertain about frequency and intervals of vaccines; some doctors did not enquire about vaccination</p>	<p>Pilgrims advised not to forget to bring inhalers Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>	<p>No specific advice on asthma Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>	<p>No specific advice on asthma Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>	<p>No specific advice on asthma prevention given Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>	<p>Advised patients to wear mask during pilgrimage as dust might trigger asthma. Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>	<p>Some patients were informed about triggers at Saudi Arabia and advised to wear mask. Other general advices were given too. Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>	<p>No specific advice on asthma Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>	<p>No specific advice on asthma Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>	<p>No specific advice on asthma Optional vaccinations (influenza, pneumococcal) were encouraged at private clinic</p>
---	---	--	--	--	--	---	---	---	--	--	--


Table 4 : Observations related to organisation of Hajj health examination in private primary care clinics (n=2)

	GP 1	GP 2
Screening period / day	Any day during screening period - Appointment or walk-in	Any day during screening period - Appointment or walk-in
Specific time	No	No
Organisation (centralised / decentralised)	Not applicable	Not applicable
No. of pilgrims	Total 3 - 2 by appointments, 1 walked in Pilgrim with asthma - 1	Total 2 - walked in Pilgrim with asthma - 0
Staff (same clinic / deployed from)	1 eligible doctor Staff from the same clinic	3 eligible doctors Staff from the same clinic
Place (separated / combined)	Conducted in treatment room Locum doctor runs the usual clinic	Combined with outpatient pool
Process	As part of normal clinic operation	As part of normal clinic operation
Stations / Space	No special stations	No special stations
Investigations	Available - ECG, CXR Lab tests - Send to private lab Few options of packages	Available - ECG, CXR Lab tests - Send to private lab Few options of packages
Referral / follow-up	Follow-up at the clinic No outside referral	Referred to patients' usual clinics mostly for medications/investigations
Continuity of care	None for most patients	None for most patients

Table 5 : Observations related to respiratory health and asthma care in private primary care clinics (n=2)

	GP 1	GP 2
PFM/ nomogram/ spirometry	PFM available No nomogram No handheld spirometry PEFR was done with correct technique (but 3 readings were not referred to nomogram)	PFM available No nomogram No handheld spirometry
Consultation (history-taking)	Last attack, symptoms / fitness, medication / MDI use, follow-up, and allergy	Not applicable (no asthma pilgrims during observation)
Consultation (physical examination)	Respiratory examination: adequately done	Respiratory examination: adequately done
Assessment and optimisation of control	Optimisation of control: none, asthma control was good for both patients	Optimisation of control: not applicable
Preventive measures for attacks	General advice (to bring medications, check expiry, diet control and exercise) Optional vaccination available and encouraged (Influenza, pneumococcal)	General advice (prevent dehydration)

Figure 1 : Translated version of preliminary health screening form

FOR PILGRIMS USE BEFORE HAJJ HEATH EXAMINATION	
	
<u>PILGRIM'S HEALTH PRELIMINARY SCREENING FORM</u> (To be filled up by the examining specialist/medical officer)	
NAME	: _____
IDENDITIY CARD NO	: _____
PLACE OF EXAMINATION	: _____
DATE OF EXAMINATION	: _____
TESTS	
BP : _____ mmHg	PR : _____ bpm
	RBS : _____ mmol/L
	Hb : _____ g/dL
(Other relevant tests : please state)	
Diagnosis	:
Treatment	:
Notes	:
Signature	_____
Stamp	:
Name	:
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This form is used for early health screening before the actual health examination2. Pilgrims who do not have any disease can bring the form to any health facility for the early screening3. Pilgrims who have disease(s) and under treatment, please bring this form to the clinic/hospital where treatment is received4. This form must be kept by pilgrims and brought along during health examination and attached in the Hajj examination book	

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the MOH and HFB which permitted the conduct of this study and National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) RESPIRE Global Health Research Unit for funding this project. Also thank you to Dr Jayakatri, Ong Woon May and NurSyuhada Sukri for their assistance in this project.

Ethics approval

This study received permission from the State Health Department directors and ethics approval from the National Medical Research Register - Medical Research and Ethics Committee (NMRR-MREC) (NMRR-18-2997-43555), from the MOH and from the sponsor: Academic and Clinical Central Office for Research and Development (ACCORD) ethics committee, United Kingdom.

Contributors

This work was carried out in collaboration with all authors. AS and HP contributed to the conceptualisation of this study, study design and the writing of the manuscript. SML and EMK contributed to the conceptualisation, study design, data collection, data analysis and the writing of the manuscript. RR, NSH, NH, PYL, SSG, ATC, AIAB, AAS and SA contributed to the data collection, data analysis and the writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Collaborators

The RESPIRE collaboration comprises the UK grant holders, partners and research teams as listed on the RESPIRE website (www.ed.ac.uk/usher/respire) including Sian Williams.

Funding

This research was commissioned by the UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Global Health Research Unit on Respiratory Health (RESPIRE), using UK Aid from the UK Government, grant no. IF028-2018. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the UK Department of Health and Social Care.

Competing interests

This study is written on behalf of the RESPIRE collaborators. E.M.K. reports grant from the National Institute for Health Research Global Health Research Unit on Respiratory Health (RESPIRE) and Seqirus UK; personal fees from AstraZeneca and GlaxoSmithKline; and is board director of the International Primary Care Respiratory Group. Other authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and public have helped in developing and shaping the research questions and design of this research.