

An analysis of Public Health England's vaccination data for care home workers immediately preceding a 'Roadmap out of Covid-19 Lockdown'

Paula Swift¹

Lisa Bunn²

Affiliations:

1 Mount Gould Hospital, Livewell Southwest

2 Faculty of Health, University of Plymouth

Background

On March 8th 2021 a 'Roadmap out of Covid-19 Lockdown' was activated, informed by Public Health England's (PHE) surveillance and open access reporting of infection and vaccination rates.¹ The PHE open dataset used for the 'Roadmap' was published on 25th February 2021, and included total number of 1st and 2nd vaccinations in England and vaccination count of all older adult care home workers.²

Children returned to school, based on the government's impression that vaccination rates for people in the priority levels were sufficient.¹

Acknowledging the vulnerability of older adult care home residents to Covid-19, the Scientific Advisory Group (SAGE) recommended an 80% vaccine uptake in older adult care home workers. Whilst the rationale behind the 80% remains largely unreported, it was felt to be a critical factor in achieving the aims of the vaccination programme.³ These being, to open up society, prevent healthcare from becoming overwhelmed and to reduce severe illness.⁴ By 25th February all older adult care home workers had been offered a first vaccination and a decision had been made to proceed with the 'Roadmap'. An assumption could therefore be made that over 80% of older adult care home workers in all regions, regardless of the infection rate had at this point been vaccinated with at least a single vaccination. It is however plausible that care home workers in regions of high infection rates, particularly in the North West and Midlands, may have a vaccination rate in excess of 80%. In this commentary we analyse the 25th February data from PHE to test these theories and explore the following questions(Q):

Q1 What was the percentage first vaccine uptake by older adult care home staff across England immediately ahead of the roadmap out of lockdown?

Q2 Did this consistently achieve 80% in all regions of England?

Q3 Was there a difference in vaccine uptake across regions in England?

Analysis

Data from the 25th February 2021 PHE dataset was imported from an Excel workbook (Microsoft Office, 2019) to SPSS Statistics, version 25 (IBM Corp., N.Y., USA) and coded for analysis. Errors were checked and none detected following import. A new variable, the percentage first vaccine uptake by care home workers in England, was calculated (Equation 1).

Equation 1: Calculating a percentage vaccination uptake measure

$$\% \text{ vaccination uptake} = \frac{\text{No. of care home staff in England receiving 1st vaccination}}{\text{No. of care home staff in England eligible for 1st vaccination}} \times 100$$

Care home staff who had tested positive for Covid-19 (within 28 days) were excluded to ensure that only staff eligible for the vaccine were included in the calculation. The percentage uptake of first vaccination was calculated by region and visualised descriptively using cross-tabulation and bar charting methods.

The following null hypothesis was tested to explore whether region was associated with vaccination uptake (research Q3):

Textbox 1: Null hypothesis for research question 3

Ho = There is no association between vaccine uptake rates in care home workers and the region in which they work.

Binary data per region (vaccination received; yes=1, no 2), enabled 2 x 2 contingency tables to be drawn to test the null hypothesis. Tests of association against a 'benchmark' region with the vaccination uptake closest to the 80% SAGE recommendation were then explored.

Non-parametric inferential statistics were used to identify any statistical association between vaccination uptake in each region against the 'benchmark', namely the Pearson chi-square test (χ^2) and Yate's Correction for Continuity, compensating for the over-estimate of chi-square.⁵ Effect size was measured using the Phi coefficient and assessed against Cohen's criteria of effect size.⁶ Since Phi coefficient can be affected by unequal response frequencies,⁷ measure of effect size for any significant association reported $p < 0.05$ were presented conservatively as univariate odds ratios.⁸

Findings

Approximately four-hundred and forty-four thousand care home workers in England were identified as eligible for Covid-19 first vaccination. The uptake of first vaccination was 72.6% ($n=322534$), which is 7.4% ($n=32871$) short of the 80% care home worker target value set by SAGE2 (Table 1).

Across the 7 main regions of England. Uptake rate was highest in the North East and Yorkshire (77.1%, n=56012) and lowest in London (55.1%, n=18733). Whilst there was a reasonable consistency between 6 of the regions, with vaccination uptake ranging from 70.1-77.1%, London was 14.9% below this lower range. None of the regions achieved the recommended 80% vaccination uptake (Figure 1). Three regions were within 5% of this target, including the North East (-2.9%), South West (-4.9%) and Midlands (-4.9%).

The North East was used as the 'Benchmark' region against which to test the research Q3 null hypothesis. Table 2 outlines the Chi squared analysis of difference (χ^2) between the North East and all other regions, as well as effect size by Phi coefficient and Cohen's criteria.⁶ The effect size using Phi was greatest between the North East and East regions (-.077), rather than the North East and London, suggesting that the results may be affected by unequal response frequencies, since there were less care home workers in some regions.⁷ Univariate odds ratios, considered a more stable measure of effect size,⁸ reported a reduction in the odds of first vaccination uptake across all regions compared to the North East. Individuals were just over 1/3 as likely to be vaccinated in London compared to the North East (OR 0.36, 95% CI 0.35-0.37). Whilst in the South West care home workers were only slightly less likely to be vaccinated, compared to those in the North East (OR 0.90, 95% CI 0.87-0.92). On balance, this data acts to reject the null hypothesis that there was no difference in vaccination uptake across regions of England.

Interpretation

This analysis identifies that at the point of activating England's 'Roadmap out of lockdown', just under 3/4 of older adult care home workers eligible had received a Covid-19 vaccination (72.6%).

In view of the widely reported media coverage of the vulnerability of care home residents and negative impact that Covid-19 has had on care homes,⁹ it was anticipated by the authors that vaccine uptake would have been high, in excess of the SAGE target in regions where the infection rate was highest. However, none of the regions met the SAGE care home vaccination target of 80%² and whilst the North East and Yorkshire, known to have a particularly high infection rate, did indeed

possess the highest rate of vaccination uptake, regional variation in uptake was significant ($p < 0.001$). The difference in regional uptake continued into May 2021, with 55.2% of care home workers in London having received both doses of Covid-19 vaccination, compared with 73.2% in the north east regions.¹⁵ This analysis of PHE open data supports the need to explore factors important to vaccine uptake to guide future public health strategies in this social care sector.¹⁰ London and the South East demonstrated a slow initial roll-out across all early groups offered the vaccine, however, vaccine hesitancy was found to be high in older adult care home workers in London, particularly amongst those in BAME groups¹⁷, providing some explanation around the regional differences observed within the data. Examples of hesitancy included mistrust, complacency, a lack of sufficient information, sociodemographic factors, logistical barriers, and ethnicity.¹⁶ It is important to highlight that the 80% vaccination target set by SAGE² is guidance only. Research focussing on vaccine hesitancy appears to accept the validity of this fundamental guidance. However, without an evaluation of the consequences of not following the guidance, or an evaluation of the evidence base that underpins this guidance, the precision of an 80% vaccination rate in epidemic management is difficult to assess, and would itself benefit from further research.

Strategic attempts to increase vaccination uptake amongst older adult care home workers initially included targeted programmes aimed at ensuring accessibility of vaccines to care home workers and offering communications to increase their knowledge and understanding of the benefits of vaccination.¹⁰ Vaccination amongst older adult care home workers will be mandated from 11th November 2021.¹⁰ The evidence-base underpinning this decision to effectively increase the vaccination rate from 80 to 100% in the care home sector remains uncertain. Mandated vaccination could explain the recent increase in fully vaccinated staff across all regions to 88.9%, with London still having the lowest uptake at 87.5%.¹⁸ Of note, whilst the percentage vaccination rate rose, the percentage eligible are no longer reported and the total number of staff across England fell by 4259 (between 25th February and 28th October 2021), with a loss of 830 from the London region alone.¹⁸ Without eligibility status or underlying reasons for persistent vaccine hesitancy being determined for the remaining 11.1% of un-vaccinated workers, this could lead to large numbers of staff leaving the care

home workforce, increasing the staffing crisis within this sector and impacting patient safety further.¹⁹

It is impossible to now explore whether 'softer' measures, such as education and accessibility of vaccines, particularly for ethnic groups could be as effective as mandatory vaccination within England's older adult care home sector but the impact of mandatory vaccination across all regions now clearly warrants an evaluation to understand the impact on employment and retention rates.

Disclosure statement:**Funding:**

This research received no funding other than staff time funded by the University of Plymouth.

Ethical approval

No ethical approval was necessary for this analysis as it utilises data sourced from public open access databases.

Acknowledgements

None to report

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 GOV.UK. COVID-19 Response - Spring 2021 (Summary)
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-response-spring-2021/covid-19-response-spring-2021-summary> (Accessed 8 Aug 2021).
- 2 NHS. Covid-19 Vaccinations Archive: COVID-19 weekly announced vaccinations 25 February 2021 <https://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/covid-19-vaccinations/covid-19-vaccinations-archive/> (Accessed 17 May 2021).
- 3 DHSC (Department of Health and Social Care). Consultation launched on staff COVID-19 vaccines in care homes with older adult residents, Coronavirus: rules, guidance and support. <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/consultation-launched-on-staff-covid-19-vaccines-in-care-homes-with-older-adult-residents> (Accessed 19 October 2021).
- 4 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Objectives of vaccination strategies against Covid-19. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publicationsdata/objectives-vaccination-strategies-against-covid-19#> (Accessed 18 Aug 2021).
- 5 Franke, T., Ho, T. and Christie, C. 'The chi-square test: often used and more often misinterpreted'. *Am J Eval.* 2012;33(3): 448–458
DOI:10.1177/1098214011426594.
- 6 Cohen, J. (1988) *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. 2nd Edition. 2nd edn. New York: Routledge.
- 7 Zysno, P. V. The modification of the Phi-coefficient reducing its dependence on the marginal distributions. *MPR-online.* 1997;2(1): 41–52.
- 8 Szumilas, M. Explaining the odds ratio, *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2010;19(3): 227–229. DOI:10.1136/bmj.c4414.
- 9 Scobie, S. Covid-19 and the deaths of care home residents.
<https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/news-item/covid-19-and-the-deaths-of-care-homeresidents>
(Accessed 18 Aug 2021).
- 10 DHSC (Department of Health and Social Care). *Making vaccination a condition of deployment in older adult care homes.*
<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/making-vaccination-a-condition-ofdeployment->

in-older-adult-care-homes/making-vaccination-a-condition-ofdeployment-
in-older-adult-care-homes (Accessed 18 Aug 2021).

11 The OpenSAFELY Collaborative: MacKenna, B., Curtis, H.J., Morton C.E. et al.

Trends, regional variation, and clinical characteristics of COVID-19 vaccine recipients: a retrospective cohort study in 23.4 million patients using OpenSAFELY, *medRxiv* 2021.01.25.21250356; 10.1101/2021.01.25.21250356

12 Martin, C.A., Marshall C., Patel, P. *et al.* Association of demographic and occupational factors with SARS-CoV-2 vaccine uptake in a multi-ethnic UK

healthcare workforce: a rapid real-world analysis, *medRxiv* 2021.02.11.21251548.

DOI:10.1101/2021.02.11.21251548.

13. NHS (National Health Service). *NHS confirms Covid jab now offered at every eligible care home in England.* <https://www.england.nhs.uk/2021/02/nhs-confirms-covid-jab-now-offered-at-every-eligible-care-home-in-england/> (Accessed 17 Oct 2021).

14. DHSC (Department of Health and Social Care). *Adult social care monthly statistics, England: October 2021.* <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/adult-social-care-in-england-monthly-statistics-october-2021/adult-social-care-monthly-statistics-england-october-2021> (Accessed 21 Oct 2021).

15. DHSC (Department of Health and Social Care). *Adult social care monthly statistics, England: June 2021.* <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/adult-social-care-in-england-monthly-statistics-june-2021/adult-social-care-monthly-statistics-england-june-2021> (Accessed 21 Oct 2021).

16. Hemmings, N., Oung, C., Ettelt, S. et al. Evidence summary: strategies to support uptake of Covid-19 vaccinations among staff working in care homes. LTCcovid.org evidence summary. <https://ltccovid.org/2021/05/25/evidence-summary-strategies-to-support-uptake-of-covid-19-vaccinations-among-staff-working-in-social-care-settings/> (Accessed 17 Oct 2021).

17. Healthwatch Bexley. Care home staff Covid-19 vaccine hesitancy report: February 2021. https://www.healthwatch.co.uk/sites/healthwatch.co.uk/files/reports-library/20210310_Bexley_Care%20home%20staff%20Covid19%20vaccination%20hesitancy%20report.pdf (Accessed 17 Oct 2021)

18. NHS England. *COVID-19 Vaccinations and COVID-19 Vaccinations Archive: Weekly statistics: COVID-19 weekly announced vaccinations 28 October 2021.*

<https://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/covid-19-vaccinations/>

(Accessed 28 Oct 2021)

19.Limb, M. Compulsory covid vaccination: Loss of care home workers puts beds and care at risk, warn unions and providers. *BMJ*. 2021;374(n2318): 1. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.n2318

Table 1: Summary Covid-19 vaccination figures for care home workers by region in England immediately preceding the 'Roadmap out of Covid-19 Lockdown' implementation

Region in England	Eligible Population (n)	Vaccinated (n)	Unvaccinated (n)	Vaccination uptake in eligible population %	Percentage required to achieve 80% %
North West	58871	41754	17117	70.1	9.7
North East and Yorkshire	72627	56012	16615	77.1	2.9
Midlands	89041	66857	22184	75.1	4.9
London	34001	18733	15268	55.1	24.9
East	52358	36798	15560	70.3	9.7
South East	79965	59317	20648	74.2	5.8
South West	57337	43063	14274	75.1	4.9
Total	444,200	322,534	121666	72.6	7.4

Table 2: Vaccination uptake by region using North East England and Yorkshire as a Benchmark

Region	Odds Ratio	Confidence Interval (CI)	p Value (χ^2)	Effect Size (Phi)
North West	0.72	0.71 - 0.74	<0.001	-0.71
Midlands	0.89	0.87 - 0.92	<0.001	-0.24
London	0.36	0.35 - 0.37	<0.001	-0.22
East	0.70	0.68 - 0.72	<0.001	-0.77
South East	0.85	0.83 - 0.87	<0.001	-0.34
South West	0.90	0.87 - 0.92	<0.001	-0.24




Figure 1: Percentage first vaccination uptake in care home staff by region in England immediately preceding the 'Roadmap out of Covid-19 Lockdown' implementation, plus 80% recommended vaccine uptake rate reference line²

