ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Higher anticholinergic burden from medications is associated with significant increase in markers of inflammation in the EPIC-Norfolk prospective population-based cohort study

Ria Sanghavi¹ | Tiberiu A. Pana^{2,3} | Hulkar Mamayusupova⁴ | Ian Maidment⁵ | Chris Fox⁴ | S. Matthijs Boekholdt⁶ | Mamas A. Mamas⁷ | Nicholas J. Wareham⁸ | Kay-Tee Khaw⁹ | Phyo K. Myint^{2,3}

¹College of Life Sciences, University of Leicester, UK

²Aberdeen Diabetes and Cardiovascular Centre, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences & Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, UK

³Ageing Clinical and Experimental Research Team, University of Aberdeen, UK

⁴Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

⁵Pharmaceutical & Clinical Pharmacy Research Group, Aston University, Birmingham, UK

⁶Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam University Medical Centre, location AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁷Keele Cardiovascular Research Group, Keele University, Stoke-on-Trent, UK

⁸MRC Epidemiology Unit, Cambridge, UK

⁹Clinical Gerontology Unit, Department of Public Health & Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Correspondence

Professor Phyo Kyaw Myint, Room 4:013, Polwarth Building, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, Scotland, UK. Email: phyo.myint@abdn.ac.uk

Funding information

Cancer Research UK, Grant/Award Number: C864/A14136; Medical Research Council, Grant/Award Numbers: MC-UU_12015/1, MR/N003284/1 **Background:** Higher medication anticholinergic burden is associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease and cognitive decline. A mechanistic pathway has not been established. We aimed to determine whether inflammation may mediate these associations.

Methods: Participants were drawn from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer, Norfolk cohort (40-79 years at baseline). Anticholinergic burden score (ACB) was calculated at first (1HC) (1993/97) and second (2HC) (1998/2000) health checks. Fibrinogen and C-reactive protein (CRP) were measured during 1HC and tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) and interleukin 6 (IL-6) during 2HC. Cross-sectional associations between ACB and inflammatory markers were examined for both health checks. Prospective associations were also examined between 1HC ACB and 2HC inflammatory markers. Models were adjusted for age, sex, lifestyle factors, comorbidities and medications.

Results: In total, 17 678 and 22 051 participants were included in cross-sectional analyses for CRP, and fibrinogen, respectively. Furthermore, 5101 participants with data on TNF- α and IL-6 were included in the prospective analyses. Cross-sectionally, compared to ACB = 0, ACB ≥ 4 was associated with higher fibrinogen, beta (95% confidence interval) = 0.134 g/L (0.070, 0.199), CRP 1.175 mg/L (0.715, 1.634), IL-6 0.593 pg/mL (0.254, 0.932) and TNF- α 0.137 pg/mL (0.033, 0.241). In addition, a point increase in ACB was associated with higher levels of all markers. Prospectively, compared to ACB = 0, ACB ≥ 4 was associated with higher IL-6(pg/mL) of 0.019 (-0.323, 0.361) and TNF- α (pg/mL) of 0.202% (0.81, 0.323). A unit increase in ACB was associated with a significantly higher TNF- α and IL-6.

Conclusion: Higher ACB was associated with higher inflammatory markers. Inflammation may mediate the relationship between anticholinergic medications and adverse outcomes.

KEYWORDS

anticholinergics, cardiovascular diseases, C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor-alpha

1 | INTRODUCTION

Anticholinergic medications block the effect of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine by inhibiting muscarinic receptors¹ and are increasingly being prescribed to older people for common conditions including asthma, urinary incontinence and dementia.² Anticholinergic effects are present in drugs that are extensively used, such as antiemetics, antihistamines, antihypertensives and tricyclic antidepressants.³ If one or more of such medications are taken, they can cause excessive anticholinergic effects due to their cumulative effects, a phenomenon also known as anticholinergic burden.⁴

Older people are at a particularly higher risk of anticholinergic complications due to (a) increased risk of polypharmacy including medications with anticholinergic properties, (b) age-related reductions in central cholinergic pathways and (c) decreases in the renal and hepatic clearance of drugs.⁴ It was suggested that 48% of the ageing population may take one or more anticholinergic medications.⁵ Higher anticholinergic burden (ACB) scores are also associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality,^{6,7} dementia⁸ and adverse effects in cognitive and physical function.⁹ Nevertheless, potential mechanistic pathways between these associations have not been identified.

Inflammation plays an important role in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease¹⁰⁻¹² and dementia.¹³ Raised inflammatory markers have also been linked to depression¹⁴ and functional decline in older people.¹⁵ The vagus nerve may mediate inflammation through the inflammatory reflex.¹⁶ Previous preclinical data suggest that central muscarinic-dependent vagal activation contributes to the downregulation of inflammatory responses.¹⁷

We therefore hypothesised that increased anticholinergic burden leads to increased inflammation by blocking the central muscarinic-dependent vagal activation. This may mediate the previously described relationships between anticholinergic burden and adverse outcomes. No previous investigations have assessed the relationship between antimuscarinic activity and inflammation in a population sample. In this study, we aimed to examine the cross-sectional and prospective relationships between anticholinergic burden from medications and important inflammatory markers (plasma fibrinogen, C-reactive protein [CRP], tumour necrosis factor alpha [TNF- α] and interleukin 6 [IL-6]) in a large UK population-based study.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participants

The participants were drawn from 25 639 men and women who enrolled in the EPIC-Norfolk study at baseline (first health check, 1HC) between 1993 and 1998. The study protocol has been previously described.¹⁸ Study participants were followed up between 1998 and 2000, and 15 786 participants attended the second health check (2HC). The Norwich Research Ethics Committee approved the study.

What is known about this subject

 Higher anticholinergic burden from medications is associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease and cognitive function decline.

What this study adds

- Using data from a large-scale prospective cohort study from UK, we determined the cross-sectional and prospective associations between the anticholinergic burden and inflammatory markers.
- Inflammation may mediate the relationship between exposure to anticholinergic medications and adverse outcomes through the "inflammatory reflex" or cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway.

Figure 1 summarises the timeline of the EPIC-Norfolk study and the analyses undertaken.

2.2 | Measurements

During both health checks, trained nurses measured weight, height and body mass index (BMI) using standardized procedures. Participants also completed a health and lifestyle questionnaire that collected information on physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, comorbid conditions and medications. The Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) was used to measure participants' habitual dietary pattern during the previous year. The questionnaires were collected at the 1HC and after 5 years. Nonfasting venous blood samples were also taken. From each individual, ~40 mL of blood was drawn and serum, plasma, erythrocytes and buffy coat were aliquoted in plastic straws of 0.5 mL each. These straws were then heat-sealed and stored under liquid nitrogen (-196 °C) in a centralized biobank. Figure 1 details the measurement of each inflammatory marker in relation to the study timeline: CRP and fibrinogen were measured at 1HC (1993-1998), whilst TNF- α and IL-6 were measured at 2HC (1998-2000).

2.3 | Exposure

Medications with anticholinergic properties were identified by searching the database for exact and similar entries for both generic and brand name drugs. A corresponding anticholinergic class/score was allocated to each medication: class 0 (none), class 1 (probable,



FIGURE 1 The EPIC-Norfolk cohort timeline and the analyses undertaken

score 1), classes 2 and 3 (definite, score 2 and 3, respectively). This was based on the criteria of the anticholinergic cognitive burden (ACB) scale developed by Boustani et al.¹⁹ since this is one of the best-known scales and validated against many clinical outcomes of interest. Subsequently, the total anticholinergic burden was then calculated for each participant by adding the individual ACB scores of all their medications at baseline. Participants were divided into six groups according to their total ACB score at baseline: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ≥ 5 (due to small sample size for ACB score 6 and above) for meaningful analvsis.

2.4 **Outcome measures**

The inflammatory markers were the outcomes of interest: plasma fibrinogen, CRP, IL-6 and TNF-α. Fibrinogen (g/L) and CRP (mg/L) were available for a subsample of 1HC, and IL-6 (pg/mL) and TNF- α (pg/mL) were available for a subsample in 2HC.

2.5 **Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed using SPSS version 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics were presented for the overall sample and by ACB score groups. Differences between ACB groups were assessed using the Pearson's chi-squared test for categorical variables and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for normally distributed continuous variables or the Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables that were not normally distributed.

Linear regression analysis was performed to determine the crosssectional relationship of baseline/1HC ACB and 1HC inflammatory markers, fibrinogen and CRP. Linear regression was also performed to determine the cross-sectional association of 2HC ACB and 2HC

inflammatory markers IL-6 and TNF- α . The prospective relationship between baseline/1HC ACB data and 2HC inflammatory markers IL-6 and TNF- α was also determined.

Four statistical models were constructed to assess the effects of potential confounding factors in a group sequential fashion. Model A was unadjusted, model B was adjusted for age and sex, model C was adjusted for age, sex and lifestyle factors (BMI, alcohol consumption, smoking status, total fruits and vegetables consumed and physical activity) and, lastly, model D, the fully adjusted model, was adjusted for the variables age, sex, lifestyle factors, comorbidities (diabetes, stroke, cancer and heart attack), medications (lipid-lowering drugs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs]) and total cholesterol.

3 RESULTS

There were 25 639 participants that attended the 1HC. A total of 3588 participants were excluded from the fibrinogen analysis and 7961 individuals were excluded from the CRP analysis due to missing data. Therefore, a total of 22 051 and 17 678 participants were included in the fibrinogen and CRP analyses, respectively. In the 2HC, out of the 15 786 participants who attended, only 5101 men and women were included in the analysis for TNF- α and IL-6 after the exclusion of 10 685 participants with missing data for these variables. Figure 2 displays the participant population flowchart.

All exclusions were made due to missing data. Participants that did not have a response (missing data) for diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular accident, cancer and cholesterol were counted as not having the condition and were therefore not missing from the analysis. Similarly, those that did not respond for smoking status, alcohol consumption, NSAIDs and lipid-lowering drugs were counted as not consuming these and therefore not missing from the analysis. In the 1HC, there were three participants with missing data

FIGURE 2 The participant population

flowchart



for sex and 890 for fruit and vegetable consumption, whilst in the 2HC there were 1002 participants with missing data for physical activity and 3543 for fruit and vegetables.

 $TNF-\alpha$ and IL-6 were analysed together as they had similar amounts of missing data.

3.1 | Descriptive statistics

Tables 1 and Supporting Information Table S1 (online resources) detail the sample characteristics at baseline/1HC by ACB group. Table 1 details the descriptive characteristics of included participants in the fibrinogen analysis. The mean (SD) age was 59.1 years (9.3), with 54% being female. The mean (SD) plasma fibrinogen was 2.9 g/L (1.0). Supporting Information Table S1 details the descriptive statistics of included participants in the CRP analysis.

The mean age (SD) was 59.1 years (9.1) for Supporting Information Table S1, with 55.1% being female. The median (IQR) CRP was 1.5 mg/L (0.7-3.3).

There were significant differences between ACB groups for all variables considered except fruit and vegetable consumption. People in the higher ACB groups were older, had higher BMI and total cholesterol level, and a lower level of physical activity. NSAIDs and lipid-lowering drug usage was more prevalent in higher ACB groups. In terms of comorbidities, high ACB score was associated with a greater percentage of individuals with a prior history of stroke, cancer, diabetes and myocardial infarction. Significantly higher mean fibrinogen and median CRP were observed in higher ACB groups compared to the ACB = 0 group.

Supporting Information Table S2 (online resources) details the characteristics of participants in 2HC, stratified by the ACB groups. There were 5101 participants, of which 61.5% were women and the

TABLE 1 Baseline sample characteristics for burden score groups	22 051 men and wor	nen whose fibrinog	en level was measu	red in the EPIC-No	rfolk cohort (first he	alth check) accordii	ng to the total antich	olinergic
	All n = 22 051	ACB score 0 group $n = 17$ 609	ACB score 1 group n = 2252	ACB score 2 group n = 542	ACB score 3 group n = 677	ACB score 4 group n = 409	ACB score ≥5 group n = 562	P value
Mean age (years) (SD)	59.1 (9.3)	58.2 (9.1)	62.4 (8.9)	65.5 (8.3)	62.0 (9.2)	65.0 (7.9)	62.7 (9.0)	<.001
Sex (%)								<.001
Men	10 140 (46.0)	8065 (45.8)	1059 (47.0)	294 (54.2)	274 (40.5)	214 (52.3)	234 (41.6)	
Women	11 911 (54.0)	9544 (54.2)	1193 (53.0)	248 (45.8)	403 (59.5)	195 (47.7)	328 (58.4)	
Mean BMI (kg/m^2) (SD)	26.3 (3.8)	26.1 (3.7)	27.0 (4.2)	27.0 (4.0)	27.0 (4.1)	27.2 (4.2)	27.2 (4.1)	<.001
Smoking status (%)								<.001
Current	2470 (11.2)	2012 (11.4)	215 (9.5)	54 (10.0)	72 (10.6)	34 (8.3)	83 (14.8)	
Former	9294 (42.1)	7186 (40.8)	1066 (47.3)	276 (50.9)	309 (45.6)	206 (50.4)	251 (44.7)	
Never	10 287 (46.7)	8411 (47.8)	971 (43.1)	212 (39.1)	296 (43.7)	169 (41.3)	228 (40.6)	
Median alcohol consumption (units/week) (IQR)	3.5 (1.0-10.0)	4.0 (1.5-10.0)	2.5 (1.0-9.0)	2.5 (1.0-8.5)	2.5 (0.5-8.5)	2.5 (0.5-9.0)	2.0 (0.5-7.0)	<.001
Physical activity (%)								<.001
Inactive	6527 (29.6)	4745 (26.9)	857 (38.1)	251 (46.3)	248 (36.6)	188 (46.0)	238 (42.3)	
Moderately inactive	6351 (28.8)	5112 (29.0)	637 (28.3)	130 (24.0)	200 (29.5)	109 (26.7)	163 (29.0)	
Moderately active	5088 (23.1)	4262 (24.2)	445 (19.8)	84 (15.5)	136 (20.1)	52 (12.7)	109 (19.4)	
Active	4085 (18.5)	3490 (19.8)	313 (13.9)	77 (14.2)	93 (13.7)	60 (14.7)	52 (9.3)	
Mean total cholesterol (mmol/L) (SD)	6.2 (1.2)	6.1 (1.1)	6.3 (1.2)	6.4 (1.3)	6.3 (1.2)	6.4 (1.3)	6.4 (1.3)	<.001
Mean total fruits and vegetables consumed (g/ d) (SD)	511.3 (249.8)	509.8 (248.2)	518.8 (261.2)	504.7 (260.4)	524.0 (251.6)	514.3 (229.0)	517.6 (257.0)	.16
NSAID (%)	3266 (14.8)	2136 (12.1)	514 (22.8)	167 (30.8)	182 (26.9)	117 (28.6)	150 (26.7)	<.001
Lipid lowering drugs (%)	329 (1.5)	174 (1.0)	65 (2.9)	23 (4.2)	22 (3.2)	23 (5.6)	22 (3.9)	<.001
Self-reported comorbidities (%)								
Diabetes	489 (2.2)	290 (1.6)	77 (3.4)	38 (7.0)	26 (3.8)	23 (5.6)	35 (6.2)	<.001
Stroke	303 (1.4)	143 (0.8)	63 (2.8)	40 (7.4)	18 (2.7)	13 (3.2)	26 (4.6)	<.001
Cancer	1185 (5.4)	883 (5.0)	136 (6.0)	42 (7.7)	57 (8.4)	31 (7.6)	36 (6.4)	<.001
Heart attack	693 (3.1)	262 (1.5)	162 (7.2)	93 (17.2)	72 (10.6)	47 (11.5)	57 (10.1)	<.001
Mean plasma fibrinogen (g/L) (SD)	2.9 (1.0)	2.9 (1.0)	3.1 (0.9)	3.2 (0.9)	3.1 (0.9)	3.1 (0.9)	3.2 (0.9)	<.001
<i>Note</i> : Values presented are mean (SD) for normally d the formula (number of class 1 anticholineraics) + (nu	listributed, continuous umber of class 2 antic	data, median (IQR) f holinergics × 2) + (n	or non-normally dist umber of class 3 ant	ributed, continuous (icholinergics × 3).	data and number (%)	for categorical data.	Total ACB was calcul	ated with

Baseline sample characteristics for 22 051 men and women whose fibrinogen level was measured in the EPIC-Norfolk cohort (first health check) according to the total anticholinergic

SANGHAVI ET AL.

5

Abbreviations: ACB, anticholinergic cognitive burden; BMI, body mass index; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

6

mean (SD) age was 63.1 years (8.9). The mean (SD) TNF- α was 2.0 pg/mL (0.8) whilst the median (IQR) IL-6 was 0.6 (0.5-0.9). People in the higher ACB groups were older, had higher BMI and were less likely to be active. There were no significant sex differences between ACB groups or in terms of fruit and vegetable consumption. There were higher proportions of NSAID and lipid-lowering drug usage in higher ACB groups. With regard to self-reported illnesses, high ACB was associated with a greater percentage of individuals with a prior history of stroke, diabetes and myocardial infarction. However, there were no significant differences between ACB groups in terms of cancer prevalence. There was a significant difference between ACB groups in terms of soft TNF- α and IL-6: the levels of these inflammatory markers were higher in participants in higher ACB groups compared to the ACB = 0 group.

3.2 | Cross-sectional analyses

Table 2 shows that when compared to the reference category of ACB = 0, ACB = 1 to ACB \geq 4 were associated with increases in all inflammatory markers. In fibrinogen (g/L) (95% confidence interval (CI); *P* value) an increase by 0.118 (0.074, 0.162; *P* < .001) and 0.134 (0.070, 0.199; *P* < .001) was seen. CRP was associated with the highest increase when the ACB score was compared to ACB = 0. There was an increase in CRP (mg/L) (95% CI; *P* value) by 0.765 (0.447, 1.083; *P* < .001) and 1.175 (0.715, 1.634; *P* < .001) when ACB = 1 and ACB \geq 4, respectively. In the same way, when compared to ACB = 0, ACB = 1 and ACB \geq 4 were associated with 0.073 (0.005, 0.141; *P* = .035) and 0.593 (0.254, 0.932; *P* = .001) increases in TNF- α (pg/mL), respectively. However, IL-6 (pg/mL) showed a decrease of 0.040 (-0.260, 0.180; *P* = .724) when comparing the reference category to ACB = 1. This was due to the reduced sample size.

IL-6 showed an increase of 0.593 pg/mL (0.254, 0.932; P = .001) when ACB \geq 4 was compared to the reference category. These percentage increases get larger as the ACB score grows, therefore the relationship between ACB and inflammatory marker is a linear one. This is a cross-sectional relationship due to inflammatory markers being collected at the same health check at which the ACB scores were collected.

Table 4 shows the results of linear regression analysis for the cross-sectional relationship between baseline ACB groups and inflammatory markers. All the adjusted models showed that a unit increase in the ACB score was associated with a significant increase in all the inflammatory markers. After complete multivariable adjustment (model 4), a unit increase in ACB score was associated with an increase of fibrinogen of 0.035 g/L (0.006; P < .001), CRP of 0.284 mg/L (0.044; P < .001) (in 1HC), TNF- α of 0.031 pg/mL (0.010; P = .002) and IL-6 of 0.112 pg/mL (0.033; P = .001) (in 2HC), respectively.

3.3 | Prospective analysis

Table 3 shows the prospective relationship that describes the association between the inflammatory marker collected in the HC2 compared to the ACB score collected in the HC1. The reference category of ACB = 0 when compared to ACB = 1 and ACB \geq 4 was associated with increases in IL-6 (pg/mL) by 0.116 (-0.112, 0.344; *P* = .319) and 0.019 (-0.323, 0.361; *P* = .915), respectively, and in TNF- α (pg/mL) by 0.012 (-0.068, 0.093; *P* = .762) and 0.202 (0.81, 0.323; *P* = .001), respectively.

Table 5 shows the results of the prospective analyses for a prospective relationship between 1HC ACB and inflammatory markers measured at the 2HC. All models revealed a statistically significant

TABLE 2Results of multivariable linear regressions evaluating the cross-sectional relationship between ACB scores at baseline and levels ofinflammatory markers measured at the first health check when compared to ACB = 0

ACB score 95% CI P value	∆fibrinogen (g/L) (1HC)	ΔCRP (mg/L) (1HC)	ΔIL-6 (pg/mL) (2HC)	ΔTNF-α (pg/mL) (2HC)
ACB = 0	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
ACB = 1	0.118 (0.074, 0.162)	0.765 (0.447, 1.083)	-0.040 (-0.260,0.180)	0.073 (0.005, 0.141)
	P < .001	P < .001	P = .724	P = .035
ACB = 2	0.090 (0.005, 0.175)	0.658 (0.040, 1.277)	0.056 (-0.388, 0.500)	0.125 (-0.12, 0.261)
	P = .039	P = .037	P = .805	P = .074
ACB = 3	0.094 (0.018, 0.170)	0.903 (0.368, 1.439)	0.221 (-0.185, 0.627)	0.030 (-0.95, 0.154)
	P = .016	P = .001	P = .286	P = .642
ACB ≥ 4	0.134 (0.070, 0.199) <i>P</i> < .001	1.175 (0.715, 1.634) <i>P</i> < .001	0.593 (0.254, 0.932) P = .001	0.137 (0.033, 0.241) P = .010

Note: This shows the increase in inflammatory marker when the ACB scores 1 to \geq 4 were compared to the reference category, ACB = 0. Inflammatory markers fibrinogen and CRP were collected in the first health check and TNF- α and IL-6 were collected in the second health check. To demonstrate a cross-sectional relationship, the exposure for fibrinogen and CRP was baseline data from the first health check, whilst for IL-6 and TNF- α the exposure was participants' data from the second health check. This table shows a multivariable model adjusted for age, sex, lifestyle factors (BMI, alcohol consumption smoking status, total fruit and vegetables consumed, and physical activity), total cholesterol, medications (lipid-lowering drugs, NSAIDs) and self-reported comorbidities (stroke, cancer, heart attack and diabetes).

Abbreviations: 1HC, first health check; 2HC, second health check; ACB, anticholinergic cognitive burden; Cl, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin 6; Ref, reference category; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor alpha.

TABLE 3 Results of multivariable linear regressions evaluating the prospective relationship between ACB scores at baseline and levels of inflammatory markers measured at the second health check when compared to ACB = 0

ACB score 95% CI P value	ΔIL-6 (pg/mL) (2HC)	$\Delta TNF-\alpha$ (pg/mL) (2HC)
ACB = 0	Ref	Ref
ACB = 1	0.116 (-0.112, 0.344) P = .319	0.012 (-0.068, 0.093) P = .762
ACB = 2	-0.109 (-0.581, 0.362) P = .649	0.159 (-0.007,0.326) P = .061
ACB = 3	0.129 (-0.267, 0.525) P = .523	-0.084 (-0.224 , 0.056) P = .241
ACB ≥ 4	0.019 (-0.323, 0.361) P = .915	0.202 (0.81, 0.323) $P = .001$

Note: This shows the increase in inflammatory marker when the ACB scores 1 to \geq 4 were compared to the reference category, ACB = 0. The exposure was baseline data from the first health check to demonstrate a prospective relationship because TNF- α and IL-6 were the only inflammatory markers collected in the second health check. This table shows a multivariable model adjusted for age, sex, lifestyle factors (BMI, alcohol consumption smoking status, total fruit and vegetables consumed, and physical activity), total cholesterol, medications (lipid-lowering drugs, NSAIDs) and self-reported comorbidities (stroke, cancer, heart attack and diabetes).

Abbreviations: 1HC, first health check; 2HC, second health check; ACB, anticholinergic cognitive burden; CI, confidence interval; IL-6, interleukin 6; Ref, reference category; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor alpha.

TABLE 4 Results of multivariable linear regressions evaluating the cross-sectional relationship between unit increases in ACB scores at baseline and levels of inflammatory markers measured at the first health check

Inflammatory marker	Model	β value change in inflammatory marker for 1-point increase in ACB (95% CI)	P value
Fibrinogen (g/L) (1HC)	А	0.074 (0.063, 0.086)	<.001
	В	0.046 (0.035, 0.058)	<.001
	С	0.037 (0.025, 0.049)	<.001
	D	0.035 (0.023, 0.047)	<.001
CRP (mg/L) (1HC)	А	0.465 (0.381, 0.549)	<.001
	В	0.372 (0.287, 0.456)	<.001
	С	0.304 (0.220, 0.389)	<.001
	D	0.284 (0.198, 0.369)	<.001
IL-6 (pg/mL) (2HC)	А	0.129 (0.068, 0.190)	<.001
	В	0.113 (0.051, 0.174)	<.001
	С	0.107 (0.045, 0.169)	.001
	D	0.112 (0.048, 0.175)	.001
TNF-α (pg/mL) (2HC)	А	0.065 (0.045, 0.084)	<.001
	В	0.042 (0.023, 0.061)	<.001
	С	0.037 (0.018, 0.056)	<.001
	D	0.031 (0.011, 0.050)	.002

Note: This is the linear regression analysis of inflammatory markers and ACB score. It shows how a unit increase in ACB score is associated with the inflammatory markers. The inflammatory markers fibrinogen and CRP were collected in the first health check and TNF- α and IL-6 were collected in the second health check. To demonstrate a cross-sectional relationship, the exposure for fibrinogen and CRP was baseline data from the first health check whilst for IL-6 and TNF- α the exposure was participants' data from the second health check. The following models adjust for the ACB groups and inflammatory marker: A = univariate model, B = age and sex, C = age, sex and lifestyle factors (BMI, alcohol consumption smoking status, total fruit and vegetables consumed, and physical activity), D = age, sex, lifestyle factors, total cholesterol, medications (lipid-lowering drugs, NSAIDs) and self-reported comorbidities (stroke, cancer, heart attack and diabetes).

Abbreviations: 1HC, first health check; 2HC, second health check; ACB, anticholinergic cognitive burden; Cl, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin 6; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor alpha.

association between ACB score at 1HC and increase in the circulating levels of inflammatory markers measured at 2HC. On full multivariable adjustment, a unit increase in the ACB score was associated with a significant increase in TNF- α and IL-6 of 0.028 pg/mL (0.011; P = .013) and 0.076 pg/mL (0.035; P = .029), respectively.

4 | DISCUSSION

We describe for the first time the association between anticholinergic burden and inflammation at a population level. Cross-sectionally, increases in ACB scores were independently associated with

		β value change in inflammatory marker for 1 point	
Inflammatory marker	Model	increase in ACB (95% CI)	P value
IL-6 (pg/mL) (2HC)	А	0.098 (0.030, 0.166)	.005
	В	0.078 (0.009, 0.146)	.026
	С	0.079 (0.013, 0.145)	.020
	D	0.076 (0.008, 0.144)	.029
TNF-α (pg/mL) (2HC)	А	0.064 (0.043, 0.085)	<.001
	В	0.040 (0.019, 0.061)	<.001
	С	0.036 (0.015, 0.058)	.001
	D	0.028 (0.006, 0.050)	.013

TABLE 5 Results of multivariable linear regressions evaluating the prospective relationship between unit increases in ACB scores at baseline and levels of inflammatory markers measured at the second health check

Note: This is the linear regression analysis for inflammatory markers and ACB score. It shows how a unit increase in ACB score is associated with the inflammatory markers. The exposure was baseline data from the first health check to demonstrate a prospective relationship because TNF- α and IL-6 were the only inflammatory markers collected in the second health check.

The following models adjust for the ACB groups and inflammatory marker: A = univariate model, B = age and sex, C = age, sex and lifestyle factors (BMI, alcohol consumption, smoking status, total fruits and vegetables consumed and physical activity), D = age, sex, lifestyle factors, total cholesterol, medications (lipid lowering drugs, NSAIDs), co-morbidities (stroke, cancer, heart attack and diabetes).

Abbreviations: 1HC, first health check; 2HC, second health check; ACB, anticholinergic cognitive burden; CI, confidence interval; IL-6, interleukin 6; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor alpha.

significant increases in fibrinogen, CRP, TNF- α and IL-6. Prospectively, increases in ACB scores were independently associated with increases in TNF- α and IL-6. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report which provides a possible mechanistic link through inflammatory pathways between anticholinergic medications and adverse longer-term outcomes at a population level.

Increases in such inflammatory markers in the long term can lead to adverse outcomes. The meta-analysis by Kaptoge et al²⁰ found a significant 17% increase (risk ratio (RR) 1.17 [1.09-1.25]) and 25% increase (RR 1.25 [1.19-1.32]) in incident coronary heart disease (CHD)/nonfatal MI associated with a 1 SD increase in TNF- α and IL-6, respectively. Our study suggests that 1- and 3-point ACB increases would translate into 0.646% and ~2% relative risk increases in TNF- α -mediated incident CHD/nonfatal MI, respectively.²⁰ Furthermore, our findings also suggest that 1- and 3-point ACB increases would translate into 1.13% and 3.39% relative risk increases in IL-6-mediated incident CHD/nonfatal MI, respectively, a 1-point increase in ACB would translate into a 0.595% and 0.75% increase in the relative risk of TNF- α -mediated incident CHD/nonfatal MI and IL-6-mediated incident CHD/nonfatal MI, respectively.²⁰

The meta-analysis by Yano et al²¹ established that a 1 SD increase in fibrinogen was associated with a 30% increase (RR 1.3 [1.2-1.4]) in all-cause mortality, a 20% increase (RR 1.2 [1.1-1.4]) in cardiovascular disease and a 30% increase (RR1.3 [1.2 to 1.5]) in cancer. Cross-sectionally, our study found a 1-point increase in ACB would translate into a 1.05% increase in the relative risk of all-cause mortality, a 0.7% increase in the relative risk of cardiovascular disease and a 1.05% increase in the relative risk of cardiovascular disease and a 1.05% increase in the relative risk of cardiovascular disease and a 1.05% increase in the relative risk of cardiovascular disease and a 1.05% increase in the relative risk of cancer.²¹ In addition, the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration²² also defined an increase in relative risk of CHD and ischaemic stroke associated with CRP. Our findings revealed that an increase in ACB would translate into an increase in the relative risk of CHD and ischaemic stroke.

The results of our study alongside previous investigations linking markers of chronic inflammation with a variety of adverse incident outcomes allow, for the first time, the quantification of the contribution of inflammation in mediating the relationship between ACB and adverse outcomes. The inflammatory reflex may mediate the described relationships between anticholinergic burden and adverse outcomes. We hypothesised that an increased anticholinergic burden may lead to raised inflammatory markers due to the inflammatory reflex.¹⁶ This mechanism, also known as the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway, suggests that the vagus nerve, a part of the parasympathetic nervous system, has an anti-inflammatory responses and thus we hypothesised that increased anticholinergic burden leads to increased inflammation by blocking central muscarinic-dependent vagal activation.¹⁷

Based on literature, our findings can be extrapolated that a 3-point increase in ACB-related chronic inflammation can be linked to an increase in relative risk of up to ~4% in cancer, cardiovascular disease and mortality. Furthermore, a previous study⁷ including participants from the EPIC-Norfolk cohort found that people with a total ACB \geq 3 from medications had a relative risk increase of mortality of 83% (hazard ratio (HR) 1.83 [1.53-2.20]) and a 117% increase in incident CVD. The increased risk of up to 3.39% in incident CHD/nonfatal MI after a 3-point increase in ACB inferred from the study by Kaptoge et al²⁰ would account for only 2.9% of the entire ACB \geq 3-associated excess risk of incident CVD.

Indeed, there may be plausible mechanisms other than chronic inflammation that may mediate the association between ACB from medications and adverse outcomes. Anticholinergic drugs have been found to suppress the parasympathetic control of heart rate, which is linked to an increased incidence of myocardial ischemia and tachyarrythmias that are known to increase the risk of embolic strokes and sudden cardiac death.²³ Anticholinergic medications can also lead to ischaemia due to their pro-ischaemic properties.²⁴ In addition, the arterial baroreflex is an important mechanism that protects against strokes.²⁵ The anticholinergic medications disrupt vagal nerve activity, which is involved in the activation of arterial baroreflex, therefore reducing its protective effects.²⁵

Our study has several strengths. It benefited from using data from a large, prospective population-based study. We were able to delineate both the cross-sectional and prospective associations between ACB and markers of inflammation. The data were also prospectively collected, minimising recall bias. Additionally, a well-validated ACB score was used, and we were able to control for a variety of sociodemographic and life-style factors, comorbidities and relevant medications.

We acknowledge some limitations. As a volunteer study with long-term follow-up, a degree of healthy volunteer bias is possible. However, the baseline characteristics of the EPIC-Norfolk participants are similar to other UK representative population samples.¹⁸ The participants in this study were almost completely (>99%) White British. but it is unlikely biological mechanisms will be hugely different from other ethnicities. Potential confounders adjusted were measured at baseline, and it is possible that these may vary during the follow-up period. Although we were able to calculate the total ACB score, we were not able to identify particular drugs and the dosages that are associated to adverse outcomes. In addition, as the ACB score was calculated at baseline, we were unable to account for any changes in ACB score during the follow-up period for prospective analysis. Nevertheless, it is likely that individuals would either maintain similar anticholinergic exposure or be exposed to increasing anticholinergic burden during follow-up because the use of medications with anticholinergic properties would increase as participants age and accrue more disease burden and increasing polypharmacy. However, both crosssectional and prospective analyses results for TNF- α and IL-6 were consistent.

This study, along with future robust experimental studies, may change the anticholinergic burden paradigm by recognizing the chronic inflammatory state associated with anticholinergic medications as a therapeutic target in the era of targeted immune therapy for cardiovascular prevention. The landmark CANTOS trial used canakinumab to target the interleukin-1 β innate immunity pathway, leading to a significantly lower incidence of recurrent cardiovascular events.²⁶ Furthermore, colchicine has been shown to be effective at preventing major adverse cardiac events after a myocardial infarction²⁷ and preventing cardiovascular events in patients with recent myocardial infarction²⁸ and stable coronary disease.^{29,30} Future studies should also explore whether systematic attempts to reduce the anticholinergic burden reduce inflammation and, consequently, the risk of such adverse outcomes.

In conclusion, using data from a large-scale prospective cohort study from the UK, we determined the cross-sectional and prospective associations between the anticholinergic burden and inflammatory markers. Higher anticholinergic burden is significantly associated with higher inflammatory markers both cross-sectionally and prospectively after multivariable adjustment. Furthermore, we underlined how chronic inflammation may be a previously unrecognized potential mechanism for the observed association between anticholinergic burden and adverse outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to all the participants who have been part of the project and to the many members of the study teams at the University of Cambridge who have enabled this research. We would also like to acknowledge the principal investigators and staff of the EPIC-Norfolk study. The EPIC-Norfolk study (DOI 10.22025/2019.10.105.00004) was funded by the Medical Research Council, Grant number (MR/N003284/1 and MC-UU_12015/1) and Cancer Research UK, Grant number (C864/A14136).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

CONTRIBUTORS

R.S., T.A.P. and P.K.M. conceived the study. R.S. performed the literature search and data analysis, and wrote the first draft of the paper. R.S., T.A.P. and P.K.M. verified the data. N.J.W. and K.-T.K. are the PIs of the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. All authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript and approved the final manuscript. P.K.M. is the guarantor.

ETHICS APPROVAL

The Norwich Ethics Committee approved the study in view of the retrospective nature of the study.

CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE AND PUBLISH

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data available on request to EPIC-Norfolk Steering Committee for their approval.

ORCID

 Ria Sanghavi
 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4321-0959

 Tiberiu A. Pana
 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1423-8111

 Ian Maidment
 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4152-9704

 Chris Fox
 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9480-5704

 S. Matthijs Boekholdt
 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0861-0765

 Mamas A. Mamas
 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9241-8890

 Nicholas J. Wareham
 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1422-2993

 Kay-Tee Khaw
 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8802-2903

 Phyo K. Myint
 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3852-6158

REFERENCES

 Gray SL, Anderson ML, Dublin S, et al. Cumulative use of strong anticholinergics and incident dementia: a prospective cohort study. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175(3):401-407. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.7663

- Campbell N, Boustani M, Limbil T, et al. The cognitive impact of anticholinergics: a clinical review. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2009;4:225. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S5358
- Ancelin ML, Artero S, Portet F, Dupuy AM, Touchon J, Ritchie K. Non-degenerative mild cognitive impairment in elderly people and use of anticholinergic drugs: longitudinal cohort study. *BMJ*. 2006; 332(7539):455-459. doi:10.1136/bmj.38740.439664.DE
- Fox C, Livingston G, Maidment ID, et al. The impact of anticholinergic burden in Alzheimer's dementia-the LASER-AD study. Age Ageing. 2011;40(6):730-735. doi:10.1093/ageing/afr102
- Fox C, Richardson K, Maidment ID, et al. Anticholinergic medication use and cognitive impairment in the older population: the medical research council cognitive function and ageing study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2011;59(8):1477-1483. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03491.x
- Gamble DT, Clark AB, Luben RN, Wareham NJ, Khaw KT, Myint PK. Baseline anticholinergic burden from medications predicts incident fatal and non-fatal stroke in the EPIC-Norfolk general population. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2018;47(2):625-633. doi:10.1093/ije/dyx265
- Myint PK, Fox C, Kwok CS, Luben RN, Wareham NJ, Khaw KT. Total anticholinergic burden and risk of mortality and cardiovascular disease over 10 years in 21,636 middle-aged and older men and women of EPIC-Norfolk prospective population study. *Age Ageing*. 2015;44(2): 219-225. doi:10.1093/ageing/afu185
- Richardson K, Fox C, Maidment I, et al. Anticholinergic drugs and risk of dementia: case-control study. *BMJ*. 2018;361:k1315. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k1315
- Fox C, Smith T, Maidment I, et al. Effect of medications with anticholinergic properties on cognitive function, delirium, physical function and mortality: a systematic review. Age Ageing. 2014;43(5): 604-615. doi:10.1093/ageing/afu096
- Kritchevsky SB, Cesari M, Pahor M. Inflammatory markers and cardiovascular health in older adults. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2005;66(2):265-275. doi:10.1016/j.cardiores.2004.12.026
- Kardys I, Knetsch AM, Bleumink GS, et al. C-reactive protein and risk of heart failure. The Rotterdam Study. Am Heart J. 2006;152(3):514-520. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2006.02.023
- Libby P, Ridker PM, Maseri A. Inflammation and atherosclerosis. Circulation. 2002;105(9):1135-1143. doi:10.1161/hc0902.104353
- Schmidt R, Schmidt H, Curb JD, Masaki K, White LR, Launer LJ. Early inflammation and dementia: a 25-year follow-up of the Honolulu-Asia Aging Study. Ann Neurol. 2002;52(2):168-174. doi: 10.1002/ana.10265
- Hodge DR, Hurt EM, Farrar WL. The role of IL-6 and STAT3 in inflammation and cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2005;41(16):2502-2512. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2005.08.016
- Verghese J, Holtzer R, Oh-Park M, Derby CA, Lipton RB, Wang C. Inflammatory markers and gait speed decline in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2011;66(10):1083-1089. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glr099
- Tracey KJ. The inflammatory reflex. *Nature*. 2002;420(6917):853-859. doi:10.1038/nature01321
- Pavlov VA, Wang H, Czura CJ, Friedman SG, Tracey KJ. The cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway: a missing link in neuroimmunomodulation. *Mol Med.* 2003;9(5):125-134. doi: 10.1007/BF03402177
- Day N, Oakes S, Luben R, et al. EPIC-Norfolk: study design and characteristics of the cohort. European prospective investigation of cancer. Br J Cancer. 1999;80:95-103.

- Boustani M, Campbell N, Munger S, Maidment I, Fox C. Impact of anticholinergics on the aging brain: a review and practical application. *Aging Health.* 2008;4(3):311-320. doi:10.2217/1745509X.4.3.311
- Kaptoge S, Seshasai SR, Gao P, et al. Inflammatory cytokines and risk of coronary heart disease: new prospective study and updated metaanalysis. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35(9):578-589. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ eht367
- Yano K, Grove JS, Chen R, Rodriguez BL, Curb JD, Tracy RP. Plasma fibrinogen as a predictor of total and cause-specific mortality in elderly Japanese-American men. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2001; 21(6):1065-1070. doi:10.1161/01.ATV.21.6.1065
- Gallacher JE. C-reactive protein concentration and risk of coronary heart disease, stroke, and mortality: an individual participant metaanalysis. *The Lancet*. 2010;375(9709):132-140. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61717-7
- Singh S, Loke YK, Enright P, Furberg CD. Pro-arrhythmic and proischaemic effects of inhaled anticholinergic medications. *Thorax*. 2013;68(1):114-116. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-201275
- Eveson DJ, Robinson TG, Shah NS, Panerai RB, Paul SK, Potter JF. Abnormalities in cardiac baroreceptor sensitivity in acute ischaemic stroke patients are related to aortic stiffness. *Clin Sci.* 2005;108(5): 441-447. doi:10.1042/CS20040264
- Liu AJ, Zang P, Guo JM, et al. Involvement of acetylcholine-α7n AChR in the protective effects of arterial baroreflex against ischemic stroke. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2012;18(11):918-926. doi:10.1111/cns.12011
- Ridker PM, Everett BM, Thuren T, et al. Antiinflammatory therapy with canakinumab for atherosclerotic disease. N Engl J Med. 2017; 377(12):1119-1131. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1707914
- Bouabdallaoui N, Tardif JC, Waters DD, et al. Time-to-treatment initiation of colchicine and cardiovascular outcomes after myocardial infarction in the Colchicine Cardiovascular Outcomes Trial (COLCOT). *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41(42):4092-4099. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa659
- Hennessy T, Soh L, Bowman M, et al. The Low Dose Colchicine after Myocardial Infarction (LoDoCo-MI) study: A pilot randomized placebo controlled trial of colchicine following acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J.* 2019;215:62-69. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2019.06.003
- Nidorf SM, Fiolet AT, Mosterd A, et al. Colchicine in patients with chronic coronary disease. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(19):1838-1847. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2021372
- Nidorf SM, Eikelboom JW, Budgeon CA, Thompson PL. Low-dose colchicine for secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. J am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61(4):404-410. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.10.027

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Sanghavi R, Pana TA,

Mamayusupova H, et al. Higher anticholinergic burden from medications is associated with significant increase in markers of inflammation in the EPIC-Norfolk prospective population-based cohort study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2022;1-10. doi:10.1111/bcp.15261