

The Experiences and Views of Service Providers on the Mental Health and Well-Being Services for Syrian Refugees in Coventry and Warwickshire

Anastasiou, E., Liebling, H., Webster, M. & MacCallum, F.

Published PDF deposited in Coventry University's Repository

Original citation:

Anastasiou, E, Liebling, H, Webster, M & MacCallum, F 2022, 'The Experiences and Views of Service Providers on the Mental Health and Well-Being Services for Syrian Refugees in Coventry and Warwickshire', *European Journal of Psychology Open*, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 165-177. <https://doi.org/10.1024/2673-8627/a000017>

DOI 10.1024/2673-8627/a000017

ISSN 2673-8627

Publisher: Hogrefe

The Author(s) Distributed as a Hogrefe OpenMind article under <https://doi.org/10.1024/2673-8627/a000016> the license CC BY-NC 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited..



The Experiences and Views of Service Providers on the Mental Health and Well-Being Services for Syrian Refugees in Coventry and Warwickshire

Eleni Anastasiou¹, Helen Liebling^{2,3}, Michelle Webster³, and Fiona MacCallum¹

¹Department of Psychology, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

²School of Psychological, Social and Behavioural Sciences, Coventry University, Coventry, United Kingdom

³Coventry and Warwickshire National Health Service (NHS) Trust, Coventry, United Kingdom

Abstract: *Objectives:* Previous literature demonstrated that, even when mental health and psychological support services are available for refugees, there may still be obstacles in accessing services. This is the first known study to explore the experiences of mental-health and well-being services for Syrian refugees in Coventry and Warwickshire, United Kingdom. The research investigates the views and perceptions of service providers on the current mental-health and well-being services provided for this population. *Methods:* Eight service providers participated in semistructured interviews and focus groups, and the data were analyzed using thematic analysis. *Results:* Three main themes emerged from an analysis of the data: “positive aspects of service delivery,” “service challenges,” and “recommendations for service improvements and quality.” *Conclusion:* The findings bring to the fore specific gaps in current provision and interpreting services. Recommendations for proposed improvements in service provision and policy as well as clinical implications are included in this article.

Keywords: service evaluation, mental health provision, Syrian refugees

The Syrian Vulnerable Persons Resettlement Scheme (VPRS) has highlighted the lack of understanding and response to the mental-health needs of refugees, especially for those who have experienced traumatic experiences because of conflict, gender-based violence, and torture (Inglis & Wright, 2018).

The city of Coventry in the United Kingdom (UK) has a long tradition of welcoming refugees and providing them sanctuary. It has become one of the major cities in the UK for the resettlement of Syrian and refugees from Arabic-speaking countries, after the city joined the VPRS in 2014 (Coventry City Council, 2011; Phillips et al., 2018). However, the local services struggle to meet the demands of this vulnerable group. It consists mainly of voluntary organizations, which support refugees and asylum-seekers (Phillips et al., 2018). Currently, counseling and art therapy on a limited scale are provided to the refugees by the

Coventry Refugee and Migrant Centre (CRMC). CRMC is a nongovernmental organization (NGO) that depends on external funding to be able to provide its “tier 2”¹ level services to beneficiaries aged 18 years and older.

Over the past several years, there have been many efforts to improve the services for the needs of this vulnerable group. Previous qualitative research conducted in Coventry and Warwickshire indicated that institutional prejudice and discrimination, media, and culture contributed to the marginalization of refugees within society (Brown et al., 2016; Goodman et al., 2014). It was also reported that systemic barriers have substantially affected provision, including austerity measures in the National Health Service (NHS), longer referral times, the introduction of clustering systems in adult mental health, and lack of expertise (Brown et al., 2016). The lack of cultural appropriateness of Western treatment modalities was also

¹ Targeted services, including early intervention, MIND Mental Health Charity, and services for Looked-After Children (LAC).

highlighted. In line with this, it was previously demonstrated that health care provided to asylum-seekers in the UK tended to be medically driven – rather than holistic in its approach and culturally and gender-sensitive as previous research recommended (Liebling et al., 2014). In contrast, it was previously argued that the cultural adaptation of the original evidence-based treatment modalities is key, and that intervention protocols should be modified to consider language, culture, and context as well as the client's cultural meanings and values (Sijbrandij et al., 2017). The World Health Organization (WHO, 2018) identified service evaluations for planning and provision as a key priority action area regarding the mental health of refugees and migrants. Previous research found that best working practices for refugees are scarce, and that there is a lack of research regarding how well existing services respond to the needs of refugees (Pourgourides, 2007). In a similar vein, regarding a mental-health needs assessment and service review for refugees and asylum seekers, Inglis and Wright (2018) stressed the lack of up-to-date data regarding the services provided to refugees in Coventry. Access to and provision of specialist mental-health services for vulnerable migrants, refugees, and children was identified as one of the major areas for improvement in this needs assessment for Coventry migrants (Phillips et al., 2018). In response to the gaps in the existing provision, a new Migrant Resilience and Well-Being Service (MRWS) was established in the Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Partnership Trust and named the Swan Centre located in Coventry in 2019. It supports refugees and asylum-seekers to increase their resilience while coping with the challenges of the asylum process, resettlement, empowerment, and recovery (Liebling et al., 2018). With the establishment of the new service, there was a need to address the challenges in the existing provision to continue to make improvements that will inform the newly established service.

Rationale for Research

Previous research revealed that refugees were hesitant to make criticisms of the care they received because of fears of retribution and being regarded as ungrateful (Liebling et al., 2014). Local research regarding staff's experiences of working with refugees also identified a knowledge gap among staff (Guhan & Liebling-Kalifani, 2011). An exploration of the experiences and views of service providers on the current mental-health and well-being services provided for Syrian refugees in Coventry was therefore crucial. Service providers consisted of participants with experience in either providing or planning the provision of services to refugees, particularly Syrian refugees in the resettlement scheme. By eliciting service providers' voices, this research highlights the challenges and gaps in the existing provision;

it contributes new knowledge and data that could be utilized for future evidence-based advocacy as well as improvement of holistic, cultural, and gender-sensitive mental-health and well-being services that are more responsive to the needs of refugees. The current literature in this area provides key information on the well-being and mental-health services provided for refugees in the region. To the best of our knowledge, however, no previous research has explored the experiences of mental-health and well-being services specifically for Syrian refugees in Coventry. Hence, we felt the need to bring to light the gaps and challenges in the existing provision to provide new knowledge and data to inform the new service working in collaboration with local service providers and based at the Swan Centre.

In addition, this research allows the voices and experiences of service providers to be utilized. In line with this, the researchers used a thematic analysis methodology – an approach that was sensitive to gender inequalities in service provision. Table 1 includes the research questions which were developed to aid the aim of the research.

Participants

The study was limited to the experiences and views of service providers. Participants met the inclusion criteria if they provided or planned provision of services to refugees and particularly Syrian refugees in the resettlement scheme, including psychologists, cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) therapists, medical doctors, counselors, public health, and community services staff. Table 2 summarizes the participant demographics.

Recruitment

The study used a purposeful sampling of service providers from a variety of services, with different experiences of either providing or planning provision of services to refugees and particularly Syrian refugees. The contact email addresses of service providers were provided by one of the authors who has been involved in planning specialized service provision for Syrian refugees. Nineteen service providers were invited by email to take part in the research and were asked to respond if they were interested. The first email was sent in February 2019. Information about the study was also included in the first email for potential participants. Participants had the option to participate in an individual interview or a focus group. Five participants initially expressed their interest in participating following the first email; three more participants expressed their interest by responding to a follow-up email sent in March 2019. One participant was excluded because of their late response which was received following the completion of the data collection process. In total, eight participants were recruited

Table 1. Research questions

-
- What are the experiences of the service providers with the current mental-health and well-being services provided?
 - Does the existing service provision enhance refugee resilience by using a holistic approach?
 - To what extent do the mental-health services utilize compassion, gender sensitivity, and – sensitivity in their responses?
 - What are the current gaps in the mental-health and well-being service provisions and what could be improved?
-

Table 2. Participant demographics

Sex	
Male	3
Female	5
Age group	
35–45	3
45–55	3
55–65	1
65+	1
Ethnicity category	
White	8
Job titles	
General Practitioner in Medical Practice	1
Assistant Professor	1
Senior Lecturer-Practitioner in Clinical Psychology	1
Psychological Therapist	1
Consultant in Public Health	1
Consultant Clinical Psychologist (Lead)	1
Psychotherapy Lead	1
Professional Lead	1
Length of time in position	
Range	16
Mean	8.5 years

from Coventry and Warwickshire Partnership Trust (CWPT), Public Health, and Coventry University in the UK. Those who were invited to participate in the research met the above criteria. A pilot interview with a researcher with research interests in the subject area took place first.

Methodology

Design

To address the gaps and challenges in the existing mental-health and well-being services for Syrian refugees in Coventry and to make recommendations for future improvements, we decided that this research should focus on the experiences and views of each service provider on the current services. Therefore, the aim was to explore the experiences and views of service providers to reflect the gaps and challenges in the existing service provision for Syrian refugees. For this reason, we adopted a direct-realist

approach to knowledge generation as we assumed that the experiences and views of service providers would reflect their reality (Willig, 2013). In this context, it was agreed that thematic analysis was a particularly well-suited method to provide important themes relevant to the research question under investigation and was compatible with the epistemological orientation of the researchers.

Thematic analysis is a theoretically flexible and useful approach that allows the researcher to analyze qualitative data (Attride-Stirling, 2001; Boyatzis, 1998; Braun & Clarke, 2006). We followed the six-phase guide to carrying out thematic analysis by Braun and Clarke (2006).

To provide a rich and detailed account of the data, we identified the themes and patterns within the data in a bottom-up way. The analytic process involved a rich description of patterns in semantic content to interpret significant patterns, their meanings, and implications (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Patton, 1990). Finally, to capture the experiences and meanings of the service providers in a straightforward, simple way, we followed a direct-realist approach.

Materials

We developed a semistructured interview schedule (see Table 3). Table 4 includes the prompts to the questions given to participants in case they struggled to respond (Jacob & Furgerson, 2012). To minimize any potential pitfalls, we first carried out a pilot interview; no procedural or other changes were made as a result of the pilot interview. We asked some general questions at the beginning of each interview to open the discussion and to make the participant feel comfortable.

Interview Procedure

We conducted four individual interviews and two focus groups. All interviews took place at a mutually convenient time and place as agreed upon with the participants. Two focus groups and two interviews took place in CWPT buildings within the region; one interview took place at the Coventry City Council and another interview took place at a local university.

The individual interviews lasted from approximately 25 minutes to approximately 70 minutes. The focus groups

Table 3. Interview schedule questions

Question 1	Could you tell me about your work with refugees, particularly Syrian refugees?
Question 2	What do you think are the mental health and well-being needs of Syrian refugees?
Question 3	What are your experiences of the current well-being and mental-health services for refugees, particularly Syrian refugees living in Coventry?
Question 4	What are your views of the current services for refugees, particularly Syrian refugees?
Question 5	What do you feel helps refugees, particularly Syrian refugees manage their difficulties?
Question 6	What are the reasons refugees, particularly Syrian refugees may not be able to manage their difficulties?
Question 7	Do you feel current mental-health and well-being services are sensitive to refugees', particularly Syrian refugees' needs?
Question 8	What are your experiences of the service responses to refugees, particularly Syrian refugees?
Question 9	What are your experiences of working with interpreters?
Question 10	What are your views regarding the cultural sensitivity of services for refugees, particularly Syrian refugees?
Question 11	What do you feel are the current gaps in mental-health and well-being services for refugees, particularly Syrian refugees?
Question 12	What do you feel would improve the quality of mental-health and well-being services and policy for refugees, particularly Syrian refugees? What could be done differently?
Question 13	Is there anything else you think it is important for me to know about your experiences of supporting and working with refugees, particularly Syrian refugees?

Table 4. Interview schedule questions' prompts

Question 1	Well-being needs; well-being services; mental health needs; mental-health services; holistic service provision (e.g., accommodation, legal advice, social services); Do you think these issues are different for Syrians?
Question 2	No prompts were used.
Question 3	Well-being needs; well-being services; mental health needs; mental-health services; trauma-informed services; holistic service provision (e.g., accommodation, legal advice, social services); creative approaches (e.g., art, music, drama)
Question 4	Do they enhance resilience? Do they use a holistic approach? (e.g., joined up, thinking about a range of services [legal, housing, physical health, mental health]) How do they work together? Facilities (e.g., access to rooms)
Question 5	Resilience; family support; counseling; cultural/customary support structures; voluntary organization; legal support; government support; interpreters; secure accommodation
Question 6	No prompts were used.
Question 7	Are they compassionate? Are they gender-sensitive? (e.g., do they take into account gender?); Do they listen? Do they ask about refugees' experiences? Are services sensitive to stigma/shame? Do they help Syrian refugees to trust?
Question 8	No prompts were used.
Question 9	Availability; sensitivity; knowledge of mental health/well-being issues of refugees
Question 10	To what extent do they involve local structures and refugees' families in resolving their problems?
Question 11	No prompts were used.
Question 12	No prompts were used.
Question 13	No prompts were used.

lasted from approximately 56 minutes to approximately 83 minutes. The duration of the interviews depended on how much the participants wished to talk, and each focus group had two participants. The interviews were audio-recorded using a dictation machine. Participants were given the time to read the participant information sheet and ask any questions they had. Additionally, they completed consent forms and demographic information sheets before the interview.

Ethical Approval

This research was reviewed and approved by the NHS Standards and Compliance Team of the Safety and Quality Department. Also, permission was granted by the Supervisory Committee of the Department of Psychology at the University of Warwick.

Standards and Guidelines

This research was conducted in line with the British Psychological Society (BPS) Code of Human Research Ethics (BPS, 2014). We also followed the ethical procedures according to the guidelines of the CWPT Standards and Compliance Team of the Safety and Quality Department and in line with the GDPR Regulation.

Informed Consent, Right to Withdrawal, Confidentiality, and Debriefing

Participation was voluntary, and participants had the right to refuse to participate. All data remain confidential and are stored on a CWPT network drive. The audio-recorded data were stored securely on a CWPT network drive until




Figure 1. Final thematic map after defining and refining.

the completion of the transcription process. The data collected will be stored for 10 years. The data collected and data analysis will remain strictly confidential with the existing data-controller, in this case, the CWPT. Participants were asked to permit the use of verbatim quotes in the reporting of the results. Because of space limitations, additional verbatim quotes are included in appendices, which can be found in the supplementary material (see Open Science section). Importantly, participants are not identifiable in the reporting of results, as we used pseudonyms for identification purposes.

Data Analysis

The data collected from the interviews were transcribed by the principal investigator. The process of transcription was a key phase of the data analysis as it allowed the researcher to familiarize herself with the data (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Riessman, 1993). Also, close attention was paid to transcribing the data, which facilitated the close reading (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Lapadat & Lindsay, 1999). The transcribed data were then read and reread, and any meanings and patterns were jotted down. Any ideas and patterns identified elements that were relevant to the research question and aim. The next phase involved the generation of initial codes. Through the process of coding extracts, we organized the data into meaningful groups (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Tuckett, 2005). We identified codes and then matched them up with data extracts and systematically organized them into tables. To facilitate the analysis, we gave each coded data extract a number. We then sorted the different-numbered coded extracts into candidate themes, at which time we used mind-maps. This resulted in a collection of potential themes, subthemes, and a list of all coded extracts of data. The next phase involved

reviewing and refining the themes. We once again read all collated extracts for each theme and examined whether they appeared to form a coherent pattern (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Any subtheme that did not fit in was discarded, and its extracts were collated to an already-existing theme. The next step in this phase involved the consideration of themes in relation to the dataset. At this level, we considered the validity of individual themes and the accuracy of the candidate thematic map (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The next phase began only once a clear and coherent idea of the various themes and how they fitted together had been obtained. Further “define-and-refine” took place, and then we identified the “essence” of each theme as well as determining what aspect of the data each theme encapsulates (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The final thematic map can be found in Figure 1. The last phase involved the analysis and write-up of the findings, presented in the next section.

Results

A thematic analysis of the data revealed three main themes with 11 associated subthemes (see Table 5). The first theme of “positive aspects of service delivery” summarized the positive views of service providers regarding service delivery. It includes three subthemes: “meridian practice,” “emerging refugee well-being pathway,” and “community-based organizations.” The subthemes reflect the specific examples of positive aspects of service delivery discussed by the participants. The second theme of “service challenges” concerned the experiences and views of service providers regarding the gaps and challenges in the mental-health and well-being services provided for Syrian refugees in Coventry, before the establishment of the Swan Centre. It includes three subthemes: “discrimination and context

Table 5. Main themes and subthemes

Main themes	Subthemes
Positive aspects of service Delivery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Meridian (GP) practice – Emerging refugee well-being pathway – Community-based organizations
Service challenges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Discrimination and context increase distress – Interpreting services – Systemic and service-level gaps
Recommendations for service Improvements and quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Interpreting services – Further research – Policy recommendations – Service provider engagement in tackling prejudice – Service-level recommendations

increase distress,” “interpreting services,” and “systemic and service-level gaps.”

The third theme of “recommendations for service improvements and quality” encapsulated the suggestions of service providers regarding service improvements and quality. It includes five subthemes: “interpreting services,” “further research,” “policy recommendations,” “service provider engagement in tackling prejudice” and “service-level recommendations.” The themes and subthemes are discussed below. Verbatim quotes from participants’ interviews are utilized to illustrate the themes in more detail.

Positive Aspects of Service Delivery

All eight participants acknowledged that there were positive aspects in current service delivery. Participants referred to the three subthemes “meridian practice,” “emerging refugee well-being pathway,” and “community-based organizations” as prominent examples.

Meridian Practice

Participants viewed this service in Coventry as a culturally sensitive and responsive service that strives to utilize a holistic approach and recognize the complexities of the refugee population. Ian views the local Meridian Practice as a good service, and referring to its expertise, however, also identifies the barriers in access to the service:

“... we’ve got really good refugee focus GP surgery in the city and that’s ... absolutely fantastic work, really focused, really aware of the levels of trauma refugees have experienced, some of the cultural sensitivities ... and so anyone who goes through that generally going to get really good services. The problem is not everyone gets through that and then they don’t necessarily get such good services ...” (Ian, interview 1)

Emerging Refugee Well-Being Pathway

The MRWS (the Swan Centre) has been recognized as a positive development in service delivery. Sam, who has been involved in planning the new Swan Centre, emphasized the current efforts to improve quality with the establishment of the new service:

“... the change now that we’ve got is that actually the environment should be much more likely to be right for refugees. As we are focusing specifically on a small number of people and we better understand their circumstances, we’re going to have a ... dedicated room available, and indeed we’ll go to the Syrian people’s homes and we’ll go with an interpreter ... we are in contact with the Council who manages the Syrian Resettlement process, and so we are very unlikely to let anybody slip through the net ...” (Sam, interview 5)

Community-Based Organizations

Importantly, participants recognized the contribution of community-based organizations in offering specialized services, despite their extremely long waiting lists. An evident example was given by Vicky, a general practitioner (GP):

“... Coventry Rape and Sexual Abuse Centre in our experience is an absolutely excellent specialized service for that category of mental-health problems. The problem is although they’d do an assessment often about within 6 weeks something like that, 6 to 8 weeks, they have a waiting list of 9 to 12 months.” (Vicky, interview 2)

Service Challenges

All eight participants talked about specific challenges and gaps in the current mental-health and well-being services provided for Syrian refugees in the region. Participants

identified “discrimination and context increase distress,” “interpreting services,” and “systemic and service-level gaps” as the main challenges.

Discrimination and Context Increase Distress

Vicky talked about bias arising from service providers and explained:

“... I think I’ve even heard some mental health CPNs² say ... I think there’s bias against ... there’s a devaluation of their experiences and a kind of cynicism.” (Vicky, interview 2)

Also, George, a psychologist, emphasized the unwillingness and avoidance of mental-health staff to work with this population and stated:

“... And I think the process of referring out kind of reinforces this kind of ‘not us’ kind of idea I suppose that the health service can’t deal with refugees and somehow they belong somewhere else, and they don’t belong within the statutory system.” (George, focus group 1)

Interpreting Services

All eight participants talked about gaps in interpreting services. Issues regarding the interpreter’s origin, confidentiality, lack of knowledge of mental health and training, punctuality and consistency, availability, sex, and the risk of vicarious trauma with interpreters were discussed. George talked about the impact that the interpreter’s origin can have on the trust and accuracy of the interpretation and said:

“... I’ve fallen foul of this myself even with attempts to plan to avoid this, you get a Syrian from the wrong tribe or background, and it makes a massive difference in terms of trust, of the accuracy of the interpretation ... the idea that somebody speaks the same language ... will make it okay just isn’t the case.” (George, focus group 1)

Furthermore, Mary, a consultant in public health, noted the issues of lack of experience and consistency in interpreters:

“... we can find that the interpreters are inexperienced, sometimes they don’t turn up, which is not good ...” (Mary, interview 4)

Sam, a consultant-lead clinical psychologist, further indicated the risk of vicarious trauma in interpreters:

“... There’s another issue as well about ... trauma, vicarious in the interpreters themselves ...” (Sam, interview 5)

Ester, a psychotherapy lead, also highlighted issues regarding the lack of availability of interpreters, especially within the Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) where constant communication with parents is sometimes required. She acknowledged that the lack of resources was a determining factor for the limited availability. Additionally, she highlighted confidentiality as a great challenge, giving an example in CAMHS (see Appendix A in Supplementary Material). Vicky also raised issues regarding the importance of considering the sex of the interpreter as well as their qualifications and background which impact the quality of the interpretation (see Appendix A in Supplementary Material).

Systemic and Service-Level Gaps

All eight participants identified systemic and service-level gaps in the existing provision. Ian pointed out the contribution of the system to exacerbating Syrian refugees’ symptoms and said:

“... a lot of what we do to refugees in the country adds trauma rather than supports through the trauma, so they’ve already fled.” (Ian, interview 1)

Participants talked about various service-level gaps, which seemed to be universal in the local services. There was a consensus about the lack of expertise in mental-health services, and Lisa specifically talked about the lack of knowledge and cultural sensitivity. Further, George raised doubts about the appropriateness of Western treatment modalities when working with Syrian refugees and emphasized the lack of consideration for family context in some situations. Additionally, participants talked about practical issues, like the long waiting lists and obstacles to accessing certain services, like the “Improving Access to Psychological Therapies” (IAPT) Service, despite the service being appropriate for the client at the given time (see Appendix B in the Supplementary Material). Importantly, George addressed the absence of a holistic care plan:

“... I think the complexity doesn’t necessarily fit with the way that services are designed. Services tend to look in individual boxes... that services don’t really get their needs adequately met.” (George, focus group 1)

Although participants showed agreement in their responses about the service-level gaps, two of the eight participants currently working in CAMHS talked about additional gaps specific to CAMHS:

“... there’s a gap ... in terms of ... unaccompanied, separated children ... , we’re just seeing those young

² Community Psychiatric Nurses (CPNs).

people within the ‘looked-after-children’s’ system and service, which some of that ... provision is ... can be similar, but some of it is very very different ... so it doesn’t ... cover the need ..., I don’t think at the minute.” (Anna, focus group 2)

Recommendations for Service Improvements and Quality

The third main theme of “recommendations for service improvements and quality” emerged from the analysis and was concerned with participants’ suggestions for improvements in service provision. All participants provided information to support the subthemes of “interpreting services,” “further research,” “policy recommendations,” “service provider engagement in tackling prejudice” and “service-level recommendations.”

Interpreting Services

All eight participants made suggestions for improving interpreting services. Lisa suggested training to improve the quality of interpretation and said:

“...for your therapy or service provision to be effective and of good quality, you need very good-quality, well-trained, gender-sensitive interpreters.” (Lisa, focus group 1)

George drew attention to the importance of allowing time to debrief the interpreter and said:

“The good practice with interpreting sessions is probably 20 minutes before, 20 minutes afterward something like that, in terms of a debrief, ensuring that interpreters aren’t traumatized, that they’ve understood the goals that you’ve actually ... that the translation that’s been given is consistent with your understanding and that the terminology ... is okay ... that ... the aims of the session beforehand are properly explained.” (George, focus group 1)

Additionally, Sam suggested the use of telephone interpreting services, highlighting that some people prefer this choice because of the anonymity it offers:

“ ... some ... people almost prefer that in a way because it feels more anonymous ... and they don’t have of the same worries that I’ve just referred to earlier.” (Sam, interview 5)

Future Research

A recommendation for future research and development was to explore the experiences and views of Syrian service users. George highlighted the importance of considering

service users’ views when evaluating the service provided to them, as well as identifying the gaps and challenges and stated:

“... Part of the answer is we don’t know because we haven’t asked them ...” (George, focus group 1)

Policy Recommendations

Mary recognized that additional funding was vital to be able to establish a new specialized service that will meet the needs of Syrian refugees. Mary explained as follows:

“... it was going to be difficult ... to try to establish a new service or to try to meet the needs of ... Syrian refugees if we didn’t look at ... some additional funding and additional ... resource for the service ...” (Mary, interview 4)

Moreover, Ian talked about a humane system that treats refugees as “legitimate”:

“... the system needs to be a much more humane system ...” (Ian, interview 1)

Lisa argued that the new MRWS should extend in the future by responding to the needs of all refugees regardless of whether they are under the VPRS or not and stated:

“... this is a very limited ... it’s been funded from this pot of money for the Syrian Resettlement Scheme ... but for me, there’s a big issue around equating services, so our aim would be to evaluate and then feedback ... to the Home Office ... what’s working, what’s not working ... so, argue for more resources. But if it’s working, it’s also argued that resources are given to all refugees.” (Lisa, focus group 1)

Service Provider Engagement in Tackling Prejudice

The engagement of various service providers and the role of society in tackling prejudice were given special value in participants’ responses as Sam explained:

“... We need awareness-raising, at the lowest level we need awareness-raising in the system ... about the plight of Syrian people and how ... we can best support their mental-health ... needs ... and that can be through job centers, Citizens Advice, and health services, GP practices, the points at which Syrian people would come into contact with the public services. That’s where we need to raise the awareness, schools ...” (Sam, interview 5)

Service-Level Recommendations

All participants made proposals for the establishment and continuous improvement of holistic, cultural- and

gender-sensitive mental-health and well-being services for Syrian refugees. Lisa made the following recommendations, which sum up the most important key points by stating:

“... a model that recognizes the impact of traumatic experiences as normal responses, not labeling and viewing people as ... resilient ... providing very good screening, assessment, and treatment of people who have suffered very bad ... because ... recovering the body and mind go hand-in-hand ... so ... the physical approach as well ... being sensitive to stigma and shame ... there are lots of reason why people won't come to services because of stigma and shame ... making sure we have quality interpreters, training ... trying to change attitude ... trying to also have ... positive things to do with ... refugees, projects ... engagement of refugees, and projects like ... redecorating the building ... doing positive things together ..., normal things ... so engaging Syrian people ... more funding and resources is key ...” (Lisa, focus group 1)

Anna suggested the adoption of the “Scottish Guardianship Service,” which supports children and young people who have been separated from their parents and provides advice, guidance, and one-to-one support (see Appendix C in the Supplementary Material).

Additionally, Ester focused on the importance of securing children's basic needs before therapeutic intervention and said:

“What we ideally want ... it's the most effective way clinically to work is to wait until social care, housing, everybody else's sorted these things out. And then we can work with them.” (Ester, focus group 2)

Summary and Discussion

This research investigated the experiences and views of service providers regarding the mental-health and well-being services for Syrian refugees in Coventry and Warwickshire, before the establishment of the Swan Centre refugee well-being service. A thematic analysis of the data revealed three main themes: “positive aspects of service delivery,” “service challenges,” and “recommendations for service improvement and quality.” These are explored within the context of the present study's aims and concerning existing literature.

As previously argued, even when mental health and psychosocial support services are available, Syrian refugees may still encounter obstacles in accessing them

(Hassan et al., 2016). In this respect, the current study brought to light several systemic and service-level gaps in the existing services as well as issues in interpreting services. Findings revealed gaps in the existing provision, including the lack of expertise, knowledge, and cultural sensitivity, obstacles in accessing certain services, including the IAPT Primary Care Psychology Service and prejudice and discrimination from some service providers. In line with the present findings, earlier research in the same region reported that refugees experienced obstacles to mental-health services (Valentine et al., 2016). These included low standard of service provision, delays in being seen, barriers to access, and having to seek assistance through interpreters. Similarly, in a recent scoping review, which included semistructured interviews with stakeholders from NGOs, NHS, academia, and community groups in the UK, the authors concluded that, while mental-health support for refugees and asylum-seekers is crucial, both access to and quality of mental healthcare for this group is limited (Pollard & Howard, 2021).

In addition, the current study highlighted that the interpreter's background can negatively impact the helping relationship. In line with this finding, previous research reported that using interpreters from the client's network can be problematic in the context of psychosocial interventions because of confidentiality concerns and the potential risk of vicarious trauma and the current study (Sijbrandij et al., 2017).

Further, “discrimination and context increase distress” was identified as another challenge in services. Likewise, previous qualitative research reported that refugees experienced discrimination because of their race, religion, or immigration status from practitioners in the NHS (Kang et al., 2019). Further, Allsopp et al. (2014) argued that racial and ethnic disparities persist in access to healthcare. In their review, Hassan et al. (2016) stressed the importance of the context of service delivery. It was argued that psychosocial programs can facilitate access and reduce stigma if they are provided in nonpsychiatric settings, for instance, through women's groups, child-friendly settings, and schools. Additionally, safe spaces are particularly necessary for refugee survivors of violence and abuse and can enable them to discuss private and personal issues, including emotions and life changes, as well as more sensitive concerns including domestic violence (Hassan et al., 2016; Mercy Corps, 2014).

All participants made suggestions for future improvements in mental health, well-being, and interpreting services including policy recommendations. The participants recommended the use of a comprehensive approach in services to holistically respond to the health and well-being needs of Syrian refugees. In the same manner, earlier research findings demonstrated that the use of holistic frameworks for the design and delivery of services was

more appropriate for conflict-affected populations coming from non-Western cultures (Allsopp et al., 2014; Liebling-Kalifani et al., 2008; Liebling-Kalifani et al., 2009; Palmer & Ward, 2007; Watters, 2001). Therapy is unlikely to be effective if refugees' needs are not adequately addressed holistically, including in areas such as housing, welfare issues, finances, advocacy work, and social activities (Allsopp et al., 2014; Chantler, 2012; Watters, 2001).

The participants in the present study stressed the importance of training for interpreters as well as providing adequate debriefing. Previous research provided additional evidence for the present findings as it suggested that mental-health practitioners should ensure that interpreters are well trained as well as being conscious of the potential stress placed on interpreters and provide debriefing after the interview, with follow-up if deemed necessary (Hassan et al., 2016; Holmgren et al., 2003; Tribe & Morrissey, 2004). Moreover, the present findings stressed the lack of Arabic women interpreters as well as the importance of having gender-sensitive interpreters for psychosocial interventions. Similarly, it was previously postulated that matching an interpreter and client for sex, age, and religion was often helpful, particularly in consultations or meetings concerning sexual assault or domestic violence (Nijad, 2003; Patel, 2003; Tribe & Morrissey, 2004). In addition to interpreters' training, Tribe (2017) emphasizes health-workers' training in working with interpreters. Building a relationship of mutual respect and trust is essential between health-workers, interpreters, and patients (Brandenberger et al., 2019; Tribe, 2017).

Policy recommendations include the need for additional funding to implement the new pilot MRWS as well as extending the specialist pathway and services to all refugees, regardless of whether they are under the VPRS or not. Importantly, in the Migrant Needs' Assessment Report of the Coventry City Council (2018), the commission and provision of specialist mental-health services, particularly for vulnerable migrants and children was made as a cross-cutting recommendation and set as one of the main priorities for migrants in the region (Phillips et al., 2018). In line with this, Pollard and Howard (2021) call for the need for time-sensitive and culturally appropriate approaches for refugees and asylum-seekers, as well as additional funding and resource support from the UK Government.

In 2019, the Home Office announced the renewal and extension of its scheme, committing to accepting approximately 5,000 refugees between 2020 and 2021, while it confirmed that people from other countries facing conflict would be included in the scheme (May, 2019). Nevertheless, the resettlement of refugees was paused in March 2020 amid the unprecedented restrictions resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. Arrivals recommenced in December 2020, and the last refugees arrived in

February 2021. The final number of refugees who arrived in the UK under the VPRS between 2014 and 2021 was 20,319. The Home Office has committed to continuing to offer refuge for refugees in need under the new UK Resettlement Scheme (UKRS), the Community Sponsorship, and the Mandate Resettlement Scheme (UK Visas and Immigration Office, 2021). This reiterates the need to improve service provision to adequately and effectively respond to the needs of the newcomers.

Implications for Clinical Practice

The findings from this research offer new insights and significant contributions to identifying and understanding the challenges and gaps in the existing provision for Syrian refugees in Coventry.

The findings suggest that, although there are significant positive aspects and developments in service delivery, including the Meridian Practice, the new Refugee Well-Being Services, and the contributions of community-based organizations, including the Coventry Refugee and Migrant Centre. However, systemic and service-level gaps as well as gaps in interpreting services still influence the quality of the services for Syrian refugees in Coventry. In addition, the discrimination that refugees may face in services may act as a "postmigration stressor" and contribute to additional stress and isolation (Hassan et al., 2016). These results link well with previous studies, which indicated that refugees' mental-health problems could be improved if providers' attitudes, socioeconomic and cultural barriers, the hostile environment, and increasing constraints because of Brexit were adequately addressed (Hiam et al., 2018; Pollard & Howard, 2021).

Therefore, to tackle the abovementioned gaps and challenges in the existing provision, it would be helpful for service providers and policymakers to be supported in finding new ways of improving the quality of services that would be sensitive and responsive to the complex needs and culture of vulnerable populations and have more positive outcomes. For instance, improving the social determinants of psychological distress, including poor housing and financial stability for refugee families, can reduce the extra burden on NHS and mental-health providers. Poor social circumstances are linked to psychological distress, which in turn place a heavy burden on mental-health services (Murphy & Vieten, 2017; Pollard & Howard, 2021; Quinn, 2014). Good mental-health practice needs to include the promotion of the social integration of refugees, since social isolation and unemployment have been associated with a higher prevalence of mental disorders in this population (Bogic et al., 2015; WHO, 2018). Cultural adaptations of evidence-based mental-health interventions and provider training can assist mental-health professionals in

responding to the needs of this vulnerable group. Furthermore, connecting service users with providers from similar backgrounds can be a way to improve service capacity (Pollard & Howard, 2021; Strang & Quinn, 2019). The use of telephone interpreting services was made as a recommendation, because of the anonymity it offers and as an appropriate forum in light of the COVID-19 situation. Such tools can be used as substitutes for face-to-face interpretation and are a valuable tool, especially during this COVID-19 era.

Limitations and Recommendations for Future Research

This research was restricted to the experiences and views of eight service providers working in CWPT, Public Health, and Coventry University. Although the research findings provided significant data from white-identified experiences and views of service providers on the existing services provided for Syrian refugees in Coventry, the research lacks important contributions from the perspectives of the service users. Future research would benefit from meaningful service user involvement (Minogue & Girdlestone, 2010) to adequately identify their own lived experiences and well-being needs, including their views of improvements to current services to enhance their well-being, health, and resilience.

Some issues raised in the interviews were beyond the scope of this research. Participants identified gaps and challenges in service provision for asylum-seekers, as well as non-Syrian refugees, and results demonstrated that there are several differences in the service responses to these populations. Future research also needs to investigate the experiences of mental-health and well-being services provided for asylum-seekers and other groups of refugees who are not included within the Syrian vulnerable person's resettlement scheme.

Reflexivity

The authors' active involvement meant that the data collected were cocreated with participants (Banister, 2011). Thus, reflective practice was imperative throughout the research. The first author is a woman, white, and cisgender, a graduate Master's student at the University of Warwick at the time of the research who worked as an honorary assistant psychologist at the local NHS Trust. She led the data collection and analysis, is fluent in English, which allowed for the participants to feel comfortable sharing their experiences and views in a way they felt could best express their thoughts and feelings. The researcher's location was

informed by several influences, notably her own past experiences with refugees. Her academic and research interests revolve around social change toward more equitable and psychologically healthier societies that are more socially just and peaceful. Hence, her approach to knowledge generation assumed that exploring the experiences of service providers reflected the existing service provision for Syrian refugees, which needed to be better understood. During the research, the researcher kept a diary, met regularly with the team of researchers and practitioners, and discussed the data to ensure that her own subjectivity was managed.

Conclusion

Despite its limitations, this study makes a unique contribution to our understanding of the existing mental-health provisions for Syrian refugees in Coventry and Warwickshire, UK. Although the study largely confirms existing literature providing further evidence that refugees face barriers in attending existing services, it also reveals novel findings. This research was fully engaged with service providers, who either provided or planned the provision of services to refugees and particularly Syrian refugees in the resettlement scheme. It is the first known study to explore the experiences of mental-health and well-being services for Syrian refugees in this region. The findings demonstrate that there are several systemic and service-level gaps in the existing services and interpreting services. The use of a more integrated/holistic approach connecting physical, mental, and social care in services was recommended by those interviewed. The importance of training for interpreters and the provision of sufficient debriefing is also advised. Participants from Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) underlined additional gaps specific to Children's Services. It is recommended that greater emphasis be placed on promoting refugees' resilience and their psychological well-being by supporting greater social integration and taking action to improve refugees' lives to reduce and prevent mental-health problems.

References

- Allsopp, J., Sigona, N., & Phillimore, J. (2014). *Poverty among refugees and asylum seekers in the UK: An evidence and policy review* (Ser. IRiS Working Paper Series). Institute for Research into Superdiversity.
- Attride-Stirling, J. (2001). Thematic networks: An analytic tool for qualitative research. *Qualitative Research*, 1(3), 385–405. <https://doi.org/10.1177/146879410100100307>
- Banister, P. (2011). *Qualitative methods in psychology: A research guide*. Open University Press.

- Bogic, M., Njoku, A., & Priebe, S. (2015). Long-term mental health of war-refugees: A systematic literature review. *BMC International Health and Human Rights*, 15(1), 1–41. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12914-015-0064-9>
- Boyatzis, R. E. (1998). *Transforming qualitative information: Thematic analysis and code development*. Sage Publications.
- Brandenberger, J., Tylleskär, T., Sontag, K., Peterhans, B., & Ritz, N. (2019). A systematic literature review of reported challenges in health care delivery to migrants and refugees in high-income countries: the 3C model. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7049-x>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a>
- Brown, S., Liebling, H., Goodman, S., & Zazai, S. (2016). *Service providers' experiences of the well-being and mental health needs of refugees* (Unpublished manuscript).
- Chantler, K. (2012). Gender, asylum seekers and mental distress: Challenges for mental health social work. *British Journal of Social Work*, 42(2), 318–334. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcr062>
- Coventry City Council. (2011, January 3). *Refugee resettlement schemes: Newly arrived communities*. https://www.coventry.gov.uk/info/51/welcome_to_coventry/548/newly_arrived_communities/2
- Goodman, S., Burke, S., Liebling, H., & Zasada, D. (2014). "I can't go back because if I go back I would die": How asylum seekers manage talk about returning home by highlighting the importance of safety. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 25(4), 327–339. <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2217>
- Guhan, R., & Liebling-Kalifani, H. (2011). The experiences of staff working with refugees and asylum seekers in the United Kingdom: A grounded theory exploration. *Journal of Immigrant & Refugee Studies*, 9(3), 205–228. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15562948.2011.592804>
- Hassan, G., Ventevogel, P., Jefe-Bahloul, H., Barkil-Oteo, A., & Kirmayer, L. J. (2016). Mental health and psychosocial well-being of Syrians affected by armed conflict. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 25(2), 129–141. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s2045796016000044>
- Hiam, L., Steele, S., & McKee, M. (2018). Creating a "hostile environment for migrants": The British Government's use of Health Service data to restrict immigration is a very bad idea. *Health Economics, Policy and Law*, 13(2), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1744133117000251>
- Holmgren, H., Søndergaard, H., & Elklit, A. (2003). Stress and coping in traumatised interpreters: A pilot study of refugee interpreters working for a humanitarian organisation. *Intervention*, 1, 22–28.
- Inglis, N., & Wright, N. (2018). *Mental health needs assessment and service review for refugees and asylum seekers*. <https://www.lfphwm.org.uk>
- Jacob, S. A., & Furgerson, S. P. (2012). Writing interview protocols and conducting interviews: Tips for students new to the field of qualitative research. *The Qualitative Report*, 17(2), 1–10.
- Kang, C., Tomkow, L., & Farrington, R. (2019). Access to primary health care for asylum seekers and refugees: A qualitative study of service user experiences in the UK. *British Journal of General Practice*, 69(685), 537–545. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19x701309>
- Lapadat, J. C., & Lindsay, A. C. (1999). Transcription in research and practice: From standardization of technique to interpretative positionings. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 5(1), 64–86. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107780049900500104>
- Liebling-Kalifani, H., Marshall, A., Ojiambo-Ochieng, R., & Nassozi, M. (2009). Experiences of women war-torture survivors in Uganda: Implications for health and human rights. In S. K. Multani (Ed.), *Women and armed conflicts: Consequences and coping mechanisms* (pp. 129–150). The ICFAI University Press.
- Liebling-Kalifani, H., Ojiambo-Ochieng, R., Marshall, A., Were-Oguttu, J., Musisi, S., & Kinyanda, E. (2008). Violence against women in northern Uganda: The neglected health consequences of war. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, 9(3), 174–192. <http://www.bridgew.edu/soas/jiws/May08/WarUganda.pdf>
- Liebling, H., Burke, S., Goodman, S., & Zasada, D. (2014). Understanding the experiences of asylum seekers. *International Journal of Migration, Health and Social Care*, 10(4), 207–219. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMHC-06-2013-0016>
- Liebling, H., Wright, N., & Inglis, N. (2018). *Migrant resilience and well-being service: A holistic trauma-informed model of care* (Unpublished manuscript).
- May, A. (2019). *Reaction: UK's refugee scheme extended!*. Citizens UK. https://www.citizensuk.org/reaction_uk_s_refugee_scheme_extended
- Mercy Corps. (2014). *Advancing adolescence: Getting Syrian refugee and host-community adolescents back on track*. Save the Children. <https://resourcecentre.savethechildren.net/node/8434/pdf/mercy-corps-syrian-adolescence-assessment.pdf>
- Minogue, V., & Girdlestone, J. (2010). Building capacity for service user and carer involvement in research. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 23(4), 422–435. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09526861011037470>
- Murphy, F., & Vieten, U. M. (2017). *Asylum seekers' and refugee's experiences of life in Northern Ireland: Report of the first study on the situation of asylum seekers and refugees in NI – 2016*. Queen's University Belfast.
- Nijad, F. (2003). A day in the life of an interpreting service. In R. Tribe & H. Raval (Eds.), *Working with interpreters in mental health* (pp. 77–91). Routledge.
- Palmer, D., & Ward, K. (2007). "Lost": Listening to the voices and mental health needs of forced migrants in London. *Medicine, Conflict and Survival*, 23(3), 198–212. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13623690701417345>
- Patel, N. (2003). Speaking with the silent: Addressing issues of dis-empowerment when working with refugee people. In R. Tribe & H. Raval (Eds.), *Working with interpreters in mental health* (pp. 219–237). Routledge.
- Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Phillips, A., Feloy, M., Capitani, J., Martin-Jones, N., Ahluwalia, P., Miraj, S., & Inglis, N. (2018). *Migrant needs' assessment*. Coventry City Council. https://www.coventry.gov.uk/downloads/download/5281/2018_migrant_needs_assessment
- Pollard, T., & Howard, N. (2021). Mental healthcare for asylum-seekers and refugees residing in the United Kingdom: A scoping review of policies, barriers, and enablers. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 15(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-021-00473-z>
- Pourgoirides, C. (2007). Dilemmas in the treatment of asylum seekers. *Psychiatry*, 6(2), 56–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mppsy.2006.11.009>
- Quinn, N. (2014). Participatory action research with asylum seekers and refugees experiencing stigma and discrimination: The experience from Scotland. *Disability & Society*, 29(1), 58–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2013.769863>
- Riessman, C. K. (1993). *Narrative analysis*. Sage.
- Sijbrandij, M., Acarturk, C., Bird, M., Bryant, R. A., Burchert, S., Carswell, K., & Cuijpers, P. (2017). Strengthening mental health care systems for Syrian refugees in Europe and the Middle East: Integrating scalable psychological interventions in eight countries. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 8(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2017.1388102>

- Strang, A. B., & Quinn, N. (2019). Integration or isolation? Refugees' social connections and well-being. *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 34(1), 328–353. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jrs/fez040>
- The British Psychological Society. (2014). *Code of human research ethics*. https://www.ed.ac.uk/files/atoms/files/bps_code_of_human_research_ethics.pdf
- Tribe, R. (2017). *Working with interpreters: Guidelines for psychologists*. British Psychological Society. <https://www.bps.org.uk/news-and-policy/working-interpreters-guidelines-psychologists>
- Tribe, R., & Morrissey, J. (2004). Good practice issues in working with interpreters in mental health. *Interventions*, 2(2), 129–142.
- Tuckett, A. G. (2005). Applying thematic analysis theory to practice: A researcher's experience. *Contemporary Nurse*, 19(1–2), 75–87. <https://doi.org/10.5172/conu.19.1-2.75>
- UK Visas and Immigration Office. (2021). *Vulnerable persons and vulnerable children's resettlement schemes factsheet*. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-resettlement-schemes-factsheet-march-2021/vulnerable-persons-and-vulnerable-childrens-resettlement-schemes-factsheet-march-2021>
- Valentine, D., Liebling, H., Goodman, S., & Zazai, S. (2016). *Refugees' experiences of well-being and mental health needs in Coventry and Warwickshire* (Unpublished manuscript).
- Watters, C. (2001). Emerging paradigms in the mental health care of refugees. *Social Science & Medicine*, 52(11), 1709–1718. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536\(00\)00284-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536(00)00284-7)
- World Health Organization. (2018). *Mental health promotion and mental health care in refugees and migrants*. WHO.
- Willig, C. (2013). *Introducing qualitative research in psychology*. McGraw Hill Education, Open University Press.

History

Received September 7, 2021
Accepted December 20, 2021

Open Data and Analytic Methods

The information needed to reproduce all the reported results is not openly accessible.

Open Materials

The information needed to reproduce all the reported methodology is not openly accessible. The material is available on request from the corresponding author.

Supplementary Material (Appendices)

Available on <https://doi.org/10.23668/psycharchives.5276>

Eleni Anastasiou, MBPsS, MSc

Department of Psychology
University of Cyprus
University Avenue 1
2109 Aglantzia, Nicosia
Cyprus
anastasiou.a.eleni@ucy.ac.cy