

## Pappus's Hexagon Theorem in Real Projective Plane<sup>1</sup>

Roland Coghetto cafr-MSA2P asbl Rue de la Brasserie 5 7100 La Louvière, Belgium

**Summary.** In this article we prove, using Mizar [2], [1], the Pappus's hexagon theorem in the real projective plane: "Given one set of collinear points A, B, C, and another set of collinear points a, b, c, then the intersection points X, Y, Z of line pairs Ab and aB, Ac and aC, Bc and bC are collinear".

More precisely, we prove that the structure ProjectiveSpace TOP-REAL3 [10] (where TOP-REAL3 is a metric space defined in [5]) satisfies the Pappus's axiom defined in [11] by Wojciech Leończuk and Krzysztof Prażmowski. Eugeniusz Kusak and Wojciech Leończuk formalized the Hessenberg theorem early in the MML [9]. With this result, the real projective plane is Desarguesian.

For proving the Pappus's theorem, two different proofs are given. First, we use the techniques developed in the section "Projective Proofs of Pappus's Theorem" in the chapter "Pappos's Theorem: Nine proofs and three variations" [12]. Secondly, Pascal's theorem [4] is used.

In both cases, to prove some lemmas, we use Prover9<sup>3</sup>, the successor of the Otter prover and ott2miz by Josef Urban<sup>4</sup> [13], [8], [7].

In Coq, the Pappus's theorem is proved as the application of Grassmann-Cayley algebra [6] and more recently in Tarski's geometry [3].

MSC: 51N15 03B35 68V20

Keywords: Pappus's Hexagon Theorem; real projective plan; Grassmann-

Plücker relation; Prover9

MML identifier: PAPPUS, version: 8.1.11 5.66.1402

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work has been supported by the "Centre autonome de formation et de recherche en mathématiques et sciences avec assistants de preuve" ASBL (non-profit organization). Enterprise number: 0777.779.751. Belgium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pappus's\_hexagon\_theorem

<sup>3</sup>https://www.cs.unm.edu/~mccune/prover9/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See its homepage https://github.com/JUrban/ott2miz

### 1. Preliminaries

From now on a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i denote real numbers and M denotes a square matrix over  $\mathbb{R}$  of dimension 3.

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Suppose  $M = \langle \langle a, b, c \rangle, \langle d, e, f \rangle, \langle g, h, i \rangle \rangle$ . Then Det  $M = a \cdot e \cdot i c \cdot e \cdot g a \cdot f \cdot h + b \cdot f \cdot g b \cdot d \cdot i + c \cdot d \cdot h$ .
- (2) Let us consider elements  $P_1$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $P_5$  of the projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^3$ , and elements  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ ,  $p_4$ ,  $p_5$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^3$ . Suppose  $p_1$  is not zero and  $P_1$  = the direction of  $p_1$  and  $p_4$  is not zero and  $P_4$  = the direction of  $p_4$  and  $p_5$  is not zero and  $P_5$  = the direction of  $p_5$  and  $P_1$ ,  $P_4$  and  $P_5$  are collinear. Then  $\langle |p_1, p_2, p_4| \rangle \cdot \langle |p_1, p_3, p_5| \rangle = \langle |p_1, p_2, p_5| \rangle \cdot \langle |p_1, p_3, p_4| \rangle$ .
- (3) Let us consider non zero real numbers  $r_{416}$ ,  $r_{415}$ ,  $r_{413}$ ,  $r_{418}$ ,  $r_{419}$ ,  $r_{412}$ ,  $r_{712}$ ,  $r_{746}$ ,  $r_{716}$ ,  $r_{742}$ ,  $r_{715}$ ,  $r_{743}$ ,  $r_{713}$ ,  $r_{745}$ ,  $r_{749}$ ,  $r_{718}$ ,  $r_{719}$ ,  $r_{748}$ . Suppose  $(-r_{412}) \cdot (-r_{713}) = (-r_{413}) \cdot (-r_{712})$  and  $(-r_{415}) \cdot (-r_{719}) = (-r_{419}) \cdot (-r_{715})$  and  $(-r_{418}) \cdot (-r_{716}) = (-r_{416}) \cdot (-r_{718})$  and  $(-r_{745}) \cdot r_{416} = (-r_{746}) \cdot r_{415}$  and  $(-r_{748}) \cdot r_{413} = (-r_{743}) \cdot r_{418}$  and  $(-r_{742}) \cdot r_{419} = (-r_{749}) \cdot r_{412}$  and  $r_{712} \cdot r_{746} = r_{716} \cdot r_{742}$  and  $r_{715} \cdot r_{743} = r_{713} \cdot r_{745}$ . Then  $r_{718} \cdot r_{749} = r_{719} \cdot r_{748}$ .

# 2. Some Technical Lemmas Proved by Prover9 and Translated with Help of ott2miz

From now on  $P_2$  denotes a projective space defined in terms of collinearity and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$ ,  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$ ,  $c_6$ ,  $c_7$ ,  $c_8$ ,  $c_9$ ,  $c_{10}$  denote elements of  $P_2$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (4) Suppose  $c_2 \neq c_1$  and  $c_3 \neq c_1$  and  $c_3 \neq c_2$  and  $c_4 \neq c_2$  and  $c_4 \neq c_3$  and  $c_5 \neq c_1$  and  $c_6 \neq c_1$  and  $c_6 \neq c_5$  and  $c_7 \neq c_5$  and  $c_7 \neq c_6$  and  $c_7 \neq c_8$  and  $c_7 \neq c_8$  and  $c_8 \neq c_8$  are collinear and  $c_8 \neq c_8 \neq c_8$  and  $c_8 \neq c_8 \neq c_8$  are collinear and  $c_8 \neq c_8 \neq c_8 \neq c_8$  and  $c_8 \neq c_8 \neq c_$ 
  - (i)  $c_4$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_2$  are not collinear, and
  - (ii)  $c_4$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_3$  are not collinear, and
  - (iii)  $c_4$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_3$  are not collinear, and
  - (iv)  $c_4$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_2$  are not collinear, and
  - (v)  $c_4$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear, and

- (vi)  $c_4$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_8$  are not collinear, and
- (vii)  $c_4$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_8$  are not collinear, and
- (viii)  $c_4$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear, and
  - (ix)  $c_4$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_9$  are not collinear, and
  - (x)  $c_4$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear, and
  - (xi)  $c_4$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear, and
- (xii)  $c_4$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_9$  are not collinear, and
- (xiii)  $c_7$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear, and
- (xiv)  $c_7$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear, and
- (xv)  $c_7$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_9$  are not collinear, and
- (xvi)  $c_7$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_3$  are not collinear, and
- (xvii)  $c_7$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_3$  are not collinear, and
- (xviii)  $c_7$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_9$  are not collinear, and
  - (xix)  $c_7$ ,  $c_{10}$  and  $c_2$  are not collinear, and
  - (xx)  $c_7$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_8$  are not collinear, and
  - (xxi)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_2$  are not collinear, and
- (xxii)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear, and
- (xxiii)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear, and
- (xxiv)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_2$  are not collinear, and
- (xxv)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear, and
- (xxvi)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_3$  are not collinear, and
- (xxvii)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_3$  are not collinear, and
- (xxviii)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear.
- (5) Suppose  $c_2 \neq c_1$  and  $c_3 \neq c_2$  and  $c_5 \neq c_1$  and  $c_7 \neq c_5$  and  $c_7 \neq c_6$  and  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_7$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_7$  are collinear and  $c_7$ ,  $c_8$  are collinear and  $c_8$  are collinear.
  - Then  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_8$  are not collinear.
- (6) Suppose  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_7$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_2$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_5$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_6$  are collinear and  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_8$  are collinear and  $c_7$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_8$  are collinear and  $c_8$  are collinear. Then

- (i)  $c_4$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are collinear, and
- (ii)  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_8$  are collinear, and
- (iii)  $c_4$ ,  $c_9$  and  $c_6$  are collinear, and
- (iv)  $c_7$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are collinear, and
- (v)  $c_7$ ,  $c_9$  and  $c_3$  are collinear, and
- (vi)  $c_7$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_8$  are collinear, and
- (vii)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_6$  are collinear, and
- (viii)  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_3$  are collinear.
- (7) Suppose  $c_3 \neq c_1$  and  $c_3 \neq c_2$  and  $c_6 \neq c_1$  and  $c_6 \neq c_5$  and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are collinear. Then
  - (i)  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear, and
  - (ii)  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear, and
  - (iii)  $c_2$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear, and
  - (iv)  $c_3$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear.
- (8) Suppose  $c_3 \neq c_1$  and  $c_4 \neq c_1$  and  $c_4 \neq c_3$  and  $c_3 \neq c_2$  and  $c_4 \neq c_2$  and  $c_6 \neq c_1$  and  $c_7 \neq c_1$  and  $c_7 \neq c_6$  and  $c_6 \neq c_5$  and  $c_7 \neq c_5$  and  $c_7 \neq c_8$  and  $c_8 \neq c_9$  and  $c_9 \neq c_9$  and
  - (i)  $c_1$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear, and
  - (ii)  $c_1$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are collinear, and
  - (iii)  $c_1$ ,  $c_6$  and  $c_7$  are collinear, and
  - (iv)  $c_3 \neq c_1$ , and
  - (v)  $c_2 \neq c_1$ , and
  - (vi)  $c_3 \neq c_2$ , and
  - (vii)  $c_4 \neq c_3$ , and
  - (viii)  $c_4 \neq c_2$ , and
    - (ix)  $c_6 \neq c_1$ , and
    - (x)  $c_5 \neq c_1$ , and
    - (xi)  $c_6 \neq c_5$ , and
  - (xii)  $c_7 \neq c_6$ , and
  - (xiii)  $c_7 \neq c_5$ , and

- (xiv)  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_7$  are not collinear, and
- (xv)  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_3$  are collinear, and
- (xvi)  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_2$  are collinear, and
- (xvii)  $c_1$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_6$  are collinear, and
- (xviii)  $c_1$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_5$  are collinear.
- (9) Suppose  $c_4 \neq c_2$  and  $c_4 \neq c_3$  and  $c_8 \neq c_2$  and  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear. Then
  - (i)  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are not collinear, or
  - (ii)  $c_2$ ,  $c_6$  and  $c_8$  are not collinear, or
  - (iii)  $c_3$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_8$  are not collinear.
- (10) Suppose  $c_4 \neq c_1$  and  $c_6 \neq c_5$  and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear. Then
  - (i)  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_4$  are not collinear, or
  - (ii)  $c_1$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are not collinear, or
  - (iii)  $c_4$ ,  $c_6$  and  $c_8$  are not collinear, or
  - (iv)  $c_8 \neq c_5$ .
- (11) Suppose  $c_4 \neq c_2$  and  $c_6 \neq c_1$  and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_4$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are collinear and  $c_4$ ,  $c_6$  and  $c_8$  are collinear. Then  $c_8 \neq c_2$ .
- (12) If  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_4$  are collinear, then  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are collinear.
- (13) If  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_7$  are collinear, then  $c_5$ ,  $c_6$  and  $c_7$  are collinear.
- (14) If  $c_3 \neq c_1$  and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_7$  are collinear, then  $c_7 \neq c_3$ .
- (15) Suppose  $c_4 \neq c_1$  and  $c_4 \neq c_3$  and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_4$  are collinear and  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_9$  are collinear. Then  $c_9 \neq c_3$ .
- (16) Suppose  $c_4 \neq c_1$  and  $c_4 \neq c_2$  and  $c_6 \neq c_1$  and  $c_7 \neq c_6$  and  $c_7 \neq c_5$  and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_4$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_7$  are collinear and  $c_2$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_9$  are collinear and  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_9$  are collinear. Then  $c_9$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are not collinear.

### 3. The Real Projective Plane and Pappus's Theorem

From now on o,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $q_3$ ,  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $r_3$  denote elements of the projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^3$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (17) Pappus theorem as "Pappos's Theorem: Nine proofs and three variations" [12] version: Suppose  $o \neq p_2$  and  $o \neq p_3$  and  $p_2 \neq p_3$  and  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $p_1 \neq p_3$  and  $o \neq q_2$  and  $o \neq q_3$  are collinear and  $o \neq q_3$  and  $o \neq q_3$  are collinear and  $o \neq q_3$  and  $o \neq q_3$  and  $o \neq q_3$  are collinear and  $o \neq q_3$  and  $o \neq q_3$ 
  - Then  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  and  $r_3$  are collinear.
- (18) The projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_{T}^{3}$  is a Pappian, Desarguesian projective plane defined in terms of collinearity.

#### 4. Proof: Special Case of Pascal's Theorem

In the sequel  $v_0$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $v_3$ ,  $v_4$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$ ,  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$ ,  $c_6$ ,  $c_7$ ,  $c_8$ ,  $c_9$ ,  $c_{10}$ ,  $v_{100}$ ,  $v_{101}$ ,  $v_{102}$ ,  $v_{103}$  denote elements of the projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^3$ . Now we state the propositions:

(19) Suppose  $c_1 \neq c_2$  and  $c_1 \neq c_3$  and  $c_2 \neq c_3$  and  $c_2 \neq c_4$  and  $c_3 \neq c_4$  and  $c_1 \neq c_5$  and  $c_1 \neq c_6$  and  $c_5 \neq c_6$  and  $c_5 \neq c_6$  and  $c_6 \neq c_7$  and  $c_6 \neq c_7$  and  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_7$  are not collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_2$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_5$  are collinear and  $c_1$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_8$  are collinear and  $c_9$  ar

Then it is not true that  $c_4$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_7$  are collinear or  $c_4$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_7$  are collinear or  $c_4$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are collinear or  $c_4$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are collinear or  $c_4$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_5$  are collinear or  $c_4$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_5$  are collinear or  $c_4$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_6$  are collinear or  $c_2$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_5$  are collinear or  $c_2$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_6$  are collinear or  $c_3$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_5$  are collinear or  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_5$  are collinear or  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_5$  are collinear or  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_5$  are collinear or  $c_7$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are collinear or  $c_7$ ,  $c_6$ .

And  $c_4$  are collinear or  $c_5$ ,  $c_6$  and  $c_4$  are collinear or  $c_5$ ,  $c_6$  and  $c_2$  are collinear or  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_8$  are not collinear or  $c_4$ ,  $c_6$  and  $c_9$  are not collinear or  $c_2$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_8$  are not collinear or  $c_2$ ,  $c_6$  and  $c_{10}$  are not collinear or  $c_3$ ,  $c_7$  and  $c_9$  are not collinear or  $c_3$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_{10}$  are not collinear.

- (20)  $\operatorname{conic}(0,0,0,0,0,0) = \operatorname{the carrier} \text{ of the projective space over } \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{3}.$
- (21) Suppose  $o \neq p_2$  and  $o \neq p_3$  and  $p_2 \neq p_3$  and  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $p_1 \neq p_3$  and  $o \neq q_2$  and  $o \neq q_3$  and  $q_2 \neq q_3$  and  $q_1 \neq q_2$  and  $q_1 \neq q_3$  and  $o, p_1$  and  $o, p_2$  and  $o, p_2$  and  $o, p_1$  and  $o, p_2$  and  $o, p_2$  and  $o, p_2$  and  $o, p_1$  and  $o, p_2$  and  $o, p_2$

Then  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $q_3$ ,  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $r_3$  form the Pascal configuration.

(22) Pappus theorem as a special case of Pascal's theorem: Suppose  $o \neq p_2$  and  $o \neq p_3$  and  $p_2 \neq p_3$  and  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $p_1 \neq p_3$  and  $o \neq q_2$  and  $o \neq q_3$  an

And o,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are collinear and o,  $q_1$  and  $q_3$  are collinear and  $p_1$ ,  $q_2$  and  $r_3$  are collinear and  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  and  $r_3$  are collinear and  $p_1$ ,  $q_3$  and  $r_2$  are collinear and  $p_3$ ,  $q_1$  and  $r_2$  are collinear and  $p_3$ ,  $q_3$  and  $r_4$  are collinear and  $p_3$ ,  $q_4$  and  $q_4$  are collinear.

Then  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  and  $r_3$  are collinear.

PROOF:  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  are collinear. Consider  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ ,  $u_3$  being elements of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^3$  such that  $p_1$  = the direction of  $u_1$  and  $p_2$  = the direction of  $u_2$  and  $p_3$  = the direction of  $u_3$  and  $u_1$  is not zero and  $u_2$  is not zero and  $u_3$  is not zero and  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  are lineary dependent. Set  $x_1 = (u_2)_2 \cdot ((u_3)_3) - (u_2)_3 \cdot ((u_3)_2)$ . Set  $x_2 = (u_2)_3 \cdot ((u_3)_1) - (u_2)_1 \cdot ((u_3)_3)$ . Set  $x_3 = (u_2)_1 \cdot ((u_3)_2) - (u_2)_2 \cdot ((u_3)_1)$ .  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  are collinear.

Consider  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  being elements of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$  such that  $q_1$  = the direction of  $v_1$  and  $q_2$  = the direction of  $v_2$  and  $q_3$  = the direction of  $v_3$  and  $v_1$  is not zero and  $v_2$  is not zero and  $v_3$  is not zero and  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  are lineary dependent. Set  $y_1 = (v_2)_2 \cdot ((v_3)_3) - (v_2)_3 \cdot ((v_3)_2)$ . Set  $y_2 = (v_2)_3 \cdot ((v_3)_1) - (v_2)_1 \cdot ((v_3)_3)$ . Set  $y_3 = (v_2)_1 \cdot ((v_3)_2) - (v_2)_2 \cdot ((v_3)_1)$ . Set  $x_4 = x_1 \cdot y_1$ . Set  $x_5 = x_2 \cdot y_2$ . Set  $x_6 = x_3 \cdot y_3$ . Set  $x_7 = x_1 \cdot y_2 + x_2 \cdot y_1$ . Set  $x_8 = x_1 \cdot y_3 + x_3 \cdot y_1$ . Set  $x_1 = x_2 \cdot y_3 + x_3 \cdot y_2$ . For every point u of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ , qfconic $(x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_1, u) = |(u, u_2 \times u_3)| \cdot |(u, v_2 \times v_3)|$ .  $\square$ 

#### References

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pak, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, Intelligent Computer Mathematics, volume 9150 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.

- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [3] Gabriel Braun and Julien Narboux. A synthetic proof of Pappus' theorem in Tarski's geometry. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 58(2):23, 2017. doi:10.1007/s10817-016-9374-4.
- [4] Roland Coghetto. Pascal's theorem in real projective plane. Formalized Mathematics, 25(2):107–119, 2017. doi:10.1515/forma-2017-0011.
- [5] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [6] Laurent Fuchs and Laurent Thery. A formalization of Grassmann-Cayley algebra in Coq and its application to theorem proving in projective geometry. In *Automated Deduction in Geometry*, pages 51–67. Springer, 2010.
- [7] Adam Grabowski. Mechanizing complemented lattices within Mizar system. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 55:211–221, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9333-5.
- [8] Adam Grabowski. Solving two problems in general topology via types. In Types for Proofs and Programs, International Workshop, TYPES 2004, Jouyen-Josas, France, December 15-18, 2004, Revised Selected Papers, pages 138-153, 2004. doi:10.1007/11617990\_9. http://dblp.uni-trier.de/rec/bib/conf/ types/Grabowski04.
- [9] Eugeniusz Kusak and Wojciech Leończuk. Hessenberg theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 2(2):217–219, 1991.
- [10] Wojciech Leończuk and Krzysztof Prażmowski. A construction of analytical projective space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):761–766, 1990.
- [11] Wojciech Leończuk and Krzysztof Prażmowski. Projective spaces part I. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):767–776, 1990.
- [12] Jürgen Richter-Gebert. Pappos's Theorem: Nine Proofs and Three Variations, pages 3–31. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011. ISBN 978-3-642-17286-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-17286-1.1.
- [13] Piotr Rudnicki and Josef Urban. Escape to ATP for Mizar. In First International Workshop on Proof eXchange for Theorem Proving-PxTP 2011, 2011.

Accepted June 30, 2021