Applied Thermal Engineering 90 (2015) 120-126



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Thermal Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apthermeng

Research paper

Decomposition of methane hydrate for hydrogen production using microwave and radio frequency in-liquid plasma methods



Applied Thermal Engineering

Ismail Rahim ^{a, b, *}, Shinfuku Nomura ^{a, **}, Shinobu Mukasa ^a, Hiromichi Toyota ^a

^a Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan
 ^b Department of Automotive Engineering Education, State University of Makassar, Makassar 90222, Indonesia

HIGHLIGHTS

G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T

- The decomposition of methane hydrate is proposed using plasma inliquid method.
- Synthetic methane hydrate is used as the sample for decomposition in plasma.
- Hydrogen can be produced from decomposition of methane hydrate.
- Hydrogen purity is higher when using radio frequency stimulation.

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 30 October 2014 Accepted 26 June 2015 Available online 9 July 2015

Keywords: Methane hydrate In-liquid plasma Radio frequency Microwave oven Decomposition Hydrogen production



ABSTRACT

This research involves two in-liquid plasma methods of methane hydrate decomposition, one using radio frequency wave (RF) irradiation and the other microwave radiation (MW). The ultimate goal of this research is to develop a practical process for decomposition of methane hydrate directly at the subsea site for fuel gas production. The mechanism for methane hydrate decomposition begins with the dissociation process of methane hydrate formed by CH₄ and water. The process continues with the simultaneously occurring steam methane reforming process and methane cracking reaction, during which the methane hydrate is decomposed releasing CH₄ into H₂. CO and other by-products. It was found that methane hydrate can be decomposed with a faster rate of CH₄ release using microwave irradiation over that using radio frequency irradiation. However, the radio frequency plasma method produces hydrogen with a purity of 63.1% and a CH conversion ratio of 99.1%, which is higher than using microwave plasma method which produces hydrogen with a purity of 42.1% and CH₄ conversion ratio of 85.5%. © 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen seems to be one of the more promising energy sources since it is both environmentally friendly and highly

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2015.06.074 1359-4311/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. energy efficient. The total of energy yield of hydrogen combustion is greater than that of any other fuel [1,2]. Consequently, intense research has been conducted on hydrogen production as an energy source with a variety of methods being proposed such as water electrolysis [3], steam reforming for fossil fuels [3,4], ethanol and methanol reforming [2,5], clathrate hydrate reforming [6], multi-generation energy production system [4,7], conversion of hydrocarbons by microwave plasma or conventional microwave oven [8–10], radio frequency plasma stimulation [11], just to name a few.

^{*} Corresponding author. Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan. Tel.: +81 89927 9723; fax: +81 89927 9744.

^{**} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ismail_rahim@unm.ac.id (I. Rahim), nomura.shinfuku.mg@ ehime-u.ac.jp (S. Nomura).

Furthermore, Methane hydrate is increasingly becoming one of the more promising energy resources due to its abundance [12], and potential role in mitigating global climate change [13,14]. It is formed by its constituent CH₄ molecules held within the lattice cavities of water crystals occurring via an exothermic reaction under the proper combination of pressure and temperature [15]. Methane hydrate is an untapped source of hydrocarbon energy [16], which is believed to be both plentiful and stable on the seabed and in permafrost at high pressure and low temperature [10,12,17]. The amount of energy held in methane hydrate beneath the sea could possibly be as much or even more than twice that of the entire energy reserves of the various fossil fuels on Earth [12]. Its considerable C: H ratio and availability make it an attractive energy source for producing hydrogen and could be preferable to the currently most commonly used mode of hydrogen production, steam methane reforming (SMR), which in commercial application supplies from 80 to 85% of the world's hydrogen [14].

Interest in methane hydrate has increased over the last several years, with many governments including those of the USA, Canada, Russia, India, and Japan becoming intrigued by the possibilities of methane hydrate [12,13]. In the process of investigating methane hydrate as an alternative potential source of hydrogen energy, a significant number of methods for extracting hydrogen through methane hydrate decomposition have been recommended and developed. Methane hydrate has a pressure phase equilibrium of 2.3 MPa at 0 °C and consists of an ice/liquid water hydrate [12,16]. It has been exploited for natural gas production through the dissociation process. One hydrate dissociation process involves heating hydrate fields through thermal stimulation to a temperature above the hydrate equilibrium temperature. The thermal stimulation method typically employs injecting hot water (steam and hot brine) into the hydrate field. Unfortunately, the production cost of this method is quite high due to the high energy loss during injection of the hot water [16]. On the other hand, the use of high-frequency waves irradiated directly into the hydrate field can prove to be a more rapid and effective method than the hot-water injection [10].

Moreover, the radio frequency wave and microwave in-liquid plasma method use a technology in which plasma is generated inside bubbles within a liquid creating a high-temperature chemical reaction field [2,18–20]. The temperatures of the in-liquid plasma exceed 3000 K at atmospheric pressure [18]. In-liquid plasma has been employed in the decomposition of waste oils or hydrocarbon liquids during which the useful by-products, hydrogen gas and carbon particles are generated simultaneously [9]. MW plasma, which can be generated using commercial microwave ovens, is commonly used in diamond depositions and IC manufacturing and has the advantages of simple and low-priced operation, high plasma density and high electron mean energy [2,21]. When 2.45 GHz of microwave plasma is generated in a hydrocarbon liquid, hydrogen gas with a purity of 66%-80% can be produced, which means that the energy efficiency of hydrogen production with this method is estimated to be 56% over that by electrolysis of alkaline water for the same power consumption [9,22]. On the other hand, radio frequency (RF) plasma irradiation could easily be used to generate plasma in water under high pressure [18] with the energy consumption required to produce hydrogen, oxygen, and hydrogen peroxide from water under atmospheric pressure being 0.4% of the 150 W of radio frequency input power [11]. This means that RF plasma could be generated at a lower power than microwave plasma in water. Therefore, it is feasible to use RF irradiation for methane hydrate decomposition from hydrate fields as a foreseeable method of hydrogen production by plasma stimulation.

In the present study, decomposition of methane hydrate at atmospheric pressure by radio frequency wave (RF) and microwave (MW) plasma is conducted to compare the attributes of these two methods. This becomes a first step in the process with the ultimate goal of producing hydrogen from hydrate fields using an in-liquid plasma method as shown in Fig. 1.

The in-liquid plasma method generates a high localized temperature at high pressure with the plasma remaining mostly around the tip of the electrode [6]. This makes the method proposed ideal for this purpose. During the initial process of plasma generation in methane hydrate, the hydrate would melt and pass from a solid phase into a liquid phase, hence, the plasma generated in methane hydrate can be considered as the in-liquid plasma.

2. Experiment apparatus & procedure

2.1. Methane hydrate formation

The apparatus for formation of methane hydrate is shown in Fig. 2. The apparatus consists of a cooling bath, temperature control device, magnetic stirrer, methane gas supply, thermocouple and pressure measurement unit. Methane hydrate was formed by injecting pressurized methane gas into shaved ice in the cooling bath.

The inner diameter and height of the cooling bath are 60 mm and 140 mm, respectively, giving it a volume of 400 ml. The maximum pressure is 15 MPa, and temperature of the cooling bath is maintained using ethylene glycol as a cooling medium. A magnetic stirrer with a diameter of 40 mm along with a methane injection tube is positioned 30 mm from the bottom of the cooling bath. 100 g of shaved ice were put into the cooling bath which has been washed by water. The temperature of cooling bath was maintained constant at 0 °C, the methane was pressurized to about 7 MPa and the magnetic stirrer rotated at 500 rpm to agitate the solution of methane gas and shaved ice. The temperature of methane hydrate formation was recorded by a thermocouple located 30 mm from the bottom of the cooling bath, while pressure change was recorded by a camera connected to a computer unit. Pressure and temperature throughout the procedure were recorded every 15 min.



Fig. 1. Process for hydrogen production from hydrate fields in subsea sites using the inliquid plasma method.



Fig. 2. Apparatus for synthesizing methane hydrate.

During the formation of methane hydrate, there was an initial increase in temperature to approximately 6 °C. The process then continued constantly as the ice melted. The remainder of the ice melted to create hydrates when the cooling bath temperature fell to 2 °C [6]. Pressurization at 7 MPa was maintained by repeatedly injecting methane [15]. The pressure remained constant at 7 MPa until the end of the procedure. It then rapidly decreased to atmospheric pressure while additional refrigeration was conducted. The further cooling is necessary to prevent hydrate dissociation [23].

2.2. Plasma decomposition from methane hydrate using radio frequency wave

The experimental apparatus for RF plasma decomposition is shown in Fig. 3. Radio frequency plasma with a frequency of 27.12 MHz was used to decompose methane hydrate. Argon gas was injected into the reactor vessel to expel air before plasma generation at the electrode tip and methane hydrate was decomposed in the reactor vessel at atmospheric pressure.

An electrode made of a 2 mm tungsten rod protruding from a ceramic tube used as a dielectric substance 10 mm in length with an outer diameter of 6 mm and 1.5 mm thickness was inserted into the reactor through the bottom and connected to a power source (T161-5766LQ, *Thamway*) via a matching box (T020-5766M).

Additionally, a copper tube was inserted from the top of the reactor to a distance of 4 mm from the lower electrode that functioned both as a counter electrode and a gas exhaust outlet. 10 g of methane hydrate were put into the reactor vessel. The RF input power was set to range from 300 to 350 W at atmospheric pressure. The net power values were calculated by subtraction of the reflected power from the forward power. The reflected power was maintained constant at the lowest value possible.

2.3. Plasma decomposition from methane hydrate using microwave oven

A conventional microwave oven was utilized as the microwave power source for generating the plasma with the antennas positioned facing downwards as shown in Fig. 4. 10 g of methane hydrate were inserted under the tips of the antennas inside the reactor vessel. The reactor vessel was irradiated by microwaves from a 700 W magnetron with the antennas receiving 2.45 GHz of microwaves at which point plasma was generated at the tip of each antenna.

In order to prevent the microwave energy from being absorbed by components inside the reactor such as the reaction vessel, the reactor platform and piping were made using heat-resistant glass and silicone rubber. In addition, the antenna unit was installed on a Teflon[®] base so that the reactor vessel would not be damaged by the heat generated by the plasma [6]. The electrode tips protrude into the methane hydrate and plasma starts generating the fluidphase. The gas produced was collected using a syringe after it was expelled by the downward displacement of water into a pipe inserted through the top of the device and connected to the reactor vessel. Argon gas (Ar) was piped into the reactor filled with methane hydrate to extract the exhaust gases. In this condition, MW irradiation was applied and plasma generated resulting in approximately 1000 ml of generated gas being recovered using the water substitution method.

A Shimadzu 8A gas chromatograph with a column temperature 60 °C-160 °C (hold 6 min) utilizing Argon as the carrier gas was



Fig. 3. Experimental apparatus using RF plasma decomposition.



Fig. 4. Experimental apparatus for plasma generation using microwave oven.



Fig. 5. Pressure & temperature during methane hydrate formation.

used to identify the contents of the exhaust gases from both the RF and the MW plasma methods.

3. Results and discussion

Methane hydrate has a cubic structure I (sI) with a unit cell formula of $6(5^{12}6^2)0.2(5^{12}).46H_2O$ [12,14,15,17]. The basic formula for methane hydrate is CH₄.*n*H₂O, where *n* is the stoichiometric number or hydrate number which describes a variable number of water molecules within the lattice structure of methane hydrate. Based on the pressure condition of 1.9–9.7 MPa and the formation temperature of 253–285 K, the sample of synthetic methane hydrate used in this experiment had a stoichiometric number of *n* = 5.81–6.10H₂O with an average of CH₄. 5.99 (±0.07) H₂O [24]. Methane hydrate began forming rapidly in the cooling bath after the stirrer was activated, and there was a concurrent pressure decrease to about 6.7 MPa and temperature increase to around 1 °C. This was caused by an exothermic reaction, as shown in Fig. 5.

Methane hydrate was then decomposed by the plasma into the product gases which were hydrogen (H₂) and carbon monoxide (CO) as the main products, and carbon dioxide (CO), methane (CH₄), carbon (C), and hydrocarbon (C_xH_y) as byproducts [2], the same composition was also reported by Nomura et al. [3].

Some amounts of carbon black appeared due to the readily available methane molecules during the decomposition [1,9]. As a result, carbon was found adhered to the reactor walls, antennas and counter electrodes. Also, decomposition of methane hydrate at the copper interface of the antenna in the microwave oven resulted in dissolution of carbon into the metal and dispersion as particles. The carbon then precipitated at the copper base of the antenna. The condition of the antennas before and after methane hydrate decomposition using MW plasma is shown in Fig. 6.

The results of the plasma decomposition of methane hydrate showed that there remains asubstantial amount of methane in the product gases from unconverted methane release. As can be seen in Fig. 7, about 36% of the product gas was CH_4 when the methane hydrate decomposition was conducted by the MW plasma method and 17.3% by the RF plasma method at an input power of 330 W in comparison to 20% from *n*-dodecane by the MW plasma method in previous experimentation by Nomura et al. [9].

Essentially, the electromagnetic wave from a microwave oven has three principal properties; its wave will be reflected by metal material such as steel or iron, it is able to penetrate a non-metallic material without heat transfer [1], and most significantly, it is easily absorbed by water. Electromagnetic waves from MW oven radiation can transfer enough energy to heat water by the excitation of its molecules which leads to the enhancement of the overall temperature inside, and finally results in water turning into steam [10].

Therefore, it was hypothesized that some portions of water and methane from the reaction of methane hydrate dissociation were vaporized and rose up out of the liquid without passing through the plasma decomposition process for producing hydrogen. This would explain the reason for a significant amount of unconverted methane release in the product gases for the MW oven method, which was more than twice that of the RF plasma method.

It took 60 s to collect 60 mL of the gas generated from methane hydrate decomposition by the RF plasma method. However, 1100 mL of generated gas was collected in 60 s by the MW plasma method. The result of gas chromatography analysis of the recovered gas is shown in Table 1 with the addition of the recovered gas results of *n*-dodecane from previous experiment for comparison.

The entirety of the reactions can be expressed by the following:

$$CH_4.nH_2O \to x(aH_2 + bCO + cCO_2 + dCH4 + eC_2H_2 + fC_2H_4) + yC(s) + zH_2O$$
(1)

Where, *a*, *b*, *c*, *e*, *f* are the contents ratio of product gases as shown in Table 1.

$$x = 2/(a - b - 2c + 2d + e + 2f)$$
(2)

$$y = (a - 3b - 4c - 3e - 2f)/(a - b - 2c + 2d + e + 2f)$$
(3)

and



Fig. 6. Condition of antennas/electrodes before and after methane hydrate decomposition.



Fig. 7. Content of product gases from methane hydrate decomposition.

$$z = n - 2(b + 2c)/(a - b - 2c + 2d + e + 2f)$$
(4)

The chemical reaction of plasma decomposition of methane hydrate shown in Eq. (1) is based on methane hydrate dissociation (MHD), steam methane reforming (SMR) and methane cracking reaction (MCR). At the beginning of the MHD reaction, CH₄ and water were produced, then the SMR and the MCR reactions simultaneously decompose the released CH₄ into H₂, CO and other byproducts. The water that turns into steam by the plasma reacting with the CH₄ to produce H₂, CO and CO₂ through the SMR process [11]. The reaction equation and enthalpies concerned with MHD, SMR and MCR are shown in Table 2.

The molarity of these mixed of product gases is expressed as n_{gas} and becomes:

• From MH/RF plasma decomposition
$$\rightarrow a = n_{H2}$$

= 0.642 · n_{gas} (5)

 $b = n_{\rm CO} = 0.045 \cdot n_{gas} \tag{6}$

$$c = n_{\rm CO2} = 0.005 \cdot n_{gas} \tag{7}$$

$$d = n_{\rm CH4} = 0.287 \cdot n_{gas} \tag{8}$$

Table 1

The contents of the gas generated by the RF plasma and the MW plasma.

	H ₂ %	C0%	CH4%	CO ₂ %	$C_2H_2\%$	C ₂ H ₄ %
MH/RF plasma	64.2	4.5	28.8	0.5	0.2	0.1
MH/MW plasma	42.1	3.3	48.6	0.5	5.0	0.4
n-Dodecane/MW plasma [9]	74.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	20.0	2.0

Table 2

Basic reactions of methane hydrate decomposition.

Reactions	Category	$\Delta H (kJ/mol)$
$CH_4{\scriptstyle \bullet}6H_20 \rightarrow CH_4 + 6H_20~(l)$	Methane hydrate dissociation	53.5 [25]
$CH_4 + H_2O \rightarrow 3H_2 + CO$	Steam methane reforming	206.16
$\rm CO + H_2O \rightarrow H_2 + CO_2$	Steam methane reforming	-41.2
$CH_4 \rightarrow 2H_2 + C(s)$	Methane cracking reaction [1]	74.87
$2CH_4 \rightarrow 3H_2 + C_2H_2$	Methane cracking reaction	376.47
$2CH_4 \rightarrow 2H_2 + C_2H_4$	Methane cracking reaction	202.21

$$e = n_{\rm C2H2} = 0.002 \cdot n_{gas} \tag{9}$$

$$f = n_{\rm C2H4} = 0.0006 \cdot n_{gas} \tag{10}$$

The enthalpy of formation per 1 mol of methane hydrate by the reaction can be determined when the chemical reaction formulas in Table 2 are substituted in Eq. (1). Accordingly,

$$x[a\Delta H(H_2) + b\Delta H(CO) + c\Delta H(CO_2) + d\Delta H(CH_4) + e\Delta H(C_2H_2) + f\Delta H(C_2H_4)] + z\Delta H(H_2O) - \Delta H(MH) = 369 \text{ kJ/mol}$$
(11)

was obtained. In the same manner as the enthalpy calculation, 368 kJ/mol of enthalpy of formation was found for the MW plasma method. That there is a positive enthalpy of formation indicates an endothermic reaction for which energy input was required [1]. The enthalpy of formation per 1 L of gas in the reaction in Eq. (1) was 9.73 kJ/L which was supplied by methane hydrate decomposition by the RF plasma method. In the same manner, up to 11.46 kJ/L was obtained by the MW plasma method.

In this experiment, the power consumption of the RF plasma method was 327.5 W to decompose methane hydrate for an irradiation time of 60 s which produced 54 mL of gas. Whereas the MW plasma method consumed 700 W and took 48 s to produce 550 mL of gas. If the energy is converted into a unit per liter gas ratio, it was found to be 401.5 kJ/L for the RF plasma method and 65.8 kJ/L for the MW plasma method. As a result, the amount of energy required to generate 1 mol of H₂ for each plasma methods was found to be 12.7 MJ/mol (= (327.5 W) × (60 s) × (22.4 L/mol)/(0.054 × 0.642 L)) for the RF method and 4.1 MJ/mol (= (700 W) × (60 s) × (22.4 L/mol)/(0.55 × 0.421 L) for the MW method.

Additionally, further analysis showed that during methane hydrate decomposition by the MW plasma method, the formation of acetylene was a main factor for the energy expansion. The enthalpy of formation of acetylene is 376.47 kJ/mol as shown in Table 2, which is greater than that of other substances. In addition, it can be seen from the data in Table 1 that the percentage of acetylene in the gas content was as much as 5.1% which is greater than that from methane hydrate decomposition using the RF plasma method. Thus, reaction conditions should be defined so as to inhibit the formation of acetylene in the process of hydrogen production [6].

The CH₄ conversion rate was affected by the rate of methane hydrate dissociation, which should be equal to the gas production rate. The total CH₄ conversion ratio was calculated using Equation (12) [11]:

CH₄ conversion ratio =
$$\left[\frac{(CH_4)_{reactant} - (CH_4)_{product}}{(CH_4)_{reactant}}\right] \times 100$$
(12)

 $(CH_4)_{reactant}$ was determined by the number of moles of CH₄ trapped in 10 g of methane hydrate, and $(CH_4)_{product}$ was the number of moles of CH₄ content in the product gases. Referring to the hydrate number (n) = 6.0 [6], the amount of CH₄ trapped in 10 g of methane hydrate is thought to be 0.0806 mol.

The actual CH₄ content in the product gases was 0.0115 mol for the MW plasma method and 0.00069 mol for the RF plasma method. Subsequently, it can be seen from Fig. 8 that the total CH₄ conversion ratio tended to decrease with an increase of the H₂ content in the product gases for both plasma decomposition methods, a comparable trend is also observed for the CO content in the product gases.

 H_2 selectivity was determined by the number of H atoms in the H_2 content of the product gases, divided by the total of H atoms in



Fig. 8. CH₄ conversion ratio for methane hydrate decomposition by the RF and the MW Plasma method.



Fig. 9. H₂ selectivity & H₂ yield by the MW and the RF Plasma method.



Fig. 10. Comparison summary of performance results of plasma decomposition by MW & RF methods.

the converted reactant [11]. Likewise, H_2 yield is the number of H atoms in the H_2 content of the product gases, divided by the total of H atoms in the reactant. Fig. 9 shows that the selectivity and yield of H_2 by the MW method tends to increase with a rise of the gas

production rate. On the other hand, the selectivity and yield of H_2 by the RF method tended to increase with a slow rate of gas production, while it tended to decrease at a faster rate.

The H₂ energetic mass yield for the RF plasma method was up to 0.6 g[H₂]/kWh with a CH₄ conversion ratio of 99.1% (Fig. 8), while for the MW plasma method, it was equal to 2.6 g[H₂]/kWh with a CH₄ conversion ratio of 85.8% as shown in Fig. 9. In general, therefore, it seems that the hydrogen energetic mass yield from the RF plasma method tended to increase with an increase of the gas production rate. However, it was followed by a decrease of the CH₄ conversion ratio.

This indicates that a slow rate of CH₄ release from methane hydrate can optimize plasma decomposition of CH₄ to produce hydrogen when using the RF plasma method. On the other hand, in methane hydrate decomposition by the MW plasma method, the hydrogen energetic mass yield tended to increase with the rise of the gas production rate, which was also followed by an increase of the CH₄ conversion ratio. This leads to an optimization of plasma decomposition to produce hydrogen when there is a faster rate of CH₄ release from methane hydrate. Consequently, this result indicates that there is a significant difference between these two plasma decomposition methods.

Fig. 10 shows the comparison summary of the performance's results of these two methods of in-liquid plasma.

126

Plasma decomposition of methane hydrate by applying 2.45 GHz Microwave irradiation and 27.12 MHz Radio Frequency irradiation was conducted under atmospheric pressure. The mechanism of reaction for the plasma decomposition of methane hydrate initiated with the methane hydrate dissociation (MHD) process in which CH₄ and water were produced. It then continued to the simultaneously occurring steam methane reforming (SMR) process and methane cracking reaction (MCR) during which the released CH₄ decomposed into H₂, CO and other byproducts.

Methane hydrate could be decomposed to produce hydrogen with a purity of 42.1% (CH₄ conversion ratio of 85.8%) by the 2.45 GHz Microwave plasma method using a conventional microwave oven, whereas the 27.12 MHz Radio Frequency plasma inliquid method can provide a purity of 63.1% (CH₄ conversion ratio of 99.1%). Decomposition of methane hydrate by the Microwave plasma method can optimize plasma decomposition of CH₄ to produce hydrogen at a fast rate of CH₄ release from methane hydrate. Conversely, the methane hydrate decomposition by Radio Frequency plasma method occurs at a slow rate of CH₄ release from methane hydrate.

Furthermore, for forthcoming research, in order to simulate the actual conditions of high pressure and low temperature at the subsea site where methane hydrate is in its stable state, experimentation on the decomposition of methane hydrate at high pressure will be conducted using argon plasma jet.

References

- H.F. Abbas, W.M.A. Wan Daud, Hydrogen production by methane decomposition: a review, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 35 (3) (Feb. 2010) 1160–1190.
- [2] N. Bundaleska, D. Tsyganov, R. Saavedra, E. Tatarova, F.M. Dias, C.M. Ferreira, Hydrogen production from methanol reforming in microwave 'tornado'-type plasma, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 38 (22) (Jul. 2013) 9145–9157.
- [3] S. Nomura, H. Toyota, M. Tawara, H. Yamashita, K. Matsumoto, Fuel gas production by microwave plasma in liquid, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88 (23) (2006) 231502.
- [4] J. Fan, L. Zhu, Performance analysis of a feasible technology for power and high-purity hydrogen production driven by methane fuel, Appl. Therm. Eng. 75 (Jan. 2015) 103–114.
- [5] J.L. Silveira, V.J. Martinelli, L.F. Vane, J.C. Freire Junior, R.A. Zanzi Vigouroux, C.E. Tuna, W.D.Q. Lamas, R.F.S. Paulino, Incorporation of hydrogen production process in a sugar cane industry: steam reforming of ethanol, Appl. Therm. Eng. 71 (1) (Oct. 2014) 94–103.
- [6] A.E.E. Putra, S. Nomura, S. Mukasa, H. Toyota, Hydrogen production by reforming clathrate hydrates using the in-liquid, in: 11th International Conference on Sustainable Energy Technologies (SET-2012), 2012.

- [7] M. Ozturk, I. Dincer, Thermodynamic analysis of a solar-based multi-generation system with hydrogen production, Appl. Therm. Eng. 51 (1–2) (Mar. 2013) 1235–1244.
- [8] M. Jasinski, M. Dors, H. Nowakowska, G.V. Nichipor, J. Mizeraczyk, Production of hydrogen via conversion of hydrocarbons using microwave plasma, J. Appl. Phys. 44 (November) (2010) 7.
- [9] S. Nomura, H. Toyota, S. Mukasa, H. Yamashita, T. Maehara, A. Kawashima, Production of hydrogen in a conventional microwave oven, J. Appl. Phys. 106 (7) (2009) 073306.
- [10] D.-L. Li, D.-Q. Liang, S.-S. Fan, X.-S. Li, L.-G. Tang, N.-S. Huang, In situ hydrate dissociation using microwave heating: preliminary study, Energy Convers. Manag. 49 (8) (Aug. 2008) 2207–2213.
- [11] A.E.E. Putra, S. Nomura, S. Mukasa, H. Toyota, Hydrogen production by radio frequency plasma stimulation in methane hydrate at atmospheric pressure, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 37 (21) (Nov. 2012) 16000–16005.
- [12] E. Dendy Sloan, C. Koh, Clathrate Hydrates of Natural Gases, third ed., 20074156, CRC Press, 2007, p. 701.
- [13] Ayhan Demirbas, Methane Gas Hydrate, Springer, 2010.
- [14] A. Boyano, A.M. Blanco-Marigorta, T. Morosuk, G. Tsatsaronis, Exergoenvironmental analysis of a steam methane reforming process for hydrogen production, Energy 36 (4) (Apr. 2011) 2202–2214.
- [15] N.-J. Kim, S.-S. Park, H.T. Kim, W. Chun, A comparative study on the enhanced formation of methane hydrate using CM-95 and CM-100 MWCNTs, Int. Commun. Heat. Mass Transf. 38 (1) (Jan. 2011) 31–36.
- [16] L.A. Stern, S. Circone, S.H. Kirby, W.B. Durham, Anomalous Preservation of Pure Methane Hydrate at 1 atm, J. Phys. Chem. B. (2001) 1756–1762.
- [17] V. Alexiades, Methane hydrate formation and decomposition, Electron. J. Differ. Equations 17 (2009) 1–11.
- [18] S. Nomura, S. Mukasa, H. Toyota, H. Miyake, H. Yamashita, T. Maehara, a Kawashima, F. Abe, Characteristics of in-liquid plasma in water under higher pressure than atmospheric pressure, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 20 (3) (Jun. 2011) 034012.
- [19] S. Mukasa, T. Maehara, S. Nomura, H. Toyota, A. Kawashima, Y. Hattori, Y. Hashimoto, H. Yamashita, Growth of bubbles containing plasma in water by high-frequency irradiation, Int. J. Heat. Mass Transf. 53 (15–16) (Jul. 2010) 3067–3074.
- [20] S. Mukasa, S. Nomura, H. Toyota, T. Maehara, H. Yamashita, Internal conditions of a bubble containing radio-frequency plasma in water, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 20 (3) (Jun. 2011) 034020.
- [21] Y.-F. Wang, Y.-S. You, C.-H. Tsai, L.-C. Wang, Production of hydrogen by plasma-reforming of methanol, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 35 (18) (Sep. 2010) 9637–9640.
- [22] S. Nomura, A.E.E. Putra, S. Mukasa, H. Toyota, H. Yamashita, Fuel gas production by plasma in a micorwave oven at atmospheric, in: Proceedings of the ASME/JSME 2011 8th Thermal Engineering Joint Conference, 2011, pp. 1–6.
- [23] C. Giavarini, F. Maccioni, Self-preservation at low pressures of methane hydrates with various Gas contents, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 43 (20) (Sep. 2004) 6616–6621.
- [24] S. Circone, S.H. Kirby, L. a Stern, Direct measurement of methane hydrate composition along the hydrate equilibrium boundary, J. Phys. Chem. B 109 (19) (May 2005) 9468–9475.
- [25] G.K. Anderson, Enthalpy of dissociation and hydration number of methane hydrate from the Clapeyron equation, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 36 (12) (Dec. 2004) 1119–1127.