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Introduction: The multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (MPMRI) approach, has allowed the diagnostic performance in the detection and characterization of prostate cancer (PCa). Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), is an important technique in the MPMRI, that provides qualitative and quantitative biological information regarding water diffusivity in a non-invasive manner. The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) measures water mobility and can be quantified from the signal intensity loss between two or more b-values. Different studies reported that ADC values are directly associated with microvessel density and cellularity. One of the main aspects that is in discussion is the b-values that must be used in the DWI sequence in order to compute ADC

Objectives: In this study we analyzed different b-values combinations, with the purpose of determining which combination enables an optimal differentiation between normal and malignant tissues via the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) parameter.

Materials and Methods: This prospective study analyzed 43 patients with clinical indication to perform pelvic MPMRI, with symptoms highly suggestive of PCa or PCa already diagnosed.

Results and Discussion: ADC values derived from b50, $2000 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{mm} 2$ were for PCa, healthy peripheral zone tissue and healthy central gland tissue $0.694 \pm 0.13 \times 10-{ }^{-3} \mathrm{~mm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}, 1.016 \pm 0.135 \times 10-{ }^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ and $0.971 \pm 0.118 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Using this b-value pair combination and an ADC cutoff of $0.891 \times 10-$ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ we achieved a sensitivity of $95.5 \%$, specificity of $93.2 \%$ and an accuracy of $94.4 \%$.
Conclusion: The best combination of $b$-value to study the prostate cancer was $b 50,2000 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{mm} 2$. Other $b$ values instead of $\mathrm{bO} \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ should preferentially be included in ADC estimation.
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