PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Electrical conductivity of concentrated LiBr Ethylene-Glycol and water ternary system

To cite this article: J Zheng et al 2021 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **2116** 012069

View the [article online](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2116/1/012069) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Passive Behavior and Passivity](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1149/2.1051412jes) [Breakdown of AISI 304 in LiBr Solutions](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1149/2.1051412jes) [through Scanning Electrochemical](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1149/2.1051412jes) **[Microscopy](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1149/2.1051412jes)**

R. M. Fernandez-Domene, R. Sánchez-Tovar and J. García-Antón

- [Hydrogen Electrode Reactions in an Alkali](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1149/1.1565852) [Bromide Melt](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1149/1.1565852) Takeo Kasajima, Tokujiro Nishikiori, Toshiyuki Nohira et al.
- **[Effect of Cooling Water on the](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/376/1/012007)** [Performance of Lithium Bromide–Water](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/376/1/012007) [\(LiBr–H](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/376/1/012007)₂[O\) Absorption Based Heat Pump](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/376/1/012007) S. Manu, T.K. Chandrashekar and C. Girisha -

The Electrochemical Society

241st ECS Meeting

May 29 - June 2, 2022 Vancouver • BC • Canada Extended abstract submission deadline: Dec 17, 2021

Connect. Engage. Champion. Empower. Accelerate. **Move science forward**

This content was downloaded from IP address 147.83.201.74 on 09/12/2021 at 14:28

Journal of Physics: Conference Series **2116** (2021) 012069

Electrical conductivity of concentrated LiBr Ethylene-Glycol and water ternary system

J Zheng1, J Chiva1, J Castro1, Y Liu1 and A Oliva¹

¹Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center (CTTC)-University Polytechnique of Catalunya, UPC, Barcelona Spain

Email: jesus.castro@upc.edu

Abstract. LiBr/H2O as working pair in absorption chiller is widely used in the absorption refrigeration system, and the electrical conductivity is used as secondary properties as an empirical relation with temperature and concentration as a simple method to measure the concentration. In this paper, another working pair Carrol/H2O is chosen, more suitable for air-cooled cycles. Carrol contains ethylene glycol and LiBr with a mass ratio at 4.5:1 and has advantages of low risk of crystallization and reduce the LiBr charge. The working range for the LiBr/H2O solution is temperature 25-80ºC, at concentration 50–64%, in term of Carrol/H2O system, the temperature range is 25-80ºC, concentration range is 50%-75%. The electrical conductivity will be measured according to the working range and a typical used solution extracted from an absorption chiller prototype will also be measured to compare with the experimental result.

1. Introduction

LiBr is currently a widely used absorbent in the absorption chiller and the measurement of concentration of the working pairs in the chiller is often required. Several methods could be employed like Coriolis flow meter, o titration method, in which titration method could not be applied to a working process and Coriolis flow meter is generally expensive, difficult in maintenance and space cost. Hence the electrical conductivity, which is a function of temperature and concentration could be used as a secondary property to measure the concentration indirectly. In the case of direct air-cooled cycles, the use of LiBr as absorbent is limited due to problems of crystallization [1]. Carrol contains ethylene glycol and LiBr with a mass ratio at 4.5:1 and has advantages concerning crystallization due to higher solubility [2], moreover the LiBr charge is reduced.

The electrical conductivity (EC) whose unit is mS/cm, or electrical resistivity in mmho/cm, is the ability of the solution of conducting a current. With the increase of temperature of the solution, the EC will increase due to the ionization of molecules, which will increase the ion density in the volume [3]. But due to the ionization process, the ion density and mobility is not always reflecting the direct proportion relationship. Though the electrical conductivity could be a critical parameter to determine the concentration of the solution of an alternative way in a continuous process, only a few literatures described the experimental data and theory. And about the Carrol aqueous solution, according to the author's knowledge, there is no literature about it.

Frled [4] shows the electrical resistivity of concentrated LiBr aqueous solutions at LiBr mass ratio 40-63%, at temperature range 15-80ºC, the absolute accuracy of analytical determination is ±0.7% at concentration 40-50%.

De Diego [5] did experiments that included LiBr and fitted the experimental results to the Falkenhagen equation at 25ºC.

Sun [6] measured electrical resistivity of LiBr aqueous solution at 10-100°C temperature range, and concentrations at 35-70wt%, and the measurements were reproducible with 1 mmho and the regression was done into a polynomial correlation.

Osta-Omar [7] measured the electrical conductivity of LiBr/water solution from 25ºC -95ºC at a concentration range 45-65%. The maximum error with experiment data and the fitted equation is 5.8%.

Labra [8] did research about the determination of the concentration for a prototype absorption machine, in which electrical conductivity was at the range of 35-80°C for temperature and 45-65wt% for concentration. Refractive index, density, sound velocity, and considered the method of measurement of properties of working fluids used in the prototypes of absorption due to its low cost, easy installation, and small volume needed for the measurement.

2. Experiment Set up for electrical conductivity

During the experiment of electrical conductivity measurement, the solution sample should be well prepared to decrease uncertainty, and temperature, ion density should be uniform in the sample. As shown in Figure 1, sensor 5 is an electrode to measure electrical conductivity with accuracy at 0.5% and a temperature sensor integrated. Also, to avoid the stratification of different organic/inorganic group and ions, a stirring machine 3 is used to stir the solution, 4 is the magnetic stick to stir the solution sample. 6 are PT100 temperature sensors with error $\pm 0.03^{\circ}$ C to measure the temperature of different points in solution and 7 are thermal couples to control the surrounding temperature, 8 are thermal blankets to heat the whole system. 2 is a data acquisition machine and 9 is the control computer. The LiBr used with purity $> 99\%$, Ethylene Glycol with a purity $> 99\%$. The solution is prepared with a balance at an accuracy of 0.01g and the density will be measured with a densimeter. For each case, the solution sample will be sealed with a rubber tap to avoid the potential evaporation and absorption in the heating or cooling process. The experiment result is reproducible within 1 mS/cm.

Figure 1. Experiment scheme of conductivity measurement

3. Experiment result and analysis

For each measurement, the data must be waited a short minute to be recorded to avoid latencies of the sensor. As shown in Figure 2, the electrical conductivity will increase along with the temperature at the same concentration and will also decrease with concentration at the same temperature. One of the key points that the electrical conductivity could be used to measure the concentration is the high linearity when the concentration or the temperature changes.

8th European Thermal Sciences Conference (EUROTHERM 2021) Journal of Physics: Conference Series **2116** (2021) 012069 IOP Publishing doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2116/1/012069

Figure 2. Electrical conductivity of LiBr aqueous solution at various concentration concentrations

Figure 3. Experiment results compared with other authors at selected concentrations

In Figure 3, the result is compared with experimental data from [4][6][8], at three temperature, 35, 50, 70 °C, for better visualization. The maximum of the average absolute deviation of the electrical conductivity is shown in Table 1. The discrepancy from different authors could be caused by various factors, such as the purity of the material, the accuracy of the balance, relative error of the electrode and the preparation procedure, etc.

Table 1. Deviation between different authors and this work

Figure 4. Electrical conductivity of Carrol aqueous solution at various concentration

Figure 4 shows the experimental results of the electrical conductivity of Carrol solution at various concentration and temperature. From which it could be observed that the electrical conductivity of the Carrol solution is

lower than the LiBr solution at the same ratio of electrolyte due to the presence of organic component. While the linear relationship remains the same for the Carrol solution.

For the LiBr and Carrol aqueous solution, an empirical relation with temperature, electrical conductivity and concentration are shown as equation (1).

 $C = A0 + A1 * T + A2 * T^2 + A3 * T^3 + B1 * EC + B2 * EC^2 + B3 * EC^3 + C1 * T * EC + C2 * EC^2 * T + C3 * T^2 * EC$ (1)

Where the corresponding coefficients of the equation is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Coefficients of empirical relation

			A2		Вl	B2	B3			
LiBr solution	69 6778	0.49565	-6.66E-03	7.49E-05	-0.25783	3.06E-04	-2.85E-06	2.42E-03	$1.71E-0.5 - 5.58E-0.5$	
Carrol solution	69.6581	0.29348	$-2.61E-03$	-2.05E-04	-0.18627	-1.52E-05	4.48E-06	2.21E-03	-4.93E-05	1.69E-04

C is the concentration of solute %, *EC* is the electrical conductivity of mS/cm, *T* is the temperature of the solution of °C. The expanded uncertainty of the mass fraction of the solution is 0.8%, and for the electrical conductivity of Carrol, LiBr aqueous solution are $\pm 0.3\%$, $\pm 0.4\%$, respectively.

4. Conclusion

The experimental result of both aqueous solutions shows a max discrepancy of 6.2% when compared with other authors. The high linearity shows the possible replacement with EC electrode to the mass flowmeter. As shown in Table 1, the equation shows the relationship between temperature, EC and concentration. The average error between empirical equation and the experimental result is 0.25% and 0.3% at Carrol and LiBr aqueous solution.

Acknowledgement

The author J.Zheng and Y.Liu both hold a scholarship from China Scholarship Council for PhD at the University of Polytechnique Catalunya.

References

- [1] Castro J, Oliva A, Pérez-Segarra C D and Cadafalch, J. "Evaluation of a small capacity, hot water driven, air-cooled H2O-LiBr absorption machine". *Hvac & Research*, **13** (1), 59-75
- [2] Reiman R. C. 1981, "Properties of Carrol system and a machine design for solar powered, air-cooled, absorption space cooling". *Final report*.
- [3] Semat H and Katz R 1958 "Electrical conduction in liquids and solids," *Physics* (College. Park. Md)., 524–38
- [4] Frled I 1983 "Electrical conductivity of concentrated lithium bromide aqueous solutions," *J. Chem.*
- *Eng. Data*, **28**, 127–30 *Eng. Data*, **28**, 127–30 *Eng. Diego A, Usobiaga A, and Madariaga J M 1997 "Modification of the falkenhagen equation to fit conduc*timetric data for concentrated electrolyte solutions," *J. Electroanal. Chem*., **430**, 1–2, 263–8
- [6] Sun J, Fu J, Zhang S, and Hou W 2010 "Concentration measurement of lithium bromide aqueous solution by electrical resistivity," *ASME Int. Mech. Eng. Congr. Expo. Proc*., 185–188
- [7] Osta-Omar S and Micallef C 2017 "Determination of concentration of the aqueous lithium–bromide solution in a vapour absorption refrigeration system by measurement of electrical conductivity and temperature," *Data*, **2**, 1, 6
- [8] Labra L, Juárez-Romero D, Siqueiros J, Coronas A, and Salavera D 2017 "Measurement of properties of a lithium bromide aqueous solution for the determination of the concentration for a prototype absorption machine," *Appl. Therm. Eng*., **114**, 1186–92