

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Regional Variation in Restorative Treatment Need among Finnish Young People

Karki, Saujanya

2021

Karki , S , Kämpö , A , Tanner , T , Päckilä , J , Seppänen , M , Tjäderhane , L , Anttonen , V & Patinen , P 2021 , ' Regional Variation in Restorative Treatment Need among Finnish Young People ' , International journal of Dentistry , vol. 2021 , 4852056 . <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/4852056>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/341129>

<https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/4852056>

cc_by

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Research Article

Regional Variation in Restorative Treatment Need among Finnish Young People

Saujanya Karki ¹, Antti Kämppi ², Tarja Tanner ^{1,3}, Jari Päckilä ⁴,
Marjo Seppänen^{5,6,7}, Leo Tjäderhane ^{2,3}, Vuokko Anttonen ^{1,3} and Pertti Patinen ⁸

¹Research Unit of Oral Health Sciences, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

²Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Diseases, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

³Medical Research Centre Oulu, Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

⁴Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

⁵The Geography Research Unit, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

⁶Oulu Deaconess Institute Foundation Sr., Department of Sports and Exercise Medicine, Oulu, Finland

⁷Center for Life Course Health Research, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

⁸Centre for Military Medicine, Finnish Defence Forces, Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence should be addressed to Saujanya Karki; saujanya.karki@oulu.fi

Received 28 May 2021; Accepted 30 October 2021; Published 10 November 2021

Academic Editor: Sreekanth Kumar Mallineni

Copyright © 2021 Saujanya Karki et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Aim. To evaluate the regional variation in restorative treatment need among Finnish young people based on the socioeconomic factors. **Materials and Methods.** This cross-sectional study was conducted in 20 garrisons of the Finnish Defence Forces in January and July 2011. The study population comprised 13,819 Finnish conscripts born in the beginning of 1990s, including females. A computer-based survey was done together with clinical oral examination to gather background information, e.g., educational status. Furthermore, average annual income of the conscript's residence municipality was achieved from the Statistics of Finland. The zip code of the place of residence of each conscript was later extracted from the Mildoc[®] system. Georeferenced place of residence and income status were merged as information on provinces' level in a dataset. The association between the outcome variable and explanatory variables was determined by using the generalized linear mixed model, and geomaps were constructed. **Results.** Mean *D* value was 1.41 ranging from 0.89 (Kymenlaakso) to 2.33 (Kainuu). Higher education and high-income level were protective factors for restorative treatment need. Restorative treatment need was also low in those areas with high (OR: 0.70, 95% CI: 0.56–0.87) and medium (OR: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.70–0.89) yearly income compared to low yearly income. The high odds for the need of restorative treatment were discovered in Northern Ostrobothnia (OR: 2.26, 95% CI: 1.53–3.33) followed by Central Ostrobothnia (OR: 2.08, 95% CI: 1.17–3.70), Uusimaa (OR: 1.55, 95% CI: 1.16–2.08), and Central Finland (OR: 1.54, 95% CI: 1.10–2.16) compared to Varsinais-Suomi. **Conclusion.** In conclusion, there is a significant regional variation in restorative treatment need among Finnish young people in their twenties based on the socioeconomic factors.

1. Introduction

In Finland, municipalities are responsible for organizing the primary health services including oral health services. Children (until 18 years) receive all health services including oral health free of charge. Similarly, after the major reform in 2001–2002, adults (>18 years) are entitled to access the public dental service (PDS) as well as subsidized basic services in

private dental services [1]. The fund for PDS is mostly covered by the taxation generated with the municipalities and partly paid by the central government. However, there is evidence of polarization of dental caries among young [2] and middle-aged adults [3]. Likewise, the socioeconomic inequalities in dental caries status are still persistent among Finns [4, 5].

The use of spatial analyses, particularly geomapping, is increasing in public health research. Geomapping helps to

evaluate the geographical variations of health outcomes with respect to risk factors such as demographic measures, socioeconomic inequalities, or behavioural factors and illustrates the spatial distribution of health outcomes [6]. In the field of dental public health, geomapping has been used in dental diseases' surveillance, evaluating the geographical variation of dental diseases, utilization of oral health services, and dental workforce planning [7].

In a previous study, Kämppi et al. showed that location (urban) and environment (high level of fluoride content in drinking water and living in Swedish-speaking area) were associated with dental caries experience [8]. In the same study, cartograms were drawn to illustrate the mean restorative treatment needs among young adults using the Geographic Information System (GIS).

According to the Statistics of Finland, there is a variation of education level, average annual income, and consumption of oral health services within the country [9]. However, the association between restorative treatment needs and average annual municipal income among Finnish young people is not known. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the regional variation in restorative treatment need among Finnish young people based on the socioeconomic factors. The study hypothesis was that area with low average annual income is associated with the high caries experience.

2. Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted in 20 garrisons of the Finnish Defence Forces in January and July 2011. The study population comprised conscripts born in the beginning of 1990s. A total of 13,819 conscripts including females ($n=255$), born in 1990, 1991, or 1992, participated in this study. A computer-based survey was done together with clinical oral examination to gather background information, e.g., educational status.

2.1. Clinical Oral Examination. Clinical oral examination was performed by 15 military dentists according to the Defence Forces protocol. Restorative treatment need was recorded per tooth at the dentin caries level (DT). The inter- and intraexaminer agreement were substantial (interexaminer agreement was 0.71, and intraexaminer agreement was 0.72). Details of the study were explained previously [8].

2.2. Geographical Information. The zip code of the place of residence of each conscript was later extracted from the Mildoc[®] system. Income status of the municipality for the year 2011 was achieved from the Statistics of Finland [9]. Later, georeferenced place of residence and income status were merged as information on provinces in a dataset.

2.3. Statistical Considerations. For analyses, the mean DT values were calculated and categorized as very good (0.91–1.27), good (1.28–1.64), poor (1.65–2.00), and very poor (2.01–2.36) for each municipality as described by Kämppi et al. [8].

The association between the outcome variable and explanatory variables was determined by using the generalized linear mixed model. In the mixed model, garrison was taken into consideration as the random effect. For analyses, the outcome variable, restorative treatment need, was dichotomized as $DT=0$ and $DT\geq 1$, and the explanatory variables were conscripts' own educational status (vocational school, matriculation exam or higher secondary school, and others), average municipal annual income (based on quartiles as the 1st quartile (<17000€), 2nd quartile (17000€–19449€), 3rd quartile (19450€–21750€), and 4th quartile (>21750€)), and place of residence (province). The association between dental caries experience ($DT=0$ and $DT\geq 1$) and place of residence was adjusted with average municipal annual income and educational background in the model and presented in geomaps. Geomaps were constructed using ArcGIS[®] Pro 2.6.2 software (Esri, Redlands, California). Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were presented in geomaps. For all analyses, $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. All analyses were executed using R software version 4.0.2 (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

2.4. Ethical Issues. The research plan was accepted by the Ethics Committee of Northern Ostrobothnia Hospital District on March 29, 2010. The Center for Military Medicine and the Defence Staff gave the permission for the study in June 2010 (AG14218/June 23, 2010). For the analyses, the IDs were excluded.

3. Results

Educational background of the study participants, average annual income of the municipality they reside, and the mean dental caries in the province level are presented in Table 1.

Caries experience was more common among those who had annual average income <17000€ and had vocational or other education. Higher education and high-income level in the province of residence were protective factors for restorative treatment need. Restorative treatment need was low in those areas with average annual income in the 3rd quartile (OR: 0.83, 95% CI: 0.68–1.00) and 4th quartile (OR: 0.87, 95% CI: 0.71–1.06) compared to those areas with average annual income in the 1st quartile (Table 2).

In the regression model adjusted with average annual municipal income and educational background, the most highest odds for the need of restorative treatment were discovered in Northern Ostrobothnia (OR: 2.26, 95% CI: 1.53–3.33) followed by Central Ostrobothnia (OR: 2.08, 95% CI: 1.17–3.70), Uusimaa (OR: 1.55, 95% CI: 1.16–2.08), and Central Finland (OR: 1.54, 95% CI: 1.10–2.16) compared to Varsinais-Suomi (Figure 1).

4. Discussion

This cross-sectional study aimed to evaluate the regional variation in restorative treatment need among Finnish young people based on the socioeconomic factors. Restorative treatment need was low among those living in the

TABLE 1: Distribution of study participants based on their education background and the average annual income of the municipality and mean tooth decay in the province level.

Province	Education				Average yearly income				Total	Mean decay
	Vocational	Matriculation or higher secondary	Others	Total	<17000€	17000€–19449€	19450€–21750€	>21750€		
Central Finland	47.5	42.2	9.4	100.0	11.5	11.9	67.9	8.6	100.0	1.58
Central Ostrobothnia	57.3	36.0	6.7	100.0	8.7	9.6	81.7	0.0	100.0	2.17
Kainuu	44.2	48.1	7.8	100.0	41.1	0.0	58.9	0.0	100.0	2.33
Kanta-Häme	48.4	42.2	9.4	100.0	0.0	11.6	4.6	83.8	100.0	1.64
Kymenlaakso	57.1	33.9	8.9	100.0	0.0	0.5	14.6	84.8	100.0	0.89
Lapland	52.8	35.1	12.1	100.0	12.5	12.5	69.4	5.5	100.0	1.31
North Karelia	51.1	39.4	9.5	100.0	21.2	64.6	5.8	8.5	100.0	1.36
North Ostrobothnia	43.1	47.3	9.5	100.0	7.5	13.2	18.9	60.4	100.0	1.71
North Savo	53.9	38.5	7.6	100.0	8.2	12.7	66.1	12.9	100.0	1.52
Ostrobothnia	43.9	49.5	6.5	100.0	0.0	11.9	49.3	38.8	100.0	0.97
Pirkanmaa	45.3	44.6	10.1	100.0	1.2	11.9	57.2	29.6	100.0	1.57
Päijät-Häme	40.7	43.1	16.2	100.0	6.3	0.0	84.4	9.3	100.0	1.45
Satakunta	50.8	39.4	9.7	100.0	6.5	13.8	52.6	27.2	100.0	1.86
South Karelia	50.5	36.8	12.6	100.0	13.3	6.7	77.3	2.7	100.0	1.31
South Ostrobothnia	53.3	39.8	6.9	100.0	12.0	56.9	10.5	20.6	100.0	1.69
South Savo	45.8	42.8	11.4	100.0	17.4	35.0	47.7	0.0	100.0	1.26
Southwest Finland	45.8	41.1	13.1	100.0	0.0	8.9	60.6	30.5	100.0	1.12
Uusimaa	29.4	56.3	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	99.1	100.0	1.21

TABLE 2: Association between dental caries experience ($DT > 0$ vs. $DT \geq 1$) and educational background and average municipal income among the Finnish conscripts.

Explanatory variables	OR	95% CI
<i>Educational background</i>		
Vocational	1	
Matriculation or higher secondary	0.5	0.45–0.55
Others	1.6	1.40–1.90
<i>Average municipal annual income</i>		
<17000€	1	
17000€–19449€	1.1	0.86–1.31
19450€–21750€	0.8	0.68–1.00
>21750€	0.9	0.71–1.06

municipality with higher annual income compared to those areas with low average annual income. In addition, regional difference was found to be associated with dental caries occurrence in this study.

One of the strengths of this study is the large number of the cohort born in the beginning of 1990s including females. Another is high participation rate due to obligatory oral health examination of the conscripts. Furthermore, easy interpretation of the geomapping gives an overall view of inequalities related to oral health. The cross-sectional nature can be considered as the limitation of this study.

Suominen et al. reported an improvement in the mean number of teeth with dental caries among Finnish adults over a period of 11 years [10]. However, an alarming situation with respect to enamel caries was reported in a recent

study conducted among the participants of Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 [3]. Previously, a systematic review reported that the low socioeconomic status is associated with high dental caries experience, and this association is more pronounced in high-income countries [11]. Similarly, a recent study also concluded that the low level of education was associated with a higher occurrence of dental caries as well as periodontal disease [5]. These findings are in concordance with the present study.

Numerous epidemiological studies have shown that the differences between the areas concerning both dental health and attendance are considerable. Respondents living in Eastern Finland had lost more teeth and visited a dentist more seldom than those living in the southern parts of the country [12]. In Finland, a dental subsidization reform, implemented in 2001–2002, abolished age restrictions on subsidized dental care. However, in recent studies, the income-related inequalities in perceived oral health have remained or even widened after the reform [13].

According to results based on the Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), regional differences are observed in disease morbidity and mortality. In Eastern Finland, morbidity and mortality have traditionally been higher than average when compared to Western Finland [14]. This phenomenon with respect to dental caries experience is also clearly seen in the results of the present study. An interesting finding, however, is the poor results of caries experience in two western counties, namely, Central Ostrobothnia and Satakunta regions, compared to Southwest Finland. In both counties, there are large Swedish-speaking communities. In




FIGURE 1: Geomap presenting the association between dental caries experience ($DT > 0$ vs. $DT \geq 1$) and the place of residence among the Finnish conscripts.

previous studies, Swedish as the spoken mother language has been considered as a protective factor against dental caries [8]. Obviously, further studies are needed to clarify these contractionary findings.

Because of the obligatory military service for all males in Finland, there is a great opportunity to carry out epidemiological studies on oral and general health of the young people. In addition, female conscripts who underwent military service voluntarily were also included in this study. Although the search methods on indexes and databases have improved during the past years, the nationwide epidemiological studies concerning dental caries are sparse. The

material for the present study was collected during the first service week of the conscripts thus presenting the epidemiological status of diseases of a whole age group in Finland. Furthermore, the garrisons were taken into consideration as the random effect in the mixed model for generalizability.

5. Conclusion

Geomapping based on the home addresses of the patients provides an easy and cost-effective method to study the areal epidemiology of diseases. In conclusion, there is a significant regional variation in restorative treatment need among Finnish young people in their twenties based on the socioeconomic factors.

Data Availability

The data presented in this study are available from the corresponding author upon request. The data are not publicly available due to ethical restrictions.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] T. Niiranen, E. Widström, and T. Niskanen, "Oral Health Care Reform in Finland - aiming to reduce inequity in care provision," *BMC Oral Health*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 3, 2008.
- [2] T. Tanner, A. Kämppi, J. Päckilä et al., "Association of smoking and snuffing with dental caries occurrence in a young male population in Finland: a cross-sectional study," *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, vol. 72, no. 8, pp. 1017–1024, 2014.
- [3] A. Laajala, P. Pesonen, V. Anttonen, and M.-L. Laitala, "Association of enamel caries lesions with oral hygiene and DMFT among adults," *Caries Research*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 475–481, 2019.
- [4] T. Lintula, V. Laitala, P. Pesonen et al., "Self-reported oral health and associated factors in the North Finland 1966 birth cohort at the age of 31," *BMC Oral Health*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 155, 2014.
- [5] M. C. Niskanen, P. T. Mattila, A. O. Niinimaa, M. M. Vehkalahti, and M. L. E. Knuutila, "Behavioural and socioeconomic factors associated with the simultaneous occurrence of periodontal disease and dental caries," *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 196–202, 2020.
- [6] P. Elliott and D. Wartenberg, "Spatial epidemiology: current approaches and future challenges," *Environmental Health Perspectives*, vol. 112, no. 9, pp. 998–1006, 2004.
- [7] T. Broomhead, D. Ballas, and S. R. Baker, "Application of geographic information systems and simulation modelling to dental public health: where next?" *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2019.
- [8] A. Kämppi, T. Tanner, J. Päckilä et al., "Geographical distribution of dental caries prevalence and associated factors in young adults in Finland," *Caries Research*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 346–354, 2013.
- [9] Statistics Finland: https://www.stat.fi/index_en.html.
- [10] A. L. Suominen, S. Varsio, S. Helminen, A. Nordblad, S. Lahti, and M. Knuutila, "Dental and periodontal health in Finnish adults in 2000 and 2011," *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, vol. 76, no. 5, pp. 305–313, 2018.

- [11] F. Schwendicke, C. E. Dörfer, P. Schlattmann, L. F. Page, W. M. Thomson, and S. Paris, "Socioeconomic inequality and caries," *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 10–18, 2015.
- [12] A. L. Suominen-Taipale, A. Nordblad, P. Alanen, P. Alha, and S. Koskinen, "Self-reported dental health, treatment need and attendance among older adults in two areas of Finland," *Community Dental Health*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 20–26, 2001.
- [13] E. Raittio, A. Aromaa, U. Kiiskinen, S. Helminen, and A. L. Suominen, "Income-related inequality in perceived oral health among adult Finns before and after a major dental subsidization reform," *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 348–354, 2016.
- [14] P. Sipilä, S. Parikka, T. Härkänen et al., "Kuntien väliset erot sairastavuudessa: THL:n sairastavuusindeksin tuloksia. Differences in morbidity among municipalities-results based on the THL's morbidity index," *Suomen Laakarilehti*, vol. 45, pp. 2985–2992, 2014, <http://www.fimnet.fi/cl/laakarilehti/pdf/2014/SLL452014-2985.pdf>.