



https://helda.helsinki.fi

A guide to the optogenetic regulation of endogenous molecules

Manoilov, Kyrylo Yu

2021-09

Manoilov, KY, Verkhusha, VV & Shcherbakova, DM 2021, 'A guide to the optogenetic regulation of endogenous molecules', Nature methods, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 1027-1037. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/341048 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01240-1

other acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository. This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail. Please cite the original version.

A guide to the optogenetic regulation of endogenous molecules

Kyrylo Yu. Manoilov¹, Vladislav V. Verkhusha^{1,2,3,*} and Daria M. Shcherbakova^{1,*}

¹Department of Anatomy and Structural Biology, and Gruss-Lipper Biophotonics Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461, USA ²Medicum, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00290, Finland ³Science Center for Genetics and Life Sciences, Sirius University of Science and Technology, Sochi 354340, Russia

*Correspondence should be addressed to V.V.V. (vladislav.verkhusha@einsteinmed.org) and D.M.S. (daria.shcherbakova@einsteinmed.org)

Abstract

Genetically encoded tools for the regulation of endogenous molecules (RNAs, DNA elements and proteins) are needed to study and control biological processes with minimal interference caused by protein overexpression and overactivation of signaling pathways. Here, we focus on light-controlled optogenetic tools (OTs) that allow spatiotemporally precise regulation of gene expression and protein function. To control endogenous molecules, OTs combine light-sensing modules from natural photoreceptors with specific protein or nucleic acid binders. We discuss OT designs and group OTs according to principles of their regulation. We outline characteristics of OT performance, provide considerations for their use in vivo and review available OTs and their applications in cells and in vivo. Finally, we provide a brief outlook on the development of OTs.

Optogenetics enables light-dependent control of cellular processes with genetically encoded lightactivated molecules. Widely known for its applications in neuroscience, where light-sensing channels and pumps are used to control neuronal activity, this technology now includes a wide variety of optogenetic tools (OTs) that can control a plethora of cellular functions¹⁻³. High spatial and temporal precision and dose-dependent activation of molecules of interest can be achieved with light control. Furthermore, the precision of light-mediated control opens opportunities in biomedicine ^{4, 5}. Restricting biological activity, such as genome editing, to a specific time and place, is also needed to reduce off-target effects of constitutive activity and the associated cytotoxicity⁶.

OTs have a modular organization: a light-sensing part, consisting of a photosensory module (PSM), typically derived from a plant or bacterial photoreceptor containing a chromophore, can be fused to an effector module (EM), which can be activated by the PSM upon illumination. In OTs designed to control endogenous molecules, specific protein binders or regulating peptides are used as EMs and define the tools' target, affinity, specificity, and mode of action.

Ideally, OTs should minimally interfere with endogenous pathways through nonphysiological effects caused by overexpression of engineered enzymes, channels or receptors^{7, 8}. Also, the targeted endogenous pathway should be regulated within the range of its natural signaling capacity. Recent years have seen progress in the development of OTs targeting endogenous molecules⁸, which was facilitated by the availability of orthogonal recombinant protein binders, such as antibody-like intrabodies (iBs)⁹, specific peptides¹⁰, and universal and customizable DNA and RNA binders¹¹⁻¹⁵.

Here we aim to provide a guide to OTs for endogenous targets. For information on OTs serving other purposes, we refer readers to reviews discussing OTs in a general sense, including those regulating overexpressed or modified molecules^{3, 5, 16-18} or those targeting signaling pathways through light-controlled receptors^{4, 5}. We discuss genetically encoded OTs that require chromophores available in cells. We first outline general principles of OT design and OT regulation of endogenous targets and then describe available OTs, their main characteristics, and their applications to biological problems. We discuss possible ways to implement OTs in living animals and the challenges associated with such experiments. Finally, we provide a perspective for the engineering of OTs.

Modularity of optogenetic tools

Photosensory modules

The blue light-controlled Light-Oxygen-Voltage (LOV) family of protein domains is widely used as PSMs (**Fig. 1a**). LOV-domain PSMs rely on flavin mononucleotide (FMN) or flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) chromophores and have the smallest size among PSMs. Light irradiation induces the formation of a transient bond between a cysteine residue in the LOV domain and the C4 α position of non-covalently bound flavin. As a result, the conformation of the LOV domain changes, enabling the LOV domain to function as a photoswitch.

LOV domains from different organisms exhibit different mechanisms of action upon light illumination. *Rhodobacter sphaeroides Rs*LOV¹¹ domains form dimers in darkness and dissociate into monomers upon illumination. The second LOV domain of *Avena sativa* phototropin I (*As*LOV2) exhibits light-induced allosteric changes that can be effectively transduced to a coupled EM, changing the EM's conformation and function^{19, 20}. A derivative system based on *As*LOV2 is the iLID-sspB, which displays light-induced heterodimerization²¹. Here, the SsrA peptide, which specifically binds SspB protein, is caged within the J α -helix of the *As*LOV2. Another LOV-based heterodimerization pair used in OTs is the LOV domain of FKF1 and its interacting protein GIGANTEA²².

The LOV domain from *Neurospora crassa* Vivid (VVD) protein incorporates FAD as a chromophore^{23, 24}. Light induces the PSM's homodimeric association from monomers. To develop the Magnet tools²⁴, VVD was engineered into the two different proteins pMag and nMag, which undergo light-induced heterodimerization. In addition, VVD, which exhibits intrinsically slow dissociation in darkness, was tuned to have a faster dissociation kinetics.

Another blue light-sensing PSM is the cryptochrome 2 (CRY2) domain (**Fig. 1b**). CRY2 uses FAD as a chromophore and can both heterodimerize with its natural partner CIB1²⁵ and homooligomerize with itself upon light illumination^{26, 27}. Variants of this system include CRY2PHR, in which CRY2 is truncated to its Photolyase-homology domain (PHR), and truncated CIB1 named CIBN. These vary in their signal-to-background ratio, kinetics and preference toward either homoor hetero-dimerization²⁸.

Photodissociable dimeric pdDronpa protein²⁹ is a PSM that was derived from the green fluorescent protein (GFP) family and forms its chromophore from its amino acids (**Fig. 1c**).

Dimeric in darkness, pdDronpa monomerizes upon illumination with cyan light. Upon illumination with violet light, it reverts to its dimeric state.

Bacterial phytochromes (BphPs) are PSMs activated by near-infrared (NIR) light. BphPs incorporate biliverdin IX α as a chromophore, which is present in eukaryotic cells as an intermediate of heme catabolism³⁰. Light causes isomerization of biliverdin resulting in photoswitching of BphPs between two states: the red-absorbingPr (660-700 nm) and the far-red absorbing Pfr (710-780 nm), either one of them being the ground state or the active state. Photoactivation of BphP induces either its enzymatic activity or protein-protein interaction with binding partners. *Rp*BphP1 (here called BphP1) interacts with its natural partner PpsR2³¹ or with the smaller (17 kDa) engineered QPAS1 domain³² (**Fig. 1d**). BphS is a BphP PSM with a different mechanism as it activates enzymatic synthesis of cyclic dimeric GMP (c-di-GMP)³³. To function as an OT that induces transcription of a target gene, BphS was combined with several additional modules, including the c-di-GMP responsive hybrid transactivator p65-vp64-NLS-BldD protein which dimerizes upon c-di-GMP binding and recognizes its specific promoter P_{FRLX}. BphS system also needs another module, the YhjH phosphodiesterase, which breaks down c-di-GMP to maintain its negligible level in a cell³⁴.

Effector modules

EMs are used in OTs to control proteins and nucleic acids. Control of proteins is implemented via orthogonal recombinant protein binders, such as antibody-like intrabodies (iBs)³⁵ and specific peptides¹⁰, whereas regulation of genes is achieved with CRISPR-Cas9 (CRISPR-associated protein 9), and other customizable binders, such as zinc finger proteins (ZFPs)¹⁵ and transcription activator-like effector (TALE) proteins³⁶. Two types of iBs are used in currently available OTs: nanobodies³⁷⁻³⁹ and single-chain variable fragments (scFVs)³⁹ (**Fig. 1e**). Nanobodies are 15 kDa proteins derived from a single variable domain of camelid antibodies⁴⁰. iBs can bind their targets either without interfering with their function or they can modulate protein function by inducing allosteric changes or direct protein inhibition. Databases such as sdAb-DB⁴¹ and iCAN⁴² are available for selecting iBs.

Synthetic non-immunoglobulin binders, which are also called antibody-mimetic proteins, are not widely explored as EMs, but are promising due to their high stability and small size. They include 10 kDa monobodies (adnectins) designed by molecular evolution of the fibronectin type

III domain⁴³, 20 kDa anticalins derived from human lipocalins, 6 kDa affibodies engineered based on a three-helical subdomain of a natural receptor for the Fc-portion of IgG, and 14-18 kDa DARPins derived from natural ankyrin repeat proteins (**Fig. 1f**)⁴⁴.

Short peptides (**Fig. 1g**) and small structural domains are useful EMs¹⁰. Peptides can exhibit a wide range of biological activities. Databases for peptides and their targets are available, e.g., BIOPEP-UWM⁴⁵, StraPep⁴⁶ and NeuroPep⁴⁷.

Using a single guide RNA (sgRNA), the Cas9 DNA binder can be targeted to any endogenous genomic sequence that contains a protospacer-associated motif (PAM) (**Fig. 1h**). PAMs are 2-6 base pairs in length and differ for Cas9 molecules derived from different species. Multiple genes can be controlled by Cas9 or its catalytically "dead" mutant dCas9 simultaneously by providing multiple sgRNAs^{14, 15}. The dCas9-sgRNA-DNA complex forms on a targeted DNA locus. When bound to a promoter, this complex inhibits gene expression. For alternative programmable ZFP binders (**Fig. 1i**), databases such as ZifBASE⁴⁸ and ZiFDB⁴⁹ are available.

For endogenous RNA regulation, catalytically inactive CRISPR-associated endoribonucleases of the Cas13 family (**Fig. 1j**), including Cas13a (C2c2), Cas13b (C2c6), and Cas13d nucleases, from various species are used as RNA binders⁵⁰.

Principles of OT regulation by light

OTs can control endogenous targets in several ways (**Fig. 1k-q**). EM binding properties can be regulated by illumination of the PSM. For example, a split protein can be assembled (**Fig. 1k**), EM active sites can be caged or uncaged (**Fig. 1m, n**), or EMs can change their oligomeric state (**Fig. 1p**). Furthermore, the availability of the EM for binding to its target can be regulated by the subcellular localization of the EM. Similarly, EMs can also serve as adapters to attach light-sensing proteins to endogenous targets for their regulation or their light-induced intracellular relocalization (**Fig. 1l**). Light-induced expression of EMs is also used (**Fig. 1q**).

OTs based on light-induced protein re-localization exploit the fact that endogenous proteins or their regulators can be active in one compartment or location and inactive in the other, such as the nucleus and the membrane. Here, light can control the re-localization of either the target or its effector, which can be an active EM regulating the target³⁷. In the first case, the OT serves as an adapter that attaches the PSM via the EM to the target (**Fig. 11**). When regulating genes or RNAs,

DNA or RNA binders serve as adapters for light-induced recruitment of transcriptional regulators (Fig. 11).

Light-mediated control of EMs can be achieved through insertions of a LOV PSM. Lightinduced conformational changes in *As*LOV2 inserted in surface-exposed loops of EMs (**Fig. 1m**) can affect the EM's binding site, thereby regulating binding affinity³⁸.

OTs using short peptides as EMs are frequently based on "caging" of the peptides by the *As*LOV2 domain (**Fig. 1n**). Here, the peptide is incorporated into the C-terminal J_{α}-helix of LOV2, and the peptide is sterically blocked as the J_{α}-helix is folded⁵¹. The light-induced unfolding of the J_{α}-helix exposes the peptide for interaction with its target.

Another "caging" strategy employs pdDronpa (**Fig. 1o**). Two pdDronpa1 domains are inserted in an EM molecule in such a way that their intramolecular dimerization blocks the active or binding site. Upon illumination, the pdDronpa1 domains are separated and the active or binding site is exposed⁵². For this type of caging, the light-dependent interaction between *As*LOV2 and Zdk protein can be used alternatively ⁵³.

OTs acting through the light-induced expression of EMs targeting endogenous molecules (**Fig. 1q**) can be based on optogenetic systems for transcriptional activation, which include PSMs, such as BphPs-QPAS1 or CRY2-CIBN, in combination with Gal4-UAS or TetR-TetO modules⁵⁴.

Characteristics and limitations of optogenetic tools

The characteristics of an OT's performance include dynamic range, kinetics of light activation, background leakage in the darkness, reversibility, and photostability (**Supplementary Table 1**). Frequently, there is a tradeoff between the background leakage and the dynamic range of response^{13, 55}.

There are no universal quantitative standards for OT characteristics, as they depend on experimental conditions, such as intensity and duration of activation light, expression levels of the OT and endogenous targets, reporter readout and other variables, such as stoichiometry between OT components and reporter. Therefore, OTs should only be compared in parallel experiments.

When setting up experiments to manipulate endogenous targets using light, researchers should first screen for optimal experimental conditions, such as expression levels and light intensities. Adequate control experiments are important to help reveal artifacts that may be caused by background leakage and illumination. Finally, an optimal illumination regimen can minimize blue light cytotoxicity⁵⁶ and other possible side effects⁵⁷.

Optogenetic tools for light-mediated regulation of endogenous proteins

Regulation of binder affinity

Here we discuss OTs based on reconstitution of split iBs and allosteric regulation of iB affinity (**Fig. 2**). Split versions of nanobodies and scFvs were used in OTs called "optobodies"³⁹. These optobodies incorporate VVD-based Magnet dimerization tools²⁴ or *As*LOV2-based iLID²¹ as PSMs (**Fig. 1k, Fig. 2a**). Gelsolin (GSN) is an actin-binding protein and a regulator of actin assembly and disassembly. Light-mediated control of GSN via optobodies impaired the migration of NIH/3T3 cells³⁹. GSN binding by the OT caused its inactivation and, consequently, destabilization of actin filaments, which impaired cellular motility (**Fig. 3a**). Another example involves an optobody controlling β 2 adrenergic receptor (β 2AR) that was used to inhibit isoprenaline-dependent activation of β 2AR, its subsequent internalization, and cAMP-mediated Ca²⁺ influx into cells (**Fig. 3b**)³⁹. Furthermore, split nanobodies were developed into a system for light-controlled protein degradation. The photoactivated deGraFP system included a split GFP nanobody fused to the F-box E3 domain³⁹. The same strategy can be applied to endogenous proteins if specific nanobodies are used.

Allosteric regulation of nanobody affinity through light-induced conformation changes in an inserted *As*LOV2 is the main principle behind Opto-Nanobodies³⁸. (**Fig. 1m, Fig. 2b**). Depending on the insertion position of *As*LOV2, light can trigger opposite effects: OT binding to or OT dissociation from the targeted protein epitope. Such an Opto-Nanobody³⁸ enabled lightdependent activation of Ras/Erk signaling by recruiting a SOS^{cat} domain to the plasma membrane for Erk activation via light-dependent binding of the OT to membrane-localized mCherry-CAAX (**Fig. 3c**). A similar system using *As*LOV2 insertion for allosteric regulation of affinity was developed based on a monobody (OptoMB)⁵⁸.

Caging of functional peptides and protein domains

An OT named PMI-LEXY⁵⁹ was developed by fusing the PMI peptide⁶⁰ (**Fig. 1f, Fig. 3d**), which inhibits nuclear Mdm2 and MdmX, to the light-inducible nuclear export system (LEXY)⁵¹. In

LEXY, a nuclear export signal (NES) is caged within the J_{α} -helix of the LOV domain. In the dark, PMI-LEXY was localized in the nucleus, where it inhibited the proteolytic activity of Mdm2 and MdmX towards p53, which is a tumor suppressor involved in the regulation of the cell cycle. Under blue light illumination, PMI-LEXY relocated to the cytoplasm releasing Mdm2 and MdmX for p53 degradation. The original LEXY was also used to control the endogenous target CRM1, which is a receptor responsible for NES-dependent export of NES-containing proteins, by seclusion of CRM1 in the nucleus⁵¹.

OTs for regulating endogenous protein kinases were developed by caging of inhibitor peptides through AsLOV2 domain (**Fig. 3e**). Examples include the PKI peptide for inhibition of cyclic-AMP dependent kinase (PKA)⁶¹, the MKI peptide for inhibition of myosin light chain kinase (MLCK)⁶¹, and the AMPK inhibitory peptide (AIP) for inhibition of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)⁶². Activation of AsLOV2-MKI led to light-dependent changes in plasma membrane protrusion dynamics. Optogenetic inhibition of AMPK arrested mitochondrial trafficking in migrating and invading cells.

paAIP2 is an OT for controlling Ca²⁺/Calmodulin Kinase II (CaMKII)⁶³. Autocamtide inhibitory peptide 2 (AIP2) was caged within the J_{α} helix of the *As*LOV2 domain (**Fig. 3e**). paAIP2 inhibited long-term potentiation (LTP), when activated during the induction of LTP in brain sections. In living mice, it inhibited memory formation when activated during a task.

Lumitoxins are OTs that are exposed on the cell surface. They consist of ion channelblocking peptide toxins, such as *Dendroaspis angusticeps* α -dendrotoxin, which specifically binds to Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 channels, and they are caged with an *As*LOV2 domain (**Fig. 2c, Fig. 3e**)⁶⁴. Peptide uncaging resulted in an increase in the peptide's distance from the plasma membrane and in a decrease of its local concentration and inhibition of K⁺ channels.

Diaphanous-related formins (DRFs) nucleate and promote long unbranched actin filaments. An intramolecular interaction between the N-terminal diaphanous inhibitory domain (DID) and C-terminal diaphanous auto-regulatory domain (DAD) keeps DRFs inactive. To manipulate DRFs such as mDia1/2, *As*LOV2-based OTs were developed⁶⁵. For instance, the DAD is caged by *As*LOV2 in Nuc.LOV-DAD⁶⁶ and PA-DAD⁶⁵ (**Fig. 3e**). In the uncaged state, the autoinhibition of mDia1/2 is released, which leads to actin polymerization.

Caging through *As*LOV2 was also used in OTs regulating the endogenous RE1-silencing transcription factor (REST)⁶⁷, which is a transcriptional repressor participating in nervous system

development (**Fig. 3e**). In *As*LOV2-PAH1, the *As*LOV2 domain is fused to the REST-interacting portion of the mSin3a corepressor. Activated *As*LOV2-PAH1 competes with endogenous mSin3a for binding with REST. In an alternative OT called *As*LOV2-RILP N313, the active domain of the REST inhibitor REST-interacting LIM domain protein (RILP) is appended to the C-terminus of the *As*LOV2. Activated *As*LOV2-RILP N313 interacts with REST, thereby preventing its DNA binding. In neurons, OT activation resulted in increased Na⁺-channel 1.2 activity, elevated transcription of brain-derived neurotrophic factor, and boosted Na⁺ currents leading to neuronal firing⁶⁷.

Intracellular calcium is regulated by Ca²⁺ release-activated Ca²⁺ (CRAC) channels consisting of stromal interaction molecule 1 (STIM1) and ORAI Ca²⁺ channels. To manipulate intracellular calcium levels, the Opto-CRAC tool can be used to activate ORAI1 by LOV domain-based uncaging of STIM1 regulatory fragments⁶⁸ (**Fig. 1n, Fig. 2d**). Opto-CRAC was applied to regulate gene expression in cultured cells and to activate various calcium-dependent signaling pathways in immune cells, including effector T cells, macrophages and dendritic cells. However, opto-CRAC does not elicit a sufficiently strong response and is not fast enough to manipulate calcium in neurons.

Allosteric regulation through oligomerization

An alternative strategy for regulating CRAC channels is exemplified by OTs called OptoSTIM1 and monSTIM1. These tools consist of STIM1 C-terminal fragments that are fused to CRY2 PHR^{69, 70}. Light-dependent homoassociation of CRY2 PHR results in oligomerization of the STIM1 fragments, which in turn activate ORAI1 (**Fig. 1p, Fig. 2f**). OptoSTIM1 triggered Ca²⁺ influx in cultured neurons upon activation with pulsed light. In mice, activation of OptoSTIM1 resulted in improved contextual memory formation. The more recently developed monSTIM1 makes use of a CRY2 PHR version that is ultrasensitive to light, allowing non-invasive light delivery in vivo⁶⁹. Its activation in mice resulted in neuronal stimulation, which caused c-Fos immediate-early gene expression. monSTIM1 allowed demonstrating that light-controlled calcium increase can change fear behavior phenotypes⁶⁹ when expressed in pyramidal neurons in the anterior cingulate cortex, which is responsible for socially transmitted fear responses⁷¹.

In a comparison of different OTs regulating ORAI-STIM1⁵⁵, fast on and off kinetics were observed with an oligomerization-based variant that consisted of LOV-derived iLID/sspB fused to STIM1ct, while a larger dynamic range of response was achieved with light-dependent oligomerization via CRY2 PHR.

Binders as adapters

A system for shuttling target proteins between the cytoplasm and the nucleus harnessed the NIR light-controlled BphP1-QPAS1 pair³⁷. Here, iB binders served as adapters and were fused either to BphP1 or to its interacting partner QPAS1 (**Fig. 1I**). Nuclear export or localization signals (NES or NLS, respectively) were added to the BphP1-QPAS1 complex, shifting its nuclear-to-cytoplasm localization ratio in the desired way. For example, Ras and subsequent Raf1/MEK/ERK signaling was controlled in a light-dependent manner with an OT based on the NS1 monobody inhibiting Ras (**Fig. 3d**). In this system, the shuttling OT is mostly localized to the nucleus in darkness. Upon illumination, the OT and Ras were trapped in the cytoplasm through interaction with cytoplasmic BphP1.

The iRIS-B shuttle (**Fig. 2e**) is based on the previously developed NIR-blue-light inducible shuttle iRIS^{72, 73} and combines the BphP1-QPAS1 module fused with nanobodies with a NES signal, and an *As*LOV2-caged NLS⁷⁴. iRIS-B can relocate between three distinct subcellular compartments depending on the illumination wavelength. In combination with a non-interfering nanobody targeting endogenous β -actin, iRIS-B could cause rearrangements of the actin cytoskeleton (**Figs. 3f, 3g**).

To degrade a protein of interest upon illumination, a RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase domain can be brought to the target⁷⁵. Specifically, a fusion of a nanobody and the CRY2-PHR domain "tagged" a protein of interest for light-dependent interaction with a CIBN-E3 fusion.

Optogenetic tools for light-mediated control of endogenous genes

Allosteric regulation through dissociation

Several OT designs enabled control of genes: gene editing, transcription activation or inhibition, epigenetic modification or chromatine re-arrangement (Fig. 4). paRC9 is an early proof-of-

principle OT for the regulation of dCas9. In darkness, dCas9 fused with *Rs*LOV formed an inactive dimer. Upon illumination, the dimer dissociated, allowing dCas9 to bind DNA¹¹ (**Fig. 4a**).

Another example of a single-component OT is photoswitchable Cas9 (ps-Cas9), in which the DNA-binding site of Cas9 or dCas9 was caged by tandem pdDronpa domains⁵² (**Fig. 10, Fig. 4b**). Light-induced photodissociation of the pdDronpa dimer resulted in DNA binding and editing (with Cas9) or transcriptional regulation (with dCas9).

Regulation of CRISPR binders

Instead of direct regulation of CRISPR binders, an alternative approach relies on the control of (d)Cas9 by anti-CRISPR (Acr) proteins. In the CASANOVA system⁷⁶, the AcrIIA4 Cas9 inhibitor protein is allosterically regulated by an inserted *As*LOV2 domain (**Fig. 1m**, **Fig. 4c**). In darkness dCas9 is inactive. Blue light deactivates the *As*LOV2-AcrIIA4 fusion, thereby activating dCas9. In addition to transcriptional regulation, this OT was shown to regulate the epigenetic state of chromatin by acting on a dCas9-histone acetyltransferase core domain fusion (**Fig. 5a**). The CASANOVA-C3 is a similar system for controlling *Neisseria meningitidis Nme*Cas9 through its inhibitor⁷⁷.

Light-induced reconstitution of split (d)Cas9 via the VVD-based pMag and nMag photodimerizers²⁴ forms the basis of photoactivatable Cas9 (paCas9)¹³ (**Fig. 1k**). The catalytically inactive padCas9 mediates light-induced inhibition of transcription (**Fig. 4e**). Since split (d)Cas9 is prone to a low level of spontaneous complementation⁷⁸ causing dark background, paCas9-2 based on low-affinity Magnets producing lower background was created¹³.

The Split-CPTS2.0 tool combines split dCas9¹³ with the recruitment of a transactivator⁷⁹. Split-CPTS2.0 was applied to regulate transcription in cultured cells (**Fig. 5a**). In contrast to many dCas9-based OTs, Split-CPTS2.0 produced sufficiently strong upregulation of gene expression to result in biologically relevant effects. For instance, upregulation of *NEUROD1* with this OT drove neuronal differentiation in induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs)⁷⁹ (**Fig. 5b**).

Adapters connecting light-controlled proteins to endogenous genes

Similar to Split-CPTS2.0, the Light-Activated CRISPR/Cas9 Effector system (LACE)¹⁵ employs light-induced interactions between Cas9 and transcriptional regulators for optogenetic control of transcription (**Fig. 11**), with the difference that LACE involves intact rather than split dCas9. In the

LACE system¹⁵, dCas9 is coupled to VP64 via a CIB1-CRY2-based PSM (**Fig. 4d**). This system was applied in cultured cells^{14, 15} (**Fig. 5a**).

CPTS is a CRISPR–Cas9-based photoactivatable transcription system (CPTS) ¹⁴. In a second iteration, CPTS was evolved into the CPTS2.0 system (**Fig. 4d**) and the split CPTS2.0. In these systems, p65 and HSF1 transcriptional activators are fused with MCP-protein, which binds sgRNAs containing inserted MS2 loops. These OTs were used in cultured cells (**Fig. 5a**). Both CPTS2.0 and split CPTS2.0 had a larger dynamic range than CPTS. CPTS2.0 exhibited the highest response, but it had the largest dark background.

The LITE system³⁶ (**Fig. 4f**) is based on light-mediated recruitment of transactivator via heterodimerization of the CRY2-CIB1 and uses DNA-binding *Xanthomonas sp.* TALE proteins (**Fig. 1i**). Similarly, in the LITEZ system (**Fig. 4f**) a ZFP is coupled to a transactivator domain via light-induced heterodimerization of the GIGANTEA-LOV domain from FKF1⁸⁰. The LITE system was applied to the regulation of *NEUROG2* gene expression in Neuro2a neuroblastoma cells³⁶. In addition, LITE was used in primary neuronal culture and the brain of live mice for regulation of the *GRM2* gene encoding the metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR2.

OTs targeting distal regulatory elements were developed for light-induced chromatin rearrangements. The Light-activated-dynamic-looping (LADL) system⁸¹ (**Fig. 4g**) based on CRY2-CIB1 redirected the stretch enhancer (SE) away from the *KLF4* gene and positioned it close to the *ZFP462* promoter. This rearrangement increased the number of *ZFP462* mRNA transcripts per cell and nascent *ZFP462* transcripts per allele in mouse embryonic stem cells.

Light-mediated control of EM expression

In addition to the direct control of PSMs over EMs, another strategy is to place the expression of EMs under light control, such as in the FACE system³⁴. This NIR-activated CRISPR-dCas9 effector system (**Fig. 4h**) utilizes a BphS PSM and additional modules such as the transactivator p65–VP64–NLS–BldD, the chimeric promoter P_{FRLx} driving the FGTA4 transactivator, a sgRNA–dCas9 complex, and the YhjH phosphodiesterase. FACE was applied in vitro and in vivo (**Fig. 5c**). For example, the *NEUROG2* gene was upregulated in murine iPSCs to induce neuronal differentiation (**Fig. 5b**).

FACE was developed further into the NIR light (FRL)-activated split-Cas9 (FAST) system⁸². In FAST, the chimeric promoter P_{FRL} drives expression of NLS-Cas9(N)-Coh2, which

is a part of split Cas9 fused to the cohesion domain Coh2. A DocS-Cas9(C)-NES fusion protein is driven by a constitutive promoter P_{hCMV} . Coh2 and DocS are *Clostridium thermocellum* proteins that interact with high affinity to reconstitute Cas9. FAST was applied for editing of the *PLK1* oncogene in a mouse xenograft tumor model (**Fig. 5d**).

Controlling endogenous RNAs

PAMEC is an OT for light-controlled modification of endogenous RNA. The tool is based on CRY2-CIBN heterodimerization⁸³. Here, the RNA binder *Porphyromonas gulae* dCas13 is fused to CIBN, whereas an RNA N6-methyladenosine editing (m⁶A) effector is fused to CRY2PHR (**Fig. 4i**). PAMEC enabled spatiotemporal control of m⁶A editing in response to blue light. The more efficient PAMEC^R recruits additional m⁶A effectors through MCP-CIBN binding to MS2 loops in engineered guide RNAs. Alternative approaches for light-controlled RNA regulation used light-induced expression of Cas13b (**Fig. 1q**)^{84,85}.

Recently, chimeric regulatory RNAs were generated that interact reversibly and in a lightdependent manner with a LOV photoreceptor called PAL through an inserted aptamer⁸⁶. A regulatory RNA bound to PAL is caged and cannot bind cellular RNAs. Through light-regulated interaction of short hairpin (sh)RNAs with PAL in mammalian cells, endogenous RNAs can be controlled.

Optogenetics in vivo

Recombinant AAVs are attractive tools for OT delivery in mammals, since they exhibit low immunogenicity, infect dividing and non-dividing cells, and allow transient but long-term transgene expression⁸⁷. However, their low packaging capacity of ~4.5 kb limits their use for delivering OTs. Integration-deficient lentiviruses that allow packaging of up to 8 kb are a promising alternative⁸⁸. Larger DNA fragments of >8 kb can be delivered using adenoviruses, which do not integrate in the genome⁸⁹. However, they only provide transient gene expression and are highly immunogenic.

NIR OTs are preferable for use in mammals, since NIR light can penetrate deeply into the tissue^{31, 90}. Blue OTs are effective for small translucent organisms, like tadpoles, fishes and fruit flies. To apply blue OTs in mice, lanthanide-doped upconversion nanoparticles, which act as nano-

transducers converting NIR light into visible light emission, can be used⁶⁸. Also, ultraphotosensitive blue OTs are available⁶⁹. Moreover, bioluminescence can be used to activate OTs via bioluminescence resonance energy transfer $(BRET)^{91-93}$. Although promising, these tools require supplying luciferase substrate, are relatively slow, and should be optimized for efficient BRET coupling. Lastly, a light guide can be installed to deliver blue light³⁶ (**Fig. 5e**), while bearable wireless light sources (**Fig. 5e**)⁹⁴ do not restrict animal movements and are safer.

Outlook

The modular structure of OTs and structural similarity between EM binders facilitate further OT development. To develop additional binders, advanced methods for rapid and effective selection of nanobodies are available⁹⁵. Binding specificity and affinity of binders can be customized and fine-tuned by such approaches as the phage, bacterial and yeast displays, as well as RNA and ribosomal displays for peptide selection⁹⁶. Non-immunoglobulin protein binders⁴⁴ (**Fig. 1f**) are promising for OT engineering, since they have a simpler structure, reduced size, and better stability in cells.

OTs can be improved in their dynamic range and leakage in darkness by selecting PSMs that are optimal for the desired application. For example, one can use either low-affinity pMag-nMag or high-affinity pMag-nMagHigh1 heterodimerizing pairs¹³. Separation of OT parts in different subcellular compartments and decrease in OT expression levels help to reduce background³¹.

For applications in vivo, the BphP1-QPAS1 system has advantages due to its sensitivity to NIR light. A recent single-component, low-background NIR transcriptional regulation system, iLight, can be used for the development of OTs for endogenous gene expression or repression⁹⁷.

In conclusion, OTs controlling endogenous molecules have opened up the possibility to study biological processes with minimal interference and maximal spatiotemporal precision. By modulating the function of an endogenous protein target, changing its subcellular location, tuning the expression of a gene, or regulating chromatin, it should be possible to further our understanding of biological processes and possibly to develop next-generation therapies.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the EY030705 (to D.M.S.) and GM122567 from the US National Institutes of Health, 322226 from the Academy of Finland, and 21-64-00025 from the Russian Science Foundation (all to V.V.V.). We apologize to researchers whose work we could not quote here due to space limitations and the focus of this review on endogenous targets.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Structural domains in optogenetic tools (OTs) and principles of OT regulation. Photosensory modules (PSMs): (a) LOV domains: R. sphaeroides RsLOV (4HJ6) and A. sativa LOV2 (2V0U), FMN chromophore. (b) A. thaliana CRY2 PHR (1U3D), FAD chromophore. (c) pdDronpa (6D38) and its chromophore. (d) R. palustris BphP1 (4EHO), biliverdin IXa (BV) chromophore. Truncated R. sphaeroides PpsR2 (4HH2) is shown as QPAS1. Effector modules (EMs): protein binders. (e) anti-GFP nanobody (30GO), scFV fragment of anti-ErbB2 antibody chA21 (3H3B). (f) Non-immunoglobulin binders: monobody S9 to fluoride channel Fluc Ec2 (6BX4), affibody to protein Z (1LP1), anticalin P3D11 to protein CD98 (6S8V), anti-IL-13 DARPIN 6G9 (5KNG). (g) p53-Mdm2-MdmX inhibitory (PMI) peptide⁶⁰. *EMs: DNA binders*. (h) S. pyogenes dCas9 with sgRNA and PAM region of DNA (6K57), sgRNA bound to DNA. (i) C2H2 zinc finger protein bound to DNA (1MEY), TALE-DNA complex from X. oryzae (3UGM). EMs: RNA binders. (j) L. shahii Cas13a with RNA (5WTK)⁹⁷. Principles of optogenetic *regulation*: (k) Split protein binder reassembles upon illumination and binds target protein³⁹ (top) or DNA^{13} (bottom). (1) Light-induced heterodimerization. EM binders serve as adaptors connecting the PSM to its target. Illumination can result in target relocalization or recruitment of functional proteins³⁷ (top), such as transcription activator, inhibitor or epigenetic modifier^{14, 15, 36}. (m) Light-induced changes in AsLOV2 domain's PSM are transduced to the EM, resulting in binding to or dissociation from its targeted protein³⁸ (top) or DNA binder⁷⁶ (bottom). (**n**) AsLOV2caged peptide or small domain is released for protein binding after photo-uncaging^{61, 63, 64}. (o) An EM binding site is caged via an inserted dimeric pdDronpa. (p) CRY2-based OTs are activated upon light-induced oligomerization⁷⁰. (**q**) OTs based on light-induced expression of EMs.

Figure 2. Examples of optogenetic tools for the regulation of endogenous proteins. *Recruitment to the membrane for activation:* (a) *Optobody*. Light-induced reconstitution of split iB results in its reconstitution and binding to the membrane-localized target³⁹. (b) *Opto-Nanobody*. Activated through inserted *As*LOV2, the OT is brought to the plasma membrane³⁸. *Inhibition or activation by caged functional peptide or domain*. (c) *Lumitoxins*. In the darkness, α-dendrotoxin peptide inhibits voltage-dependent K⁺ channels. Upon illumination, the LOV2 domain unwinds resulting in a change of the toxin's spatial position relative to the channel, reducing the channel's inhibition ⁶⁴. (**d**) *Opto-CRAC system*. Upon illumination, STIM1 is uncaged and activates the ORAI Ca²⁺ channel ⁶⁸. (**e**) *Tridirectional transport*. *iRIS-B shuttle*. In darkness, NES-iB(GFP)-mCherry-QPAS1-LOV2 with caged NLS is located in the cytoplasm. 460 nm light uncages the NLS and the system enters the nucleus, whereas 780 nm light targets it to the membrane via BphP1-QPAS1 interaction³⁷. *Light-induced oligomerization*. (**f**) *Opto-STIM1 system*. Upon illumination, Cry2 PHR domains undergo homoassociation resulting in oligomerization of STIM-CT fragments. Oligomeric OTs effectively activate ORAI1 channels triggering calcium influx ⁷⁰.

Figure 3. Selected applications of optogenetic tools for regulation of endogenous proteins. (a) Gelsolin (GSN) is blocked by the assembled optobody upon illumination, which results in destabilization of actin filaments and reduction of cell motility ³⁹. (b) Activated optobody to β 2adrenergic receptor (β 2AR) prevents internalization and signal transduction of β 2AR³⁹. (c) BphP1-QPAS1-based OT for inhibition of the small GTPase Ras via regulator iB³⁷ (left). Ras is activated by light-induced targeting of Ras-activating SOS^{CAT} domain to the membrane (right)³⁸. (d) Regulation of Mdm2-MdmX-mediated p53 proteolysis in cells by PMI-LEXY ⁵⁹, which contains the PMI peptide inhibitor and a NES caged through AsLOV2⁵¹, which cause PMI re-localization to the cytoplasm under light. (e) Peptide and small domain-regulating OTs acting by LOV2mediated photocaging ^{61, 63-65, 68}. Downregulation: LOV2-PKI and LOV2-MKI ⁶¹, PA-AIP ⁶², LOV2-AIP2⁶³, nuc.LOV-DAD⁶⁶ and PA-DAD⁶⁵ regulating diaphanous related formins, AsLOV2-PAH1 and AsLOV2-RILP N313 regulating RE1-silencing transcription factor (REST) ⁶⁷. Upregulation: LOV2- α -dendrotoxin ⁶⁴ and LOV2-STIM domain ⁶⁸. (f) Translocation of monomeric actin to the plasma membrane by iRIS-B. Reduction of cell motility and filopodia formation occurs 37 . (g) Re-localization of monomeric actin from the cytoplasm to the nucleus using iRIS-B causes relocalization of the myocardin-related transcription factor A (MRFT-A) to the cytoplasm 37 .

Figure 4. Examples of optogenetic tools for the regulation of endogenous genes. (a) *Single-component paRC9*. Under illumination, a dimer of VVD domains dissociates, exposing dCas9 DNA binding sites, which results in transcriptional repression¹¹. (b) *Single-component photoswitchable (d)Cas9*. Gene transcription activation using dCas9 with a pdDronpa-caged DNA-binding site. In the dark, the pdDronpa dimer hinders the dCas9 active site. (c) *Anti-CRISPR*

CASANOVA system. Anti-CRISPR protein (AcrIIA4) is regulated through AsLOV2. AcrIIA4 regulates dCas9 fused to transcription regulator or epigenetic modifier domain ⁷⁶. (d) *Recruitment* of transcriptional activators or repressors. Cry2-CIB1 mediate recruitment of either the transcriptional activators p65 and HSF1 in the CPTS 2 system ⁷⁹ (left) or the transcriptional activator VP64 in the LACE system ¹⁵ (right) to the dCas9-targeted DNA locus. (e) Split-based pa(d)Cas9. Light induces reconstitution of split dCas9. (f) Non-dCas9 approaches. LITE system (left) uses Cry2-CIB1 heterodimerization to recruit the VP64 transcriptional activator (or histone modification enzyme) to the DNA-bound TALE³⁶. The *LITEZ system* (right) uses interaction of GIGANTEA with LOV domain from the FKF1 to bring VP64 to DNA-bound zinc finger protein ⁸⁰. (g) Chromatin regulation LADL system. Oligomerization of Cry2 brings together two dCas9 molecules with distinct sgRNAs via fused CIB1. This results in DNA loop formation⁸¹. (h) *FACE* system. The system is triggered by BphS, which produces c-di-GMP upon illumination. Excess of c-di-GMP is degraded by YhiH. C-di-GMP controls the transcriptional activator p65-VP64-BLS-BldD. Synthesized MS2-p65-HSF1 acts as a transactivator of dCas9³⁴. (i) *RNA modification*. In the PAMEC system⁸³, dCas13b binds RNA using a sgRNA molecule. Light-induced CRY2-CIBN heterodimerization recruits an effector for RNA m⁶A methylation.

Figure 5. Selected applications of optogenetic tools for regulation of endogenous genes. *In vitro.* (a) Transcriptional activation demonstrated with the LACE, CPTS, CPTS2, split-CPTS2, CASANOVA and FACE OTs. The genes are: *NEUROD1, ASCL1* (Achaete-scute complex-like transcription factor 1), *MYOD1* (myoblast determination protein 1), *IL1RN* (interleukin-1 receptor antagonist protein), *IL1R2* (interleukin-1 receptor type 2), *HBG1* (hemoglobin subunit gamma 1), *HBG2* (hemoglobin subunit gamma 2), *NANOG* (homeobox transcription factor Nanog), *NEUROG2, TTN* (titin), and *RHOXF2* (Rhox homeobox family member 2) ^{14, 15, 34, 76, 79}. (b) *Light-induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) differentiation into neurons*. For FACE targeting *NEUROG2* (neurogenin-2), murine iPSCs were stably transfected with lentivirus ³⁴. For Split-CPTS2 targeting *NEUROD1* (neuronal differentiation 1), human iPSCs were transfected with plasmids ⁷⁹.*In vivo.* (c) *CPTS2 and FACE systems applied in vivo.* Muscle tissue was electroporated with plasmid mixes for regulation of *LAMA1* (laminin subunit alpha 1) and *FST* (follistatin) ³⁴. (d) *Gene regulation by FAST system.* Plasmid mix was injected in developing xenograft tumors of A549 cells. Upon illumination, the tumors reduced in size⁸². (e) AAV-based

gene delivery. Delivery of blue light by a light guide (upper panel) or a wearable light source (lower panel) in the mouse brain.

References

- 1. Chow, B.Y. & Boyden, E.S. Optogenetics and translational medicine. *Sci Transl Med* **5**, 177ps175 (2013).
- 2. Goglia, A.G. & Toettcher, J.E. A bright future: optogenetics to dissect the spatiotemporal control of cell behavior. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology* **48**, 106-113 (2019).
- 3. Rost, B.R., Schneider-Warme, F., Schmitz, D. & Hegemann, P. Optogenetic Tools for Subcellular Applications in Neuroscience. *Neuron* **96**, 572-603 (2017).
- 4. Leopold, A.V. & Verkhusha, V.V. Light control of RTK activity: from technology development to translational research. *Chem Sci* **11**, 10019-10034 (2020).
- 5. Mansouri, M., Strittmatter, T. & Fussenegger, M. Light-Controlled Mammalian Cells and Their Therapeutic Applications in Synthetic Biology. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* **6**, 1800952-1800952 (2018).
- 6. Han, H.A., Pang, J.K.S. & Soh, B.-S. Mitigating off-target effects in CRISPR/Cas9mediated in vivo gene editing. *Journal of Molecular Medicine* **98**, 615-632 (2020).
- 7. Gibson, T.J., Seiler, M. & Veitia, R.A. The transience of transient overexpression. *Nature Methods* **10**, 715-721 (2013).
- 8. Brechun, K.E., Arndt, K.M. & Woolley, G.A. Strategies for the photo-control of endogenous protein activity. *Current opinion in structural biology* **45**, 53-58 (2017).
- 9. Marschall, A.L.J. & Dübel, S. Antibodies inside of a cell can change its outside: Can intrabodies provide a new therapeutic paradigm? *Comput Struct Biotechnol J* **14**, 304-308 (2016).
- 10. Hamley, I.W. Small Bioactive Peptides for Biomaterials Design and Therapeutics. *Chemical reviews* **117**, 14015-14041 (2017).
- 11. Richter, F. et al. Engineering of temperature- and light-switchable Cas9 variants. *Nucleic acids research* **44**, 10003-10014 (2016).
- 12. Richter, F. et al. Switchable Cas9. *Current opinion in biotechnology* **48**, 119-126 (2017).
- 13. Nihongaki, Y., Kawano, F., Nakajima, T. & Sato, M. Photoactivatable CRISPR-Cas9 for optogenetic genome editing. *Nature biotechnology* **33**, 755-760 (2015).
- 14. Nihongaki, Y., Yamamoto, S., Kawano, F., Suzuki, H. & Sato, M. CRISPR-Cas9-based photoactivatable transcription system. *Chemistry & biology* **22**, 169-174 (2015).
- 15. Polstein, L.R. & Gersbach, C.A. A light-inducible CRISPR-Cas9 system for control of endogenous gene activation. *Nature chemical biology* **11**, 198-200 (2015).
- 16. Shcherbakova, D.M., Stepanenko, O.V., Turoverov, K.K. & Verkhusha, V.V. Near-Infrared Fluorescent Proteins: Multiplexing and Optogenetics across Scales. *Trends Biotechnol* **36**, 1230-1243 (2018).
- 17. Hoffmann, M.D., Bubeck, F., Eils, R. & Niopek, D. Controlling Cells with Light and LOV. *Advanced Biosystems* **2**, 1800098 (2018).
- 18. Kichuk, T.C., Carrasco-López, C. & Avalos, J.L. Lights up on organelles: Optogenetic tools to control subcellular structure and organization. *Wiley interdisciplinary reviews*. *Systems biology and medicine* **13**, e1500 (2021).
- 19. Dagliyan, O. et al. Rational design of a ligand-controlled protein conformational switch. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **110**, 6800-6804 (2013).
- 20. Dagliyan, O. et al. Engineering extrinsic disorder to control protein activity in living cells. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **354**, 1441-1444 (2016).

- 21. Guntas, G. et al. Engineering an improved light-induced dimer (iLID) for controlling the localization and activity of signaling proteins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **112**, 112-117 (2015).
- 22. Yazawa, M., Sadaghiani, A.M., Hsueh, B. & Dolmetsch, R.E. Induction of protein-protein interactions in live cells using light. *Nature biotechnology* **27**, 941-945 (2009).
- 23. Zoltowski, B.D. et al. Conformational switching in the fungal light sensor Vivid. *Science* (*New York*, *N.Y.*) **316**, 1054-1057 (2007).
- 24. Kawano, F., Suzuki, H., Furuya, A. & Sato, M. Engineered pairs of distinct photoswitches for optogenetic control of cellular proteins. *Nature communications* **6**, 6256 (2015).
- 25. Kennedy, M.J. et al. Rapid blue-light-mediated induction of protein interactions in living cells. *Nat Methods* **7**, 973-975 (2010).
- 26. Taslimi, A. et al. An optimized optogenetic clustering tool for probing protein interaction and function. *Nature communications* **5**, 4925 (2014).
- 27. Che, D.L., Duan, L., Zhang, K. & Cui, B. The Dual Characteristics of Light-Induced Cryptochrome 2, Homo-oligomerization and Heterodimerization, for Optogenetic Manipulation in Mammalian Cells. *ACS Synth Biol* **4**, 1124-1135 (2015).
- 28. Lu, X., Shen, Y. & Campbell, R.E. Engineering Photosensory Modules of Non-Opsin-Based Optogenetic Actuators. *Int J Mol Sci* **21** (2020).
- 29. Zhou, X.X., Fan, L.Z., Li, P., Shen, K. & Lin, M.Z. Optical control of cell signaling by single-chain photoswitchable kinases. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **355**, 836-842 (2017).
- 30. Shemetov, A.A., Oliinyk, O.S. & Verkhusha, V.V. How to Increase Brightness of Near-Infrared Fluorescent Proteins in Mammalian Cells. *Cell chemical biology* **24**, 758-766 e753 (2017).
- 31. Kaberniuk, A.A., Shemetov, A.A. & Verkhusha, V.V. A bacterial phytochrome-based optogenetic system controllable with near-infrared light. *Nat Methods* **13**, 591-597 (2016).
- 32. Redchuk, T.A., Omelina, E.S., Chernov, K.G. & Verkhusha, V.V. Near-infrared optogenetic pair for protein regulation and spectral multiplexing. *Nature chemical biology* **13**, 633-639 (2017).
- 33. Ryu, M.-H. & Gomelsky, M. Near-infrared Light Responsive Synthetic c-di-GMP Module for Optogenetic Applications. *ACS Synthetic Biology* **3**, 802-810 (2014).
- 34. Shao, J. et al. Synthetic far-red light-mediated CRISPR-dCas9 device for inducing functional neuronal differentiation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **115**, E6722-e6730 (2018).

The paper demonstrates the power of deeply penetrating non-toxic NIR light to control optogenetic systems for activation of gene expression. Development of simpler NIR systems will overcome limitations of the reported multicomponent system.

- 35. Wilton, E.E., Opyr, M.P., Kailasam, S., Kothe, R.F. & Wieden, H.J. sdAb-DB: The Single Domain Antibody Database. *ACS Synthetic Biology* **7**, 2480-2484 (2018).
- 36. Konermann, S. et al. Optical control of mammalian endogenous transcription and epigenetic states. *Nature* **500**, 472-476 (2013).

The paper describes one of the first optogenetic systems for control of endogenous genes.

37. Redchuk, T.A. et al. Optogenetic regulation of endogenous proteins. *Nature communications* **11**, 605 (2020).

The paper describes a technique for optogenetic control of endogenous proteins using intact intrabodies. This control is achieved by using light-induced intracellular relocalization of optogenetic tools and their target proteins.

38. Gil, A.A. et al. Optogenetic control of protein binding using light-switchable nanobodies. *Nature communications* **11**, 4044 (2020).

The paper describes a means for optogenetic control of nanobody affinity, which was achieved by an insertion of the light-sensing *As*LOV2 domain in specific loops in the nanobody.

39. Yu, D. et al. Optogenetic activation of intracellular antibodies for direct modulation of endogenous proteins. *Nat Methods* **16**, 1095-1100 (2019).

The paper reports engineering of light-controlled nanobodies, which reconstitute from split fragments upon illumination.

- 40. Muyldermans, S. Nanobodies: natural single-domain antibodies. *Annual review of biochemistry* **82**, 775-797 (2013).
- 41. Wilton, E.E., Opyr, M.P., Kailasam, S., Kothe, R.F. & Wieden, H.-J. sdAb-DB: The Single Domain Antibody Database. *ACS Synthetic Biology* **7**, 2480-2484 (2018).
- 42. Zuo, J. et al. Institute collection and analysis of Nanobodies (iCAN): a comprehensive database and analysis platform for nanobodies. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 797 (2017).
- 43. Chandler, P.G. & Buckle, A.M. Development and Differentiation in Monobodies Based on the Fibronectin Type 3 Domain. *Cells* **9** (2020).
- 44. Škrlec, K., Štrukelj, B. & Berlec, A. Non-immunoglobulin scaffolds: a focus on their targets. *Trends Biotechnol* **33**, 408-418 (2015).
- 45. Minkiewicz, P., Iwaniak, A. & Darewicz, M. BIOPEP-UWM Database of Bioactive Peptides: Current Opportunities. *International journal of molecular sciences* **20**, 5978 (2019).
- 46. Wang, J. et al. StraPep: a structure database of bioactive peptides. *Database* **2018** (2018).
- 47. Wang, Y. et al. NeuroPep: a comprehensive resource of neuropeptides. *Database* **2015** (2015).
- 48. Jayakanthan, M. et al. ZifBASE: a database of zinc finger proteins and associated resources. *BMC Genomics* **10**, 421 (2009).
- 49. Fu, F. & Voytas, D.F. Zinc Finger Database (ZiFDB) v2.0: a comprehensive database of C2H2 zinc fingers and engineered zinc finger arrays. *Nucleic acids research* **41**, D452-D455 (2012).
- 50. Abudayyeh, O.O. et al. RNA targeting with CRISPR-Cas13. *Nature* **550**, 280-284 (2017).
- 51. Niopek, D., Wehler, P., Roensch, J., Eils, R. & Di Ventura, B. Optogenetic control of nuclear protein export. *Nature communications* **7**, 10624 (2016).
- 52. Zhou, X.X. et al. A Single-Chain Photoswitchable CRISPR-Cas9 Architecture for Light-Inducible Gene Editing and Transcription. *ACS chemical biology* **13**, 443-448 (2018).

- 53. Stone, O.J. et al. Optogenetic control of cofilin and alphaTAT in living cells using Z-lock. *Nature chemical biology* **15**, 1183-1190 (2019).
- 54. Yamada, M., Nagasaki, S.C., Ozawa, T. & Imayoshi, I. Light-mediated control of Gene expression in mammalian cells. *Neuroscience Research* **152**, 66-77 (2020).
- 55. Ma, G. et al. Optogenetic engineering to probe the molecular choreography of STIM1mediated cell signaling. *Nature communications* **11**, 1039 (2020).
- 56. Repina, N.A. et al. Engineered Illumination Devices for Optogenetic Control of Cellular Signaling Dynamics. *Cell Reports* **31**, 107737 (2020).
- 57. Tyssowski, K.M. & Gray, J.M. Blue Light Increases Neuronal Activity-Regulated Gene Expression in the Absence of Optogenetic Proteins. *eNeuro* **6** (2019).
- 58. Carrasco-Lopez, C. et al. Development of light-responsive protein binding in the monobody non-immunoglobulin scaffold. *Nature communications* **11**, 4045 (2020).
- 59. Wehler, P. & Di Ventura, B. Engineering Optogenetic Control of Endogenous p53 Protein Levels. *Appl. Sci.* **9**, 2095 (2019).
- 60. Pazgier, M. et al. Structural basis for high-affinity peptide inhibition of p53 interactions with MDM2 and MDMX. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **106**, 4665-4670 (2009).
- 61. Yi, J.J., Wang, H., Vilela, M., Danuser, G. & Hahn, K.M. Manipulation of endogenous kinase activity in living cells using photoswitchable inhibitory peptides. *ACS Synth Biol* **3**, 788-795 (2014).
- 62. Cunniff, B., McKenzie, A.J., Heintz, N.H. & Howe, A.K. AMPK activity regulates trafficking of mitochondria to the leading edge during cell migration and matrix invasion. *Molecular biology of the cell* **27**, 2662-2674 (2016).
- 63. Murakoshi, H. et al. Kinetics of Endogenous CaMKII Required for Synaptic Plasticity Revealed by Optogenetic Kinase Inhibitor. *Neuron* **94**, 690 (2017).
- 64. Schmidt, D., Tillberg, P.W., Chen, F. & Boyden, E.S. A fully genetically encoded protein architecture for optical control of peptide ligand concentration. *Nature communications* **5**, 3019 (2014).
- 65. Rao, M.V., Chu, P.H., Hahn, K.M. & Zaidel-Bar, R. An optogenetic tool for the activation of endogenous diaphanous-related formins induces thickening of stress fibers without an increase in contractility. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken, N.J.)* **70**, 394-407 (2013).
- 66. Baarlink, C., Wang, H. & Grosse, R. Nuclear actin network assembly by formins regulates the SRF coactivator MAL. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **340**, 864-867 (2013).
- 67. Paonessa, F. et al. Regulation of neural gene transcription by optogenetic inhibition of the RE1-silencing transcription factor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **113**, E91-100 (2016).
- 68. He, L. et al. Near-infrared photoactivatable control of Ca2+ signaling and optogenetic immunomodulation. *eLife* **4**, e10024 (2015).
- 69. Kim, S. et al. Non-invasive optical control of endogenous Ca2+ channels in awake mice. *Nature communications* **11**, 210 (2020).
- 70. Kyung, T. et al. Optogenetic control of endogenous Ca2+ channels in vivo. *Nature* biotechnology **33**, 1092-1096 (2015).
- 71. Jeon, D. et al. Observational fear learning involves affective pain system and Cav1.2 Ca2+ channels in ACC. *Nature neuroscience* **13**, 482-488 (2010).

- 72. Redchuk, T.A., Kaberniuk, A.A. & Verkhusha, V.V. Near-infrared light-controlled systems for gene transcription regulation, protein targeting and spectral multiplexing. *Nature protocols* **13**, 1121-1136 (2018).
- 73. Redchuk, T.A., Karasev, M.M., Omelina, E.S. & Verkhusha, V.V. Near-Infrared Light-Controlled Gene Expression and Protein Targeting in Neurons and Non-neuronal Cells. *Chembiochem : a European journal of chemical biology* **19**, 1334-1340 (2018).
- 74. Yumerefendi, H. et al. Control of Protein Activity and Cell Fate Specification via Light-Mediated Nuclear Translocation. *PloS one* **10**, e0128443 (2015).
- 75. Deng, W. et al. Tunable light and drug induced depletion of target proteins. *Nature communications* **11**, 304 (2020).
- 76. Bubeck, F. et al. Engineered anti-CRISPR proteins for optogenetic control of CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat Methods* **15**, 924-927 (2018).

The paper reports an original strategy for optogenetic regulation of gene function. It is based on control of the anti-CRISPR protein AcrIIA4 through an inserted *As*LOV2 light-sensing domain.

- 77. Hoffmann, M.D. et al. Optogenetic control of Neisseria meningitidis Cas9 genome editing using an engineered, light-switchable anti-CRISPR protein. *Nucleic acids research* **49**, e29-e29 (2021).
- 78. Zetsche, B., Volz, S.E. & Zhang, F. A split-Cas9 architecture for inducible genome editing and transcription modulation. *Nature biotechnology* **33**, 139-142 (2015).
- 79. Nihongaki, Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas9-based photoactivatable transcription systems to induce neuronal differentiation. *Nat Methods* **14**, 963-966 (2017).

The paper reports an improved optogenetic systems for transcriptional activation of endogenous genes. CPTS2.0 and Split CPTS2.0 achieve sufficiently high levels of gene activation to induce biological responses.

- 80. Polstein, L.R. & Gersbach, C.A. Light-inducible spatiotemporal control of gene activation by customizable zinc finger transcription factors. *J Am Chem Soc* **134**, 16480-16483 (2012).
- 81. Kim, J.H. et al. LADL: light-activated dynamic looping for endogenous gene expression control. *Nat Methods* **16**, 633-639 (2019).
- 82. Yu, Y. et al. Engineering a far-red light–activated split-Cas9 system for remote-controlled genome editing of internal organs and tumors. *Science Advances* **6**, eabb1777 (2020).
- 83. Zhao, J., Li, B., Ma, J., Jin, W. & Ma, X. Photoactivatable RNA N6-Methyladenosine Editing with CRISPR-Cas13. *Small* **16**, 1907301 (2020).

The paper reports one of the first means for photoactivated RNA editing though dCas13 and light-induced protein dimerizers.

84. Qi, F. et al. A Synthetic Light-switchable System based on CRISPR Cas13a Regulates the Expression of LncRNA MALAT1 and Affects the Malignant Phenotype of Bladder Cancer Cells. *International journal of biological sciences* **15**, 1630-1636 (2019).

- 85. Blomeier, T. et al. Blue Light-Operated CRISPR/Cas13b-Mediated mRNA Knockdown (Lockdown). *Advanced Biology* **n**/**a**, 2000307 (2021).
- 86. Pilsl, S., Morgan, C., Choukeife, M., Möglich, A. & Mayer, G. Optoribogenetic control of regulatory RNA molecules. *Nature communications* **11**, 4825 (2020).
- 87. Wang, D., Tai, P.W.L. & Gao, G. Adeno-associated virus vector as a platform for gene therapy delivery. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* **18**, 358-378 (2019).
- 88. Wanisch, K. & Yáñez-Muñoz, R.J. Integration-deficient lentiviral vectors: a slow coming of age. *Mol Ther* **17**, 1316-1332 (2009).
- 89. Crystal, R.G. Adenovirus: the first effective in vivo gene delivery vector. *Hum Gene Ther* **25**, 3-11 (2014).
- 90. Fomicheva, A., Zhou, C., Sun, Q.Q. & Gomelsky, M. Engineering Adenylate Cyclase Activated by Near-Infrared Window Light for Mammalian Optogenetic Applications. *ACS Synth Biol* **8**, 1314-1324 (2019).
- 91. Berglund, K., Birkner, E., Augustine, G.J. & Hochgeschwender, U. Light-emitting channelrhodopsins for combined optogenetic and chemical-genetic control of neurons. *PloS one* **8**, e59759 (2013).
- 92. Proshkina, G.M., Shramova, E.I., Shilova, O.N., Ryabova, A.V. & Deyev, S.M. Phototoxicity of flavoprotein miniSOG induced by bioluminescence resonance energy transfer in genetically encoded system NanoLuc-miniSOG is comparable with its LED-excited phototoxicity. *J Photochem Photobiol B* **188**, 107-115 (2018).
- 93. Kim, C.K., Cho, K.F., Kim, M.W. & Ting, A.Y. Luciferase-LOV BRET enables versatile and specific transcriptional readout of cellular protein-protein interactions. *Elife* **8** (2019).
- 94. Samineni, V.K. et al. Fully implantable, battery-free wireless optoelectronic devices for spinal optogenetics. *Pain* **158**, 2108-2116 (2017).
- 95. Fridy, P.C. et al. A robust pipeline for rapid production of versatile nanobody repertoires. *Nat Methods* **11**, 1253-1260 (2014).
- 96. Helma, J., Cardoso, M.C., Muyldermans, S. & Leonhardt, H. Nanobodies and recombinant binders in cell biology. *The Journal of cell biology* **209**, 633-644 (2015).
- 97. Liu, L. et al. Two Distant Catalytic Sites Are Responsible for C2c2 RNase Activities. *Cell* **168**, 121-134.e112 (2017).
- 98. Kaberniuk, A.A., Baloban, M., Monakhov M.V., Shcherbakova D.M. & Verkhusha V.V. Single-component near-infrared optogenetic systems for gene transcription regulation. *Nature communications* **12**, *will be published on June* **23** (2021), doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-24212-7.



Photosensory modules





Active iB

§ mCherry-CAAX

∠Ca²⁺

Ca²⁺



Inhibition or activation by caged functional peptide or domain



a Optobody

Recruitment to membrane for activation/inhibition



iRFP713

AsLOV2

Inactive iB

Inactive

STIM-CT fragment

ORAI

hv

Dark

hv

Dark

b Opto-Nanobody

d

Opto-CRAC

AsLOV2





b

Single-component photoswitchable (d)Cas9

dCas9 uncaged

а

Single-component paRC9

sqRNA

