

Unexpected online gambling disorder in late-life: a case report

Anne Sauvaget^{1,2}, Susana Jiménez-Murcia^{2,3,4*}, Fernando Fernández-Aranda^{2,3,4}, Ana B. Fagundo^{2,3}, Laura Moragas², Ines Wolz^{2,3}, Misericordia Veciana De Las Heras⁵, Roser Granero^{3,6}, Amparo del Pino-Gutiérrez⁷, Marta Baño², Eva Real², Maria N. Aymamí², Marie Grall-Bronnec¹ and José M. Menchón^{2,4,8}

¹ Addictology and Liaison Psychiatry Department, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes, France, ² Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital of Bellvitge-IDIBELL, Barcelona, Spain, ³ CIBER Fisiopatología Obesidad y Nutrición, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain, ⁴ Department of Clinical Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, ⁵ Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Bellvitge-IDIBELL, Barcelona, Spain, ⁶ Departament de Psicobiologia i Metodologia de les Ciències de la Salut, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, ⁷ Department of Public Health, Mental Health and Perinatal Nursing, University School of Nursing, University of Barcelona, Spain, ⁸ CIBER Salud Mental, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Francesco Pagnini, Catholic University of Milan, Italy

Reviewed by:

Guido Edoardo D'Aniello, IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Italy Benoit Trojak, University Hospital of Dijon, France

*Correspondence:

Susana Jiménez-Murcia, Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital of Bellvitge-IDIBELL and CIBEROBN, C/Feixa Llarga s/n, 08907 Barcelona, Spain sjimenez@bellvitgehospital.cat

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Psychology for Clinical Settings, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

> **Received:** 09 March 2015 **Accepted:** 04 May 2015 **Published:** 27 May 2015

Citation:

Sauvaget A, Jiménez-Murcia S, Fernández-Aranda F, Fagundo AB, Moragas L, Wolz I, Veciana De Las Heras M, Granero R, del Pino-Gutiérrez A, Baño M, Real E, Aymamí MN, Grall-Bronnec M and Menchón JM (2015) Unexpected online gambling disorder in late-life: a case report. Front. Psychol. 6:655. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00655 **Background:** The lifetime prevalence of problem or Gambling disorder (GD) in the elderly (i.e., those over 60 years old) is reported to range from 0.01 to 10.9%. Research has identified several specific risk factors and vulnerabilities in the elderly. Since the late 1990s, an increase in online GD has been observed in the youth population, whereas casinos, slot machines, and bingo seem to be the activities of choice among the elderly. Interestingly, online GD has not been described in the elderly to date.

Case Description: We report an 83-year-old man who started online casino gambling from the age of 80 years, leading to debts that exceeded €30,000. He underwent a full clinical and neuropsychological assessment, without any evidence of cognitive impairment or any associated neurodegenerative disease. However, he had risk factors for GD, including adjustment disorder, stressful life events, previous offline casino GD when 50 years old, and dysfunctional personality traits. The change to online GD may have been due to his isolation, movement difficulties, and his high level of education, which facilitated his access to the Internet. Care management focused on individual cognitive-behavioral therapy.

Conclusion: The prevalence of online GD may be underestimated among the elderly, and may increase among isolated old people with movement difficulties and ready access to the Internet. However, late-life GD should be considered a diagnosis of elimination, requiring a full medical, psychiatric (including suicide risk), and cognitive assessment. Specific therapeutic approaches need to be proposed and developed.

Keywords: gambling disorder, online gambling, elderly, late-life, medical condition, behavioral addictions

Introduction

Prevalence of Gambling Disorder in the Elderly

Gambling disorder (GD) is the persistence and recurrence of problematic gambling behavior, leading to clinically significant impairment or distress. The lifetime prevalence of GD is estimated

1

at around 0.4-1.0% (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), while that in the elderly (over 60 years old) ranges from 0.01 to 10.9% (Subramaniam et al., 2015), depending on the region, the scale of survey, and the population studied (Hirsch, 2000; McNeilly and Burke, 2000; Bazargan et al., 2001; Wiebe and Cox, 2005). GD among older adults is lower than that among young adults, but it remains an important problem (Grant Stitt et al., 2003; Desai et al., 2004; Vander Bilt et al., 2004; Wiebe and Cox, 2005). In the elderly, the prevalence of GD seems to rise with increasing age, being approximately 1.2% for people over 55 years old (Philippe and Vallerand, 2007) and 3.8% for those over 60 years old (Erickson et al., 2005). Similarly, the prevalence of atrisk gambling seems to increase with age, being 6.4% for those over 60 years old (Erickson et al., 2005). Although most of the studies were performed in a western context (Subramaniam et al., 2015), few studies have explored the prevalence of GD in nonwestern populations (Tse et al., 2013) and the differences of GD between native and the immigrat population (Lai, 2006; Penelo et al., 2012; Patterson-Silver Wolf Adelv Unegv Waya et al., 2014). For example, an epidemiological study on the prevalence of GD in Singapore revealed that more than 69% of people over 55 years old had gambled in the last 12 months, and that 2.2% of them had evidence of problem gambling (Tse et al., 2013). Furthermore, individuals with problem gambling are likely to start gambling at a young age (Tse et al., 2013).

Risk Factors of GD in the Elderly

Overall, older adults share several risk factors with younger people (Ladd et al., 2003; Southwell et al., 2008). Common risks include male sex (Pietrzak et al., 2007), being single, divorced, or separated (Pietrzak et al., 2007), having a low income (Zaranek and Lichtenberg, 2008; Martin et al., 2011), emotional vulnerabilities (Blaszczynski and Nower, 2002), stressful life events (Lee et al., 2012), and having physical or psychological health problems (Pilver et al., 2013). However, other generic risk factors and correlates commonly in the general adult population may not necessarily apply to late-life problem gamblers (McNeilly and Burke, 2002; Grant et al., 2009). Indeed, there are some specific risk factors for GD in the elderly, which underlie a specific vulnerability (Blaszczynski and Nower, 2002; Granero et al., 2014). The risk factors for GD among old adults may be understood from an ecological perspective, in which environmental variables interact with individual characteristics.

From an environmental perspective, risk factors include a lack of support from family and social networks (Zaranek and Lichtenberg, 2008), social gambling environments, poor social adjustment (Pietrzak et al., 2007), stressful life experiences (widowhood and retirement) (Bazargan et al., 2001), frequency and intensity of gambling behavior, and large losses or gains in the first gambling experiences (Weatherly et al., 2004). Petry (2002) found that, contrary to lifetime problem gambling, late-life problem gambling was more associated with employment problems than social, legal, and substance use disorders (Petry, 2002). Race and ethnicity have also been identified as risk factors for GD (Raylu and Oei, 2004; Johansson et al., 2009; Subramaniam et al., 2015). While some studies indicate that certain cultural groups may be more vulnerable to have GD

in the elderly, such as African-Americans (Alegria et al., 2009) and Native Americans (Patterson-Silver Wolf Adelv Unegv Waya et al., 2014) in USA, or Chinese in Canada (Lai, 2006), others found that both immigrant and native-born cohorts shared more similarities than differences in their gambling profiles (Penelo et al., 2012).

From a psychological perspective, several personality traits have been implicated. For example, personalities that are characterized by elevated levels of impulsivity and sensation seeking; deficits in coping strategies and problem solving; emotional disturbances such as worry, anxiety, tension, anger, feelings of being slighted; victimization; vulnerability to stress or low self-esteem; and lack of optimism (Zaranek and Lichtenberg, 2008). Older adults may also gamble more in an effort to ameliorate negative emotional states (Subramaniam et al., 2015). Being an old woman may confer a similar or even higher risk of GD than being an older man (Petry, 2002; Blanco et al., 2006).

Studies suggest that GD is highly associated with greater physical and mental health comorbidities (Erickson et al., 2005; Pietrzak et al., 2007; Zaranek and Lichtenberg, 2008; Lorains et al., 2011; Chou and Cheung, 2013). These include major depression (Pietrzak et al., 2007), anxiety disorders (Grant et al., 2009), personality disorders (Pietrzak et al., 2007), and even other addictive disorders, such as alcohol (Desai et al., 2007) and drug abuse (Kessler et al., 2008). These findings are moderated by other studies reporting that elderly subjects with GD are less likely to report anxiety due to gambling and daily tobacco use, and are less likely to have a lifetime drug problem (Potenza et al., 2006).

From a neurobiological perspective, cortical modifications in the elderly, especially in the frontal areas, may have a significant impact on gambling behavior (McCarrey et al., 2012). Previous studies have demonstrated that pathological gamblers show a dysfunctional executive profile characterized by deficits in cognitive flexibility, inhibition response, planning, and decisionmaking (Goudriaan et al., 2006; Lawrence et al., 2009; Brevers et al., 2014). Moreover, genetic predispositions may explain the higher risk of cognitive flexibility difficulties in pathological gamblers (Fagundo et al., 2014).

Increased Prevalence of Online Gambling

Since the late 1990s, an increase in online gambling (OG) has been observed, mainly in the young population (Jiménez-Murcia et al., 2011, 2014; Bonnaire, 2012; Granero et al., 2014). Importantly, some studies show substantially higher rates of problem gambling among online gamblers compared with traditional gambling, with rates between 1 and 13% (Wood and Williams, 2011). The favored gambling activities of the elderly seem to be casinos, slot machines, and bingo (McCarrey et al., 2012; Tse et al., 2012). Gambling is also growing as a social activity among the elderly (McNeilly and Burke, 2002; Zaranek and Chapleski, 2005), with motivations for gambling driven by the need for entertainment and leisure than for the money and rewards (Martin et al., 2011). Nevertheless, since older adults are less familiar with new technologies than younger adults, OG in the elderly may appear in the future.

Interestingly, OG in the elderly has not yet been described in the literature. Furthermore, in our daily clinical practice, elderly patients over the age of 80 years are rare. Therefore, we report the first case of an 83-year-old man who developed an OG in laterlife. After describing this case in detail, we discuss the possible associated comorbidities, risk factors, and psychopathological explanations before proposing some therapeutic implications.

Case Description

Mr X was an 83-year-old widower living at home near his family. He was retired after a business career. Some family members died very early in his life. A family psychiatric history only uncovered that a distant relative had committed suicide. His medical history included high blood pressure, thrombophlebitis, hypercholesterolemia, and local rectal cancer. The latter had been treated by surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy, 1 year before he presented with GD; although he was still under followup surveillance, he was considered to be in remission. He was currently being treated once daily with 50 mg captopril, 50 mg chlorthalidone, and 10 mg simvastatin. He could mobilize with a cane.

His GD history began 30 years prior to this presentation. He reported a 5-year period of gambling in casinos that coincided with economic problems. His OG began when he was 80 years old after he lost his wife, which has led to the accumulation of debts that he has been hiding from his family. His OG problem deteriorated soon after it started, with negative emotional states (feelings of loneliness) and the need to "chase losses." At the time he presented to us, he had accumulated debts of approximately €30, 000 and he needed several credit cards to cover debts payment. The main gambling problem, for which he consulted, was playing online casinos. His family asked for treatment and he consented to undergo clinical and neuropsychological assessment. On examination, he was not confused and had no obvious symptoms of neurocognitive impairment. He reported feelings of guilt, anxiety, and sleep disorder, but had no suicidal ideation or psychotic symptoms. He denied smoking, drinking coffee or alcohol, and illicit drug use, and we were confident that he was not suffering from any other behavioral addiction.

This case was assessed and treated in the Pathological Gambling Unit (PGU) of the Psychiatry Department of the Bellvitge University Hospital-IDIBELL in Barcelona, Spain. All the results of the assessment are summarized in **Tables 1**, **2**.

We conducted a clinical psychological assessment, which included the following: Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI 5.00); the South Oaks Gambling Screen (SOGS); the Diagnostic questionnaire for pathological gambling according to DSM-IV criteria (DSM-IV Diagnostic Questionnaire of Stinchfield), the Temperament and Character Inventory–Revised (TCI-R); the Barratt Impulsivity Scale (BIS-11); the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT); the UPPS-P Impulsive Behavior Scale; and the Symptom Checklist-90-Revised (SCL-90-R). (See references in Supplementary data).

The clinical assessment therefore highlighted an adjustment disorder. Moreover, Mr X fulfilled DSM5 diagnostic criteria for GD (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) (see **Table 1**). The psychological assessment confirmed that the patient had a GD (by means of the SOGS and the DSM-IV Diagnostic Questionnaire of Stinchfield), as was already identified in the first clinical interview. It also revealed symptoms of depression, anxiety, hostility, and isolation (measured by the SCL-90-R) (see **Table 2**).

Regarding personality traits (by means of the TCI-R), the patient was characterized by low scores on harm avoidance, reward dependence, persistence, self-directedness and cooperativeness. However, he showed extremely high scores on the self-transcendence subscale. These results showed that the patient was carefree, courageous, and generally optimistic, although he had difficulties anticipating or preventing potentially harmful or dangerous situations. Moreover, he was critical, skeptical, pragmatic, and individualistic. He did not need the approval of the others to make decisions, and had evidence

TABLE 1 | Diagnosis of gambling disorder according to DSM 5 criteria.

DSM-5 MODEL (BASED ON THE GAMBLING DISORDER DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA)

(A) Persistent and recurrent gambling behavior leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as indicated by the individual exhibiting four (or more) of the following in a 12-month period

9.	Relies on others to provide money to relieve desperate financial situations caused by gambling	YES
8.	Has jeopardized or lost a significant relationship, job, or educational or career opportunity because of gambling	YES
7.	Lies to conceal the extent of involving with gambling	YES
6.	After losing money gambling, often returns another day to get even	YES
5.	Often gambles when feeling distressed	YES
4.	Is often preoccupied with gambling	YES
З.	Has made repeated unsuccessful efforts to control, cut back, or stop gambling	YES
2.	Is restless or irritable when attempting to cut down or stop gambling	YES
1.	Needs to gamble with increasing amounts of money in order to achieve the desired excitement	NO

(B) The gambling behavior is not better explained by a manic episode

Mr X fulfills 8 criteria, with moderate severity

CIINICAL	L ASSESSMEN	F										-
Test	MINI 5.00	DQPG-	VI-MSD.	SOGS	AUDIT	SCL-90-R		TCI-R		UPPS-P	BIS-11	
Results	Adjustment di	sorder	4	ω	0	Somatization = 0.33 Obsessive compulsive = 0.2 Interpersonal sensitivity = 0.44 Depression = 1.07 Anxiety = 0.6 Hostility = 0.5 Phobic anxiety = 0 Paranoid ideation = 0.16 Psychoticism = 0.8 Global Severity Index = 0.57 Positive Symptom Total = 31 Positive Symptom Distress Index =	= 1.67	Novelty Seeki Harm Avoidan Reward Depel 83 Persistence = Self-Directedn Cooperativenc Self-Transcenc	ng = 101 ce = 83 ndence = 102 tess = 122 sss = 130 dence = 93	Urgency = 28 (Lack of) Premeditation = 14 (Lack of) Preseverance = 17 (Lack of) Preseverance = 17 Positive urgency = 37 Total = 114	Attentional = 9 Motor = 17 Non planning = 16 Total = 42	
NEUROF	SYCHOLOGIC	AL ASSESSMENT	F									_
Test	MMSE	WAIS-III-vocab	oulary A le	uditory verk arning Test	al	Rey-osterrieth Complex Figure Test	Semantic fluency	Phonemic fluency	WAIS-III digit ;	Span Trail making test	SCWT	
Results	RS = 29/30	RS = 49; SS = 1	0 ۳ ت ۳ ت	mediate Rec elayed Recal ecognition: 1	all: 8/15 I: 4/15 3/15	Copy: RS = 31; PC = 99 Recall: RS = 19; PC = 40	RS = 17; PC = 50	RS = 32; PC = 50	RS = 15; SS =	16 Part A: RS = 43; T = Part B: RS = 144; T	= 63 RS = 2,50; = 57 T = 52	
				.								

AUDIT, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; BIS-11, Barratt impulsiveness Scale; DQPG-DSM-IV, Diagnostic questiomaire for PG according to DSM-IV criteria; MINI 5.00, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; MINSE, Mini Mental State Examination; PC, Percentile; RS, Raw Score; SCL-90-R, Symptom Checklist-90-Revised; SCWT, Stroop Color and Word Test; SOGS, South Oaks Gambling Screen; SS, Standard Score; T, T scores; TCI-R, Temperament and Character Inventory–Revised; UPPS-P, Impulsive Behavior Scale; WalS, Wecksler Aduit Intelligence Scale.

TABLE 2 | Clinical and neuropsychological assessment results of the case-report.

of detachment and social coldness. Other personality traits indicate a tendency to irresponsibility, instability of purpose, lack of perseverance, and difficulties in planning and organizing goals. Finally, he appeared to be a very spiritual person, which is generally associated with more resources to cope with adversity, illness, suffering, or even death. These features are highly positive in the elderly, as these situations are most likely to happen at this stage of life.

He showed high levels of impulsivity on the impulsivity scales (BIS-11 and UPPS-P). Specifically in the BIS-11, the motor subscale and total score were higher than the means obtained in the Spanish general population (Oquendo et al., 2001). In the UPPS-P, the patient scored high on urgency (negative and positive) and low on (lack of) perseverance, premeditation, and sensation seeking. These results indicated a tendency to act impulsively, both with negative (depression, anxiety or hostility) and positive effects, with a lack of perseverance to achieve goals and meet obligations, and difficulties anticipating the consequences of his behavior. Finally, there was no preference for seeking stimulation or excitement. His AUDIT score was not suggestive of alcohol problems.

To exclude neurocognitive issues, we also performed the following assessments: Mini Mental State Examination-MMSE; Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-WAIS-III, subtest of Vocabulary (IQ estimation); Auditory Verbal Learning Test (verbal memory); Rev-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (visual memory); Animals (semantic fluency); FAS (Phonemic fluency); WAIS-III Digits Span (working memory); Trail Making Test (attention and cognitive flexibility) or TMT; and Stroop Color and Word Test-SCWT (inhibition response). (See references in Supplementary data). The neuropsychological assessment focused on executive functions, memory and verbal fluency. The most important reason for this neuropsychological assessment is that pathological gamblers show a dysfunctional executive profile (Fagundo et al., 2014). Additionally, memory is consistently affected in neurodegenerative diseases and frequently associated with cognitive impairment (Panza et al., 2015). Furthermore, alterations in both qualitative and quantitative aspects of phonemic and semantic fluency have been described in dementias (Fagundo et al., 2008). Thus, considering the age of the patient, the assessment of these cognitive functions was particularly relevant. No cognitive deficits or alterations were observed (see Table 2). To exclude epilepsy, the patient underwent a clinical electroencephalogram, which was normal.

Based on the diagnosis of GD, ambulatory care was implemented based on individual cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT). The individual CBT consisted of 16 weekly outpatient sessions lasting 90 min each and a 2-year follow-up period. The goal of treatment was to implement CBT strategies to achieve full recovery, defined as the full abstinence from all types of gambling. The general topics addressed in the therapy included psycho-education about the disorder (its course, vulnerability factors, diagnostic criteria, bio-psychosocial models of GD, and phases), stimulus control (money management, avoidance of risk situations, self-exclusion, and changing risky routes), response prevention (alternative and compensatory behaviors), cognitive restructuring focused on the illusions of control over gambling and magical thinking, reinforcement and self-reinforcement, skills training, and relapse prevention techniques. The treatment program has already been described (Jiménez-Murcia et al., 2006) and its short and medium-term effectiveness reported elsewhere (Jiménez-Murcia et al., 2007, 2012). Several family meetings were also conducted to collect a detailed family history and to provide information about individual CBT. Mr X was informed about the intention to publish this case history and he provided signed consent.

Discussion

Several points warrant further discussion: the originality of the case report, the likely contributing and risk factors, and the therapeutic issues in the case.

First, we believe that a novel feature of our case is the patient's age. Our PG Unit receives over 400 new cases per year. Over the last 10 years, more than 3000 patients have been assessed. We noted a steady increase in the age of the patients, especially in men (see Figure 1). However, the frequency of elderly patients over 65 years old, both men and women, remains low (see Figure 2). Therefore, our case seems particularly rare for several reasons. First his age (83 years) is uncommon. Second, our patient met all the DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) criteria for GD, but the online form was unexpected. OG is commonly found in the young population, probably because young people are more familiar with new technologies. Adjustment difficulties in the elderly may diminish Internet access, while isolation and moving difficulties may facilitate access. Although the elderly are generally assumed to be less aware of new technologies and that they should therefore be less vulnerable to OG, this statement may lose its validity over coming years (see Figure 1). The Internet is already more than two decades old, and the number of users has grown massively in all age groups.

Second, in this case several relevant contributing and risk factors should be taken account and discussed.

Environmental risk factors were evident in this case, with stressful life events both in the near and distant past (the several in his family, and the diagnosis of cancer). He was also socially isolated, even though his family lived very close to him.





Psychological risk factors included the previous history of gambling problems and the evidence of personality traits consistent with GD (Claes et al., 2012; Aymamí et al., 2014; Granero et al., 2014), including high impulsivity and low self-directedness. In fact, this latter dimension is maintained at a low level regardless of age (Granero et al., 2014).

Gambling may have been how this patient coped with anxiety, stress, and negative emotional states. In particular, his OG may have represented a pathological grieving process, allowing him to escape negative feelings. From a constructivist's perspective, research has identified that unresolved losses and mismanagement of stresses are often the most significant predictors of late-life problematic gambling (Tira et al., 2014). This research identified three main pathways that lead to late-life problematic gambling, all linked to a common theme of isolation. In this model, our patient could be considered to have been in the grief pathway, with unresolved losses.

Considering his medical condition, the recent cancer is likely to have strengthened his anxiety and become the second step in the development of GD. This fact is consistent with the literature, suggesting that GD is strongly associated with greater physical and mental health problems (Erickson et al., 2005; Pietrzak et al., 2007; Zaranek and Lichtenberg, 2008; Lorains et al., 2011; Chou and Cheung, 2013; Granero et al., 2014). Interestingly though, except for the entirely understandable adjustment disorder, no other major psychiatric disorders or addictions were evident. This contrasts with the literature, which suggests that major depression and post-traumatic stress disorder are likely explanations for GD (Erickson et al., 2005; Pietrzak et al., 2007; Zaranek and Lichtenberg, 2008; Lorains et al., 2011; Chou and Cheung, 2013; Granero et al., 2014). His history of GD was his greatest risk factor.

The patient had excellent cognitive function for his age. Although this may be a protective factor, high cognitive efficiency may also be a risk factor, facilitating computer literacy and access to the Internet. In the elderly, it is important to keep in mind that a GD could be masking an underlying medical condition, such as frontotemporal dementia (Manes et al., 2010; Kloeters et al., 2013; Ozel-Kizil et al., 2013). Thus, assessing neuropsychological function should be routine in any elderly patients that present with pathological gambling.

Even though our patient had no suicidal ideation or behaviors, suicide risk can result from a GD (Hodgins et al., 2006). This risk is especially pertinent given that older age is a risk factor for completed suicide (Chan et al., 2014). Suicide risk should be systematically and regularly assessed among pathological gamblers, particularly when there is a history of bankruptcy (Wong et al., 2010; Komoto, 2014) or previous suicide attempts (Blaszczynski and Farrell, 1998).

The medications used by our patient are not known to lead to GD. The most likely agent in the elderly population is dopaminergic therapy in Parkinson's disease (Clark and Dagher, 2014; Pirritano et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the medication history should always be checked when assessing a patient with GD, especially in there is an associated psychotic or affective disorder (Gaboriau et al., 2014).

In this case, the patient's history of GD could be considered late-onset given the age of onset and the absence of a psychiatric history (Burge et al., 2004; Desai et al., 2004). However, his current online GD was probably a continuation or relapse of his previous GD. Changing his preference for gambling could have been due to his isolation and movement difficulties, as well as his high educational level, which facilitated access to the Internet. OG, particularly problematic gambling online, was found to be associated with poor mental health and substance use disorder (Scholes-Balog and Hemphill, 2012). OG has several characteristics that potentially make it more attractive and addictive, including its accessibility, anonymity, convenience, feasibility, disinhibition, quickness, simulation, and isolation (Bonnaire, 2012). For these reasons, OG may be a further common form of addiction among old people, probably changing the subtypes of gamblers (Blaszczynski and Nower, 2002; Ledgerwood and Petry, 2006; Álvarez-Moya et al., 2010; Nower et al., 2013; Jiménez-Murcia et al., 2013) and involving prefrontal control (Brand et al., 2014).

Finally, the therapeutic issues must be addressed in a multidisciplinary way with both medical and psychiatric comorbidities being treated. Specific therapeutic approaches and techniques should be proposed for old people, including how to deal with free time, training skills about productive time management, and how to cope with somatic chronic diseases.

Conclusion

We believe that OG may be an underestimated problem in the elderly due to education levels, shame, and medical and psychiatric disorders. Consequently, new forms of GD may increasingly present to specialist centers. Each patient should undergo medical and psychiatric examination in addition to the specific GD assessment. Indeed, late-life GD should be considered a diagnosis of elimination. Therapeutic approaches that are specific to this population need to be proposed and developed.

Acknowledgments

Partial financial support was received from the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (PSI2011-28349), AGAUR (2009SGR1554) and PSI2014-56303-REDT: PROMOSAM.

References

- Alegria, A., Petry, N. M., Hasin, D. S., Liu, S., Grant, B. F., and Blanco, C. (2009). Disordered gambling among racial and ethnic groups in the US: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on alcohol and related conditions. CNS Spectr. 14, 132–142.
- Álvarez-Moya, E. M., Jiménez-Murcia, S., Aymamí, M. N., Gómez-Peña, M., Granero, R., Santamaría, J., et al. (2010). Subtyping study of a pathological gamblers sample. *Can. J. Psychiatry* 55, 498–506.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edn. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Aymamí, N., Granero, R., Penelo, E., Fernández-Aranda, F., Krug, I., Gunnard, K., et al. (2014). Anger in pathological gambling: clinical, psychopathological, and personality correlates. *Span. J. Psychol.* 17, E39. doi: 10.1017/sjp. 2014.40
- Bazargan, M., Bazargan, S., and Akanda, M. (2001). Gambling habits among aged African Americans. *Clin. Gerontol.* 22, 51–62. doi: 10.1300/J018v22n03_06
- Blanco, C., Hasin, D. S., Petry, N., Stinson, F. S., and Grant, B. F. (2006). Sex differences in subclinical and DSM-IV pathological gambling: results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. *Psychol. Med.* 36, 943–953. doi: 10.1017/S0033291706007410
- Blaszczynski, A., and Farrell, E. (1998). Acase series of 44 completed gamblingrelated suicides. J. Gambl. Stud. 14, 93–109. doi: 10.1023/A:1023016224147
- Blaszczynski, A., and Nower, L. (2002). Apathways model of problem and pathological gambling. *Addiction* 97, 487–499. doi: 10.1046/j.1360-0443.2002.00015.x
- Bonnaire, C. (2012). Internet gambling: what are the risks? *Encéphale* 38, 42–49. doi: 10.1016/j.encep.2011.01.014
- Brand, M., Young, K. S., and Laier, C. (2014). Prefrontal control and internet addiction: a theoretical model and review of neuropsychological and neuroimaging findings. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 8:375. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00375
- Brevers, D., Koritzky, G., Bechara, A., and Noël, X. (2014). Cognitive processes underlying impaired decision-making under uncertainty in gambling disorder. *Addict. Behav.* 39, 1533–1536. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2014.06.004
- Burge, A. N., Pietrzak, R. H., Molina, C. A., and Petry, N. M. (2004). Age of gambling initiation and severity of gambling and health problems among older adult problem gamblers. *Psychiatr. Serv.* 55, 1437–1439. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.55.12.1437
- Chan, S. M., Chiu, F. K., Lam, C. W., and Wong, S. M. C. Y. (2014). Amultidimensional risk factor model for suicide attempts in later life. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* 18, 1807–1817. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S70011
- Chou, K.-L., and Cheung, K. C.-K. (2013). Major depressive disorder in vulnerable groups of older adults, their course and treatment, and psychiatric comorbidity. *Depress. Anxiety* 30, 528–537. doi: 10.1002/da.22073
- Claes, L., Jimenez-Murcia, S., Agüera, Z., Sánchez, I., Santamaría, J., Granero, R., et al. (2012). Eating disorders and pathological gambling in males: can they be differentiated by means of weight history and temperament and character traits? *Eat. Disord.* 20, 395–404. doi: 10.1080/10640266.2012.715517
- Clark, C. A., and Dagher, A. (2014). The role of dopamine in risk taking: a specific look at Parkinson's disease and gambling. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 8:196. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00196
- Desai, R. A., Desai, M. M., and Potenza, M. N. (2007). Gambling, health and age: data from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. *Psychol. Addict. Behav.* 21, 431–440. doi: 10.1037/0893-164X.21.4.431

CIBER Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBERobn) and CIBER Salud Mental (CIBERsam) are supported by ISCIII.

Supplementary Material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyg. 2015.00655/abstract

- Desai, R. A., Maciejewski, P. K., Dausey, D. J., Caldarone, B. J., and Potenza, M. N. (2004). Health correlates of recreational gambling in older adults. Am. J. Psychiatry 161, 1672–1679. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.161.9.1672
- Erickson, L., Molina, C. A., Ladd, G. T., Pietrzak, R. H., and Petry, N. M. (2005). Problem and pathological gambling are associated with poorer mental and physical health in older adults. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 20, 754–759. doi: 10.1002/gps.1357
- Fagundo, A. B., López, S., Romero, M., Guarch, J., Marcos, T., and Salamero, M. (2008). Clustering and switching in semantic fluency: predictors of the development of Alzheimer's disease. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 23, 1007–1013. doi: 10.1002/gps.2025
- Fagundo, A., Fernández-Aranda, F., de la Torre, R., Verdejo-García, A., Granero, R., Penelo, E., et al. (2014). Dopamine DRD2/ANKK1 Taq1A and DAT1 VNTR polymorphisms are associated with a cognitive flexibility profile in pathological gamblers. J. Psychopharmacol. 28, 1170–1177. doi: 10.1177/026988111 4551079
- Gaboriau, L., Victorri-Vigneau, C., Gérardin, M., Allain-Veyrac, G., Jolliet-Evin, P., and Grall-Bronnec, M. (2014). Aripiprazole: a new risk factor for pathological gambling? A report of 8 case reports. *Addict. Behav.* 39, 562–565. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.11.005
- Goudriaan, A. E., Oosterlaan, J., De Beurs, E., and Van Den Brink, W. (2006). Neurocognitive functions in pathological gambling: a comparison with alcohol dependence, Tourette syndrome and normal controls. *Addiction* 101, 534–547. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01380.x
- Granero, R., Penelo, E., Stinchfield, R., Fernandez-Aranda, F., Savvidou, L. G., Fröberg, F., et al. (2014). Is pathological gambling moderated by age? J. Gambl. Stud. 30, 475–492. doi: 10.1007/s10899-013-9369-6
- Grant, J. E., Kim, S. W., Odlaug, B. L., Buchanan, S. N., and Potenza, M. N. (2009). Late-onset pathological gambling: clinical correlates and gender differences. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 43, 380–387. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2008.04.005
- Grant Stitt, B., Giacopassi, D., and Nichols, M. (2003). Gambling among older adults: a comparative analysis. *Exp. Aging Res.* 29, 189–203. doi: 10.1080/03610730303713
- Hirsch, P. (2000). Seniors and Gambling: Exploring the Issues: Summary Report. Edmonton, AB: Alberta Alcohol and Drug Abuse Commission.
- Hodgins, D. C., Mansley, C., and Thygesen, K. (2006). Risk factors for suicide ideation and attempts among pathological gamblers. Am. J. Addict. 15, 303–310. doi: 10.1080/10550490600754366
- Jiménez-Murcia, S., Aymamí, N., Gómez-Peña, M., Santamaría, J. J., Álvarez-Moya, E., Fernández-Aranda, F., et al. (2012). Does exposure and response prevention improve the results of group cognitive-behavioural therapy for male slot machine pathological gamblers? *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* 51, 54–71. doi: 10.1111/j.2044-8260.2011.02012.x
- Jiménez-Murcia, S., Aymamí-Sanromà, M., Gómez-Peña, M., Álvarez-Moya, E., and Vallejo, J. (2006). Protocols de Tractament Cognitivoconductual Pel Joc Patològic i d'altres Addiccions No Tòxiques. Barcelona: Generalitat de Catalunya Departament de Salut Institut Català de la Salut, Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge.
- Jiménez-Murcia, S., Fernández-Aranda, F., Granero, R., and Menchón, J. M. (2014). Gambling in Spain: update on experience, research and policy. *Addiction* 109, 1595–1601. doi: 10.1111/add.12232
- Jiménez-Murcia, S., Fernández-Aranda, F., Santamaría, J. J., Granero, R., Penelo, E., Gómez-Peña, M., et al. (2011). Acomparative study between technological addictions and pathological gambling: more similarities than differences? *Int. Gambl. Stud.* 11, 325–337. doi: 10.1080/14459795.2011.628333

- Jiménez-Murcia, S., Granero, R., Stinchfield, R., Fernández-Aranda, F., Penelo, E., Savvidou, L. G., et al. (2013). Typologies of young pathological gamblers based on sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. *Compr. Psychiatry* 54, 1153–1160. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2013.05.017
- Jiménez-Murcia, S., Álvarez-Moya, E. M., Granero, R., Neus Aymami, M., Gómez-Peña, M., Jaurrieta, N., et al. (2007). Cognitive–behavioral group treatment for pathological gambling: analysis of effectiveness and predictors of therapy outcome. *Psychother. Res.* 17, 544–552. doi: 10.1080/10503300601158822
- Johansson, A., Grant, J. E., Kim, S. W., Odlaug, B. L., and Götestam, K. G. (2009). Risk factors for problematic gambling: a critical literature review. J. Gambl. Stud. 25, 67–92. doi: 10.1007/s10899-008-9088-6
- Kessler, R. C., Hwang, I., LaBrie, R., Petukhova, M., Sampson, N. A., Winters, K. C., et al. (2008). DSM-IV pathological gambling in the national comorbidity survey replication. *Psychol. Med.* 38, 1351–1360. doi: 10.1017/s0033291708002900
- Kloeters, S., Bertoux, M., O'Callaghan, C., Hodges, J. R., and Hornberger, M. (2013). Money for nothing - Atrophy correlates of gambling decision making in behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2, 263–272. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2013.01.011
- Komoto, Y. (2014). Factors associated with suicide and bankruptcy in japanese pathological gamblers. Int. J. Ment. Heal. Addict. 12, 600–606. doi: 10.1007/s11469-014-9492-3
- Ladd, G. T., Molina, C. A., Kerins, G. J., and Petry, N. M. (2003). Gambling participation and problems among older adults. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry Neurol. 16, 172–177. doi: 10.1177/0891988703255692
- Lai, D. W. L. (2006). Gambling and the older Chinese in Canada. J. Gambl. Stud. 22, 121-141. doi: 10.1007/s10899-005-9006-0
- Lawrence, A. J., Luty, J., Bogdan, N. A., Sahakian, B. J., and Clark, L. (2009). Problem gamblers share deficits in impulsive decision-making with alcohol-dependent individuals. *Addiction* 104, 1006–1015. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02533.x
- Ledgerwood, D. M., and Petry, N. M. (2006). Psychological experience of gambling and subtypes of pathological gamblers. *Psychiatry Res.* 144, 17–27. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2005.08.017
- Lee, G. P., Storr, C. L., Ialongo, N. S., and Martins, S. S. (2012). Association between adverse life events and addictive behaviors among male and female adolescents. *Am. J. Addict.* 21, 516–523. doi: 10.1111/j.1521-0391.2012.00285.x
- Lorains, F. K., Cowlishaw, S., and Thomas, S. A. (2011). Prevalence of comorbid disorders in problem and pathological gambling: systematic review and metaanalysis of population surveys. *Addiction* 106, 490–498. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2010.03300.x
- Manes, F. F., Torralva, T., Roca, M., Gleichgerrcht, E., Bekinschtein, T. A., and Hodges, J. R. (2010). Frontotemporal dementia presenting as pathological gambling. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 6, 347–352. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2010.34
- Martin, F., Lichtenberg, P. A., and Templin, T. N. (2011). A Longitudinal study: casino gambling attitudes, motivations, and gambling patterns among urban elders. *J. Gambl. Stud.* 27, 287–297. doi: 10.1007/s10899-010-9202-4
- McCarrey, A. C., Henry, J. D., von Hippel, W., Weidemann, G., Sachdev, P. S., Wohl, M. J. A., et al. (2012). Age differences in neural activity during slot machine gambling: an fMRI study. *PLoS ONE* 7:e49787. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0049787
- McNeilly, D., and Burke, W. (2002). The changed culture of gambling and older adults gambling behaviors. *Gerontologist* 42, 21.
- McNeilly, D. P., and Burke, W. J. (2000). Late life gambling: the attitudes and behaviors of older adults. *J. Gambl. Stud.* 16, 393–415. doi: 10.1023/A:1009432223369
- Nower, L., Martins, S. S., Lin, K.-H., and Blanco, C. (2013). Subtypes of disordered gamblers: results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. *Addiction* 108, 789–98. doi: 10.1111/add.12012
- Oquendo, M., Baca-Garcia, E., Graver, R., Morales, M., Montalvan, V., and Mann, J. (2001). Spanish adaptation of the Barratt impulsiveness scale (BIS-11). *Eur. Psychiatry* 15, 147–55.
- Ozel-Kizil, E. T., Sakarya, A. L., Arica, B., and Haran, S. (2013). Acase of frontotemporal dementia with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis presenting with pathological gambling. *J. Clin. Neurol.* 9, 133–137. doi: 10.3988/jcn.2013.9.2.133
- Panza, F., Solfrizzi, V., Barulli, M., Santamato, A., Seripa, D., Pilotto, A., et al. (2015). Cognitive frailty - epidemiological and neurobiological evidence of

an age-related clinical condition: a systematic review. *Rejuvenation Res.* doi: 10.1089/rej.2014.1637. [Epub ahead of print].

- Patterson-Silver Wolf Adelv Unegv Waya, D., Welte, J., Barnes, G., Tidwell, M., and Spicer, P. (2014). Sociocultural influences on gambling and alcohol use among native americans in the united states. J. Gambl. Stud. doi: 10.1007/s10899-014-9512-z. [Epub ahead of print].
- Penelo, E., Granero, R., Fernández-Aranda, F., Aymamí, N., Gómez-Peña, M., Moragas, L., et al. (2012). Comparison between immigrant and Spanish native-born pathological gambling patients. *Psychol. Rep.* 110, 555–570. doi: 10.2466/02.18.PR0.110.2.555-570
- Petry, N. M. (2002). Acomparison of young, middle-aged, and older adult treatment-seeking pathological gamblers. *Gerontologist* 42, 92–99. doi: 10.1093/geront/42.1.92
- Philippe, F., and Vallerand, R. J. (2007). Prevalence rates of gambling problems in Montreal, Canada: a look at old adults and the role of passion. J Gambl. Stud. 23, 275–283. doi: 10.1007/s10899-006-9038-0
- Pietrzak, R. H., Morasco, B. J., Blanco, C., Grant, B. F., and Petry, N. M. (2007). Gambling level and psychiatric and medical disorders in older adults: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 15, 301–313. doi: 10.1097/01.JGP.0000239353.40880.cc
- Pilver, C. E., Libby, D. J., Hoff, R. A., and Potenza, M. N. (2013). Problem gambling severity and the incidence of Axis I psychopathology among older adults in the general population. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 47, 534–541. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.12.013
- Pirritano, D., Plastino, M., Bosco, D., Gallelli, L., Siniscalchi, A., and De Sarro, G. (2014). Gambling disorder during dopamine replacement treatment in Parkinson's disease: a comprehensive review. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2014;728038. doi: 10.1155/2014/728038
- Potenza, M. N., Steinberg, M. A., Wu, R., Rounsaville, B. J., and O'Malley, S. S. (2006). Characteristics of older adult problem gamblers calling a gambling helpline. *J. Gambl. Stud.* 22, 241–254. doi: 10.1007/s10899-006-9013-9
- Raylu, N., and Oei, T. P. (2004). Role of culture in gambling and problem gambling. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 23, 1087–1114. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2003.09.005
- Scholes-Balog, K. E., and Hemphill, S. A. (2012). Relationships between online gambling, mental health, and substance use: a review. *Cyberpsychol. Behav. Soc. Netw.* 15, 688–692. doi: 10.1089/cyber.2012.0232
- Southwell, J., Boreham, P., and Laffan, W. (2008). Problem gambling and the circumstances facing older people: AAA study of gaming machine players aged 60+ in licensed clubs. *J. Gambl. Stud.* 24, 151–174. doi: 10.1007/s10899-007-9079-z
- Subramaniam, M., Wang, P., Soh, P., Vaingankar, J., Chong, S., Browning, C., et al. (2015). Prevalence and determinants of gambling disorder among older adults: a systematic review. *Addict. Behav.* 41, 199–209. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2014.10.007
- Tira, C., Jackson, A. C., and Tomnay, J. E. (2014). Pathways to late-life problematic gambling in seniors: a grounded theory approach. *Gerontologist* 54, 1035–1048. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnt107
- Tse, S., Hong, S. I., and Ng, K. L. (2013). Estimating the prevalence of problem gambling among older adults in Singapore. *Psychiatry Res.* 210, 607–611. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2013.06.017
- Tse, S., Hong, S.-I., Wang, C.-W., and Cunningham-Williams, R. M. (2012). Gambling behavior and problems among older adults: a systematic review of empirical studies. *J. Gerontol. B. Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.* 67, 639–52. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbs068
- Vander Bilt, J., Dodge, H. H., Pandav, R., Shaffer, H. J., and Ganguli, M. (2004). Gambling participation and social support among older adults: a longitudinal community study. J. Gambl. Stud. 20, 373–389. doi: 10.1007/s10899-004-4580-0
- Weatherly, J. N., Sauter, J. M., and King, B. M. (2004). The "big win" and resistance to extinction when gambling. J. Psychol. 138, 495–504. doi: 10.3200/JRLP.138.6.495-504
- Wiebe, J. M. D., and Cox, B. J. (2005). Problem and probable pathological gambling among older adults assessed by the SOGS-R. J. Gambl. Stud. 21, 205–221. doi: 10.1007/s10899-005-3032-9
- Wong, P. W. C., Chan, W. S. C., Conwell, Y., Conner, K. R., and Yip, P. S. F. (2010). Apsychological autopsy study of pathological gamblers who

died by suicide. J. Affect. Disord. 120, 213-216. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2009. 04.001

- Wood, R. T., and Williams, R. J. (2011). Acomparative profile of the Internet gambler: demographic characteristics, game-play patterns, and problem gambling status. *New Media Soc.* 13, 1123–1141. doi: 10.1177/1461444810397650
- Zaranek, R. R., and Chapleski, E. E. (2005). Casino gambling among urban elders: just another social activity? J. Gerontol. B. Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci. 60, S74–S81. doi: 10.1093/geronb/60.2.S74
- Zaranek, R. R., and Lichtenberg, P. A. (2008). Urban elders and casino gambling: are they at risk of a gambling problem? *J. Aging Stud.* 22, 13–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jaging.2007.11.001

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Sauvaget, Jiménez-Murcia, Fernández-Aranda, Fagundo, Moragas, Wolz, Veciana De Las Heras, Granero, del Pino-Gutiérrez, Baño, Real, Aymamí, Grall-Bronnec and Menchón. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.