

THE EFFECT OF CONTEXTUAL TEACHING ON COGNITIVE AND NON-
COGNITIVE LEARNING CONSTRUCT AMONG ENGINEERING STUDENTS

NAFISAH @ KAMARIAH BINTI HJ MD KAMARUDDIN

A thesis submitted in
fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the
Doctor of Philosophy in Technical and Vocational Education



Fakulti Pendidikan Teknikal dan Vokasional
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

DEDICATION

For my beloved parents,
Hjh Nik Hannah and Hj Md Kamaruddin, who gave me a lot of support and
encouragement.

For my beloved husband,
Hj Zulkarnain Md Amin, who gave me a lot of support and motivation.

For my beloved daughter,
Dr Sarah Zulkarnain, who gave me advice and motivation.

For my beloved autistic son,
Adam Zulkarnain, who accompanied me and lent a helping hand.

For my beloved son,
Dr Luqman Zulkarnain, who gave me strength to move on.

For my beloved son-in-law,
Dr Ahmad Badruridzwanullah Zun, who gave me advice and tips.

For my beloved grandchildren,
Omar, Khawlah, Khayra dan Anas, who gave me joy and happiness.

For my beloved siblings,
Mashitah, Laila, Hazami, Khalid, and their spouses and children, who gave
me support.



PTTA
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNGU AMINAH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express her sincere appreciation to her supervisor, Prof. Dr. Maizam binti Alias for the support given throughout the duration for this research.

The cooperation given by Prof Dr Azme bin Khamis is also highly appreciated.

Appreciation also goes to everyone involved directly or indirectly towards the compilation of this thesis.

Last but not least, Prof Dr Abdul Rashid Wan Ahmad for the support.



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ABSTRAK

Di universiti, kebanyakan pelajar kejuruteraan menghadapi kesukaran memahami konsep statistik dan tidak dapat mengaitkan apa yang pelajari di kelas dengan dunia nyata atau kejuruteraan. Pengajaran dan pembelajaran kontekstual menyatakan pelajar aktif dalam membina pengetahuan mereka sendiri berdasarkan situasi kehidupan sebenar. Oleh itu, kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti gaya pembelajaran dominan pelajar dan kesan pembelajaran kontekstual terhadap aspek kognitif iaitu pencapaian statistik dan juga aspek bukan kognitif iaitu motivasi dan kaedah pembelajaran dalam kalangan pelajar kejuruteraan. Dalam kajian ini, empat kumpulan Solomon digunakan untuk reka bentuk eksperimen Quasi. Pelajar yang terlibat dalam kajian ini merupakan pelajar tahun dua diploma di UTHM dari semester 2 sesi 2016/2017 iaitu 268 pelajar kejuruteraan awam, elektrik dan mekanikal. Empat kumpulan dalam kajian ini terdiri daripada kumpulan kontekstual dengan ujian pra (G1 CTL Pra), bukan-kontekstual dengan ujian pra (G2 NCTL Pra), kontekstual tanpa ujian pra (G3 CTL) dan bukan-kontekstual tanpa ujian pra (G4 NCTL). Majoriti pelajar adalah lelaki Melayu. Setiap kumpulan terdiri daripada kejuruteraan dalam ketiga bidang. Tidak ada interaksi antara kumpulan ini kerana tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan antara ujian pra dan ujian pasca pada tahap signifikansi .05 ($P = .57$). Dari Ujian Pasca, tiada perbezaan yang signifikan antara G1 CTL Pra dan G2 NCTL Pra bagi soalan penyelesaian masalah secara bukan kontekstual dan kontekstual. Namun, pada soalan penyelesaian masalah aplikasi statistik, terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan antara G1 CTL Pra dan G2 NCTL Pra. Tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan bagi Motivasi: *Extrinsic*, *Control Belief*, *Task Value*, dan *Test Anxiety* dan terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan antara Motivasi: *Intrinsic* dan *Self-efficacy*. Dari ujian Pasca, kumpulan kontekstual menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik. Dari temu bual, kedua kumpulan bersetuju bahawa video kontekstual menjelaskan bagaimana statistik digunakan dalam kehidupan sebenar. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa pengajaran dan pembelajaran statistik secara kontekstual berkesan untuk pelajar kejuruteraan yang majoritinya mempunyai gaya pembelajaran *Auditory Digital* dan menggunakan kaedah pembelajaran *Deep Approach*.

ABSTRACT

In universities, most engineering students have difficulty in understanding the statistics concepts and cannot relate the learning in class to the real world or engineering world. Contextual teaching and learning based on the constructivism theory that students are active learners who construct their own knowledge based on real life situations. Thus, this research was done to determine the dominant learning style and to investigate the effect of contextual learning on cognitive aspect which is the statistics achievement and on non-cognitive aspect which are approaches to learning and motivation, among university engineering students. In this study, four Solomon's groups were used for Quasi-experimental designs. All year two diploma-engineering students at UTHM from semester 2 session 2016/2017 were involved in this study: 268 civil, electrical and mechanical students. The four groups in this study consist of group 1 contextual with pre-test (G1 CTL Pre), group 2 non-contextual with pre-test (G2 NCTL Pre), group 3 contextual without pre-test (G3 CTL) and group 4 non-contextual without pre-test (G4 NCTL). Majority of the students are Malay male students. Each group consisted of civil, electrical and mechanical engineering students. There was no interaction between these groups as there was no significant difference between pre-test and post-test at a significance level of .05 ($P = .57$). From the Post-test, questions on the problem solving for non-contextual and contextual, there was no significant difference between the groups. However, on the problem solving application of statistics, there was significant difference between the contextual and non-contextual groups. There was no significant difference between Motivation: Extrinsic, Control Belief, Task Value, Test Anxiety among the groups. However, there is significant difference between Motivation: Intrinsic and Self-efficacy among the groups. From the total marks of the Post-test, the contextual groups performed better than the non-contextual group. From the interviews, majority of non-contextual and contextual agreed that contextual video are able to explain how statistics were used in real-life situations. In conclusion, this study shows that the teaching and learning statistics contextually is effective to the engineering students where majority of them were Auditory Digital and using Deep Approach.

TABLES OF CONTENTS

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| TITLE | i |
| DECLARATION | ii |
| DEDICATION | vii |
| ACKKNOWLEDGEMENT | viii |
| ABSTRAK | ix |
| <i>ABSTRACT</i> | x |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | xi |
| LIST OF TABLES | xvi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xviii |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xxiii |
| LIST OF ACRONYMS | xxiv |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xxv |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Background to the study | 2 |
| 1.3 Problem statement | 7 |
| 1.4 Purpose of study | 8 |
| 1.5 Research Objectives | 9 |
| 1.6 Research Questions | 9 |
| 1.7 Research Hypotheses | 10 |
| 1.8 Research Variables | 10 |
| 1.9 Theoretical Framework | 11 |



PTTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

| | | |
|------|-------------------------|----|
| 1.10 | Significance of study | 15 |
| 1.11 | Research Scope | 15 |
| 1.12 | Limitation | 16 |
| 1.13 | Research Assumptions | 17 |
| 1.14 | Operational Definitions | 18 |
| 1.15 | Thesis structure | 19 |
| 1.16 | Summary | 20 |

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW **21**

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Introduction | 21 |
| 2.2 | Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) | 22 |
| 2.2.1 | Definition of Contextual Teaching and Learning | 23 |
| 2.2.2 | The Implementation of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) | 24 |
| 2.2.3 | Teaching and Learning Mathematics and Statistics | 31 |
| 2.2.4 | The Implementation of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) usng video | 36 |
| 2.2.4 | The Implementation of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) in Malaysia | 37 |
| 2.3 | Learning Style | 40 |
| 2.3.1 | Kolb Learning Stykes | 42 |
| 2.3.2 | Neuro-Linguistics Programming (NLP) | 43 |
| | Lerning Styles | |



| | | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|
| 2.3.3 | Research on Learning Styles | 46 |
| 2.4 | Motivation | 47 |
| 2.4.1 | Definition of Motivation | 49 |
| 2.4.2 | Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ) | 49 |
| 2.4.3 | Research on Motivation | 52 |
| 2.5 | Approaches to Learning | 58 |
| 2.5.1 | Deep and Surface Approaches | 58 |
| 2.5.2 | Study Process Questionnaire (R-SPQ-2F) | 59 |
| 2.5.3 | Translation of the Study Process Questionnaire (R-SPQ-2F) | 60 |
| 2.5.4 | Research on Approaches to Learning | 61 |
| 2.6 | Summary | 63 |
| CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY | | 64 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 64 |
| 3.2 | Research Design | 64 |
| 3.3 | Population and Samples | 66 |
| 3.3.1 | Selection of Sample | 68 |
| 3.4 | Equality Test | 69 |
| 3.4.1 | Equality Test Validity | 70 |
| 3.5 | Group Formation | 71 |
| 3.6 | Demographic of the Research Participants | 79 |
| 3.7 | Research Operation | 82 |
| 3.7.1 | Phase I: Analysis of Requirements | 83 |
| 3.7.2 | Phase II: Design and Formulation | 83 |



| | | |
|---------|---|-----|
| 3.7.3 | Phase III: Implementation | 83 |
| 3.8 | Research Instrument | 84 |
| 3.8.1 | Neuro-Linguistic Programming (NLP) | 85 |
| | Preferred Representational System Test | |
| 3.8.1.1 | Validity of Neuro-Linguistic | 87 |
| | Programming (NLP) Preferred Representational | |
| | System Test | |
| 3.8.2 | Statistics Questions | 87 |
| 3.8.2.1 | Statistics Pre-test Questions | 87 |
| | 3.8.2.2 Statistics Post-test Questions | 89 |
| | 3.8.2.3 Statistics Pre-test and Post-test Questions | 90 |
| | Validity | |
| 3.8.3 | Motivated Strategies for Learning (MLSQ) | 91 |
| | Questionnaire | |
| 3.8.3.1 | Pilot Test and Reliability of the | 92 |
| | Questionnaire | |
| 3.8.4 | Revised Two Factor Study Process (R-SPQ-2F) | 94 |
| | Questionnaire | |
| 3.8.5 | Interview Questions | 96 |
| 3.9 | Intervention Material | 97 |
| 3.9.1 | Non-contextual and Contextual Statistics | 97 |
| | Module Development | |
| 3.9.1.1 | Teaching and learning process | 99 |
| | in the experimental group | |
| 3.9.2 | Non-contextual and Contextual Statistics | 101 |
| | Video Development | |



| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 3.10 | Non-contextual and Contextual Statistics Lesson Plan | 101 |
| 3.11 | Data Collection Procedure | 103 |
| 3.12 | Summary | 106 |

CHAPTER 4 DATA ANALYSIS **107**

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 107 |
| 4.2 | Research Question 1 | 108 |
| 4.3 | Research Question 2 | 110 |
| | 4.3.1 Analysis between groups G1:CTL and G2:NTCL Pre | 111 |
| 4.4 | Research Question 3 | 112 |
| | 4.4.1 Analysis between groups G1:CTL and G2:NTCL Pre | 114 |
| 4.5 | Research Question 4 | 115 |
| | 4.5.1 Analysis between groups G1:CTL and G2:NTCL Pre | 117 |
| 4.6 | Research Question 5 | 118 |
| | 4.6.1 Intrinsic | 119 |
| | 4.6.2 Extrinsic | 122 |
| | 4.6.3 Task Value | 124 |
| | 4.6.4 Control Belief | 126 |
| | 4.6.5 Self-Efficacy | 128 |
| | 4.6.6 Test Anxiety | 130 |
| 4.7 | Research Question 6 | 132 |
| 4.8 | Research Question 7 | 134 |
| 4.9 | Summary | 149 |



| | |
|--|------------|
| CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION | 150 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 150 |
| 5.2 Research Overview | 150 |
| 5.3 Discussion of Research Findings | 153 |
| 5.3.1 Learning Style for Engineering Students | 153 |
| 5.3.2 Contextual Teaching and Learning | 154 |
| 5.3.3 Motivation | 156 |
| 5.3.4 Approaches to Learning | 158 |
| 5.3.5 Contextual Video on Statistics | 159 |
| 5.4 Contribution | 160 |
| 5.5 Implication | 161 |
| 5.6 Limitation | 161 |
| 5.7 Conclusion | 162 |
| 5.8 Suggestion for Future Research | 163 |
| 5.9 Summary | 163 |
| REFERENCES | 164 |
| APPENDICES | 188 |



PT TIA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Framework for explaining the outcomes | 11 |
| 2.1 | NLP Learning styles | 45 |
| 2.2 | Components and Examples of Motivation questionnaire | 49 |
| 2.3 | Surface, Deep, Motive and Strategy Approaches | 59 |
| 2.4 | Examples of Revised Study Process Questionnaire (R-SPQ-2F) | 60 |
| 3.1 | Statistics Class Distribution | 69 |
| 3.2 | Statistics Course Syllabus | 70 |
| 3.3 | Reliability Statistics for Equality Test | 71 |
| 3.4 | Mean from the Equality Test | 72 |
| 3.5 | ANOVA Equality Test | 72 |
| 3.6 | Multiple Comparisons of Equality Test | 72 |
| 3.7 | Six combination of the class | 73 |
| 3.8 | Summary of the combination of the class | 74 |
| 3.9 | Research Solomon Four Groups | 77 |
| 3.10 | Distribution of Research Group Participant According to Gender Based on Four Groups GI CTL Pre, G2 NCTL Pre, G3 CTL and G4 NCTL | 78 |
| 3.11 | Equality Test – 4 Groups | 78 |
| 3.12 | Distribution of Research Participant Based on Four Groups GI CTL Pre, G2 NCTL Pre, G3 CTL and G4 NCTL | 80 |
| 3.13 | Distribution of Research Group Participant According to Race Based on Four Groups GI CTL Pre, G2 NCTL Pre, G3 CTL and G4 NCTL | 81 |
| 3.14 | Operational of the Implementation | 82 |
| 3.15 | Neuro-Linguistics Programming (NLP) Preferred Representational System Test | 86 |
| 3.16 | Non-contextual Question | 88 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 3.17 | Contextual Question | 88 |
| 3.18 | Application of Real Life Question | 89 |
| 3.19 | Reliability Statistics for Post-test | 90 |
| 3.20 | Mathematics Motivation Scale Sub-Scale Factor Item | 91 |
| 3.21 | Reliability Statistics Cronbach's Alpha (Item 36) | 93 |
| 3.22 | Reliability Statistics Cronbach's Alpha (Item 6 & 36) | 93 |
| 3.23 | Examples of MLSQ questionnaire item | 93 |
| 3.24 | Study Process Questionnaire Dimensions, Motives and Strategies (Biggs et al, 2001) | 94 |
| 3.25 | R-SPQ-2F Sub-Scale Factor Item | 94 |
| 3.26 | Examples of R-SPQ-2F questionnaire item | 95 |
| 3.27 | Statistics Course Time | 98 |
| 3.28 | Statistics Normal Distribution Lesson Plan for Contextual (CTL) Group | 99 |
| 3.29 | Statistics Normal Distribution Lesson Plan for Non-contextual (NCTL) | 101 |
| 4.1 | Distribution of Research Group Participant According to Students Learning Styles Based on Four Groups GI CTL Pre, G2 NCTL Pre,G3 CTL and G4 NCTL | 109 |
| 4.2 | Descriptive Statistics for Total Question 1 and Question 2 | 110 |
| 4.3 | ANOVA for Total Question 1 and Question 2 | 110 |
| 4.4 | Multiple Comparison for Total Question 1 and Question 2 | 111 |
| 4.5 | Descriptive Statistics for Total Question 1 and Question 2 for G1 and G2 | 111 |
| 4.6 | t-test for Total Question 1 and Question 2 for G1 and G2 | 112 |
| 4.7 | Descriptive Statistics for Total Question 3 and Question 4 | 113 |
| 4.8 | ANOVA for Total Question 3 and Question 4 | 113 |
| 4.9 | Multiple Comparison for Total Question 3 and Question 4 | 114 |
| 4.10 | Descriptive Statistics for Total Question 3 and Question 4 for G1 and G2 | 114 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.11 | t-test for Total Question 3 and Question 4 for G1 and G2 | 115 |
| 4.12 | Descriptive Statistics for Total Question 3 and Question 4 | 116 |
| 4.13 | ANOVA for Total Question 5 and Question 6 | 116 |
| 4.14 | Multiple Comparison for Total Question 5 and Question 6 | 117 |
| 4.15 | Descriptive Statistics for Total Question 5 and Question 6 for G1 and G2 | 117 |
| 4.16 | t-test for Total Question 5 and Question 6 for G1 and G2 | 118 |
| 4.17 | Pairwise Comparison of Motivation and Post Test | 119 |
| 4.18 | Descriptive Statistics | 119 |
| 4.19 | ANCOVA Intrinsic Between Four Groups | 120 |
| 4.20 | Pairwise Comparison of Intrinsic and Post Test | 121 |
| 4.21 | ANCOVA Intrinsic Between G1 and G2 | 121 |
| 4.22 | ANCOVA Extrinsic Between Four Groups | 122 |
| 4.23 | Pairwise Comparison of Extrinsic and Post Test | 123 |
| 4.24 | ANCOVA Extrinsic Between G1 and G2 | 123 |
| 4.25 | ANCOVA Task Value Between Four Groups | 124 |
| 4.26 | Pairwise Comparison of Task Value and Post Test | 125 |
| 4.27 | ANCOVA Task Value Between G1 and G2 | 125 |
| 4.28 | ANCOVA Control Belief Between Four Groups | 126 |
| 4.29 | Pairwise Comparison of Control Belief and Post Test | 127 |
| 4.30 | ANCOVA Control Belief Between G1 and G2 | 127 |
| 4.31 | ANCOVA Self-efficacy Between Four Groups | 128 |
| 4.32 | Pairwise Comparison of Self-efficacy and Post Test | 129 |
| 4.33 | ANCOVA Self-efficacy Between G1 and G2 | 129 |
| 4.34 | ANCOVA Test Anxiety Between Four Groups | 130 |
| 4.35 | Pairwise Comparison of Test Anxiety and Post Test | 131 |
| 4.36 | ANCOVA Test Anxiety Between G1 and G2 | 131 |
| 4.37 | Distribution of Research Participant According to Students Learning Approach | 133 |
| 4.38 | Distribution of Participant According to Students Learning | 133 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| | Approach whether Motive or Strategy | |
| 4.39 | Selected Students for Interview | 134 |
| 4.40 | Answers from Non-contextual (NCTL) Students for Interview Question 1 | 135 |
| 4.41 | Answers from Contextual (CTL) Students for Interview Question 1 | 136 |
| 4.42 | Accepted Answers from Non-contextual (NCTL) Students for Interview Question 2 | 137 |
| 4.43 | Rejected Answers from Non-contextual (NCTL) Students for Interview Question | 138 |
| 4.44 | Accepted Answers from Contextual (CTL) Students for Interview Question 2 | 138 |
| 4.45 | Accepted Answers from Non-contextual (NCTL) Students for Interview Question 3 | 140 |
| 4.46 | Rejected Answers from Non-contextual (NCTL) Students for Interview Question 3 | 141 |
| 4.47 | Accepted Answers and One Rejected Answer from Contextual (CTL) Students for Interview Question 3 | 141 |
| 4.48 | Answers from Non-contextual (NCTL) Students for Interview Question 4 | 143 |
| 4.49 | Answers from Contextual (CTL) Students for Interview Question 4 | 143 |
| 4.50 | Answers from Non-contextual (NCTL) Students for Interview Question 5 | 145 |
| 4.51 | Answers from Contextual (CTL) Students for Interview Question 5 | 146 |
| 4.52 | Answers from Non-contextual (NCTL) Students for Interview Question 6 | 148 |
| 4.53 | Answers from Contextual (CTL) Students for Interview Question 6 | 149 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|-----|
| 1.1 | Conceptual Theoretical Framework | 14 |
| 3.1 | Group Formation | 75 |
| 3.2 | Operational Framework | 82 |
| 3.3 | Data Collection Procedure | 105 |



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF ACRONYMS

| | | |
|----------|---|--|
| CGPA | - | Cumulative Grade Point Average |
| CTL | - | Contextual Teaching and Learning |
| ICT | - | Information & Computer Technology |
| JPU | - | <i>Jadual Penentuan Ujian</i> |
| MLSQ | - | Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire |
| MoHE | - | Ministry of Higher Education or <i>Kementerian Pengajian Tinggi Malaysia</i> |
| MOSTI | - | Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation |
| MTUN | - | Malaysian Technical University Network |
| MTU | - | Malaysian Technical University |
| NonCTL | - | Non-contextual Teaching and Learning |
| NLP | - | Neuro-Linguistics Programming |
| R-SPQ-2F | - | Revised Two Factor Study Process Questionnaire |
| SOFTAM | - | Center for Software Technology and Management |
| SPSS | - | Statistical Package for the Social Sciences |
| STEM | - | Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics |
| TIMSS | - | Trends International Mathematics and Science Study |
| UMP | - | Universiti Malaysia Pahang |
| UniMAP | - | Universiti Malaysia Perlis |
| UTHM | - | Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia |
| UTeM | - | Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|-----------|---|--|
| n | - | number of data values in a sample |
| N | - | number of data values in a population |
| \bar{x} | - | sample mean |
| μ | - | population mean |
| s | - | sample standard deviation |
| σ | - | population standard deviation |
| x, z | - | represent a specific observed data value |
| Σ | - | summation |



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | | |
|---|---|-----|
| A | Assessment Form of Contents NLP, (MLSQ and R-SPQ-2F) | 210 |
| B | <i>Ujian Sistem Representasi Pilihan Diri</i> | 212 |
| C | NLP Preferred Representational Systems Test | 213 |
| D | Statistics Motivated for Learning Questionnaire (MLSQ) | 216 |
| E | Revised Two-Factor Study Process (R-SPQ-2F) Questionnaire | 220 |
| F | Assessment Form of Contents (Statistics) | 222 |
| G | Equality Test (Statistics) | 225 |
| H | Table of Specification (TOS) for Equality test question | 226 |
| I | Pre-test (Statistics) | 227 |
| J | Table of Specification (TOS) for Equality test question | 230 |
| K | Post-test (Statistics) | 232 |
| L | Table of Specification (TOS) for Equality test question | 235 |
| M | Normal Distribution Module (Non-contextual) | 237 |
| N | Normal Distribution Module (Contextual) | 244 |
| O | Structured Qualitative Question | 252 |
| P | Output | 253 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Based on the STEM initiatives in Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013 – 2025, Ministry of Education Malaysia's (2013) aims are to prepare students with the skills to meet the science and technology challenges and to ensure that Malaysia has a sufficient number of qualified Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) graduates. However, according to the report, only 45 % students were in the science, technical and vocational streams, which are obviously very far from 60%. Not only Malaysia was not able to meet the target 60: 40 ratio of Science/Technical to Arts, the number of students is declining. According to the Blueprint, in 2016, the number of students who chose STEM fields had continued to decline to 42%. Even students who met the requirement did not chose to enter the science stream. Due to this factor, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) estimates that there will be a shortfall of scientists and engineers in 2020 (Malaysia Education Blueprint, 2013).

Based on the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), the findings indicate that Malaysian students' performance has fallen (IES -NCES National Center for Education Statistics, 2015). Thus, not only on national level but also for international level, Malaysian students' performances still need to improve. As a result, steps should be taken to improve the students' performances such as the introduction new or improving the method of teaching, new materials or improved the

existing materials, etc. Steps mentioned in the Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013 – 2025 (2013) are to raise students' interest through new learning approaches and an enhanced curriculum. In order for the students to be attracted to learn Science and Mathematics, the learning approach that has been suggested in the STEM approach, must have pedagogical strategy that emphasizes application of knowledge, skills and values from the disciplines of Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics. Ng & Kulasagaran (2012), commented that students were not interested the way science and mathematics were taught in the first place in Malaysia, which has been focusing on memorisation of formulas and experiments. In order to fulfil the education policy, one of the STEM approach suggested in the Malaysia Education Blueprint (2013) is problem solving related to the real world (Malaysia Education Blueprint, 2013; Mohd Shahali, Ismail and Halim, 2017).

1.2 Background to the study

In 2015, MTUN with four technology based university in Malaysia, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP) and Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP), were rebranded to Malaysian Technical University (MTU). One of the things that makes MTU different from other universities is MTU students go through experiential learning as they spend more time in practical sessions doing relevant experiments than in lecture rooms. Experiential learning means learning from experience or learning by doing which built upon a foundation of interdisciplinary and constructivist teaching (Schwartz, 2012). Students in Malaysian Technical University (MTU) universities should be able to solve practical problems as since MTUN was officially formed in 2006 and its education system is “Practical Oriented” and focuses towards “Problem Centred Teaching, Action & Experiential Learning”.

Problems occur as students tend to forget what they learn in mathematics courses and thus they are not able to apply in their engineering courses. Bacon & Stewart (2006) said that students should take course's prerequisites immediately before the course. They said that students, who take introduction to statistics 2 years before marketing research, would have forgotten most of their statistics by the time

they need it, and so the prerequisite is of little value. For the MTU students to be able to solve practical problems in their major courses such as civil engineering, electrical engineering, mechanical engineering, chemical engineering or computer engineering courses, they must be good in mathematics. If they cannot remember what they learn in Mathematics courses, this will be a big problem as we say mathematics is the language for engineering courses. Another problem is because we have students learning mathematics just for the sake of passing the examinations. This is due to engineering students judge learning mathematics at conceptual learning as irrelevant but the motivation narrows down to “passing the exam” (Hernandez-Martinez & Vos 2017). Owing to this, many of them forget what they learn in mathematics after the examination.

Usually when we say mathematics, we include statistics in this discipline. However, according to Journal of Statistics and Mathematical Sciences, mathematics is a broad discipline of geometry, algebra, trigonometry and arithmetic's and also the study of quantity, space, change and structure, while statistics is a branch of applied mathematics dealing with comprehension, analysis, assimilation and collection of data. According to Hamzalouh (2015), in mathematics, measurement typically refers to understanding units and precision in problems that deal with most concrete measures such as length, area, and volume, however, in statistics, measurement can be a bit more abstract. She added that variability and the uncertainty of conclusions was another major difference between statistics and mathematics. In mathematics, results are usually reached by means of deduction, logical proof, or mathematical induction and typically, there is one correct answer, however, statistics utilizes inductive reasoning and conclusions are always uncertain.

Since some mathematicians define mathematics and statistics differently, now the question will be which of these two that students or lecturers find difficult to learn or to understand the concept. Based on the feedback from students and lecturers from <https://www.quora.com> (2018) that students have different reasons when they were asked why they found statistics more difficult than calculus. Sigma, a student from Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA (MIT) that is one of the most prestigious institutions of higher learning in the world, felt that when given probability problems, he has to figure out what kind of distribution is involved. This is one of the reasons that students get confuse every time, as the answers are not as straightforward as in

Calculus. Wu, with a Bachelor Degree in Cognitive Science from Carnegie Mellon University, USA said that he prefers calculus. He feels that statistics is harder because statistics requires good reading comprehension, as the word problems are generally less straightforward than of calculus. Ma, with a PhD in Plant Breeding and Genetics and Master in Analytics emphasized on the method of teaching. He said that the main problem is that statistics is taught poorly and it should be taught in a more approachable manner for people to understand its principles. Georgiev, an applied statistician said that many teachers in statistics are not great at what they do as they come from a math-heavy background and lack the philosophical training. They have little understanding of how and why statistics is to be applied. Lastly, Moore with a PhD in statistics said that the real world is complicated. Any use of mathematics apply to the real world problems needs to cope with the complications. He continued that statistics should not treated as a bunch of formula to plug numbers into and out would lies chaos as students were not sure that they are using the right formula. This problem is also face by engineering students in Malaysia For example, from an interview with the assistant monitor of a statistics class, an electrical engineering UTHM student, he argued that engineering students only needed to learn algebra and calculus as they used complex number, differentiation and integration in their engineering courses. Nobody in class objected his statement. He added that he never considered statistics being used in their engineering subjects or realised that one of the applications of statistics in engineering is the use of probability in random signals processing. He said he had difficulties learning statistics and wanted to drop the course. Even though he got A in other math classes, he questioned why engineering students have to learn statistics.

Engineering students are unable to make connections between what they are learning in mathematics and how this knowledge is used in their engineering courses. Generally, the students are expected to make connections on their own. This is because students learn mathematics mechanistically, that is, memorizing the formula and then manipulate the formula with different numbers (Ng & Kulasagaran, 2102; Md Kamaruddin, 2009). Students may be excellent and scored good grades in their statistics course even though they feel that statistics do not relate to the real world and is not relevant to the engineering field (Sahari @ Ashaari, Mohamad Judi, Mohamed and Tengku Wook. (2011). This is because they are able to memorize the statistics formulas and apply them as the teaching and learning statistics or mathematics is more

on focusing on the mathematical procedure which the students can memorize the steps (Md Kamaruddin, 2012). In addition, the students have difficulty in understanding the abstract concept in mathematics or statistics.. Sahari@Ashaari, Mohamad Judi, Mohamed and Tengku Wook (2011) suggested that further research should be done to produce suitable approach to balance the students' attitude and perception towards statistics.

In order to make the learning memorable, we need the lesson to be meaningful to students as they are able to connect their studies to the real world. This is also being emphasis in STEM (Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013 – 2025). Thus teaching and learning mathematics and statistics contextually should be used as in contextual learning theory, learning occurs only when students process new information or knowledge in such a way that it makes sense to them in their own inner worlds of memory, experience, and response (CORD, 1999; CORD, 2016). New concepts presented in real-life (outside the classroom) situations and experiences that are familiar to the student as the mind naturally seeks meaning in context by searching for relationships that make sense and appear useful (CORD, 1999; CORD, 2016). CORD (1999, 2016), said that students discover meaningful relationships between abstract ideas and practical applications in the context of the real world; concepts are internalized through the process of discovering, reinforcing, and relating.

Contextual teaching and learning mathematics and science subjects in all the Malaysian technical secondary schools were first introduced in 1997 by the Technical Education Department under the Ministry of Education, Malaysia. The Ministry got the idea from the Centre for Occupational Research and Development in Waco, Texas, USA when the Malaysian education officers, technical lecturers and teachers attended courses at the centre. From the pilot test, through the contextual concept, the students were able to understand abstract concepts through concrete experiences (First Tech Prep Convention, 1997). Students preferred this method because usually they learn mathematics very mechanistic, which is, memorizing the formula and solving problems using the formula. Not only the students are able to learn faster but the workplace and lab activities help students to develop critical thinking skills. From the research done by Subramaniam (2005), computer-based simulations into contextual approach provided students the opportunity to reflect on their cognitive processes within the context mathematics. The findings indicated that the contextual group were

REFERENCE

- Abdul Hamid, M. Z. and Kamaruddin, N. (2005). A Survey of the Application of Multimedia in the Process of Teaching and Learning in KUiTTTHO, Malaysia. *US-China Education Review* Volume 2, No.9 (Serial No.10)
- Abu Bakar, K., Ahmad Tarmizi, R., Mahyuddin, R., Elias, H. Wong, S. L and Mohd Ayub, A. F. (2010). Relationships between university students' achievement motivation, attitude and academic performance in Malaysia. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 2 pp 4906–4910. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/82430871.pdf>
- Adair, J. (1990). *Understanding Motivation*: Guildford: Talbot Adair Press
- Adom D., Yeboah A. and Ankrah, A. K. (2016). Constructivism Philosophical Paradigm: Implication for Research, Teaching and Learning. *Global Journal of Arts Humanities and Social Sciences* Vol 4, No.10, pp.1. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from : https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309413398_CONSTRUCTIVISM_PHILOSOPHICAL_PARADIGM_IMPLICATION_FOR_RESEARCH_TEACHING_AND_LEARNING.
- Al-Baddareen, G., Ghaith S. and Akou, M (2014). Self-Efficacy, Achievement Goals, and Metacognition as Predicators of Academic Motivation. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263720914>
- Aldrich, C. (2005). *Learning by doing: a comprehensive guide to simulations, computer games and pedagogy in e-learning and other educational experiences*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley.
- Alzaghoul, A. F. (2012). The Implication of the Learning Theories On Implementing E-Learning Courses. *The Research Bulletin of Jordan a C M, Volume II (II)*. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <http://ijj.acm.org/volumes/volume2/issue2/ijjvol2no5.pdf>
- Amrai, K., Motlagh, S. E., Azizi Zalani, H and Parhon, H. (2011). The relationship between academic motivation and academic achievement students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Volume 15, 2011, Pages 399-402. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042811002904>
- Arsaythamby, V., and Zubainu, C. M. (2014). How A Realistic Mathematics Educational Approach Affect Students' Activities in Primary Schools? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 159. 309 – 313. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/82713839.pdf>.
- Awang, Z. (2012). *Research Methodology and Data Analysis*. Penerbit Press, UiTM.

- Bacon, D. R. and Stewart, K.A. (2006). How Fast Do Students Forget What They Learn in Consumer Behavior? A Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Marketing Education*; Dec 2006; 28, 3; ProQuest Social Sciences Premium Collection pg. 181.
- Bandalos, D.L., Finney, S.J., and Geske, J.A. (2003). A Model of Statistics Performance Based on Achievement Goal Theory(Article). *Journal of Educational Psychology*. Volume 95, Issue 3, Pages 604-616 Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-0141484642&origin=inward&txGid=52f1af711e20b2c88b58aa182b6bafad> .
- Bandura, A. (1989). *Social Cognitive Theory*. In V. S. Ramachaudran (Ed.), Encyclopedia of human behavior (Vol. 4, pp. 71-81). New York: Academic Press. Retrieved 19 Dec 2018 from <https://www.uky.edu/~eushe2/Bandura/Bandura1994EHB.pdf>.
- Bandura, A. (1989). *Social cognitive theory*. In R.Vasta (Ed.), Annals of child development. Vol.6. Six theories of child development(pp. 1-60). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press. Retrieved 28 Dec, 2018 from <https://www.uky.edu/~eushe2/Bandura/Bandura1989ACD.pdf>.
- Berger, J-L. and Karabenick, S. A. (2011) Motivation and students' use of learning strategies: Evidence of unidirectional effects in mathematics classrooms. *Learning and Instruction* 21 p 416-428 Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959475210000460>
- Berns, R. G and Erikson, P. (2001). Contextual Teaching and Learning. The Highlight Zone : Research @ Work No . 5. *The National Centers for Career and Technical Education*, USA.
- Best, J. W. and Kahn, J. V. (2006). *Research in Education*. Pearson Education
- Bhagat, A., Vyas, R. dan Singh, T (2015) Students awareness of learning styles and their perceptions to a mixed method approach for learning. *Int J Appl Basic Med Res*. 2015 Aug; 5(Suppl 1): S58–S65
- Bhattacharjee J. (2015). Constructivist Approach to Learning–An Effective Approach of Teaching Learning. *Journal of Interdisciplinary & Multidisciplinary Studies (IRJIMS)*. Volume-I, Issue VI. Page No. 65-74 Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <://oaji.net/articles/2015/1707-1438677336.pdf>
- Bhutto S and Chhapra I. U. (2013). Educational Research on “Constructivism” -An Exploratory View. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. Volume 3, Issue 12. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <http://www.ijsrp.org/research-paper-1213.php?rp=P242031>

- Biggs, J., Kember, D. and Leung, D. Y. P. (2001). The Revised Two Factor Study Process Questionnaire: R-SPQ-2F. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*. 71, 133-149
Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from http://www.johnbiggs.com.au/pdf/ex_2factor_spq.pdf.
- Bodner, G. and Klobuchar, M. (1999). The Many Forms of Constructivism *Journal of Chemical Education*. Retrieved on Dec 9, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/43472819_The_Many_Forms_of_Constructivism.
- Bodner, G., Klobuchar M. and Geelan, D. (2001). The Many Forms of Constructivism. *Journal Chemistry. Education*. Retrieved Dec 9, 2018 from <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed078p1107.4>
- Boekaerts, M. (2010). *Motivation and self-regulation: two close friends*. London: Emerald Insight. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from [https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/S0749-7423\(2010\)000016B006](https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/S0749-7423(2010)000016B006)
- Braver, M. W. and Braver, S. (1988). Statistical treatment of the Solomon Four-group design: a meta-analytic approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, (104)1, 150-154. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://mafiadoc.com/statistical-treatment-of-the-solomon-four-group-design-59ed3f241723ddea47c1b81a.html>
- Bredenkamp J. (2001) Laboratory Experiment: Methodology. *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences* Pages 8226-8232. Retrieved 1 May 2019 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B0080430767007269>
- Boekaerts, M., Pintrich, P.R. and Zeidner M. (Eds.) (2000) *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 13-39). San Diego, CA: Academic Press. Zimmerman, B.J. Attainment of self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective
- Campbell, A. (2015). *NLP How to Use Neuro-Linguistic Programming to Change Your Life*. Hay House.
- Campbell D. T. and Stanley J. C. (1966). *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Research*. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from [https://www.sfu.ca/~palys/Campbell &Stanley-1959-Exptl&QuasiExptlDesignsForResearch.pdf](https://www.sfu.ca/~palys/Campbell%20&Stanley-1959-Exptl&QuasiExptlDesignsForResearch.pdf)
- Chance, Beth, Ben-Zvi, Dani, Garfield, Joan and Medina, Elsa. (2007). The Role of Technology in Improving Student Learning of Statistics. *Technology Innovations in Statistics Education*. Vol 1, Issue 1, Article 2. Retrieved 3 Dec 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/39729879_The_Role_of_Technology_in_Improving_Student_Learning_of_Statistics
- Cherry, K. (2020). *Kolb's Theory of Learning Styles*. Verywell Mind. Retrieved on the 26 June, 2020 from <https://www.verywellmind.com/kolbs-learning-styles-2795155>

- Cherry, K. (2018). *Motivation: Psychological Factors That Guide Behavior*. Retrieved on the 26 Dec, 2018 from <https://www.verywellmind.com/theories-of-motivation-2795720>
- Chotitham, S., Wongwanich, S., and Wiratchai, N. (2014). Deep Learning and Its Effects on Achievement. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 116. p 3313 – 3316.
- Cobern, W. (2012). Contextual Constructivism: The Impact of Culture on the Learning and Teaching of Science. *The Practice of Constructivism in Science Education*
- Collins, J. (1997). *Teaching and learning with multimedia*. London: Routledge
- CORD. (1999). *Teaching Mathematics Contextually: The Cornerstone of Tech Prep*. Texas: CORD Communication, Inc.
- CORD. (2016) Overview of Contextual Teaching and Learning. Overview of Contextual Teaching and Learning. Retrieved 28 Dec 2018 from http://www.cord.org/cord_ctl_overview.php
- Crawford, M. (2001). *Teaching Contextually*. CCI Publishing, Inc.
- Crawford, M. and White, M. (1999). *Strategies for Mathematics: Teaching in Context*. Educational leadership: Journal of the Department of Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Crede, M. and Philips, A. (2011). *A Meta-Analytic Review of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire Learning and Individual Differences* 21 337 -346. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from [https://www.scribd.com/document/361874120/A-Meta-Analytic-Review-of-the-Motivated-Strategies-for-Learnin g-Questionnaire](https://www.scribd.com/document/361874120/A-Meta-Analytic-Review-of-the-Motivated-Strategies-for-Learnin-g-Questionnaire).
- Daniels, H. and Bizar, Ma. (1998). *Methods that Matter*. Steinhouse Publishers.
- Davis, Katie, Christodoulou, Joanna, Seider, Scott and Gardner, Howard (2011). *The Theory of Multiple Intelligences*. Cambridge University Press
- De Vaus, David A. (2001). *Research Design in Social Research*. London: SAGE; Trochim, William M.K. *Research Methods Knowledge Base*. 2006. Retrieved on 31 Dec, 2018 from <http://libguides.usc.edu/writingguide/researchdesigns>
- Deckers, L. (2010). *Motivation: Biological, Psychological and Environmental*. MA: Pearson.
- Derry, J. (2013). *Vygotsky Philosophy and Education*. Wiley Blackwell.
- Diseth, A. (2011). Self-efficacy, goal orientations and learning strategies as mediators between preceding and subsequent academic achievement. *Learning and Individual Differences* Volume 21, Issue 2, Pages 191-195 Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1041608011000082>
- Dodeen, H. M., Abdelfattah, F. and Alshumrani, S, (2014). Test-Taking Skills of Secondary Students: The Relationship with Motivation, Attitudes, Anxiety and Attitudes towards Tests.

- South African Journal of Education*, v34 n2 Article 866. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1137301>
- Driscoll, S. and Garcia C. (2000). Preferred Learning Styles for Engineering Students. ASEE Annual Conference.
- Duncan, T. G. and McKeachie, W. J. (2010). The Making of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire. *Journal Educational Psychologist*. Volume 40, 2005 - Issue 2 Pages 117-128 /. Published online 2010. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1207/s15326985ep4002_6
- Dunn R. and Burke K. (2005). The Learning Style: The Clue To you. Retrieved from <https://webs.um.es/rhervas/miwiki/lib/exe/fetch.php?id=docencia&cache>.
- Edgar T. W. and . Manz D. O (2017) Applied Experimentation. Research Methods for Cyber Security Retrieved 1 May from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-805349-2.00011-X>
- Ellerton, R. (2013). NLP and Personal Growth Thoughts: A Series of Articles by Roger Ellerton PhD, CMC Volume 2. Renewal Technologist Inc.
- English Oxford Living Dictionary (2018). Retrieved on 31 Dec 2018 from <https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/video>
- Entwistle, N. (2000). Promoting deep learning through teaching and assessment: conceptual frameworks and educational contexts. ESRC Teaching and Learning Research Programme, First Annual Conference - University of Leicester.
- Entwistle, N. J., & McCune, V. (2004). The conceptual bases of study strategy inventories. *Educational Psychology Review*, 16, 325–345
- Entwistle, N. J., & Ramsden, P. (1982). *Understanding Student Learning*. Nichols Publishing Company.
- Ertosun, Ö. G., Erdil, O., Deniz, N. and Alpan, L. (2015). Positive Psychological Capital Development: A Field Study by the Solomon Four Group Design. *International Business Research*; Vol. 8, by Canadian Center of Science and Education. Retrieved from <http://www.ccsenet.org/journal/index.php/ibr/article/view/51437>.
- Feiz, P., Hooman, H.A. and Kooshki, S. (2013). Assessing the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ) in Iranian students: Construct Validity and Reliability. *Procedia - Social and Behavioural Sciences* 84 (2013) 1820 – 1825. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042813019137>
- Felder, R. M. (2002). Author's Preface to Learning and Teaching Styles in Engineering Education. Retrieved from http://www4.ncsu.edu/unity/lockers/users/f/felder/public/Learning_Styles.html

- Felder R.M. and Silverman L.K. 1988. Learning and teaching styles in engineering education. *Engineering education* 78, pp. 674-681.
- Feldman, R. S. (2014). *Understanding Psychology 12th Ed.* New York: McGraw-Hill.
- First Tech Prep National Convention (1997) Organized by Technical Education Department, Malaysian Ministry of Education. Kuala Lumpur
- Fleming, N. D. and Mills, C. (1992). Not Another Inventory, Rather a Catalyst for Reflection To Improve the Academy, Vol. 11, , page 137. Retrieved May 16 2019 from <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www.google.com/&httpsredir=1&article=1245&context=podimproveacad> Fogarty, Robin. *Problem-based Learning & Other Curriculum Models for the Multiple Intelligences Classroom.* SkyLight Training and Publishing Inc., 1997.
- Forgas, J. P. and Harmon-Jones, E. (2014). *Motivation and Its Regulation: The Control Within* New York: Psychology.
- Forster, P. (1999). Applying Constructivist Theory to Practice in a Technology-Based Learning Environment. *Mathematics Education Research Journal* Vol. 11, No.2 pp 81-93. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.546.4884&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- Fryer, L. K., Ginns, P., Walker, R. A. and Nakao, K. (2012). The Adaptation and Validation of the CEQ and the R-SPQ-2F to the Japanese tertiary environment. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology* Volume 82, Issue 4. Pages 549-563. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8279.2011.02045.x>
- Furlong, N., Lovelance, E. A. and Lovelance, K. (2000). *Research Methods and Statistics : An Integrated Approach.* Fort Worth, TX : Harcourt College,
- Gaikwad, H. V. (2017). Analysis of Learning Styles of Engineering Students for Improving Engineering Education. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformation, Special Issue,* eISSN 2394-1707. Retrieved on May 31, 2019 from <http://www.journaleet.org/index.php/jeet/article/view/111788>
- García-Santillán A., Chávez M. E. E. , Boggero-Correa, P. and Vela-Aguilar. J. A. (2012). Student's attitude toward Computer and Mathematics, Interaction and Engagement in the teaching-learning process: Empirical study on Accounting, Management, Economy, International Commerce and Marketing undergraduate students. *International Journal of Applied Science and Technology* Vol. 2 No. 4. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from http://www.ijastnet.com/journals/Vol_2_No_4_April_2012/1.pdf.

- Garfield, J. and Ben-Zvi, D. (2004). *Developing students' statistical reasoning: connecting research and teaching practice*. Emeryville, CA: Key College Publishing (in press).
<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download;jsessionid=356FBA551E29CEB15C4D2478DB08A99B?doi=10.1.1.608.7187&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Gauvain, M. (2001). *The Social Context of Cognitive Development*. Guilford Press from https://books.google.com.my/books/about/The_Social_Context_of_Cognitive_Developm.html?id=ePMzZUJPGYC&redir_esc=y).
- Ghulami, H R., Ab Hamid, M. R., and Zakaria, R. (2015). Students' attitudes towards learning statistics. AIP Conference Proceeding. Retrieved on 31 Dec 2018 from <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/abs/10.1063/1.4915668?journalCode=apc>
- Glasgow, N. A. and Hicks, C. (2006). *What Successful Teachers Do In Diverse Classrooms: 71 Research-Based Classroom Strategies For New And Veteran Teachers*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press
- Gokalp, M. (2013). The Effect of Students' Learning Styles to Their Academic Success. *Creative Education* 2013. Vol.4, No.10, 627-632
- Gold, S. A (2001). *Constructivist Approach to Online Training for Online Teachers*. Volume 5, Issue 1. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from https://www.cset.mnsu.edu/cetl/teachingwithtechnology/tech_resources_pdf/A%20Constructivist%20Approach%20to%20Online%20Learning.pdf
- Gorard, S. (2001) *Quantitative Methods in Educational Research*. Paston Prepres Ltd.
- Gold, S. A. (2001). Constuctivist Approach to Online Training for Online Teachers. Volume 5, Issue 1. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from https://www.eser.mnsu.edu/cetl/teachingwithtechnology/tech_resources_pdf/A%20Constructivist%20Approach%20to%20Online%20Learning.pdf.
- Gray, David E. (2009). *Doing research in the real world*. Sage
- Grazia. A. M. and Raulin, M. L. (2000). *Research Methods*. Allyn and Bacon.
- Green, Judith L. 2006 *Handbook of complementary methods for research in education*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Habel, C. (2012). 'I can do it, and how!' Student experience in access and equity pathways to higher education. *Higher Education Research and Development* 31(6):1-15. Retrieved Dec 26, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254267302_I_can_do_it_and_how%27_Student_experience_in_access_and_equity_pathways_to_higher_education
- Hall, C. W. Davis, N. B., Bolen, L. M. & Chia, R. (1999) Gender and Racial Differences in Mathematical Performance, *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 139:6, 677-689, DOI: 10.1080/00224549909598248
- Hamacheck, D. (1995). *Psychology in Teaching*. Learning & Grown Boston: Allyn & Bacon

- Hamzalouh, L.(2015). The Difference Between Statistics and Mathematics. Retrieved on April 21, 2019 from https://my.linkedin.com/in/lubna-hamzalouh-a87608a2?trk=author_mini-profile_title
- Harasim, L. (2012). *Learning theory and online technology*. New York: Routledge.
- Hassad, R. A. (2011). Constructivist and Behaviorist Approaches: Development and Initial Evaluation of a Teaching Practice Scale for Introductory Statistics at the College Level. *Numeracy* 4, Is. 2. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <https://scholarcommons.usf.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1057&context=numerac>
- Hausheen, M. (2016). An Adaptation of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ) for Postgraduate Students in Pakistan: Results of an Exploratory Factor Analysis. *Bulletin of Education and Research* Vol 38. No 1 pp1-16. http://pu.edu.pk/images/journal/ier/PDF-FILES/1_Munaza_v38_1_2016.pdf.
- Heaton, R.M. & Mickelson, W.T. (2002) The Learning and Teaching of Statistical Investigation in Teaching and Teacher Education. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education* 5: 35. Retrieved 3 Dec 2018 from <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013886730487>
- Heckhausen, J. and Heckhausen, H. (2008). *Motivation and Action*. New York: Cambridge University. Retrieved on 25 Dec, 2018 from https://ac.els-cdn.com/S1877042814065082/1-s2.0-S1877042814065082-main.pdf?_tid=437d873a-f0a7-478e-a1f8-0c7f8df641d8&acdnat=1545549752_7f62815b037c7e4afc8538d939daa635
- Hernandez-Martinez, P. and Vos, P. (2017). “Why do I have to learn this?” A case study on students’ experiences of the relevance of mathematical modelling activities *Mathematics Education* 50: 245. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-017-0904-2>
- Hill, W. F. (1997). *Learning Theories*. Addison-Wesley Education Publishers Inc.
- Hipona J.B., and Vertucio, H. D. (2018). Formulation of Learning Strategies Using Bigg's (R-SPQ-2F) Thru Attitudinal Studies of Selected Nursing Students in Higher Education Institution in Metro Manila. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, Volume 8, Issue 1. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <http://www.ijsrp.org/research-paper-0118.php?rp=P737118>
- Hommik C. And Luik, P. (2017). Adapting The Survey Of Attitudes Towards Statistics (Sats-36) For Estonian Secondary School Students. *Statistics Education Research Journal*, 16(1), 228-239. Retrieved On Dec 26, 2018 From [https://iase-web.org/Documents/Serj/Serj_16\(1\)_Hommik.Pdf](https://iase-web.org/Documents/Serj/Serj_16(1)_Hommik.Pdf)
- Honey, P. And Murmford, A (1983). *Using Your Learning Styles*. Maidenhead

- Hutchinson, P. (2002). Children Designing & Engineering: Contextual Learning Units Primary Design and Technology. *Journal of Industrial Teacher Education*. Retrieved Dec 3, 2018 from <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ782301.pdf>
- Ictenbas, B. D. and Eryilmaz, H. (2011). Determining Learning Styles of Engineering Students to Improve the Design of a Service Course. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 28, p 342 – 346. Retrieved May 16 2019 from <https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S1877042811025043?token=E1B37ED707F03481A9FC09A4E1B620C5A13B3A58A39B8130EB28FCEE4E78797D1A61DC9AA1B92F6A68AC5C8326F27143>
- IES -NCES National Center for Education Statistics. (2015). Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS). Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/timss/timss2015/timss2015_table24.asp
- InstructionalDesign.org (2019). Retrived from Dec 26, 2018 from <https://www.instructionaldesign.org/concepts/>
- Ismail, N. A., Mohd Nopiah, Z., Ashaari, I., Othman, H., Mohd Tawil, N. and Zaharim, A. Mathematical Performance of Engineering Students in Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM). *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 60 (2012), 206-211. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2019 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042812038244>.
- Izuchi R. N. and Onyekuru, B. U. Relationships Among Academic Self-Concept, Academic Motivation and Academic Achievement Among College Students. *European Journal of Research and Reflection in Educational Sciences* Vol. 5 No. 2, 2017 Progressive Academic Publishing, UK. Page 93. Retrieved Dec 31, 2018 from <http://www.idpublications.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/Full-Paper-RELATIONSHIPS-AMONG-ACADEMIC-SELF-CONCEPT-ACADEMIC-MOTIVATION-AND-ACADEMIC.pdf>
- Jaleel, S. and Thomas, A. M. (2019). *Learning Styles - Theories and Implications for Teaching Learning*. Horizon Research Publishing, USA
- Johnson, E. B. (2012). *Contextual Teaching and Learning: What It Is and Why It is Here To Stay*. Thousand Oaks Corwin Press, Inc.
- Johnson. S. (2018). Difference Between Cognitive & Constructivist Theories. Retrieved Dec 31, 2018 from <https://classroom.synonym.com/difference-between-cognitive-constructivist-theories -11400433.html>
- Jones & Bartlett Learning. *Theories and Principles of Learning*. Retrieved 5 Dec 2018 http://samples.jbpub.com/9781284142631/9781284161304_CH03_Pass02.pdf

- Júnior, M. C., Menezes, M. D. F., Corumba, D., Mendonça, M. and Santos, B. S. (2015). Do Software Engineers Have Preferred Representational Systems? *Journal of Research and Practice in Information Technology*, Vol. 47, No. 1, February 2015. Retrived from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/4974/f519844e3b30f3de48c20eb11dde90e12e75.pdf>
- Justicia, F, Pichardo, M. C., Cano, F. and Berben, A. B. G. The Revised Two-Factor Study Process Questionnaire (R-SPQ-2F): Exploratory and Confirmatory Factor Analyses at Item Level (200*8). *European Journal of Psychology of Education* Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from http://www.johnbiggs.com.au/pdf/ex_2factor_spq.pdf
- Juvova A., Chudy S., Neumeister P., Plischke J. and Kvintova J (2015). Reflection of Constructivist Theories in Current Educational Practice. *Universal Journal of Educational Research* 3(5): 345-349 Retrieved on Dec 20, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283185484_Reflection_of_Constructivist_Theories_in_Current_Educational_Practice
- Kaminski, J. A. and Sloutsky, V. M. (2013) Extraneous Perceptual Information Interferes with Children's Acquisition of Mathematical Knowledge. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, v105 n2 p351-363 Retrieved on 1 May 2019 from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1007940>
- Karadeniz, S., Buyukozturk, S., Akgun, O. E. and Demirel, F. (2008). The Turkish adaptation study of motivated strategies for learning questionnaire (MSLQ) for 12-18 year old children:Results of confirmatory factor analysis. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology* 7(4):1-10. Retrieved Dec 26, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255634302_The_Turkish_adaptation_study_of_motivated_strategies_for_learning_questionnaire_MSLQ_for_12-18_year_old_childrenResults_of_confirmatory_factor_analysis_1
- Kashefi, H., Ismail, Z and Mohammad Yusof, Y (2012). Engineering Mathematics Obstacles and Improvement: A comparative study of students and lecturers perspectives through creative problem solving. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 56 (2012) 556 – 564. Retrieved 1 Jun 2019 from www.sciencedirect.com
- Kazu, I. Y. (2009). The Effect of Learning Styles on Education and the Teaching Process. *Journal of Social Sciences* 5(2): 85-94.
- Kellough, R. D. (1997). *A Resource Guide for Teaching: K-12*. Prentice Hall.
- Khalid, M. S. (2012). *The Effect of Collaborative Computer Aided Learning on Learning Pre-Algebra Among Polytechnic Students*. Batu Pahat : Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. Tesis Phd.

- Kincheloe, J. L., Slattery, P. and Sreinberg, S. R. (2000). *Contextualize Teaching* Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.
- Klassen, S. (2006). A Theoretical Framework for Contextual Science Teaching. Interchange. Retrieved on Dec 21 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226626259_A_Theoretical_Framework_for_Contextual_Science_Teaching
- Kolb, D.A. (1985). *Experiential Learning : Experience As The Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood : Prentice Hall.
- Koparan, T. (2015). Difficulties in Learning and Teaching Statistics: Teacher Views. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*. Retrieved from Vol. 46, No. 1, 94–104, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0020739X.2014.941425>
- Krishnan, S. and Idris, N. (2014). Aspects of Reform in Improving Malaysian Students' Learning of Statistics. *4th International Conference on Education, Research and Innovation*. IPEDR vol. 81 IACSIT Press, Singapore. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <http://www.ipedr.com/vol81/017-ICERI2014-R00039.pdf>
- Kuehl, R. O. (2000) *Design of Experiments: Statistical Principles of Research Design and Analysis*. Pacific Grove : Duxbury.
- Labaree R. V. (2018). Independent and Dependent Variables on Organizing Your Social Sciences Research Paper Retrieved Dec 28, 2018 from <https://libguides.usc.edu/writingguide/variables>
- Lahey, B. B. (2012). *Psychology: An Introduction*. New York: McGraw-Hill
- Latham, John Theoretical Framework. Retrieved 3 Dec from <https://www.drjohnlatham.com/frameworks/research-methods-framework/conceptual-framework/>
- Lee P. Y. and Lee N. H. (2009) *Teaching Primary School Mathematics (Singapore Mathematics Education Series*.
- Lerner, J. B. and Brand, B. (2006). *The College Ladder: Linking Secondary and Postsecondary Education for Success for All Students*. Washington, DC: American Youth Policy Forum. Retrieved on Dec 28, 2018 from <http://www.ldonline.org/article/31928>
- Lever-Duffy, J., McDonald, J. B., Mizell, A. P. (2005). *Teaching and Learning with Technology*. Boston, MA: Allyn Bacon. Retrieved Dec 30, 2018 from <http://catalogue.pearsoned.co.uk/samplechapter/0205395783.pdf>
- Lewis, M. V. (2008). Effectiveness of Previous Initiatives Similar to Programs of Study: Tech Prep, Career Pathways, and Youth Apprenticeships *Career and Technical Education Research*, 33(3), pp. 165-188 ©2008 165

- Liliweri, A. (2017). An Analysis on the Relationship of Thinking and Learning Styles with Communication Style. *International Journal of School and Cognitive Psychology*. Retrieved from DOI: 10.4172/2469-9837.1000192
- Lodico, M. G., Sapulding, D. T. and Voeglte, K. H., (2006). *Methods in Educational Research From Theory to Practice*. John Wiley, San Francisco.
- Losier, M. J. (2009). *Law of Connection: NLP Communication Styles*. Wellness Central. New York
- Love T. E. and Hildebrand D. K. (2002) Statistics Education and the Making Statistics More Effective in Schools of Business Conferences, *The American Statistician*. 56:2, 107-112, Retrieved 3 Dec 2018 from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1198/000313002317572772>.
- MacCallum, R. C., Widaman K. F., Zhang, S. and Hong, S. (1999). Sample Size in Factor Analysis. *Psychological Methods* Vol.4. No. 1.84-99 American Psychological Association. Inc. Retrieved from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/21c2/bd08b2111dcf957567b98e1c8dcad652e3dd.pdf>
- Mahoney, T. (2007). *Using NLP to Improve Communication, Learning & Behaviour*. Crown House Publisher Ltd.
- Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013-2025 (Preschool to Post-Secondary Education, Ministry of Education Malaysia (2013). *STEM Education: Policies and Prospects Toward Achieving International Standard and Meeting National Development Needs*. Retrieved Dec 3, 2018 from https://www.moe.gov.my/images/dasar-kpm/articlefile_file_003108.pdf
- Malaysian Technical University Network. Retrieved Dec 3 2018 from <http://mtun.uthm.edu.my/en/>
- Manolescu, M (2013). School competence between behaviourism and cognitivism or the cognitive approach to schooling *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 76 (2013) 912–916. Retrieved Dec 26, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com>
- Martinelli, V. and Raykov, M. (2017). Evaluation of the Revised Two-Factor Study Process Questionnaire (R-SPQ-2F) for Student Teacher Approaches to Learning. *Journal of Educational and Social Research* Vol. 7 No.2 Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://content.sciendo.com/view/journals/jesr/7/2/article-p9.xml>
- Maupoint, M. (2014). *The Essential NLP Practitioner's Handbook*. Advantage Quest Publications
- Mazumde, Q. H. (2014). Student Motivation and Learning Strategies of Students from USA, China and Bangladesh. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)* Vol.3, No.4, pp. 205-210 Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287580219_Student_Motivation_and_Learning_Strategies_of_Students_from_USA_China_and_Bangladesh

- McGahee, T. W. and Tinggen, M. S. (2009). The Use of the Solomon Four-Group Design In Nursing Research. *Southern Online Journal of Nursing Research*. Volume 9–Number 1. Retrieved Dec 19, 2018 from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/6c72/c7b571a0ff41_a7c78fa98b8c2b5b6f9614dd.pdf.
- McLeod, S. (2018) Jean Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development. *Simply Psychology*. Retrieved Dec 31, 2018 from <https://www.simplypsychology.org/piaget.html>
- McWhirter, J. (1992) *Sensory Systems Training Manual*, Sensory Systems, Glasgow, UK. . Retrieved from <https://sensorysystems.co.uk/>
- Md Amin, Z. and Md Kamaruddin, N. K. (2011). A Study of the Effectiveness of the Contextual Lab Activity in the Teaching and Learning Engineering Statistics at the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) Proceedings of the 13th Asian Technology Conference in Mathematics. Retrieved from <http://eprints.uthm.edu.my/112/1/zulkarnain%20Chafisah.pdf>
- Md Kamaruddin, N. and Md Amin, Z. (2012). Dilemma in Teaching Mathematics. *US-China Education Review*. Volume 2, no 2. Serial no. 9.
- Md Kamaruddin, N., Md Amin, Z., Wan Ahmad, W. R and Alias, M. (2011). A Study of The Effectiveness of The Contextual Approach To The Teaching And Learning Statistics in UTHM. *International Journal of Arts & Sciences Multidisciplinary Conferences*, Germany
- Md Yunus, A. S. and Wan Ali, W. Z. (2009). Motivation in the Learning of Mathematics *European Journal of Social Sciences* – Volume 7, Number 4
- Meeks, M. D., Williams, F., Knotts, T. L. and James, K. D. (2013). Deep vs. Surface Learning: An Empirical Test of Generational Differences *International Journal of Education and Research* Vol. 1 No. 8
- Meisel, S. (1998) "Videotypes: considerations for effective use of video in teaching and training", *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 17 Issue: 4, pp.251-258, Retrieved Dec 28, 2018 from <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621719810210163>
- Mendez, J. J. (2014). *Yum Yum Videos: Which is The Ideal Explainer Video Length?* Retrieved Dec 31, 2018 from <http://go.yummyvideos.com/blog/which-is-the-ideal-explainer-video-length>
- Menter, I. (2011). *A Guide to Practitioner Research in Education*. Thousand Oaks, CA : SAGE Publications
- Mertens, D. M. (2005). *Research and Evaluation in Education and Psychology*. Sage Publication
- Mertler, C. A. (2008). *Introduction to Educational Research*. Boston: Pearson Education

- Messier, W. P. (2003). Traditional teaching strategies versus cooperative teaching strategies: Which can improve achievement scores in Chinese middle schools? *Journal of Student Centred Learning*, 2 (3), 231-238. Retrieved Dec 28, 2018 from <https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/02621719810210163?mobileUi=0&fullSc=1&journalCode=jmd>
- Miller R. L., Rycek R. F. and Fritson K. (2011). The effects of high impact learning experiences on student engagement. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 15 (2011) 53–59. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from https://ac.els-cdn.com/S1877042811002291/1-s2.0-S1877042811002291-main.pdf?_tid=7d4cfb01-b23d-4682-9b0f-66ae029e031f&acdnat=1545501925_79d608481c7cc96d53c31def6cbbaa34https://ac.els-cdn.com/S1877042811002291/1-s2.0-S1877042811002291-main.pdf?_tid=7d4cfb01-b23d-4682-9b0f-66ae029e031f&acdnat=1545501925_79d608481c7cc96d53c31def6cbbaa34https://ac.els-cdn.com/S1877042811002291/1-s2.0-S1877042811002291-main.pdf?_tid=7d4cfb01-b23d-4682-9b0f-66ae029e031f&acdnat=1545501925_79d608481c7cc96d53c31def6cbbaa34https://ac.els-cdn.com/S1877042811002291/1-s2.0-S1877042811002291-main.pdf?_tid=7d4cfb01-b23d-4682-9b0f-66ae029e031f&acdnat=1545501925_79d608481c7cc96d53c31def6cbbaa34
- Mills, J. S. and Blankstein, K. R. (2000). *Perfectionism, Intrinsic Vs Extrinsic Motivation, And Motivated Strategies For Learning: A multidimensional analysis of university students Personality and Individual Differences* 29(6):1191-1204 Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/223298942_Perfectionism_intrinsic_vs_extrinsic_motivation_and_motivated_strategies_for_learning_A_multidimensional_analysis_of_university_students
- Mogashoa, T. (2014). Applicability of Constructivist Theory in Qualitative Educational Research *American International Journal of Contemporary Research* Vol. 4, No. 7. Retrieved Dec 28, 2018 from http://www.ajcernet.com/journals/Vol_4_No_7_July_2014/7.pdf
- Mohamed S. H. and Ahmad Tarmizi, R. (2010). Anxiety in Mathematics Learning Among Secondary School Learners: A Comparative Study between Tanzania and Malaysia *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 8 (2010) 498–504. Retrieved on Dec 22, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042810021737>.
- Mohd Razali, S. N. A., Sufahani S. F. and Arbin, N. (2015). Pencapaian Kursus Matematik Dan Statistik di Kalangan Pelajar UTHM: Faktor Mempengaruhi dan Teknik Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran Yang Lebih Diminati. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304076741_PENCAPAIAN_KURSUS_MATEMATIK_DAN_STATISTIK_DI_KALANGAN_PELAJAR_UTHM_FAKTOR_M

EMPENGARUHI_DAN_TEKNIK_PENGAJARAN_DAN_PEMBELAJARAN_YANG_LEBIH_DIMINATI

- Mohd Shahali, E. H., Ismail, I. and Halim, L. (2017). *STEM Education in Malaysia: Policy, Trajectories and Initiatives*. Science and Technology Trends. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from http://www.arpjournal.org/usr/browse/view_issues_detail.do?seq=156
- Mokhtar, S.B., Goh, S. C., Husain, M. Y. and Rahman, S. (2010). The Bahasa Melayu R-SPQ-2F: A Preliminary Evidence of its Validity. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 7 (C) (2010) 151–155. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://content.sciendo.com/view/journals/jesr/7/2/article-p9.xml>
- Montgomery, D. C. and Runger, G. C. (2007). *Applied Statistics and Probability for Engineers*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc
- Montgomery D. C. and Hubele, N. F. (2007). *Engineering Statistics*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc
- Munshi F.M., Al-Rukban M.O., Al-Hoqail I. (2012). Reliability and validity of an Arabic version of the revised two-factor study process questionnaire R-SPQ-2F. *Medical Education*. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224770746_Reliability_and_validity_of_an_Arabic_version_of_the_revised_two-factor_study_process_questionnaire_R-SPQ-2F
- Murtonen, M., Gruber, H. and Lehtinen, E. (2017) The return of behaviourist epistemology: A review of learning outcomes studies. *Educational Research Review* Volume 22 pp 114-128. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1747938X17300283>
- Mustaffa, N. , Ismail, Z., Tasir, Z. and Mohamad Said, M. N. H. (2016). The Impacts of Implementing Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in Mathematics: A Review of Literature. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*
- Mustoe, Leslie. (2002). The Mathematics Background of Undergraduate Engineers. *The International Journal of Electrical Engineering & Education*. Retrieved 1 Jun 2019 from <https://doi.org/10.7227/IJEEE.39.3.2>
- Naidu, R. and Arumugam. (2014). Non-Statistics Major Student's Attitude Towards Introductory Statistics Course At Public Universities. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263965132_NON-STATISTICS_MAJOR_STUDENT%27S_ATTITUDE_TOWARDS_INTRODUCTORY_STATISTICS_COURSE_AT_PUBLIC_UNIVERSITIES

- Nausheen, M (2016). An Adaptation of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ) for Postgraduate Students in Pakistan: Results of an Exploratory Factor Analysis. *Bulletin of Education & Research*. Vol. 38 Issue 1, p1-16.
- Nausheen, M and Richardson, P. W. (2010). The relationships between the motivational beliefs, course experiences and achievement of postgraduate students. *Research and Development in Higher Education: Reshaping Higher Education*. Volume 33 pp. 501–513. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from www.herdsa.org.au/system/files/HERDSA2010_Nausheen_M.pdf
- Ng, C. L. Y. and Kulasagaran, P. (2012). A need to review the system. *Star Online*. Retrieved 1 Jun 2019 from <https://www.thestar.com.my/news/education/2012/04/01/a-need-to-review-the-system/#qtwPVLGgzTAaAawG.99>
- Niederle, M. and Vesterlund, L. (2010). Explaining the Gender Gap in Math Test Scores: The Role of Competition. *Journal of Economic Perspectives—Volume 24, Number 2—Spring 2010—Pages 129–144*.
- Oven, S. V. (1981). *Educational Psychology*. Little, Brown and Company. Boston
- Ozcan, O. and Gercek, C. (2015). What are the pre-service physics teachers' opinions about context based approach in physics lessons? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 197 p 892 – 897. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from https://ac.els-cdn.com/S1877042815042706/1-s2.0-S1877042815042706-main.pdf?_tid=ebe747e4-ce37-4ccc-9628-f3f78b09ed71&acdnat=1545549687_73c436467115f3f2799a893d37d0cb41
- Oyinlade O. and Losen A. (2014). Extraneous Effects of Race, Gender and Race-gender Homo- and Heterophily Conditions on Data Quality. *Sage Journals*. Retrieved 1 May from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2158244014525418>
- Padil, S. (2017). *Kerangka Kemahiran Employability Senibina Graduan Politeknik*. Batu Pahat : Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.
- Paris, S. G. and Winograd, P. (2003). *The Role of Self-Regulated Learning in Contextual Teaching: Principles and Practices for Teacher Preparation*. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED479905.pdf>
- Passer, M. W. (2011). *Psychology: The Science of Mind and Behaviour*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Pearson, R. H. and Mundform, D. J. (2010). Recommended Sample Size for Conducting Exploratory Factor Analysis on Dichotomous Data. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Method*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Peters, J., Le Cornu, R. and Collin, J. *Towards Constructivist Teaching and Learning. A Report on Research Conducted in Conjunction with the Learning to Learn Project*, November 2003

Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from https://www.education.sa.gov.au/sites/g/files/net691/f/towards_constructivist_teaching_and_learning.pdf

Pintrich P. R. and De Groot E. V. (1990). Motivational and Self-Regulated Learning Components of Classroom Academic Performance *Journal of Educational Psychology*. Vol. 82, No.1,33-40. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <http://rhartshorne.com/fall-2012/eme6507-rh/cdisturco/eme6507-eportfolio/documents/pintrich%20and%20degrood%201990.pdf>

Pintrich, P. R., Smith, D. A. F., Duncan, T. and McKeachie, W. J. (1991). A Manual for the Use of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ). Retrieved 19 Dec 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271429287_A_Manual_for_the_Use_of_the_Motivated_Strategies_for_Learning_Questionnaire_MSLQ

Pintrich P. R., Smith, D. A. F., Garcia, T. and McKeachie, W. J. (1993). Reliability and Predictive Validity of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ). *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 53. Retrieved on the 19 Dec 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/247727872_Reliability_and_Predictive_VValidity_of_the_Motivated_Strategies_for_Learning_Questionnaire_MSLQ

Pintrich, P. & Schunk, D. (1996). The Role of Expectancy and Self-Efficacy Beliefs. *Motivation in Education: Theory, Research & Applications*, Ch. 3. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Powell, R. A (2005). *Introduction to Learning and Behavior*. 2nd ed. Belmont, CA: Thomson

Ramírez-Echeverry, J. J., García-Carrillo, A. and Dussan, F. A. L. (2016). *Adaptation and Validation of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire—MSLQ*. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from https://upcommons.upc.edu/bitstream/handle/2117/107554/ijee3275ns_editado_IJEE.pdf

Ramli, N. F. and Talib, O. (2017). Can Education Institution Implement STEM? From Malaysian Teachers' View. *International of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. Vol 7 No 3. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from http://hrmars.com/hrmars_papers/Can_Education_Institution_Implement_STEM_FromMalaysian_Teachers%E2%80%99_View.pdf

Rayner, K.. (2013). Eye movements in reading and information processing. *Psychological Bulletin*, 85(3),618-627

Reeve, J, M. (2005). *Understanding Motivation and Emotion*. NJ: John Wiley,

Resnick, L. B., & Hall, M. W. (1998). Learning organizations for sustainable education reform. *Daedalus*, 127, 89-118. Retrieved Dec 28, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237575501_Learning_Organizations_for_Sustainable_Education_Reform/stats

- Robbins S. B., Lauver K., Le, H., Davis, D, Langley, R., and Carlstrom, A. (2004). Do Psychosocial and Study Skill Factors Predict College Outcomes? A Meta-Analysis *Psychological Bulletin*. Vol. 130, No. 2, 261–288 Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <http://ww.mrmont.com/teachers/self-Predictorsofsuccess2.pdf>
- Rocque, M. (2010) Criminological Research And Evaluation methods: Research Themes. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/1373704/._EXPERIMENTAL_AND_MODIFIED-EXPERIMENTAL_DESIGNS
- Saadon, S. and Choong Y. L.. (2012). Perception of students on services at the computer laboratory: a case study at the School of Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 59 (2012) 117 – 124
- Sahari @ Ashaari, N., Krishnan, H., Mohamed, H. and Tengku Wook, T. S. M. (2011). Student's Attitude towards Statistics Course. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 18:287-294. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042811011542>
- Sahatcija, R., Ora, A. and Ferhataj, A. (2017). The Impact of the Thinking Style on Teaching Methods and Academic Achievement. *European Scientific Journal*. Edition Vol.13, No.34. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/esj.2017.v13n34p16>
- Salim, N. R. and Mohd Ayub, A. F. (2017). Attitudes towards statistics among undergraduate students in Malaysia. *AIP Conference Proceedings* 1795, 020026. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/abs/10.1063/1.4972170>.
- Salkind N. J. (2003). *Psychology*. Prentice Hall
- Santagata, R and Yeh, C. (2013), Learning to Teach Mathematics and to Analyze Teaching Effectiveness: Evidence from a Video and Practice-Based Pre-Service Course. *Education Faculty Articles and Research*
- Santagata, R, and Taylor, K. (2018), Novice Teachers' Use of Student Thinking and Learning as Evidence of Teaching Effectiveness: A Longitudinal Study of Video-Enhanced Teacher Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education
- Santrock, J. W. (2011) *Educational Psychology*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Sarita, P (2017). Constructivism: A new paradigm in teaching and learning. *International Journal of Academic Research and Development*. Volume 2; Issue 4 pp No. 183-186 Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from www.academicjournal.com/download/421/2-4-111-972.pdf.
- Sarkar, K., Dasgupta, A., Sinha, M. and Shahbabu, B. (2017). Effects of health empowerment intervention on resilience of adolescents in a tribal area: A study using the Solomon four-

- groups design. *Social Science & Medicine*. Volume 190, p 265-274. From doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.05.044.
- Sargent, T (2000). Linking Educators' Professional Development to Workplace/Community Learning Experience. *Educational Brief*, Number 1.
- Savojia, A. P. (2013). Motivational strategies and academic achievement in traditional and virtual university students. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 84. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275542328_Motivational_Strategies_and_Academic_Achievement_in_Traditional_and_Virtual_University_Students/fulltext/5551581408ae739bdb921a8a/275542328_Motivational_Strategies_and_Academic_Achievement_in_Traditional_and_Virtual_University_Students.pdf?origin=publication_detail
- Schmeck, R. R. and Cercy, S. P (1991). The revised Inventory of Learning Processes. *Educational Psychology* 11(3-4):343-362
- Schunk, D. H. (2005). Self-Regulated Learning: The Educational Legacy of Paul R. Pintrich. *Educational Psychologist*. 40 (2), pp 85–94. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.457.5382&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Schwartz, M. (2012). Best Practices in Experiential Learning. McGill University. Retrieved from https://www.mcgill.ca/eln/files/eln/doc_ryerson_bestpracticesryerson.pdf
- Seago, N., Koellner, K. and Jacobs, J. (2018). Video in the Middle: Purposeful Design of Video-Based Mathematics Professional Development. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education (CITE Journal)*
- Semrau, P. (1994) Using interactive video in education. Boston : Allyn & Bacon.
- Shah, D. K., Yadav, R. L., Sharma, D., Yadav, P. K., Sapkota, N. K., Jha, R. K. (2016). Learning approach among health sciences students in a medical college in Nepal: a cross-sectional study. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, 7, 137-143. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27019603>
- Shah, N. (2011). *NLP: Your Map to Happiness, Confidence and Success*. Icon Books Ltd.
- Shamsid-Deen, I. and Smith B. P. (2006). Contextual Teaching And Learning Practices In The Family And Consumer Sciences Curriculum. *Journal of Family and Consumer Sciences Education*, Vol. 24, No. 1 Retrieved Dec 28, 2018 from <http://www.natefacs.org/Pages/v24no1/v24no1Shamsid-Deen.pdf>

- Shukri, I, Zainab, R. and Rana, M. H. (2013). Learning Styles of Postgraduate and Undergraduate Medical Students. *Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan* 2013, Vol. 23 (1): 25-30. Retrieved from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/f2e9/b53b792c879b2dcf9e3d8cf71a38ac4973d6.pdf>
- Skemp, R. (1987). *The Psychology of Learning Mathematics*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Smith, G. (1998). Learning Statistics by Doing Statistics. *Journal of Statistics Education* v.6, n.3. Retrieved 3 Dec 2018 from <http://jse.amstat.org/v6n3/smith.html>.
- Smith, S. M. and Chen, Catherine (2015) MSLQ: INSTRUMENT VALIDATION OF MOTIVATION AND LEARNING STRATEGIES FOR ACQUIRING COMPUTER SOFTWARE APPLICATION SKILLS. *Issues in Information Systems*. 2015, Vol. 16 Issue 3, p108-118. 11
- Sowey, E. R. (1995). Teaching Statistics: Making It Memorable. *Journal of Statistics Education* v.3, n. 2
- Statistics (Sats-36) For Estonian Secondary School Students. *Statistics Education Research Journal*. 16(1), 228-239 Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from [https://iase-web.org/documents/SERJ/SERJ16\(1\)_Hommik.pdf](https://iase-web.org/documents/SERJ/SERJ16(1)_Hommik.pdf).
- Sternberg, R. J. (1997). *Thinking Styles*. Cambridge University Press.
- Stes, A., De Maeyer, S., & Van Petegem, P. (2013). Examining the Cross-Cultural Sensitivity of the Revised Two-Factor Study Process Questionnaire (R-SPQ-2F) and Validation of a Dutch Version. *PLoS ONE* 8(1): e54099. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0054099>.
- Stoffa, R. Kush, J. C. and Heo, M. (2011). Using the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire and the Strategy Inventory for Language Learning in Assessing Motivation and Learning Strategies of Generation 1.5 Korean Immigrant Students. *Education Research International* Volume 2011, Article ID 491276. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258379763_Using_the_Motivated_Strategies_for_Learning_Questionnaire_and_the_Strategy_Inventory_for_Language_Learning_in_Assessing_Motivation_and_Learning_Strategies_of_Generation_15_Korean_Immigrant_Students
- Subramaniam, S. R. (2005). *Computer-based Simulations in Enhancing High-order Thinking Skills In Mathematics*. Retrieved 3 Dec 2018 from <http://malrep.uum.edu.my/rep/Record/my.um.repo.54200>

- Suryawati, E., Osman, K., Mohd Meerah, T. S. (2010). The Effectiveness of *RANGKA* Contextual Teaching and Learning on Students' Problem Solving Skills and Scientific Attitude. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 9 (2010) 1717–1721. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042810024948>
- Taher, A. M. M. H. & Jin, C. (2011). Assessing learning approaches of Chinese local MBA students: An investigation using the Revised Two-factor Study Process Questionnaire (R-SPQ-2F). *Educational Research and Reviews*, 6(19), 974-978. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from http://www.academicjournals.org/app/webroot/article/article1379937782_Taher%20and%20Jin.pdf
- Tambychik T. and Mohd Meerah T. S. (2010). Students' Difficulties in Mathematics Problem-Solving: What do they Say? International Conference on Mathematics Education Research. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 8 (2010) 142–151. Retrieved on Dec 22, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/251712960_Students'_Difficulties_in_Mathematics_Problem-Solving_What_do_they_Say
- Tang D. K. H., & Intai, R. (2017). Effectiveness of audio-visual aids in teaching lower secondary science in a rural secondary school. *Asia Pacific Journal of Educators and Education*, 32, 91–106. <https://doi.org/10.21315/apjee2017.32.7>
- Mcgahee T. W and Tingen M. S. (2009). The Use Of The Solomon Four-Group Design In Nursing Research. *Southern Online Journal of Nursing Research*. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237454755The_Use_Of_The_Solomon_Four-Group_Design_In_Nursing_Research.
- Times News Malaysia (2017). *Analisis Keputusan Peperiksaan SPM Tahun 2016*. Retrieved 3 Dec 2018 from <https://timesnewmalaysia.blogspot.com/2017/03/analisis-keputusan-peperiksaan-spm.html>
- Tomic, W. (1993) Behaviourism and Cognitivism. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <https://dspace.ou.nl/bitstream/1820/3210/1/BEHAVIORISM%20AND%20COGNITIVISM%20IN%20EDUCATION.pdf>.
- Torralba, A, Oliva, A., Castelhana, M. S and Henderson, J. M. (2006). Contextual Guidance of Eye Movements and Attention in Real-World Scenes: The Role of Global Features in Object Search *Psychological Review*, Vol. 113, No. 4, 766–786. Retrieved Dec 28, 2018 from <http://cvcl.mit.edu/Papers/TorralbaOlivaPsychRev06.pdf>

- Tosey, P. and Mathison, J. (2003). Neuro-linguistic programming: its potential for learning and teaching in formal education. European Conference on Educational Research, University of Hamburg, 17-20 September 2003
- Truran, J. and Arnold, A. (2002). Using consultancy for teaching elementary statistics. *Teaching Statistics*. 24(2):46-50. Blackwell Publishing Ltd. Retrieved 3 Dec 2018 from <https://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/dspace/handle/2440/45271>
- Tulsi, P. K., Poonia, M. P. and Anu, P. (2016). Learning Styles of Engineering Students. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. Volume 30, No. 2. Retrieved May 16 2019 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/310392107_Learning_Styles_of_Engineering_Students
- Tural, G. (2012). The Process of Creating Context Based Problems by Teacher Candidates *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 46 (2012) 3609 – 3613. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042812018502>
- USC Library. *Research Guides*. Retrieved 3 Dec 2018 from <http://libguides.usc.edu/writingguide/researchproblem>
- Valmont, W. J. (1995). *Creating videos for school use*. Boston : Allyn & Bacon
- Wade, C. and Tavris, C. (2008). *Psychology*. NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Wadpole, R. E., Myers, R.H., Myers, S. L. and Ye, K. (2002). *Probability & Statistics for Engineers & Scientists*. Pearson Education International.
- Westbrook, A. (2012). How to make boring things interesting in video. Retrieved 31 Dec 2019 from <https://adamwestbrook.wordpress.com/2012/07/02/how-to-make-boring-things-interesting-in-online-video-journalism/be-short>
- Wicklein R. C. and Schel J. W. (1995). Case Studies of Multidisciplinary Approaches to Integrating Mathematics, Science and Technology Education *Journal of Technology Education* Vol. 6 No. 2.
- Wiersma, W. (2005) *Research Methods in education*. Allyn and Bacon
- Wink, J. and Wink, D. (2004). *Teaching Passionately: What's Love Got to Do with It?* Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Woods, D. W. (2002). The Effect of Video-Based Peer Education on the Social Acceptability of Adults With Tourette's Syndrome. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities* 14(1):51-62. Retrieved Dec 28, 2018 from <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1013563713146>

- Wood, S., Green, E. R. and Boyd, D. R. (2008). *Mastering The World of Psychology*. Boston, MA: Pearson/Allyn and Bacon. (Woon, Wang, Koh, Chye, Chua, and Lim, 2012).
- Woon C. L, Wang C. K., Koh C., Chye S., Chua, B. L. and Lim, B S. (2012). Revised Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire for Secondary School Students. *The International Journal of Research and Review*. Volume 8. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from http://www.academia.edu/26599439/Revised_Motivated_Strategies_for_Learning_Questionnaire_for_Secondary_School_Students
- Wyzowl (2017). WeWork No. 1 Spinningfields, Quay St, Manchester M3 3JE England Retrieved 31 Dec 2018 from <https://www.wyzowl.com/how-long-should-my-explainer-video-be-including-stats/>
- Yong, A. G. and Pearce, S. (2013). A Beginner's Guide to Factor Analysis: Focusing on Exploratory Factor Analysis. *Tutorials in Quantitative Methods for Psychology*. Vol. 9(2), p. 79-94.
- Yousef Al-Zayed, N. N. (2017). An Investigating of Learning Style Preferences on the Students' Academic Achivement of English. *International Journal of English Linguistic*. Vol 7, No. 5.
- Yusof, Y., Roddin, R. and Awang, H. (2014). What Students Need, and What Teacher Did: the Impact of Teacher's Teaching Approaches to the Development of Students' Generic Competences. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 204 (2015) 36 – 44
- Mohd Zain Z., Subramaniam G. and Abd Rashid A. (2004). *Cooperative Learning In An Economic Classroom*. International Conference On University Learning & Teaching. UiTM Shah Alam, Malaysia.
- Zeegers, P. (2001). "Approaches to learning in science: A longitudinal study." *British Journal of Educational Psychology*. 71. 115-132. Retrieved on Dec 21, 2018 from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11307704>
- Zhi F. L. and Chun H. L. (2010). The Survey Study of Mathematics Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MMSLQ) For Grade 10–12 Taiwanese Students. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*. Volume 9 Issue 2. Retrieved on Dec 19, 2018 from <http://tojet.net/articles/v9i2/9223.pdf>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner: An Overview. *Theory Into Practice*. Volume 41, Number 2. Retrieved on 19 Dec, 2018 from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Barry_Zimmerman/publication/237065878_Becoming_a_Self-Regulated_Learner_An_Overview/links/549483c30cf2ec133757e74d.pdf
- Zimmerman, B. J. (1990). Self-Regulated Learning and Academic Achievement: An Overview. *Educational Psychologist*. 25(1), 3-17 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. Retrieved on

Dec 19, 2018 from https://ciel.viu.ca/sites/default/files/self_regulated_learning_and_academic_achievement_an_overview_0.pdf

Zimmerman, B. J. (1989). A Social Cognitive View of Self-Regulated Academic Learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 0022-0663, Vol. 81, Issue 3. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/e1ff/53e710437e009f06bc264b093a2ba9523879.pdf>

Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Self-Efficacy: An Essential Motive to Learn. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*. 82-91, Volume 25, Issue 1. Retrieved on Dec 26, 2018 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0361476X99910160>



PT TA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH