

University of Pennsylvania ScholarlyCommons

Center for Benefit-Cost Studies of Education

Graduate School of Education

2-1972

The Costs to the Nation of Inadequate Education: A Report Prepared for the Select Committee on Equal Educational Opportunity of the United States Senate

Henry M. Levin

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.upenn.edu/cbcse

Part of the Economics Commons, Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons, and the Education Economics Commons

Levin, Henry M., "The Costs to the Nation of Inadequate Education: A Report Prepared for the Select Committee on Equal Educational Opportunity of the United States Senate" (1972). *Center for Benefit-Cost Studies of Education*. 55.

https://repository.upenn.edu/cbcse/55

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. https://repository.upenn.edu/cbcse/55 For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.

The Costs to the Nation of Inadequate Education: A Report Prepared for the Select Committee on Equal Educational Opportunity of the United States Senate

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to estimate the costs to the nation of the inadequate education of a substantial portion of the population, where an inadequate education for the latter third of the twentieth century was defined as an attainment of less than high school graduation. Using data from the Department of Commerce and other sources in conjunction with extensive research literature from the social sciences, this report obtained the following findings: (1) The failure to attain a minimum of high school completion among the population of males 24 to 34 years of age in 1969 was estimated to cost the nation 237 billion dollars in income over the lifetime of these men; and, 71 billion dollars in foregone government revenues; (2) In contrast, the probable costs of having provided a minimum of high school completion for this group of men was estimated to be about 40 billion dollars; (3) Welfare expenditures attributable to inadequate education are estimated to be about three billion dollars each year and are probably increasing over time; and, (4) The costs to the nation of crime that is related to inadequate education appears to be about three billion dollars a year and rising.

Keywords

returns to education

Disciplines

Economics | Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research | Education Economics

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 064 437 UD 012 480

AUTHOR Levin, Henry M.; And Others

TITLE The Costs to the Nation of Inadequate Education. A

Report Prepared for the Select Committee on Equal

Educational Opportunity of the United States

Senate.

INSTITUTION Congress of the U.S., Washington, D.C. Senate Select

Committee on Equal Educational Opportunity.

PUB CATE Feb 72

NOTE 65p.: Committee Print, Senate Select Committee on

Equal Educational Opportunity

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS Cost Effectiveness; *Costs; Crime; *Educational

Disadvantagement: Educational Discrimination: *Educational Finance: *Educational Opportunities: Educational Policy: High Schools: Income: Racial

Composition; *Surveys; Taxes; Welfare; Young

Adults

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to estimate the costs to the nation of the inadequate education of a substantial portion of the population, where an inadequate education for the latter third of the twentieth century was defined as an attainment of less than high school graduation. Using data from the Department of Commerce and other sources in conjunction with extensive research literature from the social sciences, this report obtained the following findings: (1) The failure to attain a minimum of high school completion among the population of males 24 to 34 years of age in 1969 was estimated to cost the nation 237 billion dollars in income over the lifetime of these men; and, 71 billion dollars in foregone government revenues: (2) In contrast, the probable costs of having provided a minimum of high school completion for this group of men was estimated to be about 40 billion dollars; (3) Welfare expenditures attributable to inadequate education are estimated to be about three billion dollars each year and are probably increasing over time; and, (4) The costs to the nation of crime that is related to inadequate education appears to be about three billion dollars a year and rising. (Author/JM)



92d Congress } 2d Session }

COMMITTEE PRINT

THE COSTS TO THE NATION OF INADEQUATE EDUCATION

SELECT COMMITTEE ON EQUAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY UNITED STATES SENATE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, **EDUCATION & WELFARE** OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY CATION POSITION OR POLICY.

FEBI. ARY 1972

Printed for the use of the Select Committee on Equal Educational Opportunity

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE **WASHINGTON: 1972**

72-095

VD 01248

SELECT COMMITTEE ON EQUAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY

WALTER F. MONDALE, Minnesota, Chairman

JOHN L McCLELLAN, Arkansas
WARREN G. MAGNUSON, Washington
JENNINGS RANDOLPH, West Virginia
DANIEL K. INOUYE, Hawaii
BIRCH BAYH, Indiana
WILLIAM B. SPONG, Jr., Virginia
SAM J. ERVIN, Jr., North Carolina
ADLAI E. STEVENSON III, Illinois

ROMAN L. HRUSKA, Nebraska
JACOB K. JAVITS, New York
FETER H. DOMINICK, Colorado
EDWARD W. BROOKE, Massachusetts
MARK O. HATFIELD, Oregen
MARLOY W. COOK, Kentucky

WILLIAM C. SMITH, Staff Director and General Counsel

(II)



CONTENTS

THE COSTS TO THE NATION OF INADEQUATE EDUCATION

Foreword
Foreword Title page Summary of findings
The state of the s
11. Investment in high school completion
111. Inadequate education and welfare expenditures
IV. Inadequate education and the costs of crime
v. Other social costs of inadequate education
POO(1100es
1210H0grapnv
Appendix A—Nonwhite males as proportion of all males 25 to 34 years old—B—Procedures used to obtain lifetime incomes for whites and nonwhites.
V—rax revenues in the public sector
D—Estimates of educational investment costs. E—Comparison of educational attainments between 1969 and 1971 for males 25 to 34 years of age.
(III)



FOREWORD

Nearly 60 million Americans are enrolled in elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities. The formal education which these young citizens are receiving is probably the most important determinant for their future. Yet, for millions, our public education system fails to prepare them for adulthood and for a productive life with full opportunity.

As the Select Committee has studied problems relating to equal educational opportunity it has become clear that inadequate education is costly to our society in both economic and social terms. In an effort to analyze these costs, the committee asked Professor Henry M. Levin of Stanford University to prepare a report for the committee's use.

The Costs to the Nation of Inadequate Education analyzes the costs to our Nation of failure to attain high school completion among our male population at the age of 25 to 34. It compares these costs with the costs of providing a high school education for this group. In addition, the report estimates welfare expenditures and the costs of crime, and discusses other economic and social costs attributable to inadequate education.

This study is reproduced here both because it represents the results of new and significant research and because its conclusions will be of interest to all who are concerned with the need to improve eduction in our Nation.

WALTER F. MONDALE, Chairman, Select Committee on Equal Educational Opportunity.

(Y)



THE COSTS TO THE NATION OF INADEQUATE EDUCATION

A Report Prepared For The

SELECT COMMITTEE ON EQUAL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY
OF THE
UNITED STATES SENATE

by

HENRY M. LEVIN

Associate Professor, Stanford University

With The Assistance Of Sue Boslego, John Gemello, John Harford, Helen J. Loceff, Louise Restituto, and Sally Swank

JANUARY 1972

(VII)



SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

An inadequate education for a substantial portion of the population not only handicaps those persons who are undereducated, but also burdens society with reduced national income and government revenues as well as increased costs of crime and welfare. The purpose of this study was to estimate the costs to the Nation of such educational neglect where an inadequate education for the latter third of the 20th century was defined as an attainment of less than high school graduation. Using data from the U.S. Department of Commerce and other sources in conjunction with extensive research literature from the social sciences, this report obtained the following findings:

- 1. The failure to attain a minimum of high school completion among the population of males 25-34 years of age in 1969 was estimated to cost the Nation:
 - \$237 billion in income over the lifetime of these men; and, \$71 billion in foregone government revenues of which about \$47 billion would have been added to the Federal Treasury and \$24 billion to the coffers of State and local governments.
- 2. In contrast, the probable costs of having provided a minimum of high school completion for this group of men was estimated to be about \$40 billion.
 - Thus, the sacrifice in national income from inadequate education among 25-34-year-old males was about \$200 billion greater than the investment required to alleviate this condition.
 - Each dollar of social investment for this purpose would have generated about \$6 of national income over the lifetime of this group of men.
 - The government revenues generated by this investment would have exceeded government expenditures by over \$30 billion.
- 3. Welfare expenditures attributable to inadequate education are estimated to be about \$3 billion each year and are probably increasing over time.
- 4. The costs to the Nation of crime that is related to inadequate education appears to be about \$3 billion a year and rising.
- 5. Inadequate education also inflicts burdens on the Nation in the form of reduced political participation and intergenerational mobility, as well as higher incidence of disease. It is difficult to attempt any monetary estimate of these costs.



Chapter I

INTRODUCTION

It would be a severe understatement to say that American society is committed to schooling. In 1970 over 59 million persons were enrolled in the elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities of the Nation, and approximately 1 million were attending day care and preschool programs. Two out of every seven persons were enrolled in some form of schooling, and over 6 million people were employed in the educational sector to service these students. Given this deeply-rooted societal commitment to a single institution, it is no surprise to find that the institution of schooling has a profound effect on our society.

Of particular importance is the mark that schooling leaves upon an individual in determining his future. For better or worse, formal education is one of the most important determinants of the lifetime opportunities of individuals. In large measure the schools select persons to fulfill the heirarchy of social, political, and economic roles of society. Those who receive more and "better" schooling are in a better position in a schooling-dependent society to obtain the highest earnings, most preferable occupations, and the best jobs.

I.A-EQUALITY OF EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY

Throughout most of the history of American education the institution of schooling has been considered to be a beneficent and remarkable device for providing equal opportunities among youngsters drawn from very diverse circumstances. Indeed, the discussion and ferment that led to universal public schooling in America during the middle part of the 19th century was largely predicated on the concept that education was the best path to "equal opportunity." In the words of Horace Mann: "Education then, beyond all other devices of human origin, is the great equalizer of the conditions of men—the balance-wheel of the social machinery." ¹

This movement did not represent a quest for a classless society as much as it reflected a search for fairness in the race for life's rewards. Equality of opportunity would not lead to equality of outcomes; for it was recognized tacitly that an industrial society required manual laborers, farmers, clerks, and mechanics as well as lawyers, physicians, managers, and professors. By equality of opportunity was meant ". . . an equal start for all children in the race for life, but their assumption was that some would go farther than others." Differences in ability, effort, tuck and preferences would create differences in outcomes among individuals, but the common school would assure

Footnotes for Chapter I on p. 49.



2

that representative individuals born into any social class would have opportunity to achieve status as persons born into other social classes. That is, the opportunities for achieving life success for a son would not be determined by his father's achievements, but only by his own. Implicit in this policy was the view that the system of public education would create equal opportunity through equal education...l

opportunity.

It is now clear, in retrospect, that neither equal opportunity nor equal educational opportunity has been achieved. The present system of financing and operation of the educational system leads to greater investments of resources in the rich child than in the poor one. Moreover, the schools tend to be far more effective in providing mobility and status for the middle-class child than the lower-class one. The result is that occupational success, scholastic achievement, and educational attainment of children are still positively correlated with those of their parents.

I.B—Costs to Society of Inadequate Education

The case for equality of educational opportunity, its necessary ingredients, and our failures at achieving it have been heavily discussed and debated in recent years. Yet, what is often ignored in such interchanges is the extent that such educational inequalities represent a burden to the Nation. It is clear that persons who receive insufficient education in a society which rewards individuals according to their educational attainment will suffer in comparison with those who have received better education. Yet, it is not only the individual who is adversely affected, but our society as well; for the direct effects of inadequate education for any person are visited indirectly on the Nation. In this study we wish to answer the question: "What are the costs to society of inadequate education"? That is, what is the magnitude of costs that the Nation must pay for undereducating a significant segment of its population?

There are many ways in which the results of inade mate education require a national socrifice. For example, if persons are unemployed or underemployed because of their low levels of educational attainment, then social output is reduced below what it would be if these populations had adequate educations for the existing job market. Thus, the Nation foregoes output which might otherwise have been

produced and contributed to social welfare.

The reduced earning power of the less educated creates a particularly burdensome condition on the public sector. Low earnings or no earnings translate into little or no tax support for government-supplied goods and services. Further, to support those families whose incomes fall below a reasonable standard, it is necessary to utilize public budgets to pay for food, shelter, medicine, and other services that they would ordinarily be able to obtain out of their own resources. Moreover, low educational attainment may be an important contributor to the problems of crime. The futility faced by such persons in obtaining attractive legitimate alternatives can lead to desperate attempts to achieve status, power, and money through illegal pursuits. To the degree that low educational attainment is a contributor to crime, then a portion of the substantial resources that the Nation allocates to crime prevention and detection, the judicial system, and the penal system represent a social burden.



Finally, there are other significant costs of low educational attainment. In a democratic society the effective functioning of representative government depends upon the active political participation of all citizens. The degree of political involvement of the population seems to be directly related to its educational level. Persons with less formal schooling are not as likely to be registered for the vote; even when they are registered they are less likely to cast a ballot; they are less likely to be informed on political issues; and they are less likely to be active in political organizations. The results is that the democratic concept works less well for the undereducated portion of the population and thus for society as a whole.

And the social costs of poor education are not just limited to the present generation. The offspring of persons with low educational attainment are themselves more likely to suffer the same educational consequences as their parents. Differences in the educational characteristics of their home environments as well as others mean that children whose parents have been handicapped by poor education will themselves complete fewer grades of schooling and will show substantially lower performance in standardized academic achievment. Thus, they in particular and the next generation generally will suffer from the low educational attainment of persons in this

generation.

A NATIONAL CONCERN

In a society characterized by high geographic mobility, the educational neglect of one local or State government often contributes to the burden of another. That is, the social costs of poor education are often exported beyond the boundaries of the governments who have been unable or unwilling to provide adequate schooling for their citizens. Thus, the results of undereducation in the rural South often show up as public welfare costs in the northern cities. The felon who lacks the skills for legitimate employment does not limit his criminal activity to the school district or State which failed to develop his proficiencies. Moreover, the tax revenues that do not materialize because of educationally related unemployment or underemployment limit government services at all levels. In most respects it can be shown that the social costs of undereducation do not limit themselves to specific State or local governments or to regions of the country; rather their pervasive qualities have implications for the entire Nation.

Since the entire society appears to bear much of the cost of inadequate education, it is important to estimate the magnitude of these costs. If the social costs attributable to poor education exceed the public investment required to alleviate this condition, then the Nation would be better off if resources were cllocated to improve the parts of the educational system responsible for this dereliction. Under such circumstances the level of social welfare would be improved by incurring this investment since in the long run the benefits to the Nation would exceed the costs. Of course the oft-cited doctrine of equality of educational opportunity is in itself an important justification for examining the sources and impact of poor education.



I.C—Defining a Poor Education

Before we can estimate the national costs of inadequate education, it is necessary to define what is meant by this term. The fact that the words miseducation, poor education, or inadequate education are used so commonly tends to mask the fact that there is no consensus on their meaning. Yet if one is to hope to measure the impact of inadequate education, one must define as carefully as possible what it is that he wishes to use as a guideline for deciding what is inadequate

and what is adequate.

Perhaps it is best to begin by noting that there are two ways in which one can conceive of inadequate education. The first concept deals with the overall educational approach of a society; is it good or is it bad? The extreme reliance of the United States on formal schooling has been criticized in recent years as a deleterious educational approach. It has been charged as being wasteful, fostering dependence and docility rather than independence, being inegalitarian in the distribution of its rewards, being unable to adapt to social change, as well as repressing systematically imagination and creativity.6 These criticisms suggest that the American educational system is inferior to some alternative one that might be developed for this society. Yet most of the changes that are suggested—no matter how desirable—are not easily implementable. Such global changes would require a veritable revolution of the entire society before they could be sustained, and they are beyond the scope of this study.

The second concept of inadequate education is a much more modest one. It is based upon the view that for the present there exists a commitment to the existent, schooling-based approach to education, but within our society there are persons who are the recipients of insufficient education, both in the quality and quantity of their schooling experiences. This is a much more traditional approach to defining educational inadequacies, and it is the general approach that will be used in this study. That is, we are taking for granted the basic nature of the American educational system and we are defining the dimensions of inadequate education within that system. Thus our thesis suggests that when some citizens receive considerably poorer education than the norm for the society, not only will those

persons suffer, but the larger society will suffer as well.

If inadequate education can be defined in terms of the quality and quantity of schooling received, how do we determine what level of education is substandard? It would seem that a simple criterion is useful. If education does not prepare a person for the normal demands placed upon him, it is inadequate. In this case the characteristics of modern industrial technology require a relatively high degree of literacy with regard to both language and computational skills. To the degree that schooling does not provide these skills, the schooling can be said to be wanting. Moreover, if a person's level of education i vastly inferior to that of the bulk of the population, it is likely that he will be at a considerable disadvantage with regard to other aspects to his daily existence. Since our social institutions are oriented principally toward the average educational level of the population, a person who is severely disadvantaged educationally may find that he is unable to cope satisfactorily with many of the routine demands



5

placed upon him.8 The normal level of literacy required to prepare tax returns, apply for insurance benefits, pass written examinations for driver's licenses and work permits as well as to perform other such mundane tasks may exceed his capabilities simply because the tasks themselves have been designed for persons who have benefited from normal educational experiences.9 In those instances persons who have received relatively less schooling than the population as a whole are likely to find themselves educationally handicapped.

Though it is obvious that any demarcation line between adequate and inadequate education is an arbitrary one, it would seem that in the latter third of the 20th century there is a rather natural place to draw that distinction. Given the relative importance of the high school diploma for job opportunities and for further study, it appears reasonable to define inadequate education as any level of education below high school graduation. Though there are some persons who receive a perfectly adequate education for fulfilling both personal and societal commitments even though they do not complete the secondary level and there are other persons who remain "poorly educated" even though they obtain schooling at the college or university level, it is our view that on the average the failure to graduate from high school is a meaningful measure of the failure to obtain an adequate education in our present society.

I.D—THE INCIDENCE OF INADEQUATE EDUCATION

What is the incidence of inadequate education among the population? Given the definition that anything less than high school graduation will represent an inadequate educational foundation in the 1970s and beyond, we would like to know the proportion of our citizens who lack this attainment. Table 1 shows the years of school completed by persons 25 years old and over by race and sex in March 1969. The vast majority of the population have completed their schooling by age 25, so this breakdown shows the overall schooling attainment for the adult population 25 or over. According to these data almost half of all males and females lack a high school diploma; and about two-thirds of the Negro population lack this credential.



TABLE 1.—Nears of school completed by persons 25 years old and over by race and sex, March 1969

				Percent distribution	itribution				Darmont with
	Eler	3		High school	-hool		esano.)		less than
	0 to 4 years 5 to	5 to 7 years	8 years	1 to 3 years	4 years	1 to 3 years	4 years	5 years plus	high school
Total miniation (thousands):									
All males, 51,031	6.1	6 6		16. 5		10.3	7.3	6.2	46. 5
All females, 56,719	.:: -::	0 ·6							
White males, 45,989.	&	9. 1							
White females, 50,833	4.2	& 	13. 7	17.3	3 3 5		<u>ဝ</u> ဗ		43, 3
Negro males, 4,552.	18.0	18 30							
Negro females, 5,336	13.4				23.0				

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Educatio, al Attainment: March 1989," "Population Characteristics," Current Population Reports, series P.-3b. No. 194 (Feb. 19, 1979), table 2. The percent of persons with less than 4 years of high school was derived by adding the appropriate percentages of educational attainment in each row. Because of the rounding errors that can occur in this procedure there may exist very slight discrepancies between these numbers and those derived directly from raw data.

E.Z.

7

Yet to assert that half of the general population and two-thirds of Negro citizens are inadequately educated probably overstates the case severely. Many of the lesser educated persons in this sample are older persons who are in the latter stages of their work careers. When they entered the labor force many years ago the need for r high school diploma was not well-established; there were abundant on-the-job training programs and apprenticeships; and formal education was much less important as a prerequisite to social mobility and to fulfilling one's civic and other needs. Such citizens have been much less handicapped than will persons without high school completion who are presently entering the labor force. Indeed, many of our older citizens in this educational category were not handicapped at all because they began their adult lives at a time when a high school diploma was a mark of relatively high educational attainment.

In contrast, the youngsters who are beginning their work and life careers today without high school completion are in a much less envious position. These persons are embarking on a journey of four or more decades in which the educational handicaps afflicting them at the outset are likely to plague them increasingly throughout their careers as the educational attainment of the general population continues to rise. Of course, the general upward trend toward more schooling has meant that among younger adults the proportion who have not completed high school is much lower than among the population as a whole. Table 2 shows the years of school completed by persons 25-29 years of age by race and sex in March 1969. Only about one-quarter of the population in this age range have failed to complete high school, although two out of five Negro males and almost half of all Negro females do not attain this level. According to these figures the incidence of inadequate education affects about 25 percent of this younger population with the familiar pattern of a heavier burden on Negroes than on whites.

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

TABLE 2.—I'var's of school completed by persons 25 to 29 years old by race and sex, March 1969

					A.	ercent di	stributic	Percent distribution (ye.us)						Percett
1	Ele		mentury	-	!	High schoo	chool				College			with less than
"otal population (thousands):	0 to 4	2	6 to 7	, 20		e,	373	4		C)	က	4	40	high school
All males, 6,341. All females, 6,608. White males, 5,628. White females, 5,807. Negro males, 654. Negro females, 728.	T-1-1-0:0:0	0	4841-17	14.10.4.10.0 -0.22.2	4.044448 600336	7.7.8 6.4.4 17.0	4.7.2.4.1.9. 84.9.9.9	39. 9 48. 2 39. 7 49. 7 38. 8	ನೀಭಗ್ಗಳ 4 ಚ ನಿಚರೀಪ – ನಿ	ಹ಼ಬ್ಹಬ್ಬಬ ಹ4ಲಹ−೨	ೞ಼ೞೞೞೣ ಼ೆೆ ೦೫೪೩೩೦ೕ	10.4 10.1 10.3 10.3 10.3 10.3	0090 666666-	23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census, "Educational Attainment: March 1969." Population Characteristics, Current Population Reports, series Pe29, No. 191. (Feb. 19, 1979), table I. The percent of persons with less than 4 years of high school was derived by adding the appropriate percentage of educational attainment in each row. Because of the rounding errors that can occur in this procedure there may exist very slight discrepancies between these numbers and those derived directly from the raw data.

Table 3.—Percent of persons age 25 to 44 who had not completed high school by sex, region, and urbanism of area, March 1969

	Males	Females
Region:		
Northeast	31. 7	30 1
North central	., .,	28. 1
Services Control Contr	30. 7	27. 8
South	39, 9	41.7
West.	23. 4	25. 8
Metropolitan	28. 9	28. 8
Central city	32. 8	-0.0
Outside central city		33. 8
Outside central city	25, 9	24. 8
ACTURE CONTROLLED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	39. 3	37. 6
Noniarin	38. 3	37. 6
Farm	46. 9	38. 0

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Centrus, "Educational Attainment: March 1969," Population Characteristics, Current Population Reports, series P 20, No. 194 (Feb. 19, 1970), tables 2 and 3. Figures in this table were derived by adding percentages from appropriate subcategories, Because of the rounding errors that can occur when following this procedure, there may exist very slight discrepancies between these numbers and those derived directly from raw data.

Just as the incidence of high school completion is not uniform across races, neither is it similar among section of the Nations. Table 3 shows the percent of persons age 25-44 who had not completed high school by sex, region, and urbanism of area in March 1969. Among the four major regions, the West showed the lowest concentration of persons who had not attained high school graduation, and the South reflected the highest incidence of this characteristic. Regional differences appear to be substantial.

Moreover, the proportion of persons who failed to complete high school differed substantially between metropolitan and nonmetropolitan regions with the latter showing a much higher incidence of inadequate education as we have defined it. Within metropolitan areas the central cities are characterized by a lower concentration of persons who have obtained high school diplomas than the suburbs; and within nonmetropolitan areas the farm portions show lower educational attainment than the nonfarm sections.

The implications of these findings are that a deliberate public policy to promote high school completion—if successful—would have its greatest impact on the South followed by the Northeast and North Central States. The educational upgrading would be greater for nonmetropolitan areas then metropolitan ones; for central cities than for suburbs; and for farm areas than for nonfarm ones outside of metropolitan areas.

I.E—Assessing the Social Costs of Inadequate Education

The costs of inadequate education can be divided into those which are borne privately and those which represent a burden to society. In general, when individuals who have received inadequate education are disadvantaged directly by this insufficiency, we view the burden as a private one. Whenever their neighbors or members of a larger constituency are affected negatively by their low educational attainment, we refer to these as social costs. Often the incidences of both private and social costs overlap to such a great extent that the



categorization of costs into separate components is necessarily arbitrary. For example, to the gree that a person is deprived of employment and earnings becau of inadequate education, he is bearing the costs of his under ... on. Yet, if he requires welfare payments to survive and if the society foregoes tax payments that might have otherwise been received, there are associated social costs as well.

Of course the case for improving the educational attainments of those who are most handicapped by the present system can be made on the basis of fairness alone. If all people are to have relatively equal access to life's rewards, then the race for success should begin on the same starting line for all competitors. It would seem that this is what is implied by equality of educational opportunity. As in any competition, the race will still be won by the swiftest, but at least everyone will start at the same place. Persons who lack high school completion begin the quest for employment, earnings, occupations, and so on with severe disadvantages relative to those who have received high school diplomes and further schooling. These inequalities in educational attainment tend to exacerbate the inequalities in the distribution of opportunity in our society. Reduction of these disparities might be considered a worthy goal in itself.

In this study we are concerned primarily with estimating the social costs of inadequate education. More specifically what does it cost the Nation to "underinvest" in the education of a substantial segment of its population? In particular we will attempt to estimate the following social costs as they are imposed by present educational

policies:

1. Foregone national income;

2. Foregone tax revenues for the support of government services;

3. Increase in the costs of income maintenance and welfare

4. Increase in costs of crime;

5. Reduction in political participation;

6. Reduction of intergenerational mobility; and,

7. Poorer levels of health.

. . . .

We will be handicapped in this endeavor by our considerably less than perfect knowledge of the linkages between educational attainments and social consequences. That is, it is difficult to trace precisely the effects of inadequate education on each of the social phenomena that we wish to review. While each can be related theoretically and some data are available for obtaining numerical estimates of their impacts, the computational results must be considered to be tentative. Indeed, they should be scrutinized for their overall magnitude rather than for their precise values. Yet the importance of these estimates as potential inputs into public policy means that even approximate values can be very useful.

Essentially the approach that we will use to measure the costs of inadequate education is to follow a procedure that we will call "reasoned estimation." This process represents an attempt to show the reader the step-by-step construction of the estimates with emphasis on the logic of the procedures and the evidence supporting the various underlying assumptions. First, an analysis of the conceptual



relationships between inadequate education and the phenomenon we are concerned with will be presented. Second, these will be translated into a set of empirical procedures for estimating related social costs. Third, the best available set of data will be applied to this framework; and last, the resulting estimates will be interpreted. For those readers who might question us at any of these stages it should be a relatively easy task to impose different assumptions or data on our analysis in order to see if one comes out with substantially different results.



Chapter II

INVESTMENT IN HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETION

Since we defined an educational attainment of less than high school completion as inadequate for our highly technical society, it is useful to explore the social implications of failing to invest in that level of education for a substantial segment of the population. It is not possible to do this for the entire society because the data are not available and our underlying knowledge of these complex relationships is sparse. Yet is is possible to trace the sacrifice in national income reflected in the undereducation of a specific and well-defined subpopulation. In this chapter we will estimate the loss in national income and tax revenues attributable to insufficient education among a group of young men who have recently entered the labor force, and we will compare it with the public expenditure required to provide this group of men with an adequate education.

II.A—Adequate Education as a Social Investment

More specifically, we will review the incidence of failure to complete 12 years of schooling among a cohort of young males who are old enough to have completed their education. Second, we will compare this to a hypothetical distribution of educational attainment for these adults if public policy required a minimum of high school completion for all citizens. Third, we will compare the estimated contribution to national income for this population under the existing distribution of education and under the assumption that all had completed a minimum of 12 years of schooling. The difference between these two contributions represents one aspect of the social costs of inadequate education, foregone national income. Fourth, we will estimate the loss in tax revenues to the Federal, State, and local governments that results from this foregone income; and last, we will compare these social costs of educational neglect with the cost of providing adequate education to this cohort of young people.

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT AMONG MALES, 25-84 YEARS OLD

Table 4 shows the distribution of educational attainment among males, 25-34 years old in March 1969. This cohort of young men was selected for the analysis because they represent a group with recent educational experience who have generally completed their schooling and are beginning their work careers. Moreover, there exist abundant census data for this group which enable us to link their income with their education and other characteristics. In 1969 there

Footnotes for Chapter II on p. 49.



(13)

were some 11.8 million men in this age category of whom about 12 percent were nonwhite. It should be borne in mind that the nonwhite group is predominantly Negro (over 90 percent), but that it does include members of other races as well. Following the familiar pattern established in earlier descriptions of educational attainment, about 44 percent of the nonwhites have not completed high school in contrast with only 25 percent of the whites who fall into this grouping.



15

Table 4.—Educational attainment for males 25 to 34 years of age, March 1969, by race

[Numbers in thousands]

	Elementary	ıry	High school	100			College			
	Less than 8 years	8 years	1 to 3 years	4 years	1 year	2 years	3 years	4 years	5 or more years	Tofal
White males Percentage Nonwhite males	537 5. 1 145 10. 9	561 5. 3 85 6. 4	1, 499 14, 3 353 26, 7	4, 161 39, 8 491 37, 2	630 6. 0 48 3. 6	686 C. 5 58 4. 4	286 2.7 10 0.8	1, 191 11. 3 71 5. 3	960 9.2 6.1 7.6	10, 467 100 1, 319 100

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Educational Attainment: Mar. 1969," Population Characteristics, Current Population Reports, series 19-29, No. 194 (Feb. 19, 1979), table 1.

72-095--72---4

Table 4 represents the actual distribution of educational attainment for males 25-34 years of age in 1969. Since we wish to calculate some of the national costs of failing to provide sufficient education, we wish to compare the actual distribution of educational attainment with one that might exist if public policy provided a minimum of high school completion for all persons.

Table 5.—Hypothetical distribution of educational attainment for males 25 to 34 years of age, March 1969, by race under minimum requirement of high school completion *

[Number in thousands]

			College	
	High school	1 to 3 years	4 years	5 or more years
White males	5, 919 56. 5 886 67. 2	2, 020 19. 3 210 15. 9	1, 414 13. 5 121 9. 2	1, 155 11. 0 105 8. 0

^{*} Based upon assumption that all complete high school and that continuation beyond high school will follow pattern for nonwhite males 25 to 29 in March 1969. Data on nonwhite rates are taken from U.S. Department of Commerce, "Educational Attainment: March 1969," Population Characteristics, Current Population Reports, series P-20, No. 194 (Feb. 19, 1970), table 1.

Table 5 shows the hypothetical distribution of educational attainment for males 25–34 years of age, March 1969, by race, under minimum requirements of high school completion. Since the high school diploma also makes an individual eligible for further schooling at the college level, it is reasonable to believe that some of the additional persons who graduate from high school will continue their education. In Table 5 we have assumed that the rates of continuation beyond high school for all additional graduates will be similar to the present ones for nonwhites. Since only about 19 percent of the nonwhites compared to 36 percent of the whites had completed one or more years of college, the use of the nonwhite continuation rates represents a very conservative assumption of college participation for a group of high school graduates that is predominantly white.

We have hypothesized that by undereducating a vast segment of the population, the Nation endures a loss of potential output that would be realized had the Nation provided adequate education for all of its citizens. Since Table 4 represents the present distribution of educational attainment and Table 5 depicts the "minimum desired" distribution of educational attainment for the male population 25–34 years of age, we wish to estimate the sacrifice in national income created by the present educational neglect. That is, we wish to determine what the additional output and earnings of this segment of the population would be if society had provided the levels of education

reflected in Table 5.



Table 6.—Estimated numbers of additional males 25 to 34 years of age completing education at each level under public policy of high school completion

			College	
	High school completion	1 to 3 years	4 years	5 or more years
White malesNonwhite males	1, 758, 000 395, 000	418, 000 94, 000	223, 000 50, 000	195, 000 44, 000

Table 6 reflects the number of additional males 25-34 years of age who might have completed their schooling at each of the educational levels had government policy provided a minimum of high school graduation. It is estimated that almost 1.8 million additional white males and 400,000 more nonwhite males would have obtained high school diplomas before entering the labor force had such an educational policy existed. Moreover, under relatively modest assumptions of continuation beyond the secondary level, it is estimated that some 800,000 white males and 200,000 nonwhite ones would have obtained training at the college level.

Before calculating the loss in national income reflected in the substantial numbers of young males who fail to complete high school, there are a number of conceptual and empirical issues that need to be addressed. First, how do higher levels of education lead to higher levels of p. oductivity and income? Second, are present relative differences in carnings by level of education—for example, between high school graduates and drop outs—appropriate for calculating the income foregone by not investing in a minimum of high school completion for everyone? And, third, how should additional income that might have been produced in the future by a different educational policy be weighted relative to additional income produced at present. Each of these represents a rather complex set of phenomena for which we need tentative at swers in order to proceed with our calculations.

The next three sections address themselves to each of these questions: In II.B the relationships between schooling, productivity, and income are explored. The criteria for calculating relative differences in income and earnings by level of education are established in II.C; and the problem of evaluating future increases in income is assessed in II.D. The resolution of these issues paves the way for the ensuing estimate of the national income and government revenue lost because of inadequate education among young males.

II.B-Schooling, Productivity, and Income

If we compare persons with less than high school completion with those who have obtained their high school diplomas and perhaps have even attended college, we note that the former are likely to be found in the lower paying occupations, to be receiving lower earnings even within an occupation, and to be more susceptible to unemployment and underemployment than the latter. These differences in experiences reflect themselves in differences in economic productivity and earnings. Yet, one must ask why persons with higher levels of education are more productive than ones with less schooling.



There are at least three reasons that workers with more education are likely to be more productive and derive higher earnings than those with lower educational attainments. The first is that additional schooling provides one with a greater set of skills, both specific and general, which improve productivity. These skills include improved numerical and language proficiencies, as well as conceptual skills that enhance the ability of make decisions in planning, organization, and production. They may also include particular vocational skills that are imparted

in the educational process.

Second, additional schooling tends to inculcate persons with specific attitudes and behaviors that help them to function in the large bureaucratic enterprises that characterize much of both the government and the private sector.⁴ Relationships of the individual to the organizational hierarchy and to the reward structure are two such areas whose acceptance by workers improves the efficiency of the organization as a whole. Since schools tend to be organized much like other organizational units with high degrees of internal specialization and similar intrastructures, it is believed that schools tend to socialize workers to accept the complex work relationships that they will face in their occupational roles.⁵ Students who complete fewer grades are less molded into this organizational labyrinth and are thus less able to qualify for positions which require such intraorganizational skills.

Third, it has been suggested that in a society characterized by rapid technological change, education makes a contribution to productivity by creating a greater ability to adapt to such change. In particular, the higher the level of managerial functions required, the greater will be the requirement to adapt to technological change. Thus, the assume ion is made that the more educated a manager or professional, the quicker he will introduce new techniques of production; indeed, studies of agriculture have found that the more highly educated farmers tend to adopt productive innovations earlier than those with lesser education. To the degree that there is a general relationship between the level of education and the ability to adapt to changing conditions of production, the person with more schooling will benefit more in a period of technological progress.

Finally, as an adjunct of this, it is possible that the technology of production in a society reflects the educational mode of the labor force. That is, as average skill levels rise, the nature of capital that is introduced into the production process capitalizes on the greater abundance of such labor force capabilities. The result is that low skill opportunities decline as the educational attainment of the work force rises. Technology that requires higher skill levels replaces technology that utilizes lower skill levels as the persons with higher skills become more plentiful. Unfortunately this means that the portion of the population with considerably less than average attainment finds that

the relative demand for its services is declining.8

Today the proverbial low status occupation of ditchdigging requires more than a strong back and a shovel. These attributes have been replaced by expensive and sophisticated trenching equipment which requires higher skill levels to operate and maintain. Industrial maintenance is no longer identified with the broom and the dustrag as much as it is with vacuum sweepers and other equipment which requires judgmental skills that are not inherent in the broom technology. Even when these innovations are introduced to save man-



power, they are likely to use a more highly skilled labor force in place of the larger number of lower skilled workers whom they replace. With the introduction of new technology, labor productivity may rise among workers with higher skills; but such changes may be at the

expense of less educated workers.

Finally, it is important to note that while there are several reasons posited for schooling-related productivity of persons, it is difficult to pinpoint the combination that is most relevant for explaining increases in productivity in specific instances. Attempts that have been made to specify the exact skills required for particular jeb functions have mot with little success because such requirements are probably very diverse, multidimensional, not easily subject to measurement, and not always obvious to the researcher. In addition, the productivity of the organization may be related to schooling produced attributes that are not reflected in individual skill and productivity measures. Thus, our conceptual knowledge linking productivity and schooling is not easily verified quantitatively and studies that have attempted to explore the link have been anecdotal or fragmentary at best. 10

H.C -CALCULATING RELATIVE DIFFERENCES IN INCOME BY LEVEL OF EDUCATION

The U.S. Census reports income by educational attainment, ago, sex, race, region, and other characteristics. Since we can calculate income by level of education, it would appear to be a simple task to relate additional years of schooling to additional national income. Accordingly, we could obtain estimates of the national income sacrificed by not investing in a minimum of high school completion for all citizens.

Yet, there are certain questions raised by such a naive approach. First, how do we know that the present relative differences in carnings by level of education are appropriate for calculating income foregone under a policy of high school completion for everyone? Second, what adjustments should be made for the possibility that present financial returns to high school graduates and college attendees may reflect not only schooling differences but also "ability" differences as compared with the returns to high school dropouts? And, third, how should we treat racial differences in income?

INCOME AND EARNINGS

Before proceeding, it is important to emphasize that earnings and income are not synonymous. While income reflects the economic returns to both physical capital inputs (land, buildings, equipment) and human capital ones (labor), earnings include only the latter component. In general we expect additional schooling to have its greatest impact on the returns to labor and thus earnings. It is likely though, that the effect of more education on the individual's ability to access and process information may enable him to make more productive investments in physical capital which augment the non-earnings components of his income as well. The available census data refer specifically to income rather than to the earnings subcategory, but it does not appear that the use of income data will impare a serious bias to our calculations.11



STABILITY OF INCOME DIFFERENCE

Although the census reports the income level associated with each level of schooling, how no we know that these relative income differences are stable over time? More specifically, let us consider the income differentials between persons who have attained various levels of schooling below high school completion and those with high school diplomas. Can we use these differentials to assess the national income foregone as a result of not investing in a minimum of high school completion? Economic theory would suggest that as we increase the supply of high school graduates vis a vis ones with less than high school, the relative incomes of the former will decline. If this is true, then by applying the present observed differences in incomes between the two levels of schooling we would be overstating the returns to the higher level.

In this case, however, the evidence suggests exactly the opposite trend. That is, as the supply of high school graduates has increased, the incomes of high school graduates relative to elementary graduates or high school dropouts has also increased. Welch has suggested three

possible reasons for this paradox:13

1. It is possible that the most rapidly expanding industries tend to be those which utilize higher skill levels thus increasing the demand for persons with more education at a faster rate than that for persons with more anodest schooling;

2. Technological progress may impreve the productivity of the more educated worker at a higher rate than the less educated

one; and,

3. The quality of schooling itself may be improving over time.

The point is that concomitant with the increased supply of high school graduates and persons with college training is an increase in quality or such a large increase in the demand for more highly educated persons that their incomes have risen relative to persons with less schooling. In 1949 male high school graduates were receiving about 134 percent of the income of male elementary school graduates. By 1966 the differential had risen to 156 percent despite massive increases in numbers of persons with high school diplomas.¹⁴

This evidence suggests that it is unlikely over the long run that the present relative income differences between high school graduates and those with less than high school will decline as the number of such graduates rises. Accordingly, the use of the present differentials appears to be justified and may even tend to understate the value of

higher educational attainments.

"ABILITY" ADJUSTMENTS

It is reasonable to believe that a portion of the difference between incomes of persons with varying amounts of schooling is attributable to factors other than schooling per se. In particular, individuals with higher educational attainments may possess greater intellectual skills, motivation, and other attributes that enable them to go farther in school and that also increase their productivity in the marketplace. Unless we adjust the income differentials associated with more schooling for such "ability" factors, we will tend to overstate the sacrifice



in national income reflected in the failure to bring significant portions

of the population up to high school completion or beyond.

While several studies attempt to pursue the ability-education-income relationship, their findings are far from uniform. Indeed, some studies find no effect of ability on income while others find that the effect of ability on income is enough to reduce the apparent relation between schooling and income by one-third. Actually, the differences in findings are not surprising given the large variations in sample populations and measures of ability. Some studies have carried out these analyses on low achievers alone, those who failed the Armed Forces Qualification Test, while other studies have used more representative samples of army veterans or general populations. Ability measures in these studies have varied from the use of test scores alone to composites of social class, race, age, marital stutus and other nonschooling influences on income.

Based upon these studies, it is not possible to know the exact proportion of income differences among persons at the various education levels that is attributable to differences in ability. Yet it is possible to make a reasonable assessment of the "ability" effect. In this study we will deflate income differences associated with schooling by 25 percent in order to account for the higher abilities of persons who have attained more schooling. That is, we will presume that three-fourths of income differences between persons who have completed different levels of schooling is directly related to education and one-fourth is related to the higher "abilities" of persons who have completed more schooling.

It appears that this adjustment will tend to yield relatively conservative estimates of schooling effects on income for two reasons. First, a 25 percent correction for ability is within the proper range of ability effects implied by studies that have examined the ability-education-income nexus; and second, ability seems to be a more prominent factor in explaining income differences at relatively high levels of educational attainment than at lower ones (e.g. college level). In this respect our estimates of foregone national income due to undereducation of a particular segment of the population are derived primarily from comparing income differences for persons at the lower end of the educational spectrum where "ability effects" are likely to be less prominent.

RACIAL DIFFERENCES IN INCOME

The recent census data that are available on lifetime income by educational attainment are reported for males without regard to their racial backgrounds.²⁰ Yet, nonwhites show much lower incomes at each level of education than do whites. Table 7 shows the median income for Negro and white men 25–54 years old in 1969 by highest grade completed. At each educational level the income level of Negro males is only about two-thirds to three-quarters the income of white males. To a large extent these differences appear to be attributable to discrimination practices in labor markets that prevent Negroes and other nonwhites from obtaining more productive employment positions within industries and firms.²¹



Table 7.—Median income for Negro and white men 25 to 54 years old in 1969 by highest grade completed

	Median in	come	Negro income
Years of school completed	Negro	White	of white
Elementary:			_
Less than 8 years	\$3, 922	\$5, 509	71
8 years	4, 472	7, 018	64
ligh school:	-,	.,	
1 to 3 years	5, 327	7, 812	68
	6, 192	8, 829	70
4 years	0, 102	0, 020	• ``
College:	7 407	0.021	76
1 to 3 years	7, 427	9, 831	-
4 years or more	8, 669	12, 354	70

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "The Social and Economic Status of Negroes in the United States, 1970," Special Studies, Current Population Reports, series P-23, No. 38, p. 34.

If nonwhites represented the same proportion of the population at each educational level, the lack of separate lifetime income estimates by race would not represent a severe problem. Yet, nonwhites appear to account for 18.3 percent of males 25-34 years of age who failed to graduate from high school; 10.6 percent of high school graduates in this age bracket; and 7 percent or less of those who have attended 1 year of college or more. This pattern suggests that a portion of the higher incomes for males in the general population that is associated with additional schooling is certainly attributable to the fact that there are smaller concentrations of nonwhites -who are discriminated against—at the higher educational levels. Yet, as we raise the educational attainments of nonwhites it is reasonable to believe that discrimination at the higher level will continue.

Accordingly, educational gains for nonwhites should be weighted by associated income gains for nonwhites; and educational advances for whites in the sample should be adjusted for associated income increases for whites. This is the procedure that we have used to calculate estimates of national income lost by not investing in a minimum of high school completion. Such an approach assumes that the present relative discrimination against nonwhites will continue over the lifetime of the present group of young males. This assumption may be unduly pessimistic given the recent modest gains toward racial equality.22 Yet such an assumption will tend to lead to an understatement in our estimate of income lost because of inadequate education rather than to overstate it; and it is the overstatement that we wish to avoid.

11.D-EVALUATING FUTURE INCOMES

A final issue that must be resolved before calculating what it costs the society for inadequate education of this group of males is that pertaining to the relative values of future versus present income. Ordinarily the investment decision is based upon devaluing income obtained in the future in comparison with that obtained in the present. The underlying assumption is that a given amount of income received today yields more satisfaction to society than the same amount deferred until the future. The usual way of handling this phenomenon is



23

to discount future income streams by some interest rate to reflect that income received in the future has less value than the same amount of income when it is derived in the present. This adjustment enables us to compare the income benefits of this possible investment with others that may show different time patterns over which the benefits are received. That is, investments with vastly different time horizons

can be standardized to their "present values".

The particular rate of discount selected for assessing future benefits depends upon the nature of the investment as well as a complex set of other rather subjective factors; and the selection of criteria for choosing the "optimal" rate is fraught with controversy.23 There artwo aspects of government investment in high school completion which should be considered in choosing a discount rate: The specific implications of improving educational attainment among those with the greatest educational handicaps and the fact that our lifetime income estimates are based upon present income levels that are unadjusted

for future increases in productivity.

An investment in raising the educational proficiencies of the most educationally neglected segment of the population is not only one which increases national income; it also reduces the disparity in educational attainment and opportunity within the society thus giving those who would otherwise have insufficient education a fairer chance. Moreover, this improvement in opportunity is also transmitted to future offspring thus obvising much of the need for similar types of investments in subsequent generations since the most important single determinant of a child's educational attainment appears to be the schooling of his parent.24 Since this type of investment produces a better distribution of opportunity in the future as well as increases in national income it seems reasonable to minimize the penalty attached to future income benefits particularly if no short-run alternative to improving opportunity exists. That is, the nature of this investment suggests a relatively low discount rate.25

Second, the estimates of lifetime income by level of educational attainment that we will use for this study are based upon present incomes that do not account for future increases in productivity. Based upon the experience of the 1960s, a 3-percent increase in laborproductivity can be expected over the long run. The lack of accounting for such a factor in our lifetime estimates means that our data are already discounted or penalized to this extent. That is, by not adjusting future income upward for increases in labor productivity, we have

tacitly assumed a discount rate of about 3 percent.

Accordingly, we will not apply an explicit discount rate to adjusting future income streams, since such an adjustment is implied by our lack of correction for productivity increases. The outcome is an implicit discount rate which is also relatively low, satisfying the first criterion for an investment which affects both income and the distribution of opportunity.

II.E—ESTIMATES OF INCOME FOREGONE

In summary we will use the following procedures to calculate the income that the Nation has lost by having failed to provide a minimum of high school completion for all men in the 25-34-year-old group.

72-095-72---5



First, we will present census data on lifetime incomes by educational level for both white and nonwhite males. Second, we will apply these to the additional educational attainments of the 25-34-year-old male group had all of these men completed a minimum of high school. These income figures will be adjusted for the racial composition of the men whose educational qualifications would have been upgraded. In this manner we will obtain a gross estimate of the income foregone by having failed to provide an adquate level of education for all members of the group under scrutiny. Finally we will deflate this gross figure by 25 percent in order to account for nonschooling differences or "ability" factors reflected in the existing income-education relationship in order to obtain a net estimate of income lost by the Nation over the lifetimes of this cohort of young men.

Table 8.—Estimated lifetime incomes from age 18 for males by race and educational attainment

		Litetime income	
Level of schooling completed	All males *	White	Nonwhite
Elementary:			
Less than 8 years	\$206, 000	\$219, 500	\$155, 900
8 years	263, 000	276, 100	175, 700
High school:	200, 000	210, 100	110, 100
1 to 3 years	282, 000	300, 400	204, 200
4 years.	336, 000	347, 000	242, 900
College:	500, 000	9×1, 000	234, 800
1 to 3 years	378, 000	384, 600	292, 300
4 years	489, 000	497, 500	348, 200
5+ years	544, 000	554, COO	387, 800

Source: See appendix B, p. 59.
* Rounded to nearest thousand.

LIFETIME INCOMES FOR MEN

Table 8 reflects the estimated lifetime incomes for men by level of schooling completed, based upon 1969 data. In order to estimate income gains separately for whites and nonwhites according to education level, we have weighted the aggregate figures provided by the census according to the relative income weights reflected in Table 7. Thus, the lifetime incomes of nonwhite males are assessed at about 70 percent of those of their white counterparts. According to the estimates in Table 8, the difference in expected lifetime incomes between men with 8 years of schooling and those with high school completion is about \$73,000 for the overall population; and differences in lifetime income between high school dropouts and graduates are in the \$40,000-\$50,000 range. Differentials at the college level are substantially larger with college graduates expected to receive about \$150,000 more than high school graduates.



Table 9.—Estimates of number of males 25 to 34 years of age who would have increased their educational attainments under a national policy providing a minimum of high school completion

dsi 		
Number of additi	ional persons comp	oleting level
White	Nonwhite	Total
	145	682
. 561		646
. 1, 499	353	1, 852
·		
418	94	512
223	50	273
195	44	239
	7537 561 1,499	Number of additional persons comp White Nonwhite 537 145 561 85 1,499 353 418 94 223 50

INCREASED EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENTS UNDER POLICY OF HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETION

Table 9 shows the estimated additional educational attainments for men 25–34 years of age that might have been derived under a national policy providing a minimum of high school completion. This table was compiled by comparing the actual educational distribution for these men in Table 4 with the hypothetical one reflected by the social investment policy that would provide a high school diploma in Table 5. It will be recalled Table 5 was constructed on the assumption that a portion of the additional men who would have completed high school under such a policy would have been expected to have obtained at least some college training. Since Table 8 reflects the additional lifetime income generated by greater schooling attainments and Table 9 represents the additional educational attainments, it is a relatively easy task to estimate the total income lost by not having invested in a minimum of high school completion for this group of men.

Table 10.—Estimate of incomes forgone by failure to invest in a minimum of high school completion for all males 25 to 34 years old *

	Gross income forgone (billions)	After 25 percent ability adjustment
White: High school completion	\$178 90	\$133. 8 67. 8
Total whites	268	201. (
Nonwhite High school completion College	32 16	24. (12. (
Total nonwhites	48	36. 0
Total all males 25-34 years old	316	237. (

Details for computations are in appendix B, p. 59:



NATIONAL INCOME SACRIFICE

Table 10 presents the estimates of income foregone by our society because of its failure to invest in a minimum of high school completion for all males 25–34 years of age. The gross income loss calculated in this table is about \$316 billion over the lifetime of this group of men, but this amount is unadjusted for "ability" factors. After reducing this amount by 25 percent to account for the lower "abilities" of persons who have not completed a minimum of 4 years of high school, the net amount of national income lost is estimated to be a very sizeable \$237 billion over the lifetime of this group. This amount is composed of about \$157.5 billion that emanates directly from the additional high school completions and another \$79.5 billion for the men who would have continued their education beyond this level had they received high school diplomas. That is, the failure to have invested in adequate education among men 25–34 years is likely to cost society about \$237 billion in lost income over the lifetime of these men.

II.F-Loss in Tax Revenues

Any substantial loss of national income is also tantamount to a large loss of tax revenues at all levels of government. In 1969 government tax receipts represented about 31 percent of personal income, rising from 23.5 percent in 1949. About two-thirds of these public revenues went to the Federal Government and about one-third was collected by State and local governments. (See Appendix C* for details.) Thus, almost a third of the reduction in national income will represent a dimunition in revenues for the support of public goods and services.

On the basis that about 30 percent of the national income lost by not investing in adequate education will represent a reduction in tax collections, the sacrifice for the public sector from having failed to make this investment for the 25–34 year old group of males is about \$71 billion. That is, approximatel: \$71 billion in additional tax revenues might have been realized over the lifetime of the group of men surveyed in this analysis had a minimum educational attainment of high school completion been provided. Of that amount, about \$24 billion would have represented the additional contribution to State and local governments, and about \$47 billion would have been added to the Federal Treasury. Given the fact that an increasing proportion of national income is being channeled to the government sector over time, the \$71 billion estimate is likely to be a conservative one.



^{*}See p. 60.

II.G-THE COST OF PROVIDING ADEQUATE EDUCATION

We have estimated two types of social costs of failing to provide adequate education for a large group of young males:

1. Foregone national income; and,

2. Lost government revenues.

It is useful to compare these costs with the cost of providing adequate education for this cohort. That is, what would it have cost society to have provided a minimum of high school completion for all of these citizens as well as the additional education that would have been undertaken beyond high school by the additional high school graduates? More specifically, what would have been the additional investment required to provide these increased educational attainments reflected in Table 9? Given this information it is possible to ascertain whether the costs to the Nation of inadequate education are likely to exceed the investment required to have remedied the situation.

There are two basic methods for assessing the investment costs of raising educational attainments and each has different implications for estimating the magnitude of such costs. The first approach is to assume that additional costs for alleviating undereducation can be ascertained by computing the prevailing level of expenditure for each year of schooling and multiplying it by the additional years of schooling that would be generated. That is, if educational policy provided that a man would complete 3 more years of schooling at a cost of \$1,000 per year, this method would calculate the total cost of additional education at only \$3,000 for that man. Such a technique assumes that by changing their focus, schools can upgrade the amount of education that students will obtain while spending at the existing rate for each additional student year and it probably represents a lower limit for estimating the cost of providing higher educational attainments for the educationally handicapped.

The alternative method of estimating investment costs is to assume that massive increases in expenditures on potential dropouts would be required in order to fulfill the minimum goal of high school completion. This approach would necessitate the determination of higher expenditure levels and applying them to the schooling of students who would otherwise end up with insufficient education. By making a generous estimate of such remedial or compensatory expenditures it is possible to derive an upper limit for the public investment required to provide an adequate education for all citizens. We will use both methods in order to calculate both the low and high values for such investment costs, and we will select the midpoint of the range as

representing the most reasonable figure.



Table 11.—Estimates of investment costs for providing a minimum of high school completion and nonwhite continuation rates beyond high school for all males in 25 to 34 year age group

	Number of ad- ditional persons complet- ing level (in. thou- sands)	Number of years additional schooling per person	Cost por	Total cost per level (in billions)
From elementary to high school completion: Less than 8 years 8 years 1 to 3 years high school	040	7 4 2	\$1, 214 1, 214 1, 214	\$5. 796 3. 137 4. 497
Total				13. 430
From high school completion to— 1 to 3 years college 4 years college 5 or more years college	. 213	4	2, 545 2, 545 2, 545	2, 606 2, 779 3, 656
Total costs, college				9. 03
Total investment costs for high school completion and college attendance				22. 47

LOWER LIMIT OF INVESTMENT COSTS

Table 11 estimates the lower limit on investment for obtaining a minimum of high school completion for all males in the 25–34-year-old age group as well as college participation for some of the additional high school graduates based upon the nonwhite continuation rates. (See Appendix D* for details.) It was estimated that \$1,214 per year represented the additional cost for the secondary grades and \$2,545 was the additional annual cost for each year of college attendance. These figures will tend to overstate existing costs for reasons noted elsewhere. Assuming that all of these added expenses are borne by government, but that they apply only to the additional years completed, the cost of providing a minimum of high school completion for all males who would otherwise not graduate is estimated at about \$13.4 billion; and the cost of providing additional education to those persons among this group who would continue their education beyond high school is about \$9 billion. Thus, the lower limit on public investment for eliminating inadequate education among this group of male: is estimated to be about \$22.5 billion.

UPPER LIMIT OF INVESTMENT COSTS

In order to calculate an upper limit to the costs of providing adequate edge ation for the group of men in our analysis, we assume that massive increases in spending on potential dropouts must take place in both the elementary and secondary grades. That is, in part the incidence of undereducation is attributable to inadequate spending during the period that youngsters are enrolled in school. Andeed, most



^{*}See p. 60.

youngsters who do not complete high school are found among the poorer States and poorer school districts of the Nation, and much less is presently spent on their education than on that of other children.³⁰

In order to derive an upper limit to investment costs, we will assume that we will have to provide additional expenditures for each potential dropout over his entire elementary and secondary career that would equal the average of what is already being spent. According to our estimates of per pupil costs this policy would provide increased expenditures of \$728 for each of the elementary grades and \$1,214 for each of the secondary grades for each of the men in the 25-34-year-old age bracket who did not complete high school. Summed over the elementary and secondary schooling period we would add about \$10,700 to what was presently being spent for each of the almost 3.2 million men who would otherwise have failed to complete high school as reflected in the data for the 25-34-year-old group. Assuming that these men had already been receiving expenditures at the national average, the additional investment would raise spending to about \$1,450 a year for each eligible person at the elementary grades and over \$2,400 a year at the secondary level. This amount would surpass by several factors the present efforts at providing compensatory expenditures for children from disadvantaged backgrounds. 31 Such a substantial infusion would represent an investment cost of about \$34 billion more than the lower limit of \$23 billion. Thus, we can view a figure of \$57 billion as the approximate upper limit on spending required to alleviate undereducation among the 25-34-year-old group of males.

SUMMARY OF INVESTMENT COSTS

In summary, the lower limit on investment required to remedy inadequate education among the 25–34-year-old male group is estimated to be about \$23 billion, and the upper limit is assessed at about \$57 billion. Selecting the midpoint of this range as the most reasonable estimate of costs, the investment figure required to alleviate the social costs of poor education among this group of men is approximately \$40 billion. Clearly, the more effectively that the Nation can focus its schools on the needs of potential dropouts (within their existing resources), the lower the additional investment required to attain a minimum of high school completion. In this respect it seems that schools have not yet made the serious efforts that are necessary to construct programs and utilize resources that build upon the unique experiences of minority youngsters and those drawn from lower-income origins.³²

II.H—HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETION AS A NATIONAL INVESTMENT

According to our estimates it is clear that a national investment in high school completion has a large payoff to society. Put in another way the costs of educational neglect would far exceed the social investment required to alleviate this problem. Among 25-34-year-old males alone it appears that the expected increase in lifetime income would have been about \$237 billion had all members of the group completed a minimum of high school. In contrast, the national investment required to fulfill such an objective would appear to have cost



only about \$40 billion. Thus the social costs of inadequate education seem to be some \$200 billion in excess of the social costs of policy of high school completion for the group of men under scrutiny. Each dollar of social investment in this direction would generate an additional \$6 of national income over the lifetime of the 25-34-year-old men.

Not only does such a policy imply no additional tax burdens for the Nation; to the contrary it appears that the provision of a minimum of high school completion would generate a surplus of government revenues over costs. The additional \$237 billion in lifetime income that is presently foregone by insufficient education would have provided about \$71 billion in additional revenues to Federal, State, and local governments. Government treasuries would have received an excess

of more than \$30 billion over the costs of the program.

Because of lower labor force participation rates for women as well as discrimination against them in the job market, it is clear that a program that would provide a minimum of high school completion for all citizens would show somewhat lower relative payoffs than for men alone. Yet, even if the foregone income for women were only about a third of their male counterparts for the same relative investment cost, the total program would still show a large surplus of lifetime income over expenditures and the government revenues would still appear to exceed the government costs. Moreover, the fact that an educational policy providing a minimum of high school completion would also be likely to reduce the costs of welfare and crime while increasing political participation, intergenerational mobility, and health benefits reinforces strongly the high payoff reflected in increased income and government revenues.



Chapter III

INADEQUATE EDUCATION AND WELFARE EXPENDITURES

In the 1970 fiscal year so-called welfare programs cost the Nation about \$12.8 billion, and unemployment compensation added another \$4.3 billion. This chapter attempts to estimate the amount of welfare expenditures that is attributable to the provision of inadequate education. Families and individuals whose incomes fall below a minimal level or who fall into other specified categories of need are eligible for financial support payments from the government. While the set of programs providing such payments is referred to as public assistance, general assistance, unemployment compensation, or by the name of the specific category of eligibility, it is common to speak of these as welfare expenditures.

III.A-Public Assistance and General Assistance

Table 12 shows the specific types of public assistance and general assistance programs for fiscal year, 1970. While the specific types of public assistance are jointly funded by Federal, State, and local governments, the general assistance payments are supported only by the latter two levels for purposes of aiding persons who do not qualify otherwise under the federally funded categories. General assistance payments accounted for only about \$640 million or about 5 percent of the total. In contrast, Aid to Families with Dependent Children and Medical Assistance Payments represented about two-thirds of the total, and almost 90 percent was accounted for by these two categories and Old Age Assistance. With regard to the sources of support, the Federal Government was responsible for slightly over half of these welfare costs, the States for about 38 percent and the local governments for just under 11 percent.

Footnotes for Chapter III on p. 50.

(31)



Table 12.—Special types of public assistance and general assistance for fiscal year 1970

[Dollars in thousands]

	m . 1		irce of expenditure	•
Program	Total expenditures	Federal	State	Local
Old age assistance	\$1, 873, 505	\$1, 211, 302	\$577, 826	\$84, 378
Aid to the blind	96, 460	55, 447	34, 381	6, 632
Aid to the permanently and totally disabled Aid to families with de-	903, 398	495, 699	336, 904	70, 795
pendent children	4, 081, 850	2, 187, 002	1, 442, 484	452, 365
Medical assistance	4, 794, 473	2, 440, 204	1, 865, 545	489, 722
Other special programs	363, 180	210,686	139, 964	12, 531
General assistance	639, 615		394, 266	245, 349
Total	12, 752, 482	6, 600, 311	4, 790, 369	1, 361, 771
Percentage distribution of total	100. 0	51. 8	37. 6	10. 7

Source: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare: Social and Rehabilitation Service, Sources of Funds Expended for Public Assistance Payments, fiscal year 1970 (Washington, D.C.: 1971), table 1.

In addition to the public and general assistance programs the costs of welfare broadly construed should encompass the unemployment insurance system. The major burden of this program is financed by a tax on employers of 3.2 percent on the first \$4,200 of wages for each employee. While the 3.2-percent tax is a Federal one, it can be offset by a tax of up to 2.7 percent for States that are willing to undertake the unemployment burden. The remainder of the tax is transferred to the Federal Government for administrative expenses. In 1970 over \$4 billion in benefits were paid under the various plans.

III.B-Education-Related Welfare Costs

Not all welfare costs are education-related, and those categories that are linked to education are not likely to show the same relationship among categories. Particular expenditure components that seem to be unrelated to educational attainments are the programs for assisting the aged, the blind, and the permanently and totally disabled. Though it is true that benefits to the aged are partially related to income levels during the work life of the recipient, any effect of education on the ability to save income over the work career is difficult to assess.

In contrast, there are several categories that seem to I car a direct relationship to inadequate education. These include Aid for Dependent Children (AFDC), Medical Assistance Payments related to AFDC, and Unemployment Compensation. Each of these is directly related to educational attainment because eligibility for them is contingent on income or employment which are in turn a partial function of education. It is useful to review more specifically the effects of insufficient education on eligibility for benefits under each of these programs.



AID FOR DEPENDENT CHILDREN (AFDC)

In order to receive aid for dependent children, a family must have a dependent child under the age of 18. "Dependency" is described by the death, incapacity or continued absence of at least one parent. States may elect to define a child as "dependent"—i.e. deprived of the care and support of at least one parent—if the parents are unemployed and unable to provide support.² By far the most prevalent case is that in which the mother is the only parent present. In 1967 out of almost 1.3 million families receiving aid, a father was present among only 17 percent of families.³

In order to ascertain the role that inadequate education plays in affecting costs of AFDC, it is useful to analyze separately the situations where women are heads of households. It appears that AFDC women have substantially less education than other females who head families or than the general female population. A study carried out in 1967 found that among all women about 55 percent had completed a minimum of high school; among female heads of families the figure was 42 percent; but among AFDC mothers only 20 percent had reached this level of attainment. Moreover, the AFDC mothers were relatively young. While 83 percent of the AFDC recipients were less than 45 years of age, only 19 percent of female heads of household in the general population were less than 45.

Among AFDC mothers it is clear that low educational attainment is not the only obstacle to employment and earnings. Such women tend to have more children than other women which in itself reduces the probability of labor force participation. A recent survey found that the greatest hindrance to employment was child care, while insufficient education ranked fifth. Yet among women who were considered to be "unemployable" insufficient education was ranked third in importance.

Table 13.—Number of months of employment during 37-month period prior to receipt of AFDC payments, 1967

	Number of months employment						
Education level	Unem- ployed	Up to 12	Up to 24	Up to 36	37		
None	73. 4	10, 1	5, 0	2. 9	7. 9		
	48. 7	20, 6	11, 7	8. 8	8. 8		
9 to 11	37. 5	25. 0	15. 2	12, 1	8, 7		
	18. 5	24. 9	20. 4	14, 6	9, 8		

Source: U.S. Department of Health. Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, "Welfare Policy and Its Consequences for the Recipiont Population: A Study of the AFDC Program" (Washington, 1969), table 5.8.

The increased employability of AFDC recipients when they have higher educational attainment is reflected in Table 13 which shows the number of months of employment in the 37 months prior to receipt of AFDC payments in 1967. The probability and duration of employment was a direct function of the education level. Moreover, even when women with low educational attainment were employable, the earnings were frequently too low to make them financially independent.



A New York City study found that although 83 percent of the welfare recipients had some work experience (78 percent with more than 3 years and 28 percent with more than 10 years), work was not enough to keep them off the welfare rolls.⁹

Table 14 -Educational attainment for males, March 1967

Educational attainment	All males 18 to 64	AFDC incapacitated	AFDC unemployed				
0 to 4 years	3, 9	40. 2	16, 8				
5 to 8 years	18. 8	36. 8	34. 4				
9 to 11 years	19. 0	14. 2	32. S				
12 years	32. 7	6. 7	12.8				
More than 12 years	25. 3	2. 2	3, 2				

Source: For all males, U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Curreat Population Reports, series P. 20, No. 169, "Educational Attalument: March 1957." table 1. For AFDC males, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, "Findings of the AFDC Study, Pt. 1" (Washington, July 1970) tables 29 and 33.

In the cases where fathers are present we see a similar pattern of educational disability as reflected in Table 14. While about 58 percent of the male adult population in 1967 had completed at least 12 years of schooling only 9 percent of AFDC incapacitated males and 16 percent of AFDC unemployed males had attained this level. While the incapacitated fathers had physical and mental disabilities which kept them from competing in the labor market, an analyst who has studied this population of men believes that their poor education is relevant to their status as welfare recipients. Though only about 10 percent of the men had never been employed, their poorer education often ied to hazardous jobs and greater possibility of becoming incapacitated. The combination of poor job fringe benefits and low income of the poorly educated creates a situation where it is likely that the breadwinner will have insufficient insurance to cover a period of incapacitation.

have insufficient insurance to cover a period of incapacitation.

In a survey of unemployed AFDC males, it was found that the largest obstacle to employability was "limited skills," a factor which itself has strong implications for education. Even so, insufficient education was considered to be the third most serious obstacle."

MEDICAL ASSISTANCE AND GENERAL ASSISTANCE

Medical assistance payments for AFDC recipients is also an educationally relevant category. Such aid (Medicaid) is designed to provide medical aid to public assistance recipients. The qualifications require that the recipient be medically needy. In some cases, persons not eligible for aid under the regular four programs will become eligible for medical assistance because the inclusion of medical needs brings them into the needy category. Under this contingency there are probably individuals and families who lack adequate income to pay for required medical services because of low educational attainment, just as in the AFDC category.

General Assistance is the residual program of Public Assistance and it too appears to be linked with educational factors. The function of general assistance aid is to help the poor who are ineligible for



Federal programs: single persons; childless couples under 65 who are not disabled or blind; families with children and employed male heads; unemployed male heads in States without AFDC-Unemployed Parent provisions. Because of the vast differences in practices among States and even among counties and localities within States, it is not easy to generalize about the specific provisions of General Assistance programs. Yet the basic nature of these programs in providing support to low-income households suggests the same type of ties to insufficient education that are evident for the AFDC programs.

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

In addition to the AFDC, Medical Assistance, and General Assistance programs, the objective of unemployment insurance is to provide cash benefits to regularly employed workers during limited periods of involuntary unemployment. Accordingly, a jobless worker who meets the eligibility criteria of the State unemployment insurance laws is paid a weekly benefit based upon his earnings experience. The program is designed to cover nondeferrable expenses without reducing the incentive to work. Unemployment compensation also appears to be connected with the educational attainments of benefits recipients. After the amendments to the Employment Security Act were passed in 1970, 65 million out of a total of 77 million workers were covered by the unemployment insurance system.

Since persons who have never held jobs or have worked only for short intervals are not eligible for benefits, many persons who are educationally disadvantaged are not covered by the program. Moreover, the fact that benefits are related to previous earnings means that persons with lower educational attainment and earnings will receive lower benefits. Thus, the effect of inadequate education on unemployment insurance expenditures is lower than it might be if all unemploy-

ment were covered under a uniform set of benefits.

Obviously, economic conditions affect the number of people receiving unemployment benefits; and not all those on unemployment are educationally disadvantaged in a conventional sense (witness the current plethora of unemployed engineers). Yet even during periods of very high employment there are substantial numbers of unemployment benefit recipients. During 1967, a year defined as one of "full employment," there were 4.6 million workers who received benefits totaling \$2.1 billion. But it is the so-called marginal worker who is most susceptible to unemployment created by downturns in economic activity. He is the last to be hired and the first to be fired. Moreover, it is the worker who is least able to adapt to new technology because of his limited skills who is most susceptible to unemployment in industries characterized by technological change. Accordingly, there are a number of reasons for concluding that inadequate education is a partial cause of unemployment insurance expenditures.

INCOME MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS

Before estimating the public expenditures on welfare that are attributable to insufficient education, it is important to note that our assessment will be based only on existing benefit levels and eligibility requirements. There are a large number of proposals on the horizon for altering substantially the present welfare system. In general these



would replace the present system of categorical aid with one that would guarantee the maintenance of a given income level for all families or individuals. Under the present system there are a large number of families with very low. come who receive no assistance because the head of household is employed and there are no dependent children. In such cases a shift to an income maintenance approach from the present categorical approach would increase the amount of benefits.

Because of the rise in benefits that would probably be associated with an income maintenance plan and because such a plan would tend to make benefits more closely related to education, it is likely that our pront calculations will understate the importance of inadequate education in affecting the level of expenditures for possible income maintenance programs. This probability is heavily underscored by a study which attempted to examine the determinants of avoiding or escaping from poverty income levels. Families headed by persons who had attained a minimum of high school completion escaped from poverty levels at a rate from 1.5 to 2 times greater than those headed by a person with less than high school graduation. It appeared that if 10 percent of those family heads with less than 12 years of schooling were to achieve high school diplomas, and other things remained constant, the poverty population would have declined by 3 percent between 1965 and 1966 rather than only 1.6 percent.

III.C—CALCULATING THE COST OF WELFARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO INADEQUATE EDUCATION

The education-related costs of welfare are very difficult to estimate. For each of the programs that we reviewed it can be shown that the probability of welfare eligibility and in some cases the levels of benefits are linked to low educational attainment. For example, a poorly educated woman is more likely to be the head of a family, and among family heads is more likely to be receiving benefits under the AFDC program. Yet the precise nature of these relationships is difficult to ascertain because the lesser educated woman may differ in other ways from the more educated one; and these "hidden" factors that coincide with education may also be partially responsible for the higher incidence of welfare expenditures on women with low educational accomplishments.

Given the relative uncertainty of how much of the welfare burden should be allocated to inadequate education, it seems reasonable to estimate such costs on the basis of two presumptions:

1. Only the education-related categories of welfare should be considered in the analysis; and,

2. Both an intuitive upper limit on the proportion of these expenditures attributable to poor education and a lower unit should be estimated.

It would seem that the midpoint of the range established by these boundaries would be the best assessment of the welfare costs associated with undereducation.



SETTING THE UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDARIES

In estimating costs we will set the upper boundary by assuming that 50 percent of the costs of AFDC, Medical Assistance payments for AFDC families, and General Assistance payments are attributable to educational attainments below high school completion; and 25 percent of unemployment compensation is attributable to this cause. The lower proportion assigned to unemployment compensation is based upon the fact that two provisions of this coverage make it less sensitive to low educational attainment than the other categories:

1. National economic conditions can cause highly educated

people to be unemployed; and,

2. The minimum term of employment required for compensation coverage along with the fact that benefit levels are related to previous earnings act to diminish the role of insufficient education.

The lower boundaries on costs assignable to inadequate education are set at 25 percent of the public assistance programs, and 15 percent of the unemployment compensation.

Table 15.—Estimated cost of welfare expenditures from inadequate education in 1970

Aid to families with dependent children Medical assistance General assistance	I, 199
Public assistance total	5, 921
Unemployment compensation.	
Upper estimate: Public assistance tote! ×50 percent Unemployment compensation ×25 percent	2, 961 1, 081
Upper estimate total	4, ()42
Lower estimate: Public assistance total ×25 percent Unemployment compensation ×15 percent	1, 480 648
Lower estimate total	2, 128

Source: AFDC, General Assistance and Medical Assistance Expenditures—Sources of Funds Expended for Public Assistance Payments, table 1, 25 percent of medical assistance payments were approximated as AFDC share. The 1968 sinare was 27.9 percent. See U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Medicaid, Selected Statistics 1951-69. Unemployment compensation payments are taken from U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social Security Bulletin (April 1971), table M1.

ESTIMATED EDUCATION-RELATED COSTS

Table 15 shows the estimated costs of welfare expenditures attributable to not providing a minimum of high school competition for all citizens. The upper limit of such costs is estimated to be about \$4 billion a year and the lower limit is set at about \$2.1 billion a year. The midpoint of this range is about \$3 billion a year, a figure which we will consider as being the most reasonable overall estimate of costs of welfare incurred because of insufficient education.

According to our estimates the alleviation of inadequate education would reduce the Nation's welfare bill by about \$3 billion a year. This would represent a reduction of about 15-20 percent of the present welfare burden carried by the taxpayer. To the degree that



the Nation shifts to an income maintenance program it is likely that the impact of inadequate education on welfare costs will be even greater.



Chapter IV

INADEQUATE EDUCATION AND THE COSTS OF CRIME

For the year 1965, the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration estimated that the economic impact of crime and related expenditures was about \$21 billion. A large volume of research literature on the determinants of juvenile delinquency and adult crime concludes that low educational attainment and poor schooling are important contributors to crime. The purpose of this chapter is to estimate the role that insufficient education plays in burdening the Nation with crime and its extensive system of prevention. An attempt will be made to assess the costs to the Nation imposed by crime and the massive resources devoted to deterring it, with a specific focus on that part of costs which appears to be attributable to inadequate education of the population.

IV.A-CRIME AND LOW EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

There is a substantial body of statistical evidence linking low educational attainment to crime. Studies of the populations of correctional institutions have shown that immates have completed far less schooling than the population as a whole, and achievement test measures of their proficiencies reflect the same picture. For example, when newly received felons in California institutions were tested in 1968 it was found that about 56 percent of them scored at eighth grade or below in standardized achievement, and only about 6 percent scored at the level of 12th grade or higher. In comparison, the median level of attainment for the general adult population in California was more than 12 years. Further, those new inmates who had been arrested for homicide or assault had a median score at only the seventh grade level. Parallel studies for Texas and New Jersey showed similar educational retardation of inmates.

EDUCATION AND DELINQUENCY

The tie between education and juvenile delinquency has been heavily documented. One study among youth in a large city found that dropping out of school doubled the probability of delinquency. Further, the relationship between low educational attainment and delinquency appears to be an international phenomenon. An extensive study of juveniles in Oakland found a high negative correlation between the amount of schooling and the probability of being arrested for committing a juvenile crime. Even when other possible explana-

Footnotes for Chapter IV on p. 51.



(39)

tory factors that coincide with education were taken into account such as race, family size, family income, IQ scores, and presence of both parents in the home, it was found that high school dropouts were three to five times more likely than high school graduates to be arrested for committing a juvenile crime. Similarly, a study of youths in Texas and Mexico found the expected negative association between educational attainment and delinquency.8 This study concluded that:

... While many studies are poorly controlled and the data are undoubtedly influenced by the fact that the more ignorant offenders are more likely to be apprehended, convicted, and sentenced to prison, there appears to be little doubt that the educational level of adult and juvenile offenders is below average, even for their own ethnic and economic reference groups.

IV.B-EXPLANATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CRIME AND Insufficient Education

Though the statistical studies which relate educational attainment and crime are addressed to both adult crime and juvenile delinquency, the theories linking education and the effects of school with crime concentrate on juvenile delinquency. In part this derives from the fact that a high proportion of delinquents become adult offenders, so that the schooling effects are likely to originate early in the development of the individual. In a study of male delinquents in Chicago it was discovered that 60 percent of the delinquents arrested were later arrested as adults.10 In addition, it is somewhat easier to observe the schooling-crime link among youth than among adults.

Most of the theories posited for the higher delinquency rate of school dropouts charge that the poor quality of schooling is responsible for the low educational attainment and the related delinquent behavior. Yet, several different reasons are given for this assertion. Several researchers have concluded that the perceived irrelevance of education for later life is the major school-linked cause of delinquency. 11 In particular, those students whom the schools treat in low-status fashion (e.g., in noncollege preparatory curricula) feel that the school is pre-

paring them for low paying jobs and so they rebel.12

It is also suggested that the academically competent are less likely to be delinquent because they relate well to school norms and the larger set of social norms. In contrast, the delinquent is caught up in a casual chain beginning with his academic difficulties and leading to a dislike of school, rejection of school authority and finally, delinquency.14 The school is further implicated in this chain by a study which measured intelligence test scores of juvenile delinquents. 15 It was ascertained that the delinquents performed in the normal range on such tests, and that their difficulties in school did not seem to result from deficiencies in their abilities. Rather, it appeared that deficiencies in the schools prevented such students from applying their abilities in ways meaningful and useful to the students.

Since education is an important determinant of both income and employment, it is useful to note the evidence that relates income and employment to criminal behavior. One statistical study found that a 10-percent rise in family income may be expected to reduce delin-



quency rates by between 15 and 20 percent when the income change occurs in highly delinquent areas and is of the type that will reduce the number of broken families as well. ¹⁶ In an analysis of three U.S. cities it was estimated that for each 10-percent increase in the rate of unemployment (e.g., from 5 to 5.5 percent), there appeared to be an associated increase of about 2.5 percent in the delinquency rate. ¹⁷

In summary, there is a consistent body of evidence that ties low educational attainment to criminal behavior. Of course it should be noted that there are other possible reasons that less educated persons appear to have a higher level of criminal participation. In part, the crimes that they commit are more likely to be detected than the "white collar crimes" committed by more highly educated individuals. Further, the lower incomes of the less educated mean that they are less able to afford good legal counsel. Finally, the person with poor educational attainment is more likely to come from a less advantaged background (low income, broken home, etc.) which may in itself contribute to the likelihood of criminal behavior.¹⁸

IV.C-CALCULATING THE COSTS OF EDUCATION-RELATED CRIME

The President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice estimated the economic impact of crime for the fiscal year, 1965. Table 16 shows the magnitudes of these estimates by category. Though the total "economic" impact of crime was estimated to be about \$21 billion for 1965, not all of these amounts are truly social costs in the sense that society has made sacrifices of these magnitudes. Moreover, some of the categories that are social costs do not appear to derive from crimes that are closely related to insufficient education. Further, there are other important costs that are not shown in Table 16.

Table 16 .- Economic impact of crimes and related expenditures, 1965

Crimes against persons: Homicide	
Assault	
Total 81	5
Crimes against property:	Ξ
Property destroyed: Arson and vandalism 300	0
Involuntary transfer:	
Unreported commercial theft1, 400 Robbery)
Burglary 600	õ
Larceny	_
Auto theft	-
Embezzlement 200	
Fraud	
	-
Total	2
	=
Other crimes:	
Driving under influence 1, 816	j
Tax fraud100)
Abortion120)
Total 2, 030	- }
	<i>-</i>



Table 16.—Economic impact of crimes and related expenditures, 1965—

Continued	
Illegal goods and services:	Millions
Narcotics	\$350
Loan-sharking	350
Prostitution	225
Alcohol (tax loss)	
Gambling	
Total	8, 075
ACCOUNT	
Public law enforcement and criminal justice:	2, 792
Folice	
Corrections.	1, 034
Prosecution and defense	
Courts	261
Total	4, 212
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Private costs related to crime:	1 970
Prevention services	
Prevention equipment	
Insurance (overhead costs)	
Private counsel, bail, witness expenses	60
Totai	1, 910
Total	20, 980

In order for a cost category to be considered for inclusion in our estimates of the cost burden imposed by education-related crime it must satisfy two criteria. First, it should reflect crimes that ere likely to decline if there were a reduction in the incidence of inadequate education. Second, it should measure a "real" sacrifice in the Nation's resources rather than just a transfer of them from one group in society to another. Three of the categories presented in Table 16 fail to meet at least one of these criteria:

- 1. Involuntary transfer;
- 2. Other crimes; and,
- 3. Illegal goods and services.

In the case of involuntary transfers the crimes do appear to be edutionally related in the sense that they would probably be reduced if educational attainments were raised. Yet, it is not correct to say that the value of the transferred property is a cost to society. Such acts imply that property is being transferred from one group to another within society rather than being destroyed. Such a transfer creates a social burden only to the degree that the redistribution makes society less happy. From the viewpoint of the person who has been robbed, the loss is a real one; but from the vantage point of society the property is not lost but has just changed ownership. Accordingly, it does not seem appropriate to include the involuntary transfer category among the social costs of crime due to poor education.¹⁹

Likewise, though illegal goods and services have been estimated at about \$8 billion, they too do not represent a loss to society of that amount. Rather, the value of such illegal transactions merely assesses the volume of such activity taking place. Within this category goods



and services are exchanged for money, and the illegal nature of the services does not, in itself, connote a loss of resources. The only social cost attached to these activities is their repugnance to society, and it is difficult to measure the costs of such displeasure. The exceptions to this generalization are the tax losses from illicit sales of a cohol, and the undeported income derived from illegitimate sources, primarily gambling. Yet, it does not seem reasonable to believe that such activities as gambling will be severely attenuated by raising educational attainments to a minimum of high school completion. Therefore we will not include the costs of illegal goods and services in our estimates of social costs which might be alleviable through better education.

The "other crimes" category, too, does not seem to be closely related to educational attainment. Though driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs may indeed be responsible for \$1.8 billion in loss of income and property, it is not clear that inadequate education is a significant cause of such costs. The same appears to be true for abortion and for the estimated \$100 million government loss due to tax fraud. In fact it is likely that the latter is an "educated" crime. Accordingly, it appears to be incorrect to use the costs of other crimes as a component of the total which might be reduced by improved educational

levels among those with low attainment.

In contrast, the following categories seem to reflect a social burden and appear to be related to poor education. Crimes against persons accounted for about \$815 million in 1965 in foregone income and medical expenditures. Property destroyed by arson and vandalism amounted to about \$300 million and also appears to meet the criteria for being relevant to our estimates. Since it would seem that much of public law enforcement and criminal justice expenditures are attributable to education-related crimes (rather than such crimes as driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs), much of this category of over \$4 billion should be applied to our estimates. In addition, the private costs related to crime of almost \$2 billion should be considered for similar research.

Not reflected in Table 16 is the loss of income and national output reflected by the large source of manpower that is imprisoned. In 1965 the average daily adult population of correctional institutions was approximately 363,000 and the median wage for males for that year was about \$4,400. Because of the lower educational attainments of inmates and the fact that a portion of them were female, it is certain that the median wage for all males overstates the loss in income for each prisoner. Using a more modest figure of \$3,000 per person to approximate the annual income foregone, the national sacrifice in income reflected by the prison population was about \$1.1 billion in 1965. In order to account for the modest amount of work done by prisoners and for time allocated to training programs that were sponsored by the institutions, this amount can be reduced by \$100 million. Thus the net estimate of foregone income of inmates for 1965 is about \$1 billion.



Table 17.—Estimated costs of crime attributable to inadequate education

Costs of crimes against persons and property:	Millions
Homicide	8750
Arson and vandalism.	300
Total	1, 115
Law enforcement and judicial Private costs	4, 212
Foregone income of inmates.	1, 000
Total	8, 237
Attributable to inadequate education:	Shirter Common discounts Shipe Provided 1 to discounts
Upper limit, 50 percent of total	4, 118
Lower limit, 25 percent of total	2. 059

Table 17 shows the estimated costs of crime attributable to inadequate education. The categories of costs that we selected as being relevant amounted to an annual burden of about \$8.2 billion in 1965. Unfortunately our present state of knowledge does not enable us to know the exact proportion of this amount that is attributable to insufficient education per se. Thus, any specific guideline must be an arbitrary one. Placing the upper limit on educational-related costs among these categories at 50 percent, the estimated cost of crime due to poor education is about \$4.1 billion. Assuming that a reasonable lower limit is 25 percent, the lower boundary of the estimate is set at about \$2 billion. Using the midpoint of this range as the best estimate, the costs of crime attributable to inadequate education for the year 1965 were about \$3 billion. Assuming that our method of estimation is acceptable, the comparable burden should be considerably higher for 1971 because of rising costs and rising crime rates.



Chapter V

OTHER SOCIAL COSTS OF INADEQUATE EDUCATION

In preceding chapters we reviewed the social costs of imadequate education as they were reflected in foregone national income and government revenues, costs of welfare and costs of crime. In this chapter we will explore some of the evidence linking imadequate education to low political participation, lack of intergenerational social mobility, and poor health. Although it was possible to estimate the dollar value of social costs in the preceding section, it is far more difficult to put a monetary cost on the social burdens imposed by these three categories. Accordingly, the following brief analysis will be limited to the implications of insufficient education for political participation, mobility, and health.

V.A-INADEQUATE EDUCATION AND POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

The general phenomenon whereby educational shortcoming for the individual harm the entire society is exemplified by the particular relation between low educational attainment and political participation. As the most noted authority on the subject has stated, "the surest single prediction of political involvement is number of years of formal education." That is, the poorly educated citizen is less likely to participate in the normal political processes of our society. Thus, he not only deprives himself of a voice in the functioning of the government, but he is also likely to feel powerless to exert influence on public policies that affect his existence. The social costs of this phenomenon are visited upon the Nation in three ways:

1. Government decisions will be less likely to represent the views of "all of the people" particularly the less educated; this will tend to impart a bias to public policy that will favor the more educated and wealthy than would be the case if political participation were more representative.

2. This bias in participation creates a crisis of legitimacy of political processes among those who, because of their insufficient education, do not participate. Government decisions are likely to be looked upon with suspicion as ones which are designed to work against the interests of the poorly educated.

3. As a consequence of their frustration, such citizens may turn to other forms of activity to express their dissatisfaction with existing government policies and public services. In recent years demonstrations, riots, and other disruptions have represented an alternative form of activity that has been used to express dissatisfaction with the results of the traditional political processes.



THE ROLE OF EDUCATION IN POLITICAL INVOLVEMENT

The reasons that persons with lower educational attainments are less likely to participate in conventional political processes are probably complex. In part the lowly educated may be less likely to participate politically because they don't believe that it will make any difference in their plight. To the degree that most of the under-educated are themselves born into poor families, their outlook may be more conditioned by what they perceive as the overall hopelessness of improving their condition rather than by their educational level per se. Yet the impact of the school on the political attitudes of children appears to be substantial. In a study of some 10,000 elementary school students in grades two through eight it was concluded that the school is the "central, salient, and dominant force" in the political socialization of the young child." 2

What are some of the specific ways in which more education might tend to increase political participation? First, schooling inculcates persons with a knowledge of the overall governmental structure; how representatives are elected; how laws are passed; the role of the executive branch of government and the courts; and how laws might be changed. Knowledge of the institutions and their formal purposes emphasize the fairness of a system of representative government and its theoretical set of checks and balances, and this familiarity is likely to connote an impression of legitimacy. Second, schooling gives information on the practical aspects of how the political system works; information on the practical aspects of how the political system works; the ballot by citizen initiative; and the importance of exercising one's franchise. Finally, education is an important input for access to information on many important political and social issues and tends to create a greater personal predisposition toward concern over political matters.

STUDIES OF POLITICAL BEHAVIOR

These factors linking education with political behavior have been supported by numerous studies that have explored various aspects of political involvement. A recent survey by the Bureau of the Census on the 1968 presidential election as well as earlier surveys carried out by other groups has confirmed that the likelihood of voting is directly related to the educational attainment of the population. Table 18 shows this pattern for the 1968 presidential election, and earlier studies have confirmed this finding even when the data are analyzed separately by age, sex, and region of the Nation. Indeed, the conclusion of these studies is that "... no other social characteristic bears such a strong relationship to turnout in presidential elections as education."



47

Table 18.—Reported voter participation in 1968 presidential election

		Proportion voting						
	Whites		Blacks					
Years of schooling	Males	Females	Males	Females				
0 to 4	45. 4	32. 0	43, 2	34. 7				
5 to 7	60. 5	46. 1	54, 9	53, 5				
8	68. 4	59. S	59. 7	53, 3				
9 to 11	67. 5	62. 7	61. 7	59. 4				
12	76. 3	75. 6	74. 8	69, 5				
13 to 15	80. 7	82. 5	79. 7	79, 4				
16	85, 2	84. 2	85, 8	83. 7				
17 or more	86. 4	88. 3	88. 4					

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census, "Years of School Completed—Reported Voter Participation in 1968 and 1964 for Persons 25 Years Old and Over, by R re and Sex, for the United States: November 1968." Current Population Reports, series P 20, No. 192, table 11.

Researchers have concluded that the deeper political involvement of the more highly educated stems not only from the direct schooling effects outlined above, but from several indirect ones as well. Persons with additional education seem to come into contact with more political stimuli and they have attitudes, beliefs, and behavior which are more politically oriented.

An environment containing political stimuli will tend to increase both the likelihood of an individual participating in politics and the depth of participation. The lower the level of educational attainment of an individual, the less likely that he will have extensive contact with other persons who are politically involved; this in itself reduces the stimulus for him to become politically concerned. Thus it was found that the proportion of presons who discuss politics among both males and females increases according to their educational attainment. Moreover, the level of sophistication for evaluating the good and bad points of particular candidates and issues seems to be directly related to the amount of schooling completed.

Psychological involvement with political life is not only related to the likelihood of voting; it is also associated with other political activity such as campaigning; and psychological involvement in politics appears to be strongly dependent upon formal education. Research has also tied a sense of political efficacy—the sense of ability to affect political events—to be positively associated with schooling attainment. Even such politically related attitudes as a "sense of citizen duty" seem to be closely related to education. Thus, the deleterious effect of inadequate education on political involvement appears to be substantial and tends to impair the effective functioning of our political system.

V.B-Inadequate Education and Intergenerational Mobility

The children of parents with inadequate education are themselves likely to suffer from inadequate education, low income, and low occupational status. This vicious circle of low attainment and poverty tends to repeat itself generation after generation unless there is a powerful social intervention. To the degree that we can raise the



educational attainments of future parents, we have also succeeded in raising the educational levels of their children. That is, the amount of schooling which children complete is heavily dependent upon their parents' education. Research has shown that not only is a child likely to attain more schooling the higher his parents' educational attainments, but he is also likely to show higher scores on standardized achievement tests at every level of schooling. Thus the alleviation of inadequate education in this generation will likely have a salient effect on reducing it in the next generation as well. Conversely the present burden of undereducation will likely translate into future costs for the society that our children inherit.

V.C-INADEQUATE EDUCATION AND POOR HEALTH

A number of studies have explored the relation between education and health. Inadequate education can affect health levels in a variety of ways. First, less educated persons are not as likely to be aware of the symptoms of certain serious illnesses whose early detection is crucial for cure or control. Second, knowledge of nutritional requirements, prenatal care, and preventative health precautions for such conditions as venereal disease are apparently less widespread among persons who lack adequate schooling. Finally, since insufficient education leads to lower occupational attainments and earnings appears to create both psychological and other health or disability problems.

The specific role of education in exacerbating health problems has been addressed in several studies. Usually though, the educational factors are subsumed under other related socioeconomic factors such as occupation, which are in themselves heavily influenced by educational attainment. The lower the occupational level of fathers, for example, the higher the rate of infant mortality both during the fetal stage and during early childhood. A similar pattern is reflected in leath rates of adults and the incidence of disease according to occupational category. A study of severe psychological disorders found that the lower socioeconomic groups were much more likely to fall prey to schizophrenia and paranoia than the higher groups. Here again the role of inadequate education was strongly implied.

V.D-SUMMARY OF OTHER SOCIAL COSTS OF INADEQUATE EDUCATION

Some aspects of inadequate education represent burdens to the Nation that cannot be assessed according to their monetary values. Included in this category are the lower levels of political participation, intergenerational mobility, and health that seem to be associated with low educational attainment. In each of these cases there is evidence suggesting that national welfare is reduced because of inadequate education, but the dollar value of such sacrifices is impossible to estimate. Nevertheless, these aspects of inadequate education represent national costs in addition to those reflected in foregone income and tax revenues, higher welfare burdens, and the greater incidence of crime.



FOOTNOTES

CHAPTER I-Introduction

1. See Horace Mann (1849), p. 60.

2. Arthur Mann (1968), p. 14

3. For a discussion of the mechanism that leads to this result see J. Coons, W.

Clune, and S. Sugarman (1970).

For some evidence on occupational attainment see Peter M. Blau and Otis 4. For some evidence on occupational attainment see Peter M. Blatt and Otls D. Duncan, (1967). Bowles has asserted that the role of education in improving occupational attainment has been overstated by Blatt and Duncan in Bowles (1971). The relation between parental social class and children's scholastic achievement is documented in James S. Coleman et al. (1966), Chapter 3. Evidence on educational attainment is found in M. David, H. Brazer, J. Morgan and W. Cohen (1961); B. Duncan (1965); and S. Masters (1969).

5. See particularly, J. W. Guthrie et al. (1971); H. M. Levin (1971); Harvard Educational Review (1968); S. Bowles (1972).

The most severe criticism of the institution of schooling is found in I. Illich (1969) and (1971). The repressive effect of the present schooling approach is emphasized in C. Silberman (1970). The role of the schools in sustaining social class inequalities is emphasized in S. Bowles (1972).

7. The present author believes that vast structural reforms of our society would be a supplied to the content of the school o

have to take place before schools would alter substantially their functions. That is, the schools reflect well the social demands placed upon them. Thus, no significant changes in schooling will occur without profound changes in the underlying social, political, and economic structure of the Nation. This view is much closer to that of Bowles than to that of Silberman who seems to think that his criticisms result from outcomes that are only the unintentional by-products of institutional mindlessness". Compare Silberman (1970) with Bowles (1972). Also see Carnoy (1972)

8. This fact is well documented in a study which examined the ability of respondents to fill out correctly the standard applications for licenses, Medicare, and so on. The number of errors on these applications appeared to be particularly high for the least educated. For example, almost half of the respondents with 8 years of schooling or less filled out *incorrectly* at least 10 percent of the items on the application for Medicare. See L. Harris Associates (1970).

9. A discussion of literacy concepts is found in Harvard Educational Review

10. For a thoughtful purview see B. Weisbrod (1964).

CHAPTER II-INVESTMENT IN HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETION

1. Examples of the literature reflecting this are R. Weiss (1970); L. Thurow (1969); and S. Michelson (1968). 2. In addition to the sources cited in footnote 1, see G. Becker (1964); and

R. Hauser, K. Lutterman, and W. Sewell (1971).

3. G. Becker (1964), pp. 29-30.

4. This thesis is developed with substantial supporting evidence in H. Gintis

5. R. Dreeben (1968).

6. See R. Nelson and E. Pnelps (1966) for a discussion. Also see T. Schultz (1963), pp. 40-41. For interesting sociological perspectives see L. Reissman (1954).
7. D. P. Chaudri (1968) and F. Welch (1970)
8. F. Welch (1970).

9. R. Eckaus (1964). 10. Ivar Berg (1970) has questioned the schooling-productivity link, but his empirical substantiation has been criticized on many grounds. See for example the

comments in M. J. Bowman (1970), pp. 106-110.

11. According to data derived from 1964 tax returns the proportion of adjusted gross income attributable to salaries and wages varied between 78 to 90 percent for income classes up to \$25,000 with no obvious trend within that range. C. H. Kahn (1964), p. 28.



12. E. P. Miller (1960); Z. Griliches (1970).

13. Welch (1970).

13. Weich (1970).

14. Further discussion of relative earnings by educational category is found in S. Bowles (1970) and G. Johnson (1970-71).

15. In this context "ability" means all of the non-schooling influences that affect income such as social class and geographic location as well as intellectual abilities.

16. Compare Griliches (1970) with Taubman and Wales (1970).

17. L. Hanson, B. Weisbrod, and W. Scanlon (1970).

18. Z. Griliches (1970); Z. Griliches and W. Mason (1971); J. Hause (1971);

D. Rogers (1969).

19. J. Hause (1971).

19. J. Hause (1971).
20. U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Annual Mean Income, Lifetime Income, and Educational Attainment of Men in the United States for Selected Years, 1956 to 1968".
21. L. Thurow (1969); R. Weiss (1970); F. Welch (1967); B. Bergman and J. Lyle (1971); G. Hanoch (1967).
22. U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census "The Social and France in Status of Nagraus in the United States 1970"

Economic Status of Negroes in the United States, 1970".
23. S. Marglin (1963); Arrow (1966); Weisbrod (1969).
24. S. Masters (1969); B. Dunean (1965); M. David, H. Brazer, J. Morgan,

and W. Cohen (1961).

25. See Weisbrod (1968) for a discussion of the necessity for considering the distribution as well as the level of benefits from public investments. The probable improvement in income distribution in the next generation is a benefit that is not measured by lifetime income streams for this generation.

26. See Appendix B for information on construction of Table eight.

27. It should be noted that the continuation on to college was based upon nonwhite continuation rates. These rates of college attendance are only about half

those of whites.

28. See Appendix D for details. The overstatement of costs results from the fact that potential dropouts are more likely to be attending schools in low expenditure states and school districts. In part their tendency to drop out is related to low spending as evidenced in G. Johnson and F. Stafford (1970). Therefore, the national average for per student expenditures overstates what would have been spent on dropouts had they continued. It might also be noted that estimates of the national average were based upon generous assumptions. This over-estimate might be offset by the fact that we did not take into account the "opportunity cost" of expenditures up to the beginning of the earnings period, at which point the investment is being evaluated.

29. A recent econometric study has demonstrated a relationship between educational expenditures and the amount of schooling attained. See G. Johnson

and F. Stafford (1970).

30. The system of financing education that leads to this result is described in J. Coons et. al. (1970). The educational effects of this system are explored in J. Guthrie et. al. (1971).

31. Rarely do present programs provide more than \$300 in additional funds per eligible student, and many potential dropouts receive no assistance at all because of inadequate appropriations.

32. For a discussion of these issues see S. Michelson (1970) and H. Levin (1971a). This may also be the reason that at least one dropout prevention program has shown poor results. See B. Weisbrod (1965).

CHAPTER III-INADEQUATE EDUCATION AND WELFARE EXPENDITURES

1. Until January 1, 1972 the tax was on the first \$3,000.

2. See the President's Commission on Income Maintenance Programs, Background Papers, p. 272.

ground Papers, p. 272.

3. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Findings of the 1967 AFDC Study, Part I, July 1970, Table 22.

4. J. Cowhig (1970); I. Cox (1970).

5. I. Cox (1970).

6. Ibid.

7. M. Warren and S. Berkowitz (1969).

8. Ibid.

9. E. Durbin (1969), p. 68.

10. R. Mugge (1964).

11. M. Warren and S. Berkowitz (1969).



12. For more details see U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Historical Statistics of Employment Security Activities, 1938-1966, pp. 10-11.

13. In a U.S. Department of Labor Study comparing "job losers" with "job leavers", it was found that male "job losers" had a median level of schooling 10.7 years while "job leavers" had 12.1 years. For women the comparable figures were 11.3 years vs. 12.3 years. U.S. Department of Labor, "Job Losers, Leavers, and Entrants," Special Labor Force Report No. 106 (April 1969), Table 2.

14. See the Reports of The President's Commission on Income Maintenance Programs.

Programs

15. T. Kelly (1970).

CHAPTER IV .- INADEQUATE EDUCATION AND THE COSTS OF CRIME

1. The President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice, Task Force on Assessment, Crime and Its Impact—An Assessment (Washington, D.C.: 1967).

State of California, Department of Corrections (1970).
 Summarized in E. H. Sutherland and Donald Cressey (1966).

E. Palmore (1963).
 J. Toby (1967).

- 6. R. Spiegelman et. al. (1967), pp. V.6-V.24.

7. Ibid

- 8. C. Rosenquist and E. Megargee (1969).
 9. *Ibid.*, p. 201.
 10. H. McKay (1967).
 11. See for example I. Berg (1967); A. Stinchcombe (1964); W. Schafer and K. Polk (1967).
 - 12. A. Stinchcombe (1964). 13. T. Hirschi (1969).
- 15. N. Prentice and F. Kelly (1963).
 16. B. Fleisher (1966).
 17. B. Fleisher (1963).
 18. J. Toby (1967).
 19. The fact that we are not imputing any social cost to the involuntary transfer and illegal goods and services categories will tend to underestimate the social costs of crime. Yet this alternative seems superior to setting an arbitrary value for such costs in such an unknown domain.

CHAPTER V-OTHER SOCIAL COSTS OF INADEQUATE EDUCATION

A. Campbell (1963), p. 21

- A. Campbell (1963), p. 21.
 R. Hess and J. Torney (1967).
 A. Campbell, P. Converse, W. Miller, and D. Stokes (1960), p. 495.
 These issues are discussed in L. Milbrath (1965). Particularly types and effects of political stimuli are studied in G. Almond and S. Verba (1963); B. Berelson, P. Lazarfeld, and W. McPhec (1954); P. Converse and G. Dupeux (1962); S. Lipset (1960); and M. Miller (1952).
 A. Campbell, P. Converse, W. Miller, and D. Stokes (1960), p. 491.
 Ibid.: also G. Almond and S. Verba (1963); M. Benny, A. Gray, and R. Pear (1956); and other references in reference 4.

- 6. Ibid.: also G. Almond and S. Verba (1963); M. Benny, A. Gray, and R. Pear (1956); and other references in reference 4.

 7. A. Campbell, P. Converse, W. Miller, and D. Stokes (1960); A. Campbell, G. Gurin, and W. Miller (1954); Y. Kuroda (1964).

 8. A. Campbell (1962), p. 20.

 9. G. Almond and S. Verba (1963); and A. Campbell, P. Converse, W. Miller, and D. Stokes (1960).

 10. M. David, H. Brazer, J. Morgan, and W. Cohen (1961); B. Duncan (1965); S. Masters (1969); J. Coleman et al. (1966).

 11. B. Benjamin and H. Havcocks (1970); National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (1969).

- and Human Development (1969).
- 12. That is, many studies use occupation, income, or other measures of social status rather than educational attainment to indicate socio-economic level. But, both income and occupation are themselves substantially determined by education. See for example P. Blau and O. D. Duncan (1967).
- H. Chase (1962).
 I. Mouvania and L. Guralnick (1958); J. Daric (1951); J. Downes (1948); W. Logan (1954).



BIBLIOGRAPHY

Almond, Gabriel, and Sidney Verba, The Civic Culture (Princeton: Princeton

Almond, Gabriel, and Sidney Verda, The Civic Culture (Frinceton: Frinceton University Press, 1963).
Arrow, K. J., "Discounting and Public Investment Criteria," in A. V. Kneese and S. C. Smith eds., Water Research (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1966).
Becker, Gary, Human Capital (New York: Columbia University Press, 1964).
Benjamin, B., and H. W. Haycocks, The Analysis of Mortality and Other Acturial Statistics (Cambridge: At the University Press, 1970).
Berelson, Bernard R., Paul F. Lazarfeld, and Wiliam N. McPhee, Voting (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1954).

University of Chicago Press, 1954).

Berg, Ivar, "Economic Factors in Delinquency," in Juvenile Delinquency and Youth Crime (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1967) рр. 305-16.

Berg, Ivar, Education and Jobs: The Great Training Robbery (New York: Praeger, 1970)

Bergmann, Barbara R., and Jerolyn R. Lyle, "The Occupational Standing of Negroes by Areas and Industries," The Journal of Human Resources, Vol. VI,

No. 4 (Fall 1971), pp. 411-433.

Benny, Mark, A. P. Gray, and R. H. Pear, How People Vote: A Study of Electoral Behavior in Greenwich (London: Routeledge and Kegan, Paul, 1956).

Blau, P. M. and O. D. Duncan, The American Occupational Structure (New York:

Blau, P. M. and O. D. Duncan, The American Occupational Structure (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1967).
Bowles, Samuel S., "Aggregation of Labor Inputs in the Economics of Growth and Planning: Experiments With a Two-Level CES Function," Journal of Political Economy (January/February 1970).
Bowles, Samuel S., "Schooling and Inequality from Generation to Generation," Discussion Paper No. 220, Harvard Institute of Economic Research, Harvard University (November 1971).
Bowles, Samuel S., "Unequal Education and the Reproduction of the Social Division of Labor," in Martin Carnov (ed.), Schooling in a Corporate Society (New York: David McKay Co., Inc., 1972).
Bowman, Mary Jean, "Education and Economic Growth," in R. L. Johns et. al., Economic Factors Affecting the Financing of Education, Vol. 2, National Educational Finance Project (Gainesville, Fla.: 1970), pp. 83-120.
Campbell, Angus, "The Passive Citizen," Acta Sociologica, VI (fasc. 1-2, 1962) pp. 9-21.
Campbell, Angus, Phillip Converse, Warren Miller, and Douald Stokes. The

Campbell, Angus, Phillip Converse, Warren Miller, and Donald Stokes, The American Voter (New York: John Wiley, 1969).

Campbell, Angus, Gerald Gurin, and Warren Miller, The Voter Decides (Evanston, Ill.: Row, Peterson, 1954).

Carnoy, Martin, Schooling in a Corporate Society (New York: David McKay Co.,

Inc., 1972).

Chase, Helen C., The Relationship of Certain Biological and Socio-Economic Factors to / tal, Infant, and Early Childhood Mortality (Albany, N.Y.: New York State Department of Health, 1962).

Chaudri, D. P., Education and Agricultural Productivity in India, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Delhi, India (1968).

Coleman, James S., et. al., Equality of Educational Opportunity, OE 38001, U.S. Office of Education (Washington, D.C.: 1966).

Converse, Phillip, and Georges Dupeux, "Some Comparative Notes on French and American Political Behavior," UNESCO Seminar, Bergen, Norway, June, 1961

June, 1961.

Coons, J. E., William H. Clune, .II, and S. D. Sugarman, Private Wealth and Public Education (Cambridge, Muss: Harvard University Press, 1970).

Cowhig, James, "Characteristics of Families Headed by Women," Welfare in Review, January-February 1970, pp. 16-20.

Cox, Irene, "The Employment of Mothers as a Means of Family Support," Welfare in Review, November-December, 1970, pp. 9-17.



Daric, Jean, "Mortality, Occupation, and Socio-Economic Status," Vital Statistics: Special Reports, Selected Studies of the National Office of Vital Statistics, Vol. 33, No. 10, Sept. 21, 1951, pp. 175-87.
David, M., H. Brazer, J. Morgan and W. Cohen, Educational Achievement—Its Causes and Effect (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, 1961).
Downes, Jean, Social and Environmental Factors in Infant Mortality, Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly, Vol. 26, October 1948, pp. 366-85.
Dreeben, Robert, On What is Learned in School (Reading, Massachusetts: Addison Wesley and Co., 1968).
Durcan, Beverley, Family Factors and School Dropout: 1920-1960 Cooperative

Durcan, Beverley, Family Factors and School Dropout: 1920-1960 Cooperative Research Project No. 2258, Office of Education (Ann Arbor, Michigan: University of Michigan, 1965).

Durbin, Elizabeth, Welfare Income and Employment (New York: Frederick A.

Praeger, Inc., 1969). Eckaus, Richard S., "Economic Criteria for Education and Training," Review of Economics and Statistics, XLVI (1964), pp. 181-190.

Fleisher, Belton, "The Effect of Income on Demaquency," American Economic

Review, March 1966, pp. 118-137.

Fleisher, Belton, "The Effect of Unemployment on Delinquency," Journal of Political Economy, December 1963, pp. 543-555.

Gintis, Herbert, "Education, Technology and the Characteristics of Worker Productivity," American Economic Review, Vol. LXI, No. 2 (May 1971), pp.

Griliches, Zvi, "Notes on the Role of Education in Production Functions and Growth Accounting," in W. Lee Hansen Education, Income and Human Capital (New York: National Bureau of Economic Research, 1970). op.

Griliches, Z., and W. Mason "Education, Income, and Ability," Discussion Paper Number 207, Harvard Institute of Economic Research, Harvard University (October, 1971).

Guthrie, James W. George B. Kleindorfer, Henry M. Levin and Robert T. Stout, Schools and Inequality (Cambridge, Mc sachusetts: MIT Press, 1971).

Hanoch, G., "An Economic Analysis of Earnings and Schooling," The Journal of

Human Resources, Vol. 2 (Summer 1967), pp. 310-29.

Hansen, W. L., B. A. Weisbrod, and W. J. Scanlon, "Schooling and Earnings of Low Achievers," American Economic Review (June, 1970), Vol. 60, pp. 409-18. Harris, Louis, and Assoc., Inc., Survival Literacy Study for National Reading

Council, Study 2036 (September, 1970).

Harvard Education: Review, Illiteracy in America, Vol. 40, No. 2 (1970).

Harvard Educational Review, Vol. 38, No. 1 (Winter 1968).

Hatter John C. "Ability and Schooling as Determinants to Lifttine Earnings or If You're Smart, Why Aren't You Rich?" American Economic Review, Vol. 61 (May 1971), pp. 280-208

Vol. 61 (May, 1971), pp. 289-298.

Hauser, Robert, Kenneth Lutterman, and William Sewell, "Socioeconomic Background and the Earnings of High School Graduates," paper presented at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado at the American Annual Meeting, Denver, Denver, (August, 1971). Available from the authors at Department of Sociology University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

Hess R. and J. Torney. The Development of Political Attitudes in Children (Chicago:

Aldine Publishing Co., 1967).

Kuroda, Yasumasa, Measurement, Correlates, and Significance of Political Participation at the Community Level (Mimeo) 1964. Hirschi, Travis, Causes of Delinquency (Berkeley: University of California Press,

Hollingshead, August B., and Redlick, Frederick G., "Social Stratification and Schizophrenia," American Sociological Review, Vol. 19 (1954), pp. 302-306. Illich, Ivan, Celebration of Awareness (Garden City, New York: Doubleday and

Co., Inc., 1969). Illich, Ivan, De-Schooling Society (Garden City, New York: Doubleday and Co.,

Ilich, Ivan, De-Schooling Society (Garden City, New York: Folibleday and Co., Inc., 1971).
Johnson, George E., "The Demand for Labor by Educational Category," Southern Economic Journal Vol. 37 (1970-71), pp. 190-204.
Johnson, George E., and Frank P. Stafford, "Social Returns to Quantity and Quality of Schooling," a paper presented at the December 1970 meetings of the Econometric Society, Detriot (mimeo).
Kahn, C. Harry, Employee Compensation Under the Income Tax, Fiscal Studies 10 (New York: National Bureau of Economic Research, 1964).



Kee, Woo Sik, "The Causes of Urban Poverty," Journal of Human Resources, (Winter 1969), pp. 93-99.

Kelly, Terence, "Factors Affecting Poverty—A Gross Flow Analysis," in The President's Commission on Income Maintenance Programs, Technical Studies, 1970.

Levin, Henry M., "Financing Education for the Urban Disadvantaged," Resources for Urban Schools: Better Use and Balance (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1971), pp. 3-23, 1971a.

Levin, Henry M., "The Human Capital Approach to Financing Equality of

Educational Opportunity," Part One of a Report for the New York State Commission on the Quality, Cost, and Financing of Elementary and Secondary Education (Stanford, California: 1971).

Lipset, Seymour M., Political Man (Garden City, New York: Doubleday, 1960). Logan, William, "Social Class Variations in Mortality," Public Health Reports,

Vol. 69, December, 1954, pp. 1217-23.

Mann, Arthur, "A Historical Overview: The Lumpenproletariat, Education and Compensatory Action," in The Quality of Inequality: Urban and Suburban Public Schools, Charles U. Daly (ed.) (Chicago: University of Chicago

Press, 1968), p. 14.

Mann, Horace, The Republic and the School, Lawrence A. Cremin (ed.) (New York: Teachers College, Columbia University, 1957), p. 87.

Marglin, S., "The Social Rate of Discount and the Optimal Rate of Investment,"

Opening to the School of Fearnment Vol. 77 (February 1963), pp. 95-111

Margin, S., "The Social Rate of Discount and the Optimal Rate of Investment, Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 77 (February 1963), pp. 95-111.

Masters, S. H., "The Effect of Family Income on Children's Education: Some Findings on Inequality of Opportunity," The Journal of Human Resources, Vol. IV (1969), pp. 158-75.

McKay, Henry, "Report on the Criminal Careers of Male Delinquents in Chicago," in the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justine Teach Force on Juvenile Delinquency and

Justice. Task Force on Juvenile Delinquency. Juvenile Delinquency and Youth Crime (Washington. D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1967), pp. 107-113.

Michelson, Stephan, "The Association of Teacher Resourceness With Children's Characteristics," in Do Teachers Make a Difference? U.S. Office of Education, OE-58042 (Washington, D.C., 1970), Chap. 6.

Michelson, Stephan, "The Incomes of Racial Minorities," The Brookings Insti-

Michelson, Stephan, "The Incomes of Racial Minorities," The Brookings Institution (Unpublished Manuscript, 1968), mileo.

Miller, Herman P., "Annual and Lifetime Income in Relation to Education: 1939-1959," American Economic Review, Vol. L (December, 1960).

Miller, Mungo, "The Waukegan Study of Voter Turnout Prediction," Public Opinion Quarterly, SVI (Fall, 1952), pp. 381-98.

Moles, Oliver, Robert Hess and Daniel Fascione, "Who Knows Where to Get Public Assistance," Welfare in Review, September-October, 1968, pp. 8-13.

Moriyama, I. M. and Guralnick, L., "Occupational and Social Class Differentials in Mortality," Trends and Differentials in Mortality, (New York: Milbank Memorial Fund. 1958), pp. 61-73.

Mortality," Trends and Differentials in Mortality, (New York: Milbank Memorial Fund, 1958), pp. 61-73.
 Mugge, Robert, "Education and AFDC:, Welfare in Review, July-August, 1969.
 Nelson, Richard R., and Edmund S. Phelps, "Investment in Humans, Technological Diffusion, and Economic Growth," American Economic Review, Vol. LVI (May, 1966), No. 2, pp. 69-75.
 Palmore, Erdman, "Factors Associated with School Dropouts and Juvenile Delinquency Among Lower-Class Children," Social Security Bulletin, October, 1963, pp. 4-0

Prentice, N. M., and F. J. Kelly, "Intelligence and Delinquency: A Reconsideration," The Journal of Social Psychology, 1963, pp. 327-337.

The President's Commission on Income Maintenance Programs, Background Papers (Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1970).

The President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice. Task Force on Assessment, Crime and Its Impact—An Assessment (Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1967).

The President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice. Task Force on Juvenile Delinquency, Juvenile Delinquency and Youth Crime (Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1967).

Reissman, Leonard, "Class, Leisure, and Social Participation," American Socio-

logical Review, Vol. 19 (February, 1954), pp. 76-84.
Rogers, D. C., "Private Rates of Return to Education in the United States: A Case Study," Yale Economic Essays, Vol. 9, (Spring 1969), pp. 88-134.



Rosenquist, Carl M., and Edwin I. Megargee, Delinquency in Three Cultures (Austin, Texas: University of Texas Press, 1969).

Schafer, Walter E., and Kenneth Polk, "Delinquency and the Schools," in The President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice. Task Force on Juvenile Delinquency. Juvenile Delinquency and Youth Crime (Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1967), pp. 222-277.

Schultz, Theodore W., The Economic Value of Education, (New York: Columbia University Press, 1963).

Silberman, Charles, Crisis in the Classroom (New York: Random House, 1970).

Spiegelman, Robert, et al., Cost-Benefit Model to Evaluate Educational Programs

Spiegelman, Robert, et al., Cost-Benefit Model to Evaluate Educational Programs (Menlo Park, California: Stanford Research Institute, 1967).

State of California Department of Corrections, California Prisoners 1968: Sum-

mary Statistics of Felon Prisoners and Parolees, Sacramento, 1970. Stinchcombe, Arthur L., Rebellion in a High School (Chicago: Quadrangle Books,

Sutherland, E. H., and Donald Cressey, Principles of Criminology (Philadelphia:

J. B. Lippincott, Co., 1966).

Taubman, Paul and Terence J. Wales, "Untitled Manuscript," (Department of Economics, University of Pennsylvania, 1970) mimeo. Also referred to in "Net Returns to Ecucation," Economics—A Half Century of Research, 1920—1970: 50th Annual Report, National Bureau of Economic Research (New York: 1970)

Thurow, Lester C., Poverty and Discrimination (Washington, D.C.: The Brook-

ings Institution, 1969).

Toby, Jackson, "Affluence and Adolescent Crime," in The President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice. Task Force on Juvenile Delinquency. Juvenile Delinquency and Youth Crime (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1967), pp. 132-144.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Annual Mean Income, Lifetime Income, and Educational Attainment of Men in the United States for Selected Years, 1956 to 1968," Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 74, (1970).

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "The Social and Economic Status of Negroes in the United States, 1970," Special Studies, Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 38 (1971).

U.S. Department of Health. Education, and Welfare, Digest of Educational Statistics 1970 Edition (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1970).

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social and Rehabilitation Service. National Center for Social Statistics. Medicaid. Selected Statistics,

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social and Rehabilitation Service. National Center for Social Statistics. Source of Funds Expended for Public Assistance Payments, Fiscal Year 1970.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social and Rehabilitation

Service. Services to AFDC Families, July 1970.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social and Rehabilitation Service. Findings of the 1967 AFDC Study, Part I, July 1970.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation.

Service. Welfare Policy and Its Consequences for the Recipient Population: A Study of the AFDC Program, December 1969.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social Security Administra-

tion. Social Security Bulletin, April 1971. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social Security Administration Social Security Bulletin (April 1971), Table M I.

U.S. Department of Labor. Manpower Administration. Historical Statistics of

Employment Security Activities, 1938-1966, January, 1968.

Warren, Martin and Sheldon Berkowitz, "The Employability of AFDC Mothers and Fathers," Welfare in Review, July-August, 1969, pp. 1-7.

Weisbrod, Burton, "Benefits of Manpower Programs: Theoretical and Methodological Issues," Proceedings of a North American Conference on Cost-Benefit Analysis of Manpower Policies (Madison, Wisconsin: Center for Studies in Vocational Education, 1960)

Vocational Education, 1969). Weisbrod, Burton A., External Benefits of Public Education (Princeton: Industrial

Relations Section, Princeton University, 1964).
Weisbrod, Burton A., "Income Redistribution Effects and Benefit-Cost Analysis," in Samuel Chase (ed.), Problems in Public Expenditure Analysis (Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution, 1968), pp. 177-209.

Weisbrod, Burton A., "Preventing High School Dropouts," in Robert 1. C.: The Brookings Institution 1965), pp. 117-148.
Weiss, Randall, "The Effect of Education on the Earnings of Blacks and Whites," The Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 52 (May, 1970), pp. 150-159.
Welch, F., "Education in Production," Journal of Political Economy (1970), Vol. 78, pp. 35-59.
Welch, F., "Labor Market Discrimination: An Interpretation of Income Differences in the Rural South," Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 75 (June, 1967), pp. 225-40.



Appendix A NONWHITE MALES AS PROPORTION OF ALL MALES 25-34 YEARS OLD

	Numbers in thousands			
Educational attainment	Nonwhite	White	as percent of total	
1 ess than 8 years	145 85 353 491 116 71 61	337 561 1, 499 4, 161 1, 602 1, 191 960	21. 3 13. 2 19. 1 10. 6 7. 2 5. 6	

Source: Based on data in U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Educational Attainment: March 1969," Population Characteristics, Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. 194 (February 1970), table 1.

Appendix B

PROCEDURES USED TO OBTAIN LIFETIME INCOMES FOR WHITES AND NONWHITES

The U.S. Department of Commerce reported estimates of lifetime incomes by both age and educational level for males in 1968. (Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 74, Table 10). These estimates were not reported separately by race. Given the objective of obtaining expected lifetime incomes by race we used the following steps:

1. Estimates of lifetime income for all males from age 18 were selected for each education level. Persons who had not completed high school would likely have received some income before age 18. Therefore, we arbitrarily added four years of income to the expected lifetime incomes for these with less than eight years of schooling and two years of income for those with some high school. Annual earnings at age 18 was the level for this extra supplement. This adjustment resulted in estimates of lifetime income at the time of departure from school. These figures were based upon the assumption of a zero discount rate and a zero rate of increase in labor productivity. To the degree that the expected annual increase in labor productivity is about the percent these estimates assume tacitly a three percent discount rate.

2. Conversion of these overall figures for all males to separate estimates for whites and nonwhites was done by using the relative incomes of the two groups by educational level that were derived in another Department of Commerce study ("The Social and Economic Status of Negroes in the United States, 1970," Special Studies; Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 38, p. 34.) These weights are shown in Table seven on page 36 of this Report. In this adjustment the relative incomes of Negroes were used to represent those of all nonwhites since specific data for the nonwhite group were not available.

(59)



Appendix C

TAX REVENUES IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR

TABLE C.1.—FEDERAL AND STATE-LOCAL TAX REVENUES AS PROPORTION OF PERSONAL INCOME, 1969

[Totals in millions of dollars]

Type of tax	Federal	State-loca
Personal Corporate profits Indirect business	95, 822 39, 169 17, 996	13, 876 3, 483 63, 935
Total	152, 987	81. 294
Personal income		

Note: Federal taxes/personal income—20.4 percent. State-local taxes/personal income—10.9 percent. Total taxes/personal income—31.3 percent.

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics, Survey of Current Business (July 1970) tables 2-1, 3-1, and 3-3.

TABLE C.2-GOVERNMENT TAX REVENUES AS A PERCENTAGE OF PERSONAL INCOME 1949-6')

Revenue source	1949	1954	1959	1964	1969
Federal State-local State-loca	16.3 7.2	19.1 7.6	19. 4 8. 7	18.2 10.0	20. 4 10. 9
Total	23.5	26.7	28. 1	28.2	31.3

Source: Tax data: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Income and Product Accounts of the United States, 1929-65. (Washington, D.C.: 1966), tables 3-1 and 3-3 and U.S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business (July 1970), tables 3-1 and 3-3, Personal income: National Income and Products Account, table 2-1. Survey of Current Business, table 2-1.

Appendix D

ESTIMATES OF EDUCATIONAL INVESTMENT COSTS

The costs of educational investment can be divided into direct and indirect ones. The direct costs include the expenditures on schooling whether from public or private sources, and the indirect ones include the earnings foregone during the additional schooling period. Since the estimates of lifetime income by educational level already account for the fact that those with more schooling forego earnings during the schooling experience, the estimates of costs in this analysis are estimates of direct costs alone, so-called educational expenditures. Moreover, it was assumed that such expenditures are borne completely by the government.

ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EXPENDITURES

The U.S. Office of Education reported that average current expenditures per student (in average daily membership or enrollment) was \$812 in 1970 at the elementary and secondary level. Since per pupil expenditure at the elementary level is estimated to be only about 60 percent of that at the secondary level, current average expenditures were assessed at \$1,065 per secondary student. Capital outlay was estimated by the U.S. Office of Education at \$5.1 billion for a per-pupil average of \$149. Adding the average capital outlay of \$149 to the average current expenditure of \$1,065 yields a total annual expenditure for a secondary student of about \$1,214. This value was used to assess the costs of of additional years of schooling at the elementary and secondary levels to bring all persons up to high school completion.



¹ U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Office of Education, Fall 1970 Statistics of Public Schools, Advance Report, OE-20119-70, (Washington, D.C.: February 1:71).

2 See W. Lee: Hansen. "Total and Private Rates of Return to Investment in Schooling." Journal of Political Economy (April 1963), pp. 128-40, and T. W. Schultz. "Capital Formation by Education." Journal of Political Economy (December 1960), pp. 571-83.

HIGHER EDUCATION EXPENDITURES

The U.S. Office of Education also publishes financial statistics for institutions of higher education.³ Based upon these figures the average educational and general expenditure per student in 1967-68 was \$1,905.⁴ This figure does not include the annual stream of capital costs. The book value of physical plant assets of all institutions was \$34.6 billion. Using a ten percent combined rate for interest and depreciation and dividing it among students yields a capital cost of about \$640. The average total annual cost per college student is about \$2,545.

OTHER FACTORS IN ASSESSING INVESTMENT COSTS

When evaluating an investment it is important to assess both costs and benefits in terms of their present value (at the time the evaluation is made). Since the educational investment is incurred at the beginning of a person's lifetime and the income benefits are recouped much later in time, the process of discounting future cost or benefit streams would reduce the present value of benefits relatively more than that of costs. Though we did not apply an explicit discount rate to future incomes, the fact that we failed to adjust incomes upward for future increases in productivity was tantamount to using a tacit discount rate of 3 percent where the benefit stream was evaluated beginning at age 18 for all except those who did not complete high school. But if educational investments are evaluated at age eighteen then the expenditure stream must be inflated by an interest rate to account for the opportunity cost of educational investment up to that point in time. Since we have not done so there is a tendency to understate educational costs relative to income benefits.

The downward bias in estimates of costs would seem to be more than offset by other factors that lead to overstated costs. These factors include the following:

1. We have used cost data from 1970 for elementary and secondary education and for 1967-68 for higher education. Yet the average 25-34 year old in the sample was at the middle of his elementary-secondary career some 20 years prior to the present period. Since the real costs of education more than doubled over that span of time, we are using cost estimates per pupil that are twice the level of those that actually produced the observed income patterns for 25-34 year olds.

2. Higher education costs are overstated for identical reasons as well as the fact that our estimates include such non-instructional costs as research

and extension services.

3. Persons who did not complete high school were more likely to be found in States and school districts with less than average expenditure. Therefore our use of an average expenditure measure will tend to overstate the lower boundary on costs which was computed on the basis of applying the prevailing level of expenditure to additional years of school completed.

Appendix E

COMPARISON OF EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENTS BETWEEN 1969 AND 1971 FOR MALES 25-34 YEARS OF AGE

At the time that this study was executed, the best data available for linking schooling to income were those collected in the 1968-69 period. Accordingly, Section II of this Report utilizes census data from 1969 to explore the number of males 25-34 years of age who had not completed high school. In December 1971 the Bureau of the Census released data on educational attainment for March 1971. Table E.1 shows the distribution of educational attainment for Males 25-34 years of age by race. In comparing this table with Table 4 of this Report which shows the comparable figures for 1969, we observe that:

1. Among nonwhite males in this age bracket, 44 percent lacked high school completion in 1969 and 43.6 percent lacked this attainment in 1971.



³ U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education, Financial Statistics of Institutions of Higher Education, Current Fund Revenues and Expenditures 1967-68, HE 5.252: 52010-68 (Washington, D.C.: 1969).

⁴ Ibid., p. 8,

⁵ Ibid., p. 3.

62

E/

2. Among white males in this age bracket 24.7 percent lacked high school completion in 1969 and 21.6 percent lacked this attainment in 1971.

From these observations it appears that there has been no enange for nonwhites and a rather significant change for whites. Had we used data for 1971 in the analysis the overall conclusions would have been similar to the present ones although the total national income foregone and investment costs for alleviating inadequate education would have been reduced by a modest amount. The relatively large social payoff to each dollar of investment in reducing inadequate education would remain unchanged.

TABLE E.1—EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT FOR MALES 25-34 YEARS OF AGE MARCH 1971, BY RACE

	Elemer	itary	High se	chool			Colle	ge		
Number in thousands and percentage	Less than 8 years	8 years	1 to 3 years	4 years	1 year	2 years	3 yaars	4 years	5 or more years	Total
White mai+s(percentage)	457 (4.1)	554 (5.0)	1, 392 (12. 5)	4, 535 (40, 7)	703 (6.3)	809 (7. 3)	346 (3.1)	1.317 (11.8)	1,035 (9.3)	11, 149 (100)
Nonwhite males(percentage)	152 (10. 5)	99 (6. 8)	381 (26. 3)	514 (35. 5)	53 (3.7)	69 (4.8)	24 (1.7)	91 (6. 3)	65 (4. 5)	1,448 (100)

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Educational Attainment: March 1971" Population Characteristics, Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. 229 (December 1971).



