Association for Information Systems

AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

SIGHCI 2021 Proceedings

Special Interest Group on Human-Computer Interaction

12-12-2021

Classification of Online Customer Reviews for Digital Product Innovation: A Motivation Perspective

Chenxi Zhang

Bingqing Xiong

Eric Lim

Chee-Wee Tan

Zeshui Xu

Follow this and additional works at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/sighci2021

This material is brought to you by the Special Interest Group on Human-Computer Interaction at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in SIGHCI 2021 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

Classification of Online Consumer Reviews for Digital Product Innovation: A Motivation Perspective

Chenxi Zhang

Sichuan University zcx950731@163.com

Bingqing Xiong

University of Science and Technology of China City University of Hong Kong bxiong2-c@my.cityu.edu.hk

Eric T. K. Lim

University of New South Wales e.t.lim@unsw.edu.au

Chee-Wee Tan

Copenhagen Business School ct.digi@cbs.dk

Zeshui Xu Sichuan University xuzeshui@263.net

ABSTRACT

With rapid technological advances, digital products are becoming increasingly prevalent. Although past studies have examined the contribution of online reviews extensively in the context of physical products, there is limited understanding of the contribution of online reviews in digital product innovation. To this end, this study reviews previous work related to online reviews of physical and digital products in an attempt to reclassify online reviews of digital products from the perspective of consumer motivation. Taking game-related app reviews as an example, we employed a topic modeling model to extract insights related to consumer motivation. An indepth appreciation of consumers' motivation from analyzing online reviews can yield invaluable insights in driving digital product innovation.

Keywords

Digital Products, Online Reviews, Consumer Motivation, Digital Innovation, Classification

INTRODUCTION

Technological advances have altered the way consumers interact with product developers (Gonçalves et al., 2018). Consumers can post online reviews to share their appraisals and concerns about products and services with developers (Li et al., 2014). This is especially true for digital products. Because digital products can be updated over time through versioning, it gives rise to a relentless need for continuous innovation (Wiesböck et al., 2020) with consumers playing the dual role of co-innovators in such innovation processes (Ghose et al., 2011). Not only can consumers influence others' receptivity of digital products via sharing their usage experience, but they can also contribute innovative ideas to steer the development of novel features in successive versions. Consequently, it is imperative for developers to gain an intricate understanding of the motivational forces driving consumers to offer disparate forms of feedback to enact appropriate strategies to engage the latter in digital product innovation.

Due to versioning in digital products, consumers, as an integral part of product innovation, possess a greater incentive to share product-related information in online reviews. Like physical products, consumers have an identical need for self-expression after experiencing digital products, be it positive or negative. But at the same time, distinct from physical products, consumers are also willing provide recommendations for digital product improvements in online reviews because they are likely to have a better product experience if their recommendations were to be incorporated into subsequent versions. In other words, consumers, by identifying product deficiencies and offering ideas about product innovations, can hope for better usage experience in the future. For this reason, online reviews of digital products contain supplementary information in the likes of bugs and feature requests (Jha & Mahmoud, 2019) that can support developers in product innovation (Timoshenko & Hauser, 2019). Exploring online reviews from the standpoint of consumer motivation can hence deliver purposeful and targeted insights for digital product innovation (Gonçalves et al., 2018).

Indeed, a review of extant literature points to a dearth of research on the role of online reviews of digital products from the standpoint of consumer motivation. First, most studies on online reviews are primarily concentrated on physical products (Malik & Hussain, 2018; Wang et al., 2019; Jang & Seongsoo, 2019; Mitra & Jenamani, 2020). which in turn led to scholarly calls for a deeper understanding of the contribution of online reviews in digital product innovation (Wiesböck et al., 2020) due to fundamental distinctions between digital and physical products. Second, extant literature on the research and development of traditional products affirms the importance of consumers' active involvement in new product development (Chang & Taylor, 2016). However, most consumers participated in the product development process through focus groups and surveys arranged by the firms, which, despite incurring massive amounts of time and effort, reach only a tiny fraction of consumers (Nambisan, 2002). In contrast, online reviews constitute an accessible, inexpensive, and spontaneous means of soliciting consumer input to drive product innovation (Qi et al.,

2016). Third, even though a handful of studies have begun to explore the association between online reviews and digital product innovation (Chen et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2021), prior research has mainly examined the impact of online reviews on product innovation without delving deeper into the motivations driving consumers to generate such reviews. To this end, we attempt to derive the motivations behind consumers to generate online reviews of digital products and categorizes online reviews based on these motivations to help understand the multiple aspects of online reviews. Understanding what motivates consumers to generate online reviews can aid developers in gaining insight into consumers' needs and promoting greater consumer engagement, thereby contributing to digital products improvement and increased innovation potential.

LITERATURE REVIEW

As a kind of online word-of-mouth, online reviews contain a lot of useful information expressed by consumers (Dellarocas, 2003), such as consumers' opinions (Jin et al., 2019), consumer satisfaction (Nie et al., 2020), topics that consumers care about (Popescu & Etzioni, 2007), and sentiment information for different topics (Ahani et al., 2019). Many scholars have conducted various studies on online reviews of physical products and analyzed the usefulness of online reviews (Malik & Hussain, 2018), the impact of online reviews on sales (Jang & Seongsoo, 2019), product selections (Li & Hitt, 2008), brand perceptions (Mitra & Jenamani, 2020) and purchase decisions (Wang et al., 2019).

In contrast to physical products, the development process of digital products is more uncertain and complex, and the development cycle is shorter (Hendler, 2020). Continuous iteration and dynamic interaction are the hallmarks of digital products (Nambisan et al., 2017), which has challenged product developers to maintain a competitive advantage (Dellarocas et al., 2003). Digital product developers are much more eager to discover consumers' opinions and make improvements on new and current products (Wiesböck et al., 2020). Consumers' opinions can be derived from online reviews (Timoshenko et al., 2019), which provide product developers with information for improving digital products (Chen et al., 2021). Many scholars have studied the application of online reviews in digital products, most of which focus on the usefulness of online reviews (Eslami et al., 2018) and their impact on the performance of digital products (He et al., 2020). A few studies have focused on the impact of online reviews on digital product development and dig out many aspects of development information such as product defects (Khalid et al., 2016), consumers' needs (Hassan et al., 2020), innovation ideas (Zhang et al., 2021) and consumers' perception (Jang & Seongsoo, 2019). However, these studies tend to focus primarily on a certain aspect of product development information and ignore the motivation of consumers to generate these reviews. Meanwhile, existing studies have examined the factors that influence consumers' willingness to post online reviews but paid little attention to why consumers mention different aspects of content in their online reviews (Yoo et al., 2019). Due to the impact of online reviews on the performance of digital products (He et al., 2020), developers should understand the motivation of consumers to post different aspects of online reviews to develop appropriate strategies to get better online reviews and lead to more profits (Gonçalves et al., 2018). Table 1 lists selected literature on three types of digital product research based on online reviews.

After reviewing the existing research, we find that there are three main motivations for consumers to post online reviews of digital products, namely, self-expression, functional benefits, and reciprocity. Self-expression refers to how consumers share their thoughts, attitudes, and activities through posing online reviews (Flecha-Ortíz et al., 2021). Compared to physical products, consumers have a similar need for self-expression after experiencing digital products (Hennig-Thurau et al., 2004). Unlike physical products, consumers of digital products have more substantial incentives for reciprocity and functional benefits. Reciprocity is conceived as a benefit for individuals to participate in social exchange (Cheung & Lee, 2012). When consumers do not know each other, the kind of reciprocity that is relevant is called 'generalized' exchange, and the person who offers help to others is expecting returns in the future (Wasko et al., 2000). Functional benefits are the extent to which consumers' desire to influence developers and improve using experience about digital products (Jang & Seongsoo, 2019). In the setting of constant iteration and dynamic interaction of digital products, consumers will be driven by the two motivations and post some different reviews from those of physical products.

Types	Description	References
Usage Experience	Analyze the impact of online reviews on consumers' purchasing decisions, perceived quality, and digital product sales	Zhou & Duan, (2016), He et al., (2020), Di Sorbo et al., (2021)
	Investigate the characteristics of online reviews that affect their usefulness.	Eslami et al., (2018), Lin et al., (2019), Jang & Seongsoo, (2019)
Feature Request	Reveal the impact of online reviews on digital product innovation and digital product update.	Hassan et al., (2017), Ye et al., (2019), Ho-Dac, (2020)
	Identify aspects of consumer reviews that enhance the usage experience with digital products.	Zhang et al., (2021), Zečević et al., (2021)

Bugs Report	Analyze the impact of negative information in online reviews of digital products.	Khalid et al., (2016), Herhausen et al.,(2019), Zhou et al., (2019)
	Discuss how to eliminate the influence of online complaints.	Weitzl et al., (2018), Chen et al., (2021)

Table 1. Three Types of Digital Product Research Based on Online Reviews

METHODOLOGY

Data Description

We collected online reviews from a popular Chinese mobile app store using crawlers developed with python. We crawled 1.15 million app reviews in 12 game-related categories, including strategy games, mobile games, puzzle games, role-playing games, and more. We randomly selected one-tenth of the reviews from each category, and ultimately selected 11,500 app reviews to extract insights related to consumer motivation.

Topic Modeling

We employ Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) for topic modeling to delve into the different expressions of consumers in online reviews. LDA can be used to understand the topics distribution of each online review and the word distribution of each topic. The process of topic modeling mainly includes four steps: preprocessing reviews, determining the optimal number of topics, topic extraction, topic adjustment.

Effective preprocessing of reviews before modeling is a critical step in topic modeling. First, we filtered the initial reviews according to the following two ways. (1) We deleted duplicate reviews and non-Chinese reviews. (2) We removed some uninformative reviews and short texts of five words or less. We finally obtained 110,000 online reviews for subsequent processing. Second, we use Jieba for word segmentation. After word segmentation, filtered reviews are divided into different words. Third, we eliminate useless characters, punctuations, meaningless interference words according to the stop words list. The stop words list used in this paper is established by adding meaningless high-frequency words based on the HIT stop words list.

The number of potential topics has a significant impact on the results of topic modeling. We determine the optimal number of topics by calculating the coherence value. This paper builds many LDA models with different topics and selects the optimal number of topics with the highest coherence value. After calculating the optimal number of topics, the processed online reviews are converted to calculate the frequency of the word and create a wordreview matrix. The distribution of topics in the online reviews and the distribution of words in each topic can be obtained by the word-review matrix.

The analysis results may contain similar topics or noisy words, so the final topics and related words should be adjusted manually. We manually adjust the obtained topics in LDA results based on the following two criteria. First, if the frequently occurring words in each topic are semantically similar, we merge these topics. Second, when it is impossible to determine the meaning of a topic based on the words in the topic, we will look at online reviews related to each topic. If these reviews express similar meanings, we will merge these topics.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

By calculating the coherence value, we find that when the number of topics is set at 14, the information divergence between topics reaches the maximum. Therefore, the optimal number of topics is 14 for the data in this paper. After careful review, two similar topics were adjusted, and 12 topics are finally identified. The final 12 topics are summarized in Table 2. We divided the final extracted topics into three different categories from three motivations (i.e., self-expression, functional benefits, and reciprocity). The results verify our proposed classification framework for online reviews of digital products from the perspective of consumer motivation. The usage experience category includes descriptions and evaluations of features and functions in apps. The feature request category includes language request and update request. The bugs report category includes bugs and original.

Category		Topic	Keywords
Usage Experience	Description	Features	bullet screen, player, attack, setting
		Interface	pixel, post, interface, screen, pattern
		Operation	operation, loading, download, on-line
		Version	Android, Apple, system
	Evaluation	Feature	storyline, bgm, music, skill, image
		Interface	frames, painting style, image quality
		Difficulty	difficulty, simple, clear the game
		Rating	like, good, boring, thumb-up
Feature Request	Language request		English, Chinese version, translate
	Update request		update, upgrade, add, request, improve

Bugs	Bug	crash, blank screen, bug, stutter, delay
Report	Original	plagiarize, imitation, cheat, copy, pirate

Table 2. Samples of Extracted Topics and Keywords

CONCLUSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH

Given the different characteristics of traditional and digital products, this paper considers three consumer motivations for posting online reviews of digital products and reclassifies online reviews from these motivations to help fully understand the multiple aspects of online reviews. This study takes app reviews as an example and uses a topic modeling technique to identify insights related to consumers' motivation in app reviews. An in-depth appreciation of consumers' motivation by analyzing the extracted topics enables developers to better understand consumers' demands and inform digital product innovation.

In the future, we will continue to explore three aspects based on the research basis of this paper. First, we will analyze what drives consumers to spread online reviews on digital platforms through online questionnaires. Second, we will further refine our classification of online reviews from the perspective of consumer motivation and supply recommendations to developers based on the impact of different types of online reviews on product innovation and performance. Third, in addition to providing suggestions for developers, we will also examine how to design different functions of digital platforms to motivate consumers to provide more online reviews and further drive digital innovation.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ågerfalk & Fitzgerald. (2008). Outsourcing to an Unknown Workforce: Exploring Opensurcing as a Global Sourcing Strategy. MIS Quarterly, 32(2), 385.
- Ahani, A., Nilashi, M., Ibrahim, O., Sanzogni, L., & Weaven, S. (2019). Market segmentation and travel choice prediction in Spa hotels through TripAdvisor's online reviews. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 80, 52–77.
- Chang, W., & Taylor, S. A. (2016). The Effectiveness of Consumer Participation in New Product Development: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Marketing, 80(1), 47–64.
- Chen, Q., Chen, C., Hassan, S., Xing, Z., Xia, X., & Hassan, A. E. (2021). How Should I Improve the UI of My App?: A Study of User Reviews of Popular Apps in the Google Play. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology, 30(3), 1–38.
- 5. Chen, R., Wang, Q., & Xu, W. (2019). Mining user requirements to facilitate mobile app quality upgrades with big data. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 38, 100889.

- 6. Cheung, C. M. K., & Lee, M. K. O. (2012). What drives consumers to spread electronic word of mouth in online consumer-opinion platforms. Decision Support Systems, 53(1), 218–225.
- 7. Dellarocas, C. (2003). The Digitization of Word of Mouth: Promise and Challenges of Online Feedback Mechanisms. Management Science, 49(10), 1407–1424
- 8. Di Sorbo, A., Grano, G., Aaron Visaggio, C., & Panichella, S. (2021). Investigating the criticality of user-reported issues through their relations with apprating. Journal of Software: Evolution and Process, 33(3), e2316.
- Eslami, S. P., Ghasemaghaei, M., & Hassanein, K. (2018). Which online reviews do consumers find most helpful? A multi-method investigation. Decision Support Systems, 113, 32–42.
- Flecha-Ortíz J, Santos-Corrada M, Dones-González V. (2021). Millennials & Snapchat: Self-expression through its use and its influence on purchase motivation. Journal of Business Research, 125, 798-805.
- 11. Ghose, A. and Han, S.P. (2011), An empirical analysis of user content generation and usage behavior on the mobile Internet, Management Science, 57(9), 1671-1691.
- Gonçalves, H. M., Silva, G. M., & Martins, T. G. (2018). Motivations for posting online reviews in the hotel industry. Psychology & Marketing, 35(11), 807–817.
- 13. Hassan, S., Bezemer, C.-P., & Hassan, A. E. (2020). Studying Bad Updates of Top Free-to-Download Apps in the Google Play Store. IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, 46(7), 773–793.
- 14. Hassan, S., Shang, W., & Hassan, A. E. (2017). An empirical study of emergency updates for top android mobile apps. Empirical Software Engineering, 22(1), 505–546.
- He, J., Wang, X., Vandenbosch, M. B., & Nault, B. R. (2020). Revealed preference in online reviews: Purchase verification in the tablet market. Decision Support Systems, 132, 113281.
- 16. Hendler, S. (2020). Exploring coordination practices in digital–physical product development. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 32(3), 742–771.
- 17. Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Walsh, G., & Gremler, D. D. (2004). Electronic word-of-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the Internet? Journal of Interactive Marketing, 18(1), 38–52.
- 18. Herhausen, D., Ludwig, S., Grewal, D., Wulf, J., & Schoegel, M. (2019). Detecting, Preventing, and Mitigating Online Firestorms in Brand Communities. Journal of Marketing, 83(3), 1–21.
- 19. Ho-Dac, N. N. (2020). The value of online user generated content in product development. Journal of Business Research, 112, 136–146.

- Jang & Seongsoo. (2019). The importance of functional and emotional content in online consumer reviews for product sales: Evidence from mobile gaming market. Journal of Business Research, 130, 583-593.
- 21. Jha, N., & Mahmoud, A. (2019). Mining non-functional requirements from App store reviews. Empirical Software Engineering, 24(6), 3659–3695.
- 22. Jin, J., Liu, Y., Ji, P., & Kwong, C. K. (2019). Review on Recent Advances in Information Mining From Big Consumer Opinion Data for Product Design. Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering, 19(1), 010801.
- 23. Khalid, H., Nagappan, M., & Hassan, A. E. (2016). Examining the Relationship between FindBugs Warnings and App Ratings. IEEE Software, 33(4), 34–39.
- 24. Li, X., & Hitt, L. M. (2008). Self-Selection and Information Role of Online Product Reviews. Information Systems Research, 19(4), 456–474.
- Li, Y.-M., Chen, H.-M., Liou, J.-H., & Lin, L.-F. (2014). Creating social intelligence for product portfolio design. Decision Support Systems, 66, 123– 134.
- 26. Lin, D., Bezemer, C.-P., Zou, Y., & Hassan, A. E. (2019). An empirical study of game reviews on the Steam platform. Empirical Software Engineering, 24(1), 170–207.
- Malik, M., & Hussain, A. (2018). An analysis of review content and reviewer variables that contribute to review helpfulness. Information Processing & Management, 54(1), 88–104.
- 28. Mitra, S., & Jenamani, M. (2020). OBIM: A computational model to estimate brand image from online consumer review. Journal of Business Research, 114, 213–226.
- 29. Nambisan, S. (2002). Designing Virtual Consumer Environments for New Product Development: Toward a Theory. The Academy of Management Review, 27(3), 392.
- 30. Nie, R., Tian, Z., Wang, J., & Chin, K. S. (2020). Hotel selection driven by online textual reviews: Applying a semantic partitioned sentiment dictionary and evidence theory. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 88, 102495.
- 31. Popescu, A. M., & Etzioni, O. (2007). Extracting product features and opinions from reviews[M]. Natural language processing and text mining. Springer, London, 2007: 9-28.
- 32. Qi, J., Zhang, Z., Jeon, S., & Zhou, Y. (2016). Mining consumer requirements from online reviews: A product improvement perspective. Information & Management, 53(8), 951–963.
- 33. Tavakoli, M., Zhao, L., Heydari, A., & Nenadić, G. (2018). Extracting useful software development information from mobile application reviews: A survey of intelligent mining techniques and tools. Expert Systems with Applications, 113, 186–199.

- 34. Timoshenko, A., & Hauser, J. R. (2019). Identifying Consumer Needs from User-Generated Content. Marketing Science, 38(1), 1–20.
- 35. Nambisan S, Lyytinen K, Majchrzak A, et al (2017). Digital Innovation Management: Reinventing innovation management research in a digital world[J]. MIS quarterly, 41(1), 223–238.
- 36. Wang, X., Guo, J., Wu, Y., & Liu, N. (2019). Emotion as signal of product quality: Its effect on purchase decision based on online consumer reviews. Internet Research, 30(2), 463–485.
- 37. Wasko, M., Faraj, S., (2000). "It is what one does": why people participate and help others in electronic communities of practice, The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 9, 155–173.
- 38. Weitzl, W., Hutzinger, C., & Einwiller, S. (2018). An empirical study on how webcare mitigates complainants' failure attributions and negative word-of-mouth. Computers in Human Behavior, 89, 316–327.
- 39. Wiesböck, F., Hess, T., & Spanjol, J. (2020). The dual role of IT capabilities in the development of digital products and services. Information & Management, 57(8), 103389.
- 40. Ye H J, Chua C E H, Sun J. (2019). Enhancing mobile data services performance via online reviews. Information Systems Frontiers, 2019, 21(2): 441-452.
- 41. Yoo B, Katsumata S, Ichikohji T (2019). The impact of consumer orientation on the quantity and quality of user-generated content. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing & Logistics, 31(2), 516-540.
- 42. Zečević, M., Mijatović, D., Koklič, M. K., Žabkar, V., & Gidaković, P. (2021). User Perspectives of Diet-Tracking Apps: Reviews Content Analysis and Topic Modeling. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 23(4), e25160.
- 43. Zhang, M., Fan, B., Zhang, N., Wang, W., & Fan, W. (2021). Mining product innovation ideas from online reviews. Information Processing & Management, 58(1), 102389.
- 44. Zhou, W., & Duan, W. (2016). Do Professional Reviews Affect Online User Choices through User Reviews?: An Empirical Study. Journal of Management Information Systems, 33(1), 202–228.
- 45. Zhou, Z., Zhan, G., & Zhou, N. (2019). How does negative experience sharing influence happiness in online brand community? A dual-path model. Internet Research, 30(2), 575–590.
- 46. Zhu, J. J., Chang, Y.-C., Ku, C.-H., Li, S. Y., & Chen, C.-J. (2021). Online critical review classification in response strategy and service provider rating: Algorithms from heuristic processing, sentiment analysis to deep learning. Journal of Business Research, 129, 860–877.