

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Current trends in the treatment of HR+/HER2+ breast cancer

Citation for published version:

Kay, C, Martinez-Perez, C, Meehan, J, Gray, M, Webber, V, Dixon, JM & Turnbull, AK 2021, 'Current trends in the treatment of HR+/HER2+ breast cancer', Future oncology, vol. 17, no. 13, pp. 1665-1681. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2020-0504>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.2217/fon-2020-0504](https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2020-0504)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](https://www.research.ed.ac.uk/en/publications/111a77a1-28cf-4fd4-ab38-f52f02555cc8)

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Future oncology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Future Oncology

Current trends in the treatment of hormone receptor positive/HER2-positive breast cancer

Abstract

 Treatment for hormone receptor-positive/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive (HR+/HER2+) patients has been debated, as some tumours within this luminal HER2+ subtype behave like luminal A cancers, while others like non-luminal HER2+ breast cancers. Recent research and clinical trials have revealed a combination of hormone and targeted anti-HER2 approaches without chemotherapy provides long-term disease control 7 for at least some HR+/HER2+ patients. Novel anti-HER2 therapies, including neratinib and 8 ado-trastuzumab emtansine, and new agents that are effective in HR+ cancers, including the next generation of oral selective oestrogen receptor downregulators/degraders (SERDS) and CDK4/6 inhibitors such as palbociclib, are now being evaluated in combination. This review discusses current trials and results from previous studies that will provide the basis for current recommendations on how to treat newly diagnosed patients with HR+/HER2+ disease.

Lay abstract

 About 10% of all breast cancer tumours are both hormone receptor (HR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) positive. It is clear that some patients with this type of breast cancer will require only hormone therapy (HT) aimed at HRs and others will require a combination of HT and drugs targeted at HER2. This review discusses current clinical trials and results from previous studies of patients with HR+/HER2+ disease.

Keywords

 Breast Cancer, Hormone receptor, HER2-positive, HR+/HER2+, Triple positive breast cancer, luminal HER2, Clinical trials, Combination treatment, Emerging therapeutics

1. Introduction

breast cancer tumours are both hormone i
receptor 2 (HER2) positive. It is clear that I
require only hormone therapy (HT) aimed
FHT and drugs targeted at HER2. This revie
from previous studies of patients with HR+
ormone r Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women worldwide, with over 2 million new diagnoses in 2018 alone [1]. Patients with breast cancer are stratified and treated 26 based on the expression status of certain receptors in their tumour. Identification of the oestrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) enabled the first stratification according to tumour biology. These receptors have proved to be important prognostic and predictive biomarkers in women with breast cancer. To determine the presence and amount of ER, PR and HER2 protein expressed, immunohistochemistry (IHC) is used and a pathologist assigns a score for each. For ER and PR, the percentage and intensity of staining is measured. These can be combined to create what is known as a histoscore or an Allred score; the latter score is 0 when negative and between 2 and 8 if positive [2]. These receptors are often classified as overall positive (+) or negative (-) despite there being wide variations in the level of positivity in both ER and PR. HER2 status is assessed according to the intensity of staining as 0, 1+, 2+ or 3+. HER2 tumours with scores of 0 and 1+ are considered HER2-negative, 2+ tumours are deemed equivocal and require further testing to determine positive/negative status, while 3+ is considered HER2-positive. A variety of in situ hybridisation tests, including fluorescence in-situ hybridisation (FISH), are used in 2+ HER2 cancers to assess whether there is amplification of the *HER2* gene and if so, these cancers are also classified as HER2-positive.

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

 Hormone receptor-positive (HR+) breast cancers are those that express ER, PR or both. These tumours account for 70-80% of all breast cancers [3]. These hormone- dependent cancers can often be treated successfully with a variety of drugs that modulate the oestrogen receptor or reduce oestrogen. HER2+ breast cancers tend to be associated with a worse prognosis than HER2- breast cancers [4], although the emergence of targeted HER2 therapies such as trastuzumab and pertuzumab has improved outcomes for HER2+ disease [5,6]. There remains a group of women who recur despite these anti-HER2 therapies and whose outlook remains poor.

 Among the most significant discoveries in breast cancer has been the identification of breast cancer subtypes with distinct biological characteristics that translate into differences in prognosis and response to treatments [7]. The subtypes are Luminal A, Luminal B, HER2-enriched, Basal-like and Normal-like. The presence or absence of ER, PR and HER2 largely align with these five molecular subtypes as shown in Figure 1. Stratification of breast cancers into these subgroups has been the focus of much research in recent years in the hopes of allowing each patient to be treated with therapy optimal to their specific cancer subtype. Several multigene predictive and prognostic signatures are now available commercially to aid treatment decisions, with Prosigna's Breast Cancer Prognostic Gene Signature Assay (previously known as the PAM50 test) designed specifically based on the intrinsic subtypes [8]. Studies evaluating this are underway.

ese subgroups has been the focus of much
each patient to be treated with therapy op
multigene predictive and prognostic signat
tment decisions, with Prosigna's Breast Ca
sly known as the PAM50 test) designed sp
udies evalu The aim of this review is to explore the subgroup of patients that have cancers that are both HR and HER2 positive. Known as the luminal HER2 subgroup, cancers that are both HR+ and HER2+ have a complex interaction between the endocrine and HER2 pathways linked to these receptors. Traditionally, there are three main treatment options for this subgroup of breast tumours: (i) hormone therapy alone, (ii) targeted anti-HER2 therapy and chemotherapy combined with later hormone therapy, or (iii) primary hormone therapy followed later by targeted anti-HER2 therapy and chemotherapy. This review will focus on tumour biology and hormone, anti-HER2 and combination therapies currently available for the luminal HER2 subgroup. Recently completed clinical trials and those currently underway will be summarised.

2. Hormone and targeted therapies for invasive breast cancer

2.1. Hormone therapy

 HR+ breast cancer is treated with hormone therapy, also known as endocrine therapy or anti-oestrogen therapy. The view of HR+ cancers is that they are dependent on oestrogen for their growth and survival, so targeting this hormone, its signalling and its downstream effects is an important therapeutic strategy. In premenopausal women, the main source of oestrogen is the ovaries. Therapeutic strategies include (i) stopping oestrogen production by ovarian ablation (surgically removing them via oophorectomy) or temporarily suppressing ovarian function with gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) 80 agonists (which will initiate menopause), or (ii) blocking the effect of oestrogen on the cancer with drugs that target the oestrogen receptor. Studies have shown that between 5 82 and 10 years of hormone therapy in HR+ breast cancers significantly improves survival. In premenopausal women, hormone therapy options are tamoxifen alone or an LHRH analogue combined with tamoxifen or an aromatase inhibitor. Tamoxifen is a selective oestrogen receptor modulator (SERM) that is a partial ER agonist, blocking the activation of oestrogen receptor by oestrogen in the breast but acting as an agonist on the ER of the

 endometrium and the skeleton. Tamoxifen was first given to women with breast cancer in the early 1970s [9] and it has saved countless lives. Recent studies in premenopausal women show that compared with tamoxifen alone, a combination of LHRH or oophorectomy and tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors produces an even greater

improvement in survival, although this comes with a greater incidence of adverse events.

 In postmenopausal women, most of the body's oestrogen is synthesised peripherally in the liver, fat and locally in the breast from androgen precursors released from the adrenal gland through a reaction catalysed by the enzyme aromatase. An aromatase inhibitor (AI) given to postmenopausal women thus stops the production of oestrogen. AIs currently available include the non-steroidal letrozole and anastrozole and the steroidal exemestane. Tamoxifen together with selective oestrogen receptor downregulators or degraders (SERDs), such as the ER antagonist fulvestrant are other alternative therapies in ER+ breast cancers. SERDS induce a conformational change in ER that prevents the binding of oestrogen and leads to degradation of the receptor. Fulvestrant, an injectable SERD, is currently the only SERD available and is most often used to treat recurrent or metastatic ER+/HER2- breast cancer in postmenopausal women who have recurred on prior endocrine therapy. New oral SERDS are currently being developed and tested [10–12].

2.2. HER2-targeted therapy

legradation of the receptor. Fulvestrant, ar
available and is most often used to treat re
in postmenopausal women who have rec
is are currently being developed and tested
d therapy
f anti-HER2 therapies has led to signif The emergence of anti-HER2 therapies has led to significant improvements in the prognosis and outcome for patients with HER2+ breast cancers. Trastuzumab, a recombinant humanised monoclonal antibody, targets an extracellular domain of HER2, preventing its dimerisation with other HER receptors to halt cancer growth [13,14]. Pertuzumab, another monoclonal antibody, acts on a different extracellular domain of HER2 110 and halts dimerisation particularly of HER2 with HER3, the most growth-promoting dimerisation of the HER family of receptors [15]. Combinations of trastuzumab and pertuzumab with chemotherapy are more effective that either drug alone with chemotherapy. Lapatinib is a dual tyrosine kinase inhibitor of both HER2 and HER1 (EGFR) that was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in combination with the chemotherapy drug capecitabine in 2007 [16]. Lapatinib can also be given together with trastuzumab [17] and this combination provides a more complete blockade of HER signalling than either alone [18]. Neratinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, is a pan-HER inhibitor, licensed and currently recommended by the UK's National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) in the technology appraisal guidance TA612 for use as extended adjuvant treatment following trastuzumab in patients with ER+/HER2+ breast cancer [19]. Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1), also known as ado-trastuzumab emtansine, is an antibody-drug conjugate in which trastuzumab is linked to the cytotoxic agent DM1 [20]. This was approved by the U.S. FDA in 2013 for the treatment of HER2+ metastatic breast cancer and in 2019 for patients with HER2+ early breast cancer who have residual disease following neoadjuvant trastuzumab and a taxane. In the UK, T-DM1 is recommended for the treatment of HER2+ advanced breast cancer following treatment with trastuzumab and a taxane [21]. Trastuzumab deruxtecan is an antibody drug combination comprising trastuzumab and a cytotoxic topoisomerase I inhibitor and has broader anti-tumour activity than T-DM1, including efficacy against low HER2-expressing tumours [22]. There is a series of other anti-HER2 drugs in development and in early clinical trials.

 2.3. Other targeted therapies for invasive breast cancer

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ \overline{z}

 The emergence of CDK4/6 inhibitors in recent years has provided another treatment option [23]. These inhibitors induce cell cycle arrest, thereby stopping proliferation [24] and

all three drugs currently available (palbociclib, ribociclib and abemaciclib) have been

 fluoroestradiol (FES-PET) imaging as a tool to detect possible reversion of ER status in patients with metastatic ER-/HER2+ breast cancer treated with trastuzumab, pertuzumab and a taxane (Clinical Trial NCT03619044).

 The mechanisms by which ER+/HER2+ tumours become resistant to their original therapy remains unclear. The development of acquired resistance is complex and likely due 181 to multiple factors rather than just one. In addition to ER and HER2 pathway crosstalk, resistance could also be caused by a loss or gain of ER overexpression resulting in reduced efficacy of hormone therapy, existing or acquired mutations in the ER gene *ESR1* [42], reactivation of HER2 [43] or acquired *HER2* mutations [44,45]. ER epigenetic changes have also been described [46]. We are now advancing to the point where the tumour's endocrine resistance profile should be assessed. For instance the timing (and measurement) of acquired *ESR1* mutations in resistant cancers may be critical to dictate future endocrine therapy [47,48]. Allouchery *et al* identified *ESR1* mutations at first relapse and at progression on metastatic treatment, but these mutations were not present early during adjuvant therapy [49]. It is also clear that a primary tumour and its recurrence(s) can differ in hormone receptor positivity and temporal heterogeneity likely plays a role in treatment resistance [45]. Assessment of ER, PR and HER2 in every breast cancer metastasis will help improve selection of the most appropriate treatment.

ic treatment, but these mutations were no
is also clear that a primary tumour and its
sitivity and temporal heterogeneity likely p
ent of ER, PR and HER2 in every breast can
most appropriate treatment.
argets that are invo Other potential targets that are involved in ER/HER crosstalk have also been implicated recently. The enzyme fatty acid synthase (FASN) is known to be involved in ER/HER2 crosstalk by way of the PI3K/AKT pathway. It was successfully inhibited by the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin in ER+/HER2+ breast cancer cells and a combination of rapamycin with the FASN inhibitor cerulenin induced apoptosis and inhibited cell migration and tumorigenesis in ER+/HER2+ cells, suggesting FASN as a potentially new therapeutic target [50]. The tyrosine kinase receptor IGF1R is also involved in ER/HER2 pathway crosstalk and may also be a useful biomarker in ER+/HER2+ cancers. High expression of IGF1R is associated with shorter disease-free survival in patients in this subgroup and inhibition of both IGF1R and ER in breast cancer cell lines causes growth inhibition [51]. The addition of trastuzumab inhibited growth further, suggesting this combined targeting of pathways could be a successful approach for ER+/HER2+/IGF1R+ cancers. Other novel ideas to eliminate ER/HER pathway crosstalk and successfully block multiple pathways include the use of proteasome inhibitors [52] that have been successful in treating other types of cancer including multiple myeloma, together with standard endocrine treatments. Targeting the PI3 kinase pathways using PI3K inhibitors [53] such as alpelisib, approved by the U.S. FDA in 2019, combined with fulvestrant is one alternative. Finally, there are new anti-HER2 dendritic cell vaccines on the horizon [54].

 As more research emerges regarding signalling pathway crosstalk [55] in triple positive breast cancer and how this is related to resistance to therapies [56], it is clear that for some women both ER and HER2 need to be targeted. This can be sequentially or with combined therapy, but for some a single treatment may suffice. It is critical that we are able to predict which patients require which treatment as more targeted endocrine and HER2 therapies are being developed and being trialled in combination studies.

-
- **4. Treating HR+/HER2+ breast cancer: What we've learned so far**
- **4.1. Standard-of-care for HR+/HER2+ tumours**

 $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$

 $\mathbf{1}$

 Historically, ER+/HER2+ breast cancer was treated with endocrine therapy combined in some patients with chemotherapy. Following the development of trastuzumab (Herceptin) in the 1990s [57], this group is now typically given chemotherapy and trastuzumab followed by endocrine therapy to reduce the risk of recurrence. Some patients with low risk HR+/HER2+ breast cancers are treated with adjuvant hormone therapy alone. However, in most cases adjuvant chemotherapy is given in combination with trastuzumab followed by endocrine therapy [58]. Clinicians take into account all factors including tumour size and node status to avoid overtreatment, as some small node-negative tumours do well with adjuvant endocrine therapy followed by trastuzumab and chemotherapy if they recur [59]. Remarkably, a recent study investigating the treatment of HR+/HER2+ metastatic breast cancer revealed that only 42% of the 6,234 patients ever received anti-HER2 therapy, despite previous studies showing survival benefits for patients treated with both hormone and anti-HER2 therapies [60]. Emerging novel drugs and combinations are being investigated in clinical trials so eventually clear treatment strategies for patients with HR+/HER2+ breast cancers should become available.

First should become available.

For Should become available.

Steed that HR+/HER2+ tumours should be teast cancer. It should also be defined furth

that patients with these cancers receive a

treating. Some tumours, partic 235 It has been suggested that HR+/HER2+ tumours should be treated as a distinct molecular subtype of breast cancer. It should also be defined further based on other characteristics to ensure that patients with these cancers receive appropriate treatment whilst also avoiding overtreating. Some tumours, particularly early-stage or smaller ones, may be driven primarily by hormone receptor(s) and thus may not need HER2-targeted treatment [61]. A recent study of patients with triple-positive breast cancer in Korea showed that treatment with trastuzumab did not improve overall survival and that many of these tumours behave clinically more like luminal A than HER2-enriched cancers [62].

4.2. HR+/HER2+ metastatic breast cancer

 A number of previous clinical trials, summarised in Table 3, have been instrumental in identifying new combination treatments for patients with HR+/HER2+ tumours. The TAnDEM, EGF30008 and eLEcTRA phase III trials, all treating postmenopausal patients with metastatic breast cancer, showed positive outcomes for the combination of an aromatase inhibitor and trastuzumab or lapatinib. This included a progression-free survival (PFS) benefit and, in 15% of TAnDEM patients, no disease progression for two years. However, there was no overall survival (OS) benefit from the combined treatment [63–65].

 One of the problems with studying HER2+ breast cancer is the variation in scoring across centres. Discrepancies in testing methods and reproducibility of results have been and remain a challenge [66,67]. Identifying which tumours are truly *HER2* amplified, with HER2 overexpressed, is critical to both retrospective survival studies and to the current treatment issues for this subgroup of breast cancer. A retrospective analysis of metastatic breast cancers showed some tumours that were deemed HER2-negative but were enriched 257 for HER2 could benefit from combined lapatinib and letrozole treatment [68]. Additionally, changes to the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) treatment guidelines in 2018 reclassified some HER2+ tumours. Patients with cancers that were deemed equivocal in assessment by IHC and FISH were reclassified as HER2-negative as there has not been any proven benefit from HER2-targeted therapy in these individuals [69].

 A combined hormone and anti-HER2 therapy approach clearly benefits some patients, but the challenge lies in accurately identifying the subpopulation of patients likely

Future Oncology

 to gain additional benefit from a combined treatment strategy. The addition of pertuzumab improved overall survival in HER2+ patients in the CLEOPATRA study. The combination of trastuzumab, pertuzumab and docetaxel compared to just trastuzumab and docetaxel increased both PFS and OS in patients with HER2+ metastatic breast cancer. However, no significant difference in response was related to hormone receptor status [70]. NICE now recommends the combination of trastuzumab, pertuzumab and chemotherapy for treatment of metastatic HER2+ breast cancer [71] and also suggests the same strategy as an option in the neoadjuvant setting [72].

4.3. Neoadjuvant management of HR+/HER2+ breast cancer

 The best approach to managing HR+/HER2+ breast cancer in the neoadjuvant setting has been the subject of debate. Chemotherapy has been shown to be much more beneficial in ER- disease; however, some women, particularly those of advanced age, struggle with toxic side effects [73,74]. The timing of chemotherapeutic or targeted, sequential or combined treatments is also being questioned for this group, with new evidence suggesting 278 reducing or postponing the use of chemotherapy in favour of using targeted therapies, such as letrozole or trastuzumab [75]. Targeted treatment of breast cancer in the neoadjuvant setting has been useful, particularly for women with advanced age who may not tolerate chemotherapy well. Studies have shown neoadjuvant letrozole can shrink tumour size and 282 induce gene expression changes in $HR+$ breast cancers [76,77] and that the addition of trastuzumab to neoadjuvant chemotherapy can increase the pathologic complete response (pCR) in HER2+ tumours [78].

The times of chemotecrapted of digetals
also being questioned for this group, with
the use of chemotherapy in favour of using
aab [75]. Targeted treatment of breast can
particularly for women with advanced age
lies have sh Changes in current recommendations for chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant setting could be near, as new combination regimens have shown promising results. In the neoadjuvant phase II trial NA-PHER2 that combined palbociclib, fulvestrant and two anti- HER2 drugs (trastuzumab and pertuzumab), an objective clinical response was seen in 29/30 patients, as well as a decrease in proliferation (assessed by Ki67 expression) following two weeks of treatment prior to surgery [79]. Neoadjuvant palbociclib and letrozole produces effective clinical responses and decreases expression of the genes *IL6ST* and *RBBP8*, which have been associated with proliferation, in postmenopausal ER+/HER2- patients [80]. Biomarkers of response to neoadjuvant treatment are also emerging for ER+/HER2+ tumours, including a gene expression signature of retinoblastoma loss-of-function that has the potential to identify patients who would benefit from neoadjuvant chemotherapy [81]. Other predictive markers of poor response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy and HER2- 297 targeted therapy in ER+/HER2+ patients are increased expression of stromal colX α 1 and low levels of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes [82]. Further research is still needed to identify which tumours within the complex HR+/HER2+ subgroup of breast cancers might benefit from specific single-agent and combined treatments in the neoadjuvant settings.

-
- **5. Where we are now: current trials and emerging therapeutic strategies**
-

5.1. Metastatic and locally advanced HR+/HER2+ breast cancer

303 It is clear now that HR+/HER2- and HR+/HER2+ breast cancers have different biological characteristics, mechanisms of growth and response to treatment. Even within these groups of breast cancer there are inherent differences, with some HR+/HER2+ tumours behaving more like the luminal A subtype (i.e., ER-driven cancer) and others requiring a multipronged targeted blockade of the ER, PR and HER pathways. An improved

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$ $\overline{9}$

 outcome from combination treatment with anti-HER2 therapy (trastuzumab or lapatinib) and an AI is evident for some, but not all, HR+/HER2+ patients (TAnDEM, EGF3008, eLEcTRA trials). Drugs like pertuzumab have improved response for some in this subgroup but its addition is costly and may cause more adverse events (PERTAIN trial of metastatic or locally advanced HR+/HER2+ breast cancer) [83].

 Fulvestrant has also proven useful in the treatment of ER+/HER2+ patients with multiple metastases who have received prior anti-HER2 therapy in combination with chemotherapy or an AI [84]. A clinical trial (NCT03289039) is currently investigating the combination treatment of neratinib and fulvestrant in ER+/HER2+ metastatic or locally advanced breast cancer [85] (Table 1). So far, 46 patients with HR+/HER2-mutant metastatic breast cancer have been treated with neratinib and fulvestrant. This combination shows positive results in patients who have been treated previously with various agents; in particular, patients who had previously received fulvestrant or CDK4/6 inhibitors responded well to the combined regimen. The rate of diarrhoea was similar to that of single-agent neratinib and was not dose-limiting and no patients discontinued treatment because of it [86].

gimen. The rate of diarrhoea was similar to
ose-limiting and no patients discontinued t
alabociclib also show great promise when c
bination with anti-HER2 therapies in the m
usly been tested in patients with ER+/HER
on end Fulvestrant and palbociclib also show great promise when combined and both are now being tested in combination with anti-HER2 therapies in the metastatic setting (Table 1). Palbociclib has previously been tested in patients with ER+/HER2- advanced breast cancer who progressed on endocrine therapy and, together with fulvestrant, has been shown to increase PFS compared to fulvestrant alone (PALOMA3 trial) [87]. Further analysis of circulating tumour DNA from PALOMA3 trial participants revealed acquired mutations in *RB1*, *ESR1* and *PI3KCA* associated with resistance following treatment with fulvestrant [88]. Combining palbociclib with endocrine therapies other than fulvestrant may avoid these acquired mutations. A trial designed to determine the recommended dose of palbociclib in combination with letrozole and T-DM1 in patients with ER+/HER2+ metastatic breast cancer is currently recruiting patients (Clinical Trial NCT03709082) [89].

 Additional CDK4/6 inhibitors including ribociclib and abemaciclib are also being tested in current clinical trials of HR+/HER2+ patients (Table 1). Particularly noteworthy is the treatment of advanced HR+/HER2+ breast cancer with abemaciclib, fulvestrant and trastuzumab in the monarcHER phase 2 trial. This combination treatment not only proved tolerable and safe but also improved PFS compared to standard-of-care trastuzumab plus chemotherapy [90]. Another recent study evaluating a triplet combination is the ALTERNATIVE phase 3 trial. This study too showed a PFS benefit from treating with a dual HER2 blockade of lapatinib and trastuzumab plus an aromatase inhibitor in the treatment of postmenopausal women with HR+/HER2+ metastatic breast cancer [91]. These triplet combinations of therapies could truly change the way HR+/HER2+ breast cancer is treated as they eliminate the need for chemotherapy and its often toxic side effects.

5.2. Neoadjuvant management of HR+/HER2+ breast cancer

 In the neoadjuvant setting, it is unclear whether a combined anti-oestrogen and anti- HER2 approach offers any significant improvement to current practice. While some patients do have a positive clinical response to neoadjuvant treatment with letrozole and lapatinib (Neo-ALL-IN), a decrease in the ER Allred score after neoadjuvant treatment was linked to poor outcome [92]. In addition, depriving oestrogen with a LHRH agonist or with an AI

 combined with neoadjuvant docetaxel, carboplatin, trastuzumab and pertuzumab did not statistically improve response (B-52 trial) [93] (Table 3).

5.3. Adjuvant management of HR+/HER2+ breast cancer

 One of the most promising drugs for the treatment of HR+/HER2+ breast cancers is the pan-HER tyrosine kinase inhibitor neratinib. Results from the ExteNET trial showed one year of extended adjuvant neratinib following neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy and trastuzumab significantly reduced the risk of relapse in early-stage HER2+ breast cancer. Interestingly, a greater benefit from neratinib was seen in HR+/HER2+ patients compared to HR- patients [94]. Many of the HR+ patients were also receiving endocrine therapy, suggesting that supressing both pathways was required to improve invasive disease-free survival. The U.S. FDA approved neratinib (Nerlynx) for extended adjuvant treatment of early-stage, HER2+ breast cancer in 2017 and in the UK NICE now recommends neratinib for extended adjuvant treatment of HR+/HER2+ early stage breast cancer after adjuvant trastuzumab [19]. In a xenograft study, extended adjuvant therapy with neratinib plus fulvestrant maintained a prolonged complete response and blocked ER/HER2 crosstalk [95,96].

6. The need for a better understanding of the diverse biology of HR+/HER2+ and associated biomarkers

ment of mwynenz's early stage breast can
mograft study, extended adjuvant therapy
prolonged complete response and blocke
tter understanding of the diverse biology
evidence and studies summarised here, se
trategy for the ma As shown by the evidence and studies summarised here, selection of the most appropriate treatment strategy for the management of a patient that has a breast tumour that is both HR+ and HER2+ poses a conundrum. The crux lies in the difficulty of determining which receptors and their associated pathways are driving the tumour cells and what is the interaction and crosstalk between them. A better understanding of the molecular diversity within the subgroup of HR+/HER2+ breast cancer is essential before we can improve its management.

 There is now a need for biomarkers to predict response and recurrence. In the Neo- ALL-IN trial of patients with ER+/HER2+ breast cancer, a number of predictors were identified. These included a decrease in the ER Allred score after neoadjuvant treatment, an SUVmax (a measure of activity in PET imaging linked to cell viability and proliferation) lower than 5.5 on the baseline FES PET-CT and high baseline tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes of over 20% [92]. These may be useful as biomarkers of response for future patients. Trefoil factor 3 (TFF3) is another potential biomarker for resistance to trastuzumab, as its expression is upregulated in trastuzumab-resistant ER+/HER2+ breast cancer cells and it has been shown to activate HER family receptors [97].

 IL6ST, a surrogate for endocrine therapy response [56], may also be a useful biomarker for ER+/HER2+ breast cancer. In a study presented at the 2019 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, higher levels of IL6ST were associated with active ER signalling and predicted clinical response to neoadjuvant letrozole in ER+/HER2+ tumours [98]. Importantly, lower levels of IL6ST were associated with a lack of response to endocrine therapy and more active HER2 signalling. This supports the notion of a diverse underlying biology within the ER+/HER2+ population, with two subgroups with distinct gene expression profiles. These subgroups may be linked to differences in endocrine therapy responsiveness and, importantly, might be easily stratified. IL6ST could potentially select ER+/HER2+

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

patients who need both endocrine and HER2-targeted therapy and others who should

- $\overline{4}$ receive endocrine therapy alone. Further work is needed both in the laboratory and within clinical trials to better characterise those potential predictive signatures within the $\overline{7}$ ER+/HER2+ subgroup. ER+/HER2+ patient-derived xenografts (PDXs) have recently been characterised and could provide a useful preclinical testing ground for new drugs and combinations. Interestingly, the take rate in culture was higher for ER+/HER2+ tumours compared to ER+/HER2- PDXs, possibly indicating a greater drive for survival [99]. **7. Conclusion** In summary, the HR+/HER2+ breast cancer subtype consists of a range of cancers with varying interaction between ER and HER2 receptors and pathways. Some HR+/HER2+ 405 tumours behave more like the luminal A subtype and others are more like non-luminal HER2+ disease. The latter will likely require more intensive treatment and appears to 407 respond better to combinations of endocrine and anti-HER2 targeted therapies. The time of giving the same treatments for all ER+/HER2+ cancers is over. More research into the use of drugs including neratinib, T-DM1, fulvestrant, novel oral SERDS, and CDK4/6 inhibitors alone or in combination with each other or with more traditional therapies is required. Such research will hopefully provide information on the best treatment approaches for individual patients and their cancers, as it is clear not all 413 HR+/HER2+ cancers behave the same. Results from these studies must be combined with 414 research to characterise the complex underlying biology of HR+/HER2+ disease, in particular 415 the intricate interactions of the ER and HER2 pathways. It is imperative that biomarkers of 416 response, disease progression and resistance are identified in order to fully understand how 417 the disease progresses and be able to stratify patients for first, second and third-line treatment if necessary. Additional markers linked to molecular diversity will also be required to help determine how best to treat individual patients with this breast cancer subtype. To provide a truly individualised medicine there needs to be better characterisation of each individual cancer both at diagnosis and recurrence. Markers including IL6ST will provide the advances needed to achieve this goal. **Future perspective** As HR+/HER2+ breast cancer continues to be characterised as its own subgroup of 426 breast cancer of which there are at least two divisions (those which more closely resemble luminal A cancers and others more similar to non-luminal HER2+ tumours), we are hopeful 428 that treatment for this subtype will become more personalised over the next 5-10 years based on the outcome of recent and currently-ongoing trials. Some patients will require combined treatment targeting both ER and HER2 signalling and others will need only endocrine treatment. We can only get better at predicting this. Prognostic and predictive biomarkers such as IL6ST will help stratify HR+/HER2+ tumours and identify which treatment plan is best for each patient. We expect additional biomarkers and molecular signatures for this subgroup to be identified soon, as well as better laboratory research models developed and new breast cancer treatments and combinations of therapies approved. Collectively, this will improve personalised care and outcome for this set of patients.
-

mations of endocume and anti-matic diget
that for all ER+/HER2+ cancers is over.
to the use of drugs including neratinib, T-E
inhibitors alone or in combination with ead
equired. Such research will hopefully provides
for i

 $\mathbf{1}$

 $\mathbf{1}$

 $\mathbf{1}$

smann SE, Avogadri-Connors F, Cutler RE, L

ratinib/fulvestrant but not fulvestrant alor

eatment with trastuzumab/paclitaxel of m

r Res. 77(13 Supplement), 4818 LP – 4818

arz LJ, Guerrero-Zotano A, *et al.* Extended a
 92. Park JH, Kang MJ, Ahn JH, *et al.* Phase II trial of neoadjuvant letrozole and lapatinib in Asian postmenopausal women with estrogen receptor (ER) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancer [Neo-ALL-IN]: Highlighting the TILs, ER expressional change after ne. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 78(4), 685–695 (2016). 93. Rimawi M, Cecchini R, Rastogi P, *et al.* Abstract S3-06: A phase III trial evaluating pCR in patients with HR+, HER2-positive breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant docetaxel, carboplatin, trastuzumab, and pertuzumab (TCHP) +/- estrogen deprivation: NRG Oncology/NSABP B-52. In: *General Session Abstracts*, American Association for Cancer Research, S3-06-S3-06 (2017). 94. Martin M, Holmes FA, Ejlertsen B, *et al.* Neratinib after trastuzumab-based adjuvant therapy in HER2-positive breast cancer (ExteNET): 5-year analysis of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 18(12), 1688–1700 (2017). 95. Schwarz LJ, Croessmann SE, Avogadri-Connors F, Cutler RE, Lalani AS, Arteaga CL. Abstract 4818: Neratinib/fulvestrant but not fulvestrant alone maintain complete responses after treatment with trastuzumab/paclitaxel of mice bearing ER+/HER2+ xenografts. *Cancer Res.* 77(13 Supplement), 4818 LP – 4818 (2017). 96. Sudhan DR, Schwarz LJ, Guerrero-Zotano A, *et al.* Extended adjuvant therapy with neratinib plus fulvestrant blocks ER/HER2 crosstalk and maintains complete responses of ER þ /HER2 þ breast cancers: Implications to the EXTENET trial. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 25(2), 771–783 (2019). 97. Chong QY, You ML, Pandey V, *et al.* Release of HER2 repression of trefoil factor 3 (TFF3) expression mediates trastuzumab resistance in HER2+/ER+ mammary carcinoma. *Oncotarget*. 8(43), 74188–74208 (2017). 98. Turnbull AK, Webber V, McStay D, *et al.* Abstract P1-18-07: Can some ER+/HER2+ patients be safely spared from treatment with chemotherapy plus herceptin? *Cancer Res.* 80(4 Supplement), P1-18-07 LP-P1-18–07 (2020). 99. Kanaya N, Somlo G, Wu J, *et al.* Characterization of patient-derived tumor xenografts (PDXs) as models for estrogen receptor positive (ER+HER2− and ER+HER2+) breast cancers. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 170, 65–74 (2017). 100. A Study to Compare the Safety and Efficacy of an Aromatase Inhibitor in Combination With Lapatinib, Trastuzumab or Both for the Treatment of Hormone Receptor Positive, HER2+ Metastatic Breast Cancer - ClinicalTrials.gov. 101. Study of Palbociclib and Trastuzumab With Endocrine Therapy in HER2-positive Metastatic Breast Cancer - ClinicalTrials.gov. 102. An Open-Label, Phase Ib/II Clinical Trial Of Cdk 4/6 Inhibitor, Ribociclib (Lee011), In Combination With Trastuzumab Or T-Dm1 For Advanced/Metastatic Her2-Positive Breast Cancer - ClinicalTrials.gov. 103. A Study of Abemaciclib (LY2835219) in Women With HR+, HER2+ Locally Advanced or Metastatic Breast Cancer - ClinicalTrials.gov.

 $\mathbf{1}$

Future Oncology

1 **Table 1 Current clinical trials treating HR+/HER2+ breast cancer. (Oestrogen receptor, ER; Hormone receptor, HR; Aromatase inhibitor, AI; Human** 2 **epidermal growth factor receptor, HER; ado-trastuzumab emtansine, T-DM1; Epidermal growth factor receptor, EGFR).**

https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/fm-fon

3

4

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ 3 $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$ 5 6 $\overline{7}$ 8 9

6 **Table 2 Targeted treatments available, alone or in combination, for the treatment of HR+ and/or** 7 **HER2+ breast cancer. (Oestrogen receptor, ER; Hormone receptor, HR; Aromatase inhibitor, AI;**

8 **Selective oestrogen receptor downregulator/degrader, SERD; Human epidermal growth factor**

9 **receptor, HER; Epidermal growth factor receptor, EGFR).**

11 **Table 3 Previous clinical trials treating HR+/HER2+ breast cancer. (Oestrogen receptor, ER; Hormone receptor, HR; Progression-free survival, PFS; Human epidermal** 12 **growth factor receptor, HER; Pathological complete response, pCR; Aromatase inhibitor, AI).**

44 45 46

https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/fm-fon

 $\mathbf{1}$

-
-
-

Figure 1. Molecular subtypes of breast cancer and key receptor/biomarker expression. Oestrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) and proliferation marker Ki67 expression status in Perou's five molecular subtypes.

signalling. In the presence of oestrogen, ER, which resides in both the cytoplasm and nucleus of a breast epithelial cell, can activate HER dimers and their downstream pathways (MAPK and AKT). In addition to the effects of these pathways, this signalling can also lead to modulation of active nuclear ER, which interacts with other transcription factors (TFs) and co-activators (CoAct) to regulate the expression of genes regulating processes essential to cell survival and cancer progression.

Page 11 of 40

Future Oncology

