

### Phytoremediation of potentially toxic elements in a polluted industrial soil using Poinsettia

Xiao, Fangmeng; Gu, Zhanying; Sarkissian, Arbi; Ji, Yaxin; RuonanYang; Yang, Ling; Zeng, Qingyang; Huang, Peng; Chen, Hanyue

### Physiology and molecular biology of plants : an international journal of functional plant biology

DOI: 10.1007/s12298-021-00980-w

Published: 01/04/2021

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Xiao, F., Gu, Z., Sarkissian, A., Ji, Y., RuonanYang, Yang, L., Zeng, Q., Huang, P., & Chen, H. (2021). Phytoremediation of potentially toxic elements in a polluted industrial soil using Poinsettia. *Physiology and molecular biology of plants : an international journal of functional plant biology*, *27*(4), 675-686. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-021-00980-w

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
   You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



## Phytoremediation of potentially toxic elements in a polluted industrial soil using Poinsettia

Fangmeng Xiao<sup>1</sup> · Zhanying Gu<sup>1</sup> · Arbi Sarkissian<sup>2</sup> · Yaxin Ji<sup>1</sup> · RuonanYang<sup>1</sup> · Ling Yang<sup>1</sup> · Qingyang Zeng<sup>1</sup> · Peng Huang<sup>1</sup> · Hanyue Chen<sup>1</sup>

Received: 10 February 2021/Revised: 16 March 2021/Accepted: 18 March 2021/Published online: 27 March 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

Abstract Potentially toxic elements (PTEs) pollution has become a serious environmental threat, particularly in developing countries such as China. In response, there is a growing interest in phytoremediation studies to identify plant species as designated hyperaccumulators of PTEs in polluted soils. Poinsettia was selected as a candidate species for phytoremediation of six PTEs (Zn, Pb, Hg, Cr, As, Cu) in this study. A pot cultivation experiment (randomized incomplete block experimental design with 5 treatments and 4 blocks) was conducted using contaminated soils gathered from an industrial area in southcentral China. The bioaccumulation factor (BAF), translocation factor (TF), and bioconcentration factor were analyzed to determine the phytoremediation potential of poinsettia potted in different ratios of polluted soils. One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey's test showed that poinsettia had significant uptake of Zn, Pb, Cu (BAF < 1 and TF < 1, p < 0.05) and Hg (BAF < 1 and TF > 1, p < 0.05). Poinsettias can therefore effectively accumulate Zn, Pb, and Cu in their lateral roots while extracting and transferring Hg into their leaves. Moreover, poinsettia exhibited tolerance towards As and Cr. Interestingly, it was also observed that PTEs can inhibit the height of potted poinsettia at a certain concentration.

**Keywords** Phytoremediation · Phytoextraction · Potentially toxic elements · Ornamental plants · Poinsettia

Zhanying Gu guzhanying99@163.com

<sup>2</sup> Bangor University, Bangor, UK

#### Introduction

The term "potentially toxic elements" (PTEs), more commonly known as "heavy metals", is considered more appropriate than "toxic" or "heavy metal" as a grouping name for metal(loid)s related to pollution and potential toxicity (Duffus 2002; Shaheen et al. 2013; Pourret and Bollinger 2018). The main reason is that heavy metal, defined as elements with a density greater than 7 g  $cm^{-3}$ (other authors choose different limits), does not have biological significance, chemical basis, and definition of authority (Smith 1996; Duffus 2002; Hodson 2004; Madrid 2010; Chapman 2012). In contrast, "Trace elements" (TEs) are associated with their abundance and include metals, metalloids, non-metals, and other elements in soil-plantanimal systems (Shaheen et al. 2013). High concentrations of some TEs like Zn, Pb, Cu, Cr, As, Cd, and Ni are potentially toxic to organisms and may pose long-term risks to ecosystems by circulating in the food web (Arif et al. 2016; Antoniadis et al. 2019).

PTEs pollution has become a pervasive problem globally that poses severe threats to humans and the environment (Cojocaru et al. 2016; Sarwar et al. 2017). The main anthropogenic causes of PTEs pollution are discharged waste from industry and mining, the overuse of agricultural chemicals, sewage sludge used in irrigation, and improper treatment of waste (Odukoya et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2018). In China, the concentrations of PTEs in soils and sediments around factories were estimated to be as high as 36.3%, which is well above the national standard (China 2018). Excessive quantities of PTEs through runoff decrease the quality and productivity of soils and lead to their accumulation in crops, potentially endangering human health (Yang et al. 2018; Khan et al. 2019). For example, excessive Zn intake can lead to depression, prostate cancer,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha, China

and other chronic diseases (Li et al. 2019). Pb is an extremely toxic metal which have been reported as adversely affecting humans' nervous, endocrine, immune, and circulatory systems (Zhang et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2016). Moreover, As and Cr have been increasingly found in food crops, which poses a severe carcinogenic risk for China's people (Clemens and Ma 2016; Wang et al. 2018). Given the health and environmental risks of toxic metal (loid)s pollution, it is crucial to identify effective strategies for remediating PTEs- contaminated soils.

Phytoremediation of PTEs contaminated soils is an environmentally-sound technique that is more efficient and cost-effective than traditional physical and chemical techniques (John et al. 2009; Bissonnette et al. 2010; Dixit et al. 2015; Sarwar et al. 2017). Phytostabilization and phytoextraction are two commercially promising sub-phytotechnologies of phytoremediation (Raskin 1995; Salt et al. 1995). Phytostabilization uses plants with dense root systems and vegetation cover to stabilize PTEs in the root zone (Salt et al. 1995; Singh 2012; Antoniadis et al. 2017). Phytoextraction, the most common phytotechnology employed, uses plants to absorb various PTEs from the soil and translocate them to their aerial organs (Raskin 1995; Peng et al. 2009; Antoniadis et al. 2017). Some plants that can tolerate and translocate high PTEs in their aerial organs without toxic symptoms are termed hyperaccumulators (Brooks 1977; Baker and Brooks 1989; Memon and Schroder 2009). Conversely, non-hyperaccumulators (or simply 'accumulators') store PTEs in their roots rather than translocating them to aboveground parts. Investigating the phytoremediation potential of ornamental plants that can be sold commercially can incentivize their use in remediating soils given the added profitability (Schwitzguébel 2015).

Some studies have found various native plants with hyperaccumulation tendencies of PTEs occurring naturally around metallurgy and mining factories (Čudić et al. 2016; Sasmaz et al. 2016). However, these plants are mostly herbaceous annuals with little commercial value, or that cannot be self-sustaining (Moreno-Jiménez et al. 2011). Moreover, since large-scale planting requires substantial initial investments, interest has shifted towards identifying ornamental species with economic benefits or by-product generation to sustain phytoremediation efforts (Chintakovid et al. 2008; Chaturvedi et al. 2014; Nakbanpote et al. 2016). The ornamental plant *Euphorbia milli* has been shown to effectively remediate moderately-contaminated soils of Cr (Ramana et al. 2015).

Poinsettia (*Euphorbia pulcherrima* Willd. et Kl.), a perennial shrub of the spurge family (*Euphorbiaceae*), is a commercially important pot and cut flower species cultivated globally, especially as a Christmas ornamental plant (Mabberley 1997; Allaby 2016). Current breeding research of poinsettia has focused on its cultivation and

management techniques (Zhou 2009; Meng 2014). Since poinsettias are commercially more popular than Euphorbia milli, it is of considerable importance to explore whether poinsettia has the remediation potential of PTEs contaminated soils to promote the wide commercial application of phytoremediation technology. Several studies involving phytoremediation pot experiments have reported that, plants were only exposed to one or two types of PTEs at a time, which may reduce plants to show their true phytoremediation potential due to neglecting the effects of a multi-element environment (Antoniadis et al. 2017). Therefore, in this study, we directly collected the contaminated soil in the industrial area for the pot experiment and studied poinsettia's ability to remediate six common PTEs, including toxic metals (Zn, Pb, Hg, Cr, Cu) and metalloids (As).

Since the large-scale establishment of factories (old industrial areas) began in 1953 in Zhuzhou city of Hunan province in southcentral China, PTEs pollutants have been continually discharged for over 20 years without adequate treatment (Dou et al. 2008). As a result, Zhuzhou has become one of the most PTEs polluted cities in China (Dou et al. 2008). It is of considerable interest to remediate these soils to prevent PTEs from entering the food chain and posing health and environmental risk. Thus, the main aim of this study was to: (1) evaluate the growth of poinsettia in industrial soils contaminated by PTEs; (2) evaluate the hyperaccumulation and phytoremediation potential of poinsettia for Zn, Pb, Hg, Cr, As, Cu.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study sites and soil collection

Soils were collected from Xintang Village (113° 4' E, 27° 43' N) in Zhu Zhou city using the diagonal method and a mixed broad-leaf forest patch in the Central South University of Forestry and Technology in Changsha city  $(111^{\circ} 53' E \sim 114^{\circ} 15' E; 27^{\circ} 51' N \sim 28^{\circ} 41' N),$ respectively. Topsoil samples (0-20 cm) were taken at diagonal intervals of 4 m along a more than 0.13 ha plot. Then 100 g of soils were obtained by repeatedly using the point-centered quarter method (Dix 1961): All the soils were mixed well, laid out in a square, and divided diagonally into four parts. Two diagonal parts were randomly combined into one part, repeated until a random sample of 100 g was obtained, and then tested their PTEs contents by the flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer (WFX-120B) (Russell et al. 1957). The rest of the soil was used for planting.

#### **Experimental design**

A randomized incomplete block experimental design was used. Based on soil samples' different volume ratios from industrial and non-industrial areas, soil samples were divided into five treatment groups with four replicates in each group. Treatment 1 (control group) consisted of all non-industrial soils, while treatment 5 was 100% industrial. Treatments 2 to 4 were a mixture of industrial and nonindustrial soils, with the volume proportions of industrial soils being 25%, 50%, and 75%, respectively. Soil samples were first air-dried and sieved (3 mm), followed by an analysis of the PTEs, physical and chemical properties for each of the five treatment groups (Andreazza et al. 2010). The soil electrical conductivity of each treatment was measured by soil parameter detector (RS-ECTH-SC-1). Each of the five treatment groups was set up into four blocks consisting of 10 plastic pots (19.1 cm diameter) per block, 40 pots in total. Pots were then packed with soil mixtures in the ratios described (2 pots per treatment per block). Each pot was later planted with two poinsettia cuttings (80 cuttings in total).

## Plant growth and phytoaccumulation analysis of PTEs

The pot culture experiment took place from April to December 2019. To ensure that the pre-experimental plant material was free of PTEs and genetically consistent plant material was obtained, the rooted cuttings of poinsettia were used for culturing in a clean medium of vermiculite and perlite without pollution then transplanted to the experimental medium according to experimental design (Ramírez et al. 2020). Poinsettia cuttings were inserted into a substrate for rooting (one cutting per substrate) in April. After one month, 80 rooted plants with similar height and basal diameter were selected and transplanted into the plastic pots of different treatments described above, with two plants per pot. Regular watering and weeding were done during plant growth. After 67 days, plant height and stem basal diameter were measured every 14-20 days. Plants were harvested from the pots, and all green and red leaves were picked after six months (in December). Since most of the poinsettias did not defoliate early, the samples of roots, leaves, and stems were all collected simultaneously.

Fresh leaves (red and green) of all plants were weighed immediately after being harvested. After that, all fresh leaves were scanned with the e-Expression 11000XL Root Scanner to the computer and then each red and green leaf area was calculated using the ImageJ 1.50i software. 0.2 g of fresh green and red leaves from each plant were obtained and then measured by a UV1201 spectrophotometer (Mapada-3000 PC) to calculate the chlorophyll and anthocyanins content. All the measurements were repeated twice.

Before oven-drying, the leaves for 72 h at 65 °C and weighting, all remaining red and green leaves from the same treatment group in four blocks were mixed separately, from which two red leaves and two green leaves were randomly selected for detection of PTEs content with the flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer (WFX-120B) (Russell et al. 1957). Measurement of PTEs in roots and stems was consistent with leaves, whereas the post-test soil required the repeated point-centered quarter method selection of 100 g of sample for PTEs measurement. In particular, the whole root system was obtained as much as possible since many TEs tend to accumulate in large quantities in the fine roots (Vamerali et al. 2009).

The PTEs accumulation potential of the poinsettia was evaluated by determining bioconcentration factor (BCF), bioaccumulation factor (BAF), and translocation factor (TF). Values of BCF higher than 1 indicate that the plant is likely a hyperaccumulator (Usman et al. 2019). Values of BAF and TF are used to evaluate plant phytoremediation potential (Bhatti et al. 2018). BAF higher than 1 indicates that the plant has the ability of phytostabilization, and a TF higher than 1 means that the plant can absorb and remove PTEs into aerial organs for phytoextraction:

$$BCF = C_{root}/C_{soil}$$
  
 $BAF = C_{shoot}/C_{soil}$   
 $TF = C_{shoot}/C_{root}$ 

where  $C_{root}$ ,  $C_{shoot}$ , and  $C_{soil}$  are metal concentrations (µg  $g^{-1}$ ) in the root, shoot, and soil, respectively.

#### Statistical analyses

In order to evaluate statistically significant differences among values, all data were analyzed using SPSS 18.0 software. Wet and dry weight measurements, chlorophyll and anthocyanin content, as well as PTEs content of plant tissues (taproot, lateral root, stem, and leaf), were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey's test (p < 0.05). A two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was used to analyze the relationship between plant height and stem diameter growth, treatment, and time.

#### Results

#### Soil analysis

The soil in both the experimental and control groups was red loam. The physical and chemical soil analysis (Table 1) showed that the forest soil was weakly acidic (pH = 6.84), while the contaminated soil approached neutrality. The pH

678
-----

Treatments	Hq	EC (us cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$TOC (g kg^{-1})$	Total N (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Olsen-P (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Zn (µg g <sup>-1</sup> d. wt)	$\begin{array}{c} Cu \ (\mu g \ g^{-1}d. \\ wt) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} Pb \ (\mu g \ g^{-1} d. \\ wt) \end{array}$	$Cr (\mu g g^{-1} d.$ wt)	As $(\mu g g^{-1} d.$ wt)	Hg ( $\mu g g^{-1} d$ . wt)
0%	$6.84\pm0.00e$	$115 \pm 0.00e$	$33.6\pm0.00a$	$2.47\pm0.00a$	$16.6\pm0.00a$	$1006 \pm 0.06e$	$251 \pm 0.50e$	$5.12\pm0.01e$	$877 \pm 1.34e$	$146 \pm 0.02a$	$4.31 \pm 0.15c$
25%	$6.87\pm0.00d$	$135\pm0.00d$	$29.0 \pm 0.00b$	$2.34\pm0.00\mathrm{b}$	$16.3\pm0.00b$	$1152\pm0.02d$	$284\pm0.01d$	$127 \pm 0.01d$	$933 \pm 0.01d$	$145\pm0.01\mathrm{b}$	$4.78\pm0.09\mathrm{c}$
50%	$6.91\pm0.00\mathrm{c}$	$170\pm0.00c$	$24.3\pm0.00\mathrm{c}$	$2.21\pm0.00\mathrm{c}$	$16.1\pm0.00\mathrm{c}$	$1298\pm0.01\mathrm{c}$	$316\pm0.60\mathrm{c}$	$249\pm0.02c$	$975\pm00.01c$	$144 \pm 0.02c$	$5.51\pm0.05\mathrm{b}$
75%	$6.94\pm0.00\mathrm{b}$	$204 \pm 0.00b$	$19.6\pm0.00d$	$2.09\pm0.00d$	$15.8\pm0.00d$	$1444\pm0.02b$	$351\pm0.01b$	$371\pm0.01b$	$1017 \pm 0.20b$	$143 \pm 0.02d$	$6.14\pm0.09a$
100%	$6.98\pm0.00a$	$235\pm0.00a$	$14.9\pm0.00e$	$1.96\pm0.00e$	$15.6\pm0.00e$	$1590\pm0.03a$	$385\pm0.01a$	$493\pm0.01a$	$1060\pm0.04a$	$142 \pm 0.02e$	$6.33\pm0.15a$
CV (%)	57.7	75.8	9.62	64.8	60.1	45.6	46.4	1.20	53.5	59.2	45.8
$CV$ means the values $\pm$ states of the states of the states $\pm$ states of the states of the states $\pm$ states of the states of	ne coefficient of andard error. Di	variation of the fferent letters in	means (The sam 1 the column rep	ne as below). ES 1 Dresent significan	means electrical c at differences bet	conductivity, TOC ween treatments	The means total org $(p < 0.05)$ using	anic carbon, and z Tukey's test	l d.wt means dry v	veight. Data are	shown as mean

**[able 1** Physico-chemical characteristics of soils in each treatment before the experiment

and EC values of polluted soil in Zhuzhou are higher than those of the control group, which may be because the sampling site of polluted soil in Zhuzhou used to be agricultural land, and the high amount of chemical fertilizer used led to the higher content of water-soluble ions in the soil. The total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (Total N), and available phosphorus (Olsen-P) decreased as the proportion of industrial soil increased (p < 0.05). Moreover, all experimental groups exceeded the control value except for 25% and 50% treatment groups of Cr (p < 0.05) according to the screening and control values for PTEs in agricultural land in China (China 2018).

#### Plant growth under different treatments

Figure 1 showed that there was no significant difference in the dry and wet weights of both green and red leaves between the experimental treatments and control group (0% treatment group) (p > 0.05) except the wet weight of green leaves in 75% treatment group (0.05 g per leaf higher than 100% treatment group, p < 0.05). The wet weight of green leaves in the 100% treatment group (0.1 g per leaf) was significantly lower compared to that of the 25% treatment group (0.18 g per leaf), 50% treatment group (0.17 g per leaf), and 75% treatment group (0.18 g per leaf) (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1a). In addition, the dry weight of the 25% treatment group was 0.13 g per leaf higher compared to the 100% treatment (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1b).

The increase in height of the control group and 50% treatment group was significantly higher compared to that of the 100% treatment group after 67 days of growth in pots (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2a). In five treatment groups, the first increase in height (on the 67th day) was significantly different from the other four subsequent times (p < 0.001). In 25% treatment group, the increased height in day 67th-87th was higher compared to that in day 107th-124th (1.6 cm) and day 124th-138th (1.9 cm) (p < 0.05), while in 100% treatment group, it was higher compared to that in day 87th-107th (0.9 cm) and day 124th-138th (1.2 cm) (p < 0.05). The impact of treatment on the increase of diameter was not statistically significant (p > 0.05) (Fig. 2b).

# Changes in chlorophyll and anthocyanin content and leaf area

Among the green leaves of 100% treatment group, the average concentration of chlorophyll a  $(0.13 \pm 0.06 \text{ mg g}^{-1})$  was 0.05 mg higher compared to the control group  $(0.08 \pm 0.16 \text{ mg g}^{-1})$  (p = 0.007) and 0.06 mg higher compared to the 25% treatment group  $(0.07 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg g}^{-1})$  (p = 0.000). For chlorophyll b, the average concentration of the 100% treatment group  $(0.13 \pm 0.06 \text{ mg g}^{-1})$  was 0.06 mg higher compared to

the 50% treatment group  $(0.08 \pm 0.16 \text{ mg g}^{-1})$ (p = 0.020) and 75% treatment group ( $0.07 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ ) (p = 0.020). The pairwise comparison between the other groups has no statistically significant difference (p > 0.05) (Fig. 3).

Although the average concentration of chlorophyll (leaf area) in the 100% treatment group was significantly higher (lower) compared to the 25%, 50%, and 75% treatment group (p < 0.05), there was no significant difference between them in 100% treatment group and control group soil (Table 2). The mean green leaf area of all treatments ranged from 4.6 cm<sup>2</sup> to 9.4 cm<sup>2</sup>, while red leaves ranged from 2.6 cm<sup>2</sup> to 3.1 cm<sup>2</sup>. There was no significant difference in anthocyanin content and red leaf area size between groups (p > 0.05).

#### Phytoextraction and Phytostabilization of PTEs

Zn absorption increased significantly with the increase of the PTEs content in the treatment group both in the whole plant and in both aerial and belowground organs of the plant (p < 0.05) (Table 3, Fig. 4a1). However, the content of Zn absorbed in the lateral roots of the poinsettia was significantly higher compared to that in other parts of poinsettia (p < 0.05). The BCF of poinsettia for Zn increased from 1.65 (0% treatment) to 10.04 (100% treatment), and BAF was more than 1 except for the 25% treatment group. However, TF decreased from 0.87 (0%) to 0.15 (100%) (Fig. 4b1).

Pb content in the whole plant reached 155  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> in the 100% treatment group, while it was only 42  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> in the control group (Table 3). With the increase of the proportion of industrial soils, the content of Pb absorbed in the lateral roots (11.30—80.00  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) and the stems (1.83–8.58  $\mu$ g

g<sup>-1</sup>) increased significantly (p < 0.05). Conversely, Pb content in leaves decreased from 6.94 µg g<sup>-1</sup> to 1.21 µg g<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4a3). Pb concentration in taproots of the 100% treatment group (69.1 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly higher compared to other groups and was close to that in the lateral roots (76.0 µg g<sup>-1</sup>, p < 0.05). Both TF and BAF of poinsettia to lead were less than 1. However, the BCF values in each group were more extensive than 1 and reached 1.82 in the 100% treatment group, except 0.72 in the 25% treatment group (Fig. 4b3).

The Hg content of the whole plant increased in consecutive treatment groups (Table 3). The taproots and the lateral roots absorbed most Hg in 50% (0.10 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) and 100% (0.12 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) treatment groups, which were significantly higher than those in the control group (0.08 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) and 25% treatment groups (0.02 µg g<sup>-1</sup>, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4b5). The 75% treatment group had the highest Hg content in the leaves, a difference of 0.12 µg g<sup>-1</sup> from the lowest group (25%). The BAF and BCF values of Hg in industrial soils were all lower but closed to 1. The TF, however, higher than 1 in all groups, except 0.82 in the 100% treatment group (Fig. 4b5).

The whole plant in the experimental group had less Cr compared to control group (Table 3). The lateral roots absorbed significantly less Cr in the 25% treatment group compared to that in the control group (9.09  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 4a2). As the industrial soil ratio increased, the Cr absorption in lateral roots also increased significantly, reaching 10.9  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> in the 75% treatment group (p < 0.05), but it was significantly less in the 100% treatment group (2  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>, p < 0.05). Taproot absorbed the least Cr (2.52  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) in the 75% treatment group and increased to 7.39  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> in the 100% treatment group, but still less compared to the control group (8.16  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,



Fig. 1 Wet weight (a) and dry weight (b) of the green leaves and red leaves of poinsettia plants after growing in industrial and non-industrial soils with different volume ratios: non-industrial soil (control group), 25% contaminated soil, 50% contaminated soil, 75%

contaminated soil and 100% contaminated soil. \*Different letters in the same color bar represent significant differences (p < 0.05) with the Tukey test. Error bars were calculations of standard error



Fig. 2 Changes in the height and diameter of poinsettia grown in different contaminated soils



Fig. 3 Comparison of poinsettia chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b contents under different treatments. \**Different letters in the same color bar represent significant differences* (p < 0.05) with the Tukey test. Error bars were calculations of standard error

p < 0.05). In leaves, Cr was only presented in the control group (5.69 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) and 75% treatment group (4.10 µg g<sup>-1</sup>). The TF and BAF at the control group were 0.33 and 0.07, respectively. From 50 to 100%, TF continued to

increase and tended to approach 1 (0.76 in 100% treatment group), while BAF and BCF in all treatment groups were far less than 1 (Fig. 4b2).

The poinsettia took up the most As in the control group  $(4.2 \ \mu g \ g^{-1})$  compared to all experimental groups (Table 3). However, plant's absorbed As varied in different organs. Compared to other organs, the lateral roots took up the most As in the 50% treatment group (2.39  $\mu g \ g^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 4a4). In all industrial soil treatment groups, the TF, BAF, and BCF values were far less than 1 (Fig. 4b4).

Plants could store more Cu in 75% (461  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) and 100% (486  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) treatment groups compared to that in the control group (403  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3). Cu absorbed by lateral roots was highest in the 75% contaminated soil group (416  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), followed by the control group (377  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 4a6). The content of Cu absorbed by the leaves in the contaminated soil increased in consecutive treatment groups, but all were less compared to the control group (16.3  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>). Both the TF and BAF of poinsettia for Cu are lower than 1 in the industrial soils. But the BCF value is particularly high, reaching a maximum of 19.82 in the control group (Fig. 4b6).

Table 2         Comparison of the
content of chlorophyll and leaf
area in green poinsettia leaves
and the content of anthocyaning
and leaf area in red leaves

Treatment	Green leaves		Red leaves		
	Chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Anthocyanin (nmol g <sup>-1</sup> )	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	
0%	$0.28\pm0.07 ab$	$7.1 \pm 6.10$ ab	1157 ± 798a	$2.7 \pm 2.90$ a	
25%	$0.23\pm0.06\mathrm{b}$	$9.4\pm8.81a$	$1131 \pm 620a$	$2.6\pm2.81a$	
50%	$0.25\pm0.04b$	$8.2\pm 6.03a$	$1150 \pm 618a$	$2.8\pm2.59a$	
75%	$0.24\pm0.04\mathrm{b}$	$8.8\pm5.12a$	$1021 \pm 558a$	$3.1\pm3.22a$	
100%	$0.37\pm0.17a$	$4.6\pm4.17b$	$1177 \pm 526a$	$2.8\pm2.90a$	

Values were shown as mean  $\pm$  standard error. Different letters in the column represented significant differences (p < 0.05) with the Tukey test

 
 Table 3 Content of PTEs in dried soil and the whole plant after harvesting plants from the different volume of contaminated soils

	$Zn \ (\mu g \ g^{-1}d.$	wt)	Cu (µg g <sup>-</sup>	Cu ( $\mu g g^{-1} d. wt$ )		Pb ( $\mu g g^{-1} d. wt$ )	
	Soil	Plant	Soil	Plant	Soil	Plant	
)%	$72\pm0.01e$	221 ± 0.86e	$19 \pm 0.016$	$e 403 \pm 0.04$	4c $33 \pm 0.01e$	$42\pm0.01d$	
25%	$95\pm0.01d$	$416\pm0.15d$	$23 \pm 0.026$	d $271 \pm 0.13$	$5e 51 \pm 0.02d$	$40 \pm 0.01e$	
50%	$106\pm0.02c$	$684 \pm 0.01c$	$26 \pm 0.010$	$364 \pm 0.02$	1d 59 $\pm$ 0.01c	$83 \pm 0.01c$	
75%	$120\pm0.01\mathrm{b}$	$847\pm0.02\mathrm{b}$	$28 \pm 0.021$	b $461 \pm 0.02$	$63 \pm 0.01b$	$93\pm0.01\mathrm{b}$	
100%	$145\pm0.02a$	$1676 \pm 0.043$	a $32 \pm 0.013$	a $486 \pm 0.02$	2 a $80 \pm 0.01a$	$155\pm0.01a$	
CV (%)	43.7	67.7	47.1	19.8	42.5	52.3	
	Cr ( $\mu g g^{-1} d$ .	wt)	As $(\mu g \ g^{-1}d.$	wt)	Hg ( $\mu g g^{-1}d$ . wt	:)	
	Soil	Plant	Soil	Plant	Soil	Plant	
)%	$86 \pm 0.01e$	$23\pm0.01a$	$18 \pm 0.01a$	$4.2\pm0.03a$	$0.19\pm0.02d$	$0.33 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{c}$	
25%	$93 \pm 0.01a$	$11 \pm 0.03e$	$17\pm0.02b$	$3.1\pm0.02d$	$0.20\pm0.01 \text{cd}$	$0.26\pm0.00\mathrm{d}$	
50%	$92\pm0.01\mathrm{b}$	$12\pm0.01d$	$15\pm0.01c$	$3.6\pm0.00\mathrm{b}$	$0.24\pm0.01\mathrm{b}$	$0.33\pm0.00c$	
75%	$92 \pm 0.02c$	$18\pm0.01\mathrm{b}$	$12 \pm 0.02 d$	$2.5\pm0.01e$	$0.31\pm0.01a$	$0.38\pm0.01\mathrm{b}$	
100%	$88\pm0.02d$	$15 \pm 0.01c$	$9 \pm 0.01e$	$3.3\pm0.01c$	$0.23\pm0.01\mathrm{bc}$	$0.40\pm0.05a$	
CV (%)	59.9	29.0	78.4	17.4	44.9	15.1	

Values are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard error, and *d.wt* means dry weight. Different letters in the column represent significant differences (p < 0.05) with the Tukey test

#### Discussion

Poinsettia is a fast-growing plant that can grow up to 15 m in height, but potted varieties are generally less than 0.3 m (Hu et al. 2003). Therefore, most of the research is focussed on controlling the height of poinsettia plants (Yuan et al. 2004; Zhou 2009). In this study, the PTEs content in the soil did not significantly affect the increase in poinsettia stem diameter but it affects the height of the plant. The results indicated that the higher the industrial soil ratio, the earlier it showed an inhibitory effect on plant height. The increase of plant height in the 100% treatment group began to show significant suppression within 67 days. After 138 days, the plant height was about 20-30 cm, equivalent to the Chinese poinsettia plant height standard (Ran et al. 2016). One reason may be the accumulation of Cr in the stems of plants (TF = 0.76) inhibited the metabolism of shoots (Singh et al. 2013), and adult plants are less sensitive to metal toxicity compared to those in juveniles (Nehnevajova et al. 2012), but it cannot be ruled out that the contaminated soil provides the plant with less organic matter, making the element less available to the plant (Antoniadis et al. 2017). This observation may provide new ideas for plant height control of potted poinsettias and reduce the cost of purchasing growth inhibitors.

In general, higher the dry and fresh weight of leaves (green and red leaves), the more organic matter it accumulates (Gu et al. 2009). In this study, PTEs in industrial soils had no side effect on the dry weight of the red and green leaves of poinsettias. However, when the ratio of industrial soils was 75%, it could increase the wet weight of green leaves. With the increase of PTEs content in the soil, the chlorophyll in the green leaves slightly increased (mainly to promote the synthesis of chlorophyll b), but there were no significant differences in leaf area, indicating that PTEs in industrial soils has no significant effect on the photosynthesis and green leaf growth of poinsettia. Some leaves of poinsettia turn red (bracts) due to the increase in anthocyanins, and the bracts are one of the most ornamental organs of the poinsettia. Results showed that PTEs in industrial soils had no significant effect on the synthesis of anthocyanins and leaf area of the poinsettia. Therefore, poinsettias can grow normally in industrial soils.

There are no established standards for the screening of hyperaccumulator plants but mainly include two points. First, to determine if the PTEs accumulated in the plant is more than 10 times the normal uptake level of the plant (Bi et al. 2006) or exceeds some known threshold (Zn > 10,000 mg  $\cdot$  kg<sup>-1</sup>; Pb, Cu, As > 1000 mg  $\cdot$  kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Brooks 1977; Chaney et al. 1997; Baker and Brooks 1989). For instance, *Arabidopsis balleri* was a Zn hyperaccumulator that could accumulate 32,000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Zn without showing any phytotoxicity symptoms (Zhao et al. 2000). Following this standard, Poinsettia is not a hyperaccumulator but has excellent potential for hyperaccumulation of Zn because the concentration of Zn in plants increased with the ratio of industrial soil increasing (Table 3). The second method is to compare TF, BAF, and BCF values (Wei and



**Fig. 4 a** Zn, Pb, Hg, Cr, As, Cu concentration in roots, stem, and leaves after growing in industrial and non-industrial soils with different volume ratios: native soil (*control*), 25% contaminated soil, 50% contaminated soil, 75% contaminated soil and 100% contaminated soil. **b** The PTEs translocation factor (TF), bioaccumulation factor (BAF), and bioconcentration factor (BCF). \**Different letters in the same color bar and column represented significant differences* (p < 0.05) with the Tukey test. Error bars were calculations of standard error

Chen 2001; Zu et al. 2004; Yoon et al. 2006; Cui et al. 2007; Li et al. 2007; Malik et al. 2010; Bedabati Chanu and Gupta 2016; Cojocaru et al. 2016). In this study, poinsettia had a BCF > 1 and TF < 1 when Zn, Pb, and Cu were analyzed, indicating the potential for phytostabilization (Yoon et al. 2006). On the contrary, the poinsettia can transport a high level of Hg to the leaves (BAF < 1 and TF > 1), suggesting its potential for phytoextraction (Were et al. 2017). Interestingly, there are more Cr and As taken up in roots and leaves of the control group compared to 100% treatment group. For Cr, it is essential to clarify that only 75% and 100% of the treatment groups had soil Cr levels above the standard before the experiment. Therefore, poinsettias grown in soil with Cr overload may inhibit Cr uptake in the lateral roots, and the Cr in the leaves may have been transferred to the stems (Singh et al. 2013). However, the TF of Cr tends to approach 1 with the increase of PTEs content in the soil, indicating that poinsettia may have the potential of phytoextraction of Cr. Furthermore, the TF value of Cr in the 100% treatment group of poinsettias (TF = 0.76) was higher compared to the *Euphorbia mill* (TF = 0.73), which was considered to have phytoremediation potential (Ramana et al. ). More particularly, As was more severely exceeded in non-industrial soils compared to that in industrial soils before the experiment. As the soil As cont2015ent increased, the As levels in lateral roots and leaves increased while that in primary roots and stems decreased. Nevertheless, poinsettia had no phytoremediation for As because the indices were all far less than 1. Further, field experiments are needed to observe the phytoremediation of poinsettias on PTEs, as phytoremediation can be assisted in pot experiments by amendments of releasing agents, but the remediation factors may be lower under real field conditions (Neugschwandtner et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2016).

The results indicated that the poinsettia concentrated PTEs mainly in the lateral roots. This was consistent with the fact that many ornamental plants accumulated PTEs in their roots (Chintakovid et al. 2008; Trigueros et al. 2012; Cui et al. 2013; Chaturvedi et al. 2014; Pérez-López et al. 2014). Therefore, they can be used to remediate contaminated soil to some extent through phytostabilization while landscaping and selling for income. After harvest, the

metal-enriched biomass usually needs to be incinerated after harvesting to recover residual PTEs and avoid secondary contamination from plant litter (Nakbanpote et al. 2016). The poinsettia accumulated Zn, Pb, and Cu in the roots, so the aerial organs of poinsettia can still be harvested and marketed as cut flowers for their ornamental value. Moreover, the aerial organs of the poinsettia did not accumulate large amounts of TEs, reducing the risk of transfer of PTEs to the food chain (Dominguez et al. 2008). Thereby, poinsettia may be an essential candidate for the use of Zn, Pb, Cu phytostabilization, and Hg phytoextraction in industrial soils contaminated with PTEs.

Various metal ions dissociated from their complex forms on the surface of the roots and were stored in large amounts in the root branch exosome (Arif et al. 2016). Trees with phytoremediation capabilities absorb PTEs through their extensive root systems and transport them to aerial organs (Vincent et al. 2018). However, their woody characteristic is not conducive to PTEs accumulation (Ahmad 2016). Among the 54 tree species, the maximum absorption of Cu, Pb, and Zn were only 371, 27, and 539  $\mu g g^{-1}$  (Shang et al. 2019), all lower compared to the value of poinsettia absorption (486, 155, and 1676  $\mu g g^{-1}$ ). Therefore, shrubs may be more efficient at absorbing PTEs compared to trees. As for herbaceous perennials, they need large planting areas and the mature grasses need to be removed each year promptly. Many herbs can accumulate large amounts of PTEs primarily because of their high biomass (Hou et al., 2020). For example, Pennisetum sp. has higher biomass compared to both the Cd hyperaccumulator Sedum Plumizincicola and the Cu tolerant Elsholtzia splendens, so it can accumulate and remove more Cd and Cu (Xu et al. 2019). From these perspectives, ornamental shrubs may be a better substitute for herbs.

#### Conclusion

By comparing the growth status of poinsettia in 5 different levels of polluted soils, we deduced the following conclusions. (1) Poinsettia grows well in PTEs contaminated soils, and PTEs (possibly chromium) may be able to control poinsettia plant height. (2) Our study showed poinsettia was not a hyperaccumulator of Zn, Pb, Hg, Cr, As, and Cu. However, poinsettia had the potential of hyperaccumulator for Zn and phytoremediation for Cr, which need to be further studied. (3) Poinsettia characterized high potential for phytoextraction of Hg and phytostabilization of Zn, Pb, and Cu in industrial soils. Besides, it can tolerate As and Cr. In future experiments, methods to improve the TF of Zn, Pb, Hg, and Cu in poinsettia can be studied, such as adding chelators and cooperating with microorganisms to speed up the phytoremediation process. (4) Perennial ornamental shrubs, such as poinsettias, may be more suitable for phytoremediation applications than some trees and herbs.

Acknowledgements We acknowledge Central South University of Forestry and Technology for providing laboratory and equipment support for our research.

**Authors' contributions** Conceptualization, ZG and YJ; methodology, ZG and YJ; software, FX and HC; validation, ZG and FX; formal analysis, RY and QZ; investigation, PH; resources, RY, PH, LY and QZ; data curation, YJ and FX; writing—original draft preparation, FX; writing—review and editing, AS and ZG; visualization, FX; supervision, ZG; project administration, ZG. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding** This study was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number 31601780.

**Availability of data and materials** All data and materials support the published claims and comply with field standards.

**Code availability** Software application or custom code support the published claims and comply with field standards.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethics approval No ethical issues were violated in this study.

Consent to participate All authors agree to participate.

Consent for publication All authors agree for publication.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### References

Ahmad P (2016) Plant metal interaction: emerging remediation techniques. Elsevier

Allaby M (2016) Poinsettia. Oxford University Press, London

- Andreazza R, Okeke BC, Lambais MR, Bortolon L, de Melo GW, Camargo FA (2010) Bacterial stimulation of copper phytoaccumulation by bioaugmentation with rhizosphere bacteria. Chemosphere 81:1149–1154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere. 2010.09.047
- Antoniadis V, Golia EE, Liu YT, Wang SL, Shaheen SM, Rinklebe J (2019) Soil and maize contamination by trace elements and

associated health risk assessment in the industrial area of Volos. Greece Environ Int 124:79–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint. 2018.12.053

- Antoniadis V et al (2017) Trace elements in the soil-plant interface: phytoavailability, translocation, and phytoremediation–a review. Earth Sci Rev 171:621–645. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev. 2017.06.005
- Arif N et al (2016) Influence of high and low levels of plant-beneficial heavy metal ions on plant growth and development frontiers in environmental. Science. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2016. 00069
- Baker AJ, Brooks R (1989) Terrestrial higher plants which hyperaccumulate metallic elements. A Rev Distrib Ecol Phytochem Biorecov 1:81–126
- Bedabati Chanu L, Gupta A (2016) Phytoremediation of lead using Ipomoea aquatica Forsk. in hydroponic solution. Chemosphere 156:407–411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.05. 001
- Bhatti SS, Kumar V, Sambyal V, Singh J, Nagpal AK (2018) Comparative analysis of tissue compartmentalized heavy metal uptake by common forage crop: a field experiment. CATENA 160:185–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2017.09.015
- Bi D et al (2006) Investigation on dominant plants and their heavy metal contents in typical waste land of lead and zinc mine in zhejiang province. Soils 38:591–597
- Bissonnette L, St-Arnaud M, Labrecque M (2010) Phytoextraction of heavy metals by two Salicaceae clones in symbiosis with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi during the second year of a field trial. Plant Soil 332:55–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0273-x
- Brooks R (1977) Copper and cobalt uptake byHaumaniastrum species. Plant Soil 48:541–544. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF02187261
- Chaney RL, Malik M, Li YM, Brown SL, Brewer EP, Angle JS, Baker AJM (1997) Phytoremediation of soil metals. Curr Opin Biotechnol 8:279–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0958-1669(97)80004-3
- Chapman PM (2012) Crossing the scientific line: sins of omission and commission. Mar Pollut Bull 64:457–458. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.marpolbul.2011.12.003
- Chaturvedi N, Ahmed MJ, Dhal NK (2014) Effects of iron ore tailings on growth and physiological activities of Tagetes patula L. J Soils Sedim 14:721–730. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-013-0777-0
- Chen C, Tian T, Wang MK, Wang G (2016) Release of Pb in soils washed with various extractants. Geoderma 275:74–81. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.04.015
- China MoEaEo (2018) Soil environmental quality Risk control standard for soil contamination of agricultural land
- Chintakovid W, Visoottiviseth P, Khokiattiwong S, Lauengsuchonkul S (2008) Potential of the hybrid marigolds for arsenic phytoremediation and income generation of remediators in Ron Phibun District Thailand. Chemosphere 70:1532–1537. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.08.031
- Clemens S, Ma JF (2016) Toxic heavy metal and metalloid accumulation in crop plants and foods. Annu Rev Plant Biol 67:489–512. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-112301
- Cojocaru P, Gusiatin ZM, Cretescu I (2016) Phytoextraction of Cd and Zn as single or mixed pollutants from soil by rape (Brassica napus). Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 23:10693–10701. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11356-016-6176-5
- Čudić V, Stojiljković D, Jovović A (2016) Phytoremediation potential of wild plants growing on soil contaminated with heavy metals. Arch Ind Hyg Toxicol 67:229–239

- Cui S, Zhang T, Zhao S, Li P, Zhou Q, Zhang Q, Han Q (2013) Evaluation of three ornamental plants for phytoremediation of Pb-contamined soil. Int J Phytoremediation 15:299–306. https:// doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2012.694502
- Cui S, Zhou Q, Chao L (2007) Potential hyperaccumulation of Pb, Zn, Cu and Cd in endurant plants distributed in an old smeltery, northeast China. Environ Geol 51:1043–1048
- Dix RL (1961) An application of the point-centered quarter method to the sampling of grassland vegetation. Rangel Ecol Manag J Range Manag Arch 14(2):63–69
- Dixit R et al (2015) Bioremediation of heavy metals from soil and aquatic environment: an overview of principles and criteria of fundamental processes. Sustainability 7:2189–2212
- Dominguez MT, Maranon T, Murillo JM, Schulin R, Robinson BH (2008) Trace element accumulation in woody plants of the Guadiamar Valley SW Spain: a large-scale phytomanagement case study. Environ Pollut 152:50–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envpol.2007.05.021
- Dou PQ, Hou FD, Bao XF, Qi H, Zhang Y (2008) Heavy metal pollution evaluation of surface water and sediment in Qingshuitang Industrial Zone of Zhuzhou city Sichuan. Environment 4:74–78
- Duffus JH (2002) "Heavy metals"—A meaningless term? Pure Appl Chem 74:793–807. https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200274050793
- Gu ZY, Xie BX, Zhang DL, Lois S (2009) Effect of plant growth regulator on the growth and ornamental quality of potted poinsettia. J Hunan Agric Univ (Natl Sci) 35(04):383–386. https://doi.org/10.13331/j.cnki.jhau.2009.04.021
- Hodson ME (2004) Heavy metals–geochemical bogey men? Environ Pollut 129:341–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2003.11. 003
- Hu HS, Zhao LJ, Li ZW, Fang ZH (2003) A Study on quality Standards of poinsettia potted flowers. J Central South Univ For Technol 5:112–114
- John R, Ahmad P, Gadgil K, Sharma S (2009) Heavy metal toxicity: Effect on plant growth, biochemical parameters and metal accumulation by Brassica juncea L
- Khan I, Iqbal M, Shafiq F (2019) Phytomanagement of leadcontaminated soils: critical review of new trends and future prospects. Int J Environ Sci Technol 16:6473–6488. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13762-019-02431-2
- Li CF, Zhou KH, Qin WQ, Tian CJ, Qi M, Yan XM, Han WB (2019) A review on heavy metals contamination in soil: effects, sources, and remediation techniques. Soil Sedim Contamin 28:380–394. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2019.1592108
- Li M, Luo Y, Su Z (2007) Heavy metal concentrations in soils and plant accumulation in a restored manganese mineland in Guangxi. South China Environ Pollut 147:168–175
- Mabberley DJ (1997) The plant-book: a portable dictionary of the vascular plants. Cambridge University Press
- Madrid L (2010) "Heavy metals": reminding a long-standing and sometimes forgotten controversy. Geoderma 155:128–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.11.031
- Malik RN, Husain SZ, Nazir I (2010) Heavy metal contamination and accumulation in soil and wild plant species from industrial area of Islamabad. Pakistan Pak J Bot 42:291–301
- Memon AR, Schroder P (2009) Implications of metal accumulation mechanisms to phytoremediation. Environ Sci Pollut R 16:162–175. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-008-0079-z
- Meng JS (2014) Cultivation and management of poinsettia in greenhouse. Sci Technol Commun 6(07):137–138
- Moreno-Jiménez E, Vázquez S, Carpena-Ruiz RO, Esteban E, Peñalosa JM (2011) Using Mediterranean shrubs for the phytoremediation of a soil impacted by pyritic wastes in Southern Spain: a field experiment. J Environ Manage 92:1584–1590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.01.022

- Nakbanpote W, Meesungnoen O, Prasad MNV (2016) Potential of ornamental plants for phytoremediation of heavy metals and income generation. In: Bioremediation and bioeconomy. pp 179–217. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-802830-8. 00009-5
- Nehnevajova E, Lyubenova L, Herzig R, Schröder P, Schwitzguébel J-P, Schmülling T (2012) Metal accumulation and response of antioxidant enzymes in seedlings and adult sunflower mutants with improved metal removal traits on a metal-contaminated soil. Environ Exp Bot 76:39–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envexpbot.2011.10.005
- Neugschwandtner RW, Tlustoš P, Komárek M, Száková J (2008) Phytoextraction of Pb and Cd from a contaminated agricultural soil using different EDTA application regimes: Laboratory versus field scale measures of efficiency. Geoderma 144:446–454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2007.11.021
- Odukoya AM, Olobaniyi SB, Oluseyi TO (2018) Assessment of potentially toxic elements pollution and human health risk in soil of ilesha gold mining site. Southwest Nigeria J Geol Soc India 91:743–748. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12594-018-0933-7
- Peng JF, Song YH, Yuan P, Cui XY, Qiu GL (2009) The remediation of heavy metals contaminated sediment. J Hazard Mater 161:633–640
- Pérez-López R, Márquez-García B, Abreu MM, Nieto JM, Córdoba F (2014) Erica andevalensis and Erica australis growing in the same extreme environments: phytostabilization potential of mining areas. Geoderma 230–231:194–203. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.geoderma.2014.04.004
- Pourret O, Bollinger JC (2018) "Heavy metal" what to do now: to use or not to use? Sci Total Environ 610–611:419–420. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.043
- Ramana S, Biswas AK, Singh AB, Ajay ANK, Subba Rao A (2015) Tolerance of ornamental succulent plant crown of thorns (euphorbia milli) to chromium and its remediation. Int J Phytoremed 17:363–368. https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514. 2013.862203
- Ramírez A, García G, Werner O, Ros RM (2020) vitro lead tolerance and accumulation in three Chrysanthemum cultivars for phytoremediation purposes with ornamental plants. Int J Phytorem 22:1110–1121. https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2020.1731730
- Ran MH, Chen H, Gu ZY, Liu DY (2016) Correlation between plant growth index and ornamental traits of poinsettia. Econ For Res 34(04):123–128
- Raskin I (1995) Phytoextraction: the use of plants to remove heavy metals from soils. Environ Sci Technol 29(5):1232–1238. https:// doi.org/10.1021/es00005a014
- Russell BJ, Shelton JP, Walsh A (1957) An atomic-absorption spectrophotometer and its application to the analysis of solutions. Spectrochim Acta 8(6):317–328
- Salt DE, Blaylock M, Kumar NP, Dushenkov V, Ensley BD, Chet I, Raskin I (1995) Phytoremediation: a novel strategy for the removal of toxic metals from the environment using plants. Biotechnology (NY) 13:468–474
- Sarwar N, Imran M, Shaheen MR, Ishaque W, Kamran MA, Matloob A, Rehim A, Hussain S (2017) Phytoremediation strategies for soils contaminated with heavy metals: modifications and future perspectives. Chemosphere 171:710–721. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.chemosphere.2016.12.116
- Sasmaz M, Akgul B, Yıldırım D, Sasmaz A (2016) Bioaccumulation of thallium by the wild plants grown in soils of mining area. Int J Phytorem 18:1164–1170
- Schwitzguébel J-P (2015) Phytoremediation of soils contaminated by organic compounds: hype, hope and facts. J Soils Sedim 17:1492–1502. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-015-1253-9
- Shaheen SM, Tsadilas CD, Rinklebe J (2013) A review of the distribution coefficients of trace elements in soils: influence of

sorption system, element characteristics, and soil colloidal properties. Adv Colloid Interface Sci 201–202:43–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2013.10.005

- Shang KK, Zhang GW, Jiang Y (2019) The ability of 54 woody plants to extract Cu Pb and Zn from soil. J Ecol 38:3723–3730
- Singh HP, Mahajan P, Kaur S, Batish DR, Kohli RK (2013) Chromium toxicity and tolerance in plants. Environ Chem Lett 11:229–254. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-013-0407-5
- Singh S (2012) Phytoremediation: a sustainable alternative for environmental challenges. Int J Gr Herb Chem 1:133–139
- Smith SR (1996) Agricultural recycling of sewage sludge and the environment. Environ Pollut 94:241–242
- Trigueros D, Mingorance MD, Rossini Oliva S (2012) Evaluation of the ability of Nerium oleander L. to remediate Pb-contaminated soils. J Geochem Explor 114:126–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gexplo.2012.01.005
- Usman K, Al-Ghouti MA, Abu-Dieyeh MH (2019) The assessment of cadmium, chromium, copper, and nickel tolerance and bioaccumulation by shrub plant Tetraena qataranse. Sci Rep 9:5658. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42029-9
- Vamerali T, Bandiera M, Coletto L, Zanetti F, Dickinson NM, Mosca G (2009) Phytoremediation trials on metal- and arsenic-contaminated pyrite wastes (Torviscosa, Italy). Environ Pollut 157:887–894. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.11.003
- Vincent G, Shang K, Zhang G, Labrecque M (2018) Preliminary results of the tolerance to inorganic contaminants and phytoextraction potential of twelve ornamental shrub species tested on an experimental contaminated site. iForest-Biogeosci For 11:442
- Wang XH, Luo WW, Wang Q, He LY, Sheng XF (2018) Metal(loid)resistant bacteria reduce wheat Cd and As uptake in metal(loid)contaminated soil. Environ Pollut 241:529–539. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.05.088
- Wei CY, Chen TB (2001) Advances in research on heavy metal superenriched plants and phytoremediation techniques.

- Were FH, Wafula GA, Wairungu S (2017) Phytoremediation using bamboo to reduce the risk of chromium exposure from a contaminated tannery site in Kenya. J Health Pollut 7:12–25
- Xu L, Xing X, Liang J, Peng J, Zhou J (2019) situ phytoremediation of copper and cadmium in a co-contaminated soil and its biological and physical effects RSC. Advances 9:993–1003. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ra07645f
- Yang Q, Li Z, Lu X, Duan Q, Huang L, Bi J (2018) A review of soil heavy metal pollution from industrial and agricultural regions in China: Pollution and risk assessment. Sci Total Environ 642:690–700. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.068
- Yoon J, Cao X, Zhou Q, Ma LQ (2006) Accumulation of Pb, Cu, and Zn in native plants growing on a contaminated Florida site. Sci Total Environ 368:456–464
- Yuan QF, Peng YJ, Li XZ, Li XL (2004) Comparative study on the application of eutrophin in potted poinsettia. Southwest Garden 32(2)
- Zhang X, Yang L, Li Y, Li H, Wang W, Ye B (2012) Impacts of lead/ zinc mining and smelting on the environment and human health in China. Environ Monit Assess 184:2261–2273. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10661-011-2115-6
- Zhao FJ, Lombi E, Breedon T, S. P. M. (2000) Zinc hyperaccumulation and cellular distribution in arabidopsis halleri plant. Cell Environ 23(5):507–514. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040. 2000.00569.x
- Zhou H (2009) Effects of NAA on physiological characteristics of poinsettia seedlings under water stress. Master, Central south university of forestry and technology
- Zu YQ, Li Y, Schvartz C, Langlade L, Liu F (2004) Accumulation of Pb, Cd, Cu and Zn in plants and hyperaccumulator choice in Lanping lead–zinc mine area. China Environ Int 30:567–576

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.