

The affinity and selectivity of α -adrenoceptor antagonists, antidepressants and antipsychotics for the human α 2A, α 2B and α 2C-adrenoceptors and comparison with human α 1 and β -adrenoceptors.

Richard G.W. Proudman, Juliana Akinaga, Jillian G. Baker

Cell Signalling Research Group,
Division of Physiology, Pharmacology and Neuroscience,
School of Life Sciences,
C Floor Medical School,
Queen's Medical Centre,
University of Nottingham,
Nottingham,
NG7 2UH,
UK.

Running title: α 2A, α 2B, α 2C-adrenoceptor antagonist selectivity

Cell Signalling Research Group,
Division of Physiology, Pharmacology and Neuroscience,
School of Life Sciences,
C Floor Medical School,
Queen's Medical Centre,
University of Nottingham,
Nottingham,
NG7 2UH,
UK.

Address correspondence to: Prof Jillian G Baker
Tel: 0115 8230085
Email: jillian.baker@nottingham.ac.uk

Number of text pages = 35 final (i.e. non red-pen) version

Number of Tables = 5

Number of Figures = 4

Number of references = 50

Abstract = 250 words

Introduction = 758 words

Discussion = 1481 words

Supplementary data

Table 1 = all ligands arranged in alphabetical order with suppliers and individual ligand codes, α 2A, α 2B, α 2C, β 1 and β 2-affinities

Table 2 – all ligands arranged in order of α 2A-affinity with selectivity ratios between α 2-adrenoceptors.

Abbreviations

CHO, Chinese hamster ovary

BPH, benign prostatic hyperplasia

sfm, serum free media = DMEM/F12 containing 2mM L-glutamine

Abstract

α 2-adrenoceptors, subdivided into α 2A, α 2B and α 2C subtypes and expressed in heart, blood vessels, kidney, platelets and brain, are important for blood pressure, sedation, analgesia and platelet aggregation. Brain α 2C-adrenoceptor blockade has also been suggested to be beneficial for antipsychotic action. However, comparing α 2-adrenoceptor subtype affinity is difficult due to significant species and methodology differences in published studies. Here, ^3H -rauwolscine whole cell binding was used to determine the affinity and selectivity of 99 α -antagonists (including antidepressants and antipsychotics) in CHO cells expressing human α 2A, α 2B or α 2C-adrenoceptors, using an identical method to β and α 1-adrenoceptor measurements, thus allowing direct human receptor comparisons. Yohimbine, RX821002, RS79948 and atipamezole are high affinity non-selective α 2-antagonists. BRL44408 was the most α 2A-selective antagonist, although its α 1A-affinity (81nM) is only 9-fold greater than its α 2C-affinity. MK-912 is the highest-affinity, most α 2C-selective antagonist (0.15nM α 2C-affinity) although its α 2C-selectivity is only 13-fold greater than at α 2A. There are no α 2B-selective antagonists. A few α -ligands with significant β -affinity were detected, e.g. naftopidil where its clinical α 1A-affinity is only 3-fold greater than off-target β 2-affinity.

Antidepressants (except mirtazapine) and first generation antipsychotics have higher α 1A than α 2-adrenoceptor affinity but poor β -affinity. Second generation antipsychotics varied widely in their α 2-adrenoceptor affinity. Risperidone (9nM) and paliperidone (14nM) have the highest α 2C-adrenoceptor affinity however this is only 5-fold selective over α 2A, and both have higher affinity for α 1A (2nM and 4nM respectively). So, despite a century of yohimbine use, and decades of α 2-subtype studies, there remains plenty of scope to develop α 2-subtype selective antagonists.

Keywords

α -adrenoceptor, antagonist, affinity, selectivity, hypertension, antipsychotic, antidepressant

Introduction.

The α_2 -antagonist yohimbine, obtained from the African *Corynanthe yohimbe* tree (*Pausinystalia johimbe*), has been in clinical use as an aphrodisiac for over a century [1-2]. It has been used for erectile dysfunction and increases many sexual behaviours through central (CNS) α_2 -effects and potential local effects as α_2A , α_2B and α_2C -adrenoceptors are expressed in human corpus cavernosum [1-2], and can indeed bind yohimbine from tree bark [3]. The α_2 -antagonist idazoxan, developed in 1970s, is selective for α_2 over α_1 -adrenoceptors, but also binds to other imidazoline binding sites which limits its usefulness in tissue or animal studies [4-5]. This led to the development of RX821002, a 2-methyl congener of idazoxan, in the 1980s which retained high α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity but without imidazoline receptor affinity (although 5-HT receptor interactions still occur [6-7]).

α_2 -adrenoceptors are subdivided into α_2A , α_2B and α_2C -subtypes. With receptors being present in heart, blood vessels and kidney [8], α_2 -adrenoceptors are important in blood pressure control (an interplay between α_1 , α_2 and β -adrenoceptors) and including central and peripheral α_2 -effects. In addition, many α_2 -adrenoceptors present in brain also have clinical roles in anaesthesia and psychiatric treatments [9] with both pre- and post-synaptic effects on neurotransmission [10-13].

α_2A -adrenoceptors are widely expressed and are important for blood pressure, sedation, analgesia, platelet aggregation and hypothermia [14-15]. In the brain, 90% of all α_2 -adrenoceptors are of the α_2A subtype and they are highly expressed in the prefrontal cortex where activation increases cognitive function [16,17]. α_2A -adrenoceptor antagonism may be important in sepsis (administration of the α_2A -selective antagonist BRL44408 reduced pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF- α and IL-6 and increased survival in a rat model of sepsis [18]) and potentially clinically relevant α_2A -mirtazapine-induced reversal of analgesia [19]. The roles of the α_2B -adrenoceptors are less clear. α_2B -adrenoceptors are involved in blood pressure control (activation causes a hypertensive response related to renal salt balance [14]). The expression and effects of the α_2B -adrenoceptors appear very minor in brain [17]. The α_2C -adrenoceptor is involved in catecholamine release in adrenal chromaffin cells [15] and in the brain process of startle and stress responses [14]. α_2C -adrenoceptors form 10% of all brain adrenoceptors but appear particularly prevalent in the striatum and hippocampus [16].

For certain antipsychotics (e.g. clozapine), α_2C -antagonism, in addition to dopamine D2 blockade, is thought to be beneficial in the management of schizophrenia [12-13, 17] and α_2C -antagonism may be helpful in improving cognition in dementia [12]. However, a lack of subtype selective α_2 -adrenoceptor ligands has impaired understanding and knowledge of α_2 -subtype expression and α_2 -subtype function, with much information coming from knockout mice, with subtype adaptation problems that this brings [12-15, 17, 20].

Determining the affinity and selectivity between different α_2 -adrenoceptor antagonists has been difficult due to significant variability both within individual, and between different existing studies. Many older studies (pre-cloned receptors) used different tissue preparations from different species as examples of subtype-selective tissue e.g. human platelet or cortex for α_2A vs neonatal rat lung for α_2B [21-23]. However, there are significant species differences. Differences of up to 30-fold for the affinity of several ligands (including yohimbine and its stereoisomer rauwolscine) for α_2A -adrenoceptors have been reported for human/pig (higher affinity) vs rat/guinea pig (lower affinity) [23-34]. Prazosin is the opposite with 15-20-fold high affinity for rat/mouse kidney receptors than human/rabbit/dog α_2A -adrenoceptors [4, 25]. Overall, it appears that the human α_2 -adrenoceptors have more similarity to those of pig, dog and rabbit than those of rat, mouse and guinea pig [6-7, 26-27], which adds further caution with extrapolating from knock-out mice studies to human clinical relevance of drug actions.

In addition, substantial differences are reported for affinity measurements of single ligands at single subtypes. Reports of prazosin affinity at human α_2A -adrenoceptors range 50-fold, from 300nM [21, 28] to a few thousand nM [23-24, 29], to 16000nM [6]. Differences in affinity have also been attributed to technique. A 5-fold difference in 3H -rauwolscine affinity, and 4-fold difference in 3H -RX821002 and 3H -atipamezole affinity was found with different buffers [30]. Thus, previously reported differences in affinity are likely to be due to several explanations: species is very important but techniques (cloned receptor vs whole tissue, membrane vs whole cell, different buffers) are also important and make direct comparison of studies difficult.

This study therefore measured the affinity and selectivity of a wide range of α -antagonists (including antidepressants and antipsychotics) in living CHO cells expressing the human α_2A , α_2B or α_2C -adrenoceptor. Furthermore, as these measurements were determined using

an identical technique in human β 1 and β 2-adrenoceptors (included here, and [31-32]) and α 1-adrenoceptors [33], this study explores the affinity and selectivity of ligands across the human adrenoceptors commonly targeted for cardiovascular and CNS effects.

Methods.

Materials.

All compounds, together with the supplier and catalogue number are given in alphabetical order in Supplementary Data Table 1. White sided view plates were from Greiner Bio-one, Kremsmunster, Austria. ³H-rauwolscine (a stereoisomer of yohimbine, specific activity 82.9), ³H-RX821002 (specific activity 36.5), ³H-CGP12177 (specific activity 37.7), Microscint 20 and Ultima Gold XL scintillation fluid were from PerkinElmer (Buckinghamshire, UK). Foetal calf serum was from Gibco (Thermo-Fisher), Lipofectamine and OPTIMEM were from Life Technologies, Thermo-Fisher, Massachusetts USA. All other cell culture reagents were from Sigma Chemicals (Poole, Dorset, UK).

Cell lines

CHO-K1 (RIDD: CVCL_0214) were stably transfected with the human α 2A-adrenoceptor, human α 2B-adrenoceptor or human α 2C-adrenoceptor DNA (DNAs from Guthrie DNA Resource Centre) using Lipofectamine and Optimem according to the manufacturers instructions. Following 3 weeks selection using resistance to neomycin (at 1mg/ml), single clones from each transfection were isolated by dilution cloning. Thus stable cell lines CHO- α 2A, CHO- α 2B and CHO- α 2C were created. CHO lines stable expressing the human β 1 or β 2-adrenoceptor were also used [31].

Cell culture

CHO cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium nutrient mix F12 (DMEM/F12) containing 10% foetal calf serum and 2mM L-glutamine in a 37°C humidified 5% CO₂ : 95% air atmosphere. Cells were seeded into white-sided, clear bottomed 96-well view plates and grown to confluence. Cells were always grown in the absence of any antibiotics. Mycoplasma contamination has intermittently been monitored within the laboratory (negative) but cell lines were not tested routinely with each experiment.

³H-rauwolscine and ³H-RX821002 whole cell saturation binding

The K_D value for both radioligands was determined in each cell line by saturation binding. The radioligands were diluted to twice the final concentration in serum free media (sfm DMEM/F12 containing 2mM L-glutamine). Media was removed from each well and replaced with either 100 μ l sfm (total binding) or 100 μ l 20 μ M RX821002 (when ³H-rauwolscine used)

or 20 μ M yohimbine (when $^3\text{H-RX821002}$ used) in sfm to determine non-specific binding. 100 μ l radioligand was then added to the wells (quadruplicates per condition = 1 in 2 dilution in well), and the plates incubated at 37°C (humidified 5% CO₂ : 95% air atmosphere) for 2 hours. After 2 hrs, the cells were washed twice by the addition and removal of 2 x 200 μ l cold (4°C) phosphate buffered saline. A white base was applied to the plate to convert the wells into white-sided/white-bottomed wells, 100 μ l Microscint 20 was added to each well and a transparent topseal applied to the plates. Plates were left at room temperature in the dark for at least 6 hours before being counted on a Topcount (PerkinElmer, 2 minute count per well).

$^3\text{H-rauwolscine}$, $^3\text{H-RX821002}$ and $^3\text{H-CGP12177}$ whole cell competition binding

Affinity was assessed using the whole cell binding method of [31]. Ligands were diluted in sfm to twice their final concentration. Media was removed from each well and 100 μ l ligand added to triplicate wells. This was immediately followed by the addition of 100 μ l radioligand (diluted in sfm) and the cells incubated for 2 hours at 37°C (5% CO₂, humidified atmosphere), after which the plates were washed as above. Cells were inspected under a light microscope to ensure cells were still adherent after the wash and before the addition of Microscint 20. In a few cases, high concentrations of competing ligand caused the cells to round up and be washed off the plates. These concentrations were excluded from the analysis. Total binding (6 wells/plate) and non-specific binding (6 wells/plate (determined by the presence of 10 μ M yohimbine or 10 μ M RX821002 in sfm) was defined in every plate.

Given the two-component inhibition of $^3\text{H-prazosin}$ binding seen with dibenamine and phenoxybenzamine at the α 1-adrenoceptors, sodium thiosulphate, which reacts with the ethyleniminium ions, was used in dibenamine and phenoxybenzamine experiments, in excess, as in [33].

Thus all studies in human β , α 1 and α 2-adrenoceptors have been conducted in intact living mammalian cells using the same method. The only differences between the experiments are the radioligand, the ligand used to define non-specific binding and the transfected receptor. As all experiments were conducted in living cells, physiological levels of intracellular endogenous GTP will always have been present and potentially are therefore more akin to how drugs bind in people, rather than studies conducted in membrane preparations. There is theoretically a potential difference in affinity measurement if compounds have a different

intrinsic efficacy for different receptor subtypes. Thus, if one compound is a partial agonist at one receptor subtype but an inverse agonist at another, a different receptor state is induced upon binding to the receptor. This may therefore affect how the compound and radioligand compete for the receptor, which in turn could theoretically affect affinity measurements. As this study was aimed at studying antagonists, this effect is likely to be minimal.

Data analysis

Saturation curves for specific radioligand binding were plotted using the following equation in GraphPad Prism 7:

$$\text{Specific binding} = \frac{B_{\max} \times K_D}{([^3\text{H-radioligand}] + K_D)}$$

where B_{\max} is the maximum specific binding, K_D is the dissociation constant of the radioligand and $[^3\text{H-radioligand}]$ is the concentration of the radioligand.

In all cases where a K_D value is stated, increasing concentrations of the competing ligand fully inhibited the specific binding of the radioligand (unless otherwise annotated in the tables). The following equation was then fitted to the data using Graphpad Prism 7 and the IC_{50} was then determined as the concentration required to inhibit 50% of the specific binding

$$\% \text{ specific binding} = 100 - \frac{(100 \times [A])}{([A] + IC_{50})}$$

where $[A]$ is the concentration of the competing ligand and IC_{50} is the concentration at which half of the specific binding of radioligand that has been inhibited.

From the IC_{50} value, the known concentration of radioligand and the known radioligand K_D for at each receptor, a K_D (concentration at which half the receptors are bound by the competing ligand) value was calculated using the Cheng-Prusoff equation:

$$K_D \text{ competing ligand} = \frac{IC_{50}}{1 + ([^3\text{H-radioligand}]/K_D \text{ } ^3\text{H-radioligand})}$$

In some cases the maximum concentration of competing ligand was not able to inhibit all of the specific binding. Where no inhibition of radioligand binding was seen, even with maximum concentration of competing ligand possible, “no binding” is given in the tables. Where the inhibition produced by the maximum concentration of the competing ligand was

50% or less, an IC₅₀ could not be determined and thus a K_D value not calculated. This is shown in the tables as IC₅₀>top concentration used (i.e. IC₅₀>100μM means that 100μM inhibited some but less than 50% of the specific binding). In cases where the competing ligand caused a substantial (greater than 50%, but not 100%) inhibition of specific binding, an IC₅₀ value was determined by extrapolating the curve to non-specific levels and assuming that a greater concentration would have resulted in 100% inhibition. These values are given as apparent K_D values in the tables.

For some ligands, a one-component sigmoidal fit was visually not a good fit for the inhibition of ³H- rauwolscine binding (e.g. Figure 2b) in which case a two-component curve was used, using the equation below:

$$\% \text{ specific binding} = \frac{[A].N}{([A] + IC_{501})} + \frac{[A].(100-N)}{([A] + IC_{502})}$$

where [A] is the concentration of the competing ligand, IC₅₀₁ and IC₅₀₂ are the respective IC₅₀ values for the two components and N is the percentage of the response occurring through the first component (IC₅₀₁). K_D values were calculated from IC₅₀ values as above.

Radioligand concentrations were determined from taking the average of triplicate 50μl samples of each radioligand concentration used and counted on a PerkinElmer Scintillation counter.

Selectivity ratios are given as a ratio of the K_D values for the different receptors.

In view of the higher level of receptor expressions in these cell lines and concerns about depletion of the free radioligand in the binding assays, depletion was monitored. Free radioligand depletion of 20% was encountered (resulting in a potential inaccuracy of 0.04 log units in the stated K_D values). Ligand depletion of a maximum of 25-33% were noted in occasional experiments. This results in a potential inaccuracy of 0.06 to 0.08 log units in the stated K_D value of the competing ligands. However, as radioligand depletion would not have been constant through the displacement curve, with only half the depletion at IC₅₀ (i.e. usually therefore an error of 0.02 log units for the calculated K_D value), this is within experimental error and does not substantially affect the results. Data are therefore plotted and K_D values calculated assuming no radioligand depletion.

Results

Evaluation of ³H-rauwolscine and ³H-RX821002 for whole cell binding

³H-rauwolscine and ³H-RX821002 have previously been used for membrane binding studies in both cell lines and with human tissue (e.g. [20-21, 30, 34-35]). However, given the reported differences in off target affinity, both radioligands were investigated for their suitability for studying radioligand binding in whole living cells. Saturation binding yielded a K_D value for ³H-rauwolscine in CHO- α 2A cell of 2.79 ± 0.24 nM (5830 ± 853 fmol/mg protein, n=7), in CHO- α 2B cells of 7.87 ± 0.78 nM (13102 ± 2805 fmol/mg protein, n=9) and in CHO- α 2C cells of 0.76 ± 0.07 nM (1379 ± 98 fmol/mg protein, n=9). For ³H-RX821002 saturation binding studies, the values were K_D 4.73 ± 0.42 nM (4584 ± 667 fmol/mg protein, n=8) in CHO- α 2A cells, 17.96 ± 1.41 nM (11326 fmol/mg protein, n=6) in CHO- α 2B cells and 3.60 ± 0.24 nM (798 ± 143 fmol/mg protein, n=6) in CHO- α 2C cells. Several ligands were investigated in competition studies using both radioligands and very similar results were obtained (Table 1). Thus both ³H-rauwolscine and ³H-RX821002 are good ligands for whole cell studies in living CHO cells with transfected human α 2-adrenoceptors. ³H-rauwolscine was chosen for all further studies as its affinity was slightly higher at all three receptors.

Affinity and selectivity of ligands at α 2-adrenoceptors

The affinity and selectivity of a large range of α -adrenoceptor antagonists was evaluated (Figure 1; Table 2). It is clear that there are few α 2-subtype selective ligands. Dibenamine and phenoxybenzamine inhibited ³H-rauwolscine binding in a manner best described by a two-component response in CHO- α 2B cells for both compounds and for phenoxybenzamine in CHO- α 2C cells (Figure 2, Table 2) in a manner similar to that seen in the α 1-adrenoceptors [33]. The responses in CHO- α 2A cells and for dibenamine in CHO- α 2C cells were too low affinity for a second component to be clearly determined. Dibenamine and phenoxybenzamine both contain a nitrogen mustard group, which cyclises to form ethyleniminium ions [36]. Sodium thiosulphate reacts with the ethyleniminium ions preventing them interacting with α -adrenoceptors [36]. Preincubation with sodium thiosulphate abolished the higher affinity components and reduced the affinity of both ligands at all three receptors as follows: dibenamine -4.59 ± 0.08 n=5, -4.64 ± 0.07 n=5 and -4.64 ± 0.11 n=5 for α 2A, α 2B and α 2C respectively; and for phenoxybenzamine -4.71 ± 0.13 n=5, -4.86 ± 0.08 n=5 and -4.96 ± 0.10 n=5 for α 2A, α 2B and α 2C respectively and are therefore similar to the second component response. The higher affinity K_D values in Table 2 are

therefore highly likely to be the affinity of the ligand interacting with the receptor (as in [33]).

Given the more recent suggestions of $\alpha 2C$ affinity being important for antipsychotic drug actions, the affinity and selectivity of antidepressants (Table 3) and antipsychotics (Figure 3; Table 4) were examined.

Affinity and selectivity of ligands at $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptors

Given that drug interactions at $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptors affect blood pressure control, and that the affinity of these ligand has been assessed in comparative assays in $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ receptors, the affinity of ligands was also evaluated in CHO cells stably expressing the human $\beta 1$ or $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptor using ^3H -CGP12177 whole cell binding (Figure 3; Table 5).

Tables combining all ligands are presented in Supplementary Data. Supplementary Data Table 1 has the ligands arranged in alphabetical order (with suppliers and individual ligand codes, $\alpha 2A$, $\alpha 2B$, $\alpha 2C$, $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ affinity). Supplementary Data Table 2 has all ligands organised in order of $\alpha 2A$ affinity ($\alpha 2A$, $\alpha 2B$, $\alpha 2C$ affinities and selectivities).

Discussion

One aim of this study was to determine the selectivity of a range of ligands at the human α 2-adrenoceptors and this study confirmed previous comments that there are few α 2-subtype selective ligands [11, 14-15, 20].

Selectivity between α 2A, α 2B and α 2C-adrenoceptors

Yohimbine and RX821002 were confirmed as high affinity antagonists at all 3 subtypes. Both compounds had lower affinity at α 2B-adrenoceptors than at α 2A or α 2C, in keeping with some other studies (both in cell lines [24, 29], and in tissues [7, 30, 37-38]). Other compounds with high affinity at all 3 subtypes were: atipamezole [30, 37] and RS79948 [27] and should thus be regarded as non-selective α 2-antagonists. Lisuride has high affinity across many different receptor subtypes [39-40].

BRL44408 (65nM at α 2A) was the most α 2A-adrenoceptor selective ligand in keeping with [22, 24, 26, 41] however although it was 60-fold selective for α 2A over α 2B, BRL44408's selectivity for α 2A over α 2C-adrenoceptors was only 9-fold. Although S32212 and ARC239 were 15-21-fold selective for the α 2B over the α 2A-adrenoceptor, their α 2B vs α 2C is marginal (less than 5 fold), in keeping with [21, 24, 28-29, 41-42] and thus there are no α 2B-selective ligands. Within the α 2-adrenoceptors, JP1302 was the overall most α 2C-selective ligand with an α 2C-selectivity of 43 and 65 over α 2A and α 2B respectively, in keeping with [20] however its affinity (120nM at α 2C) was a little lower than previously reported (16-28nM [20]). MK-912 was the highest affinity ligand overall (0.15nM at α 2C) and also had some α 2C-selectivity (having 13 and 46-fold higher α 2C-affinity than α 2A or α 2B respectively) again in keeping with previous studies [24, 26-27, 41].

Prazosin had higher affinity for α 2C (257nM) and α 2B (676nM) than α 2A (4678nM), and thus the pattern of affinity at these 3 subtypes was similar to some other studies of human receptors [24, 29-30] even if the absolute values have varied considerably (see Introduction for details).

Selectivity across α 1, α 2 and β -adrenoceptors

Given that the affinity values determined in this study were using an identical technique to affinity values determined in the human α 1 and β 1 and β 2-adrenoceptors (the only difference

was transfected receptor, radioligand and ligand used for non-specific binding), a second aim of this study was to compare affinities between the human adrenoceptors ($\alpha 2$, $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ reported here, $\alpha 1A$, $\alpha 1B$ and $\alpha 1D$ -adrenoceptor subtypes from [33] and $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$ and $\beta 3$ from [31-32]). The findings of these studies are therefore discussed as a whole, in comparison with other literature findings.

SNAP5089, silodosin and niguldipine are indeed highly $\alpha 1A$ -selective antagonists (>500 selectivity over $\alpha 2$ or $\beta 1$ or $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptors), and BMY7378 has ~100-fold $\alpha 1D$ -selectivity. BRL44408 is the best $\alpha 2A$ selective antagonist although its affinity for $\alpha 2A$ is only a modest 9-fold greater its $\alpha 2C$ affinity. MK-912 is the best $\alpha 2C$ -antagonist (0.15nM $\alpha 2C$ -affinity) although again its $\alpha 2C$ selectivity is only modest (13-fold greater than $\alpha 2A$). JP1302 ($\alpha 2C$ affinity 120nM) has an $\alpha 1A$ -adrenoceptor affinity of 617nM, only 5-fold less, so is not a truly $\alpha 2C$ -selective ligand. CGP20712A ($\beta 1$) and ICI118551 ($\beta 2$) are also highly selective antagonists with minimal α -affinity. There are no truly $\alpha 1B$ or $\alpha 2B$ selective antagonists. Figure 4 shows the affinity (log K_D values) of most selective ligand and each adrenoceptor subtype (i.e. BRL44408 for $\alpha 2A$, S32212 for $\alpha 2B$ and MK-912 for $\alpha 2C$) along with the single most selective antagonists at the other adrenoceptors and demonstrates that the $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptors fall behind $\alpha 1$ and β with regards to availability of highly subtype-selective ligands.

Silodosin (used for benign prostatic hyperplasia BPH) and naftopidil (used especially in Japan for BPH and ureteral stone expulsion [43]), have significant $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptor affinity (~30nM). Silodosin is highly $\alpha 1A$ -selective (0.25nM) giving a >100-fold selectivity window compared to the other adrenoceptors. Naftopidil, however is not selective, with $\alpha 1A$ and $\beta 2$ affinities only 3-fold apart and thus potentially increasing the risk of bronchospasm in those with asthma. Likewise, there is little evidence here to support SKF86466 being an $\alpha 2$ -selective antagonist [44-46]. The affinity of SKF86466 for the $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptor (250nM) is similar to the highest α -adrenoceptor affinity (407nM at $\alpha 2C$). This may well be a species issue (see introduction) with previous studies being conducted in rodents [44-46], however others suggest a human $\alpha 2A$ -affinity of 13nM [23].

Labetolol and carvedilol are often usually referred to as dual α/β -blockers (e.g. [47]). Labetolol (affinities of $\beta 2$ 6-9nM, $\beta 1$ 11-23nM and $\alpha 1A$ 47nM) has very poor affinity at $\alpha 1B$, $\alpha 1D$, $\alpha 2A$, $\alpha 2B$, $\alpha 2C$ and $\beta 3$ -adrenoceptors and thus reasonable affinity at only 1 out of 6 α -

adrenoceptors. A $\beta/\alpha1A$ -antagonist would be a more accurate description. Likewise, carvedilol with affinities for $\beta2$ of 0.1-0.4nM, $\beta1$ of 0.6-1.8nM and $\beta3$ of 5nM also has highest α -affinity for $\alpha1A$ (4nM) over $\alpha1B$ or $\alpha1D$ (14nM) or $\alpha2$ -adrenoceptors (48-490nM), so with affinities up to 1000-fold different across the 9 different adrenoceptors should not be considered a pan α/β -blocker. The lack of affinity of other β -blockers for the α -adrenoceptors may also be expected [48].

Antidepressants and antipsychotics

Given the considerable CNS expression of $\alpha2A$ and $\alpha2C$ -adrenoceptors, and that many antidepressants and antipsychotics have high $\alpha1A$ -affinity, a third aim of this study was to compare the affinity of antidepressants and antipsychotics across the adrenoceptors.

The antidepressants generally had poor $\alpha2$ -adrenoceptor affinity, considerably lower affinity than that seen for the tricyclic antidepressant affinities at the $\alpha1A$ -adrenoceptor. The antidepressant mirtazapine is a slight outsider with the highest $\alpha2$ -affinity of the antidepressants studied here, and higher than $\alpha1A$ -affinity. It has been associated with antinociceptive properties attributed to $\alpha2$ -adrenoceptors in mice [19, 49]. Mirtazapine ($\alpha2A$ -affinity 158nM) and $\alpha2C$ 110nM), had similar affinity to the $\alpha2$ -antagonist idazoxan and similar values to those obtained in human $\alpha2A$ receptors (79-126nM) in [49], who also reported lower affinity at human $\alpha1$ and unmeasurable affinity at human $\beta1$ or $\beta2$ -adrenoceptors. Of note, [49] also reported similar values for mirtazapine for human and rat receptors, whereas [17] suggest ~10-fold higher $\alpha2$ -affinity in what appears to be data gathered from mice.

Interestingly, many tricyclic antidepressant had a slight $\alpha2B$ -selectivity, something not seen with most α -ligands (Table 2), with the most potent (amitriptyline) having an $\alpha2B$ -affinity (76nM) only 10-fold lower than that at $\alpha1A$ -adrenoceptor. Vortioxetine was the only antidepressant with any significant β -adrenoceptor affinity and the only to have β -adrenoceptor affinity greater than α -adrenoceptor affinity (178nM for the $\beta2$ -adrenoceptor).

$\alpha2C$ -adrenoceptor affinity has previously been suggested to have added benefits for the clinical actions of certain antipsychotics [17, 50]. Here, first generation antipsychotics had lower affinity for the $\alpha2$ -adrenoceptors than $\alpha1$ -adrenoceptors, and had little selectivity for

$\alpha 2C$ over the other $\alpha 2$ -subtypes. For example, chlorpromazine had affinities of $\alpha 2A$ 2239nM, $\alpha 2B$ 251nM, $\alpha 2C$ 1175nM, whereas its $\alpha 1A$ -adrenoceptor affinity is 1nM. There is however huge heterogeneity even between studies of human $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptors. Chlorpromazine affinities range from $\alpha 2A$ 78nM, $\alpha 2B$ 4.8nM and $\alpha 2C$ 41nM (3H -rauwolscine membrane binding from human receptors expressed in COS cells [28], $\alpha 2A$ 396-535nM (3H -yohimbine membrane binding using human colonic cancer cells and human platelets [21], $\alpha 2A$ 600nM, $\alpha 2B$ 43nM and $\alpha 2C$ 260nM (3H -RX821002 membrane binding for human receptors expressed in CHO cells [29], $\alpha 2A$ 1008nM, $\alpha 2B$ 34nM and $\alpha 2C$ 85nM (3H -RX821002 membrane binding to human receptors expressed in mouse cells [30], $\alpha 2A$ 2245nM (3H -RX821002 membrane binding to human platelets [23], to $\alpha 2A$ 4169nM and $\alpha 2C$ 1413nM (antagonism of agonist responses living CHO cells expressing the human $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptor [50]).

The second generation antipsychotics had a wide range of affinity for the $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptors, with risperidone (9nM, $\alpha 2C$) and paliperidone (14nM $\alpha 2C$) having the highest affinity (in keeping with other human $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptor studies [50]), to >1000nM affinity for olanzepine and amisulpiride. Even for risperidone and paliperidone, the $\alpha 2C$ affinity is lower than that seen at the $\alpha 1A$ -adrenoceptor and once again $\alpha 2A$ vs $\alpha 2C$ -selectivity was very marginal. Clozapine, which has been particularly noted for $\alpha 2C$ -affinity [12-13, 17] had an $\alpha 2C$ -affinity of 135nM, compared to its $\alpha 1A$ -affinity of 5.4nM measured under identical conditions. This $\alpha 2C$ affinity is similar to that measured in intact CHO cells expressing human receptors (54nM [50], but poorer than that reported in membrane radioligand binding studies (6.5nM [21, 29]).

Conclusion

This study, using identical methods to previous $\alpha 1$ and β -adrenoceptor studies, allows comparison of ligand affinity, and thus selectivity, between the α and β -adrenoceptor subtypes. Overall, there is huge variety in the literature for the affinity of $\alpha 2$ ligands (more so than for $\alpha 1$ or β), and for which species differences appear to play a significant role, but technique may also be important. Whilst selective antagonists exist for $\alpha 1A$, $\alpha 1D$, $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptor, there are few selective $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptor ligands and for those that do exist (BRL44408 for $\alpha 1A$ and MK-912 for $\alpha 2C$) only have small windows of selectivity. Antidepressants (with the exception of mirtazapine) and first generation antipsychotics have

higher α 1A than α 2-adrenoceptor affinity. Second generation antipsychotic varied widely in their α 2-adrenoceptor affinity however this study does not lend much support for an important role for α 2C-selective action for certain antipsychotics. Clearly, however, even after a century of yohimbine use, there remains plenty of scope to develop selective α 2-antagonists.

Authorship contributions

JGB designed the research study. RGWP, JA and JGB performed the research. JGB analysed the data. JGB wrote the paper.

Acknowledgements

We thank June McCulloch for her technical assistance. This was funded by the School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, and by a Brazilian Federal Agency for Support and Evaluation of Graduate Education (CAPES)-University of Nottingham Programme in Drug Discovery (CAPES 88881.141267/2017-1).

Conflicts of interest

JGB has been on the Scientific Advisory Board for CuraSen Therapeutics since 2019.

Data sharing

Further information and requests for data and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the corresponding author, Jillian Baker. Please contact

jillian.baker@nottingham.ac.uk

Ethical statement

No animals, human tissue, human volunteers or patients were used in this study.

References

- [1] Morales A. (2001) Yohimbine in erectile dysfunction: would an orphan drug ever be properly assessed? *World J Urol.* 19(4): 251-5. doi: 10.1007/s003450000182.
- [2] Tam SW, Worcel M, Wyllie M. (2001) Yohimbine: a clinical review. *Pharmacol Ther.* 91(3):215-43. doi: 10.1016/s0163-7258(01)00156-5.
- [3] Sperling H, Lorenz A, Krege S, Arndt R, Michel MC (2002) An extract from the bark of *Aspidosperma quebracho blanco* binds to human penile alpha-adrenoceptors. *J Urol.* 168(1): 160-3.
- [4] Michel MC, Brodde OE, Schnepel B, Behrendt J, Tschada R, Motulsky HJ, Insel PA. (1989) [3H]idazoxan and some other alpha 2-adrenergic drugs also bind with high affinity to a nonadrenergic site. *Mol Pharmacol.* 35(3):324-30.
- [5] Brown CM, MacKinnon AC, McGrath JC, Spedding M, Kilpatrick AT. (1990) Alpha 2-adrenoceptor subtypes and imidazoline-like binding sites in the rat brain. *Br J Pharmacol.* 803-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.1990.tb13010.x.
- [6] Miralles A, Olmos G, Sastre M, Barturen F, Martin I, Garcia-Sevilla JA. (1993) Discrimination and pharmacological characterization of I2-imidazoline sites with [3H]idazoxan and alpha-2 adrenoceptors with [3H]RX821002 (2-methoxy idazoxan) in the human and rat brains. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 264(3):1187-97.
- [7] Clarke RW, Harris J. (2002) RX 821002 as a tool for physiological investigation of alpha(2)-adrenoceptors. *CNS Drug Rev.* 8(2):177-92. doi: 10.1111/j.1527-3458.2002.tb00222.x.
- [8] Pettinger WA, Jackson EK (2020) α 2-Adrenoceptors: Challenges and Opportunities-Enlightenment from the Kidney. *Cardiovasc Ther* doi: 10.1155/2020/2478781.
- [9] Calzada BC, Artiñano AA (2001) Alpha-adrenoceptor subtypes. *Pharmacol Res* 44(3): 195-208. doi: 10.1006/phrs.2001.0857.
- [10] Trendelenburg AU, Philipp M, Meyer A, Klebroff W, Hein L and Starke K (2003) All three α 2-adrenoceptor types serve as autoreceptors in postganglionic neurons. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* 368:504-512.
- [11] Philipp M, Brede M, Hein L. (2002) Physiological significance of alpha(2)-adrenergic receptor subtype diversity: one receptor is not enough. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 283(2):R287-95. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00123.2002.
- [12] Langer SZ (2015) α 2-Adrenoceptors in the treatment of major neuropsychiatric disorders *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 36(4): 196-202. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2015.02.006.
- [13] Uys MM, Shahid M, Harvey BH. (2017) Therapeutic Potential of Selectively Targeting the α 2C-Adrenoceptor in Cognition, Depression, and Schizophrenia-New Developments and Future Perspective. *Front Psychiatry.* 8:144. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2017.00144.

- [14] Kable JW, Murrin LC, Bylund DB. (2000) In vivo gene modification elucidates subtype-specific functions of alpha(2)-adrenergic receptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 293(1):1-7.
- [15] Knaus AE, Muthig V, Schickinger S, Moura E, Beetz N, Gilsbach R, Hein L. (2007) Alpha2-adrenoceptor subtypes--unexpected functions for receptors and ligands derived from gene-targeted mouse models. *Neurochem Int.* 51(5):277-81. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2007.06.036.
- [16] Bücheler MM, Hadamek K, Hein L. (2002) Two alpha(2)-adrenergic receptor subtypes, alpha(2A) and alpha(2C), inhibit transmitter release in the brain of gene-targeted mice. *Neuroscience.* 109(4):819-26. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4522(01)00531-0.
- [17] Brosda J, Jantschak F, Pertz HH. (2014) α 2-Adrenoceptors are targets for antipsychotic drugs. *Psychopharmacology* 231(5): 801-12. doi: 10.1007/s00213-014-3459-8.
- [18] Zhang F, Wu R, Qiang X, Zhou M, Wang P. (2010) Antagonism of alpha2A-adrenoceptor: a novel approach to inhibit inflammatory responses in sepsis. *J Mol Med (Berl).* 88(3):289-96. doi: 10.1007/s00109-009-0555-z.
- [19] Neyama H, Dozono N, Uchida H, Ueda H. (2020) Mirtazapine, an α 2 Antagonist-Type Antidepressant, Reverses Pain and Lack of Morphine Analgesia in Fibromyalgia-Like Mouse Models. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 375(1):1-9. doi: 10.1124/jpet.120.265942.
- [20] Sallinen J, Höglund I, Engström M, Lehtimäki J, Virtanen R, Sirviö J, Wurster S, Savola JM, Haapalinna A. (2007) Pharmacological characterization and CNS effects of a novel highly selective alpha2C-adrenoceptor antagonist JP-1302. *Br J Pharmacol.* 150(4):391-402. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjp.0707005.
- [21] Bylund DB, Ray-Prenger C, Murphy TJ. (1988) Alpha-2A and alpha-2B adrenergic receptor subtypes: antagonist binding in tissues and cell lines containing only one subtype. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 245(2):600-7.
- [22] Young P, Berge J, Chapman H, Cawthorne MA. (1989) Novel alpha 2-adrenoceptor antagonists show selectivity for alpha 2A- and alpha 2B-adrenoceptor subtypes. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 168(3):381-6. doi: 10.1016/0014-2999(89)90801-7.
- [23] Renouard A, Widdowson PS, Millan MJ. (1994) Multiple alpha 2 adrenergic receptor subtypes. I. Comparison of [3H]RX821002-labeled rat R alpha-2A adrenergic receptors in cerebral cortex to human H alpha2A adrenergic receptor and other populations of alpha-2 adrenergic subtypes. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 270(3):946-57.
- [24] Uhlén S, Porter AC, Neubig RR. (1994) The novel alpha-2 adrenergic radioligand [3H]-MK912 is alpha-2C selective among human alpha-2A, alpha-2B and alpha-2C adrenoceptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 271(3):1558-65.
- [25] Neylon CB, Summers RJ. (1985) [3H]-rauwolscine binding to alpha 2-adrenoceptors in the mammalian kidney: apparent receptor heterogeneity between species. *Br J Pharmacol.* 85(2): 349-59. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.1985.tb08868.x.

- [26] Wikberg-Matsson A, Wikberg JE, Uhlén S. (1995) Identification of drugs subtype-selective for alpha 2A-, alpha 2B-, and alpha 2C-adrenoceptors in the pig cerebellum and kidney cortex. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 284(3):271-9. doi: 10.1016/0014-2999(95)00354-n.
- [27] Uhlén S, Dambrova M, Näsman J, Schiöth HB, Gu Y, Wikberg-Matsson A, Wikberg JE. (1998) [3H]RS79948-197 binding to human, rat, guinea pig and pig alpha2A-, alpha2B- and alpha2C-adrenoceptors. Comparison with MK912, RX821002, rauwolscine and yohimbine. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 343(1):93-101. doi: 10.1016/s0014-2999(97)01521-5.
- [28] Bylund DB, Blaxall HS, Iversen LJ, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ, Lomasney JW (1992) Pharmacological characteristics of alpha 2-adrenergic receptors: comparison of pharmacologically defined subtypes with subtypes identified by molecular cloning *Mol Pharmacol.* 42(1):1-5.
- [29] Laurila JM, Wissel G, Xhaard H, Ruuskanen JO, Johnson MS, Scheinin M. (2011) Involvement of the first transmembrane segment of human alpha(2) -adrenoceptors in the subtype-selective binding of chlorpromazine, spiperone and spiroxatrine. *Br J Pharmacol.* 164(5):1558-72. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01520.x.
- [30] Halme M, Sjöholm B, Savola JM, Scheinin M. (1995) Recombinant human alpha 2-adrenoceptor subtypes: comparison of [3H]rauwolscine, [3H]atipamezole and [3H]RX821002 as radioligands. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1266(2):207-14. doi: 10.1016/0167-4889(95)90410-i.
- [31] Baker JG. The selectivity of β -adrenoceptor antagonists at the β_1 , β_2 and β_3 adrenoceptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2005 144: 317-322
- [32] Baker JG. The selectivity of β -adrenoceptor agonists at the human β_1 , β_2 and β_3 adrenoceptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2010 160: 148-161
- [33] Proudman RGW, Pupo AS, Baker JG. (2020) The affinity and selectivity of α -adrenoceptor antagonists, antidepressants, and antipsychotics for the human α_1A , α_1B , and α_1D -adrenoceptors. *Pharmacol Res Perspect.* 8(4):e00602. doi: 10.1002/prp2.602.
- [34] Lawhead RG, Blaxall HS, Bylund DB. (1992) Alpha-2A is the predominant alpha-2 adrenergic receptor subtype in human spinal cord. *Anesthesiology* 77(5):983-91. doi: 10.1097/00000542-199211000-00022.
- [35] Erdbrügger W, Raulf M, Otto T and Michel MC (1995) Does [3H]2-methoxy-idazoxan (RX 821002) detect more alpha-2-adrenoceptor agonist high-affinity sites than [3H]rauwolscine? A comparison of nine tissues and cell lines. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 273:1287-1294.
- [36] Graham JD (1957) The ethyleneiminium ion as the active species in 2-haloalkylamine compounds. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2: 489-497.
- [37] Haapalinna A, Viitamaa T, MacDonald E, Savola JM, Tuomisto L, Virtanen R, Heinonen E. (1997) Evaluation of the effects of a specific alpha 2-adrenoceptor antagonist, atipamezole, on alpha 1- and alpha 2-adrenoceptor subtype binding, brain neurochemistry

and behaviour in comparison with yohimbine. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol.* 356(5):570-82. doi: 10.1007/pl00005092.

[38] Pihlavisto M, Scheinin M. (1999) Functional assessment of recombinant human alpha(2)-adrenoceptor subtypes with cytosensor microphysiometry. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 385(2-3):247-53. doi: 10.1016/s0014-2999(99)00715-3.

[39] Millan MJ, Maiorini L, Cussac D, Audinot V, Boutin JA, Newman-Tancredi A. (2002) Differential actions of antiparkinson agents at multiple classes of monoaminergic receptor. I. A multivariate analysis of the binding profiles of 14 drugs at 21 native and cloned human receptor subtypes. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 303(2):791-804. doi: 10.1124/jpet.102.039867.

[40] Newman-Tancredi A, Cussac D, Audinot V, Nicolas JP, De Ceuninck F, Boutin JA, Millan MJ. (2002) Differential actions of antiparkinson agents at multiple classes of monoaminergic receptor. II. Agonist and antagonist properties at subtypes of dopamine D(2)-like receptor and alpha(1)/alpha(2)-adrenoceptor. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 303(2):805-14. doi: 10.1124/jpet.102.039875.

[41] Uhlén S, Muceniece R, Rangel N, Tiger G, Wikberg JE. (1995) Comparison of the binding activities of some drugs on alpha 2A, alpha 2B and alpha 2C-adrenoceptors and non-adrenergic imidazoline sites in the guinea pig. *Pharmacol Toxicol.* 76(6):353-64. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0773.1995.tb00161.x.

[42] Millan MJ, Mannoury la Cour C, Chanrion B, Dupuis DS, Di Cara B, Audinot V, Cussac D, Newman-Tancredi A, Kamal M, Boutin JA, Jockers R, Marin P, Bockaert J, Muller O, Dekeyne A, Lavielle G. (2012) S32212, a novel serotonin type 2C receptor inverse agonist/ α 2-adrenoceptor antagonist and potential antidepressant: I. A mechanistic characterization. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 340(3):750-64. doi: 10.1124/jpet.111.187468.

[43] Li J, Tang Z, Gao L, Li J, Qin F, Yuan J (2017) Efficacy and Safety of Naftopidil in the Medical Expulsion Therapy for Distal Ureteral Stone: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Endourol* 31(5):427-437. doi: 10.1089/end.2016.0486.

[44] Hieble JP, DeMarinis RM, Fowler PJ, Matthews WD. (1986) Selective alpha-2 adrenoceptor blockade by SK&F 86466: in vitro characterization of receptor selectivity. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 236(1):90-6.

[45] Roesler JM, McCafferty JP, DeMarinis RM, Matthews WD, Hieble JP. (1986) Characterization of the antihypertensive activity of SK&F 86466, a selective alpha-2 antagonist, in the rat. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 236(1):1-7.

[46] Schafers RF, Elliott HL, Howie CA, Reid JL. (1990) An evaluation of the alpha-adrenoceptor antagonism produced by SK&F 86466 in healthy normotensive males. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 30(6): 884-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2125.1990.tb05455.x.

[47] Wong GWK, Laugerotte A, Wright JM (2015) Blood pressure lowering efficacy of dual alpha and beta blockers for primary hypertension *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015(8):CD007449. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007449.pub2.

[48] Michel MC (2020) α 1-adrenoceptor activity of β -adrenoceptor ligands - An expected drug property with limited clinical relevance. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 889: 173632. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173632.

[49] Millan MJ, Gobert A, Rivet JM, Adhumeau-Auclair A, Cussac D, Newman-Tancredi A, Dekeyne A, Nicolas JP, Lejeune F. (2000) Mirtazapine enhances frontocortical dopaminergic and corticolimbic adrenergic, but not serotonergic, transmission by blockade of alpha2-adrenergic and serotonin2C receptors: a comparison with citalopram. *Eur J Neurosci.* 12(3):1079-95. doi: 10.1046/j.1460-9568.2000.00982.x.

[50] Kalkman HO, Loetscher E. (2003) alpha2C-Adrenoceptor blockade by clozapine and other antipsychotic drugs. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 462(1-3):33-40. doi: 10.1016/s0014-2999(03)01308-6.

Table 1

Log K_D values obtained from inhibition of $^3\text{H-RX821002}$ or $^3\text{H-rauwolscine}$ binding to the human $\alpha 2\text{A}$, $\alpha 2\text{B}$ and $\alpha 2\text{C}$ -adrenoceptors in living cells. Values represent mean \pm s.e.mean of n separate experiments. Compounds are arranged in order of $\alpha 2\text{A}$ -affinity.

	$^3\text{H-RX821002}$ as radioligand						$^3\text{H-rauwolscine}$ as radioligand					
	Log K_D $\alpha 2\text{A}$		Log K_D $\alpha 2\text{B}$		Log K_D $\alpha 2\text{C}$		Log K_D $\alpha 2\text{A}$		Log K_D $\alpha 2\text{B}$		Log K_D $\alpha 2\text{C}$	
MK912	-8.76 \pm 0.05	5	-8.23 \pm 0.11	5	-10.00 \pm 0.15	4	-8.71 \pm 0.05	8	-8.16 \pm 0.10	8	-9.82 \pm 0.11	9
yohimbine	-8.58 \pm 0.03	5	-7.66 \pm 0.05	5	-8.78 \pm 0.10	5	-8.48 \pm 0.07	5	-7.66 \pm 0.10	5	-8.52 \pm 0.05	5
RX821002	-8.23 \pm 0.02	5	-7.67 \pm 0.04	5	-8.28 \pm 0.07	5	-8.10 \pm 0.07	5	-7.45 \pm 0.06	5	-8.14 \pm 0.02	5
WB4101	-7.58 \pm 0.05	6	-6.88 \pm 0.05	6	-8.24 \pm 0.13	6	-7.55 \pm 0.05	6	-6.77 \pm 0.05	6	-8.17 \pm 0.05	6
BRL44408	-7.24 \pm 0.05	6	-5.59 \pm 0.05	6	-6.32 \pm 0.09	6	-7.19 \pm 0.04	7	-5.41 \pm 0.04	7	-6.22 \pm 0.07	7
carvedilol	-6.58 \pm 0.04	5	-6.46 \pm 0.05	5	-7.46 \pm 0.14	5	-6.54 \pm 0.02	5	-6.31 \pm 0.02	5	-7.32 \pm 0.05	5
ARC239	-5.99 \pm 0.06	4	-7.29 \pm 0.14	4	-7.18 \pm 0.05	4	-5.99 \pm 0.06	5	-7.32 \pm 0.14	6	-7.25 \pm 0.14	5
chlorpromazine	-5.57 \pm 0.11 ^{app}	6	-6.63 \pm 0.11	6	-6.02 \pm 0.16	6	-5.65 \pm 0.13 ^{app}	6	-6.60 \pm 0.12	6	-5.93 \pm 0.11	6
prazosin	-5.41 \pm 0.03	6	-6.34 \pm 0.03	6	-6.48 \pm 0.09	6	-5.33 \pm 0.05	6	-6.17 \pm 0.05	6	-6.59 \pm 0.04	6
JP1302	-5.22 \pm 0.04	5	-5.22 \pm 0.04	5	-6.57 \pm 0.26	5	-5.29 \pm 0.04	5	-5.11 \pm 0.05	5	-6.92 \pm 0.13	5
labetalol	-4.63 \pm 0.04 ^{app}	5	-4.99 \pm 0.07 ^{app}	5	-5.42 \pm 0.05	5	-4.62 \pm 0.07 ^{app}	5	-4.71 \pm 0.08 ^{app}	5	-5.27 \pm 0.04	5

^{apparent} the maximum concentration of competing ligand inhibited most but not all of specific binding. An IC_{50} was determined by extrapolating the curve assuming that all specific binding would be inhibited if a higher concentration of competing ligand were possible. Thus an apparent K_D was calculated.

Table 2

Log K_D values obtained from inhibition of ^3H -rauwolscine binding by adrenoceptor antagonists to the human $\alpha 2A$, $\alpha 2B$ and $\alpha 2C$ -adrenoceptors in living cells. Values represent mean \pm s.e.mean of n separate experiments. Selectivity ratios are also given where a ratio of 1 demonstrates no selectivity for a given receptor subtype over another. Thus BRL44408 has 60 fold higher affinity for the $\alpha 2A$ than the $\alpha 2B$ -adrenoceptor. Compounds are arranged in order of $\alpha 2A$ -selectivity.

ligand	Affinity measurements						Selectivity ratios					
	Log K_D $\alpha 2A$	n	Log K_D $\alpha 2B$	n	Log K_D $\alpha 2C$	n	$\alpha 2A$ vs B	$\alpha 2A$ vs $\alpha 2C$	$\alpha 2B$ vs $\alpha 2C$			
BRL 44408	-7.19 \pm 0.04	7	-5.41 \pm 0.04	7	-6.22 \pm 0.07	7	60.3	9.3			6.5	
benoxathian	-7.17 \pm 0.02	5	-5.96 \pm 0.06	5	-7.75 \pm 0.03	5	16.2		3.8		61.7	
tamsulosin	-6.33 \pm 0.04	5	-5.31 \pm 0.04	5	-6.41 \pm 0.03	5	10.5		1.2		12.6	
alfuzosin	-5.56 \pm 0.04	5	-4.62 \pm 0.05	5	-6.14 \pm 0.04	5	8.7		3.8		33.1	
2-MPMDQ	-6.79 \pm 0.04	5	-5.94 \pm 0.09	5	-7.50 \pm 0.02	5	7.1		5.1		36.3	
yohimbine	-8.48 \pm 0.07	5	-7.66 \pm 0.10	5	-8.52 \pm 0.05	5	6.6		1.1		7.2	
idazoxan	-7.17 \pm 0.04	5	-6.39 \pm 0.05	5	-7.16 \pm 0.03	5	6.0		1.0		5.9	
WB4104	-7.55 \pm 0.05	6	-6.77 \pm 0.05	6	-8.17 \pm 0.05	6	6.0		4.2		25.1	
A80426	-7.24 \pm 0.08	6	-6.52 \pm 0.06	6	-7.46 \pm 0.07	6	5.2		1.7		8.7	
eforaxan	-7.58 \pm 0.05	5	-6.88 \pm 0.07	5	-7.44 \pm 0.04	5	5.0	1.4			3.6	
2-PMDQ	-6.83 \pm 0.05	5	-6.14 \pm 0.08	5	-7.07 \pm 0.02	5	4.9		1.7		8.5	
atipamezole	-8.50 \pm 0.08	5	-7.85 \pm 0.04	5	-8.48 \pm 0.09	5	4.5		1.0		4.3	
RX 821002	-8.10 \pm 0.07	5	-7.45 \pm 0.06	5	-8.14 \pm 0.02	5	4.5		1.1		4.9	
sunepitron	-7.28 \pm 0.04	6	-6.65 \pm 0.08	6	-8.11 \pm 0.04	6	4.3		6.8		28.8	
doxazosin	-5.35 \pm 0.04	6	-4.74 \pm 0.07 ^{app}	6	-6.24 \pm 0.02	6	4.1		7.8		31.6	
phentolamine	-7.26 \pm 0.03	5	-6.69 \pm 0.05	5	-6.92 \pm 0.04	5	3.7	2.2			1.7	
MK-912	-8.71 \pm 0.05	8	-8.16 \pm 0.10	8	-9.82 \pm 0.11	9	3.6		12.9		45.7	
RS17053	-6.20 \pm 0.11	5	-5.65 \pm 0.07	5	-6.35 \pm 0.08	5	3.5		1.4		5.0	
RS100329	-7.00 \pm 0.03	5	-6.47 \pm 0.04	5	-7.82 \pm 0.03	5	3.4		6.6		22.4	
lisuride	-8.99 \pm 0.05	5	-8.52 \pm 0.05	5	-9.27 \pm 0.05	5	3.0		1.9		5.6	
BMY7378	-5.30 \pm 0.03	5	-4.98 \pm 0.09 ^{app}	5	-6.26 \pm 0.01	5	2.1		9.1		19.1	
RS79948	-8.93 \pm 0.03	5	-8.57 \pm 0.03	5	-9.36 \pm 0.04	5	2.3		2.7		6.2	

carvedilol	-6.54 ± 0.02	5	-6.31 ± 0.02	5	-7.32 ± 0.05	5	1.7		6.0		10.2
JP1302	-5.29 ± 0.04	5	-5.11 ± 0.05	5	-6.92 ± 0.13	5	1.5		42.7		64.6
SKF86466	-6.29 ± 0.05	5	-6.17 ± 0.047	5	-6.39 ± 0.04	5	1.3		1.3		1.7
3-MPPI	-6.67 ± 0.05 ^{ep}	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	-7.01 ± 0.03 ^{ep}	5			2.2		
PF3774076	-5.59 ± 0.04	6	IC ₅₀ >-4	6	-5.29 ± 0.09	6		2.0			
Rec15-2615	-5.53 ± 0.12 ^{app}	6	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	6	-6.56 ± 0.13	6			10.7		
AH11110A	-4.70 ± 0.04 ^{app}	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	-4.86 ± 0.03 ^{app}	5			1.4		
silodosin	-5.49 ± 0.06 ^{app}	6	IC ₅₀ >-5	6	-6.12 ± 0.06 ^{app}	6			4.3		
5-methyl-urapidil	-5.18 ± 0.05	5	-5.17 ± 0.05	5	-5.81 ± 0.07	5	1.0		4.3		4.4
SNAP5089	IC ₅₀ >-5	5	IC ₅₀ >-5	5	-5.65 ± 0.06	5					
anisodamine	IC ₅₀ >-3	5	IC ₅₀ >-3	5	-3.56 ± 0.07 ^{app}	5					
2-niguldipine	IC ₅₀ >-5	5	-5.48 ± 0.11	5	-6.07 ± 0.11	5					3.9
naftapidil	-6.55 ± 0.09	5	-6.60 ± 0.07	5	-7.17 ± 0.08	5	1.1		4.2		3.7
labetolol	-4.62 ± 0.07 ^{app}	5	-4.71 ± 0.08 ^{app}	5	-5.27 ± 0.04	5	1.2		4.5		3.6
ifenprodil	-6.01 ± 0.05	5	-6.14 ± 0.06	5	-6.80 ± 0.05	5	1.3		6.2		4.6
domperidone	-5.09 ± 0.06 ^{app}	6	-5.29 ± 0.07	6	-5.78 ± 0.08	6	1.6		4.9		3.1
urapidil	-5.49 ± 0.05	5	-5.78 ± 0.08	5	-6.34 ± 0.05	5	1.9		7.1		3.6
HEAT	-7.45 ± 0.04	5	-7.72 ± 0.11	5	-8.05 ± 0.19	5	1.9		4.0		2.1
indoramin	-5.13 ± 0.03 ^{app}	6	-5.46 ± 0.05	6	-5.80 ± 0.05	6	2.1		4.7		2.2
cyclazosin	-5.00 ± 0.03	5	-5.35 ± 0.13	5	-6.18 ± 0.02	5	2.2		15.1		6.8
imiloxan	-5.88 ± 0.03	6	-6.48 ± 0.05	6	-6.27 ± 0.03	6	4.0		2.5	1.6	
dibenamine	-5.80 ± 0.06	10	-6.43 ± 0.06 -4.64 ± 0.07 60.9 ± 3.4% site 1	10	-6.18 ± 0.05	10	4.3		2.4	1.8	
promethazine	-5.58 ± 0.07	5	-6.25 ± 0.06	5	-5.54 ± 0.05	5	4.7	1.1		5.1	
phenoxybenzamine	-5.72 ± 0.10	10	-6.44 ± 0.11 -4.89 ± 0.08 51.4 ± 3.3% site 1	10	-6.41 ± 0.11 -4.71 ± 0.13 74.1 ± 4.1% site 1	10	5.2		4.9	1.1	
prazosin	-5.33 ± 0.05	6	-6.17 ± 0.05	6	-6.59 ± 0.04	6	6.9		18.2		2.6
terazosin	-5.18 ± 0.03	5	-6.08 ± 0.05	5	-6.27 ± 0.08	5	7.9		12.3		1.5
spiroxatrine	-6.97 ± 0.03	6	-7.87 ± 0.07	6	-8.74 ± 0.04	6	7.9		58.9		7.4

S32212	-6.62 ± 0.13	8	-7.80 ± 0.10	8	-7.18 ± 0.10	8		15.1		3.6	4.2	
ARC239	-5.99 ± 0.06	5	-7.32 ± 0.14	6	-7.25 ± 0.14	5		21.4		18.2	1.2	
β-blockers												
cyanopindolol	-5.56 ± 0.10	5	-4.82 ± 0.10 ^{app}	5	-6.15 ± 0.07	5	5.5			3.9		21.4
bucindolol	-5.81 ± 0.05	5	-5.63 ± 0.06	5	-5.95 ± 0.04	5	1.5			1.4		2.1
ICI118551	-5.03 ± 0.03	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	-5.05 ± 0.04	5				1.0		
SDZ21009	-4.86 ± 0.07 ^{app}	6	IC ₅₀ >-4	6	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	6						
propranolol	-4.85 ± 0.02	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	-4.71 ± 0.06	5			1.4			
carazolol	-4.66 ± 0.06 ^{app}	6	IC ₅₀ >-4	6	-4.66 ± 0.05 ^{app}	6				1.0		
CGP12177	IC ₅₀ >-3	5	No bind to 1mM	5	IC ₅₀ >-3	5						
CGP20712A	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	-5.17 ± 0.03	5						

^{app} = apparent affinity. The maximum concentration of competing ligand inhibited most but not all of specific binding. An IC₅₀ was determined by extrapolating the curve assuming that all specific binding would be inhibited if a higher concentration of competing ligand were possible. Thus an apparent K_D was calculated.

^{ep} = early plateau, the competing ligand did not fully inhibit specific binding and the inhibition curve reached a plateau of maximal inhibition of binding. The specific binding inhibited by 3-MPPI was 75.6 ± 0.9% at α_{2A} and 87.1 ± 1.5% at α_{2C}

Table 3

Log K_D values of antidepressants binding to the human α_2A , α_2B and α_2C -adrenoceptors. Values represent mean \pm s.e.mean of n separate experiments. Selectivity ratios are also given, where a ratio of 1 demonstrates no selectivity for a given receptor subtype over another. Thus, clomipramine has 2.5 fold higher affinity for the α_2B than the α_2A -adrenoceptor. Compounds are arranged in order of α_2A -selectivity.

ligand	Affinity measurements						Selectivity ratios					
	Log K_D α_2A	n	Log K_D α_2B	n	Log K_D α_2C	n	α_2A vs α_2B	α_2A vs α_2C	α_2B vs α_2C			
Tricyclic antidepressants												
clomipramine	-5.71 \pm 0.07 ^{app}	5	-6.10 \pm 0.13	5	-5.80 \pm 0.02 ^{app}	5		2.5		1.2	2.0	
protriptyline	-5.00 \pm 0.05	5	-5.39 \pm 0.13	5	-5.26 \pm 0.07	5		2.5		1.8	1.3	
norclomipramine	-5.29 \pm 0.09 ^{app}	6	-5.74 \pm 0.04 ^{app}	6	-5.80 \pm 0.07 ^{app}	6		2.8		3.2		1.1
trimipramine	-5.67 \pm 0.03	5	-6.22 \pm 0.05	5	-6.37 \pm 0.03	5		3.5		5.0		1.4
nortriptyline	-5.65 \pm 0.05	5	-6.38 \pm 0.02	5	-6.19 \pm 0.08	5		5.4		3.5	1.5	
desipramine	-5.04 \pm 0.06	5	-5.78 \pm 0.04	5	-5.52 \pm 0.03	5		5.5		3.0	1.8	
lofepramine	-4.86 \pm 0.04 ^{app}	5	-5.60 \pm 0.08	5	-5.28 \pm 0.06	5		5.5		2.6	2.1	
doxepin	-5.69 \pm 0.12	5	-6.67 \pm 0.05	5	-6.04 \pm 0.07	5		9.5		2.2	4.3	
dosulepin	-5.16 \pm 0.06	5	-6.20 \pm 0.06	5	-5.63 \pm 0.11	5		11.0		3.0	3.7	
imipramine	-5.25 \pm 0.04	5	-6.36 \pm 0.08	5	-5.89 \pm 0.03	5		12.9		4.4	3.0	
amitriptyline	-5.86 \pm 0.05 ^{app}	5	-7.12 \pm 0.05	5	-6.67 \pm 0.09	5		18.2		6.5	2.8	
Tetracyclic antidepressants												
mirtazepine	-6.80 \pm 0.05	5	-6.09 \pm 0.06	5	-6.96 \pm 0.03	5	5.1			1.4		7.4
other noradrenaline and serotonin reuptake inhibitors												
duloxetine	-5.43 \pm 0.06	5	-5.31 \pm 0.09	5	-5.67 \pm 0.06	5	1.3			1.7		2.3
venlafaxine	-3.46 \pm 0.03 ^{app}	5	IC ₅₀ >-3	5	-3.74 \pm 0.11 ^{app}	5				1.9		
Noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors												
reboxetine	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	-4.56 \pm 0.07 ^{app}	4						

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI)												
fluvoxamine	-4.81 ± 0.04 ^{app}	6		-4.37 ± 0.08 ^{app}	5		-4.82 ± 0.07 ^{app}	6	2.8		1.0	2.8
sertraline	-5.67 ± 0.07 ^{app}	6		-5.62 ± 0.11 ^{app}	6		-5.64 ± 0.05 ^{app}	6	1.1		1.1	1.0
fluoxetine	-4.70 ± 0.10 ^{app}	5		-4.99 ± 0.03	5		-4.79 ± 0.07 ^{app}	5		1.9	1.2	1.6
citalopram	IC ₅₀ >-4	5		IC ₅₀ >-4	5		IC ₅₀ >-4	5				
paroxetine	IC ₅₀ >-5	5		IC ₅₀ >-5	5		IC ₅₀ >-5	5				
Serotonin reuptake inhibitors												
vortioxetine	-5.63 ± 0.06 ^{app}	5		-5.32 ± 0.04 ^{app}	6		-5.84 ± 0.05	6	2.0		1.6	3.3
trazodone	-6.17 ± 0.08	5		-5.96 ± 0.07	5		-6.69 ± 0.04	5	1.6		3.3	5.4

^{app} = apparent affinity The maximum concentration of competing ligand inhibited most but not all of specific binding. An IC₅₀ was determined by extrapolating the curve assuming that all specific binding would be inhibited if a higher concentration of competing ligand were possible.

Table 4

Log K_D values of antipsychotics binding to the human α_2A , α_2B and α_2C -adrenoceptors. Values represent mean \pm s.e.mean of n separate experiments. Selectivity ratios are also given where a ratio of 1 demonstrates no selectivity for a given receptor subtype over another. Compounds are arranged in order of α_2A -selectivity.

ligand	Affinity measurements						Selectivity ratios					
	Log K_D α_2A	n	Log K_D α_2B	n	Log K_D α_2C	n	α_2A vs α_2B	α_2A vs α_2C	α_2B vs α_2C			
First generation antipsychotics												
sulpiride	-4.50 \pm 0.02	5	-4.37 \pm 0.06	5	-4.67 \pm 0.07	5	1.3			1.5		2.0
haloperidol	-5.38 \pm 0.06	5	-5.53 \pm 0.10	5	-5.77 \pm 0.05	5		1.4		2.5		1.7
flupenthixol	-6.10 \pm 0.12	5	-6.28 \pm 0.13	5	-6.88 \pm 0.14	5		1.5		6.0		4.0
pimozide	-5.76 \pm 0.12 ^{ep}	5	-6.30 \pm 0.10	5	-6.84 \pm 0.05	5		3.5		12.0		3.5
trifluoperazine	-5.60 \pm 0.05	5	-6.22 \pm 0.12	5	-6.20 \pm 0.06	5		4.2		4.0		1.0
prochlorperazine	-5.78 \pm 0.02 ^{app}	6	-6.46 \pm 0.11	6	-6.31 \pm 0.09	6		4.8		3.4	1.4	
chlorpromazine	-5.65 \pm 0.13 ^{app}	6	-6.60 \pm 0.12	6	-5.93 \pm 0.11	6		8.9		1.9	4.7	
perphenazine	-6.00 \pm 0.06	6	-7.16 \pm 0.05	6	-6.83 \pm 0.04	5		14.5		6.8	2.1	
Second generation antipsychotics												
amisulpiride	-5.11 \pm 0.09 ^{app}	5	-4.69 \pm 0.13 ^{app}	5	-5.57 \pm 0.07	5	2.6			2.9		7.6
aripirazole	-6.68 \pm 0.08	5	-6.54 \pm 0.08	6	-7.23 \pm 0.14	5	1.4			3.5		4.9
sertindole	-5.95 \pm 0.06	5	-5.81 \pm 0.07	5	-6.17 \pm 0.03	5	1.4			1.7		2.3
olanzapine	-5.59 \pm 0.05	5	-5.47 \pm 0.06	5	-5.86 \pm 0.02	5	1.3			1.9		2.5
paliperidone	-7.12 \pm 0.04	5	-7.26 \pm 0.05	5	-7.84 \pm 0.03	5		1.4		5.2		3.8
risperidone	-7.30 \pm 0.09	5	-7.47 \pm 0.08	5	-8.04 \pm 0.03	5		1.5		5.5		3.7
ziprasidone	-6.36 \pm 0.11	5	-6.59 \pm 0.08	5	-6.77 \pm 0.08	5		1.7		2.6		1.5
clozapine	-5.86 \pm 0.08 ^{app}	5	-6.20 \pm 0.05	5	-6.87 \pm 0.08	5		2.2		10.2		4.7
lurasidone	-6.67 \pm 0.05	5	-7.36 \pm 0.06	5	-7.34 \pm 0.03	5		4.9		4.7		1.0
quetiapine	-5.81 \pm 0.08	5	-6.72 \pm 0.08	5	-6.66 \pm 0.03	5		8.1		7.1	1.1	

^{app} = apparent affinity. The maximum concentration of competing ligand inhibited most but not all of specific binding. An IC_{50} was determined by extrapolating the curve assuming that all specific binding would be inhibited if a higher concentration of competing ligand were possible.

^{ep} = early plateau, the competing ligand did not fully inhibit specific binding and the inhibition curve reached a plateau of maximal inhibition of binding. The specific binding inhibited by pimozide was 79.1 \pm 6.0% at α_2A .

Table 5

Log K_D values of ligands binding to the human β_1 and β_2 -adrenoceptors as measured by 3H -CGP12177 whole cell binding. Values represent mean \pm s.e.mean of n separate experiments. Ligands are arranged by class and presented in the same order as those in Table 2, 3 and 4 for ease of comparison. Supplementary Table 1 has these ligands, alongside the α_2 -data, presented in alphabetical order.

ligand	Affinity measurements			
	Log K_D β_1	n	Log K_D β_2	n
α -antagonists				
BRL44408	No binding to -3	5	No binding to -3	5
benoxathian	-4.55 ± 0.03^{app}	5	-5.08 ± 0.06	5
tamsulosin	-6.26 ± 0.06	5	-6.08 ± 0.05	5
alfuzosin	No binding	5	-4.18 ± 0.09^{app}	5
2-MPMDQ	$IC_{50} > -5$	6	$IC_{50} > -5$	6
yohimbine	No binding to -4	5	No binding to -4	5
idazoxan	$IC_{50} > -3$	5	$IC_{50} > -3$	5
WB4104	$IC_{50} > -4$	5	$IC_{50} > -4$	5
A80426	-6.03 ± 0.05	6	-5.88 ± 0.04	6
eforaxan	no binding to -3	5	no binding to -3	5
2-PMDQ	No binding -4	5	$IC_{50} > -4$	5
atipamezole	No binding to -4.5	5	No binding to -4.5	5
RX821002	-4.55 ± 0.05	5	-3.95 ± 0.11^{app}	5
sunepitron	$IC_{50} > -3$	5	$IC_{50} > -3$	5
doxazosin	-4.72 ± 0.06^{app}	5	-5.57 ± 0.01	6
MK-912	$IC_{50} > -4$	6	$IC_{50} > -4$	6
phentolamine	$IC_{50} > -4$	6	$IC_{50} > -4$	6
RS17053	-5.44 ± 0.04	6	-6.42 ± 0.06	6
RS100329	$IC_{50} > -3$	5	-4.77 ± 0.07	5
lisuride	-6.03 ± 0.06	5	-7.48 ± 0.04	5
BMY7378	$IC_{50} > -4$	9	$IC_{50} > -4$	9
RS79948	-3.84 ± 0.05	5	$IC_{50} > -3$	5
carvedilol	-9.20 ± 0.05	8	-9.98 ± 0.06	8
JP1302	$IC_{50} > -4$	5	-5.58 ± 0.08	5
SKF86466	-5.92 ± 0.08	6	-6.60 ± 0.07	6
3-MPPI	No binding to -4	5	$IC_{50} > -4$	5
PF3774076	No binding to -4	5	No binding to -4	5
Rec15-2615	$IC_{50} > -4$	5	$IC_{50} > -4$	5
AH11110A	-6.23 ± 0.07	6	-6.36 ± 0.07	6
silodosin	$IC_{50} > -5$	6	-7.52 ± 0.10	6
5-methyl-urapidil	-6.12 ± 0.04	5	-5.00 ± 0.07	5
SNAP5089	$IC_{50} > -5$	5	$IC_{50} > -5$	5
anisodamine	no binding to -3	9	no binding to -3	9
2-niguldipine	$IC_{50} > -4$	5	$IC_{50} > -4$	5
naftapidil	-5.97 ± 0.07	6	-7.45 ± 0.06	6
labetolol	-7.97 ± 0.04	6	-8.21 ± 0.06	6
ifenprodil	$IC_{50} > -5$	5	$IC_{50} > -5$	5
domperidone	$IC_{50} > -4$	5	$IC_{50} > -4$	5
urapidil	-5.32 ± 0.06	5	-5.00 ± 0.02	5

HEAT	IC ₅₀ ~-4.5	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5
indoramin	-4.73 ± 0.10 ^{app}	5	-5.27 ± 0.11 ^{app}	5
cyclazosin	No binding to -4	6	-5.30 ± 0.04	6
imiloxan	IC ₅₀ ~-3	5	no binding to -3	5
dibenamine	-4.60 ± 0.06 ^{app}	5	-4.94 ± 0.10 ^{app}	5
promethazine	IC ₅₀ >-4	10	IC ₅₀ >-4	10
phenoxybenzamine	-4.36 ± 0.10 ^{app}	5	-5.17 ± 0.13 ^{app}	5
prazosin	No binding to -4	6	-5.10 ± 0.10 ^{app}	5
terazosin	No binding to -4	4	No binding to -4	4
spiroxatrine	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	5	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	5
S32212	IC ₅₀ >-5	5	IC ₅₀ >-5	5
ARC239	IC ₅₀ >-5	6	IC ₅₀ >-5	5
β-blockers				
S-cyanopindolol	-10.39#		-11.09#	
bucindolol	-9.31#		-9.99#	
ICI118551	-6.61 ± 0.05	11	-9.41 ± 0.09	10
SDZ21009	-8.94#		-10.28#	
propranolol	-8.16*		-9.08*	
carazolol	-9.69#		-10.49#	
CGP12177	-9.21*		-9.39*	
CGP20712A	-8.87 ± 0.13	9	-5.74 ± 0.03	10
Tricyclic antidepressants				
clomipramine	IC ₅₀ >-5	7	IC ₅₀ >-5	7
protriptyline	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5
norclomipramine	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	10	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	10
trimipramine	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5
nortriptyline	-4.64 ± 0.13	5	-5.40 ± 0.08	5
desipramine	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	-4.93 ± 0.03 ^{app}	5
lofepramine	IC ₅₀ >-4	4	IC ₅₀ >-4	4
doxepin	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5
dosulepin	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5
imipramine	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5
amitriptyline	IC ₅₀ >-4	9	IC ₅₀ >-4	9
Tetracyclic antidepressants				
mirtazepine	No binding to -4	5	No binding to -4	5
other noradrenaline and serotonin reuptake inhibitors				
duloxetine	IC ₅₀ >-4.5		-6.07 ± 0.06	11
venlafaxine	-3.80 ± 0.11 ^{app}	5	-4.13 ± 0.13 ^{app}	5
Noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors				
reboxetine	IC ₅₀ >-4	10	-5.26 ± 0.06	10
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI)				
fluvoxamine	IC ₅₀ >-4	10	IC ₅₀ >-4	10

sertraline	IC ₅₀ >-5	10	IC ₅₀ >-5	10
fluoxetine	IC ₅₀ >-4	10	IC ₅₀ >-4	10
citalopram	No binding to -4	9	No binding to -4	9
paroxetine	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	10	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	10
Serotonin reuptake inhibitors				
vortioxetine	-6.37 ± 0.03	11	-6.75 ± 0.04	11
trazodone	IC ₅₀ >-4	10	-5.14 ± 0.05	10
First generation antipsychotics				
sulpiride	IC ₅₀ >-3	10	IC ₅₀ >-3	10
haloperidol	IC ₅₀ >-4	5	-4.94 ± 0.04 ^{app}	5
flupenthixol	IC ₅₀ >-5	10	IC ₅₀ >-5	10
pimozide	IC ₅₀ >-4	10	-5.75 ± 0.06	10
trifluoperazine	IC ₅₀ >-5	10	IC ₅₀ >-5	10
prochlorperazine	IC ₅₀ >-5	10	IC ₅₀ >-5	10
chlorpromazine	IC ₅₀ >-5	5	IC ₅₀ >-5	5
perphenazine	IC ₅₀ >-5	10	IC ₅₀ >-5	10
Second generation antipsychotics				
amisulpiride	No binding to -4	10	No binding to -4	10
aripirazole	-6.15 ± 0.04	6	-6.68 ± 0.08	6
sertindole	IC ₅₀ >-5	5	IC ₅₀ >-5	5
olanzapine	IC ₅₀ >-3	4	-4.96 ± 0.05	4
paliperidone	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	10	IC ₅₀ >-4.5	10
risperidone	No binding to -4	5	IC ₅₀ >-4	5
ziprasidone	No binding to -4	5	No binding to -4	5
clozapine	IC ₅₀ >-5	5	IC ₅₀ >-5	5
lurasidone	IC ₅₀ >-5	10	IC ₅₀ >-5	10
quetiapine	IC ₅₀ >-4	10	IC ₅₀ >-4	10

#from [32]

*from [31]

Figure Legends

Figure 1

Inhibition of ^3H -rauwolscine binding to whole cells by BRL44408 (a-c), S32212 (d-f) or MK-912 (g-i) to CHO- α 2A cells (a, d, g), CHO- α 2B cells (b, e, h) or CHO- α 2C cells (c, f, i). Bars represent total ^3H -rauwolscine and non-specific binding (determined in the presence of $10\mu\text{M}$ RX821002). The concentration of ^3H -rauwolscine was a) 0.99nM, b) 0.99nM, c) 0.99nM, d) 0.88nM, e) 0.88nM, f) 0.88nM, g) 0.86nM, h) 0.86nM and i) 0.88nM. Data points are mean \pm s.e.mean of triplicate determinations.

Figure 2

Inhibition of ^3H -rauwolscine binding to whole cells by dibenamine following pre-incubation of dibenamine with sfm or 1mM thiosulphate to CHO- α 2A cells (a), CHO- α 2B cells (b) or CHO- α 2C cells (c). Bars represent total ^3H -rauwolscine binding and non-specific binding as determined in the presence of $10\mu\text{M}$ RX821002. The concentration of ^3H -rauwolscine was 0.74nM in all cases. Data points are mean \pm s.e.mean of triplicate determinations.

Figure 3

Inhibition of ^3H -rauwolscine (α 2A, α 2B and α 2C cells) or ^3H -CGP12177 (β 1 and β 2 cells) binding to whole cells by a-e) risperidone, f-j) aripiprazole and k-o) clozapine to CHO- α 2A cells, CHO- α 2B cells, CHO- α 2C cells, CHO- β 1 cells CHO- β 2 cells. Bars represent total radioligand binding and non-specific binding as determined in the presence of $10\mu\text{M}$ RX821002 (α 2A, α 2B and α 2C cells) or $10\mu\text{M}$ propranolol (β 1 and β 2 cells). The concentration of radioligand was a) 0.54nM, b) 0.54nM, c) 0.54nM, d) 0.77nM, e) 1.00nM, f) 0.50nM, g) 0.50nM, h) 0.50nM, i) 0.72nM, j) 0.72nM, k) 0.50nM, l) 0.54nM, m) 0.54nM, n) 0.94nM and o) 0.72nM. Data points are mean \pm s.e.mean of triplicate determinations.

Figure 4

Plot of $\log K_D$ values showing the relative selectivity and affinity for the single most selective ligand at each receptor. Thus SNAP5089 is the most α 1A-selective ligand and the length of the line represents the selectivity for α 1A over the next closest adrenoceptor affinity. Terazosin, although the “most” α 1B-selective ligand has no selectivity. The selectivity of the 3 most selective α 2 ligands is considerably less than that for α 1A, α 1D, β 1 or β 2. Compounds

within the black circles represent compounds where the $\log K_D$ is greater than the -3, -4 or -5 stated but included here to demonstrate attempts were made measurement. Data for $\alpha 1$ -adrenoceptors is from [33]. $\beta 3$ data is included for CGP20712A and ICI118551 from [31].