

Journal of Public Health Research



eISSN 2279-9036

https://www.jphres.org/

Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. The **Journal of Public Health Research** is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear on a regular issue of the journal. E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

J Public Health Res 2021 [Online ahead of print]

To cite this Article:

Abdelhadi Ibrahim B, Mostafa M, Hussein SM. **Professional quality of life among physicians of tertiary care hospitals: An Egyptian cross-sectional study.** J Public Health Res doi: 10.4081/jphr.2021.2436



Professional quality of life among physicians of tertiary care hospitals: An Egyptian

cross-sectional study

Bassma Abdelhadi Ibrahim¹, Mona Mostafa², Sarah Mohamed Hussein¹

¹Department of Public Health, Community Medicine, Environmental and Occupational

Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia

²Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

Corresponding author: Bassma Abdelhadi Ibrahim, Department of Public health, Community

Medicine, Environmental and Occupational Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal

University, Circular Road, PA 411522, Ismailia, Egypt. Tel/ +201226277842. Email:

basma ibraheem@med.suez.edu.eg

Funding: No financial support was received for conducting this study.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection

and analysis were performed by Bassma Abdelhadi Ibrahim, Mona Mostafa and Sarah

Mohamed Hussein. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Bassma Abdelhadi Ibrahim

and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and

approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest. The authors have no relevant financial or

non-financial interest to disclose.

Ethical Approval and consent to participate

The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the research ethics committee of the

faculty of medicine, Suez Canal University, Egypt (No.4251, Date: 28/7/2020). An informed

consent was obtained from all study participants before joining in the study.

Availability of data and materials

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Patient consent for publication: Not Applicable

Informed consent: Written informed consent was obtained from a legally authorized representative(s) for anonymized patient information to be published in this article.

Significance for Public Health

Professional quality of life has an impact on performance of caregiver workers. Physicians in tertiary care hospitals are predisposed to different occupational stressors which affects their wellbeing and their work performance which has adverse effect on patient care and health care system. Up to date, no studies were conducted in Egypt to assess the three components of professional quality of life; burnout, compassion fatigue, and compassion satisfaction. Our study shows that most of the participants had high burnout, moderate potential compassion fatigue, and moderate potential compassion satisfaction reflecting poor professional quality of life. So, it highlights the need for urgent implementation of interventional program to increase health-care professionals' understanding and prevention of the risk of burnout and compassion fatigue. This accompanied by conducting screening measures on a regular basis for assessing physician well-being, and satisfaction to improve the professional quality of life of the physicians and their job performance.

Abstract

Background: Professional quality of life greatly impacts wellbeing and performance of professionals working in the field of caring. The study aims at assessing the components of professional quality of life and their predictors.

Design and Methods: The cross-sectional study was performed on 167 physicians enrolled by using stratified random sampling from tertiary care hospitals, Ismailia, Egypt. It was conducted by a structured interview questionnaire which included Maslach Burnout Inventory to assess burnout syndrome, and Professional Quality of Life version 5 (Pro QOL- 5) subscale to assess compassion fatigue and satisfaction.

Results: Among participants, 78.9% had high burnout, 76% had moderate potential compassion satisfaction and 82% had moderate potential compassion fatigue. The correlation between scales of professional quality of life scores showed significant results (p < 0.05). The multiple linear regression analysis showed that marital status, frequency of dealing with critical patients, and compassion fatigue score (B= -6.959, B= 3.573, B= 1.115) were significant predictors of burnout score (p < 0.05). Marital status (B= 2.280, p value = 0.024), and burnout score (B = 0.179, p value = 0.000) were significant positive predictors of compassion fatigue. While compassion satisfaction score was negative predictor (B= -2.804, p value = 0.006). The predictors of compassion satisfaction were the marital status (B = 5.039, p value = 0.000), and compassion fatigue score (B = -0.254, p value = 0.006).

Conclusion: High prevalence rates of burnout, compassion fatigue and satisfaction indicate poor professional quality of life were detected among physicians in tertiary care hospitals.

Key words: Burnout, compassion fatigue, compassion satisfaction, Egyptian physicians, professional quality of life.

Introduction

The emotional and physical effects of caring within the stressful health care environment are gaining increasing attention. The term "professional quality of life" means the positive and negative emotions that a person feels regarding his or her job as a care giver. Compassion satisfaction (CS), burnout (BO), and compassion fatigue (CF) are components of professional quality of life which can be experienced by workers in service industries who aid persons with problems (1). Burnout and compassion fatigue are recognized as occupational hazards associated with the medical profession. Hence it is not surprising that physician burnout rates are high (2). Both burnout and compassion fatigue can aggravate physician mental health with negative effect on the physician satisfaction and his family roles (3). Also, they are associated with increased rates of medical errors, malpractice risk, physician turnover and subsequently increased healthcare manpower costs (4).

Compassion fatigue and burnout have been used to describe conditions resulting from being continuously subjected to highly stressful circumstances in a professional capacity (5). Burnout is caused by chronic stress in the work environment and results in three distinct symptoms; emotional exhaustion (EE), depersonalization (DP), and reduced professional achievement (PA) (6). A cross-sectional study conducted on Egyptian resident physicians at educational hospitals showed that 67.3% had high total burnout score (5).

While, compassion fatigue is a condition characterized by a gradual lessening of compassion over time that helping professionals can experience over time due to frequent exposure to the suffering throughout their work. It is also known as secondary traumatic stress. Besides, it is common among individuals who work directly with trauma victims such as physicians and nurses especially the first responders (7). Health care providers who work in the fields of trauma, mental illness, surgery, emergency medicine, obstetrics, and rural general practitioners are particularly at risk of developing compassion fatigue. It can lead to the reduction of self-efficacy and confidence leading to deterioration in performance and work output (8).

On the other hand, compassion satisfaction is the pleasure derived from assisting others, and the level of support obtained from colleagues (9).

Although the relationship between the three components is not yet fully understood, it seems that the triad can represent all major aspects of professional quality of life which is affected by and affects professional well-being and performance (10,11). A Singaporean cross-sectional study conducted on 332 physicians found that 37% were at high risk of burnout and 7.5% were at high risk of compassion fatigue and only 0.3% had high rate of compassion satisfaction. The finding also showed a poor negative correlation between compassion fatigue and satisfaction (4). Furthermore, an Israelian study conducted among family practitioners found strong correlations between burnout and compassion fatigue (r = 0.769, p < 0.001), as well as between burnout and compassion satisfaction (r = -0.241, p = 0.006), but no correlation was found between compassion satisfaction and compassion fatigue (9).

Hence, it is obvious that burnout, compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction have a major effect on physicians' work performance. Although, many studies conducted in Egypt regarding burnout, to date there have been no published Egyptian studies about compassion fatigue or compassion satisfaction. In addition, the relationship amongst these three dimensions of professional quality of life is not fully understood. To fill this gap, we conducted this work to assess the professional quality of life including burnout, compassion fatigue, and compassion satisfaction among physicians as well as to investigate the relationship among these dimensions and their predictors.

Design and Methods

Study Design and Population

It is a cross-sectional study was carried out between 24th October and 26th December 2020 to assess the three components of professional quality of life; burnout syndrome, compassion fatigue, and compassion satisfaction, among physicians working in Suez Canal University

hospitals, Ismailia, Egypt. Both male and female physicians with work experience of at least one year were enrolled in this study.

Sampling

By assuming, the prevalence of burnout syndrome among physicians (89.1%) ⁽³⁾, prevalence of compassion fatigue among physicians (7.5%), prevalence of compassion satisfaction among physicians (0.3%) ⁽⁴⁾, at the level of significance of 95%, the sample size was 150 and with 10% non-response rate, the calculated sample size was 165 participants. It is calculated by Epiinfo (Epidemiological Information Package) software version 7. Stratified random sampling technique was used to recruit physicians to participate in the study. Departments of Suez Canal University hospitals were categorized into surgical and medical departments and then, a representative sample was drawn from both categories using simple random sampling technique.

Data collection methods

Back-to-back translation of the questionnaire was conducted and the questionnaire was revised by an expert of public health. A pilot study was conducted on 15 participants who were excluded from the study results, to ascertain the clarity, and applicability of the study tool. It also helped to estimate the time needed to fill in the questionnaire. Based on the received feedback we modified some questions. An informed consent was obtained from all study participants before joining in the study. Then physicians who recruited in the study were interviewed to fill in the study questionnaire. The data were collected by face to face interview using by a structured interview questionnaire.

The questionnaire included five parts:

Sociodemographic data included gender, age, residence, educational level, marital status, smoking status, practice of regular physical activity.

Occupational history included professional designation, specialty, and previous exposure to workplace violence and its type, and, frequency of dealing with critically ill patients.

Assessment of burnout syndrome: Burnout syndrome was assessed by the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) (12). It has become the almost universally accepted gold standard to assess burnout due to its high reliability and validity (13). MBI has 3 subscales: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment. The MBI includes 22 items with a 7-point Likert-type rating scale ranging from "never" (= 0) to "daily" (= 6). Subscales were classified

into low, average and high level of burnout according to Table 1. On the total burnout scale scores of 1-33 are considered as low, 34-66 as average and 67-99.9 as high level of burnout (14).

Assessment of compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction: Compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction were assessed by the Professional Quality of Life version 5 (Pro QOL-5) subscale for compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction. It measures how frequently each item was experienced in the last 30 days. It includes 10 statements corresponding to each subscale and is scored on a 6-point Likert scale, ranging from "never (0)" to "very often (5)". Regarding compassion fatigue, scores of 22 or less indicate low potential for compassion fatigue, scores between 23 and 41 represent moderate potential, and scores above 41 indicate high potential. Regarding compassion satisfaction, scores of 22 or less indicate low potential for compassion satisfaction, scores between 23 and 41 represent moderate potential, and scores above 41 indicate high potential (10). The questionnaire was translated to Arabic language from original English version by using back to back translation method.

Statistical analysis

Data entry and statistical analysis were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software program version 22. Descriptive statistics were applied in the form of tables and graphs as appropriate. Data were analyzed; student t-test was used for quantitative normally distributed variables, and Mann Whitney U test was used for not normally distributed variables. Chi-square test was used for qualitative variables. Correlation between compassion fatigue, burnout, and compassion satisfaction was calculated using Pearson's correlation or Spearman's rho correlation. Multiple linear regression analysis was used for assessing for risk factors. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

Table 2 shows that 40.7% of the studied physicians were male, the mean of participants' age was 32.35 ± 5.44 years. Most of the participants (62.9%) were married, 58.7% were medical staff and 41.3% surgical staff. Most of participants (91%) were non-smokers. About thirty percent have practiced physical exercise regularly. Most of the studied physicians (73.7%) were reported exposure to violence during work, 76.4% of violence was verbal. Among studied participants, 38.3% were dealing with critical patients more than one time a day.

The MBI subscales of burnout and the three components of quality of life was presented in Table 3. Many of the studied physicians (74.9%) had high emotional exhaustion. The mean of

emotional exhaustion score was 34.41 ± 11.61 . Nearly half of the studied physicians (53.9%) had high depersonalization. The mean of depersonalization score was 13.06 ± 7.87 . Also, approximately half of participants (52.1%) had highly reduced personal accomplishment. The mean of personal accomplishment score was 30.82 ± 8.98 . Regarding burnout, 78.9% had high burnout. The mean of total burnout score was 78.29 ± 17.90 . While, 76% had moderate potential compassion satisfaction and the mean of the score was 34.33 ± 7.32 . In addition, 82% had moderate potential compassion fatigue and the mean of the score was 29.78 ± 6.81 . Table 3 also demonstrates the correlation between these scores, it shows positive significant correlations between the three MBI subscales (emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment) and total burnout score (r=0.869, r=0.646, r=0.211 respectively). While, total burnout score had significant moderate positive correlation with compassion fatigue (r=0.454). On the other hand, compassion fatigue had a significant negative correlation with compassion satisfaction (r=0.163).

The univariate analysis of risk factors of MBI subscales of burnout are demonstrated in Table 4. Regarding emotional exhaustion; marital status, educational level, regular physical activity, and frequency of dealing with critical patients were statistically significant risk factors. While, marital status, educational level, type of specialty either medical or surgical staff, smoking status, regular physical activity, exposure to violence during work, and frequency of dealing with critical patients were significant risk factors for depersonalization. As regards personal accomplishment; marital status, and educational level were significant risk factors.

Univariate analysis for risk factors of the three dimensions of professional quality of life is summarized in Table 5. The significant risk factors of burnout were marital status, regular physical activity, exposure to workplace violence, and frequency of dealing with critical patients. While for compassion fatigue they were gender, educational level, exposure to violence during work and frequency of dealing with critical patients. And for compassion satisfaction; marital status and educational level were the significant risk factors.

The multiple linear regression analysis of risk factors of the three components of professional quality of life are illustrated in Table 6. The significant predictors of burnout score (p < 0.05) were marital status, frequency of dealing with critical patients, and compassion fatigue score (B= -6.959, B= 3.573, B= 1.115). The significant positive predictors of compassion fatigue were marital status (B= 2.280, p value = 0.024), and burnout score (B = 0.179, p value = 0.000). While compassion satisfaction score was negative predictor (B= -2.804, p value = 0.006) for compassion fatigue. With regards to compassion satisfaction, the predictors were marital status (B = 5.039, p value = 0.000), and compassion fatigue score (B = -0.254, p value = 0.006).

Discussion

Healthcare workers, especially physicians, experience different strains throughout their career which can evoke a continuous state of stress. Such unmanaged stress can develop to exhaustion, burnout, low professional satisfaction. Likewise, compassion fatigue is another occupational hazard for physicians due to the highly demanding and helping nature of their profession. Accordingly, this can result in numerous problems, not only for the physician, but also for his patients, employer organization, and the healthcare system in general ⁽¹⁵⁾.

The present research formulated to evaluate the professional quality of life including burnout syndrome, compassion fatigue, and compassion satisfaction among physicians and to study the relation between these components as well as to assess the predictors of physician professional quality of life.

The current study showed that more than three quarter of studied physicians (78.9%) met the criteria for high burnout (Table 3). Regarding MBI subscales, the emotional exhaustion was the most affected one with almost three quarter of respondents exhibited high emotional exhaustion (74.9%). This followed by depersonalization where around half of the participants scored high for it (53.9%). The lowest level was the reduced personal accomplishment by being presented in 22.8% of participants (Table 3). This high prevalence could be attributed to several reasons. The physicians are considered the least likely personnel to acknowledge that they are under stress themselves despite living very stressful conditions. Furthermore, physicians frequently deal with challenges of provision high-quality clinical services in the face of decreasing resources. They also bear the responsibility of making the correct diagnosis and providing proper management, and working for long hours, with continuous medical education. Besides, the current study was conducted during the period of the second wave of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic in Egypt, where healthcare workers were experiencing a very high workload and various psychosocial stressors. On the other hand, the self-care and coping usually do not comprise a part of the physician's professional training and are commonly the last ones on their list of priorities.

Similarly, an Egyptian study showed that 39.7% of physicians had high score on emotional exhaustion; while 22.6% experienced high level of depersonalization and most of them (99.2%) had high level of reduced personal accomplishment. As regards total burnout, 66.5% of physicians had moderate burnout and 22.6% had high burnout (3).

While, another work by Abbas et al. (6) demonstrated low prevalence of high burnout among 147 Egyptian physicians working in intensive care units in Canal health sector (29.9%), with

nearly half of the participated physicians experienced moderate burnout. Moreover, a national survey evaluated burnout among US physicians from multiple specialties and revealed that approximately quarter of the participants (23%) suffered from high burnout (16).

These variations in the reported prevalence rates may probably be explained by discrepancies in work circumstances, the nature of the country health care system, available resources, the culture and awareness of both patients and health care providers.

The results of the present study displayed that the mean CF score was 29.78 ± 6.81 with more than three quarters of participants (82%) suffered from moderate potential compassion fatigue. While, the mean CS score among physicians was 34.33 ± 7.32 and most of them showed moderate potential compassion satisfaction (76%) (Table 3). The possible reason for this finding could be the deficient knowledge and awareness of health care providers about of the issue of compassion fatigue and its consequences, and management.

This finding was inconsistent with that of Ghazanfar et al. (17) which revealed lower mean compassion fatigue in Pakistani physicians working in tertiary care hospitals (25.97 ± 6.39) compared to our study. While, the mean compassion satisfaction among the same participants was higher (39.13 ± 5.54) compared to present study. While, an American cross-sectional study on pediatric critical care providers displayed lower prevalence of compassion fatigue (25.7%), and compassion satisfaction (16.8%) (18).

In the current research the total burnout score was positively correlated with compassion fatigue (r = 0.454). While, burnout was not associated with compassion satisfaction. Besides, compassion fatigue was negatively correlated with compassion satisfaction (r = -0.163) (Table 3), demonstrating that an increase of CF may overcome the professional's sensation of efficacy preventing the physician from feeling CS. Moreover, compassion fatigue could be partially controlled through augmenting the sense of compassion satisfaction.

In coherence with this result, Rossi et al. (19) reported a significant positive correlation between BO and CF (r=0.4797), whereas there was a negative correlation between CF and CS (r=0.159). This also agrees with prior study of Chan et al. (4) which showed positive correlation between compassion fatigue and burnout (r = 0.503, p < .001), while there was a negative correlation between compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction (r = -0.446, p < .001).

Furthermore, our study showed no statistically significant differences across medical and surgical specialties as regard both burnout and CF. This finding indicates equal risk of compassion fatigue and burnout among physicians of different specialties. On contrary, Shanafelt et al. (20) indicated significant differences in burnout among enrolled specialties with higher prevalence of burnout amongst physicians at emergency medicine, general internal

medicine, and family medicine departments. While an Italian study found high burnout levels in the surgery unit and suggested that the economic crisis might be the cause behind the reported high burden of burnout among health care workers (²¹).

According to this study, it was observed that dealing with critical patients and suffering from compassion fatigue were significant positive predictors for burnout. While, marital status was negative predictor (Table 6). Also, lack of regular physical exercise, and exposure to workplace violence were statistically significant risk factors for burnout, with higher mean score was detected among physicians who were single, not practicing any physical exercise, dealing with critical patients more than one time a day (Table 5).

This corresponds with Wang et al. (²²) who reported that marital status was negative predictor of burnout. Likewise, Abdo et al.(³) indicated that dealing with critically ill and dying patients and frequency of exposure to violence at work significantly associated with burnout syndrome. This finding also agrees with previous studies of Biksegn et al. (²³), and Kobayashi et al. (²⁴) which reported significant association between burnout and workplace violence.

This result is in line with Miranda Alvares et al. (25) who reported that not exercising frequently is associated with a high level of emotional exhaustion. This could attributed to the variations in a variety of neurotransmitters and neuromodulators caused by exercise, resulting in improved energy and mood (26). Also, daily physical exercise promotes psychological isolation from work with lowering the likelihood of long-term stress responses like burnout (27).

As regard compassion fatigue, our study revealed that marital status and experiencing burnout were significant positive predictors, whereas the compassion satisfaction score was negative predictor (Table 6). Additionally, gender, educational level, exposure to violence during work and frequency of dealing with critical patients were significant risk factors of compassion fatigue with higher levels were found among females, physicians having master's degree, and physicians dealing with critical patients more than one time a day (Table 5). This finding establishes that caring for others especially very ill patients lead to feelings of helplessness and frustration making the physicians to detach from their own emotions and lastly develop compassion fatigue. In line with our findings, Ruiz-Fernández et al. (28) found that being married is a significant predictor of having a higher compassion fatigue. This demonstrates that despite being a source of social support, the family and marriage can be a source of unavoidable stress, and frustration which ultimately overwhelm the health care workers and make them more vulnerable to CF. Also, a study by Adeyemo et al. (29) agreed with our finding in that the experience of workplace violence was significantly correlated with secondary traumatic stress. While Hunsaker et al. (30) failed to detect any significant relation between CF the educational level.

Concerning compassion satisfaction, marital status and being married was significant positive predictor and compassion fatigue was significant negative predictor (Table 6). Also, educational level and having doctorate degree was significant risk factor (Table 5).

Similarly, Wang et al. (²⁰) found that marital status and being married was positively associated with compassion satisfaction. It's likely that the social support offered in marital relationships explains why it has the potential to minimize stress at work and increase compassion satisfaction. Moreover, Hunsaker et al. (²⁸) reported that participants having higher level of educational background exhibited higher CS levels.

Study limitations

The current work has a limitation that it was a cross-sectional design which did not permit determination of causality. Thus, future research should involve longitudinal studies to consider the detected cause–effect relationships. Also, we used back-to-back translated Professional Quality of Life version 5 (Pro QOL- 5) subscale to assess compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction. The questionnaire was revised by public health expert. In addition, a pilot study was performed to test our questionnaire. While future research should involve use of a validated version of the questionnaire to ensure the perfect and real presentation of the psychometric properties of the questionnaire.

Conclusion

Most of the surveyed physicians experienced high burnout, moderate potential compassion fatigue, and moderate potential compassion satisfaction reflecting poor professional quality of life. There was a moderate positive correlation between burnout and compassion fatigue whereas, there was a weak negative correlation between compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction with significant predictors for each component.

Recommendations

Our results highlight the need for urgent implementation of orientation program to increase health-care professionals' understanding of the risk of burnout and compassion fatigue. This accompanied by conducting screening measures on a regular basis for assessing physician well-being, and satisfaction. Also, support should be provided for affected physicians to increase their life satisfaction and self-compassion as well as stress reduction in form of mindfulness courses, cognitive behavioral therapy, acceptance and commitment therapy, as well as behavioral activation techniques. Physicians should be encouraged to exercise regularly to reduce stress

responses. It is also necessary to implement effective workplace violence reduction strategies in all health care settings. Based on our finding that compassion satisfaction can act as a protective factor against compassion fatigue, interventions promoting compassion satisfaction should be applied.

References

- 1. Kim K, Han Y, Kwak Y, Kim JS. Professional quality of life and clinical competencies among Korean nurses. Asian Nurs Res (Korean Soc Nurs Sci) 2015;9:200–6.
- 2. Koh MYH, Chong PH, Neo PSH, et al. Burnout, psychological morbidity and use of coping mechanisms among palliative care practitioners: A multi-centre cross-sectional study. Palliat Med 2015;29:633–42.
- 3. Abdo SAM, El-Sallamy RM, El-Sherbiny AAM, Kabbash IA. Burnout among physicians and nursing staff working in the emergency hospital of Tanta university, Egypt. East Mediterr Health J 2015;21:906–15.
- 4. Chan AO, Chan YH, Chuang KP, et al. Addressing physician quality of life: understanding the relationship between burnout, work engagement, compassion fatigue and satisfaction. J Hosp Adm 2015;4:46.
- 5. AbdAllah M, El-Hawy L. Burnout and health related quality of life among resident physicians in Zagazig University Hospitals. Egypt J Occup Med 2019;43:189–204.
- 6. Abbas A, Ali A, Bahgat S, Shouman W. Prevalence, associated factors, and consequences of burnout among ICU healthcare workers: An Egyptian experience. Egypt J Chest Dis Tuberc 2019;68:514–25.
- 7. Mathieu F. Occupational hazards: compassion fatigue, vicarious trauma and burnout. Can Nurse 2014;110:12–3.
- 8. Peate I. Compassion fatigue: The toll of emotional labour. Br J Nurs 2014;23:251.
- 9. El-bar N, Levy A, Wald HS, Biderman A. Compassion fatigue, burnout and compassion satisfaction among family physicians in the Negev area a cross-sectional study. Isr J Health Policy Res 2013;2:1.
- 10. Stamm BH. The concise ProQOL manual. Pocatello, ID: ProQOL. 2010. Available from: http://proqol.org/uploads/ProQOL Concise 2ndEd 12-2010.pdf
- 11. Haber Y, Palgi Y, Hamama-Raz Y, Shrira A B-EM. Predictors of professional quality of life among physicians in a conflict setting: the role of risk and protective factors. Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci 2013;50:174-80.
- 12. Maslach C, Jackson SE, Leiter MP. The Maslach Burnout Inventory Manual. 3rd ed. Consulting Psychologists Press Inc.; 1996.
- 13. Schutte N, Toppinen S, Kalimo R, Schaufeli WB. The factorial validity of the Maslach Burnout Inventory-General Survey (MBI-GS) across occupational groups and nations. J Occup Organ Psychol 2000;73:53–66.
- 14. Yousef EM, Husni A, Elsayed O, Aly E. Burnout syndrome among resident physicians in Suez Canal University Hospital. Curr Psychiatry Ain Shams Univ 2007;13:24–43.
- 15. De Oliveira GS, Ahmad S, Stock MC, et al. High Incidence of burnout in academic chairpersons of anesthesiology. Surv Anesthesiol 2011;55:124.

- 16. Yoon JD, Hunt NB, Ravella KC, et al. Physician burnout and the calling to care for the dying: A national survey. Am J Hosp Palliat Med 2017;34:931–7.
- 17. Ghazanfar H, Chaudhry MT, Asar ZU, Zahid U. Compassion satisfaction, burnout, and compassion fatigue in cardiac physicians working in tertiary care cardiac hospitals in Pakistan. Cureus 2018;10:e3416.
- 18. Gribben JLB, Kase SMB, Waldman ED, Weintraub AS. A cross-sectional analysis of compassion fatigue, burnout, and compassion satisfaction in pediatric critical care physicians in the United States. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2019;20:213–22.
- 19. Rossi A, Cetrano G, Pertile R, et al. Burnout, compassion fatigue, and compassion satisfaction among staff in community-based mental health services. Psychiatry Res 2012;200:933–8.
- 20. Shanafelt TD, Boone S, Tan L, et al. Burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance among US physicians relative to the general US population. Arch Intern Med 2012;172:1377–85.
- 21. Carta M, Preti A, Portoghese I, et al. Risk for depression, burnout and low quality of life among personnel of a university hospital in Italy is a consequence of the impact one economic crisis in the welfare system? Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health 2017;13:156–67.
- 22. Wang J, Okoli CTC, He H, et al. Factors associated with compassion satisfaction, burnout, and secondary traumatic stress among Chinese nurses in tertiary hospitals: A cross-sectional study. Int J Nurs Stud 2020;102:103472.
- 23. Biksegn A, Kenfe T, Matiwos S, Eshetu G. Burnout status at work among health care professionals in atertiary hospital. Ethiop J Health Sci 2016;26:101–8.
- 24. Kobayashi Y, Oe M, Ishida T, et al. Workplace violence and its effects on burnout and secondary traumatic stress among mental healthcare nurses in japan. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:4–8.
- 25. Miranda Alvares ME, Fonseca Thomaz EBA, Lamy ZC, et al.Burnout syndrome among healthcare professionals in intensive care units: A cross-sectional population-based study. Rev Bras Ter Intens 2020;32:251–60.
- 26. Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Richards J, et al. Exercise as a treatment for depression: A meta-analysis adjusting for publication bias. J Psychiatr Res 2016;77:42–51.
- 27. Sonnentag S. Psychological detachment from work during leisure time: the benefits of mentally disengaging from work. Curr Dir Psychol Sci 2012;21:114–8.
- 28. Ruiz-Fernández MD, Pérez-García E, Ortega-Galán ÁM. Quality of life in nursing professionals: Burnout, fatigue, and compassion satisfaction. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:1253.
- 29. Adeyemo S, Omoaregba J, Aroyewun B, et al. Experiences of violence, compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction on the professional quality of life of mental health professionals at a tertiary psychiatric facility in Nigeria. Open Sci J Clin Med 2015;3:69–73.
- 30. Hunsaker S, Chen HC, Maughan D, Heaston S. Factors that influence the development of compassion fatigue, burnout, and compassion satisfaction in emergency department nurses. J Nurs Scholarsh 2015;47:186–94.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the studied participants (n=167).

Variables	Frequency	%
Gender (male)	68	40.7
Female	99	59.3
		<i>J</i> 7.3
Age (years), Mean (SD) Residence (rural)	32.35 (5.44) 8	4.8
Urban	8 159	4.8 95.2
Marital status (single)	61	36.5
Married	105	62.9
Widow	103	0.6
Educational level	1	0.0
Bachelor's degree	56	33.5
Master's degree	50	29.9
Doctorate degree	61	36.5
Medical or surgical staff	01	30.3
Medical staff	98	58.7
Surgical staff	69	41.3
Job		
Resident	52	31.1
Demonstrator	14	8.4
Assistant lecturer	43	25.7
Lecturer	52	31.1
Assistant professor	5	3
Professor	1	0.6
Smoking status (non-smoker)	152	91
Smoker	15	9
Smoking years	5.65	4.70
No. of cigarettes a day	11.41	11.40
Regular physical activity (yes)	51	30.5
Frequency of physical activity per week		
(n=51)		
Once	17	33.3
Twice	16	31.4
3 times	12	23.5
4 times	6	11.8
Exposure to violence during work (no)	45	26.9
Yes	123	73.7
Type of violence (n=123)		
Physical	6	4.9
Verbal	94	76.4
Physical and verbal	20	16.3
Physical and sexual	1	0.8
All types of violence	2	1.6
Frequency of dealing with critical		
patients	_	2
Never	5	3
Many times a year	18	10.8

Once a month	26	15.6
Once a week	29	17.4
Once a day	25	15
More than one time a day	64	38.3

SD: standard deviation.

Table 3. Subscales of burnout and dimensions of professional quality of life among studied physicians (n=167).

Variables	No.	%
Emotional exhaustion grades		
Low	15	9
Average	27	16.2
High	125	74.9
Emotional exhaustion score, mean	3	4.41(11.61)
(SD)		
IQR (median)		17(36)
Depersonalization grades		
Low	44	26.3
Average	33	19.8
High	90	53.9
Depersonalization score, mean	1	3.06 (7.87)
(SD)		
IQR (median)		12(13)
Reduced personal		
accomplishment grades		
Low	38	22.8
Average	42	25.1
High	87	52.1
Personal accomplishment score,		30.82(8.98)
mean (SD)		
IQR (median)		13 (31)
Total burnout grades		
Low	6	3.6
Average	29	17.5
High	131	78.9
Total burnout score, mean (SD)	7	8.29 (17.90)
IQR (median)		23 (79)
Compassion satisfaction grades		
Low potential	11	6.6
Moderate potential	127	76
High potential	29	17.4
Compassion satisfaction score,	3	34.33(7.32)
mean (SD)		
Compassion fatigue grades		
Low potential	27	16.2
Moderate potential	137	82
High potential	3	1.8
Compassion fatigue score, mean		29.78(6.81)
(SD)		
Correlation Matrix of	the professional quali	ty of life subscale

Subscales of burnout Spearman's rho	Total burnout			passion faction	Compassion fatigue	
	r	p value	r	p value	r	<i>p</i> value
Emotional exhaustion	0.869	0.000*	-0.268	0.000*	0.503	0.000*
Depersonalization	0.646	0.000*	-0.373	0.000*	0.365	0.000*
Personal accomplishment	0.211	*0000	0.589	0.000*	-0.121	0.189
Total burnout			-0.095	0.223	0.454	0.000*
Compassion satisfaction					-0.163a	0.035*

SD: Standard Deviation, a Pearson correlation, IQR: interquartile range, *p<.05.

Table 4. Univariate analysis of risk factors of MBI subscales of burnout (n=167).

Risk factors	Emotional exhaustio	n	Depersonalization	Personal accomplishment			
	Mean ±SD p-value (Median)		Mean ±SD (Median)	p-value	Mean ±SD (Median)	p- value	
Gender							
Male	34.01±11.41 (36)	0.717	$14.21 \pm 7.37(14.5)$	0.113	32.19± 8.63 (33)	0.113	
Female	34.68± 11.79 (36)		$12.27 \pm 8.14(13)$		29.88± 9.15 (29)		
Residence							
Rural	$30.25 \pm 11.84 (30.5)$	0.308	$13.13 \pm 6.60 (14.5)$	0.810	28.50 ± 8.60	0.488	
Urban	34.62 ± 11.59 (36)		$13.06 \pm 7.95 (13)$		(29.5)		
					30.94 ± 9.01 (31)		
Marital status							
Single	$37.70 \pm 10.87 (40)$	0.006*	$16.62 \pm 7.81 (17)$	0.000*	28± 8.96 (28)	0.001*	
Married or widow	32.51 ± 11.64 (33.5)		11.01 ± 7.18 (12)		32.44± 8.63 (34.5)		
Educational level							
Bachelor's degree	35.88± 10.73 (36)	0.005*	$16.30\pm7.70(16)$	0.000*	$28.27 \pm 8.65(29)$	0.000	
Master's degree	37.54±11.34 (41)		$14.08 \pm 7.46 (14.5)$		28.24±8.20 (28)	*	
Doctorate degree	30.49± 11.67 (32)		9.25 ± 6.79 (8)		35.28±8.24 (38)		
Medical or surgical							
staff	33.79± 11.68 (35.5)	0.499	11.31 ± 7.93 (12)	0.001*	31.94 ± 8.44	0.086	
Medical staff	35.29± 11.52 (36)		$15.55 \pm 7.12 (15)$		(32.5)		
Surgical staff					29.23± 9.55 (19)		
Smoking status							
Smoker	$36.17 \pm 9.45 (36.5)$	0.704	$18.41 \pm 7.83 \ (18.5)$	0.029*	30.67 ± 8.42	0.541	
Non-smoker	$34.32 \pm 11.87 (36)$		$12.53 \pm 7.74 (13)$		(29.5)		
Ex-smoker	$31.67 \pm 3.79 (30)$		$18.67 \pm 6.66 (17)$		30.72 ± 9.08 (31)		
					36.33 ± 5.77 (33)		
Regular physical						0.543	
activity(n=51)				0.021*	31.35± 9.51 (30)		

Yes	30.45± 11.90 (31)	0.004*	10.80± 6.47 (11)		30.59± 8.77 (31)	
No	36.15± 11.08 (38)		14.05± 8.25 (13.5)			
Exposure to						
violence						
during work	$35.36 \pm 10.91 (36)$	0.149	14.25 ± 7.84 (14)	0.001*	$30.31\pm 8.27(35)$	0.175
Yes	31.82 ± 13.09 (33)		$9.82\pm7.09(10)$		32.20 ± 10.67	
No					(30)	
Frequency of						
dealing						
with critical			$6.20\pm5.31(3)$		25.20±13.81	
patients		0.000*	$7.56\pm7.20(5)$	0.000*	(24)	0.815
Never	$26.60 \pm 12.30 (27)$		13.27 ± 7.05 (13)		$32.72\pm 8.57 (34)$	
Many times a year	28.89± 12.33 (29)		$11\pm 5.98 (12)$		31.12 ± 8.05	
Once a month	$28.73 \pm 9.83 (30)$		13.28 ± 6.39 (13)		(31.5)	
Once a week	$31.97 \pm 9.35 (34)$		15.91 ± 8.59 (16)		29.59 ± 9.01 (30)	
Once a day	34.56± 12.39 (38)				$31.48 \pm 9.76 (33)$	
More than one time a	39.92± 10.39 (42.5)				30.90± 8.84 (30)	
day						

MBI: Maslach Burnout Inventory, IQR: interquartile range, *p<.05.

Table 5. Univariate analysis of risk factors of dimensions of professional quality of life (n=167).

Risk factors	Total burnout		Compassion fatigue		Compassion satisfaction	
	Mean (SD)	p-value	Mean (SD)	p-value	Mean (SD)	p-value
Gender						0.259
Male	80.41(15.28)	0.249 a	28.25(6.60)	0.016*	35.10(8.07)	
Female	76.83(19.43)		30.83(6.79)		33.80(6.75)	
Residence						
Rural	71.88(23.17)	0.431a	26.75(6.96)	0.198	30.75(7.25)	0.157
Urban	78.61(17.62)		29.93(6.79)		34.51(7.30)	
Marital status						
Single	82.33(18.70)	0.020 a*	29.67(6.70)	0.879	31.39(8.44)	0.000*
Married or widow	75.96(17.08)		29.84(6.91)		36.02(6.01)	
Educational Level						
Bachelor's degree	80.45(20.30)		30.38(5.77)		33.27(7.73)	
Master's degree	79.86(15.02)	0.144 ^b	31.82(7.20)	0.003*	32.16(7.76)	0.001*
Doctorate degree	75.02(17.52)		27.56(6.84)		37.08(5.62)	
Medical or surgical staff						
Medical staff	77.03(17.66)	0.150 a	30(7.41)	0.604	35.07(7.23)	0.119
Surgical staff	80.07(18.21)		29.46(5.89)		33.28(7.37)	
Smoking status						
Smoker	85.25(18.17)	0.302^{b}	28.67(3.58)	0.826	34.67(7.06)	0.490
Non-smoker	77.57(17.91)		29.85(7.06)		34.40(7.36)	
Ex-smoker	86.67(10.41)		30.67(3.79)		29.33(6.43)	
Regular physical activity						
Yes	72.61(17.96)	0.013 a *	28.76(5.86)	0.203	34.59(7.87)	0.763
No	80.78(17.36)		30.22(7.17)		34.22(7.10)	
Exposure to violence						
during work				0.014*		0.599
Yes	79.93(16.81)	0.045 a *	30.57(6.63)		34.15(6.94)	
No	73.84(20.08)		27.64(6.92)		34.82(8.33)	

Frequency of dealing with critical patients						
Never	58(27.94)		28.40(6.95)		32(7.11)	
Many times a year	69.17(15.73)	0.000 b *	27.89(7.61)	0.009*	36.28(4.99)	0.531
Once a month	73.12(12.73)		27.58(5.74)		34.08(7.37)	
Once a week	72.56(19.20)		29.62(7.19)		35.90(6.79)	
Once a day	79.32(17.96)		27.64(7.51)		34.20(8.27)	
More than one time a day	86.73(14.87)		32.22(5.92)		33.41(7.72)	

a: Mann-Whitney Test, b: Kruskal-Wallis Test, *p<0.05.

Table 6. Multivariate linear regression analysis of dimensions of professional quality of life (n=167).

Predictors	Burno	Burnout score			gue sco	re	Compassion satisfaction score		
	Unstandardized	t	p-value	Unstandardized B	t	p-value	Unstandardized B	t	p-value
Marital status	-6.959	-2.807	0.006*	2.280	2.275	0.024*	5.039	4.450	0.000*
Exposure to	0.009	0.003	0.997	2.008	1.910	0.058	0.458	0.366	0.715
violence during									
work									
Frequency of	3.573	4.623	0.000*	0.059	0.179	0.858	-0.337	-0.869	0.386
dealing with									
critical patients									
Compassion	0.312	1.893	0.060	-0.183	-	0.006*			
satisfaction					2.804		-	-	-
score									
Compassion	1.115	6.340	0.000*	-	-	-	-0.254	-2.804	0.006*
fatigue score									
Burnout score	-	-	-	0.179	6.340	0.000*	0.070	1.893	0.060
	-	-	-	0.179	6.340	0.000*	0.070	1.893	0.06

R Square for burnout model is .356, R Square for compassion fatigue model is 0.286, R Square for compassion satisfaction model is 0.141, *p<0.05.