

Tilburg University

Correlating Facial Expressions and Subjective Player Experiences in Competitive Hearthstone

Mavromoustakos Blom, Paris; Kosa, Mehmet; Bakkes, Sander; Spronck, Pieter

Publication date: 2021

Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Mavromoustakos Blom, P., Kosa, M., Bakkes, S., & Spronck, P. (2021). Correlating Facial Expressions and Subjective Player Experiences in Competitive Hearthstone. Paper presented at Foundations of Digital Games (FDG) 2021.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Correlating Facial Expressions and Subjective Player Experiences in Competitive Hearthstone

Paris Mavromoustakos-Blom Tilburg University, The Netherlands p.mavromoustakosblom@uvt.nl

Sander Bakkes Utrecht University, The Netherlands s.c.j.bakkes@uu.nl

ABSTRACT

In this study, we used recordings of players' facial expressions that are captured during competitive Hearthstone games to analyse the correlation between in-game player affective responses and subjective post-game self-reports. With this, we aimed to examine whether eye gaze, head pose and emotions gathered as objective data from face recordings would be associated with subjective experiences of players which were collected in the form of a post-game survey. Data was collected during a live offline Hearthstone competition, which involved a total of 17 players and 31 matches played. Correlation analyses between in-game and post-game variables show that players' facial expressions and eye gaze measurements are associated with both players' attention to the opponent and their mood influenced by the opponent. In future research, these results may be used to implement predictive player models.

CCS CONCEPTS

- Human-centered computing \rightarrow HCI theory, concepts and models.

KEYWORDS

Facial expression analysis, competitive games, hearthstone, player experience, player affect, game experience questionnaire

ACM Reference Format:

Paris Mavromoustakos-Blom, Mehmet Kosa, Sander Bakkes, and Pieter Spronck. 2021. Correlating Facial Expressions and Subjective Player Experiences in Competitive Hearthstone. In The 16th International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games (FDG) 2021 (FDG'21), August 3-6, 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 5 pages. https: //doi.org/10.1145/3472538.3472577

1 INTRODUCTION

Competitive video gaming, also known as Esports, is a field of constantly growing industrial and scientific interest. In recent years, scientific research has revolved around various aspects of Esports,

FDG'21, August 3-6, 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada

© 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-8422-3/21/08...\$15.00

https://doi.org/10.1145/3472538.3472577

Mehmet Kosa Arizona State University, USA mkosa@asu.edu

Pieter Spronck Tilburg University, The Netherlands p.spronck@uvt.nl

from comparing them to traditional sports [16] to implementing multi-agent systems able to beat the world champion team of Dota 2 [4]. Regarding player affect in particular, studies have discussed player motivation [14], psychology [3], and physiology [5, 21].

This study presents an approach towards player affect analysis through facial expressions, during competitive games of Hearthstone. Hearthstone is one of the most popular one-versus-one digital Collectible Card Games, played as an Esport since 2014. Much like poker, Hearthstone is played in a partially observable environment, where players can see their own cards and the cards on the table but have little information on their opponent's hand. Even though Hearthstone is mostly played online, disabling physical interactions between players, most major Hearthstone competitions are held offline with all players physically present in a venue. In this context, analysing a player's facial expressions may yield information not only on their overall affective state, but also on their in-game strategy, given the current state of the game board. However, when a player's facial expressions are analysed, to be able to better make sense of the data, there is a need to know what these expressions correspond to in terms of subjective player experiences. This purpose motivated us to investigate how we can examine eye gazes, head poses and emotions gathered from facial expressions in terms of players' subjective experiences. Our goal was to uncover whether these objective measures are related to and translated into players' perceptions of their involvement levels, moods and their relationships with their opponent. Ultimately, our aim is to use this dataset to implement predictive models of player affect based on facial expression analysis.

We ran a live offline Hearthstone competition, where 17 players competed for the top three prized spots. During the matches, we recorded each player's face along with that player's perspective of the game board. In addition, we employed the Game Experience Questionnaire (GEQ) [15] to retrieve post-game subjective measurements of players' experiences. In the present paper, we present the preliminary results of a correlation analysis between players' facial expression metrics and their subjective reports.

2 RELATED WORK

Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) and affective computing [23] in particular, are fields of increasing popularity in video game research. Modern sensor technology enables the unobtrusive extraction and analysis of player affective responses, which can be used for player modelling [29], implementation of adaptive games [6] and procedural content generation [30]. Facial expression analysis

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

FDG'21, August 3-6, 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada



Figure 1: Snapshot of a game in the 2016 Hearthstone world championship[20]. Players are seated facing each other, allowing eye contact.

is a non-invasive affective input channel, as it can be achieved with inexpensive commercial hardware and open-source software such as OpenFace [2]. Facial expression analysis and video games have been combined in multiple studies discussing various topics, such as affective gaming [22, 27], game personalisation [7], player affect evaluation [24, 28] and alternative gameplay mechanisms [25]. Recently, Doyran et al. [9] published a rich dataset that enables multi-modal, multi-player affect and interaction analysis through capturing the facial expressions of board game players.

When card games are concerned, digital or tangible, players often seek to extract hidden information from their opponents by analysing social signals such as speech, body motion and facial expressions [17]. Slepian et al. [26] discuss that poker players' motor actions oftentimes betray their intentions, possibly emitting unintended signals to opponent players about their hand quality. The same principle can apply to a digital card game like Heartstone; offline Hearthstone competitions often allow eye contact between players, despite it being a digital game (see Figure 1). By analysing player facial expressions during competitive Hearthstone games, we may not only assess their affective state, but also extract information on their in-game strategy. Although the latter is outside the scope of this paper, it is one of the future goals of this work in progress.

Affective computing techniques have been directly applied to Esports, mainly for player affective state monitoring. Wearable sensors have been employed to collect affective data from professional Counter-Strike players [19] and semi-professional League of Legends players [5]. In this study, we look for correlations between player social signals (through facial expressions) and subjective reports of affect. To our knowledge, only a limited number of studies discuss player facial expressions during multi-player, competitive video games [1, 10].

3 METHOD AND DATA COLLECTION

A total of 17 players formed a tournament bracket, resulting in 31 matches played. One match was excluded from the dataset because of webcam recording failure. In total, 156 game recordings were collected. Applicants were all male, with an average age of 22.7 years (sd = 3.6). Before their first match, all participating players

Paris Mavromoustakos-Blom, Mehmet Kosa, Sander Bakkes, and Pieter Spronck



Figure 2: Overview of the methods employed in the present paper.

signed an informed consent form agreeing to the recording of their facial reactions throughout the game competition.

The competition was held in Tilburg University's Game Lab. In the lab, five pairs of high-end gaming desktop computers were placed in two rows facing each other. For every match, the opposing players were positioned in one of the computer pairs. To enable eye contact between opponents, the computer's monitors were set to minimum height. Webcams were mounted on top of each monitor, recording participants' faces during the matches.

To strengthen the competitive nature of the tournament, prizes were added for the players who finished in the top three positions. Prizes included peripheral gaming hardware and digital gift cards. We believe that through tangible prizes, players' motivation to win is increased, resulting in a stronger sense of satisfaction when victorious, and a stronger sense of disappointment or frustration when losing. We expect these affective responses to be expressed both through players' facial expressions during the games and through their subjective post-match reports. An overview of the data collection and data analysis methods used in this paper is provided in Figure 2.

Regarding facial expression analysis, webcam recordings were processed through the OpenFace [2] facial expression analysis toolkit. OpenFace provides per-frame estimations of presence and intensity for several facial Action Units (AU), as described in the Facial Action Coding System (FACS) [13]. In particular, OpenFace can detect AUs 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 20, 23, 25, 26, 28, and 45. To maximise the robustness of our collected dataset, we discarded all video frames where OpenFace's confidence of AU estimation was below 98%. AU intensity estimations were translated into one of the six basic emotions [12] (happines, surprise, fear, sadness, disgust and anger) intensity estimations following Du et al. [11]. We calculated an average value of intensity for each facial expression of emotion, per player, per match played. Lastly, we calculated the root-mean square error of successive differences (RMSSD) for head pose and eye gaze measurements, per player, per game. The latter features serve as a descriptor of overall player head and eye movement during gameplay.

Regarding the post-match questionnaires, we employed GEQ's in-game and social presence modules. After each match participants

 Table 1: List of variables extracted from post-match questionnaires and facial expression analysis data.

Post-match report (GEQ)	
Match score	Luck defined outcome
Negative affect	Positive affect
Competence	Flow
Tension	Challenge
Behavioural involvement	Psychological involvement
Influenced opponent's mood	Influenced by opponent's mood
Attracted opponent's attention	Paid attention to opponent
Facial Expression Analysis (OpenFace)	
RMSSD eye gaze	RMSSD head pose
Average intensity happy	Average intensity angry
Average intensity sad	Average intensity disgusted
Average intensity fearful	Average intensity surprised

submitted self reports, scoring their in-game positive/negative affect, competence, challenge, flow, tension, behavioural and psychological involvement. Additionally, a Hearthstone-related question was added to the questionnaire: "To what extent does the player feel that luck defined the outcome of the match?" Since "luck" (the game's built-in randomness) can be a determining factor in Hearthstone, we expect perceived luck to be associated to increased levels of player frustration or satisfaction. Lastly, before participating in the tournament, players signed an informed consent form, along with a report on their subjective prior experience level in Hearthstone (1 – *not at all* to 5 – *extremely experienced*), and a report on weekly amount of hours spent on Hearthstone. The list of variables extracted is presented in Table 1.

4 RESULTS

We ran zero-order correlation tests for each variable extracted through facial expression analysis and post-game reporting. Each GEQ related variable is derived from combined questionnaire items according to Ijsselsteijn et al. [15]. To emphasise focus on interactions between opponents, we also ran correlation analyses on four specific GEQ items, namely "Influenced (by) opponent's mood" and "Attracted opponent's attention/Paid attention to opponent". These four items also participate in the calculation of psychological & behavioural involvement variables. Statistically significant correlations found are listed in Table 2. Our aim was to explore correlations between subjective player self-reports and objective facial expression measurements; for that reason, we chose to focus on inter-modality correlations.

In Table 2 we observe several moderate inter-modal correlations. More specifically, player eye gaze seems to have a positive correlation to players' psychological involvement, which includes their perception of influence on the opponent's mood. Similarly, head pose shows moderate correlation to psychological involvement, influencing and paying attention to the opponent. No correlation was found between player facial expression analysis variables and the "luck" variable. As far as facial expressions of emotion estimations are concerned, higher happiness scores are moderately correlated to psychological and behavioral involvement, increased attention between both opponents and high influence on each other's mood. Similarly, higher estimations of fearful facial expressions are moderately correlated to psychological involvement, mostly deriving from players being influenced by their opponents. Lastly, we observe that high scores of anger have a mild positive correlation to influence on the opponent's mood.

Table 2: Results of zero-order correlation tests. Only statistically significant correlations are listed. Correlation coefficient provided in parentheses, single asterisk (*) indicates $p \le 0.05$ and double asterisk (**) and bold indicates p < 0.01.

Tested variable	Significantly correlated variables
RMSSD eye gaze	Psychological involvement (.33**) Influenced opponent mood (.34**)
RMSSD head pose	Psychological involvement (.31*) Influenced opponent mood (.32*) Paid attention to opponent (.29*)
Average intensity happy	Psychological involvement (.40**) Behavioural involvement (.42**) Challenge (30*) Paid attention to opponent (.45**) Attracted opponent's attention (.27*) Influenced opponent's mood (.27*) Influenced by opponent's mood (.44**)
Average intensity fearful	Psychological involvement (.40**) Behavioural involvement (.32*) Challenge (35**) Negative affect (.30*) Influenced by opponent's mood (.52**) Paid attention to opponent (.32*)
Average intensity angry	Influenced by opponent's mood $(.28^*)$
Average intensity surprised	Challenge (26*)
Average intensity disgusted	Tension (.28*) Negative affect (.33**)

5 DISCUSSION

The correlation analysis exposed some interesting findings. First and foremost, a moderate correlation between players' eye gaze and influence on opponent mood seems to support that players had eye contact during the games. Although this correlation yields little information as to how the opponents' mood was affected, or whether eye contact was frequent, intimidating or just observatory, this is a result worthy of further investigation. We believe that annotations of eye contact between players, along with in-game metrics such as player hand quality, in-game aggression/risk taking and overall playstyle, may grant us further insight into this relationship. Ultimately, our aim is to be able to model the opponent's playstyle by analysing their facial expressions.

Furthermore, we observe how certain facial expressions of emotion seem to be significantly correlated to paying attention to and receiving attention from the opponent. In particular, an increase in happiness shows positive correlation to paying attention to the opponent, while opponent influence seems to be correlated to both happy, fearful and angry facial expressions. We suspect that interaction between opponents may cause a "transfer" of emotion from

Paris Mavromoustakos-Blom, Mehmet Kosa, Sander Bakkes, and Pieter Spronck

one player to another. Meaning that in a one versus one context, an in-game event that brings happiness to one player, might make their opponent feel angry or frustrated. Although calculating an average estimation of emotion over the entire course of a match means a lot of information is lost, we believe that interactions between players definitely have an impact on their mood. Being able to recognise the opponents internal affective state, may be a rich source of in-game information that players do not have direct access to.

Overall, the main unique contribution of this study is that it shows that we expect that players' subjective experiences can be derived from conducting eye gaze and facial expression analyses. Present study also hints that eye gazes and emotions may have an influence on the opponent's subjective experiences. However, dyadic analyses would be needed in order to more definitively state that players can directly affect their opponents with their gazes and facial expressions.

As future work, we believe that two investigations are necessary. First, in-game data should be analysed to enable the implementation of player models with respect to their in-game playstyle. Without any information about the actual gameplay (apart from the final score), few conclusions can be reached regarding the relationship between player affective state, opponent interaction and playstyle. Second, more in-depth facial expression analysis is currently being conducted. We believe that defining and extracting a wide list of spatio-temporal facial expression features is crucial to the continuation of this study. One example may be the detection and analysis of facial micro-movements [8] during gameplay. Such signals enable a more fine-grained study of player affect, associated with opponent interactions and in-game events. Lastly, we acknowledge that the male dominance in our dataset may harm the generalisability of the obtained results. However, it has been indicated that males comprise the vast majority of Esports players [18].

6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present a work in progress regarding player facial expression analysis during competitive Hearthstone games. We have collected a dataset, consisting of 17 players who participated in a total of 31 matches during an offline live Hearthstone competition. During gameplay, we extracted and analysed affective signals from facial recordings, while we employed the GEQ to extract post-match subjective scores of experience. The present study focuses not only on correlation between in-game and post-game player affect, but also touches upon the relationship between facial expressions and interactions between opponent players. Results show that there is a moderate correlation between player eye gaze measurements and opponent influence and attention, while moderate correlation was also found between the latter and facial expressions of happiness and fear. These findings lead us to the conclusion that, in the context of card games, eye contact between opponents is a social signal that not only carries affective information from one player to another, but may also identify players' in-game intentions. In addition, it was shown that the cumulative facial expression calculations can give out information about the overall subjective experiences of players. Our future studies aim towards player modelling, mainly through facial expression analysis and in-game data.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Link Esports Association for their assistance. This study is conducted within the Data2Game project, partially funded by the Netherlands Research Organisation (NWO).

REFERENCES

- Daniel Gábana Arellano, Laurissa Tokarchuk, and Hatice Gunes. 2016. Measuring affective, physiological and behavioural differences in solo, competitive and collaborative games. In *International conference on intelligent technologies for interactive entertainment*. Springer, 184–193.
- [2] Tadas Baltrušaitis, Peter Robinson, and Louis-Philippe Morency. 2016. Openface: an open source facial behavior analysis toolkit. In 2016 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV). IEEE, 1–10.
- [3] Fanni Bányai, Mark D Griffiths, Orsolya Király, and Zsolt Demetrovics. 2019. The psychology of esports: A systematic literature review. *Journal of gambling studies* 35, 2 (2019), 351–365.
- [4] Christopher Berner, Greg Brockman, Brooke Chan, Vicki Cheung, Przemysław Dębiak, Christy Dennison, David Farhi, Quirin Fischer, Shariq Hashme, Chris Hesse, et al. 2019. Dota 2 with Large Scale Deep Reinforcement Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.06680 (2019).
- [5] Paris Mavromoustakos Blom, Sander Bakkes, and Pieter Spronck. 2019. Towards multi-modal stress response modelling in competitive league of legends. In 2019 IEEE Conference on Games (CoG). IEEE, 1-4.
- [6] Paris Mavromoustakos Blom, Sander Bakkes, Chek Tien Tan, Shimon Whiteson, Diederik M Roijers, Roberto Valenti, Theo Gevers, et al. 2014. Towards Personalised Gaming via Facial Expression Recognition.. In AIIDE.
- [7] Paris Mavromoustakos Blom, Stefan Methorst, Sander Bakkes, and Pieter Spronck. 2020. Corrigendum to "Modeling and adjusting in-game difficulty based on facial expression analysis" [Entertain. Comput. 31 (2019) 100307]. Entertainment Computing 33 (2020), 100317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcom.2019.100317
- [8] Adrian K Davison, Moi Hoon Yap, Nicholas Costen, Kevin Tan, Cliff Lansley, and Daniel Leightley. 2014. Micro-facial movements: An investigation on spatiotemporal descriptors. In *European conference on computer vision*. Springer, 111– 123.
- [9] Metehan Doyran, Arjan Schimmel, Pınar Baki, Kübra Ergin, Batıkan Türkmen, Almıla Akdağ Salah, Sander CJ Bakkes, Heysem Kaya, Ronald Poppe, and Albert Ali Salah. 2021. MUMBAI: multi-person, multimodal board game affect and interaction analysis dataset. *Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces* (2021), 1–19.
- [10] SH Drijfhout. 2020. Improving eSports performance: conducting stress measurements during Fifa gameplay. B.S. thesis. University of Twente.
- [11] Shichuan Du, Yong Tao, and Aleix M Martinez. 2014. Compound facial expressions of emotion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 15 (2014), E1454– E1462.
- [12] Paul Ekman, E Richard Sorenson, and Wallace V Friesen. 1969. Pan-cultural elements in facial displays of emotion. *Science* 164, 3875 (1969), 86–88.
- [13] Rosenberg Ekman. 1997. What the face reveals: Basic and applied studies of spontaneous expression using the Facial Action Coding System (FACS). Oxford University Press, USA.
- [14] Samuel García-Lanzo and Andrés Chamarro. 2018. Basic psychological needs, passion and motivations in amateur and semi-professional eSports players. Aloma: revista de psicologia, ciències de l'educació i de l'esport Blanquerna 36, 2 (2018), 59–68.
- [15] W.A. IJsselsteijn, Y.A.W. de Kort, and K. Poels. 2013. The Game Experience Questionnaire.
- [16] Seth E Jenny, R Douglas Manning, Margaret C Keiper, and Tracy W Olrich. 2017. Virtual (ly) athletes: where eSports fit within the definition of "Sport". Quest 69, 1 (2017), 1–18.
- [17] Heidi Johansen-Berg and Vincent Walsh. 2001. Cognitive neuroscience: who to play at poker. Current Biology 11, 7 (2001), R261–R263.
- [18] Se Jin Kim et al. 2017. Gender inequality in eSports participation: examining League of Legends. Ph.D. Dissertation.
- [19] Alexander Korotin, Nikita Khromov, Anton Stepanov, Andrey Lange, Evgeny Burnaev, and Andrey Somov. 2019. Towards Understanding of eSports Athletes' Potentialities: The Sensing System for Data Collection and Analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.06403 (2019).
- [20] Callum Leslie. 2016. How the Hearthstone Championship Tour helped stabilize the game's troubled 2016. Published online at http://www.medium.com.
- [21] Adam Lobel, Isabela Granic, and Rutger CME Engels. 2014. Stressful gaming, interoceptive awareness, and emotion regulation tendencies: A novel approach. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 17, 4 (2014), 222–227.
- [22] André Mourão and João Magalhães. 2013. Competitive affective gaming: winning with a smile. In Proceedings of the 21st ACM international conference on Multimedia. 83–92.
- [23] Rosalind Wright Picard et al. 1995. Affective computing. (1995).

Correlating Facial Expressions and Subjective Player Experiences in Competitive Hearthstone

- [24] Athanasios Psaltis, Kyriaki Kaza, Kiriakos Stefanidis, Spyridon Thermos, Konstantinos C Apostolakis, Kosmas Dimitropoulos, and Petros Daras. 2016. Multimodal affective state recognition in serious games applications. In 2016 IEEE International Conference on Imaging Systems and Techniques (IST). IEEE, 435–439.
- [25] Argenis Ramirez Gomez and Hans Gellersen. 2020. KryptonEyed: Playing with Gaze Without Looking. In International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games. 1–4.
- [26] Michael L Slepian, Steven G Young, Abraham M Rutchick, and Nalini Ambady. 2013. Quality of professional players' poker hands is perceived accurately from arm motions. *Psychological science* 24, 11 (2013), 2335–2338.
- [27] Mariusz Szwoch and Wioleta Szwoch. 2015. Emotion recognition for affect aware video games. In Image Processing & Communications Challenges 6. Springer,

227-236.

- [28] Chek Tien Tan, Daniel Rosser, Sander Bakkes, and Yusuf Pisan. 2012. A feasibility study in using facial expressions analysis to evaluate player experiences. In Proceedings of The 8th Australasian Conference on Interactive Entertainment: Playing the System. ACM, 5.
- [29] Georgios N Yannakakis, Pieter Spronck, Daniele Loiacono, and Elisabeth André. 2013. Player modeling. In *Dagstuhl Follow-Ups*, Vol. 6. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.
- [30] Georgios N Yannakakis and Julian Togelius. 2011. Experience-driven procedural content generation. IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing 2, 3 (2011), 147–161.