

Citation for published version: Williams, S, Starling, L, McKay, C, West, S, Brown, JC, Robertson, C & Stokes, K 2021, 'Injuries in elite men's Rugby Union: An updated (2012-2020) meta-analysis of 11,620 match and training injuries', *Sports Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01603-w

DOI: 10.1007/s40279-021-01603-w

Publication date: 2021

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Publisher Rights CC BY

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

TITLE

Injuries in elite men's Rugby Union: An updated (2012-2020) meta-analysis of 11,620 match and training injuries

Authors:

Sean Williams¹ (ORCID: 0000-0003-1460-0085)

Charli Robertson¹

Lindsay Starling¹ (ORCID: 0000-0003-1275-6908)

Carly McKay¹ (ORCID: 0000-0001-7664-3211)

Stephen West^{2,3} (ORCID: 0000-0001-5800-7000)

James Brown^{4,6}

Keith Stokes^{1,5} (ORCID: 0000-0002-5049-2838)

Affiliations:

¹Centre for Health and Injury and Illness Prevention in Sport, Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, UK.

²Sport Injury Prevention Research Centre, Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

³O'Brien Institute for Public Health, University of Calgary, Canada

⁴Institute of Sport and Exercise Medicine, Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Department of Surgical

Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, South Africa

⁵Rugby Football Union, Twickenham, UK

⁶IOC Research Centre, South Africa

Running title: Elite Rugby Union injury meta-analysis.

Category: Injury and biomechanics: Review.

Date of Submission to Sports Medicine:

Statement for the journal: The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive license (or non-exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the journal editor to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in the journal.

DECLARATIONS

Funding: No funding was received to conduct this study.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: Sean Williams has received research grants from World Rugby and the Rugby Football Union in the recent past or currently. James Brown has received research grants, partial conference funding, and bursaries from World Rugby in the recent past or currently. Keith Stokes is employed by the Rugby Football Union. Charlotte Robertson, Lindsay Starling, Carly McKay, and Stephen West declare that they have no conflicts of interest relevant to the content of this review.

Authorship contributions: SWi conducted the literature search, data extraction, statistical analyses, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. CR and LS screened abstracts/titles. CR, LS, SWe, JB and KS assessed full-text articles for eligibility. SWi and CR assessed study reporting quality. All authors contributed with notable critical appraisal of the text and approved the final version.

Availability of data and material (data transparency): <u>https://osf.io/z4xp7/files/</u> Code availability (software application or custom code): <u>https://osf.io/z4xp7/files/</u>

Ethics approval: Not applicable

Consent to participate: Not applicable

NAME AND ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE

Sean Williams Department for Health University of Bath Bath BA2 7AY Phone +44 (0)1225 383515 Email: S.Williams@bath.ac.uk

Key Points:

- The incidence rate for match injuries in elite senior men's Rugby Union is high in comparison to most team sports (91 per 1,000 h), though the training injury incidence rate compares favourably (2.8 per 1,000 h).
- The mean days missed per match injury was 27 days.
- Playing level was not a significant effect modifier for any injury outcome.
- The tackle event and concussion injuries should continue to be the focus of future preventative efforts.

ABSTRACT

Background: The most recent meta-analytic review of injuries in elite senior men's Rugby Union was published in 2013. The demands of the game at the elite level are continually changing alongside law amendments and developments in player preparation. As such, an updated meta-analysis of injury data in this setting was necessary. Aim: To meta-analyse time-loss injury data in elite senior men's Rugby Union between 2012-2020. Methods: Electronic databases were searched using keywords 'rugby' and 'inj*'. Nineteen studies met the inclusion criteria. Injury incidence rate data were modelled using a mixed effects Poisson regression model. Days missed data were modelled using a general linear mixed model. Findings: The included data encompassed a total of 8,819 match injuries and 2,801 training injuries. The overall incidence rate of injuries in matches was 91 per 1,000 h (95% CI: 77-106). The estimated mean days missed per match injury was 27 days (95% CI 23-32). The overall incidence rate of match concussions was 12 per 1,000 h (95% CI: 9-15). The overall incidence rate of training injuries was 2.8 per 1,000 h (95% CI: 1.9-4.0). Playing level was not a significant effect modifier for any outcome. Conclusions: The injury incidence rate and mean days missed per injury in the present meta-analysis were higher, but statistically equivalent to, the 2013 meta-analysis (81 per 1,000 h and 20 days, respectively). The injury incidence rate for match injuries in elite senior men's Rugby Union is high in comparison to most team sports, though the training injury incidence rate compares favourably. The tackle event and concussion injuries should continue to be the focus of future preventative efforts.

1 INTRODUCTION

Rugby Union is amongst the most played and watched sports in the world, with approximately 9.6 million registered players in over 123 countries ^[1]. The game is physically demanding, with bouts of walking, jogging and running, interspersed with sprinting, static exertions, and contact events ^[2]. Moreover, the demands of the game at the elite level are continually changing alongside law amendments ^[3] and developments in match analysis, equipment, technology, and player training ^[4]. The most recent meta-analytic review of injuries in elite senior men's Rugby Union was published in 2013 ^[5]. This meta-analysis confirmed that match injury incidence rates in elite senior men's Rugby Union can be considered high in comparison to other team sports (overall injury incidence rate: 81 per 1,000 h), but similar to other collision-based sports ^[5]. It was recommended that injury prevention efforts should target lower-limb injury prevention strategies and technique during contact to reduce the burden of injury in the sport.

Since the publication of the most recent meta-analysis in 2013, efforts to reduce injury risk in elite senior men's Rugby Union have been undertaken ^[6, 7], primarily in response to rapidly increasing rates of concussion injuries ^[8]. These increases are thought to be due, in part, to the introduction of processes to better identify and manage head impact events during matches ^[9] as well as increased awareness and education around concussions. However, a real change in concussion risk resulting from changes to the demands of the elite game is also likely. Concern about potential long-term problems associated with concussion and/or multiple head impacts (i.e., neurodegenerative diseases) is recognised by medical and lay populations ^[10] and is a prominent issue in elite Rugby Union. Given the changes that have occurred within elite Rugby Union since 2013, and the volume of data published from new and existing injury surveillance projects, there is a clear need for an updated review and meta-analysis of injuries in this population. An updated meta-analysis will provide the most precise and up-to-date estimates of injury risk to best inform future preventative strategies in elite Rugby Union.

2 OBJECTIVE

To meta-analyse the epidemiological data of time-loss injuries in elite senior men's Rugby Union between 2012-2020, with specific reference to: match injury incidence rates; days missed for match

injuries; match concussion incidence rates; and training injury incidence rates. The effect of playing level and position as a moderating factor will be explored. In addition, the proportion of match injuries as a function of injury location and match event will be summarised.

3 METHODS

Guidelines for reporting meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology (MOOSE guidelines)^[11] were followed, including specifications for reporting background, search strategy, methods, results, discussion and conclusion. Details of the protocol for this systematic review were registered on PROSPERO and can be accessed at:

www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42020200627.

3.1 Literature search

Web of Science, PubMed and Google Scholar databases were searched (by SWi) from September 2012 through October 2020 using key words "rugby" and "inj*". Furthermore, the reference lists of included studies, and relevant "grey literature" (e.g. conference proceedings and online annual injury surveillance reports) were searched to identify additional articles. Inclusion criteria for retrieved studies were: (1) prospective cohort studies; (2) study population comprising 15-a-side senior elite male Rugby Union teams; (3) use of a 24-h time-loss injury definition ^[12]; (4) full-text version available in English; (5) data pertaining to 2012 onwards; and (6) reports injury incidence rates for one or more of the following epidemiological data: (i) match or training injuries; (ii) match concussion injuries; (iii) match injuries for forwards and backs; (iv) location of match injuries; (v) match event associated with injury. In addition, the mean/median days missed per match injury was extracted. Literature was excluded if appropriate injury incidence rate and either injury count or exposure time data were not reported or could not be obtained from the corresponding author. 'Elite' was defined as playing at International level, the top two leagues in a tier one nation, or the top league in a tier two nation. Duplicate records were identified and removed. Titles and abstracts of the remaining studies were assessed for relevance by SWi, CR, and LS, with non-relevant articles being discarded. All articles were screened by at least two reviewers. Full text versions of the outstanding articles were then retrieved and evaluated against the inclusion criteria by two independent review groups (SWi and CR, Cohen's Kappa Index Value = 88.9%; LS and SWe; Cohen's Kappa Index Value = 93.6%), with any conflicts resolved by KS (n = 16).

3.2 Assessment of Reporting Quality and Risk of Bias

The reporting quality of included studies was evaluated by two independent reviewers (SWi and CR) using the 'Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology' (STROBE) Sports Injury and Illness Surveillance (-SIIS) statement ^[13]. This 23-item checklist provides guidance on the reporting of observational studies on injury and illness in sports, but was not intended as a direct assessment of study quality. Discrepancies were resolved via discussion. The risk of small study bias was examined visually through funnel plots (available in the Supplementary files).

3.3 Data extraction

For studies meeting the inclusion criteria, information pertaining to the level of play, study setting, surveillance period, number of injury events, mean/median days missed per injury, and exposure time was extracted. Where only two of injury count, injury incidence rate, or exposure data were provided, the missing component was calculated using the available data (e.g., where injury count and injury incidence rate data were provided, the exposure time was calculated as: 'injury count \div injury incidence rate data were provided, the exposure time was calculated as: 'injury count \div injury incidence rate \times 1,000'). Note, this approach may result in small rounding errors, but these have a negligible impact on the reported outcomes. Where relevant, multiple rows of data were extracted for each study to allow for the various combinations of counts and exposures required for each fixed effect (i.e., match injuries, training injuries, concussion injuries, body location, match event, and playing position [forwards / backs]). Data pertaining to seasons prior to 2012 were not extracted ^[8, 14, 15].

World Rugby organises its member unions into six tiers according to playing strength and potential ^[16]; Tier one teams participate in the Six Nations Championship (England, France, Ireland, Italy, Scotland, Wales) or The Rugby Championship (Argentina, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa) while tier two currently consists of Canada, USA, Uruguay, Georgia, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Spain, Namibia, Fiji, Samoa, and Tonga. For 'level of play', club teams were considered to be 'level one' if they played in the highest league within a tier one ranked nation, and 'level two' if they played in the second tier of a tier one ranked nation, or in the highest league within a tier two ranked nation ^[5]. Data from international teams and tournaments (e.g., Rugby World Cups) were categorised as 'international'. International Under-20 rugby tournaments ^[14] were categorised as 'level two'. Where required, authors were contacted to obtain any additional data that was not available in the full text versions; this was necessary for two of the included studies. Where multiple studies captured the

same study period for a given team, preference was given to the study with the greatest overall exposure and/or via liaison with the original authors.

3.4 Analysis and interpretation of results

Statistical modelling was performed using the metafor package ^[17] in R (version 4.0.2, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Incidence rate data were modelled using a mixed effects Poisson regression model. The response variable was the number of observed injuries, offset by the log of the number of exposure hours. Injury location and match event incidence rate data were summarised as a proportion of all injuries in the given individual study, and then analysed via a random-effects model with raw proportions [17]. Days missed data were modelled using a general linear mixed model ^[17]. Between-study heterogeneity was assessed with the I² statistic, where values of 25%, 50%, and 75% represented low, moderate, and high levels, respectively [18]. There were high levels of heterogeneity in all injury outcomes reported, and thus a random effects term was included to allow for residual heterogeneity among studies and to account for the correlation arising from using multiple rows of data from the same study. Comparisons between playing levels and positional groups (forwards versus backs) were made by including these variables as fixed effects. For the analysis of playing position, total exposure time was multiplied by 0.53 and 0.47 for forwards and backs, respectively, to account for the relative playing exposure for these positional groups (i.e., 8 forwards and 7 backs per team). All estimates are presented with 95% confidence intervals, with significance set at an alpha level of 0.05.

4 RESULTS

The electronic searches returned 2,952 results. After removing duplicate and non-relevant records, 96 potentially relevant studies were assessed for inclusion in this review (Fig. 1). Nineteen prospective cohort studies were included in the final analysis, encompassing a total of 8,819 match injuries and 2,801 training injuries. The mean \pm SD reporting quality, as assessed by the 23-item STORBE-SIIS checklist, was 17 \pm 3 with a range of 9 to 21 (Table 1). Each individual rating for the STORBE-SIIS iteams is available in the Supplementary data. Visual inspection of the funnel plots did not reveal any evidence of publication bias (see Supplementary data).



Fig. 1 PRISMA flow diagram of the study selection process

Reference	Setting	Level of play	Surveillance period	Activity	Injury count	Exposure time (h)	Incidence (# / 1,000 h)	Mean days missed	Injury burden (days / 1,000 h)	STROBE-SIIS rating [/23]
Bitchell et al. ^[19]	Wales	Level 1	2012-16	Match	1,086	10,960	99.1	26	2,570	17
				Match (concussion)	168	10,960	15.3			
Cosgrave & Williams ^[20]	Ireland	Level 1	2016-17	Match (concussion)	46	2,590	17.8			18
	Ireland	International	2016-17	Match (concussion)	5	180	27.8			
Cousins et al. [21]	England	Level 2	2017-18	Match	125	728	171.7			12
				Training	76	12,233	6.2			
Cruz-Ferreira et al. [22]	Portugal	Level 2	2014-15	Match	28	420	66.7	23	1,501	12
Fuller et al. ^[23]	World Cup	International	2015	Match	173	1920	90.1	30	2,685	19
				Match (concussion)	24	1,920	12.5			
				Training	20	17,403	1.1			
Fuller et al. ^{[14]a}	World Cup	U20s (Level 2)	2012-16	Match	273	5,800	47.1	38	1,720	21
				Match (concussion)	21	5,800	3.6			
Fuller et al. ^[24]	World Cup	International	2019	Match	143	1,800	79.4	29	2,296	21
				Match (concussion)	22	1,800	12.2			
				Training	25	16,667	1.5			
Kemp et al. ^[25]	England	International	2012-19	Match	189	1,695	111.5	21	2,308	17
				Training	173	27,453	6.3			
Lanzetti et al. ^[26]	Italy	Level 2	2014-15	Match	40	360	111.1			9
				Training	37	12,320	3.0			
Moore et al. ^[27]	Wales	International	2012-14	Training	41	8737	4.7			19
				Match (concussion)	11	800	13.8			

Table 1. Study characteristics, injury data, and STROBE-SIIS reporting quality of included studies.

Rafferty et al. ^[28]	Wales	International	2012-16	Match	177	1,000	177			20
Schwellnus et al. ^[29]	South Africa	Level 1	2012-16	Match	802	8,032	99.9	18	1,796	20
				Match (concussion)	60	8,032	7.5			
				Training	134	85,609	1.6			
Starling et al. [30]b	South Africa	Level 1	2014-17	Match	502	6,160	81.5			15
			2016-17	Match				33		
			2014-17	Match (concussion)	42	6,160	6.8			
Starling et al. [31]	South Africa	Level 1	2018	Match	77	940	81.9	31		15
				Match (concussion)	14	940	14.9			
Starling et al. [32]	South Africa	Level 1	2019	Match	90	957	94	13	1,222	15
				Match (concussion)	11	957	11.5			
Stokes et al. ^{[7]c}	England	Level 2	2019	Match	256	3,600	71.1			15
				Match (concussion)	61	3,600	16.9			
West et al. ^{[15]a}	England	Level 1	2012-19	Match	4,747	55,642	85.3	30	2,602	19
				Match (concussion)	838	55,642	15.1			
West et al. ^{[8]a}	England	Level 1	2012-18	Training	2,245	872,823	2.6			17
Whitehouse et al. ^[33]	Australia	Level 1	2014	Match	111	1,680	66.1	40	2,630	18
				Training	50	21,459	2.3			

STROBE-SI/S Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology - Sports Injury and Illness Surveillance extension.

^a, data for seasons pre-2012 were not extracted. ^b, injury severity data were only captured from 2016 onwards. ^c, only control period data were extracted.

4.1 Match injury incidence rate

Seventeen studies ^[7, 14, 15, 19, 21-33] provided injury surveillance data for match injuries that could be included in the meta-analysis. The seventeen studies reported a total of 8,819 injuries amongst elite senior male Rugby Union players exposed to 101,694 h of match time. This yielded an overall injury incidence rate of 91 per 1,000 h (95% CI: 77-106). Level of play was not a significant moderator of this relationship (P = 0.37). The mean match incidence rates per 1,000 h with 95% CI were, in descending order: International: 109 (95% CI: 81-147); level one: 87 (95% CI: 79-96); and level two: 84 (56-125) (see Fig. 2).



Fig. 2 Incidence of match injuries (with 95% confidence intervals) by level of play. Study reference, study setting, and total number of injury events are provided for each study. The location of the diamond represents the estimated incidence rate and the width of the diamond reflects the precision of the estimate. The dashed line represents the prediction interval, which shows the range of the true effect in 95% of study settings

13

4.2 Days missed per injury

Twelve studies ^[14, 15, 19, 22-25, 29-33] provided mean days missed data for match injuries that could be included in the general linear mixed model (see Fig. 3). The estimated mean days missed per match injury was 27 days (95% CI 23–32), with no significant difference across playing levels (P = 0.95). Nine studies ^[14, 15, 19, 23, 24, 28, 30-32] provided median days missed data for match injuries. The estimated median days missed per match injuries that could be median days missed per match injuries. The estimated median days missed per match injury was 8 days (95% CI: 4-11), with no significant difference across playing levels (P = 0.55)



Fig. 3 Mean days missed for match injuries (with 95% confidence intervals) by level of play. Study reference, study setting, and total number of injury events are provided for each study. The location of the diamond represents the estimated mean days missed and the width of the diamond reflects the precision of the estimate. The dashed line represents the prediction interval, which shows the range of the true effect in 95% of study settings

Study Reference | Study setting | Total injury count

Mean days missed [95% CI]

4.3 Concussion

Twelve studies ^[7, 14, 15, 19, 20, 23, 24, 27, 29-32] provided match concussion incidence rate data that could be included in the meta-analysis (Fig. 4). The twelve studies encompassed a total of 1,323 concussion injuries amongst elite senior male Rugby Union players exposed to 99,381 h of match time. The overall rate of match concussions was 12 per 1,000 h (95% CI: 9-15), with no significant moderating effect of playing level (P = 0.39).

Study Reference | Study setting | Total injury count





Fig. 4 Incidence of match concussion injuries (with 95% confidence intervals) by level of play. Study reference, study setting, and total number of injury events are provided for each study. The location of the diamond represents the estimated incidence rate and the width of the diamond reflects the precision of the estimate. The dashed line represents the prediction interval, which shows the range of the true effect in 95% of study settings

4.4 Match injury locations

For each injury location, a range of between 4 to 10 studies provided data that could be included in the meta-analysis (Table 2). During matches, the head (16.7%), knee (12.9%) and shoulder (11.7%) were the most common injury locations.

Injury Location	Number of studies	Total injury count	Meta-analysed proportion (95% CI)
Head	10	1,439	16.7% (13.5-19.9%)
Knee	10	1,034	12.9% (12.1-13.6%)
Shoulder	10	933	11.7% (9.6-13.8%)
Ankle	9	312	9.3% (7.9-10.7%)
Posterior Thigh	8	447	6.5% (5.3-7.7%)
Lower Leg	10	570	6.5% (5.5-7.5%)
Anterior Thigh	8	338	6.0% (4.4-7.6%)
Chest	6	311	4.0% (1.9-6.1%)
Hip/Groin	10	330	3.8% (2.6-5.1%)
Wrist/Hand	10	177	3.6% (2.4-4.7%)
Upper Back	4	28	3.1% (0.7-5.6%)
Neck	9	338	2.9% (1.7-4.1%)
Foot	9	84	2.4% (1.8-3.0%)
Lower Back	10	161	1.8% (1.5-2.2%)
Elbow	7	33	1.2% (0.7-1.7%)
Pelvis/Sacrum	4	22	1.2% (0.2-1.9%)
Upper Arm	6	47	0.7% (0.5-0.9%)
Abdomen	4	38	0.7% (0.5-0.9%)
Forearm	6	49	0.7% (0.5-0.9%)

Table 2 Match injuries as a function of injury location. Injury location incidence rate data were summarised as a proportion of all injuries in the given individual study; proportions from each study were then combined in the meta-analysis.

4.5 Match injury events

For each match injury event, a range of between 6 to 9 studies provided data that could be included in the meta-analysis (Table 3). During matches, making tackles (23.0%), being tackled (22.8%), and collisions (14.2%) were the most common injury events.

Match Event	Number of studies	Total injury count	Meta-analysed proportion (95% CI)
Tackling	9	1,497	23.0% (20.7-25.2%)
Tackled	9	1,633	22.8% (20.7-24.9%)
Collision	7	737	14.2% (10.2-18.2%)
Running	9	713	10.4% (7.5-13.3%)
Ruck	9	627	8.9% (6.8-11.0%)
Scrum	9	257	4.3% (3.1-5.4%)
Maul	5	131	2.2% (1.9-2.6%)
Lineout	5	77	1.3% (1.0-1.6%)
Kicking	6	30	0.6% (0.2-1.0%)

Table 3 Match injuries as a function of match event. Match event incidence rate data were summarised as a proportion of all injuries in the given individual study; proportions from each study were then combined in the meta-analysis.

4.6 Playing position

Eleven studies ^[14, 15, 19, 22-24, 29-33] that reported match injury incidence rates for both forwards and backs were combined in the pooled analysis. The overall match injury incidence rate was not significantly different (P = 0.95) between forwards (78 per 1,000 h, 95% CI: 66-91) and backs (76 per 1,000 h, 95% CI: 60-97). Nine studies ^[14, 15, 19, 22-24, 31-33] also provided mean days missed data for these grouped playing positions that could be included in the general linear mixed model. The mean days missed per injury was significantly higher in forwards (31 days) versus backs (27 days, meta-analysed difference = 4 days, 95% CI: 3-5, P < 0.001).

4.7 Training injury incidence rates

Nine studies ^[8, 21, 23-27, 29, 33] provided injury surveillance data for training injuries that could be included in the meta-analysis (Fig. 5). The ten studies encompassed a total of 2,801 injuries amongst elite senior male Rugby Union players exposed to 1,074,704 h of training time. The overall incidence of injuries in senior men's elite rugby training was 2.8 per 1,000 h (95% CI: 1.9-4.0). Level of play was not a significant moderator of this relationship (P = 0.31).

Study Reference | Study setting | Total injury count





Fig. 5 Incidence of training injuries (with 95% confidence intervals) by level of play. Study reference, study setting, and total number of injury events are provided for each study. The location of the diamond represents the estimated incidence rate and the width of the diamond reflects the precision of the estimate. The dashed line represents the prediction interval, which shows the range of the true effect in 95% of study settings

5 DISCUSSION

The current study presents an updated (2012-2020) overview of injury data in elite senior men's Rugby Union. This work represents the largest analysis of injuries in this setting to date, encompassing a total of 11,620 injuries. The overall match and training incidence rates in the present analysis were comparable to the 2013 meta-analysis ^[5]. These data confirm that the injury incidence rate for match injuries in elite senior men's Rugby Union is amongst the highest of all team sports, though the training injury incidence rate compares favourably ^[34, 35]. The incidence rate for match concussion injuries is relatively high, and primary and secondary preventative efforts are a priority for this setting. The tackle accounts for the majority of match injury events and should continue to be the focus of future preventative efforts.

The overall meta-analysed match injury incidence rate was 91 per 1,000 h (95% CI: 77-106), with no significant moderating effect of playing level. The 2013 meta-analysis reported substantial differences between playing levels, primarily driven by a significantly lower incidence rate in the level two setting (35 per 1,000 h) ^[5] in comparison to the current study (84 per 1,000 h). These findings may reflect improved reporting of injuries in level two settings, and greater homogeneity in medical support between playing levels. The injury incidence rates in level 1 club (87 per 1,000 h) and international (109 per 1,000 h) settings in the current meta-analysis were equivalent to the values reported in the 2013 meta-analysis (89 and 123 per 1,000 h, respectively) ^[5]. It should be noted that data relating to international teams were typically collected in a tournament setting (e.g., World Cups), which may be inherently different to matches played throughout seasonal club competitions due to differences in match scheduling, reporting practices, and greater disparities in resources and playing abilities between teams.

There were no significant differences in the mean days missed due to injury between levels of play. The mean days missed per match injury in the present meta-analysis was seven days higher than the 2013 meta-analysis (20 versus 27 days) ^[5], although this difference was not statistically significant. A recent longitudinal analysis has, however, reported significant increases in the mean days missed per injury in level 1 clubs over the last 16 seasons ^[15]. Future research should incorporate mixed method approaches (both qualitative and quantitative) to further explore the mechanism behind the increasing mean days missed per injury in this setting.

The overall rate of match concussions was 12 per 1,000 h (95% CI: 9-15), with no significant moderating effect of playing level. However, this meta-analysed rate does not portray the changes in concussion reporting over time. For instance, in the English Premiership concussion rates have risen from ~5 per 1,000 h in 2011-12 to ~20 per 1,000 h in recent seasons ^[15]. The increase in concussion incidence rates is likely due to a number of factors: the introduction of processes to better identify and manage head impact events during matches ^[9], a lowering of the diagnostic threshold ^[36], increased awareness and education around concussions ^[36], and also a likely real change in concussion risk resulting from alterations to the demands/laws of the elite game (e.g., increased tackle frequency ^[3]). In many settings, concussion has emerged as the most common match injury ^[15], and this is supported by the head being the most common injury location in the current analysis (17% of all match injuries). The concern about potential long-term problems (e.g., neurodegenerative diseases) associated with concussion and/or multiple head impacts is recognised by medical and lay populations ^[10] and therefore governing bodies should continue to develop and evaluate strategies to lower the risk of concussion in elite senior men's Rugby Union. This may include law changes ^[6] and limiting contact exposure in training ^[37].

The tackle remains the match event associated with the largest proportion of injuries, with a similar risk evident for the ball carrier and tackler (being tackled = 23%, tackling = 23%). In the previous meta-analysis, being tackled (~36% of all injuries) was associated with a substantially higher injury incidence rate than making tackles (~23% of all injuries) ^[5]. Potential preventative efforts related to the tackle event that are currently being trialled include more stringent sanctioning of illegal highcontact tackles and reducing the height of the tackle [7]. Future strategies may address deficiencies in tackling technique on the non-dominant side [38], and developing technical capacity to resist the effects of physical fatigue during the tackle [39]. Elsewhere, there is some evidence that the incidence of running injuries, which accounted for 10% of all match injuries, has decreased ^[15]. There may be further scope for risk reduction of running-related injuries through targeted injury prevention programmes ^[40, 41]. The proportion of injuries associated with the scrum (4%) was lower than reported in the 2013 meta-analysis (9%) ^[5], which may be related to the game-wide introduction of a 'PreBind' technique in 2013-14 that was shown to reduce the biomechanical loading on players during scrum engagements ^[42]. This demonstrates how the full injury prevention cycle can be effectively applied in elite team sports ^[43]. However, it should be noted that changes to the number of scrum events per match across this period may also account for this decrease in scrum-related injuries [44].

The mean days missed per injury was significantly higher in forwards versus backs (metaanalysed difference = 4 days, 95% CI: 3-5), though no differences in injury incidence rates were observed. The higher mean days missed per injury in forwards may be a result of their involvement in a higher frequency and number of collisions per match ^[45]. In particular, forwards are involved in more tackle and ruck events than backs, which are considered amongst the highest burden events and which have increased in frequency per match over recent seasons ^[15]. These positional demands directly influence the assessment of activity risk within the return-to-play decision framework following an injury ^[46], which may also account for the observed difference in the mean days missed per injury between forwards and backs. There are likely to be position-specific differences in match injury profiles, determined by the physical and technical requirements of each position, which may be used to design more targeted injury-prevention programmes ^[47]. These position-specific injury profiles warrant an updated investigation, given the changes to game and positional demands that have occurred over recent seasons ^[3].

The overall meta-analysed training injury incidence rate in senior men's elite Rugby Union was 2.8 per 1,000 h (95% CI: 1.9-4.0) with no significant moderating effect of playing level. This training injury incidence rate was equivalent to the rate reported in the 2013 meta-analysis (3 per 1,000 h, 95 % CI: 2–4) and compares favourably with rates reported in sports such as men's professional football (4 per 1,000 h ^[35]) and field hockey (4.2 per 1,000 h ^[34]), implying that elite Rugby Union teams manage the risk associated with contact elements of training effectively. Despite the relatively low incidence rate of training injuries, they nonetheless occur in a largely controllable environment and represent a substantial proportion of all injury events (approximately one third) ^[8]. Therefore, injury reduction strategies targeted at this aspect of the game have the potential to substantially reduce the overall burden of injury as well as improving career longevity of those players involved at the elite level of the game.

There may be some limitations affecting the outcomes of this meta-analysis. Injury surveillance data are reported across a range of sources, including websites, theses, conference abstracts, and stakeholder reports ^[48]. As such, it is possible that relevant surveillance data, both injury and exposure quantification, may have been missed. However, the extensive and systematic search strategy (including grey literature) used in the present meta-analysis is likely to have captured the vast majority of relevant data in elite senior men's Rugby Union. Whilst all included studies used a 24 h time-loss injury definition and followed the consensus statement for injury surveillance in Rugby

Union ^[12], methodological differences between settings (i.e., differences in *who* records the injury data, *how* data are recorded [e.g., online versus paper-based forms], and the study setting [e.g., short tournament versus whole-season competition]) may influence the completeness and validity of the data ^[48]. Since all injury report measures are likely to have some degree of error, true 'gold standard' sources rarely exist and therefore quality assessment of injury surveillance studies is difficult to undertake ^[48]. Injury surveillance systems in elite senior men's Rugby Union should endeavour to assess and report the quality and completeness of their data in future publications ^[13, 49]. For instance, the largest study in the present meta-analysis (n = 4,747 injuries) was overseen by a lead researcher at the host institution, who implemented a quality control process to ensure all injury details were captured on a regular basis, and undertook a validation of reported match injuries using match report cards completed by match officials ^[15]. Finally, these data only relate to the elite men's game, and so are not generalisable to other Rugby Union populations.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The overall match and training injury incidence rates in senior men's elite Rugby Union were 91 per 1,000 h and 2.8 per 1,000 h, respectively. Playing level did not significantly moderate any of the outcome measures. These data confirm that the injury incidence rate for match injuries in elite senior men's Rugby Union, and the rate of concussion/head injuries in particular, is amongst the highest of all team sports. The tackle accounts for the majority of these match injury events. Whilst the training injury incidence rate compared favourably with other team sports, injury-reduction strategies targeted at this aspect of the game have the potential to substantially reduce the overall burden of injury and improve career longevity of those players involved at the elite level of the game. Going forwards, primary and secondary preventative strategies for concussion injuries are a key priority for this setting, with the tackle event being the obvious point of focus for primary prevention efforts.

7 REFERENCES

- 1. World Rugby. Player numbers. 2017; Available from: <u>https://www.world.rugby/development/player-</u> <u>numbers</u> [Accessed 14/12/2020]
- Cahill, N, Lamb, K, Worsfold, P, et al. The movement characteristics of English Premiership rugby union players. J Sports Sci 2013; 31 (3): 229-37.
- Kraak, W, Coetzee, F, Venter, R. Analysis of the general match profile of international rugby union between 2007 and 2013. Int J Perform Anal Sport 2017; 17 (3): 303-18.
- Quarrie, KL, Hopkins, WG. Changes in player characteristics and match activities in Bledisloe Cup rugby union from 1972 to 2004. J Sports Sci 2007; 25 (8): 895-903.

- 5. Williams, S, Trewartha, G, Kemp, S, et al. A meta-analysis of injuries in senior men's professional Rugby Union. Sports Med 2013; 43 (10): 1043-55.
- Raftery, M, Tucker, R, Falvey, ÉC. Getting tough on concussion: How welfare-driven law change may improve player safety—a Rugby Union experience. Br J Sports Med 2021; 55: 527-9.
- 7. Stokes, KA, Locke, D, Roberts, S, et al. Does reducing the height of the tackle through law change in elite men's rugby union (The Championship, England) reduce the incidence of concussion? A controlled study in 126 games. Br J Sports Med 2021; 55: 220-5.
- West, SW, Williams, S, Kemp, SPT, et al. Patterns of training volume and injury risk in elite rugby union: An analysis of 1.5 million hours of training exposure over eleven seasons. J Sports Sci 2020; 38 (3): 238-47.
- 9. Fuller, G, Tucker, R, Starling, L, et al. The performance of the World Rugby Head Injury Assessment Screening Tool: A diagnostic accuracy study. Sports Med. Open 2020; 6 (1): 2.
- Schneider, DK, Grandhi, RK, Bansal, P, et al. Current state of concussion prevention strategies: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective, controlled studies. Br J Sports Med 2017; 51 (20): 1473-82.
- 11. Stroup, D, Berlin, J, Morton, S, et al. MOOSE guidelines for meta-analyses and systematic reviews of observational studies. J Am Med Assoc 2000; 283 (15): 2008-12.
- Fuller, CW, Molloy, MG, Bagate, C, et al. Consensus statement on injury definitions and data collection procedures for studies of injuries in rugby union. Br J Sports Med 2007; 41 (5): 328-31.
- Bahr, R, Clarsen, B, Derman, W, et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement: methods for recording and reporting of epidemiological data on injury and illness in sport 2020 (including STROBE Extension for Sport Injury and Ilness Surveillance (STROBE-SIIS)). Br J Sports Med 2020; 54: 372-89.
- Fuller, CW, Taylor, A, Raftery, M. Eight-season epidemiological study of injuries in men's international Under-20 rugby tournaments. J Sports Sci 2018; 36 (15): 1776-83.
- West, S, Starling, L, Kemp, SP, et al. Trends in match injury risk in professional male rugby union—a 16-season review of 10 851 match injuries in the English Premiership (2002-2019): The Professional Rugby Injury Surveillance Project. Br J Sports Med 2020; <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102529</u>.
- 16. World Rugby. IRB Strategic Plan. 2014; Available from: <u>http://resources.world.rugby/worldrugby/document/2014/11/12/846ce431-bed4-4980-83d0-</u> b8a6d035dfe0/041207IRBStrategicPlan 772.pdf [Accessed 14/09/2020]
- 17. Viechtbauer, W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J Stat Softw 2010; 36 (3): 1-48.
- Higgins, JP, Thompson, SG, Deeks, JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003; 327 (7414): 557-60.
- 19. Bitchell, CL, Mathema, P, Moore, IS. Four-year match injury surveillance in male Welsh professional Rugby Union teams. Phys Ther Sport 2020; 42: 26-32.
- 20. Cosgrave, M, Williams, S. The epidemiology of concussion in professional rugby union in Ireland. Phys Ther Sport 2019; 35: 99-105.

- 21. Cousins, BEW, Morris, JG, Sunderland, C, et al. Match and training load exposure and time-loss incidence in elite Rugby Union players. Front Physiol 2019; 10 (1413): 1-11.
- 22. Cruz-Ferreira, AM, Cruz-Ferreira, EM, Ribeiro, PB, et al. Epidemiology of time-loss injuries in senior and under-18 Portuguese male rugby players. J Hum Kinet 2018; 62 (1): 73-80.
- 23. Fuller, CW, Taylor, A, Kemp, SPT, et al. Rugby World Cup 2015: World Rugby injury surveillance study. Br J Sports Med 2017; 51 (1): 51-7.
- 24. Fuller, C, Taylor, A, Douglas, M, et al. Rugby World Cup 2019 injury surveillance study. South African Journal of Sports Medicine 2020; 32 (1): 1-6.
- 25. Kemp, SPT, West, S, Antstiss, T, et al. England Professional Rugby Injury Surveillance Report: Season Report 2018-19. 2020.
- 26. Lanzetti, RM, Lupariello, D, Venditto, T, et al. The influence of playing surface on injury risk in Italian elite rugby players. Muscles Ligaments Tendons J 2017; 7 (1): 180-5.
- 27. Moore, IS, Ranson, C, Mathema, P. Injury risk in international rugby union three-year injury surveillance of the Welsh national team. Orthop Sports Med 2015; 3 (7).
- Rafferty, J, Ranson, C, Oatley, G, et al. On average, a professional rugby union player is more likely than not to sustain a concussion after 25 matches. Br J Sports Med 2019; 53 (15): 969-73.
- Schwellnus, MP, Jordaan, E, Van Rensburg, CJ, et al. Match injury incidence during the Super Rugby tournament is high: A prospective cohort study over five seasons involving 93 641 player-hours. Br J Sports Med 2019; 53 (10): 620-7.
- 30. Starling, L, Readhead, C, Viljoen, W, et al. The Currie Cup Premiership Competition Injury Surveillance Report 2014 - 2017. South African Journal of Sports Medicine 2018; 30 (1): 1-42.
- Starling, L, Readhead, C, Viljoen, W, et al. The Currie Cup Premiership Competition Injury Surveillance Report 2014 - 2018. South African Journal of Sports Medicine 2019; 31 (1): 1-47.
- 32. Starling, L, Readhead, C, Viljoen, W, et al. SA Rugby Injury and Illness Surveillance and Prevention Project (SARIISPP) The Currie Cup Premiership Competition Injury Surveillance Report 2019. South African Journal of Sports Medicine 2020; 32 (1): 1-13.
- 33. Whitehouse, T, Orr, R, Fitzgerald, E, et al. The epidemiology of injuries in Australian professional rugby union 2014 Super Rugby competition. Orthop Sports Med 2016; 4 (3).
- Rees, H, Mccarthy Persson, U, Delahunt, E, et al. Epidemiology of injuries in senior men's field hockey: A two-season prospective observational injury surveillance study. J Sports Sci 2020; 38 (24): 2842-9.
- 35. Hägglund, M, Waldén, M, Magnusson, H, et al. Injuries affect team performance negatively in professional football: An 11-year follow-up of the UEFA Champions League injury study. Br J Sports Med 2013; 47 (12): 738-42.
- Cross, M, Trewartha, G, Kemp, S, et al. Concussion in rugby Union: improved reporting, a more conservative approach or an increased risk? Br J Sports Med 2017; 51 (4): 309-.
- 37. Mccrea, MA, Shah, A, Duma, S, et al. Opportunities for prevention of concussion and repetitive head impact exposure in college football players: A Concussion Assessment, Research, and Education (CARE) Consortium study. JAMA Neurol 2021; 78 (3): 346-50.
- Seminati, E, Cazzola, D, Preatoni, E, et al. Tackle direction and dominant side affect upper body loading during rugby tackles. Br J Sports Med 2017; 51 (4): 386.

- Davidow, D, Redman, M, Lambert, M, et al. The effect of physical fatigue on tackling technique in Rugby Union. J Sci Med Sport 2020; 23 (11): 1105-10.
- 40. Attwood, MJ, Roberts, SP, Trewartha, G, et al. Efficacy of a movement control injury prevention programme in adult men's community rugby union: a cluster randomised controlled trial. Br J Sports Med 2018; 52 (6): 368-74.
- 41. Al Attar, WSA, Soomro, N, Sinclair, PJ, et al. Effect of injury prevention programs that include the nordic hamstring exercise on hamstring injury rates in soccer players: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Med 2017; 47 (5): 907-16.
- Cazzola, D, Preatoni, E, Stokes, KA, et al. A modified prebind engagement process reduces biomechanical loading on front row players during scrummaging: a cross-sectional study of 11 elite teams. Br J Sports Med 2015; 49 (8): 541-6.
- 43. O'brien, J, Finch, CF, Pruna, R, et al. A new model for injury prevention in team sports: the Teamsport Injury Prevention (TIP) cycle. Science and Medicine in Football 2019; 3 (1): 77-80.
- 44. World Rugby. World Rugby game analysis reports. 2019; Available from: <u>https://www.playerwelfare.worldrugby.org/?documentid=156</u> [Accessed 28/05/2021]
- 45. Macleod, SJ, Hagan, C, Egaña, M, et al. The use of microtechnology to monitor collision performance in professional rugby union. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2018; 13 (8): 1075-82.
- 46. Shrier, I. Strategic Assessment of Risk and Risk Tolerance (StARRT) framework for return-to-play decision-making. Br J Sports Med 2015; 49 (20): 1311-5.
- 47. Brooks, JH, Kemp, S. Injury-prevention priorities according to playing position in professional rugby union players. Br J Sports Med 2011; 45 (10): 765-75.
- 48. Ekegren, CL, Gabbe, BJ, Finch, CF. Sports injury surveillance systems: a review of methods and data quality. Sports Med 2016; 46 (1): 49-65.
- 49. Kucera, KL, Marshall, SW, Bell, DR, et al. Validity of soccer injury data from the National collegiate athletic association's injury surveillance system. J Athl Train 2011; 46 (5): 489-99.