

ICHRIE Research Reports

Volume 1

2016

The Impact of Marcellus Shale Development on Hotel Revenues in Pennsylvania

Daniel J. Mount The Pennsylvania State University

Timothy W. Kelsey The Pennsylvania State University

Kathryn J. Brasier The Pennsylvania State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://via.library.depaul.edu/ichrie_rr

Part of the Hospitality Administration and Management Commons

Recommended Citation

Mount, Daniel J.; Kelsey, Timothy W.; and Brasier, Kathryn J. (2016) "The Impact of Marcellus Shale Development on Hotel Revenues in Pennsylvania," *ICHRIE Research Reports*: Vol. 1, Article 1. Available at: https://via.library.depaul.edu/ichrie_rr/vol1/iss1/1

This article is brought to you for free and open access by the International Council on Hotel, Restaurant, and Institutional Education (ICHRIE). It has been accepted for inclusion in *ICHRIE Research Reports* by an authorized editor of DePaul University School of Hospitality Leadership. For more information, please contact rr@depaul.edu. The compilation of the journal issue is copyrighted by ICHRIE, but authors retain the copyright for their article.

The Impact of Marcellus Shale Development on Hotel Revenues in Pennsylvania

Abstract

New technologies have allowed for new drilling in oil and gas deposits throughout the world. In the Northeast United States, the Marcellus Shale formation has been one of the most active regions for new wells. This new drilling activity has created a visible economic impact to communities in and around the drilling sites. The increase in hotel activity metrics such as occupancy percentage and average daily rate has been noted but there has been no research that determines the total revenue impact of the drilling activity. This research does not attempt to factor in the social and environmental costs that have been discussed with the new drilling activities.

This research studied the total revenue impact of the Marcellus Shale regions in the stateof Pennsylvania. The state of Pennsylvania was chosen for this study as the state maintains detailed records on well development by county, while other states do not provide such data.

Based on determinations made by a leading Marcellus Outreach Center, five distinct drilling"regions" were identified. Smith Travel Research provided hotel performance data. The performance indicators (demand, average daily rate, total revenue) of the hotels in the five drilling regions were tracked against the U.S. hotel industry performance indicators for comparable time periods.

It was determined that approximately \$685 million of hotel revenue has been generatedby Marcellus Shale drilling activities. The incremental revenue was generated by both demandand average daily rate increases. This is a significant economic benefit to the drilling regions with increased tax collections for the taxing agencies and consumer spending by those visiting the region for drilling related activity.

Approximately 65 new hotels were added in the drilling regions beyond what could have been expected with no drilling based on U.S. hotel industry supply trends. These new hotels are,almost exclusively, select-service, branded hotels. The average room size was 82 rooms, with anaverage employee count of 25 employees, the drilling has accounted for approximately 1,600 new hotel jobs plus whatever new jobs were added based on the increased occupancy levels of existing hotels.

1

The cautionary note in the findings is that the 2012 data suggests that the demand may bestabilizing or decreasing. Demand in 2012 was flat at 0.0 but occupancy was down by 4.1% due to the increased supply. While the regions are still experiencing increased hotel revenues compared to a "non-Marcellus" scenario, the increase in hotel supply is making for a more challenging competitive environment for individual hotels.

The finding suggest that new hotel development should begin early in a drilling environment and that hotels should have a long-term viability strategy as the long-term demandmay stabilize or decrease. Sixty-two of the 65 new hotels are branded, 60 of those are select- service. Existing older and non-branded hotels will face a tougher operating environment and should have an exit strategy. Of the 14 hotels that closed in the drilling regions between 2006- 2012, 9 were independents and the average age of all 14 hotels was over 38 years old.

The Impact of Marcellus Shale Development on Hotel Revenues in Pennsylvania

Introduction

The refinement and adaptation of new oil and natural gas drilling technologies has made accessing oil and gas from "unconventional" sources (e.g., shales, tight sands) economically feasible. As a result, a modern-day "rush" has been occurring in several regions of the United States, such as western North Dakota (Bakken formation), Texas (Barnett and Eagle Ford), and several Northeastern states (Marcellus). Social scientists have dubbed the communities experiencing this development as "boomtowns," in the extent to which they tend to experience rapid growth, increased economic activity, and associated social problems and stress on infrastructure. ¹ Because local community members tend not to have the requisite skills for the extractive activity, and the technical skills required are quite specialized, the industry tends to import workers. As a result, one of the most critical problems faced by boomtowns is a need forhousing, particularly temporary housing in the form of hotels/motels, RV campgrounds, and mobile homes.

This study focused on the impacts of natural gas extraction on temporary housing, specifically hotels, in the Marcellus Shale region. The Marcellus Shale is a natural gas-bearing formation that lies beneath portions of Pennsylvania, New York, Ohio, Maryland, and West Virginia. Hotels in this region have noted increased occupancies, average daily rates (ADR) and revenue² but there has been no research that has quantified the total revenue impact on the hotel industry in this region. This research quantified the total revenue impact to hotels in the Marcellus Shale region and discussed the nature of the recognition of that revenue through increased demand and ADR as well as discussed the impact of the hotel demand on new hotel supply in the region.

¹ Cortese, C.F., & Jones, B. (1977). The sociological analysis of boomtowns. Western Sociological Review, 8(1):75-90.

Jacquet, J. (2009). Energy boomtowns & natural gas: Implications for Marcellus Shale local governments & rural communities. NERCRD Rural Development Paper 43.

 ² O'Neill, J. (2012). Fracking boosts hotel business. Lodging Hospitality, 22, 24.
 PKF Hospitality Research. (2012). Shale natural gas fueling Pennsylvania hotel industry. Retrieved 31 July2013 from http://www.hotel-online.com/news/PR2012_1st/Jan12_PAShale

Background

Much of the Marcellus Shale-related drilling and development activity in Pennsylvania has been in very rural areas of the Commonwealth, with relatively low population levels and small local economies. The combination of the highly specialized nature of the work, and the relatively few residents in these communities who have those required skills, has resulted in significant numbers of non-local workers temporarily moving into these communities. In addition, several larger communities in close proximity to the drilling activity, such as Williamsport, have become regional hubs for companies and workers, who commute from thereto well pads and supporting infrastructure in neighboring counties.

The influx of non-local workers into communities where drilling is occurring has created significant housing related problems.³ Williamson and Kolb found that as workers initially arrive in Marcellus communities, they occupy temporary housing units (hotels, company sponsored residential facilities, campgrounds, and rental housing). As a "second wave" of workers arrive associated with company headquarters and regional offices (and who are more likely to stay for longer time periods), they tend to occupy rental and owner-occupied units. Anecdotal evidence from communities with Marcellus Shale development activity has suggestedsimilar issues are arising with availability of hotel rooms, and it has been relatively common to hear complaints that hotel rooms are difficult to find within an hour or two of areas with much development. Drilling activity has been very robust across Pennsylvania, particularly in the early years of development. Since late 2011, however, dramatically falling natural gas prices have visibly slowed drilling, with the total number of wells drilled declining from 1,968 wells in2011 to 1,362 wells in 2012.⁴

There has been little academic research into the specific effects of Marcellus Shale oil and gas drilling on the hotel industry. Consulting companies and academics publishing in print and online trade journals has provided most of the information available. O'Neill (2012)

 ³ Williamson, J. & Kolb, B. (2011). Marcellus natural gas development's effect on housing in Pennsylvania.
 Williamsport, PA: Lycoming College Center for the Study of Community and the Economy.
 Institute for Public Policy and Economic Development. (2011). Impact on housing in Appalachian Pennsylvania as a result of Marcellus Shale. Wilkes Barre, PA: Institute for Public Policy and Economic Development.

⁴ Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). (2013). Office of Oil and Gas Management: Wells Drilled by County. 2006 through 2012. http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/office_of_oil_and_gas_management/20291.

Accessed on 21 Nov 2013.

presented data by state for the years 2009-2011 and found that RevPAR (revenue per available room) had increased in Pennsylvania Marcellus Shale regions by 8.8% from 2009 to 2010 and 10.0% from 2010-2011. More importantly, lodging demand increased in the Marcellus regions by 12.5% in 2010 and 7.4% in 2011. RevPAR numbers are insightful but incorporate added supply during the time periods studied. O'Neill stated that lodging demand in the Marcellus Shale regions of Pennsylvania has grown at higher rates than national averages (national demandincreases were 7.2% in 2010 and 4.7% in 2011).

A study by PKF consulting⁵ found that RevPAR had increased at an annual rate of 14.8% in the "northeast Pennsylvania" (Bradford, Lycoming, Susquehanna and Tioga counties) shale regions. They stated that this region had achieved "significant" RevPAR growth each and every year from 2007 to 2011, even through the recession years. The study concluded that, "the surveyed northeast region of Pennsylvania is benefitting economically from the rapid growth of the natural gas industry's exploitation of the Marcellus Shale. Demand for lodging, driven by transient workforce influxes, is driving strong growth in occupancies and ADRs in a historically lackluster hotel market." PKF concluded that their research indicated a fairly consistent ration of200 new annual room nights of lodging demand per new well. Again, this study addresses RevPAR and does not provide any information on the overall revenue benefit to hotels in the study area.

Research Methodology

This research quantified the revenue benefit in dollars for the Marcellus Shale regions of Pennsylvania. Five distinct shale regions were identified by county as shown in Table 1, based loosely upon drilling patterns, geography, and local labor markets. The total number of wells in the specified areas represents 92 percent of all Marcellus Shale wells drilled in the state of Pennsylvania. Because there was limited hotel supply in many of the Pennsylvania counties due to their relatively small populations and rural locations, adjoining counties were added to the study area. For example, in the Northeast region, there were only six hotels with 385 total roomsin Susquehanna and Wyoming counties so Lackawanna County and Broome County in New York were added to the region as hotel demand is likely pushed to those adjoining counties.

⁵ PKF Hospitality Research. (2012). Shale natural gas fueling Pennsylvania hotel industry. Retrieved 31 July 2013 from http://www.hotel-online.com/news/PR2012_1st/Jan12_PAShale

The study compared actual performance data of the hotel in the five regions to national hotel trends, including total demand (defined as occupied room nights), average daily rate (totalrevenue divided by occupied rooms) and total rooms revenue. No specific impacts could be identified in years where there were fewer than ten wells so the base year for comparisons identified for each region was the year that the region recorded having more than ten wells drilled.

PA Region	Northeast	North Central	Central	West Central	Southwest
Wells	761	2,667	147	322	2,037
Counties	Susquehanna	Lycoming	Clearfield	Butler	Greene
	Wyoming	Bradford		Armstrong	Washington
	Lackawanna	Sullivan			Fayette
	Broome (NY)	Tioga			Westmoreland
		Clinton			Beaver
		Tioga (NY)			Ohio (WV)
		Chemung			Brooke (WV)
		(NY)			Hancock (WV)
					Marshall (WV)
					Wetzel (WV)
					Monongalla
					(WV)
Base year*	2007	2007	2008	2006	2005

Table 1. Pennsylvania Marcellus Shale regions by county with wells and base year for study.

* Base year is year in which region first had over ten wells drilled.

The decision to use national trends rather than Pennsylvania trends was based on the factthat from 2007-2011, Pennsylvania RevPAR percentage changes exceeded national RevPAR percentage changes in each year, indicating that the Marcellus Shale regions were impacting thePennsylvania data. The Pennsylvania and national annual RevPAR percentage changes are shown in Table 2.

Table 2.	RevPAR	percentage	changes for	or U.S.	and	Pennsy	lvania	hotels
----------	--------	------------	-------------	---------	-----	--------	--------	--------

Location	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
U.S. Hotels	7.4	.3	-14.3	7.2	8.7
PA Hotels	9.7	1.3	-9.9	7.5	10.6

Note: data provided by Smith Travel Research

The baseline data for the comparison is demand, ADR and total revenue for U.S. hotels. The percentage changes in this data were then applied to the Marcellus Shale regions to establish the "non-Marcellus drilling scenario" (e.g. what would have happened in the region if hotel demand had followed national trends). The raw data for the aggregate of the five regions is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Aggregate raw key performance indicators for each year

Perf. Indicator	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Occupancy (%)	57.9	58.8	60.2	58.5	65.	69.9	67.0
ADR (\$)	78.43	83.38	86.08	84.86	86.05	90.75	95.64
RevPAR (\$)	45.41	49.05	51.80	49.63	55.92	63.44	64.09

The year 2006 was the year that total wells drilled exceeded ten wells and is also the yearwhere the five regions, in aggregate, began outperforming the U.S. industry average. The five regions, in aggregate, had greater percentage increases than the U.S. hotel industry on occupancy, average daily rate and RevPAR every year from 2006-2011. The U.S. hotel industry year-over-year percentage change in demand, ADR, and RevPAR are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. U.S. hotel industry demand, ADR and total revenue annual percentage change, 2005-2012

U.S. Hotel Ind. Data	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2005- 2012
Demand	.5	.7	-2.5	-6.2	7.2	4.7	2.9	6.8
ADR	7.5	6.7	3.	-8.7	0	3.8	4.2	16.7
Total revenue	8.0	7.4	.3	-14.3	7.2	8.7	7.3	24.6

Note: data provided by Smith Travel Research

The national hotel industry annual percentage changes were applied to each regions' baseyear data to provide annual numbers going forward from the base year for the "no-Marcellus drilling" scenario. These numbers were then compared to the actual data for each region from Smith Travel Research, a hotel industry analytics company that obtains data from hotels and provides competitive operations statistics. Seventy-nine percent of all hotel rooms in the study areas participated in the Smith Travel Research data collection during the time of this study.

When working with large industry segments, Smith Travel Research will model the data for nonparticipating hotels so that the numbers presented represent 100% of the hotels in areas studied. Table 5 presents the U.S. percentage changes in demand, ADR, and RevPAR compared to the same actual measures for each region. The first column shows the U.S. trend numbers for the measures from the base year of each region through 2012. For example, for South West PA, the base year was 2005 so the percent change in U.S. demand from 2005-2012 was 6.8%. As can beseen in the table, all five regions studied had experienced occupancy, ADR, and revenue increases significantly higher than the U.S. trend over the applicable time periods.

Table 5. Total Percentage changes in Demand, ADR and RevPAR by region from base year through 2012.

	U.S.	Southwest	West	Northeas	North	Central
			Central	t	Central	
Base year		2005	2006	2007	2007	2008
U.S. Base Year	•					
2005						
Demand	6.8	47.0				
ADR	16.7	37.2				
Total Revenue	24.6	101.7				
U.S. Base Year						
2006						
Demand	6.3		32.4			
ADR	8.6		25.9			
Total Revenue	15.4		66.7			
U.S. Base Year	•					
2007						
Demand	5.5			21.9	41.2	
ADR	1.8			9.2	37.0	
Total Revenue	7.4			33.1	93.5	
U.S. Base Year	•					
2008						
Demand	8.3					13.7
ADR	-1.1					17.9
Total Revenue	7.1					34.1

The total revenue effect, in dollars, is presented in Table 6. The first row, "Total revenueif followed national trends," is the, "no-Marcellus drilling" scenario. Total revenue was

calculated by applying U.S. hotel industry annual changes to the study areas. The second row is the actual revenue reported by Smith Travel Research for the study areas for the applicable years indicated in the column headings for each region. The total revenue increase for all the five study regions totaled just over \$684.5 million as shown in the table.

	Southwest	West	Northeas	North	Central
		Central	t	Central	
Total revenue if region	1,031.1	172.9	388.3	252.7	55.6
followed national trends					
Actual total revenue for	1,452.9	210.2	451.7	397.8	72.3
region					
Revenue difference by	421.8	37.3	63.4	145.1	16.7
region					
Total revenue difference					
for all regions			684.3		

Table 6. Total revenue increase by region

Findings and Discussion

It is obvious that Marcellus Shale drilling has had a significant effect on hotel revenues in the study area. In Table 6, the total increase in revenue was just over \$684.5 million. The total revenue hotels without Marcellus Shale drilling, following the national trends, would have been approximately \$2.1 billion, which thus suggests that Marcellus Shale activity had raised hotel revenues in the study areas by 32.6%. Based on a variance analysis to determine the price variance and the volume variance (calculating increased revenues with new demand based on existing rate, and calculating increased revenues with existing demand and new average rate), it is estimated that 60% of the increase in revenue was from demand, 40% was from an increased average daily rate, driven by high demand.

Hotel Room Supply Impact

All of the regions studied were experiencing impacts on the supply of hotel rooms by 2009. The total U.S. demand, measuring from 2008 was up 8.3% while the occupancy percentage was up 2.6%. The difference between the two numbers is explained by a 5.6% increase in hotel room supply over the same period. In the Marcellus Shale regions, in total, demand was up by 26% and occupancy was up by 11.4%. Again, the difference is explained by

the increase in supply, much higher in the Marcellus Shale regions than the U.S., on average, as shown in Table 7. The first column shows the U.S. trend numbers for the measures from the base year of each region through 2012. For example, for South West PA, the base year was 2005so the percent change in U.S. supply from 2005-2012 was 9.7%. In the Marcellus Shale regions, in aggregate, there were 65 new hotels with 5,347 rooms. Fourteen hotels closed during the study period. If the closed hotels were, on average, the same size in terms of hotel rooms as the newly opened hotels, the net gain was 4,131 rooms, or 1,507,815 new rooms to rent on an annual basis. The increased demand in the area for the same time period was 1.6 million rooms on an annual basis. In combination with the fact that the majority of new hotels opened in that last two years, the occupancy for the study area increased from 56.6% in 2005 to 67.0% in 2012 compared to 60.4% in 2012 in the non-Marcellus drilling scenario. The occupancy increase was particularly strong in 2010 (increasing from 58.5% to 65%) and 2011 (increasing from 65.0% to 69.9%) as active wells more than doubled in number from 2009-2011 and the U.S. economy recovered as well.

Supply % change	U.S.	Southwest	West	Northeas	North	Central
			Central	t	Central	
Base year		2005	2006	2007	2007	2008
2005-2012	9.7	17.5				
2006-2012	9.4		16.4			
2007-2012	8.1			12.6	20.9	
2008-2012	5.6					14.5

Table 7. Total percentage change in supply by region from base year.

2012 Trending

It was previously noted that the occupancy in the study area was 69.9% in 2011 and 67.0% in 2012. The data for 2012, when drilling had slowed from earlier years due to falling natural gas prices, indicates that the Marcellus Shale regions are starting to show more stabilizednumbers. The total demand for the region was flat with a 0.0 percent change over 2011. Four of the five regions did not achieve the U.S. average of a 2.9% positive demand change and three of the regions saw a decrease in demand. The occupancy change percentages, incorporating the new supply, were all negative for 2012. Though it must be noted

all of the regions except CentralPA still have an occupancy percentage higher than the U.S. average for 2012. It is clear in Table 8 that the revenue increases in the Marcellus Shale region for 2012 were solely due to continuingaverage daily rate increases.

	Demand	Occupancy	ADR	Total revenue
U.S.	2.9	2.5	4.2	7.3
Southwest	2.0	8	6.0	8.2
West Central	11.9	-1.5	4.4	16.9
North Central	-6.0	-11.2	6.5	.1
Northeast	-1.0	-5.5	3.3	2.2
Central	-10.6	-11.3	4.6	-6.5
Marcellus	0.0	-4.1	5.4	5.4
aggregate				

Table 8. Changes in key performance indicators by region.

Implications for Practice or Policy

The impact of Marcellus Shale drilling has had a significant revenue impact on the hotelindustry in Pennsylvania. The state, as a whole, experienced RevPAR growth higher than the

U.S. average from 2007-2011. The estimated hotel total revenue impact in the Marcellus Shale region was just over \$900 million between 2005-2012. As this total is calculated from 92% of the wells in Pennsylvania, the total statewide revenue impact may be closer to \$1 billion. This increase has been driven primarily by a demand increase of 1.6 million hotel rooms, approximately 1.3 million more room than if all the hotels in the region studied had experienced growth at matching national trends. The secondary driver of revenue has been the increase in theaverage daily rate achieved by hotels in the study.

Although not a revenue impact, it is noted that the net gain in hotel supply was 51 hotels our study region, approximately 40 more than if the area had experienced growth at the U.S. industry average during the study period. The average size of the new hotels was approximately82 rooms and they were nearly all select-service, branded hotels (hotels providing complimentary breakfast with no other foodservice). This type of hotel will generally employ around twenty-five employees so this new supply added a net jobs gain of approximately 1,000 new hotel jobs (accounting for the loss of closed hotels).

The key indicators for 2012 show that there may be a downturn in demand in the years

ahead for the Marcellus Shale regions. The U.S. demand went from a 4.7% increase in 2011 to a 2.9% increase in 2012. The Marcellus Shale study area demand went from an 11.1% increase in 2011 to a 0.0% flat in 2012. While the revenue percent increase still outperformed the U.S. average due to increases in the average daily rate, it would be expected that the rate of change for average daily rate would slow as occupancy declines.

The risk/return discussion is a difficult discussion. The total risk/return of drilling is an ongoing discussion that must incorporate social and environmental risk and is beyond the scope of this work. For the hotel industry, the high returns are obvious for the existing hotels with littlerisk as they have experienced previously unseen revenue levels. The increasing risk comes from new hotel supply coupled with new demand that may be stabilizing or decreasing. The 2012 data indicates that, while overall hotel revenues are still increasing, the operating performance of individual hotels is of concern. For example, in the Central Pennsylvania region, while demand is still higher than the non-Marcellus scenario, individual hotel occupancies are now actually lower than the non-Marcellus scenario because of the increased supply. The new hotels may struggle with debt service though there is no evidence of that as occupancies are at least 58% in each of the five regions. The older, independent hotels are facing the greatest risk with the "new" supply as 64% of the hotels closed during the period were independents and the average age of all hotels closed was over 38 years.

It is clear that the hotel industry in the regions studied have been positively impacted byMarcellus Shale drilling. The increase in demand has created an increase in supply and these hotels have performed well. The downturn in 2012 would indicate that any hotel development should happen early in the oil and gas development of a region to gain the most benefit. Select-service hotels are the obvious choice for new development with quicker build times and better operating efficiencies to support financing. These hotels should also have a clear business planfor weathering any downturns in the oil and gas drilling activity.

Future Research

Future research should focus on several aspects of the continued study of hotel performance. First, the 2013 hotel performance indicators should be studied to better understandthe 2012 downturn as to whether this downturn will continue and to what degree. Second, it is important to examine the long-run impact of the new hotels built within the Marcellus Shale region, particularly as drilling activity slows; to what extent may the short-run need for hotel rooms lead to a long-run surplus of hotel supply, particularly in very rural communities. In addition, the impact of additional housing in the regions should be studied as the regions have had time to develop residential housing options and this development may add to the observeddownturn in the hotel industry performance indicators. This additional research will help hoteldevelopers in the viability and timing of new hotel development in a drilling region.

Annex 1

Marcellus Shale gas development

The Marcellus Shale formation has long been known to contain significant amounts ofnatural gas but was considered unattractive economically because of the cost of extraction.

However, the feasibility of extracting unconventional natural gas changed in the early to mid-2000s with the refinement and combination of hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling techniques. While both technologies had been in use by the oil and gas industry for decades, theadaptation and refinement of both technologies for use in extracting unconventional gas was successfully employed in the early 2000s in Texas in the Barnett Shale near Dallas-Fort Worth (Waples 2012; Wilber 2012). The combination of horizontal drilling and high volume hydraulicfracturing has vastly increased the technical and economic feasibility of unconventional gas extraction. In the case of the Marcellus Shale layer, while as recently as the early 2000s geologists estimated that less than two trillion cubic feet (TCF) of gas could feasibly be extracted, that figure increased to nearly 500 TCF by the mid-2000s, representing approximately 20 years' worth of domestic consumption. The Marcellus Shale was subsequently recognized as the largest unconventional gas reserve in the United States, and one of the largest worldwide (Coleman et al. 2011; Engelder, 2009; Milicy & Swezey, 2006). These new estimates spurred the rapid development of unconventional gas extraction in Pennsylvania in the second half of the 2000s, and by July 1, 2013, 6,833 unconventional gas wells had been drilled across the Commonwealth (PA DEP, 2013). Recent declines in natural gas prices, combined with continued relatively high oil prices, has slowed development in Pennsylvania as companies haveshifted some drilling rigs into other states with oil shale. Yet development continues.

Shale gas development involves several distinct phases, each with its own unique labor requirements. Much of the work is done by very specialized national or international independentbusinesses, hired by the production company to perform a narrow segment of the work. Due to the unique skills and knowledge required, many of these firms employ nonresident workers, who must find temporary housing during their working "tours."

The initial phases of development include the initial leasing activity, during which companies obtain permission to explore for and develop the gas resource on individual https://via.library.depaul.edu/ichrie_rf/vol1/iss1/1

14

owners, and then decline as the proportion of land under lease increases. Leasing is typically followed by seismic testing across a geographic region to identify the areas with the highest potential for gas development, though both leasing and seismic testing can occur simultaneously. The seismic work can take about four months, and is used by gas companies to select the specific locations for individual wells.

Preparing the well site includes the creation of a well pad, which entails clearing and grading approximately five acres, as well as constructing or upgrading roads leading to the well pad. Local firms can do much of this construction work because it is similar to other constructionactivity, and typically lasts approximately four weeks. Once the drilling rig arrives, the drilling process itself can last several weeks, and requires very specialized crews to work the drilling rig.Once drilled, the well completion process, which includes horizontal fracturing, can last one to two weeks. As with the drilling, it requires very specialized work crews. Stabilizing the site andreestablishing vegetation lasts several more weeks.

In addition to this on-site activity, each well is connected to market via pipelines, whichneed to be laid, and which involve their own specialized welders and other workers. Once hooked into the pipeline network, the active well requires some maintenance and monitoring. Supporting all of this development activity are additional workers and companies who service equipment, transport supplies and water, and provide logistical and planning assistance.

Once drilled, completed, and producing, an individual well requires regular maintenance. The labor requirements during this production phase are much lower than during the development phases. Brundage et al (2010), for example, found that each wet gas well in southwest Pennsylvania required the equivalent of 13.1 full time jobs, spread across almost 150occupations and 420 individuals, during the year when it was drilled and completed. During each well's subsequent producing years, it only required the equivalent of 0.18 full time jobs.

Labor requirements (and therefore most of the employment-based economic development) are highest during the active drilling years and largely are driven by the number of wells drilled peryear.

Gas development in a community is not quite this linear, however, because the wells being developed typically are all at different stages of construction to allow the equipment,

drilling rigs, and work crews to be actively working simultaneously at different locations (down Published by Via Sapientiae,

time costs money). The pace of drilling activity, and particularly the number of drilling rigs active in a region, affects how many workers are active in the region. It also has important consequences for other impacts of gas development, including the need for worker housing, thenumber of trucks on the road, other infrastructure requirements, the quantity of water used and requiring disposal, and other environmental effects.

References

- Brundage, T.L., Jacquet, J., Kelsey, T.W., Ladlee, J.R., Lobdell, J., Lorson, J.F., Michael, L.L.,& Murphy, T. (2011). *Pennsylvania statewide Marcellus Shale workforce needs assessment*. Marcellus Shale Education and Training Center. 60 pages.
- Coleman, J.L., Milici, R.C., Cook, T.A., Charpentier, R.R., Kirschbaum, M., Klett, T.R., Pollastro,
 R.M., &Schenk, C.J. (2011). Assessment of undiscovered oil and gas resources of the
 Devonian Marcellus Shale of the Appalachian Basin Province. Fact Sheet 2011- 3092.
 Reston, VA: US Geologic Survey.
- Cortese, C.F., & Jones, B. (1977). The sociological analysis of boomtowns. *Western Sociological Review*, 8(1):75-90.

Engelder, T. (2009). Marcellus. Fort Worth Basin Oil & Gas Magazine. August, 18-22.

- Hardy, K. &Kelsey, T.W. (2013). Marcellus Shale and local economic activity: What the 2012 Pennsylvania State tax data say. University Park: Center for Economic & Community Development, Penn State. 35 pages.
- Institute for Public Policy and Economic Development. (2011). *Impact on housing in Appalachian Pennsylvania as a result of Marcellus Shale*. Wilkes Barre, PA: Institute for Public Policy and Economic Development.
- Jacquet, J. (2009). Energy boomtowns & natural gas: Implications for Marcellus Shale local governments & rural communities. NERCRD Rural Development Paper 43.
- Kelsey, T.W., Shields, M., Ladlee, J.R., & Ward, M. (2011). Economic impacts of Marcellus Shale in Pennsylvania: Employment and income in 2009. Marcellus Shale Education and Training Center. 62 pages.
- Milici, R.C., & Swezey, C.S. (2006). Assessment of Appalachian Basin oil and gas resources:
 Devonian Shale Middle and Upper Paleozoic total petroleum system. Open-File Report
 Series 2006-1237. Reston, VA: US Geologic Survey.

O'Neill, J. (2012). Fracking boosts hotel business. *Lodging Hospitality*, 22, 24. https://via.library.depaul.edu/ichrie_rr/vol1/iss1/1

Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). (2010). Office of Oil and Gas Management: Wells Drilled by County.

http://www.depreportingservices.state.pa.us/. Accessed on 6 Oct 2010.

Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). (2013). Office of Oil and Gas Management: Wells Drilled by County. 2006 through 2012.

http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/office_of_oil_and_gas_ma nagement/20291. Accessed on 21 Nov 2013.

PKF Hospitality Research. (2012). Shale natural gas fueling Pennsylvania hotel industry. Retrieved 31 July 2013 from ttp://www.hotel-

online.com/news/PR2012_1st/Jan12_PAShale

Waples, D. A. (2012). The natural gas industry in Appalachia. Jefferson, NC: McFarland & Co.

- Weinstein, A.L., & Partridge, M.D. (2011). The economic value of shale natural gas in Ohio.
 Columbus, OH: College of Food, Agricultural, and Environmental Sciences, The Ohio
 State University. 35 pages.
- Wilber, T. (2012). Under the surface: Fracking, fortunes, and the fate of the Marcellus Shale. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Williamson, J., & Kolb, B. (2011). Marcellus natural gas development's effect on housing in Pennsylvania. Williamsport, PA: Lycoming College Center for the Study of Community and the Economy.