



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Improving outcomes of minimally invasive pancreas surgery and ampullary cancer

Moekotte, A.L.

Publication date

2020

Document Version

Other version

License

Other

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Moekotte, A. L. (2020). *Improving outcomes of minimally invasive pancreas surgery and ampullary cancer*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Chapter 1



General introduction
and thesis outline



EPIDEMIOLOGY AND PRESENTATION

Ampullary adenocarcinoma (AAC) is a rare malignancy, accounting for only 0.2%–0.5% of gastro-intestinal cancers^{1,2} and about 7% of all periampullary- and pancreatic head cancers.³ The incidence is around 0.5 case per 100,000 people per year.⁴ Compared with other periampullary cancers, AAC generally presents at an early stage of the disease as a result of the biliary obstruction with jaundice it causes.⁵ Therefore, at initial presentation, AAC is more amenable to resection as compared to other periampullary cancers (50% versus 20%).^{6,7} In addition, patients with AAC have a more favorable prognosis with 5-year survival rates of 30–70% after curative resection.^{1,7–11} This wide survival range might be related to the extensive morphological heterogeneity of AAC.

Anatomy and histology

The ampullary region is complex since three anatomically distinct structures adjoin in this region. The confluence of the distal common bile duct and the pancreatic duct represents the ampulla of Vater, this common duct protrudes into the duodenum, which is referred to as the papilla of Vater.^{12,13} The ampulla of Vater is named after Abraham Vater, a German anatomist who was the first to describe this anatomical structure in 1720.¹⁴

As a result of the convergence of these anatomically distinct structures, tumors arising in the ampullary region represent a heterogeneous group with considerable morphological heterogeneity. Different histopathologic subtypes have been described, depending on the epithelium they arise from.^{15,16} According to the 4th edition of the WHO classification of tumors, adenocarcinomas of the ampullary region are divided into two main subtypes: intestinal- and pancreaticobiliary subtype.¹⁷ The intestinal subtype arises from the adjacent duodenal mucosa and is characterized by cribriform tubular glands with central necrosis, histologically resembling colonic adenocarcinoma. The pancreaticobiliary subtype derives from the terminal pancreatic or biliary ducts and is characterized by simple or branching glands within a desmoplastic stroma. In addition, a mixed subtype, with overlapping features has been frequently described. Less common subtypes, categorized as ‘other’ by the WHO, include mucinous carcinoma, poorly cohesive signet ring cell carcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma, clear cell carcinoma, micropapillary carcinoma, hepatoid adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, undifferentiated carcinoma, undifferentiated carcinoma with osteoclast-like giant cells, and neuroendocrine carcinomas.¹⁷

Survival

The 5-year overall survival rate after resection of AAC varies from 30% to 70%.^{1,7–11} This survival is far more favorable as compared to the 5-year survival rate of patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, which is about 20–25%.¹⁸ Of the two main subtypes, the intestinal subtype is associated with a more favorable prognosis compared with the pancreaticobiliary subtype.^{15,19–24} Histopathologic characteristics associated with survival,

after adjusting for other risk factors, are lymph node involvement,^{22,25-27} pancreaticobiliary subtype,^{20-22,28,29} perineural invasion,^{21,30,31} lymphovascular invasion²¹ and tumor differentiation.²⁰

Treatment

The treatment of loco-regional disease consists primarily of surgical resection, a pancreatoduodenectomy (either 'classic Whipple': pylorus-resecting pancreatoduodenectomy, or pylorus-preserving pancreatoduodenectomy).⁵ Traditionally, pancreatoduodenectomy, a procedure with high morbidity and mortality rates, is performed through an open approach.³²⁻³⁴ Recently, minimally-invasive pancreatoduodenectomy (MIPD) has been proposed as an alternative to the open pancreatoduodenectomy (OPD). However, the adoption of minimally invasive techniques (robotic and laparoscopic) in pancreatic surgery have been slow. Presumably, due to the complexity of the procedures, the corresponding high morbidity, and the lack of training possibilities and practical guidance for the implementation of minimally invasive pancreatic surgery. Recently, the first two monocenter randomized controlled trials showed beneficial outcomes in MIPD in terms of a reduced intraoperative blood loss and length of hospital stay, while maintaining oncological resection standards when compared with OPD.^{35,36} These favorable findings are supported by several meta-analyses of retrospective studies comparing MIPD with OPD.³⁷⁻³⁹ However, a pan-European propensity score matched study comparing MIPD and OPD showed an increased rate of postoperative pancreatic fistula (POPF) after MIPD compared with OPD.⁴⁰ Furthermore, the first multicenter randomized controlled trial comparing MIPD with OPD was stopped due to safety concerns.⁴¹ Therefore, it is currently unclear whether MIPD is a valid and safe alternative for OPD.

At present, it is uncertain whether patients with resected AAC gain a survival benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy. Randomized controlled trials focusing specifically on AAC are lacking. The most recent high-level evidence regarding adjuvant chemotherapy in AAC derives from the ESPAC-3 trial, which was conducted in patients with pancreatic head- and periampullary cancer. A subgroup analysis including patients with AAC did not show a survival benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy.⁴² On the contrary, several retrospective studies have described an association between adjuvant chemotherapy and an improved survival.^{27,43-46}

THESIS OUTLINE

The aim of this thesis is to improve curative-intent treatment and prognosis of patients with ampullary adenocarcinoma. To that end, the studies described in this thesis focus on I) minimally invasive pancreas surgery and II) long-term outcomes of ampullary adenocarcinoma.

Part I: Minimally invasive pancreas surgery

In **chapter 2**, the development and validation of the first evidence-based guidelines for minimally invasive pancreas surgery are described. The recommendations provide guidance for clinical practice of minimally invasive pancreas surgery. **Chapter 3** defines prerequisites needed prior to start performing minimally invasive pancreas surgery, with the objective to guide safe implementation. **Chapter 4** describes the implementation and learning curve of laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy during the first 11 years in the UK. In **chapter 5**, clinical short-term outcomes of laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy with- and without splenectomy are compared. **Chapter 6** describes the study protocol of a randomized controlled trial comparing splenectomy with autogenic splenic implantation versus standard splenectomy in patients undergoing laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy for benign or low-grade malignant lesions of the left pancreas. The aim is to investigate the immune capacity of autogenic splenic implantation. In **chapter 7**, a comparison of surgical outcomes is performed in patients who underwent minimally invasive- or open pancreatoduodenectomy for ampullary adenocarcinoma specifically. The primary outcome in this chapter is major morbidity.

Part II: Long-term outcomes of ampullary adenocarcinoma

In **chapter 8**, histopathologic predictors of overall- and disease free survival in patients with resected ampullary adenocarcinoma are identified using multivariable Cox proportional hazards models. In **chapter 9**, the benefit of gemcitabine-based adjuvant chemotherapy in the different histopathologic subtypes of ampullary adenocarcinoma is investigated, by using propensity scores to match patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy to those who did not. **Chapter 10** describes the development and external validation of a prediction model for survival after resection of ampullary adenocarcinoma. In addition, four different risk-groups are created and the impact of adjuvant chemotherapy in these risk-groups is investigated.

Research questions addressed in this thesis

Chapter

- 2 Based on the current evidence, what are recommendations for performing minimally invasive pancreas surgery?
- 3 Which prerequisites are required prior to embarking on minimally invasive pancreas surgery, to optimize patient safety?
- 4 Did the use of the laparoscopic approach in distal pancreatectomy increase during the first 11 years of its use in the UK? Did clinical outcomes change during the study period?
- 5 Are surgical outcomes after laparoscopic spleen-preserving distal pancreatectomy comparable to outcomes after laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy with splenectomy?
- 6 Is the Salmonella specific antibody response after vaccination with the Typhim Vi™ polysaccharide vaccine comparable between patients who underwent autogenic splenic implantation during laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy to those who underwent spleen-preserving distal pancreatectomy?
- 7 Are surgical outcomes comparable after minimally invasive- and open pancreatoduodenectomy when performed for ampullary adenocarcinoma?
- 8 Which histopathologic characteristics predict overall- and disease free survival after resection of ampullary adenocarcinoma?
- 9 Do patients with ampullary adenocarcinoma benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy after curative intent resection?
- 10 Can we create a prediction model to predict individual survival after resection of ampullary adenocarcinoma? Does the impact of adjuvant treatment differ between different risk-groups?

REFERENCES

1. Albores-Saavedra, J., Schwartz, A. M., Batich, K. & Henson, D. E. Cancers of the ampulla of vater: Demographics, morphology, and survival based on 5,625 cases from the SEER Program. *J. Surg. Oncol.* **100**, 598–605 (2009).
2. Jemal, A. *et al.* Cancer Statistics, 2008. *CA. Cancer J. Clin.* **58**, 71–96 (2008).
3. Howe, J. R., Klimstra, D. S., Moccia, R. D., Conlon, K. C. & Brennan, M. F. Factors predictive of survival in ampullary carcinoma. *Ann. Surg.* **228**, 87–94 (1998).
4. Henson, D. E., Schwartz, A. M., Nsouli, H. & Albores-Saavedra, J. Carcinomas of the pancreas, gallbladder, extrahepatic bile ducts, and ampulla of vater share a field for carcinogenesis a population-based study. *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.* **133**, 67–71 (2009).
5. Ahn, D. H. & Bekaii-Saab, T. Ampullary Cancer: An Overview. *Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. Educ. B.* **34**, 112–115 (2014).
6. Riall, T. S. *et al.* Resected periampullary adenocarcinoma: 5-year survivors and their 6- to 10-year follow-up. *Surgery* **140**, 764–772 (2006).
7. Rostain, F. *et al.* Trends in incidence and management of cancer of the ampulla of Vater. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **20**, 10144–10150 (2014).
8. Kim, K. *et al.* Role of Adjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Ampulla of Vater Cancer. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* **75**, 436–441 (2009).
9. Narang, A. K. *et al.* Eluation of adjuvant chemoradiation therapy for ampullary adenocarcinoma: the Johns Hopkins Hospital–Mayo Clinic collaborative study. *Radiat. Oncol.* **6**, 1–11 (2011).
10. Brown, K. M. *et al.* Pancreaticoduodenectomy is curative in the majority of patients with node-negative ampullary cancer. *Arch. Surg.* **140**, 529–533 (2005).
11. Song, J. *et al.* Long-term prognosis of surgical treatment for early ampullary cancers and implications for local ampullectomy Hepato-biliary-pancreatic surgery. *BMC Surg.* **15**, 1–7 (2015).
12. Klimstra, D., Hruban, R. & Martha, B. Vaterian System and Minor Papilla. in *Histology for Pathologists* (Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2007).
13. Adsay, V. *et al.* Ampullary Region Carcinomas. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* **36**, 1592–1608 (2012).
14. Lerch, M. M. & Domschke, W. Abraham Vater of the Ampulla (Papilla) of Vater. *Gastroenterology* **118**, 379 (2000).
15. Kimura, W. *et al.* Different Clinicopathologic Findings in Two Histologic Types of Carcinoma of Papilla of Vater. *Jpn J Cancer Res* **85**, 161–166 (1994).
16. Albores-Saveedra, J., Henson, D. & Klimstra, D. Tumors of the Gallbladder, Extrahepatic Bile Ducts and Ampulla of Vater. in *Atlas of Tumor Pathology* 259–316 (2000).
17. Bosman, F., Carneiro, F., Hruban, R. & Theise, N. *WHO Classification of Tumors of the Digestive System (4th edition)*. (WHO press, 2010).
18. Vincent, A., Herman, J., Schulick, R., Hruban, R. & Goggins, M. Pancreatic Cancer. *Lancet* **378**, 1605–1617 (2011).
19. Okano, K. *et al.* Factors predicting survival and pathological subtype in patients with ampullary adenocarcinoma. *J. Surg. Oncol.* **110**, 156–162 (2014).

20. Doepker, M. P. *et al.* Clinicopathologic and survival analysis of resected ampullary adenocarcinoma. *J. Surg. Oncol.* **114**, 170–175 (2016).
21. Carter, J. T., Grenert, J. P., Rubenstein, L., Stewart, L. & Way, L. W. Tumors of the Ampulla of Vater: Histopathologic Classification and Predictors of Survival. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* **207**, 210–218 (2008).
22. Chang, D. K. *et al.* Histomolecular phenotypes and outcome in adenocarcinoma of the ampulla of vater. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **31**, 1348–1356 (2013).
23. Kim, W. S. *et al.* Clinical significance of pathologic subtype in curatively resected ampulla of vater cancer. *J. Surg. Oncol.* **105**, 266–272 (2012).
24. Moekotte, A. L. *et al.* Histopathologic Predictors of Survival and Recurrence in Resected Ampullary Adenocarcinoma. *Ann. Surg.* (2019). doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000003177
25. Qiao, Q. L. *et al.* Carcinoma of the ampulla of vater: Factors influencing long-term survival of 127 patients with resection. *World J. Surg.* **31**, 137–143 (2007).
26. de Paiva Haddad, L. B. *et al.* Lymph node involvement and not the histopathologic subtype is correlated with outcome after resection of adenocarcinoma of the ampulla of vater. *J. Gastrointest. Surg.* **14**, 719–728 (2010).
27. Jin, Z. *et al.* Prognostic factors and benefits of adjuvant therapy after pancreatoduodenectomy for ampullary adenocarcinoma: Mayo Clinic experience. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol.* **44**, 677–683 (2018).
28. Robert, P. *et al.* Predictors of long-term survival following resection for ampullary carcinoma: a large retrospective French multicentric study. *Pancreas* **43**, 692–697 (2014).
29. Schueneman, A. *et al.* Validation of histomolecular classification utilizing histological subtype , MUC1 , and CDX2 for prognostication of resected ampullary adenocarcinoma. **113**, 64–68 (2015).
30. Duffy, J. P. *et al.* Improved Survival for Adenocarcinoma of the Ampulla of Vater: Fifty-five Consecutive Resections. *Arch. Surg.* **138**, 941–950 (2003).
31. Lazaryan, A., Kalmadi, S., Almhanna, K., Pelley, R. & Kim, R. Predictors of clinical outcomes of resected ampullary adenocarcinoma: A single-institution experience. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol.* **37**, 791–797 (2011).
32. Winter, J. M. *et al.* 1423 Pancreaticoduodenectomies for Pancreatic Cancer: A Single-Institution Experience. *J. Gastrointest. Surg.* **10**, 1199–1211 (2006).
33. McPhee, J. T. *et al.* Perioperative mortality for pancreatotomy: A national perspective. *Ann. Surg.* **246**, 246–253 (2007).
34. Orr, R. K. Outcomes in Pancreatic Cancer Surgery. *Surg. Clin. North Am.* **90**, 219–234 (2010).
35. Poves, I. *et al.* Comparison of Perioperative Outcomes Between Laparoscopic and Open Approach for Pancreatoduodenectomy. *Ann. Surg.* **268**, 731–739 (2018).
36. Palanivelu, C. *et al.* Randomized clinical trial of laparoscopic versus open pancreatoduodenectomy for periampullary tumours. *Br. J. Surg.* **104**, 1443–1450 (2017).
37. Boggi, U. *et al.* Laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy: A systematic literature review. *Surg. Endosc. Other Interv. Tech.* **29**, 9–23 (2015).
38. Correa-Gallego, C. *et al.* Minimally-invasive vs open pancreaticoduodenectomy: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* **218**, 129–139 (2014).

39. Liao, C. H. *et al.* Systemic Review of the Feasibility and Advantage of Minimally Invasive Pancreaticoduodenectomy. *World J. Surg.* **40**, 1218–1225 (2016).
40. Klomp maker, S. *et al.* Outcomes After Minimally-invasive Versus Open Pancreatoduodenectomy. *Ann. Surg.* (2018). doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000002850
41. van Hilst, J. *et al.* Laparoscopic versus open pancreatoduodenectomy for pancreatic or periampullary tumours (LEOPARD-2): a multicentre, patient-blinded, randomised controlled phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **3**, 199–207 (2019).
42. Neoptolemos, J. P. *et al.* Effect of Adjuvant Chemotherapy With Fluorouracil Plus Folinic Acid or Gemcitabine vs Observation on Survival in Patients with Resected Periampullary Adenocarcinoma. *Jama* **308**, 147–156 (2012).
43. Zhou, J. *et al.* Prognostic factors of carcinoma of the ampulla of Vater after surgery. *Tumor Biol.* **35**, 1143–1148 (2014).
44. Ecker, B. L. *et al.* Role of Adjuvant Multimodality Therapy after Curative-Intent Resection of Ampullary Carcinoma. *JAMA Surg.* **154**, 706–714 (2019).
45. Schiergens, T. S. *et al.* Histomorphologic and molecular phenotypes predict gemcitabine response and overall survival in adenocarcinoma of the ampulla of Vater. *Surgery* **158**, 151–161 (2015).
46. Nassour, I. *et al.* Association of Adjuvant Therapy with Improved Survival in Ampullary Cancer: A National Cohort Study. *J. Gastrointest. Surg.* **22**, 695–702 (2018).