

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Marginal deformations & rotating horizons

Anninos, D.; Anous, T.; D'Agnolo, R.T.

DOI 10.1007/JHEP12(2017)095

Publication date 2017 Document Version Final published version Published in Journal of High Energy Physics License

CC BY

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Anninos, D., Anous, T., & D'Agnolo, R. T. (2017). Marginal deformations & rotating horizons. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, *2017*(12), [95]. https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)095

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

Published for SISSA by 🖄 Springer

RECEIVED: September 27, 2017 REVISED: November 10, 2017 ACCEPTED: November 26, 2017 PUBLISHED: December 18, 2017

Marginal deformations & rotating horizons

Dionysios Anninos,^a Tarek Anous^b and Raffaele Tito D'Agnolo^c

 $^aSchool \ of \ Natural \ Sciences, \ Institute \ for \ Advanced \ Study,$

E-mail: danninos@ias.edu, tarek@phas.ubc.ca, raffaele.dagnolo@epfl.ch

ABSTRACT: Motivated by the near-horizon geometry of four-dimensional extremal black holes, we study a disordered quantum mechanical system invariant under a global SU(2) symmetry. As in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model, this system exhibits an approximate $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry at low energies, but also allows for a continuous family of SU(2) breaking marginal deformations. Beyond a certain critical value for the marginal coupling, the model exhibits a quantum phase transition from the gapless phase to a gapped one and we calculate the critical exponents of this transition. We also show that charged, rotating extremal black holes exhibit a transition when the angular velocity of the horizon is tuned to a certain critical value. Where possible we draw parallels between the disordered quantum mechanics and charged, rotating black holes.

KEYWORDS: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Black Holes in String Theory, D-branes, Matrix Models

ARXIV EPRINT: 1707.03380



¹ Einstein Dr, Princeton, NJ, 08540 U.S.A.

^bDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia,

⁶²²⁴ Agricultural Road, Vancouver, B.C., V6T 1Z1 Canada

^c Theoretical Particle Physics Laboratory, Institute of Physics, EPFL, BSP 720, Lausanne, CH-1015 Switzerland

Contents

1	Introduction		1
2	Kerr-Newman thermodynamics		3
	2.1	Thermodynamic variables	4
	2.2	Extreme Kerr-Newman quantum roto-dynamics	4
	2.3	Small temperature expansion of the free energy	5
	2.4	Critical behavior	6
3	Quenched disordered model		7
	3.1	Saddle point solutions	9
	3.2	Low energy effective action	11
4	Lov	\mathbf{v} temperature thermodynamics of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ invariant model	12
5	SU(2) breaking marginal deformation		15
	5.1	Deformed saddle point solutions	15
6	Low temperature phase structure		18
	6.1	Small z gapless phase	18
	6.2	Large z gapped phase	19
	6.3	Phase transition	20
	6.4	Comparison to rotating black holes	21
7	Outlook on future directions		21
	7.1	Five dimensional black holes	21
	7.2	Interacting models and ground state degeneracy	22
	7.3	Superradiance	22
	7.4	Rotating de Sitter horizons	22
	7.5	SYK models with global symmetries	23
\mathbf{A}	Lef	t moving chemical potential	23

JHEP12(2017)095

1 Introduction

Astrophysical black holes have been observed to be extremal within experimental errors, spinning at a rate remarkably close to the maximally allowed value set by their mass [1-3]. A universal consequence of Einstein's equations is that such highly spinning objects develop a throat near their horizons, which becomes infinitely deep at saturation. Furthermore, apart from the axial U(1) isometry, the geometry at extremality and deep within the throat

becomes that of a two-dimensional anti-de Sitter space, where the Killing symmetries are enhanced to those of the conformal group in (0 + 1)-dimensions: SL $(2, \mathbb{R})$. The generic appearance of the AdS₂ symmetries in extremally rotating black hole geometries gives the holography of AdS₂ a distinguished role in the broader family of correspondences between anti-de Sitter space and conformal systems [4, 5].

We are therefore motivated to understand the holography of AdS_2 living near the horizon of highly spinning black holes. In order to do so, it will be convenient to consider a wider class of extremal black holes which are also electrically charged and appear as solutions of four-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory. At fixed charge Q, Einstein-Maxwell theory admits a one-parameter family of $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$ invariant extremal geometries, discovered by Bardeen and Horowitz [6], and obtained as a near-horizon limit of the maximally rotating Kerr-Newman black hole. At a special point in parameter space, where the black hole no longer rotates, the U(1) symmetry is enhanced to SO(3) and the solution is the Bertotti-Robinson geometry: $AdS_2 \times S^2$ [7, 8]. These near-horizon geometries are obtained by taking a scaling limit of the original Kerr-Newman geometry, which involves rescaling the asymptotically flat time coordinate. This rescaling offsets the infinite redshift near the horizon, and what remains is the following solution to Einstein-Maxwell theory:

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{a^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}{r_{0}^{2}}\right) \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{r_{0}^{2}}dt^{2} + \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{r^{2}}dr^{2} + r_{0}^{2}d\theta^{2}\right) + \frac{r_{0}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}{\left(1 - \frac{a^{2}}{r_{0}^{2}}\sin^{2}\theta\right)} \left(d\phi + \frac{2Mar}{r_{0}^{4}}dt\right)^{2},$$
(1.1)

where $r_0^2 \equiv M^2 + a^2$ and $M^2 = Q^2 + a^2$. The relation between the ADM mass M and electric charge Q ensures that the horizon has vanishing temperature, but the parameter a can take any value along the real axis. The horizon is located at r = 0, so the above coordinates are in a co-rotating frame with respect to the horizon. Summarizing, the geometry exhibits an $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$ isometry for all non-zero a, with the U(1) being the axial symmetry. When a = 0 these isometries are enhanced to $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(3)$.

When a is small we can view (1.1) as a deformation of the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ geometry, which gets corrected to leading order in a by

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = \frac{4ar \sin^2 \theta}{Q} d\phi dt + \mathcal{O}(a^2) \,. \tag{1.2}$$

Notice that the above deformation is invariant under $t \to \lambda t$, $r \to r/\lambda$, but breaks the SO(3) symmetry. Our goal will be to model such an SO(3) breaking deformation in a tractable large N quantum system. The deformation (1.2) depends explicitly on the polar angle of the two-sphere, but not the azimuthal one. Thus, as mentioned, it breaks the original SO(3) symmetry down to a U(1) subgroup.

Holographically we might imagine a one-parameter family of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant theories dual to the Bardeen-Horowitz geometries (recent work in this direction includes [6, 9–12]). We take here the view that the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ point is captured, holographically, by some large N quantum mechanical system with $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SU(2)$ symmetry.¹ We might then view the

¹We distinguish here SO(3) from its double cover, SU(2), since the building blocks of our quantum system will be fermions transforming under the fundamental of SU(2). This is somewhat analogous to the *R*-symmetry group of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills being SU(4) and its holographic relation to the bulk S^5 with an SO(6) \cong SU(4) isometry.

deformation (1.2) as turning on an SU(2) breaking source in the original SU(2) invariant quantum mechanics. Crucially, such a deformation must preserve the SL(2, \mathbb{R}). In fact, from the black hole point of view, the coupling measuring the strength of the associated deformation is the angular velocity of the horizon, Ω . This is the chemical potential for the angular momentum of the black hole. At finite electric charge, we will show that Ω cannot take arbitrarily large values for the Kerr-Newman geometry. Consequently, from the point of view of the dual quantum mechanics, we only require that the deformation remain marginal for some finite range of the coupling.²

In this paper we take some simple steps in these directions. We study a disordered large N quantum mechanics invariant under a global SU(2) symmetry. This model resembles the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model [14–22] in that it is approximately conformal in the infrared, with low energy fluctuations controlled by the Schwarzian action. In addition, this system allows for an SU(2)-breaking deformation which we show is marginal for a finite range of couplings, mimicking some of the phenomenology of charged, rotating black holes.

Structure of the paper. We begin in section 2 by reviewing the thermodynamics of Kerr-Newman black holes and show that these black holes exhibit an interesting behavior as we approach a certain critical value of the angular velocity of the horizon. We then introduce a simple model of fermions with random masses in section 3, which we proceed to solve to leading order in the large N limit. The fermionic degrees of freedom are charged under a global SU(2) symmetry. At low temperatures, the system exhibits an emergent local symmetry consisting of reparameterizations of time as well as a local SU(2). These are broken to a global $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SU(2)$ symmetry by the saddle point solution. In section 3.2 we discuss the soft modes associated with this breaking, whose action is induced by explicit breaking terms. We proceed to study the thermodynamics of this model in section 4. In section 5 we introduce a deformation that breaks the SU(2) down to a U(1) subgroup and establish that this deformation is marginal for a finite range of couplings, beyond which there is a transition to a gapped phase. In section 6 we study the thermodynamics of the deformed model and show that the ungapped to gapped transiton is characterized by a non-analyticity in the partition function. We compare our model to the thermal properties of near-extremal charged and rotating black holes. We end with a discussion on possible future directions in section 7.

2 Kerr-Newman thermodynamics

In this section we review some of the thermodynamic features of the Kerr-Newman solution, stressing the role of the angular velocity of the horizon. This quantity has an analog in the quantum mechanics models described in the next sections that controls the transition between different phases of the theory.

²An example of marginal deformations that occur only for a finite range of the coupling at large N is the case of a (classically marginal) ϕ^6 deformation to the three-dimensional free O(N) model. In this case, one can compute the β -function at large N and show that it vanishes for small values of λ_6 [13]. However, for λ_6 above a certain value, the ϕ^2 operator acquires a vacuum expectation value, indicating that the conformal symmetry is spontaneously broken.

2.1 Thermodynamic variables

The general solution is labelled by the ADM mass M, the electric charge Q and the ADM angular momentum J.³ These are conjugate to the temperature T, angular velocity Ω and electric potential Φ . Depending on our ensemble, we can construct several different thermodynamic potentials. Of the several ensembles to consider, the one we will be most interested will have fixed Q, T and Ω . This is partly motivated by the quantum mechanical perspective we consider in the later sections. There, Q is related to the number of degrees of freedom, and Ω is a parameter of the model we wish to tune. Equilibrium is achieved at the minimum of the following thermodynamic function:

$$\mathcal{G}(T,\Omega) = M - TS - \Omega J, \qquad (2.1)$$

such that $\delta G = (\delta M - T\delta S - \Omega \delta J) = 0$, while keeping Q fixed. A convenient parametrization of the thermodynamic potentials is obtained by trading (M, Q, J) in favor of (r_+, Q, a) , where r_+ is the location of the black hole horizon:

$$r_{+} \equiv M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 - Q^2} \,. \tag{2.2}$$

It follows that a regular solution only exists for $J < M\sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$. In terms of these variables (in units where $G = \hbar = c = 1$):

$$S = \pi \left(r_{+}^{2} + a^{2} \right), \qquad M = \frac{a^{2} + Q^{2} + r_{+}^{2}}{2r_{+}}, \qquad J = aM.$$
(2.3)

Thus, at equilibrium:

$$T = \frac{r_+ \left(1 - (a^2 + Q^2)/r_+^2\right)}{4\pi \left(r_+^2 + a^2\right)}, \qquad \Omega = \frac{a}{r_+^2 + a^2}.$$
 (2.4)

Consider the rescaling: $a \to Qa$, $r_+ \to Qr_+$, $M \to QM$, $J \to Q^2 J$, $S \to Q^2 S$, $\Omega \to \Omega/Q$ and $T \to T/Q$. Using this, we can set Q = 1 in all equations, and reinstate it whenever necessary. Without loss of generality, we will always take Q > 0.

2.2 Extreme Kerr-Newman quantum roto-dynamics

The extremal limit T = 0 is obtained when:

$$r_{+} = M = \sqrt{a^{2} + 1}, \qquad \Omega = \frac{a}{(2a^{2} + 1)} \in \left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\right).$$
 (2.5)

Notice that, in contrast to when Q = 0, at non-zero but fixed Q the angular velocity of the horizon Ω is bounded from above and below. We can solve for $S(\Omega)$ and $J(\Omega)$ at T = 0 obtaining:

$$S_{\pm}(\Omega) = \frac{2\pi}{1 \pm s}, \qquad J_{\pm}(\Omega) = \operatorname{sign}(\Omega) \frac{\sqrt{(1 \mp s)(3 \pm s)}}{2(1 \pm s)},$$
 (2.6)

 $^{^{3}}$ One can consider more general classes of four-dimensional charged, rotating black holes. These may be endowed with additional fields such as a radially dependent dilaton profile, as in [23]. In that case the thermodynamics will also depend on the value of the dilaton at the horizon.



Figure 1. Left: $J(\Omega)$ vs. Ω . The blue curve represents the $J_{+}(\Omega)$ branch and the orange curve the $J_{-}(\Omega)$ one. Right: $J'(\Omega)$ displaying the divergent derivatives at $\Omega = \pm 1/\sqrt{8}$.

where we have defined:

$$s \equiv \sqrt{1 - 8\Omega^2} \,. \tag{2.7}$$

The positive branch is continuously connected to the non-rotating extremally charge black hole. For small Ω ($s \approx 1$), the solution $J_+(\Omega) \approx \Omega$ and for $\Omega^2 > 1/8 J_+(\Omega)$ becomes complex. We present this in figure 1. Along the other branch, J_- and S_- both diverge at small Ω . These branches meet at $\Omega = \pm 1/\sqrt{8}$. Further note that:

$$\partial_{\Omega} \left(J_{\pm}(\Omega) \right)^2 = 4\sqrt{2} \operatorname{sign}(\Omega) \frac{\sqrt{(1-s)(1+s)}}{(s\pm 1)^3} \frac{1}{s},$$
 (2.8)

which diverges at s = 0, i.e. $\Omega^2 = 1/8$. Note that the angular momentum does not diverge at these values of Ω , only its derivative does. Indeed, $\Omega(J)$ is extremized for these values of J and therefore the derivative $\partial_J \Omega$ vanishes at these points. It follows that the inverse function must have corresponding derivatives that blow up. The extremal ADM mass is given by:

$$M_{\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(s \pm 3)}{(s \pm 1)} \,. \tag{2.9}$$

Notice that $M_+ = 1$ when s = 1, which upon reinstating Q is precisely the mass of an extremally charged Reissner-Nordström black hole. It is also the case that $\partial_{\Omega}M_+$ diverges like 1/s in the limit $s \to 0$.

2.3 Small temperature expansion of the free energy

At finite temperature, it is difficult to write the free energy as a function of Ω , T and Q. However, we will mostly be interested in this function to leading order in a small temperature expansion. First it is convenient to express a as a function of r_+ and Ω along the positive branch of the previous section:

$$a = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4r_+^2 \Omega^2}}{2\Omega} \,. \tag{2.10}$$

The temperature, as measured by an observer in the asymptotically flat region, can also be written as a function of r_+ and Ω :

$$T = \frac{2r_+^2 \sqrt{1 - 4r_+^2 \Omega^2} - \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4r_+^2 \Omega^2}\right)}{8\pi r_+^3}.$$
 (2.11)

We can parameterize (2.11) by:

$$r_{+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{s+3}{s+1}} + \delta r_{+} \tag{2.12}$$

where extremality occurs at $\delta r_+ = 0$. By inverting (2.11) order by order for δr_+ in a small T expansion, we can thus express the free energy \mathcal{G} at low temperatures:

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{Q}{s+1} \left[\sqrt{\frac{(s+1)(s+3)^3}{32}} - 2\pi Q T - \frac{(2\pi Q T)^2}{s\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{s+3}{s+1}} + \dots \right], \qquad (2.13)$$

where we have reintroduced $Q \neq 1$. The first term in (2.13) is simply $(M_+ - \Omega J_+)$ at exactly zero temperature. The term linear in T has a coefficient given by the extremal entropy S_+ of the Kerr-Newman black hole. The term quadratic in T, measures certain thermodynamic fluctuations. Namely,

$$-\partial_T^2 \mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{T} C = Q^3 \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2}{s(s+1)} \sqrt{\frac{s+3}{s+1}}.$$
 (2.14)

This implies a positive specific heat linear in the temperature, characteristic of near extremal black holes. Finally, we note that the leading small temperature correction to the zero-temperature angular momentum (2.6) is given by:

$$\delta J_{+} = \operatorname{sign}(\Omega) Q^{3} T \frac{4\pi\sqrt{2}}{s} \sqrt{\frac{1-s}{(1+s)^{3}}}.$$
(2.15)

Note that the sign of δJ_+ is correlated with the sign of (2.6) and grows in magnitude in the $s \to 0$ limit.

2.4 Critical behavior

Several of the thermodynamic quantities exhibit a non-analytic behavior at zero temperature in the limit $s \to 0$. For example the derivative of the angular momentum has a nonanalytic divergence $\partial_{\Omega} J(\Omega) \sim 1/\sqrt{1-8Q^2\Omega^2}$. Viewing Ω as a tunable chemical potential in some putative dual large N quantum system, the non-analytic behavior is suggestive of (quantum) critical behavior.⁴ We are going to observe this in our quantum mechanical models, where the role of Ω is played by the chemical potential of a global SU(2) charge.

We can also consider non-analyticities in the $s \to 0$ limit at small but non-vanishing temperatures. This is subtle since a configuration with s = 0 must also have exactly zero

 $^{{}^{4}}$ In the appendix we present a related non-analyticity of the zero-temperature partition function, expressed in terms of the Frolov-Thorne temperature [24].

temperature. In other words, there is an order of limits that we need to specify — either we first take the zero temperature limit or the $s \to 0$ limit. In (2.13) we explicitly expanded in T at fixed s.

From the near horizon point of view, we also need to specify the frame (and in particular the clock) that we pick to measure the temperature. Recall that when taking the nearhorizon limit we had to redshift the clock in order to measure finite quantities. In view of this, we might imagine a rescaled near-horizon clock giving rise to a less singular low temperature expansion in the $s \to 0$ limit. In the near extremal case, this ambiguity in the choice of clock is related to where we glue the near-horizon region to the asymptotically flat region. In the language of AdS₂ dilaton gravity [25], this is the choice of the boundary value for the dilaton. If we rescale the clock by some factor λ , then $T \to T/\lambda$ and $\Omega \to \Omega/\lambda$. For s to remain fixed we should also rescale $Q \to \lambda Q$. This has the effect of taking $\mathcal{G} \to \lambda \mathcal{G}$ and $C \to \lambda^2 C$. Picking $\lambda = s$, for example, leads to a non-singular \mathcal{G} in the limit $s \to 0$, but we still have critical behavior at low temperatures $C \sim \sqrt{1 - 8Q^2\Omega^2}$.

As a final note, it would be interesting to understand what happens above the critical value $Q^2\Omega^2 = 1/8$. This 'phase' is no longer described by an axisymmetric single-centered black hole, so if a solution exists, it may well be far more involved.

In the next two sections we introduce and solve a quantum mechanical model that captures some of the qualitative features of Kerr-Newman thermodynamics. Parallels between the critical behavior described here and the phase structure of the quantum mechanical model are drawn in section 6.

3 Quenched disordered model

To set the notation we first consider a supersymmetric quantum mechanics with a global SU(2) *R*-symmetry group⁵ that was already studied in [20, 22]. In section 5 we break this *R*-symmetry by introducing a deformation, in order to make contact with rotating black holes.

The field content is given by N chiral supermultiplets $\{\phi_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha}^{a}, F_{\alpha}\}$ containing a complex scalar ϕ_{α} , a two-component spinor ψ_{α}^{a} , and an auxiliary complex scalar F_{α} . The index a = 1, 2 is a fundamental SU(2) index, and $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$. The model has a quadratic superpotential:

$$W(\phi) = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}\phi_{\alpha}\phi_{\beta}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where the $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are $N \times N$ complex numbers which we take to be distributed randomly, following a zero-mean Gaussian distribution:

$$P(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}) = \frac{N}{\pi\gamma^2} e^{-N\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}/\gamma^2} \,. \tag{3.2}$$

⁵This system is a simple toy model for the kind of systems related to the low energy open string sector of wrapped intersecting branes [26]. However, none of what follows relies on the string theoretic interpretation of this system and we will keep the discussion general. Generalizations of Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev systems with global symmetries were considered in [18, 27].

The Euclidean action for this superpotential reads:

$$S_E = \int d\tau \left[\bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}}_{\alpha} \dot{\psi}^{a}_{\alpha} + \dot{\bar{\phi}}_{\alpha} \dot{\phi}_{\alpha} - \bar{F}_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} + \left(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} (F_{\alpha} \phi_{\beta} + F_{\beta} \phi_{\alpha}) + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \psi^{a}_{\alpha} \epsilon_{ab} \psi^{b}_{\beta} + \text{h.c.} \right) \right], \quad (3.3)$$

where $\epsilon_{ab} = -i\sigma_y = -\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol. The SU(2) symmetry acts only on the fermions:

$$\psi^a \to U^a{}_b \psi^b , \qquad \bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}} = \bar{\psi}^{\dot{b}} U^{\dagger}{}_{\dot{b}}{}^{\dot{a}} , \qquad (3.4)$$

where

$$U^{a}{}_{b} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i(\xi+\phi)}\cos\theta & -e^{-i(\xi-\phi)}\sin\theta\\ e^{i(\xi-\phi)}\sin\theta & e^{i(\xi+\phi)}\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.5)

is a group element of SU(2) in the fundamental representation. Consequently, the fermions will play the main role in the physics that we discuss. It is worth emphasizing that the U(1) fermion number generator is not conserved in this model due to the quadratic couplings in the action, and therefore our action is not U(2) invariant. In Fourier space we have

$$S_E = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left[i\omega \,\bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}}_{\alpha}(\omega)\psi^a_{\alpha}(-\omega) + \omega^2 \,\bar{\phi}_{\alpha}(\omega)\phi_{\alpha}(-\omega) - \bar{F}_{\alpha}(\omega)F_{\alpha}(-\omega) + \left(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(F_{\alpha}(\omega)\phi_{\beta}(-\omega) + F_{\beta}(\omega)\phi_{\alpha}(-\omega)) + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}\,\psi^a_{\alpha}(\omega)\epsilon_{ab}\psi^b_{\beta}(-\omega) + \text{h.c.} \right) \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

Since we assume the $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are drawn from a random Gaussian ensemble with variance γ^2/N , at large N we can work in the disorder-averaged theory. Along the lines of [14–22], we take the average using the replica trick. This entails introducing n copies of the system and exploiting the simple formula,

$$\log Z_{\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}}[\beta] = \lim_{n \to 0} \partial_n \left(Z_{\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}}[\beta] \right)^n , \qquad (3.7)$$

such that the disorder averaging can be performed on $Z_{\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}}^n$ as opposed to $\log Z_{\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}}$. We use a replica symmetric ansatz in what follows, since it was shown in [20], that this is the dominant saddle. This ansatz implies that the number of replicas, n, does not play a crucial role and simply appears as an overall factor of the on-shell action.

Notice that the scalars and fermions are completely decoupled in (3.3), and hence any mixed correlator between them vanishes on shell. Furthermore, the SU(2) invariance guarantees that $\langle \bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}}_{\alpha}(\tau) \psi^{b}_{\alpha}(\tau') \rangle$ for $a \neq b$ also vanish on-shell. Thus we need only introduce the following bi-local variables:

$$Q(\tau,\tau') = \frac{1}{N} \langle \bar{\phi}_{\alpha}(\tau) \phi_{\alpha}(\tau') \rangle, \qquad S^{a}(\tau,\tau') = \frac{1}{N} \langle \bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}}_{\alpha}(\tau) \psi^{a}_{\alpha}(\tau') \rangle, \qquad (3.8)$$

in terms of which the disorder averaged effective action is found to be:

$$\frac{S_{\text{eff}}}{Nn} = \int d\tau d\tau' \left[\left(-\partial_{\tau}^2 Q(\tau, \tau') + \sum_a \partial_{\tau'} S^a(\tau, \tau') \right) \delta(\tau - \tau') - \gamma^2 S^1(\tau, \tau') S^2(\tau, \tau') \right] \\ + \operatorname{tr} \log(1 + \gamma^2 Q(\tau, \tau')) - \operatorname{tr} \log Q(\tau, \tau') + \sum_a \operatorname{tr} \log S^a(\tau, \tau') . \quad (3.9)$$

In Fourier space this reads

$$\frac{S_{\text{eff}}}{Nn} = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left[\omega^2 Q(\omega) + \log(1 + \gamma^2 Q(\omega)) - \log Q(\omega) + \sum_a \left(i\omega S^a(\omega) + \log S^a(\omega) \right) - \gamma^2 S^1(\omega) S^2(-\omega) \right], \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$Q(\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \bar{\phi}_{\alpha}(\omega) \phi_{\alpha}(-\omega) \rangle, \qquad S^{a}(\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}}_{\alpha}(\omega) \ \psi^{a}_{\alpha}(-\omega) \rangle.$$
(3.11)

To derive the above action we have assumed time translation invariance, $Q(\tau, \tau') = Q(\tau - \tau')$ and $S^a(\tau, \tau') = S^a(\tau - \tau')$. Since certain correlators are guaranteed to vanish on-shell we have set them to zero in (3.9)–(3.10). These streamlined expressions are obtained at the expense of having a bi-local effective action (3.9) which is not manifestly SU(2) invariant.

3.1 Saddle point solutions

The original action (3.10) has a CT (complex conjugation and time reversal) invariance which acts on the fields as

$$\omega \to -\omega, \quad \bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}}_{\alpha}(\omega) \to \psi^{a}_{\alpha}(-\omega), \quad \phi_{\alpha}(\omega) \to \bar{\phi}_{\alpha}(-\omega), \quad \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \to \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}.$$
 (3.12)

So $S^a(\omega) = -S^a(-\omega) = \overline{S^a(-\omega)}$ for CT invariant states. The saddle point equations for S^a and Q are found to be

$$\frac{1}{S^1(\omega)} = -i\omega + \gamma^2 S^2(-\omega), \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\frac{1}{S^2(\omega)} = -i\omega + \gamma^2 S^1(-\omega) , \qquad (3.14)$$

$$\frac{1}{Q(\omega)} = \omega^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2 Q(\omega)}, \qquad (3.15)$$

and the solutions are

$$Q(\omega) = \frac{2\,\omega^{-2}}{1+\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{2\gamma}\right)^{-2}}}, \qquad S^a(\omega) = \frac{i}{\gamma}\left(-\frac{\omega}{2\gamma} + \operatorname{sign}(\omega)\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{2\gamma}\right)^2}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

Note that they obey the supersymmetric Ward identity $S^a(\omega) = i\omega Q(\omega)$. At high frequencies, they reproduce the free correlators of a scalar and a fermion. At low frequencies, they become

$$Q_{\text{low}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\gamma|\omega|}, \qquad S^a_{\text{low}}(\omega) = i \frac{\text{sign}(\omega)}{\gamma}.$$
 (3.17)

These are conformally invariant two-point functions. We can Fourier transform (3.16) back to Euclidean time and obtain the exact correlator:

$$S^{a}(u) = \frac{I_{1}(2|u|\gamma) - L_{1}(2|u|\gamma)}{2u\gamma}, \qquad u \equiv \tau - \tau', \qquad (3.18)$$



Figure 2. The solid blue curve is the exact result for $S^a(u)$, while the dashed orange curve is the large time separation approximation $S^a_{low}(u) = 1/\pi\gamma u$.

where $L_1(z)$ is the modified Struve function and $I_1(z)$ is the modified Bessel function. At large time separations this simplifies to

$$S^a_{\text{low}}(u) = \frac{1}{\pi \gamma u} \,. \tag{3.19}$$

Thus, at low energies, we observe that the correlation functions exhibit an emergent scale invariance, as shown in figure 2. Using the integral representation of the Struve and Bessel functions we can write:

$$\frac{I_1(2|u|\gamma) - \mathbf{L}_1(2|u|\gamma)}{2u\gamma} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi\gamma} \int_0^{2\gamma} dE \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{E}{2\gamma}\right)^2} e^{-Eu}, & u > 0\\ -\frac{1}{\pi\gamma} \int_0^{2\gamma} dE \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{E}{2\gamma}\right)^2} e^{Eu}, & u < 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.20)

From this expression we can read off the spectral density (see e.g. [28] or appendix A of [29]):

$$\rho^{1}(E) = \rho^{2}(E) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{E}{2\gamma}\right)^{2}}, \qquad |E| < 2\gamma,$$
(3.21)

which vanishes for $|E| > 2\gamma$. The spectral density can also be obtained from (3.16) via:

$$\rho^{a}(E) = \operatorname{Im} S^{a} \left(-i \left(E + i0^{+} \right) \right) \,, \tag{3.22}$$

which requires us to define $\operatorname{sign}(\omega)$ for complex frequencies, which we do by taking $\operatorname{sign}(\omega) \rightarrow \omega/\sqrt{\omega^2}$. The spectral density is non-negative, as required by unitarity [28]. Furthermore $\rho(E)$ is a semi-circle, reminiscent of Wigner's semi-circle for the eigenvalues of random Gaussian matrices. This stems from the fact that our model is quadratic in the fermions, with the mass matrix drawn from a random Gaussian ensemble.

We would also like to emphasize that the SU(2) generators, $\hat{\mathbf{J}} = \bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\dot{a}a} \psi^{a}$, have vanishing expectation values in this state. We think of this as analogous to the fact that $\mathrm{AdS}_{2} \times S^{2}$ has an SO(3) \cong SU(2) isometry. In fact, correlators of increasingly complicated operators charged under SU(2) decay increasingly fast at late times. This is similar to the no hair theorem causing the redshift of any features on the two-sphere horizon of $\mathrm{AdS}_{2} \times S^{2}$.

3.2 Low energy effective action

The emergence of an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ implies that at low frequencies, or equivalently large time separations, the action exhibits an enhanced group of symmetries [14, 15, 30, 31]:

$$\tau \to f(\tau) , \quad S^{a}(\tau, \tau') \to f'(\tau)^{1/2} S^{a}(f(\tau), f(\tau')) f'(\tau')^{1/2} , \quad Q(\tau, \tau') \to Q(f(\tau), f(\tau')) .$$
(3.23)

The above constitute the group of diffeomorphisms mapping the line to itself. The saddle point solutions spontaneously break this group to an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ subgroup given by:

$$\tau \to \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \qquad ad - bc = 1.$$
 (3.24)

The scaling dimension of ϕ is $\Delta_{\phi} = 0$, while that of ψ is $\Delta_{\psi} = 1/2.^{6}$ In addition to the one-dimensional diffeomorphism group, the low frequency action exhibits an additional emergent local symmetry.⁷ This is an SU(2) gauge symmetry acting as:

$$S^{a} \equiv S^{\dot{a}a}(\tau,\tau') \to U^{\dagger}{}^{\dot{a}}_{\dot{b}}(\tau)S^{\dot{b}b}(\tau,\tau')U^{a}{}_{b}(\tau'), \qquad \forall \ \tau,\tau'.$$

$$(3.25)$$

Again, $Q(\tau, \tau')$ does not transform under this symmetry. The reason the SU(2) symmetry is enhanced to a local symmetry is that in the low frequency limit we drop the kinetic terms of the fermions. However, in a small derivative expansion, we will induce an effective action for the SU(2) gauge field. We now proceed to derive the low energy effective action describing the time-reparametrization and local SU(2) rotation modes.

We begin with the action for the fermions:

$$\frac{S_{\text{eff}}}{Nn} = \sum_{a} \operatorname{tr} \log S^{a} + \int d\tau d\tau' \left[\delta(\tau - \tau') \sum_{a} \partial_{\tau'} S^{a} - \gamma^{2} S^{1} S^{2} \right].$$
(3.26)

The action and equations of motion:

$$-\partial_{\tau'} S^a(\tau, \tau') - \gamma^2 \int du \, S^b(\tau, u) \, S^a(u, \tau') = -\delta(\tau, \tau') \tag{3.27}$$

are approximately invariant under the following symmetry:

$$\tau \to f(\tau), \qquad S^a(\tau, \tau') \to f'(\tau)^{1/2} S^a(f(\tau), f(\tau')) f'(\tau')^{1/2}$$
 (3.28)

⁶The zero mode of ϕ is divergent and must be treated with care. One way to do so is to consider that ϕ is a coordinate in some compact space. This is what happens in more elaborate versions of the above model [26].

⁷This is not apparent in the way we have written the action in (3.9). This is because we have used the fact that certain correlators vanish on shell. When these functions are reintroduced into the disorder averaged theory, the SU(2) invariance becomes apparent.

if we drop the derivative terms in (3.26) and (3.27). Without the derivative term, (3.27) admits the following solution:

$$S^{a}(\tau,\tau') = \frac{1}{\pi\gamma} \frac{1}{\tau - \tau'}$$
(3.29)

which becomes

$$S^{a}(\tau,\tau') = \frac{1}{\pi\gamma} \frac{f'(\tau)^{1/2} f'(\tau')^{1/2}}{f(\tau) - f(\tau')}$$
(3.30)

after transforming by the symmetry (3.28).

Since (3.28) is not an exact symmetry of the Lagrangian it should contribute to the action, as should the approximately local SU(2) symmetry. It can be verified, for example via an explicit coset construction [32–36], that in the absence of explicit breaking these massless modes have zero action. So we are left with evaluating the contribution from the explicit breaking of these symmetries. This arises from the kinetic term:

$$\frac{S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{breaking}}}{Nn} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{2} \int d\tau d\tau' \delta(\tau - \tau') \partial_{\tau'} \Big\{ \Big(U^{\dagger}{}_{\dot{b}}{}^{\dot{a}}(\tau) U^{a}{}_{b}(\tau') \Big) f'(\tau)^{1/2} f'(\tau')^{1/2} S^{\dot{b}b}(f(\tau), f(\tau')) \Big\},$$
(3.31)

with $S^{\dot{a}a}(\tau, \tau')$ given in (3.29). The above action displays a UV divergence at $\tau = \tau'$. The divergence is an artifact of taking the low energy approximation, since the full system is UV finite. We propose to regularize this by performing in the following simple deformation $\delta(\tau - \tau') \rightarrow \delta(\tau - \tau' - \epsilon)$ and compute the action order by order in ϵ . This leads to

$$\frac{S_E^{\text{breaking}}}{Nn} = \frac{2}{\pi\gamma} \int d\tau \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{1}{12} \{ f(\tau), \tau \} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\partial_\tau U^{\dagger} \cdot \partial_\tau U \right] + O\left(\epsilon^2\right) \right) , \qquad (3.32)$$

where $\{f(\tau), \tau\}$ is the expected Schwarzian derivative [17]:

$$\{f(\tau),\tau\} = \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)^2.$$
(3.33)

The additional term, involving the unitary matrices, is that of a particle moving in an SU(2) group manifold. It describes the low energy fluctuations of the SU(2) charged sector [37].

4 Low temperature thermodynamics of the SU(2) invariant model

Having solved for the correlation functions of this quantum mechanical system, we now have all the ingredients necessary to study its thermodynamics. In what follows we only focus on the thermodynamics of the fermionic fields $\psi^a_{\alpha}(\tau)$ and ignore the contributions from the bosonic fields $\phi_{\alpha}(\tau)$. Connections between the thermodynamics of this model and those of charged rotating black holes will be made in later sections.

At low temperatures, the free energy admits an expansion:

$$\frac{1}{Nn}\log Z = -\frac{\beta F}{Nn} = -\beta E_0 + S_0 + \frac{C(\beta)}{2} + \dots$$
(4.1)

where E_0 is the ground state energy and S_0 is the ground state entropy (both divided by Nn) and $C(\beta)$ is a function whose dependence on the temperature is a fixed negative power

of β . The expectation value of the energy is related to derivatives of the free energy with respect to β :

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{Nn} \partial_{\beta}(\beta F) \tag{4.2}$$

which allows us to relate $C(\beta)$ to the low temperature contribution to the total energy (above the ground state energy E_0):

$$E_{\rm low} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} C(\beta) \,. \tag{4.3}$$

Given our saddle point approximation, we can compute thermodynamic quantities from the on shell action, which, at finite temperature can be written as a sum over discrete frequencies $\omega_n = 2\pi (n + 1/2) / \beta$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us begin by computing the energy of the system $\langle E \rangle = E_0 + E_{\text{low}} + \dots$ To do so we can take a β derivative of the on-shell action where $S^a(\omega_n)$ take their on-shell values (3.16). This calculation is simplified by the fact that we can use the on-shell conditions (3.13)–(3.14), yielding:

$$\langle E \rangle = -\frac{2}{\beta} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{2\pi i (l+1/2)}{\beta} S^1(\omega_l) + 1 \right] \,. \tag{4.4}$$

We have not been able to perform the above sum analytically, however we can still obtain analytic expressions for E_0 and E_{low} .

To calculate E_{low} we need not use the exact expressions for the correlators. Instead, we can extract it from the approximate low frequency solutions (3.17) as follows:

$$E_{\rm low} = -\frac{2}{\beta} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{2\pi i (l+1/2)}{\beta} S_{\rm low}^1(\omega_l) + 1 \right] \,. \tag{4.5}$$

Inserting the approximate correlator into (4.5) gives a divergent sum, but this is of course an artifact of our low energy approximation. To obtain an analytic expression, we use a ζ -function regularization scheme, namely

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \equiv \zeta(-1, 1/2) = \frac{1}{24}, \qquad \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 1 \equiv \zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}.$$
(4.6)

Using this regularization, we find the following low temperature approximation:

$$E_{\rm low} = \frac{\pi}{3\beta^2\gamma} \,. \tag{4.7}$$

The function $C = \partial_T E_{\text{low}}$ can be identified with the specific heat, which is linear in T.

Equivalently, we can also compute E_{low} or $C(\beta)$ from the Schwarzian effective action (3.32), using a map from the line to the Euclidean circle. To do this, we notice that at low temperatures the free energy contains a term [17]

$$-\frac{\beta F}{Nn} \supset +\frac{1}{6\pi\gamma} \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ \tan\left(\frac{\pi\,\tau}{\beta}\right), \tau \right\} = \frac{\pi}{3\beta\gamma}, \qquad (4.8)$$

giving $C = 2\pi/3\beta\gamma$ as well as (4.7) for E_{low} .



Figure 3. Left: plot of $\delta E(\beta) = \langle E(\beta) \rangle - E_0$ and the ζ -regularized value E_{low} . We have performed the sum in (4.4) numerically using the exact expression for $S^a(\omega_n)$ and cutoff our sum above and below at $n_c = \pm 2.5 \times 10^3$. As n_c increases the plots become increasingly close. Right: comparison between numerically computed $-\beta F/Nn$ obtained by evaluating the on-shell action (solid curve) and the low temperature approximation $-\beta E_0 + C(\beta)/2$ (dashed curve). At low temperatures these curves align implying that the zero temperature entropy S_0 vanishes.

To compute the ground state energy E_0 we repeat the calculation at exactly zero temperature. This yields

$$E_0 = -2 \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left(i\omega S^1(\omega) + 1 \right) = -\frac{8\gamma}{3\pi}, \qquad (4.9)$$

where $S^1(\omega)$ is the exact solution given in (3.16). We can check our analytic expressions against the numerically evaluated energy $\langle E \rangle$ given in (4.4). In figure 3 we show a plot $\langle E \rangle - E_0$ as compared with E_{low} obtained via ζ -function regularization in (4.7). These are in excellent agreement.

To extract the zero temperature entropy S_0 , we must compute the finite temperature on-shell action for the fermionic degrees of freedom numerically. This requires us to deal with the UV divergence at high frequency, which we do by ensuring that the entropy at high temperatures is simply the dimension of the Hilbert space $2Nn \log 2$. We plot the result in the right panel of figure 3. Comparing it with $-\beta E_0 + C(\beta)/2$ at low temperatures shows that S_0 vanishes for our model.

Combining everything, the low temperature partition function can be written as:

$$-\frac{\beta F}{Nn} = \frac{1}{Nn} \log Z[\beta] = \frac{8\beta\gamma}{3\pi} + \frac{\pi}{3\beta\gamma} + \dots$$
(4.10)

The system has a specific heat linear in the temperature at low temperatures, $C = 2\pi T/3\gamma$. This is a characteristic behavior of near-extremal black holes. A system with exact conformal invariance cannot have a specific heat linear in the temperature, since there is no scale in the problem. On the other hand, a linear specific heat at low temperatures implies a large density of states all the way down to zero energy, implying the presence of certain soft modes. As we saw, the modes giving rise to this behavior correspond to low energy modes appearing due to the breaking of the diffeomorphism group.

5 SU(2) breaking marginal deformation

We now consider the following deformation to the original SU(2)-invariant action (3.3):

$$S_E \to S_E - z \int d\tau \, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}}_{\alpha}(\tau) \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\dot{a}b}_z \psi^b_{\alpha}(\tau) \,, \qquad (5.1)$$

which corresponds to turning on a chemical potential z for the angular momentum operator⁸ in the \hat{z} direction $\hat{J}_z \equiv \bar{\psi}^{\dot{a}}_{\alpha}(\tau) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_z^{\dot{a}b} \psi^b_{\alpha}(\tau)$. The above operator corresponds to deforming the disorder-averaged theory (3.10) in the following way:

$$\frac{S_{\text{eff}}}{Nn} \to \frac{S_{\text{eff}}}{Nn} - z \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left(S^1(\omega) - S^2(\omega) \right) \,. \tag{5.2}$$

Marginal operators in (0 + 1)-dimensional fixed points have $\Delta = 1$. In the undeformed theory, the scaling dimension of the fermions at the infrared fixed point is $\Delta_{\psi} = 1/2$. So the deformation (5.1) may describe a marginal deformation of the infrared fixed point.⁹ The deformation explicitly breaks the original SU(2) symmetry to a U(1) \subset SU(2). Notice that the engineering dimension of z has units of temperature, such that $z\beta$ is a dimensionless quantity, as is $\gamma\beta$. So we can work in units where $\beta = 1$ (unless otherwise specified) whereby the low temperature limit corresponds to taking large γ and z with γ/z fixed.

With the addition of the deformation (5.1), the action is invariant under:

$$\omega \to -\omega, \quad \bar{\psi}^i_{\alpha}(\omega) \to \psi^i_{\alpha}(-\omega), \quad z \to -z, \quad \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \to \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}.$$
 (5.3)

This indicates that to restore the CT invariance described in (3.12) we supplement it with an additional $z \to -z$ flip. This symmetry implies that the on-shell correlators respect: $S^1(\omega, z) = -S^1(-\omega, -z)$ and $S^1(-\omega, z) = \overline{S^1(\omega, z)}$.

5.1 Deformed saddle point solutions

As mentioned, given that $\Delta_{\psi} = 1/2$ in the IR, the deformation (5.1) might be marginal at low energies, since it is built out of two fermions. Let us verify that this is indeed the case. The disorder-averaged equations are now given by

$$\frac{1}{S^{1}(\omega,z)} = -i\omega + z + \gamma^{2}S^{2}(-\omega,z), \qquad (5.4)$$

$$\frac{1}{S^{2}(\omega,z)} = -i\omega - z + \gamma^{2}S^{1}(-\omega,z).$$
(5.5)

Let us first assume that the deformation is small, i.e. $|z| < 2\gamma$. In this regime the exact correlator for the fermions is given by

$$S^{1}(\omega, z) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(-\frac{i\omega - z}{2\gamma} + i\operatorname{sign}(\omega)\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{i\omega - z}{2\gamma}\right)^{2}} \right), \qquad (5.6)$$

⁸Here and in the following we call the charges associated with our internal SU(2) symmetry "angular momentum" given the analogy with black hole physics.

⁹Relevant deformations of the SYK model were considered in [38–40].

and $S^2(\omega, z) = S^1(\omega, -z)$. As expected, at z = 0 they reduce to the correlators studied in the previous sections. When $\gamma \to 0$, they reduce to the correlators of a massless fermion in the presence of the SU(2) breaking deformation. From (5.6) we can read off the spectral density, as in (3.22):

$$\rho^{1}(E,z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{E-z}{2\gamma}\right)^{2}}, & z - 2\gamma < E < z + 2\gamma, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(5.7)

with $\rho^2(E, z) = \rho^1(E, -z).$

At low energy, $\omega \ll \gamma$, and for $|z| < 2\gamma$ the correlators are, at leading order:

$$S_{\text{low}}^{1}(\omega, z) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma} + i \operatorname{sign}(\omega) \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma}\right)^{2}} \right), \qquad S_{\text{low}}^{2}(\omega, z) = S_{\text{low}}^{1}(\omega, -z), \quad (5.8)$$

which, upon Fourier transforming to Euclidean time, become

$$S_{\rm low}^{1}(\tau,\tau') = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\delta(\tau-\tau') \, \frac{z}{2\gamma} + \frac{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma}\right)^2}}{\pi(\tau-\tau')} \right) \,, \qquad S_{\rm low}^{2}(\tau,\tau';z) = S_{\rm low}^{1}(\tau,\tau';-z) \,.$$
(5.9)

Hence for $|z| < 2\gamma$ the fermionic correlation functions exhibit the same conformal late time decay as in the undeformed theory, with the same fermionic weight $\Delta_{\psi} = 1/2$. For z within the range $0 < |z| < 2\gamma$ this deformation is marginal in the IR. We can verify this late time behavior by numerically Fourier transforming the exact solutions (5.6) for any z in the range $|z| < 2\gamma$ and compare these to the large time separation approximation. This is shown in figure 4. The deformed theory also exhibits a low energy emergent local U(1) symmetry, to be contrasted with the local SU(2) symmetry in the undeformed case. The low energy effective action governing the breaking of these approximate symmetries can be derived as in section 3.2.

Let us now see what happens as we tune z through $z = \pm 2\gamma$. The square root has a branch cut that extends along the real z axis for $|z| > 2\gamma$. However, at small $|\omega|$ the $i\omega$ inside the square root in (5.6) acts as an $i\epsilon$ prescription, which crucially depends on the sign of ω , for correctly analytically continuing through $|z| = 2\gamma$. To leading order at low frequencies, we find the solution are real and *constant*:

$$S_{\text{low}}^{1}(\omega, z) = \frac{z}{2\gamma^{2}} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2\gamma}{z}\right)^{2}} \right), \qquad S_{\text{low}}^{2}(\omega, z) = -\frac{z}{2\gamma^{2}} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2\gamma}{z}\right)^{2}} \right). \tag{5.10}$$

Thus the low frequency correlations become purely local in Euclidean time $\propto \delta(\tau - \tau')$ for $|z| > 2\gamma$, indicating that the fermions barely interact. The correlation function being purely local suggests that the fermions are gapped rather than scale invariant. Indeed we see from the spectral density (5.7) that for $|z| > 2\gamma$ there are no states within an open



Figure 4. The solid blue curves are the numerical Fourier transforms of (5.6), $S^1(u)$, $u \equiv \tau - \tau'$, while the dashed orange curves represent the large time separation approximations $S^1_{\text{low}}(u)$ in (5.9). For $|z| < 2\gamma$, $S^1_{\text{low}}(u)$ matches the late time behavior of the numerical Fourier transform.

set of E = 0. In fact, using the spectral representation of the correlator, we can readily compute the Euclidean time expression analytically for $|z| \ge 2\gamma$

$$S^{1}(u,z) = \Theta(uz) \frac{e^{-uz} I_{1}(2|u|\gamma)}{u\gamma} \,.$$
(5.11)

From the asymptotic form of the Bessel function, we see that this correlator exhibits an exponential decay, e.g. for z > 0:

$$S^{1}(u \gg 0) \sim \frac{e^{-u(z-2\gamma)}}{(u\gamma)^{3/2}}.$$
 (5.12)

This late time behavior is similar to the Euclidean time correlation function for a free fermion with mass μ : $G = \Theta(u)e^{-\mu u}$, thus (5.11) allows us to identify the mass gap of this phase as $\mu_{\text{gap}} = |z| - 2\gamma$. We also note the subleading behavior of the correlator (5.12) $(u\gamma)^{-3/2}$. This is characteristic of a density of states scaling as \sqrt{E} at low energies, which is precisely the case for $\rho^1(E, z)$ in (5.7) when $z = 2\gamma$.¹⁰

In summary, at zero temperature turning on z spoils the supersymmetric relation $i\omega Q(\omega) = S^1(\omega) = S^2(\omega)$, as is to be expected. However, finite but small z does not spoil the scaling invariant form of the correlators nor does it shift the conformal weights of the scalars and fermions, which are related by supersymmetry. In this sense, the SU(2) breaking deformation is non-supersymmetric and marginal. When |z| becomes sufficiently large (> 2γ) the model exhibits a transition to a gapped phase and conformal invariance is lost.

We now proceed to study the thermodynamics and quantum phase structure of the model in the presence of the deformation.

 $^{^{10}}$ It is perhaps worth noting that the appearance of a 3/2 scaling at late times has appeared in several different contexts including [41–43].

6 Low temperature phase structure

To make contact with the physics of near-extremal rotating black holes, we study the low temperature thermodynamics of the z-deformed theory. Using the effective action for the fermion two-point function S, we find the following finite temperature expression for the angular momentum

$$\frac{1}{Nn}\partial_z \log Z \equiv \beta \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[S^1(\omega_n, z) - S^2(\omega_n, z) \right] \,. \tag{6.1}$$

6.1 Small z gapless phase

Let us start in the regime $|z| < 2\gamma$. We can evaluate the sum (6.1) in the low temperature limit, taking $\omega_n \ll |z|, \gamma$, with z and γ large, but of the same order to ensure that $|z| < 2\gamma$. The part of the sum related to the low frequency limit at leading order is

$$\beta \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_{\text{low}} = \frac{z}{\gamma^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{|\omega_n|}{2\gamma \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma}\right)^2}} \right).$$
(6.2)

As it stands, the above sum exhibits the same fictitious divergences as (4.5) and needs to be regularized. We can regulate it using the ζ -function approach adopted in (4.6). With this choice the ω_n -independent term in (6.2) is regularized to zero. This term is responsible for the local piece of the correlator proportional to $\delta(\tau - \tau')$. That it does not contribute to the low temperature partition function is an encouraging sign that our regularization is sensible. The ζ -regularized sums give the following low temperature angular momentum

$$\beta \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_{\text{low}} = -\frac{\pi z}{12\beta\gamma^3 \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma}\right)^2}}, \qquad (6.3)$$

where we have reintroduced explicit factors of β . We note a transition at $z = \pm 2\gamma$, where the angular momentum diverges. That it diverges is an artifact of the large N limit, given that at any finite N there is no state with infinite angular momentum. At finite N the above transition is smoothed out.

We can integrate (6.3) with respect to z to obtain the z-corrected low temperature free energy

$$\frac{1}{Nn}\log Z[\beta,z] = -\beta \left(E_0(z) - z\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_0 \right) + \frac{\pi}{3\beta\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma}\right)^2}.$$
(6.4)

What remains is to compute the contributions at T = 0 to energy and angular momentum. At exactly zero temperature, we can perform the continuous ω -integral

$$\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left(S^1(\omega, z) - S^2(\omega, z) \right) \,. \tag{6.5}$$



Figure 5. Left: comparison between $\delta J_z \equiv \left(\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_\beta - \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_0\right)$ (solid-blue) and $\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_{\text{low}}$ (dashedred) given in (6.3), for z = 20 (in units where $\beta = 1$), with a cutoff on the sum given by $n_c = \pm 2 \times 10^4$. Note the sharp transition at $\gamma = z/2$. Right: δJ_z vs. z and γ . The red line indicates the locus $|z| = 2\gamma$.

which computes the angular momentum (divided by Nn). Remarkably, this integral can be performed exactly, for the full $S^a(\omega, z)$ solution, yielding the following result for $|z| < 2\gamma$:

$$\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\sin^{-1} \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma} \right) + \frac{z}{2\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma} \right)^2} \right).$$
(6.6)

The minus sign in the small temperature contribution (6.3) means that the angular momentum at small temperatures is slightly less than that at vanishing temperatures. As a check on (6.3), we compare it to a numerical evaluation of the full sum (6.2) with (6.6)subtracted. They are in good agreement, as shown in figure 5.

Notice that in the limit $z \to \pm 2\gamma$, the zero temperature angular momentum $\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_0$ tends to ± 1 . At $z = \pm 2\gamma$, the total zero temperature angular momentum becomes $\pm N$, i.e. all spins pointing up or down. A simple computation for the ground state energy, analogous to the z = 0 case, yields

$$E_0(z) = -\frac{8\gamma}{3\pi} \left(1 - \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma}\right)^2 \right)^{3/2} . \tag{6.7}$$

6.2 Large z gapped phase

When $|z| > 2\gamma$ the fermionic correlation functions become purely local in the low frequency limit. Thus, the low frequency contribution to the partition function no longer has a nontrivial frequency dependence, it is purely constant. Using our ζ -function regulator, we simply evaluate

$$\partial_z \log Z_{\rm low}[\beta, z] = 0.$$
(6.8)

Indeed, for $|z| > 2\gamma$, the low temperature thermodynamics is insensitive to z. As we shall see, this reflects the fact that the fermions become gapped at low temperatures. The integral at exactly zero temperature can also be performed, yielding

$$\langle J_z \rangle_0 = \operatorname{sign}(z) \,. \tag{6.9}$$



Figure 6. $d^2 \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_0 / dz^2$ vs. z, for $\gamma = 1$.

The angular momentum for $|z| > 2\gamma$ is constant, and only depends on the sign of z. Multiplying by N gives us the total angular momentum, meaning that for all $|z| > 2\gamma$ the total angular momentum is $\pm N$ in the \hat{z} -direction, so all spins are pointing up or down.

The low temperature partition function for $|z| > 2\gamma$, including the zero temperature piece, is given to leading order by:

$$\frac{1}{Nn}\log Z[\beta, z] = \beta|z| + \dots$$
(6.10)

Notice that for $|z| > 2\gamma$, both the entropy and the energy are vanishing to leading order in the low temperature expansion.

6.3 Phase transition

At exactly zero temperature, the transition between $|z| < 2\gamma$ and $|z| > 2\gamma$ is not smooth. In particular, coming from $|z| < 2\gamma$ we can compute

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_0 = -\frac{z}{2\pi\gamma^3 \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma}\right)^2}} \,. \tag{6.11}$$

On the other hand, coming from $|z| > 2\gamma$ we obtain a vanishing second derivative. Both $\langle J_z \rangle_0$ and its first derivative are continuous as a function of z across the transition, indicating that we have a higher order quantum phase transition. We plot this in figure 6. The critical exponent of $\partial_z^2 \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_0$ is -1/2 can be obtained from the behavior of (6.11) near $|z_c| = 2\gamma$.

At small but non-vanishing temperatures there is another discontinuity that we observe. For $|z| < 2\gamma$ we have:

$$\beta \langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_{\text{low}} = -\frac{\pi z}{12\beta\gamma^3 \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{z}{2\gamma}\right)^2}}, \qquad (6.12)$$

whereas for $|z| > 2\gamma$, we find $\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_{\text{low}} = 0$. Interestingly, the strength of the discontinuity at exactly zero temperature (6.11) is subleading compared to that from the sub-leading

piece in the small temperature expansion (6.12), which is a divergence of $\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle_{\beta}$ itself, with critical exponent -1/2.

We emphasize here the different orders of limits that we have chosen. In both cases, we are first taking the large N limit. But then we must decide whether we are taking the zero temperature limit before or after the $z \to \pm 2\gamma$ limit. Depending on this order of limits, the phase structure is either like the zero temperature one or the low temperature one.

To summarize, for small values of z, the system is disordered and gapless, and the dominant low temperature states have small angular momentum. As z increases, we are biasing an increasing number of states to have angular momentum in the \hat{z} -direction. Finally, at $|z| = 2\gamma$, all the spins are pointing in the same direction and the system enters an ordered, gapped phase. The presence of a non-analyticity in the system is an artifact of taking the large N limit first. At finite but large N the transitions described above are smooth.

6.4 Comparison to rotating black holes

Our quantum system exhibits a coupling z that breaks SU(2), while preserving SL(2, \mathbb{R}) up to some finite value. Moreover, the partition function exhibits a non-analytic behavior in z at the transition point. These are common features between the quantum mechanics and the black hole system, where we can identify z with the angular velocity of the horizon Ω (that acts as a chemical potential for the angular momentum). These are encouraging signs that the black hole picture may have a purely quantum mechanical interpretation. We find it curious, and perhaps interesting, that for the particular choice of clock discussed at the end of section 2.4, the non-analytic behavior $C_{\rm BH} \sim \sqrt{1 - 8Q^2\Omega^2}$ of the black hole specific heat is precisely that of the deformed quantum system $C_{\rm QM} \sim \sqrt{1 - (z/2\gamma)^2}$. However, the non-analyticities in the black hole case depend on how the near-horizon clock is defined, and we leave it to future work to sharpen this analogy. We also notice that the leading contribution in the limit $z \to 2\gamma$ to the free energy at exactly zero temperature displays the same non-analytic behavior as the free energy of the black hole at T = 0, once we define an appropriate chemical potential for the angular momentum (see appendix A). However the derivatives of the free energy differ in the two cases.

7 Outlook on future directions

The main quantitative results of the paper and their relation to black hole physics were presented at the end of the previous section. Here we conclude with an outlook on future directions.

7.1 Five dimensional black holes

Five-dimensional black holes differ in several interesting ways from their four-dimensional counterparts. For instance, there is no analog of the no hair theorem in five-dimensional asymptotically flat space. The most symmetric solution is non-rotating and preserves the $SO(4) \cong SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ spatial symmetry group. When extremal, the solutions of five-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory have also been shown to generally exhibit an

 $\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ symmetry [44]. There is a large class of such $\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ invariant near-horizon geometries for these black holes which can be classified by the symmetries they preserve. Depending on the charges and angular momenta the preserved symmetries are either: $\operatorname{SO}(4)$, $\operatorname{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{U}(1)$ or a $\operatorname{U}(1) \times \operatorname{U}(1)$ rotational subgroup. It would be interesting to interpret this in the language of the quantum mechanical models that we have considered. Since $\operatorname{SO}(4) \cong \operatorname{SU}(2)_L \times \operatorname{SU}(2)_R$, we can start with two separate sets of fermions $\psi^a_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\psi^a_{\mathcal{R}}$ transforming under $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{L}} \times \operatorname{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{R}}$. Then it is easy to implement any breaking pattern by turning on chemical potentials for different fermion bilinears. In the gravity theory, an interesting possibility is to turn on two angular momenta of the same magnitude $|\vec{J}_L| = |\vec{J}_R|$. Then it can be shown that the residual symmetry of the solution is $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ [44]. This suggests that this configuration corresponds to fermions excited purely in the $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{L}}$ (or $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{R}}$) sector (see [45] for a related discussion).

7.2 Interacting models and ground state degeneracy

An important requirement of more realistic models is a large ground state degeneracy. Our models do not exhibit this crucial feature for the phenomenology of extremal black holes. One way to achieve a large degeneracy of ground states would be to consider a cubic rather than quadratic superpotential. This was precisely the case considered in [20], where it was argued that the supersymmetric saddle has an IR limit where the fermionic scaling dimension is $\Delta_{\psi} = 1/2$. A cubic superpotential is already enough to have a (supersymmetric) ground state degeneracy that grows exponentially in N [46]. Moreover, this theory gives rise to more intricate higher point functions then the model under consideration. We hope to report on this case in the future.

7.3 Superradiance

Rotating black holes in asymptotically flat space are known to superradiate. Classically, when we send an incident wave toward the horizon it may scatter back out with more energy. Quantum mechanically, this can occur spontaneously and is a rotational analog of Hawking radiation. Any quantum mechanical dual of a rotating black hole must somehow exhibit this feature [47]. Superradiance connects the near-horizon geometry to the asymptotic region, since it induces non-vanishing flux at the boundary of the near-horizon geometry. From the point of view of AdS₂, superradiance of an extremally rotating black hole manifests itself in terms of Schwinger-pair production of highly charged particles in a background **E**-field (see for example [48]). These particles cannot be contained in the AdS₂ near-horizon region and leak out of its boundary [49]. This manifests itself in terms of complex scaling dimensions of the form $\Delta = 1/2 + i\nu$, with ν real. Interestingly, SL(2, \mathbb{R}) allows for unitary irreducible representations that have such complex scaling dimensions. It would be interesting to construct SL(2, \mathbb{R}) invariant models with operators transforming under these irreducible representations and understand if they are related to superradiant modes.

7.4 Rotating de Sitter horizons

There is an interesting limit where a rotating black hole in a de Sitter space has the size of the cosmological horizon. In this case, one finds a $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$ invariant solution

to the Einstein equations endowed with a positive cosmological constant [50–52]. When the rotation is turned off it reduces to the $dS_2 \times S^2$ Nariai geometry, and the U(1) is enhanced to an SO(3). These features are remarkably similar to those of the Kerr-Newman case, although in de Sitter there is no Maxwell field necessary. It would be interesting to understand whether our discussion is also relevant for these geometries.

7.5 SYK models with global symmetries

There exists generalizations of the SYK model where the SYK fermions are made to transform under a global SO(M) symmetry [27]. Just as in the original SYK model with q-body interactions, these generalized models exhibit an emergent SL(2,) symmetry at low energies, with the IR weights of the fermions given by $\Delta = 1/q$. It would certainly be interesting to explore whether SO(M)-breaking deformations formed out of q fermions remain marginal in the IR. Furthermore, it would be exciting to see if these deformations can be understood holographically as turning on angular momenta for extremal black holes with an S^{M+1} topology.

Acknowledgments

It is a great pleasure to thank Frederik Denef, Diego Hofman, and Benson Way for useful discussions. R.T.D. would like to thank Masha Baryakhtar for a useful discussion about X-ray measurements of astrophysical black holes. D.A. is supported by the AMIAS and NSF. T.A. is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and by grant 376206 from the Simons Foundation. R.T.D. is supported by Swiss National Science Foundation contract 200020-169696 and the Sinergia network CRSII2-160814.

A Left moving chemical potential

In this appendix we consider an ensemble for extremal (T = 0) rotating black holes different than the one studied in the main text. The thermodynamic potential \mathcal{G} (again at fixed Q) of interest is:

$$\mathcal{G} = J - T_L S \,, \tag{A.1}$$

where the parameter $\beta_L \equiv 1/T_L$ pays the role of a chemical potential for J. One can show that T_L is the effective temperature felt by left-moving modes near the extremal horizon, upon tracing out the interior region in the Frolov-Thorne vacuum state [24].¹¹ Equilibrium is attained when $\delta \mathcal{G} = 0$ with respect to variations of J and S, thus defining a zero-temperature first law. The zero temperature partition function Z is defined as

$$\log Z \equiv -\beta_L \mathcal{G} \implies \partial_{\beta_L} \log Z = -J(\beta_L). \tag{A.2}$$

At T = 0 we can parametrize the black hole entropy in terms of J as

$$S = \pi \sqrt{4J^2 + Q^4} \,, \tag{A.3}$$

¹¹The term "left-moving temperature" originates in the Kerr-CFT literature and is borrowed from the language of 2d CFT with its associated left (holomorphic) and right (anti-holomorphic) fields. In this language T_L should be associated with a chemical potential for left-moving fields in the putative dual.

and the T = 0 first law gives the equilibrium expression for T_L :

$$\left. \frac{\delta S}{\delta J} \right|_{Q \text{ fixed}} = \beta_L = \frac{4\pi J}{\sqrt{4J^2 + Q^4}} \,. \tag{A.4}$$

At Q = 0, this reduces to $T_L = \pm 1/2\pi$. We can relate β_L to the angular velocity at the horizon

$$\beta_L^{\pm} = \pi \operatorname{sign}(\Omega) \sqrt{(1 \mp s)(3 \pm s)}, \qquad (A.5)$$

with s defined in (2.7). Along the positive branch, $\beta_L^+ = 0$ at $\Omega = 0$ whereas $\beta_L^- = \pm 2\pi$ at $\Omega = 0$ in the negative branch. The branches meet at $\Omega = \pm 1/\sqrt{8}Q$ where $\beta_L = \pm \sqrt{3}\pi$.

We can invert (A.4) and obtain $J(\beta_L)$:

$$J(\beta_L) = \frac{Q^2 \beta_L}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi^2 - \beta_L^2}}.$$
 (A.6)

So the thermodynamic potential is given as function of T_L :

$$\mathcal{G} = -\frac{Q^2}{2\beta_L}\sqrt{4\pi^2 - \beta_L^2},\tag{A.7}$$

or equivalently the partition function is given by:

$$\log Z = \frac{Q^2}{2} \sqrt{4\pi^2 - \beta_L^2} \,. \tag{A.8}$$

Notice the non-analyticity at $\beta_L = \pm 2\pi$. At these values of β_L we have that $J(\beta_L)$ diverges and the divergence has a (quantum) critical exponent of one-half. We would like to interpret this as a quantum phase transition. It is different from the $Q^2\Omega^2 = 1/8$ divergence we found previously, where the angular momentum did not diverge.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- C.S. Reynolds, Measuring Black Hole Spin using X-ray Reflection Spectroscopy, Space Sci. Rev. 183 (2014) 277 [arXiv:1302.3260] [INSPIRE].
- J.E. McClintock, R. Narayan and J.F. Steiner, Black Hole Spin via Continuum Fitting and the Role of Spin in Powering Transient Jets, Space Sci. Rev. 183 (2014) 295
 [arXiv:1303.1583] [INSPIRE].
- [3] C.S. Reynolds, The Spin of Supermassive Black Holes, Class. Quant. Grav. 30 (2013) 244004 [arXiv:1307.3246] [INSPIRE].
- [4] A. Strominger, AdS₂ quantum gravity and string theory, JHEP 01 (1999) 007 [hep-th/9809027] [INSPIRE].
- [5] A. Sen, State Operator Correspondence and Entanglement in AdS₂/CFT₁, Entropy 13 (2011) 1305 [arXiv:1101.4254] [INSPIRE].

- [6] J.M. Bardeen and G.T. Horowitz, The Extreme Kerr throat geometry: A Vacuum analog of $AdS_2 \times S^2$, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 104030 [hep-th/9905099] [INSPIRE].
- [7] B. Bertotti, Uniform electromagnetic field in the theory of general relativity, Phys. Rev. 116 (1959) 1331 [INSPIRE].
- [8] I. Robinson, A Solution of the Maxwell-Einstein Equations, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. 7 (1959) 351 [INSPIRE].
- M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song and A. Strominger, The Kerr/CFT Correspondence, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 124008 [arXiv:0809.4266] [INSPIRE].
- [10] D. Anninos, W. Li, M. Padi, W. Song and A. Strominger, Warped AdS₃ Black Holes, JHEP
 03 (2009) 130 [arXiv:0807.3040] [INSPIRE].
- [11] A. Castro and F. Larsen, Near Extremal Kerr Entropy from AdS₂ Quantum Gravity, JHEP 12 (2009) 037 [arXiv:0908.1121] [INSPIRE].
- S. Detournay, T. Hartman and D.M. Hofman, Warped Conformal Field Theory, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 124018 [arXiv:1210.0539] [INSPIRE].
- [13] W.A. Bardeen, M. Moshe and M. Bander, Spontaneous Breaking of Scale Invariance and the Ultraviolet Fixed Point in O(N)-Symmetric (φ_3^6) Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52** (1984) 1188 [INSPIRE].
- [14] A. Kitaev, A simple model of quantum holography. Part 1, talk given at the Entanglement in Strongly-Correlated Quantum Matter, KITP, University of California, Santa Barbara, California, U.S.A., 6 April–2 July 2015 http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/.
- [15] A. Kitaev, A simple model of quantum holography. Part 2, talk given at the Entanglement in Strongly-Correlated Quantum Matter, KITP, University of California, Santa Barbara, California, U.S.A., 6 April–2 July 2015 http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/ kitaev2/.
- [16] J. Polchinski and V. Rosenhaus, The Spectrum in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model, JHEP 04 (2016) 001 [arXiv:1601.06768] [INSPIRE].
- [17] J.M. Maldacena and D. Stanford, *Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, *Phys. Rev.* D 94 (2016) 106002 [arXiv:1604.07818] [INSPIRE].
- [18] D.J. Gross and V. Rosenhaus, A Generalization of Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev, JHEP 02 (2017) 093 [arXiv:1610.01569] [INSPIRE].
- S. Sachdev, Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy and Strange Metals, Phys. Rev. X 5 (2015) 041025
 [arXiv:1506.05111] [INSPIRE].
- [20] D. Anninos, T. Anous and F. Denef, Disordered Quivers and Cold Horizons, JHEP 12 (2016) 071 [arXiv:1603.00453] [INSPIRE].
- [21] A. Jevicki, K. Suzuki and J. Yoon, Bi-Local Holography in the SYK Model, JHEP 07 (2016) 007 [arXiv:1603.06246] [INSPIRE].
- [22] D. Anninos, T. Anous, P. de Lange and G. Konstantinidis, Conformal quivers and melting molecules, JHEP 03 (2015) 066 [arXiv:1310.7929] [INSPIRE].
- [23] F. Larsen, Rotating Kaluza-Klein black holes, Nucl. Phys. B 575 (2000) 211 [hep-th/9909102] [INSPIRE].
- [24] V.P. Frolov and K.S. Thorne, Renormalized Stress-Energy Tensor Near the Horizon of a Slowly Evolving, Rotating Black Hole, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 2125 [INSPIRE].

- [25] J.M. Maldacena, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two dimensional Nearly Anti-de-Sitter space, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2016 (2016) 12C104 [arXiv:1606.01857] [INSPIRE].
- [26] F. Denef, Quantum quivers and Hall/hole halos, JHEP 10 (2002) 023 [hep-th/0206072] [INSPIRE].
- [27] J. Yoon, SYK Models and SYK-like Tensor Models with Global Symmetry, JHEP 10 (2017) 183 [arXiv:1707.01740] [INSPIRE].
- [28] S. Sachdev, Quantum phase transitions, second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge U.K. (2011).
- [29] R.A. Davison, W. Fu, A. Georges, Y. Gu, K. Jensen and S. Sachdev, Thermoelectric transport in disordered metals without quasiparticles: The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models and holography, Phys. Rev. B 95 (2017) 155131 [arXiv:1612.00849] [INSPIRE].
- [30] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, Gapless spin fluid ground state in a random, quantum Heisenberg magnet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 3339 [cond-mat/9212030] [INSPIRE].
- [31] O. Parcollet and A. Georges, Non-Fermi-liquid regime of a doped Mott insulator, Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 5341 [cond-mat/9806119].
- [32] S.R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. I, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2239 [INSPIRE].
- [33] C.G. Callan Jr., S.R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. II, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2247 [INSPIRE].
- [34] D.V. Volkov, Phenomenological Lagrangians, Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra 4 (1973) 3 [INSPIRE].
- [35] E.A. Ivanov and V.I. Ogievetsky, The Inverse Higgs Phenomenon in Nonlinear Realizations, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 25 (1975) 164 [INSPIRE].
- [36] I. Low and A.V. Manohar, Spontaneously broken space-time symmetries and Goldstone's theorem, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 101602 [hep-th/0110285] [INSPIRE].
- [37] D. Anninos, F. Denef and R. Monten, Grassmann Matrix Quantum Mechanics, JHEP 04 (2016) 138 [arXiv:1512.03803] [INSPIRE].
- [38] S. Banerjee and E. Altman, Solvable model for a dynamical quantum phase transition from fast to slow scrambling, Phys. Rev. B 95 (2017) 134302 [arXiv:1610.04619] [INSPIRE].
- [39] D. Anninos and D.M. Hofman, Infrared Realization of dS₂ in AdS₂, arXiv:1703.04622 [INSPIRE].
- [40] A.M. García-García, B. Loureiro, A. Romero-Bermúdez and M. Tezuka, *Stability of chaos in a generalised Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, arXiv:1707.02197 [INSPIRE].
- [41] J.S. Cotler et al., Black Holes and Random Matrices, JHEP 05 (2017) 118
 [arXiv:1611.04650] [INSPIRE].
- [42] H. Chen, C. Hussong, J. Kaplan and D. Li, A Numerical Approach to Virasoro Blocks and the Information Paradox, JHEP 09 (2017) 102 [arXiv:1703.09727] [INSPIRE].
- [43] D. Anninos and G.A. Silva, Solvable Quantum Grassmann Matrices, J. Stat. Mech. 1704 (2017) 043102 [arXiv:1612.03795] [INSPIRE].

- [44] H.K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, Classification of near-horizon geometries of extremal black holes, Living Rev. Rel. 16 (2013) 8 [arXiv:1306.2517] [INSPIRE].
- [45] J.M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Universal low-energy dynamics for rotating black holes, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 4975 [hep-th/9702015] [INSPIRE].
- [46] F. Denef and G.W. Moore, Split states, entropy enigmas, holes and halos, JHEP 11 (2011) 129 [hep-th/0702146] [INSPIRE].
- [47] I. Bredberg, T. Hartman, W. Song and A. Strominger, Black Hole Superradiance From Kerr/CFT, JHEP 04 (2010) 019 [arXiv:0907.3477] [INSPIRE].
- [48] D. Anninos, Sailing from Warped AdS₃ to Warped dS₃ in Topologically Massive Gravity, JHEP 02 (2010) 046 [arXiv:0906.1819] [INSPIRE].
- [49] B. Pioline and J. Troost, Schwinger pair production in AdS₂, JHEP 03 (2005) 043 [hep-th/0501169] [INSPIRE].
- [50] I.S. Booth and R.B. Mann, Cosmological pair production of charged and rotating black holes, Nucl. Phys. B 539 (1999) 267 [gr-qc/9806056] [INSPIRE].
- [51] D. Anninos and T. Hartman, Holography at an Extremal de Sitter Horizon, JHEP 03 (2010) 096 [arXiv:0910.4587] [INSPIRE].
- [52] D. Anninos and T. Anous, A de Sitter Hoedown, JHEP 08 (2010) 131 [arXiv:1002.1717]
 [INSPIRE].