

# UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

# An example concerning Sadullaev's boundary relative extremal functions

Wiegerinck, J.J.O.O.

DOI

10.4064/ap180604-19-6

Publication date 2019

**Document Version**Submitted manuscript

Published in Annales Polonici mathematici

Link to publication

# Citation for published version (APA):

Wiegerinck, J. J. O. O. (2019). An example concerning Sadullaev's boundary relative extremal functions. *Annales Polonici mathematici*, *123*, 505-508. https://doi.org/10.4064/ap180604-19-6

### General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

## Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

# AN EXAMPLE CONCERNING SADULLAEV'S BOUNDARY RELATIVE EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS

### JAN WIEGERINCK

In memory of Józef Siciak

ABSTRACT. We exhibit a smoothly bounded domain  $\Omega$  with the property that for suitable  $K \subset \partial \Omega$  and  $z \in \Omega$  the Sadullaev boundary relative extremal functions satisfy the inequality  $\omega_1(z,K,\Omega) < \omega_2(z,K,\Omega) \leqslant \omega(z,K,\Omega)$ .

### 1. Introduction

In [5] Sadullaev introduced several so-called boundary relative extremal functions for compact sets K in the boundary of domains  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , and asked whether their regularizations are perhaps always equal. Recently Djire and the author [1, 2] gave a positive answer in certain cases where D and K are particularly nice.

In this note we show that in general equality does not hold. The example is formed by a suitable compact set in the boundary of the domain  $\Omega$  that was constructed by Fornæss and the author [3] as an example of a domain D where bounded plurisubharmonic functions that are continuous on D cannot be approximated by plurisubharmonic functions that are continuous on  $\overline{D}$ . We start by briefly recalling the definitions of boundary relative extremal functions and the construction of the domain  $\Omega$ .

1.1. Boundary relative extremal functions. We follow Sadullaev [5, Section 27]. Let D be a domain with smooth boundary in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\xi \in \partial D$ , and  $A_{\alpha}(\xi) = \{z \in D; |z - \xi| < \alpha \delta_{\xi}(z)\}$ , where  $\alpha \geqslant 1$  and  $\delta_{\xi}(z)$  is the distance from z to the tangent plane at  $\xi$  to  $\partial D$ . For a function u defined on D, put

$$\tilde{u}(\xi) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \limsup_{\substack{z \to \xi \\ z \in A_{\alpha}(\xi)}} u(z), \quad \xi \in \partial D.$$

**Definition 1.1.** Let PSH(D) denote the plurisubharmonic functions on D and let  $K \subset \partial D$  be compact. We define the following boundary relative extremal functions

(1)  $\omega(z, K, D) = \sup\{u(z) : u \in PSH(D), u \leq 0, \tilde{u}|_{K} \leq -1\};$ 

(2)  $\omega_1(z, K, D) = \sup\{u(z) : u \in PSH(D) \cap C(\overline{D}), u \leqslant 0, u|_K \leqslant -1\};$ 

 $\omega_1(z, K, D) = \sup\{u(z) : u \in PSH(D) \cap C(D), u \leqslant 0, u|_K \leqslant -1\};$ (3)

$$\omega_2(z,K,D) = \sup\{u(z) : u \in \mathrm{PSH}(D), u \leqslant 0, \limsup_{\substack{z \to \xi \\ z \in D}} \leqslant -1, \text{ for all } \xi \in K\}.$$

The upper semi-continuous regularization  $u^*$  of a function u on a domain D is defined as

$$u^*(z) = \limsup_{w \to z} \{u(w)\}.$$

The functions  $\omega^*$ ,  $\omega_1^*$ ,  $\omega_2^*$  are plurisubharmonic. Observing that  $\omega_1(z, K, D) \leqslant \omega_2(z, K, D) \leqslant \omega(z, K, D)$ , Sadullaev's question is for what j is  $\omega^*(z, K, D) \equiv \omega_i^*(z, K, D)$ ?

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 32 U05, 32 U20.$ 

Key words and phrases. plurisubharmonic function; boundary relative extremal function.

1.2. The domain  $\Omega$ . We briefly recall the construction and properties of the domain  $\Omega$  from [3].

(1.1) 
$$\Omega = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2; |w - e^{i\varphi(|z|)}|^2 < r(|z|)\}.$$

Here r and  $\varphi$  are in  $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with the following properties:  $-1 \leqslant r \leqslant 2$ ;  $r(t) \leqslant 0$  for  $t \leqslant 1$  and for  $t \geqslant 17$ ;  $r(t) \equiv 1$  for  $3 \leqslant t \leqslant 8$  and for  $10 \leqslant t \leqslant 15$ ; r(t) takes its maximum value = 2 precisely at t = 2, 9, and 16. Moreover, r'(t) > 0 on  $1 \leqslant t < 2$ , 8 < t < 9 and 15 < t < 16, while f'(t) < 0 on 2 < t < 3, 9 < t < 10, and  $16 < t \leqslant 17$ . Next  $\varphi$  satisfies  $\varphi(t) < -\pi/2$  for  $t \leqslant 4$  and for  $t \geqslant 14$ ;  $\varphi(t) > \pi/2 + 100$  for  $5 \leqslant t \leqslant 6$  and for  $12 \leqslant t \leqslant 13$  and  $\varphi(t) < -\pi/2 + 100$  for 7 < t < 10, and we demand in addition that  $\varphi \leqslant 108$ .

From [3] we recall that  $\Omega$  is a Hartogs domain with smooth boundary, and that the annulus

$$(1.2) A = \{(z, w); w = 0, 2 \le |z| \le 15\}$$

is contained in  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

## 2. Negative answer to Sadullaev's question

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $K = \{(z, w \in \partial \Omega; |z| = 2 \text{ or } |z| = 16\}$ . Then

$$\omega_1((z,w),K,\Omega) < \omega_2((z,w),K,\Omega)$$

for (z, w) in an open neighborhood of  $\{w = 0, |z| = 9\}$ .

Proof. Let  $u \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $u \leq 0$ ,  $u|_K \leq -1$ . Then by the maximum principle,  $|u| \leq -1$  on the discs  $|w - e^{i\varphi(|z|)}| \leq 2$ , where z is fixed and satisfies |z| = 2 or |z| = 16, and in particular on the circles  $C_1(w) = \{(z, w) : |z| = 2\}$  and  $C_2(w) = \{(z, w) : |z| = 16\}$ , where |w| < 1. Because  $\Omega$  is a smoothly bounded domain, it follows from [3, Theorem 1] (see also [4] for recent extensions of this theorem), that u can be approximated uniformly on  $\overline{\Omega}$  by smooth plurisubharmonic functions v defined on shrinking neighborhoods of  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

Let  $\Omega_{\delta} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^2; d(\zeta, \overline{\Omega}) < \delta\}$ . Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $v \in \mathrm{PSH}(\Omega_{\delta})$ , such that  $|u - v| < \varepsilon$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . For  $|w| < \delta$  the annulus  $A_w = \{(z, w) : 2 \leqslant |z| \leqslant 16\}$  is contained in  $\Omega_{\delta}$ . On its boundary, which equals  $C_1(w) \cup C_2(w)$ , we have that  $v < -1 + \varepsilon$ , hence this also holds on  $A_w$ . It follows that  $u < -1 + 2\varepsilon$  on  $A_w \cap \overline{\Omega}$ , in particular  $u < -1 + 2\varepsilon$  on the open set  $V = \{(z, w) : 8 < |z| < 10, |w| < \delta, |w| < r(|z|) - 1\} \subset \Omega$ . It follows that  $\omega_1((z, w), K, \Omega) \leqslant -1 + 2\varepsilon$  on V, and therefore also  $\omega_1^*((z, w), K, \Omega) \leqslant -1 + 2\varepsilon$  on V.

Next we will construct a plurisubharmonic function in the family that determines  $\omega_2$ . The construction is as in [3, Section 2]. On  $\Omega \cap (\{3 < |z| < 8\} \cup \{10 < |z| < 15\})$  there exists a continuous branch of  $\arg w$ , denoted by h(z, w), such that

$$\varphi(z) - \pi/2 \leqslant h(z, w) \leqslant \varphi(z) + \pi/2.$$

In [3] we constructed the following plurisubharmonic function.

$$f(z,w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |z| < 4 \text{ or if } |z| > 14 \\ \max\{0, h(z, w)\} & \text{if } 3 < |z| < 6 \text{ or if } 12 < |z| < 14 \\ \max\{100, h(z, w)\} & \text{if } 5 < |z| < 8 \text{ or if } 10 < |z| < 13 \\ 100 & \text{if } 7 < |z| < 11. \end{cases}$$

It satisfies  $f \leq 110$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $f \equiv 0$  on  $\{|z| \leq 3\}$  and on  $\{|z| \geqslant 14\}$ , hence f extends continuously by 0 to  $\overline{\Omega} \cap (\{|z| \leq 3\} \cup \{|z| \geqslant 14\})$ , and f = 100 on V. The plurisubharmonic function g on  $\Omega$  defined by

$$g(\zeta) = \frac{f(\zeta) - 110}{110}, \quad (\zeta = (z, w))$$

is negative, identically equal to -1 on  $\overline{\Omega} \cap (\{|z| \leq 3\} \cup \{|z| \geqslant 14\})$ , and equal to -10/11 on V. Hence also  $\omega_2^*((z,w),K,\Omega) \geqslant \omega_2((z,w),K,\Omega) \geqslant -10/11$  on V. Choosing  $\varepsilon < 1/10$  completes the proof.

### References

- [1] Djire, I. K., Wiegerinck, J. Characterizations of boundary pluripolar hulls, Comp. Var. and Ellip. Equat. 61 (2016) no. 8, 1133–1144.
- [2] Djire, I. K., Wiegerinck, J. On a question of Sadullaev concerning boundary relative extremal functions, arXiv:1611.01132v3 [math.CV]. To appear in Math. Scand.
- [3] Fornæss, J.E., Wiegerinck, J. Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions. Ark. Mat. 27 (1989), 257—272.
- [4] Persson, H., Wiegerinck, J. A note on approximation of plurisubharmonic functions. Ark. Mat. 55 (2017), no. 1, 229—241.
- [5] Sadullaev, A. Plurisubharmonic measures and capacities on complex manifolds, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **36** (1981) no. 4, 53–105. English translation in Russian Math. Surveys, **36** (1981), no. 4, 61–119.

KdV Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 105-107, P.O. box 94248,  $1090~{\rm GE}$  Amsterdam, The Netherlands

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \texttt{j.j.o.o.wiegerinck@uva.nl}$