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#### Abstract

We consider dipolar excitations propagating via dipole-induced exchange among immobile molecules randomly spaced in a lattice. The character of the propagation is determined by long-range hops (Levy flights). We analyze the eigenenergy spectra and the multifractal structure of the wave functions. In 1D and 2D, all states are localized, although in 2D the localization length can be extremely large leading to an effective localization-delocalization crossover in realistic systems. In 3D, all eigenstates are extended but not always ergodic, and we identify the energy intervals of ergodic and nonergodic states. The reduction of the lattice filling induces an ergodic to nonergodic transition, and the excitations are mostly nonergodic at low filling.
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Quantum transport and the spreading of wave packets in disordered media are known to be suppressed by interference. This phenomenon, Anderson localization [1], has been reported in a variety of systems, including ultrasound [2], microwaves [3], light [4], electrons [5], and cold atoms [6,7]. All eigenstates of a quantum particle in disorder are known to be localized in low dimensions (1D and 2D) [8], whereas in 3D there is a mobility edge (ME) separating localized from extended states. The extended states are commonly believed to be ergodic: the spatial average of any observable for a given realization of disorder is equivalent to the ensemble average. At the same time, the states at the ME are multifractal [9,10], i.e., neither localized nor ergodic.

The ergodicity of many-body wave functions, which is a cornerstone of conventional statistical physics, is obviously violated in the regime of many-body localization [11]. Moreover, there can be a finite range of energies, where the states are extended nonergodic (NEE) [12] and present an intriguing multifractal nature. One-particle eigenstates of the Anderson model [1] on hierarchical lattices, such as the Bethe lattice [13], are believed to mimic those for generic many-body systems. Recent studies of the Bethe lattice [ 14,15 ] and random matrix models [16] have brought additional evidence for the existence of a finite-width band of NEE states. This is in contrast with the ordinary Anderson model, where only states at the ME are multifractal.

Being crucial for describing a broad class of systems, the nature of the transition from NEE to extended ergodic (EE) states is far from being understood, and even the existence of the NEE phase remains questionable. Therefore, it is interesting to broaden the class of NEE systems that are
accessible for theoretical and experimental studies. Below we demonstrate that the eigenstates of a quantum particle in a disordered lattice with long-range hops (quantum Levy flights) [17-19] can be of the NEE type. Levy flights, which are difficult to realize experimentally for material particles, appear naturally in the transport of excitations in systems of nuclear spins [20], nitrogen-vacancy centers [21], trapped ions [22,23], Rydberg atoms [24], and magnetic atoms and polar molecules in optical lattices [25,26]. We focus on the latter system, although our analysis applies to all of them.

Polar molecules in the lowest rovibrational state [27-29] can be excited to a second rotational state, building a pseudo-spin- $1 / 2$ system. In a deep lattice, these excitations propagate among the (immobile) molecules due to dipole-induced nonradiative excitation transfer. The hopping amplitude of the excitation from an excited to ground-state molecule decays as $1 / r^{3}$, with $r$ being the intermolecular separation. This excitation exchange has been recently observed for KRb molecules [26], opening perspectives for realization of spin models [30-32]. Typically, only a fraction of the lattice is randomly filled by molecules, with maximally one molecule per site $[26,33]$. Thus, exchange of excitations results in a peculiar off-diagonal disorder with long-range hops [34].

Here we study the spectral statistics and the spectrum of fractal dimensions [15] of the eigenstates of a dipolar excitation in this system. Because of Levy flights, the eigenstates are dramatically different from the eigenstates of a conventional Anderson model with nearest-neighbor coupling and depend crucially on the dimensionality and lattice filling. In 1D and 2D lattices, all eigenstates are indeed localized. In the latter case, however, the localization length can be extremely large, and typical experiments
encounter finite-size effects [35]. In contrast, dipolar excitations in 3D systems are always extended. The spectrum contains, however, both NEE and EE regions. The former grows when the lattice filling decreases, and, hence, 3D dipolar excitations are dominantly nonergodic at low fillings.

Model.-In the following, we consider molecules in two possible rotational states, the lowest rovibrational state $(\downarrow)$ and an excited one $(\uparrow)$. The molecules are confined in a cubic lattice (square lattice for 2D systems) in the absence of any external electric field. We will assume for simplicity that all molecules are $\downarrow$, and there is a single excitation corresponding to a spin flip. Similar results are expected for multiple excitations as long as the gas of excitations remains sufficiently dilute. Because of dipole-dipole interactions, the excitation may be transferred from a molecule $i$ to another molecule $j$, with a hopping amplitude:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j}=\frac{-d_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{2}}{a^{3}\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{3}}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \theta_{i j}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{\uparrow \downarrow}$ is the dipole matrix element between $\downarrow$ and $\uparrow$ states, $\mathbf{r}_{j}$ is the position of the $j$ th molecule in units of the lattice spacing $a$, and $\theta_{i j}$ is the angle between the quantization axis [36] and the vector $\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right)$. The motion of the excitation can then be described by an effective single-particle Hamiltonian with long-range anisotropic hopping:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\sum_{i, j} t_{i j}|i\rangle\langle j|, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|j\rangle$ denotes the state in which the excitation is at molecule $j$. We assume that the molecule positions $\mathbf{r}_{j}$ are randomly distributed with an average filling factor $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, and, accordingly, the couplings $t_{i j}$ are also random. Below, we measure all energies in units of $t_{0}=$ $-d_{\uparrow \downarrow}^{2} / a^{3}$ and all lengths in units of the lattice constant $a$. The effective dimensionless parameter of the problem is the filling factor $\rho$, and the disorder is maximal in the limit of $\rho \rightarrow 0$. For growing $\rho$, the hopping terms $t_{i j}$ become more regular, and at $\rho=1$ the dipolar excitations propagate ballistically in a regular lattice.

We study the properties of model (2) by means of exact diagonalization for different dimensionalities $d$ and fillings $\rho$. We consider from 100 to 1000 random realizations for each $d$ and $\rho$ characterized by a random distribution of $N$ molecules in $L^{d}$ lattice sites, with $\rho=N / L^{d}$. Our numerical capabilities limit the number of molecules to $N=80000$. The results from finite-size systems are then extrapolated to correctly infer the asymptotic properties for $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Eigenstate properties.-We focus below on the properties of the eigenstates of Hamiltonian (2), $H\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=E_{n}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle$.

The distribution of the level spacings $\delta_{n}=E_{n+1}-E_{n}$ is best characterized by the ratio $[37,38]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}=\min \left(\delta_{n}, \delta_{n-1}\right) / \max \left(\delta_{n}, \delta_{n-1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Localized states present a Poissonian distribution of $r_{n}$, with an average $\langle r\rangle_{P} \approx 0.386$ due to the proliferation of degenerate states located at distant spatial positions. In contrast, extended states show level repulsion, displaying a Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) Wigner-Dyson distribution of $r_{n}$, with $\langle r\rangle_{\mathrm{GOE}} \approx 0.53$. We evaluate $\langle r\rangle$ in different energy windows.

The spatial properties of the eigenfunctions $\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=$ $\sum_{j} \psi_{n}(j)|j\rangle$ are best characterized by the moments

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{q}(n)=\sum_{j}\left|\psi_{n}(j)\right|^{2 q} \propto N^{-\tau_{q}(n)} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse participation ratio $I_{2}$ measures the inverse of the number of molecules participating in a given eigenstate. For EE states, the ensemble average $\left.\left.\langle | \psi_{n}(j)\right|^{2 q}\right\rangle$ matches the spatial average. The EE states present $\tau_{q}=(q-1)$, whereas $\tau_{q}=0$ for localized states $[9,15]$.

In general, it is convenient to introduce fractal dimensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}=\tau_{q} /(q-1), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that for EE states, we have $D_{q}=1$, whereas $D_{q}=0$ for localized states. NEE states are multifractal [9,10]; i.e., $0<D_{q}<1$, and $D_{q}$ decreases with increasing $q$. Following the procedure of Ref. [15], we perform the Legendre transform of $\tau_{q}$ and evaluate the so-called spectrum of fractal dimensions (SFD) $f(\alpha)$. This function characterizes the Hausdorff dimension of the sites with a probability density $\left|\psi_{j}\right|^{2}=N^{-\alpha}$. For EE states, $f(\alpha)$ shows a delta-functional behavior, namely, $f=1$ at $\alpha=1$ and is equal to $-\infty$ otherwise. For NEE states, $f(\alpha)$ presents a parabolic form with an exact symmetry $f(1+x)=f(1-x)+x[39,40]$. Localized states display a triangular form, and $f(\alpha)=k \alpha$ in


FIG. 1. Dilute limit in 2D: $\langle r\rangle$ as a function of $E$ for $L=$ $9 \times 10^{4}$ and $\rho=2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ averaged over 1000 samples. Inset (a) shows the triangular $f(\alpha)$ with slope $k \simeq 0.5$ at $E \rho^{-3 / 2}=0.5$, whereas inset (b) shows the case of $E \rho^{-3 / 2}=5$ where $k \approx 0.35$.


FIG. 2. Spectral statistics at finite filling in 2D: $\langle r\rangle$ in various energy windows for lattices with fillings $\rho$.
the left part of the triangle, with $k<1 / 2(k=1 / 2$ characterizes critical states with diverging localization length).

The eigenstate properties depend crucially on dimensionality. For 1D systems, the excitations remain localized for any $\rho<1$. We have checked in particular that for $\rho=0.99$, the distribution of level spacings $r_{n}$ remains Poissonian, and $D_{2}=0$ in the whole spectrum. Localized states (also in 2D) present exponential localization at intermediate distances and wings following a $1 / r^{6}$ decay, resulting from the $1 / r^{3}$ dependence of long-range hops.
$2 D$ systems.-Let the molecules be located in the $x-y$ plane. The quantization axis is in the $x-z$ plane, and it forms an angle $\beta$ with the $x$ direction. We, thus, have $t_{i j}=t_{0}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \beta \cos ^{2} \phi\right) /\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{3}$, with $\phi$ being the angle between $\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right)$ and the $x$ direction. We assume $\cos ^{2} \beta=2 / 3$ in order to mimic best the 3D anisotropy.


FIG. 3. Dilute limit in 3D: (a) $\langle r\rangle$ as a function of $E / \rho$ for $L=1600$ (blue solid curve), $L=1800$ (green dashed curve), and $L=2000$ (red dash-dot curve), and $\rho=10^{-5}$ averaged over 100 disorder samples. (b) $D_{q=2}$ and $D_{q \rightarrow \infty}$ as functions of energy.


FIG. 4. SFD in 3D for $\rho=0.1$ : (a) $f(\alpha, N)$ at $E=0$ for $N=1500$ (blue curve) and $N=61000$ (red curve). Note the crossing points $L$ and $R$ at $\alpha_{L}$ and $\alpha_{R}$, between the SFD for the two values of $N$. (b) The crossings $\alpha_{L}$ and $\alpha_{R}$ follow a $1 / \ln N$ extrapolation all the way to $\alpha=1$, as expected for EE states.

However, in the isotropic case, $\beta=\pi / 2$, the results are quite similar.

We start the description of our results with the dilute limit $\rho \ll 1$, where the intermolecular spacing is much larger than the lattice spacing, and the properties of the system are self-similar with the filling $\rho$. The relevant energy scale is $\rho^{3 / 2}$ corresponding to the dipole-dipole interaction at the mean intermolecular distance. Figure 1 shows $\langle r\rangle$ for different energy windows. Eigenstates with energies $|E| \gtrsim \rho^{3 / 2}$ are clearly localized, with $\langle r\rangle \simeq 0.386$ and a triangular SFD with slope $k<1 / 2$ (see the right inset to Fig. 1). In contrast, for $|E| \rho^{-3 / 2}<1$, we have $\langle r\rangle>0.386$, and the SFD $f(\alpha)$ is also triangular but with the slope $k \simeq 1 / 2$ (left inset of Fig. 1). This indicates that the inner states are either critical or have an extremely large localization length.

We then consider 2D systems at a finite filling. Figure 2 shows the distribution of $\langle r\rangle$ for $N=50000$ and different values of $\rho$. For $\rho \leq 0.5$, the distribution of $\langle r\rangle$ closely resembles that in the dilute limit, with a central critical core of low- $|E|$ states and localized states outside of this core.


FIG. 5. SFD in 3D at $E=-1$ for $\rho=0.1$, presenting a parabolic form: (a) SFD for $N=10000$ (lower curve), $N=$ 30000 (middle curve), and $N=60000$ (upper curve). The inset shows $f(\alpha, N)$ at $\alpha=1.5$ [where $f(\alpha)$ is maximum] versus $1 / \ln (N)$. (b) Extrapolation of SFD for $N \rightarrow \infty$ that fulfills the symmetry $f(1+x)=f(1-x)+x$ characteristic of NEE states.


FIG. 6. Spectral statistics at finite filling in 3D: $\langle r\rangle$ in various energy windows for lattices with fillings $\rho$.

For $\rho \geq 0.6$, a growing central region of the spectrum presents $\langle r\rangle>0.386$. The effective level repulsion results from the finite size of the system, since the localization length of these states is likely comparable to the system size, hence, driving a localization to delocalization (L-DL) crossover [41].

3D systems.-Figures 3(a) and 3(b) summarize our 3D results in the dilute limit for $\langle r\rangle, D_{2}$, and $D_{\infty}$ [42]. Note that in 3 D , the characteristic energy scale given by the dipolar interaction at the mean interparticle distance is $\rho$. Eigenstates with $|E| \rho^{-1} \lesssim 2$ are EE characterized by $\langle r\rangle \simeq 0.53$ and $D_{q} \simeq 1$ for all values of $q$. The EE character is confirmed by our analysis of the SFD for growing system sizes $\left\{N_{1}<N_{2}<\cdots<N_{s}<\cdots\right\}$. Motivated by Ref. [15], we evaluate the crossings between $f\left(\alpha, N_{s}\right)$ and $f\left(\alpha, N_{s+1}\right)$ [Fig. 4(a)]. We recall that NEE states display a parabolic SFD, whereas for EE states $f(\alpha=1)=1$ and $f(\alpha \neq 1)=-\infty$. For $E=0$, the crossings converge towards $\alpha=1$ following a $1 / \ln N$ dependence [Fig. 4(b)]. Hence, in the center of the band, the states are extended ergodic.

For eigenstates outside the center, we have $0.386<$ $\langle r\rangle<0.53$, and $0<D_{q}<1$ that decay with growing $q$. The SFD is parabolic and fulfills the relation $f(1+x)=$ $f(1-x)+x$ (Fig. 5). Hence, these are clearly NEE states. Thus, for $\rho \ll 1$, moving from the center to the wings of the spectrum we have a transition from EE to NEE states. There is no Anderson transition into the localized regime even at the spectral wings. The eigenstates remain NEE, with $f(\alpha)$ approaching the critical triangular form with slope $1 / 2$ as $|E|$ increases.

The ergodic to nonergodic transition is also observed at finite $\rho$. The central region, where the EE character of the states is confirmed by the SFD crossing technique discussed above, broadens towards eigenstates of large $|E|$ for growing $\rho$ and pushes the NEE region to the spectral outscores. This behavior is illustrated by the $E$ dependence of $\langle r\rangle$ in Fig. 6 for different values of $\rho$. Note that the central EE region with $\langle r\rangle \simeq 0.53$ broadens and covers basically all the spectrum already for $\rho \simeq 0.5$. A small sliver of NEE states remains at the spectral borders.

Conclusions and outlook.-Excitations propagating via dipole-induced exchange among randomly distributed particles in a lattice conform a peculiar effectively disordered system, whose properties depend crucially on the lattice
filling $\rho$ and on dimensionality. One-dimensional systems are clearly localized all the way up to $\rho=1$. In 2 D , all eigenstates are localized at $\rho<1$, with a very large or even diverging localization length in the middle of the band. At $\rho$ close to half-filling, finite 2D systems under typical experimental conditions should experience an effective L-DL crossover.

In 3D, all eigenstates are extended. The states are EE in the center of the band, and outside the center they are NEE. A change of the filling factor $\rho$ can induce an ergodic $\leftrightarrow$ nonergodic transition for a fixed energy $E$, and this novel issue will be a topic of our future studies. The NEE spectral region exists at any filling factor, and it becomes dominant for small $\rho$.

Currently, it is possible to realize experimentally a lattice with $\sim 10^{6}$ sites and filling factor up to $\rho \sim 0.3$ or even higher. One can think of the following experiment: create a dipolar excitation in a particular site of the lattice and measure the probability $P(r, t)$ to find it at a distance $r$ after time $t$. In the fully ergodic case, this probability has a familiar diffusion distribution, with the diffusion constant $D$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r, t)=(D t)^{-3 / 2} \exp \left(-r^{2} / D t\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The broadening of the wave packet in the nonergodic case is much slower. The initial state involves both ergodic and nonergodic eigenstates. It is safe to expect that $P(r, t)$ is determined by the ergodic states and, thus, follows the law (6) at large distances exceeding $\sim \sqrt{D t}$. However, at smaller distances $P(r, t)$ is determined by NEE states and, thus, substantially exceeds the result of Eq. (6). In particular, the return probability $P(r=0, t)$ has a nontrivial powerlike time dependence. A detailed analysis of dynamical properties of dipolar excitations will be presented elsewhere.
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