



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Privacy as virtue

*Moving beyond the individual in the age of big data*

van der Sloot, B.

#### Publication date

2017

#### Document Version

Other version

#### License

Other

[Link to publication](#)

#### Citation for published version (APA):

van der Sloot, B. (2017). *Privacy as virtue: Moving beyond the individual in the age of big data*.

#### General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

*Privacy as Virtue* discusses whether a rights-based approach to privacy regulation still suffices to address the challenges triggered by new data processing techniques such as Big Data and mass surveillance. A rights-based approach generally grants subjective rights to individuals to protect their personal interests. However, large-scale data processing techniques often transcend the individual and their interests.

Virtue ethics is used to reflect on this problem and open up new ways of thinking. A virtuous agent not only respects the rights and interests of others, but also has a broader duty to act in the most careful, just and temperate way. This applies to citizens, to companies such as Apple, Google and Facebook and to governmental organizations that are involved with large scale data processing alike.

The author develops a three-layered model for privacy regulation in the Big Data era. The first layer consists of minimum obligations that are independent of individual interests and rights. Virtuous agents have to respect the procedural pre-conditions for the exercise of power. The second layer echoes the current paradigm, the respect for individual rights and interests. While the third layer is the obligation of aspiration: a virtuous agent designs the data process in such a way that human flourishing, equality and individual freedom are promoted.

Bart van der Sloot

Privacy as Virtue

Bart van der Sloot

# Privacy as Virtue

Moving Beyond the Individual in the Age of Big Data



www.intersentia.com

ISBN 978-1-78068-505-2



9 781780 685052

81

 intersentia

 SCHOOL OF HUMAN RIGHTS RESEARCH

## PRIVACY AS VIRTUE

SCHOOL OF HUMAN RIGHTS RESEARCH SERIES, Volume 81

A commercial edition of this dissertation will be published by Intersentia under ISBN 978-1-78068-505-2

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in an automated data system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical or photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission from the author/publisher.

# PRIVACY AS VIRTUE

## Moving Beyond the Individual in the Age of Big Data

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor  
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam  
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus  
prof. dr. ir. K.I.J. Maex  
ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties  
ingestelde commissie,  
in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel  
op vrijdag 30 juni 2017, te 10.00 uur

door Bart van der Sloot  
geboren te Nijmegen

**Promotiecommissie:**

Promotor: prof. dr. N.A.N.M. van Eijk, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Promotor: prof. dr. B. Roessler, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Copromotor: Prof. dr. N. Helberger, Universiteit van Amsterdam

**Overige leden:**

prof. dr. C.J. Hoofnagle Berkeley Law

prof. dr. R.E. Leenes Tilburg University

prof. dr. D.W.J. Broeders Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam

prof. dr. M.M.M. van Eechoud Universiteit van Amsterdam

prof. dr. S. Klous Universiteit van Amsterdam

prof. dr. E.E.O. Roos Lindgreen Universiteit van Amsterdam

dr. F.J. Zuiderveen Borgesius Universiteit van Amsterdam

**Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid**

# CONTENTS

## Chapter I

Introduction	1
--------------	---

## Chapter II

<b>The Transformation of the Right to Privacy and the Right to Data Protection</b>	11
1. Introduction	11
2. The right to privacy	13
2.1. Right to complain	17
2.2. Interests	23
2.3. Assessments	29
2.4. Enforcement	35
3. Data Protection	39
3.1. Obligations of the data processor	48
3.2. Rights of the data subject	52
3.3. Assessments	55
3.4. Enforcement	65
4. Conclusion	69

## Chapter III

<b>The Challenges for and Alternatives to the Current Privacy Paradigm</b>	71
1. Introduction	71
2. The challenges Big Data poses to the current legal paradigm	71
2.1. Big Data and Data Protection	72
2.2. Focus on the individual	75
2.3. Regulation through legal means	76
3. How the ECtHR is gradually moving beyond the individualized privacy paradigm	81
3.1. Reasonable likelihood (hypothetical harm)	82
3.2. Chilling effect (future harm)	85
3.3. <i>In abstracto</i> claims (no individual harm)	88
3.4. Conventionality	92
4. Alternatives for the current privacy paradigm in the scholarly literature	96
4.1. Constitutive interests	97
4.2. Group and collective interests	99

4.3. Potential harm . . . . .	101
4.4. Agent-based theories . . . . .	103
5. Analysis . . . . .	105

**Chapter IV**

**Developing an Alternative Privacy Paradigm through Virtue Ethics . . . . . 107**

1. Introduction . . . . .	107
2. Virtue ethics and legal regulation . . . . .	108
2.1. Virtue ethics . . . . .	108
2.2. Virtue ethical approach to the legal realm . . . . .	114
2.3. Building blocks for an alternative privacy paradigm . . . . .	127
3. Counterarguments against adopting a virtue ethical approach to privacy . . . . .	129
3.1. The correlation of rights and duties . . . . .	130
3.2. Is-ought fallacy . . . . .	135
3.3. Action guidance . . . . .	140
4. Conclusion . . . . .	143

**Chapter V**

**Embedding a Virtue-based Approach in Privacy Regulation . . . . . 145**

1. Introduction . . . . .	145
2. Minimum requirements . . . . .	147
2.1. Regulating ‘data’ . . . . .	148
2.2. Applying the rule of law test in abstracto . . . . .	156
2.3. Regulating the analysis phase . . . . .	160
3. Aspirations . . . . .	167
3.1. The limits of aspirations overriding privacy interests . . . . .	168
3.2. Aspirations directed at promoting human freedom . . . . .	172
3.3. How to embed aspirations in a juridical framework . . . . .	177
4. Analysis . . . . .	181

**Chapter VI**

**Conclusion . . . . . 187**

1. Main argument . . . . .	187
2. Outline of this book . . . . .	192
3. Conclusions . . . . .	196
4. Theses . . . . .	199
5. Nederlandse samenvatting . . . . .	200

<i>Bibliography</i> . . . . .	203
-------------------------------	-----