

## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Search and treat strategy to eliminate Helicobacter pylori associated ulcer disease

de Boer, W.A.; Tytgat, G.N.J. DOI

10.1136/gut.48.4.567

Publication date 2001

Published in Gut

Link to publication

**Citation for published version (APA):** de Boer, W. A., & Tytgat, G. N. J. (2001). Search and treat strategy to eliminate Helicobacter pylori associated ulcer disease. Gut, 48(4), 567-570. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.48.4.567

### General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)



# Search and treat strategy to eliminate Helicobacter pylori associated ulcer disease

W A DE BOER and G N J TYTGAT

*Gut* 2001;48;567-570 doi:10.1136/gut.48.4.567

Updated information and services can be found at: http://gut.bmjjournals.com/cgi/content/full/48/4/567

These	include:

References	nces This article cites 49 articles, 7 of which can be accessed free at: http://gut.bmjjournals.com/cgi/content/full/48/4/567#BIBL	
	1 online articles that cite this article can be accessed at: http://gut.bmjjournals.com/cgi/content/full/48/4/567#otherarticles	
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article	
Topic collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections Stomach and duodenum (580 articles) Helicobacter Pylori (396 articles)	

Notes

To order reprints of this article go to: http://www.bmjjournals.com/cgi/reprintform

# Search and treat strategy to eliminate *Helicobacter pylori* associated ulcer disease

Peptic ulcer disease is a serious disorder affecting many people and carrying substantial morbidity and even mortality as a result of bleeding or perforation.<sup>1 2</sup> The discovery of Helicobacter pylori as its main aetiological factor is a major breakthrough in gastroenterology. We can cure patients that before were suffering from a chronic recurrent ailment. After curing the infection, H pylori associated ulcers do not recur, nor do ulcer complications.<sup>3</sup> Quality of life improves, use of medication diminishes or stops, and the number of doctor visits, hospital admissions, and absenteeism from work decreases. Curing the infection may even increase life expectancy.<sup>2</sup> Hence treating H pylori infection in ulcer patients is associated not only with improved health but also with significant economic benefits. It was demonstrated that the use of acid suppressants decreases significantly in ulcer patients whereas it usually does not decrease in those with non-ulcer dyspepsia.4-7

Some have claimed that reflux symptoms and/or reflux oesophagitis may develop in successfully treated patients. Whether this is a true development of a new disease or merely the unmasking of an already present but occult diathesis is controversial.<sup>8 9</sup> This possible disadvantage does not outweigh the benefits of curing the infection in this specific ulcer patient group.

The major clinical discoveries regarding this infection are well known to general practitioners, internists, gastroenterologists, and microbiologists. Unfortunately, implementation of this new knowledge to the level of actually treating the right patients remains problematic. In general it is hard to change physician behaviour.<sup>10</sup> Many ulcer patients even today are still being treated with chronic or on demand acid suppressants, a therapy now considered obsolete.<sup>11 12</sup> Vreeburg *et al* showed that only a minority of patients admitted with an upper gastrointestinal bleed in the Amsterdam area was tested for the presence of *H pylori*, and few were actually offered appropriate treatment.<sup>13</sup> Similar disturbing data were published from the USA<sup>12 14-16</sup>

and the UK.<sup>17</sup> But even if the right patients are treated, surveys have shown that many different inappropriate and ineffective treatments are being used.<sup>16-19</sup> Better outcomes therefore also depend on a more general agreement on how to treat *H pylori*. We have recently proposed a logical approach to *H pylori* therapy which combines a regimen based on clarithromycin and one based on metronidazole which, if used consecutively, would come close to the desired 100% cure.<sup>20</sup>

Almost 20 years after the discovery of H pylori it is sad to realise that we have failed in treating all patients with chronic (duodenal) ulcer disease. One wonders why. In some countries, organisational problems in the deliverance of proven interventions within the healthcare system have obstructed its appropriate use. Another reason may be that too much attention was given to the role of H pylori infection in non-ulcer dyspepsia. In retrospect, it would have been more sensible to first treat peptic ulcer disease completely and to move forward to other indications only after appropriate studies had shown these indications to be cost effective targets for treatment. The confusion caused by the controversy in eradication studies of functional dyspepsia<sup>21</sup> has drawn the attention of the medical community away from the only real and proven benefit of *Helicobacter* therapy.

Because we have not been able to eliminate ulcer disease, health authorities and insurance companies have become interested in interventions that may help to reach this goal. They also want to address the rapidly increasing and sometimes inappropriate use of long term acid suppression.<sup>17 22</sup>

In this paper we wish to explore what can be done to eliminate ulcer disease. Success depends on the ability to target the treatment to the appropriate population. An asymptomatic subject with H pylori infection is unlikely to benefit whereas a patient with proven ulcer disease is very likely to benefit from treatment. Studies have shown that the likelihood of finding an ulcer is slightly higher in patients with ulcer-like dyspepsia compared with patients with dysmotility or reflux-like dyspepsia.<sup>23 24</sup> If in a target population the pretest likelihood for ulcer disease is higher, the treatment is more likely to be cost effective (fig 1). The essentials of disease management in ulcer disease have been described previously.25 Here we will focus on ways to implement H pylori therapy for the only group of patients that really needs it: those with proven ulcer disease. Treating other larger groups of subjects only defer us from reaching that goal. The purpose is to search for ulcer patients systematically and treat them. We call this "search and treat" strategy. We will discuss some of the options and results of pilot projects testing such programmes.

### **Case finding strategies**

Although many patients are being treated for H pyloriinfection, most are suffering from functional dyspepsia<sup>17</sup> and it is doubtful if many of these patients or society as a whole will benefit from this approach.<sup>4-7 21</sup> Only few of those who present with new dyspepsia today are indeed ulcer patients; most have a different clinical entity unrelated to H pylori infection. The role of H pylori in functional dyspepsia is either small or non-existent.<sup>21</sup> Test and treat strategies in young dyspeptics <45 years were introduced as a method of decreasing the workload and



Figure 1 Likelihood of ulcer disease.

saving money in the workup of dyspepsia.<sup>26</sup> Although several studies have now shown that test and treat strategies have a similar (dyspepsia) outcome as prompt endoscopy in uninvestigated dyspepsia the method was not superior to endoscopy in curing ulcer patients. In primary care, unlike the situation in secondary care, dyspepsia has a good prognosis<sup>27</sup> and the majority of patients become asymptomatic within several weeks after any treatment.28 29 We need to realise that most dyspepsia in primary care is self limiting! Antibiotics may thus be a rather expensive alternative to an antacid, prokinetic, or H2 receptor antagonist on first presentation.<sup>25 30</sup> It is also for this reason that the European Society for Primary Care Gastroenterology declines the use of test and treat for a first presentation of dyspepsia.<sup>31</sup> The "test and treat" approach is more appropriate in the subgroup with long term or recurrent dyspepsia.<sup>25 31</sup>

In western Europe, H pylori infection is rapidly disappearing. Only a minority of young adults are still infected and at risk of occurrence of ulcers, and hence the incidence of ulcer disease is low.<sup>32</sup> As the problem of ulcer disease in young people (the case load) is relatively small, treatment of H pylori should not have a prominent role. Because of the low number of incident ulcer cases, any strategy aimed only at this younger age group (<45 years) will not significantly decrease the burden of ulcer disease for society. If the aim is to eliminate ulcer disease, we need to focus on the prevalent cases.<sup>25</sup> As this is a chronic disorder, older people that had their first ulcer 5, 10, 20, or 30 years ago must still be considered ulcer patients.33 Together they form a large pool of patients. Many have adjusted their lives and have symptoms from several weeks to several months per year and absenteeism from work is common. They follow a diet and use medication regularly. They have adjusted their life to their symptoms and sometimes no longer consider themselves patients. Their quality of life is negatively influenced even while taking maintenance therapy. Usually their ulcers were diagnosed in the past and no additional resources are required for further clinical investigations. We only need to search out these individuals and inform them of the new treatment.

Another possibility is to inform the public directly through the lay press. For example, an "ulcer awareness week" was organised in the USA in August 1999. It was hoped that ulcer patients would seek medical attention after being informed about H pylori. However, a true and systematic elimination of the pool of prevalent ulcer cases will only be possible by a combined systematic review of the medical history of large groups of people, coordinated preferably by health authorities. Such disease management programmes will almost certainly turn out to be cost effective and will improve the health and well being of the target population. Economic gains will be reached through a decrease in the expenditure on acid reducing drugs,<sup>4-7</sup> decrease in absenteeism from work, a reduction in doctor visits, and in the long run in a decrease in hospital admissions for ulcer bleeding or perforation.<sup>3</sup>

Health authorities are responsible for the coordination and elimination of other infectious diseases such as tuberculosis or syphilis. Their task in fighting these diseases is different from the work of the physician who treats a single infected patient. The physician is responsible for his own patient but not for public health and the measures needed to search out other infected patients. The medical profession however has to inform the health authorities of the problem of ulcer disease. We need to promote the idea that this is a public health issue. Once health authorities and other payers discover that this will not only improve health (by eliminating a chronic recurrent disease) but also save money, they are likely to initiate nationwide implementation strategies at the population level. The organisation and funding of health care in European countries is so different that a pan-European approach is impossible. The optimal way to implement "search and treat" will therefore be different throughout Europe.

### Targeting patients with an ulcer history

The best way to target antibiotic therapy is to give it to those patients with a proven ulcer diathesis. A primary care physician can search his practice for patients with ulcer diathesis and offer them treatment. Many practices today have diagnostic computer databases and can easily produce lists of patients with an ulcer history. Otherwise, a manual search of the patient files is needed. The health authorities could sponsor a "visiting physician" to perform this case finding. The department in charge of fighting infectious diseases from the local health authority, or a nationwide or regionalised task force could perform this task on a project basis. Treatment with adequate antibiotic regimens, according to a fixed protocol,<sup>20</sup> is obligatory for a favourable outcome. Commercial companies have already offered such search services (for example, for hypertension, high cholesterol levels, ulcer disease, etc) to individual physicians and pharmaceutical companies.

The majority of patients identified with a history of ulcer disease will still be infected and can probably be treated without prior testing for *H pylori*. Prach *et al* found that in a cohort of 145 patients receiving long term  $H_2$  receptor antagonists for chronic duodenal ulcer disease only 11.7% were *Helicobacter* negative,<sup>34</sup> a value close to the 10% we found in our study<sup>35</sup> and the 13% in another Dutch study.<sup>36</sup> Cost effectiveness and decision analyses also suggest that physicians can give antibiotics to patients with an ulcer history without a prior diagnostic test.<sup>37 38</sup>

Our previous study in 10 primary care practices showed that such a strategy is feasible, cost effective, and that it improves the health of the target population.<sup>35</sup> We achieved a 94% intention to treat cure rate. After six months, >90% of patients had stopped taking acid suppressants. Similar cost savings were reported from the USA.<sup>39</sup>

### Targeting patients who use acid suppressants

If it is not considered feasible to search for patients with a history of ulcer disease, one can take advantage of the fact that most (symptomatic) patients with ulcer diathesis use acid suppressants. Several studies have shown that between 0.82% and 4.4% of the population in western Europe are taking these drugs long term.<sup>36 40-44</sup> UK studies showed that about one third of patients that regularly use acid suppressants had proven ulcer disease.40-42 A study performed in the Amsterdam area found a rate of 39.2%,43 whereas a study in central Holland found a lower rate of 18%,<sup>36</sup> similar to that found in the south (20%).<sup>45</sup> Furthermore, many patients use these drugs without a formal diagnosis (endoscopy or barium meal)  $^{\rm 34\ 38-43}$  and a significant percentage of such chronic and uninvestigated patients will probably also suffer from recurrent ulcers. It is therefore reasonable to target a population of regular acid suppressant users with antibiotics as many will benefit.

The advantage of this approach is that the search can easily be computerised. Those using over the counter medications will not be identified but one can argue however that the more severely symptomatic patients will be found and therefore the impact on quality of life and cost savings will be greater.

A disadvantage of this strategy is that patients with other causes of dyspepsia, mainly reflux disease, are also identified. One can choose to search the medical history of every individual who uses these drugs and then only treat or "test and treat" those with documented ulcer disease. One can also choose to do a "test and treat" strategy in the total group, thereby including patients with reflux disease. There are examples of both strategies in the literature.

Cottrill identified 161 patients using H<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists long term and subsequently 64 with proven ulcer disease were randomised to receive one of two anti-Hpylori therapies. He documented health benefits and substantial economic savings.<sup>46</sup> A small pilot study by Hippisley-Cox and Pringle documented similar results in 16 ulcer patients treated with antibiotics compared with a control group of 15 patients who continued acid suppression.<sup>47</sup> In a small open study, 40 chronic users were identified with a history of ulcer disease but only 29 agreed to undergo serological testing. Of 20 (69%) who tested positive, only 18 were given antibiotics. In 76% in whom eradication was successful, symptoms disappeared completely and medication was no longer necessary.41 Forrest et al also described a favourable outcome of this strategy in former ulcer patients using acid suppression long term.<sup>6</sup>

In the Netherlands, de Wit et al found that 2.8% of patients from 13 general practitioners were using acid suppressants long term<sup>36</sup> and they searched their medical records. Thirty five per cent had never been investigated but 18% had documented proof of ulcer disease. They tested these ulcer patients for H pylori infection and treated those infected. The cure rate or quality of life was not measured but in those who were treated, approximately one third stopped taking medications. Their prospective study showed that implementation had several problems, which were similar to the problems in the UK studies we described above.6 41 46 47 Twenty one per cent could not be convinced of the benefits of antibiotics and refused testing. Another 8% who tested positive refused treatment. It was clear that patients were hesitant to stop maintenance therapy. It is an ethical dilemma whether a physician should withhold further prescriptions of acid suppressants if a patient keeps refusing the evidence based antibiotic alternative. These data suggest that the success of a systematic "search and treat" strategy may be improved when it is accompanied by a publicity campaign in the media.

In two American studies, the medical history of chronic users of acid suppressants was studied. Patients with ulcer disease as well as functional dyspepsia received antibiotics but patients with reflux disease were excluded. This approach also reduced pharmacy costs.7 48

Joosen et al chose a different approach.<sup>45</sup> They searched the computer of two regional pharmacies for patients who regularly used acid suppressants and performed a test and treat strategy in the total population, independent of clinical diagnosis. A validated serological ELISA assay was used<sup>49</sup> to ensure that *Helicobacter* negative persons did not receive antibiotics. A media campaign in the local papers supported the project and they documented a high compliance. As expected, the largest improvement in quality of life occurred in those with a history of ulcer disease but some positive effects were also found in patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia or chronic non-ulcer dyspepsia. This study included a cost effectiveness analysis and it was demonstrated that the costs of the programme (cost of serological testing and antibiotic treatment) were balanced by a significant reduction in the use of medication in the target population after only nine months. These results need to be replicated elsewhere in a larger group of patients but it is likely that such projects, which are easily implemented, are cost effective and lead to improved health within one year of follow up. The cost effectiveness increases when the population which uses acid suppressants has a higher percentage of patients with documented ulcers. The advantage of this approach is that medical

records do not need to be studied because all H pylori infected chronic users are treated. It simplifies the procedure. The disadvantage is that chronic users without ulcers are also treated. Some of these have functional dyspepsia and may or may not benefit.<sup>21</sup> Others will have reflux disease. Based on the data of Kuipers and colleagues,<sup>50</sup> who showed that H pylori positive reflux patients who use acid suppression long term tended to progress to gastric atrophy, we feel that this is not a problem and that it may even lead to a better long term outcome. This issue however remains controversial8 9 but there is growing support for the treatment of Helicobacter infection in chronic reflux disease.51

#### Conclusion

Test and treat strategies in young dyspeptics were not designed to specifically reduce the burden of ulcer disease. The prevalence of Helicobacter infection in young subjects is low and is still declining; the incidence of ulcer disease is therefore low. Most cases of ulcer disease occur in older people; ulcer disease has a high prevalence. There is a large pool of people who have suffered from a documented peptic ulcer in the past and most are still intermittently symptomatic. In order to decrease the prevalence of ulcer disease we need to promote case finding. Only "search and treat" strategies will help us to eliminate ulcer disease. These can focus on patients with an ulcer history or on populations who regularly use acid suppressants. Such strategies, which may be initiated by health authorities or insurance companies, are likely to be cost effective and lead to improved health of the target population. They are easy to implement and more effort should go into such systematic case finding at the population level.

> W A DE BOER Department of Internal Medicine,

Ziekenhuis Bernhoven, Oss, the Netherlands and Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital Nijmegen, the Netherlands

G N J TYTGAT

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Correspondence to: Dr W A de Boer, Ziekenhuis Bernhoven, Department of Internal Medicine, Postbus 10, 5340 BE Oss, the Netherlands. W.deBoer@Bernhoven.nl

- Sonnenberg A, Everhart JE. Health impact of peptic ulcer in the United States. Am J Gastroenterol 1997;92:614-20.
   Inadomi JM, Sonnenberg A. The impact of peptic ulcer disease and information of the impact of peptic ulcer disease and the period of the impact of the period of the impact of the period of the impact of the period of the perio
- infection with Helicobacter pylori on life expectancy. Am J Gastroenterol 1998;93:1286-90.
- 3 Neil GA, Suchower LJ, Johnson E, et al. Helicobacter pylori eradication as a surrogate marker for the reduction of duodenal ulcer recurrence. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1998:12:619-33
- Tan ACITL, Den Hartog G, Mulder CJJ. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori does not decrease the long-term use of acid-suppressive medication.
- Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1999;13:1519–22.
  Goddard AF, Logan RPH, Lawes S, et al. Randomized controlled comparison of nitoimidazoles for the eradication of Helicobacter pylori and relief of the readication ulcer-associated and non-ulcer dyspepsia. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1999;13: 637-42
- 6 Forrest EH, Granger I, Ticcioni S, et al. Community dyspepsia clinics reduce maintenance therapy for peptic ulceration in Helicobacter positive and negative patients. *Gastroenterology* 1998;114:A122–3. Kearney DJ, Brousal A. Effect of Helicobacter pylori treatment on outpatient pharmacy costs. *Gastroenterology* 1999;116:A70.
- 8 O'Connor HJ. Review article. Helicobacter pylori and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease-clinical implications and management. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1999;13:117-27
- 9 Dent J. Helicobacter pylori and reflux disease. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1999;11(suppl 2):S51–7.
  10 Cabana MD, Rand CS, Powe NR, et al. Why don't physicians follow clinical
- practice guidelines? A framework for improvement. JAMA 1999;282:1458-
- Cheung R, Yella M. Utilazition of H2RA in the era of proton pump inhibi-
- Cheung R, Yella M. Utilazition of H2RA in the era of proton pump inhibi-tor and H pylori infection. *Gastroenterology* 1999;116:A51.
   Thamer M, Fox Ray N, Henderson SC, et al. Influence of the NIH consen-sus conference on Helicobacter pylori on physician prescribing among a Medicaid population. *Med Care* 1998;36:646–60.
   Vreeburg EM, Ramnath AN, Snel P, et al. Treatment policy after hospitali-zation for gastroduodenal ulcer hemorrhage: a follow-up study. In: Vreeburg EM, ed. *Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding*. Thesis. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam, 1997.

- 570
- Cohen ME, Culpepper-Morgan JA, Galusha DH, et al. Improvement in adherence to guidelines for Helicobacter pylori in patients hospitalized for peptic ulcer disease. *Gastroenterology* 1999;116:A52.
   Hood HM, Wark C, Burgess PA, et al. Screening for Helicobacter pylori and
- nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use in Medicare patients hospitalized with peptic ulcer disease. Arch Intern Med 1999;159:149-54.
- with peptic ulcer disease. Arch Intern Med 1999;159:149-54.
  16 Ofman JJ, Etchason J, Alexander W, et al. The quality of care for Medicare patients with peptic ulcer disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2000;95:106-13.
  17 Bodger K, Daly MJ, Heatley RV. Prescribing patterns for dyspepsia in primary care: a prospective study of selected general practitioners. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1996;10:889-95.
  18 Penston JG, Mistry KR. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori in general practice. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1996;10:139-45.
  19 Hakim FS, O'Donell KL, Merritt CM, et al. Helicobacter pylori outpatient treatment et a university hospital Concentrations 1090:116-A62.
- treatment at a university hospital. *Gastroenterology* 1999;**116**:A62. 20 de Boer WA, Tytgat GNJ. Treatment of Helicobacter pylori. *BMJ* 2000;**320**:
- 31 4. Danesh J, Pounder RE. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori and non-ulcer dyspepsia. *Lancet* 2000;355:766–7.
   Martin RM, Lim AG, Kerry SM, et al. Trends in prescribing H2-receptor
- Martin RM, Lim AG, Kerry SM, et al. Trends in prescribing H2-receptor antagonists and proton pump inhibitors in primary care. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1998;12:79-805.
   Trespi E, Broglia F, Villani L et al. Distinct profiles of gastriiti in dyspepsia subgroups. Their different clinical responses to gastriitis healing after Helicobacter pylori eradication. Scand J Gastroenterol 1994;29:884-8.
   Moller Hansen J, Bytzer P, Schaffalitzky de Muckadell OB. Management of dyspeptic patients in primary care. Value of the unaided clinical diagnosis and of dyspepsis subgrouping. Scand J Gastroenterol 1998;33:799-805.
   de Boer WA, Joosen EAM. Disease management in ulcer disease. Scand J Gastroenterol 1999;34(suppl 230):23-8.
   Gisbert JP, Pajares JM. Helicobacter pylori test and treat strategy for dyspeptic patients. Scand J Gastroenterol 1999;34:644-52.
   Werdmuller BFM, van der Putten ABMM, Veenendaal RA, et al. Functional dyspepsia has a good prognosis irrespective of H. pylori status. Long-term

- dyspepsia has a good prognosis irrespective of H. pylori status. Long-term follow-up of symptoms after anti-Helicobacter treatment. Neth J Med 1999:55-64-70
- 28 Warndorff DK, Knottnerus JA, Huynen LGJ, et al. How well do general practitioners manage dyspepsia? J R Coll Gen Pract 1989;39:499–502.
   29 Lydeard SE, Jones R. Factors affecting the decision to consult with
- dyspepsia: comparison of consulters and non-consulters. J R Coll Gen Pract 1989;39:495-8.
- <sup>1505</sup>, <sup>1505</sup>, <sup>1505</sup>, <sup>1505</sup>
   <sup>1505</sup>, <sup>1505</sup>
- Rubin GP, Meineche-Schmidt V, Roberts AP et al. The management of Helicobacter pylori infection in primary care. Guidelines from the ESPCG. Eur J Gen Pract 1999;5:98-104.
   de Boer WA, van de Wouw BAM, Vos RJ, et al. Seroprevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection and presence of dyspepsia in military recruits in the Netherlands. In: de Boer WA, ed. Helicobacter pylori. Studies on epide-miology, diagnosis and therapy. Thesis. Amsterdam: University of Amster-dam, 1996.
   Vielow, C. M. Start, C. M. Start, C. M. Start, C. M. Start, S. M.
- dam, 1996.
  33 Neil GA and the ad-hoc committee on FDA related matters. Do ulcers burn out or burn on? Managing duodenal ulcer diathesis in the Helicobacter pylori era. Am J Gastroenterol 1997;92:387–92.
  34 Prach AT, Senior BW, Hopwood D, et al. Helicobacter pylori infection sta-tus in relation to antibiotic and non-steroidal prescribing in patients on

maintenance treatment for chronic duodenal ulcer. Eur  $\mathcal{I}$  Gastroenterol Hepatol 1997;9:251–6.

- Lai JYL, de Grauw WJC, de Boer WA. Helicobacter treatment with quadruple therapy in primary health care for patients with a history of ulcer disease. Fam Pract 1999; 16:483-8.
- de Wit NJ, Puartero Quartero AO, Numans ME. Helicobacter pylori treat-ment instead of maintenance therapy for peptic ulcer disease: the effectiveness of case-finding in general practice. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1999;13:1317-21.
- 37 McCort JT, Fendrick AM. Management of patients with known duodenal ulcer disease but unknown Helicobacter pylori status: a decision analysis. Gut 1996;**39**(suppl 2):A22. Fendrick AM, McCort JT, Bloom BS. Clinical and economic effects of
- 38 immediate Helicobacter eradication for patients with documented peptic ulcer disease but unknown Helicobacter pylori status. *Gut* 1996;**39**(suppl
- ulcer disease but unknown Helicobacter pylori status. *Gut* 1996;39(suppl 2):A31.
  39 Levin TR, Schmittdiel JA, Henning JM, et al. A cost analysis of a Helicobacter pylori eradication strategy in a large health maintenance organization. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998;93:743-7.
  40 Ryder SD, O'Reilly S, Miller RJ et al. Long-term acid suppressing treatment in general practice. *BMJ* 1994;308:827-30.
  41 Rosengren H, Polson RJ. The role of screening for Helicobacter pylori in patients with duodenal ulceration in the primary health care setting. *Br J Gen Pract* 1996;46:177-9.
  42 Goudie BM, McKenzie PE, Cipriano L et al. Repeat prescribing of ulcer

- Goudie BM, McKenzie PE, Cipriano J, et al. Repeat prescribing of ulcer
- healing drugs in general practice—prevalence and underlying diagnosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1996;10:147–50.
  Hurenkamp GJB, Grundmeyer HGLM, Bindels PJE, et al. A population-based inventarisation of long-term acid suppressant use in 24 general practices in the Netherlands. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1998;10:A6.
  Martin RM, Lim AG, Kerry SM, et al. Trends in prescribing H2-receptor interview in high the protocol protocol and the protocol protocol.
- antagonists and proton pump inhibitors in primary care. Aliment Pharn Ther 1998;12:797–805.
- 45 Joosen IEAM, de Boer WA, Reininga JHA, et al. Costs and benefits of a test and treat strategy in H. pylori infected subjects: a prospective and controlled intervention study in general practice. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2000; 12.319 - 2
- 12:319–25. Cottrill MRB. The prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection in patients receiving long-term H2 receptor antagonists in general practice: clinical and financial consequences of eradication using omeprazole plus amoxycil-lin or "triple therapy". Br f Med Econ 1994;7:35–41. Hippisley-Cox J, Pringle M. A pilot study of a randomized controlled trial of preamentic eradication of Helicobacter pylori in primary care. Br f Cov Procet.
- pragmatic eradication of Helicobacter pylori in primary care. Br J Gen Pract 1997;47:375-7.
- Klem PM, Himstreet J, Bohnert K, et al. Clinical and economic outcomes of 48 treating Helicobacter pylori in patients taking chronic acid suppression therapy. *Gastroenterology* 1999;**116**:A71. Wouw van de BAM, de Boer WA, Jansz AR, *et al.* Comparison of three com-
- mercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays and biopsy-dependent diagnosis for detecting Helicobacter pylori infection. J Clin Microbiol 1996; 34:94–7.
- Kuipers EJ, Lundell L, Klinkenberg-Knol E, et al. Atrophic gastritis and Helicobacter pylori infection in patients with reflux esophagitis treated with omeprazole or fundoplication. *N Engl J Med* 1996;334:1018–22.
   Labenz J. Does Helicobacter pylori affect the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease? *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94:867–9.