

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Differential antibiotic-induced endotoxin release in severe melioidosis

Simpson, A.J.H.; Opal, S.M.; Angus, B.J.; Prins, J.M.; Palardy, J.E.; Parejo, N.A.; Chaowagul, W.; White, N.J.

DOI 10.1086/315306

Publication date 2000

Published in The Journal of Infectious Diseases

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Simpson, A. J. H., Opal, S. M., Angus, B. J., Prins, J. M., Palardy, J. E., Parejo, N. A., Chaowagul, W., & White, N. J. (2000). Differential antibiotic-induced endotoxin release in severe melioidosis. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *181*, 1014-1019. https://doi.org/10.1086/315306

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

Differential Antibiotic-Induced Endotoxin Release in Severe Melioidosis

A. J. H. Simpson,^{1,3} S. M. Opal,⁴ B. J. Angus,^{1,3}
J. M. Prins,⁵ J. E. Palardy,⁴ N. A. Parejo,⁴
W. Chaowagul,² and N. J. White^{1,3}

¹Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, and ²Department of Medicine, Sappasitprasong Hospital, Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand; ³Centre for Tropical Medicine, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, John Radcliffe Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ⁴Infectious Disease and Microbiologic Divisions of Brown University School of Medicine, Providence, Rhode Island; ⁵Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Severe melioidosis is a life-threatening, systemic bacterial infection caused by *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. A prospective, randomized treatment trial was conducted in northeast Thailand to compare ceftazidime (a penicillin-binding protein [PBP]–3–specific agent that causes release of large amounts of endotoxin in vitro) and imipenem (a PBP-2–specific agent that kills *B. pseudomallei* more rapidly but releases low amounts of endotoxin) in severe melioidosis over a 6-h time course after the first dose of antibiotic. Despite similar clinical, microbiological, endotoxin, and cytokine measures at study entry, ceftazidime-treated patients (n = 34) had significantly greater systemic endotoxin (P < .001) than patients treated with imipenem (n = 34) after the first dose of antibiotic. No overall difference in mortality was observed (35% in both groups [95% confidence interval, 20%–50%]). Differential antibiotic-induced endotoxin release is demonstrable in severe melioidosis. These differences in endotoxin release did not appear to have a significant impact on survival in this group of patients.

Severe melioidosis is a life-threatening systemic infection caused by the gram-negative bacterium *Burkholderia pseudo-mallei* [1, 2]. This microorganism is found in soil and aquatic environments in endemic areas such as southeast Asia and northern Australia and is a common cause of community-acquired infection in these regions [3, 4]. Infection is usually acquired by direct (often minor) traumatic inoculation in people in contact with soil. Inhalation and ingestion are probably much less important as routes of entry. In-hospital mortality in severe disease remains ~40%-45%, despite the introduction of ceftazidime-containing therapeutic regimens [5–7]. Improved treatment strategies are therefore needed urgently in the management of melioidosis [8].

Carbapenem antibiotics such as imipenem kill *B. pseudo-mallei* more rapidly in vitro than do the third-generation ceph-

Reprints or correspondence: Dr. Steven M. Opal, Infectious Disease Division, Memorial Hospital of Rhode Island, 111 Brewster St., Pawtucket, RI 02860 (Steven_Opal@brown.edu).

The Journal of Infectious Diseases 2000;181:1014-9

alosporins such as ceftazidime. In the continuing search for improved treatment regimens in the management of severe melioidosis [5–7], a large, randomized, open, prospective clinical trial was instituted in Ubon Ratchathani, in northeast Thailand, comparing imipenem with ceftazidime. In this study, the relative frequency and clinical relevance of antibiotic-induced endotoxin release in severe melioidosis were investigated. β lactam agents induce striking morphologic changes in gramnegative bacilli in experimental settings, but these changes vary among different agents, depending on their penicillin-binding protein (PBP) specificity. Imipenem binds preferentially to PBP-2, whereas ceftazidime is a PBP-3-specific agent. PBP-2-specific agents such as imipenem cause spheroplast formation and rapid cell death in vitro, with release of minimal amounts of free endotoxin. PBP-3-specific agents, in contrast, generate long filamentous forms that are capable of releasing large amounts of unbound endotoxin [9, 10]. Because imipenem kills B. pseudomallei much more rapidly in time-kill studies, there is greater potential for sustained endotoxin release with ceftazidime [11].

Experimental evidence from animal models suggests that differential antibiotic-induced endotoxin release may have pathophysiologic consequences [10, 12–15]. Mice sensitized with Dgalactosamine are rendered susceptible to endotoxin and are more likely to die of a systemic *Escherichia coli* challenge after treatment with PBP-3–specific than after treatment with PBP-2–specific agents [13]. The clinical relevance of antibioticinduced endotoxin release in patients with confirmed gramnegative infections has been more difficult to confirm [14–20]. The wide range of organisms involved in gram-negative sepsis has generated conflicting data in those clinical studies that have

Received 2 June 1999; revised 15 October 1999; electronically published 20 March 2000.

Presented in part: 38th Annual Meeting of the Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, San Diego, September 1998 (abstract MN-34).

This trial received ethical approval from the Ethical and Scientific Subcommittee of the Thai Ministry of Public Health.

A.J.H.S., B.J.A., W.C., and N.J.W. performed the clinical study; S.M.O., J.E.P., and N.A.P. performed the endotoxin assays and analyzed the data; and J.M.P. performed the cytokine analysis. Each author contributed to the design of the study and preparation of the manuscript.

^{© 2000} by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 0022-1899/2000/18103-0027\$02.00

attempted to analyze antibiotic-induced endotoxin release. Some previous investigations have indicated that antibioticinduced endotoxin release occurs and has measurable clinical consequences [14, 15, 17, 18], whereas others have not observed this effect [12, 16, 19, 20].

The current study represents the first large clinical trial to test the hypothesis that differential antibiotic-induced endotoxin release occurs and has clinical consequences in a specific infection. This study was designed to examine the impact of the initial dose of antimicrobial therapy with either a PBP-2–specific or a PBP-3–specific β -lactam agent in a singleorganism infection in a relatively homogeneous population and at a single study site.

Patients and Methods

Clinical study. Patients were randomized prospectively to receive either ceftazidime at 100 mg/kg/day (usual dose, 2 g every 8 h) or imipenem at 50 mg/kg/day (usual dose, 1 g every 8 h) alone to treat suspected acute severe melioidosis. This trial was part of a recently published [21] clinical study conducted at Sappasitprasong Hospital, Ubon Ratchathani, northeast Thailand, and followed a protocol similar to those of previously reported treatment trials [5, 7]. Patients were allocated to either treatment by envelope randomization; envelopes were opened only after enrollment in the trial. Dose adjustments were made in cases of renal impairment, but the first dose was always 2 g of ceftazidime or 1 g of imipenem. Investigators were not blinded as to drug therapy.

After enrollment, all patients were seen at least daily by one of the study team until the patients were discharged. Patient details were recorded on a standard case report form. The current study of endotoxin release was conducted from July 1996 through November 1997. Subjects in the endotoxin-release study were from a convenience sample collected over the last 2 years of a large treatment trial [21]. Clinicians obtained the full series of blood samples for endotoxin and cytokine analysis when sufficient time was available to collect all necessary samples. Patients who had received prior empiric therapy with β -lactam agents possessing activity against B. pseudomallei, such as amoxicillin-clavulanate, ceftriaxone, or cefotaxime, were excluded from this study. Only those patients in whom systemic infection with *B. pseudomallei* (n = 68) was confirmed by culture were included in the analysis. B. pseudomallei was isolated and identified by standard methods [21]. All clinical isolates were tested for susceptibility to both ceftazidime and imipenem by standard laboratory methods [22, 23].

Endotoxin and cytokine measurements. Endotoxin determinations were derived from platelet-rich plasma obtained at baseline (before treatment) and then every 30–60 min, up to 6 h after the first dose of either ceftazidime or imipenem. Endotoxin measurements were analyzed by use of a quantitative turbidimetric limulus amebocyte lysate assay (Associates of Cape Cod, Woods Hole, MA). Plasma was collected in heparinized, endotoxin-free glassware (Chromogenix, Molndal, Sweden). Specimens were centrifuged and then frozen at -30° C within 15 min of collection. Plasma was diluted 1 : 10, passed through a 0.45-mm filter, and heated to 70°C for 5 min to remove membrane-bound endotoxin and any serum inhibitors. The functional limit of detection of the assay was 2 pg/mL endotoxin.

Cytokine concentrations were also measured at the same time points as the endotoxin assays by use of immunoassays for human interleukin (IL)–6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)– α , and IL-10. Samples were collected into potassium EDTA tubes containing Trasylol (50 µL/mL blood). Specimens were centrifuged and then frozen at -30° C within 15 min of collection. Cytokines were each measured by ELISA. TNF- α was measured by a commercially available assay for human TNF- α , according to the instructions of the manufacturer (Central Laboratory of the Netherlands Red Cross Blood Transfusion Service, Amsterdam). IL-6 was measured by use of anti–human IL-6 monoclonal antibody (Pharmingen, San Diego; clones MQ2-13Af and MQ2-39C3). IL-10 was measured by use of anti–human IL-10 monoclonal antibody (Pharmingen; clones JES3-9D7 and JES3-12G8). The detection limits were 3 pg/ mL (TNF), 5 pg/mL (IL-6), and 4 pg/mL (IL-10).

Baseline cytokine assays were done for all patients entered into the larger ceftazidime versus imipenem clinical trial; full results of these cytokine assays will be reported separately. All cytokine and endotoxin assays were done in a blinded fashion, without knowledge of the treatment assignment or clinical outcome of each patient.

Statistical methods. Results are presented as the median plus 25%-75% interquartile (IQ) range for numeric data, unless otherwise stated. For categorical variables, proportions were compared by use of the χ^2 test with Yates's correction. Normally distributed continuous data were compared by use of the Student's *t* test. Data not conforming to a normal distribution were compared by use of the Mann-Whitney *U* test. The area under the curve (AUC) for endotoxin release for each antimicrobial agent was calculated by use of the generalized Wilcoxon statistic. All analyses were done with the statistical computing package SPSS for Windows, version 7.5 (SPSS, Chicago), and EpiInfo, version 6 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta).

Results

Clinical characteristics at study onset. From July 1996 through November 1997, 73 patients were enrolled. Five of these patients were not proven to have melioidosis by culture and were excluded from the subsequent analysis. Demographic and clinical characteristics for the remaining 68 patients who had culture-confirmed systemic infection with *B. pseudomallei* and who were enrolled in this study are presented in table 1. All isolates were susceptible to both ceftazidime and imipenem. Baseline clinical and demographic characteristics of the patients were similar. Although some randomization imbalances were found between the 2 treatment groups at study entry, none of these differences were statistically significant (table 1).

The imipenem-treated group had slightly higher APACHE II scores (P = .4). The majority of patients (60 [88%]) had an underlying disease, but there was no difference between the 2 therapy arms. Preexisting diabetes mellitus (DM) occurred in 56% of patients in each arm and renal disease in 21% of each group. Bacteremia at the time of study entry was slightly more

 Table 1.
 Baseline characteristics of study patients.

	Ceftazidime	Imipenem	D
Characteristic	(n = 34)	(n = 34)	P
Age in y, mean \pm SD	51.7 ± 10.6	52.8 ± 12.8	.70
Sex, male	59	59	1
APACHE II score (IQ range)	12 (10-21)	16 (10-20)	.39
Prior use of other antibiotics	62	65	1
Preexisting diabetes mellitus	56	56	1
Bacteremia	65	56	.62
Presence of shock at study entry	7	16	.43
Hepatic dysfunction at study entry	59	59	1
Acute renal failure at study entry	32	32	1
Site of pulmonary/pleural infection	62	71	.63
Site of skin/soft tissue infection	44	32	.45
Site of hepatic/splenic infection	34	35	1
Other sites of infections (urine,			
bone, central nervous system)	29	29	1

NOTE. Data are %, unless otherwise noted. IQ, interquartile range

frequent in the ceftazidime group (65% vs. 56%; P = .62). A substantial number of patients (ceftazidime group, 62%; imipenem group, 65%) had received other antimicrobial agents before entry into the study. The most common antimicrobial agents administered prior to enrollment into the study were ampicillin or amoxicillin (34%) and/or an aminoglycoside (18%), which are ineffective against *B. pseudomallei*, and chloramphenicol (27%) and tetracyclines (24%), which are bacteriostatic. No differences in the frequency or type of concomitant antibiotic treatment were observed between the 2 treatment groups.

Of the 7 patients who were in shock at study entry, the mortality rate was 71% (5/7 patients), whereas the patients who were not in shock at study entry had a mortality rate of 31% (19/61; P = .09). Of the 20 patients who either were in shock at study entry or subsequently went into shock, the mortality rate was 80% (16/20), compared with 17% among those who were not in shock (8/48; P < .001). Patients with hepatocellular dysfunction at study entry did significantly worse than patients without hepatic dysfunction (mortality 20 [50%] of 40 patients vs. 4 [14%] of 28; P = .006). The presence of acute renal failure (P = .69) or advanced age (>64 years; P = .59) did not worsen mortality. APACHE II scores were significantly higher in nonsurvivors than in survivors (median, 22 [17–27] vs. 12 [8–16]; P < .001).

A total of 60% of patients had positive blood cultures for *B. pseudomallei* at the time of study entry. The mortality rate was 49% (20/41) among bacteremic patients, whereas nonbacteremic patients had a significantly lower mortality rate of 15% (4/27; P = .009). There was a trend toward a lower mortality in patients with DM (10 [26%] of 38), compared with those without DM (14/30, 47%), but this was not statistically significant (P=.14). Mortality in this series was slightly higher among patients who had received antibiotics before entry into the study (7 [28%] of 25 vs. 17 [40%] of 43; P = .49), but these 2 groups had similar fever clearance times (P = .68) and durations of hospital stay (P = .97).

Results of plasma endotoxin measure-Endotoxin levels. ments over the first 6 h of initial treatment with either of the 2 intravenous β -lactam agents are provided in figure 1. Only 3 (4.4%) of 68 patients had plasma endotoxin levels below the limit of detection at baseline, that is, before the first dose of study antibiotic. The median endotoxin concentration in the remaining 65 patients was 47.4 pg/mL (overall range, <2-9540 pg/mL; IQ range, 13.6-172.2 pg/mL). Seven patients (10.3%) had baseline levels >500 pg/mL, and 5 (7.4%) had levels >1000 pg/mL. Baseline results are shown in table 2. Baseline plasma endotoxin concentrations were similar in the 2 treatment arms (P = .12) and were similar in survivors and nonsurviving patients (P = .77; table 3). There were no differences in endotoxin levels between patients who were septicemic compared with those who were not (P = .88), and in these septicemic patients endotoxin levels were similar regardless of outcome (P = .86). There were no correlations between baseline endotoxin concentrations and various clinical and laboratory parameters overall, including APACHE II scores or plasma lactate concentration (table 4). However, among septicemic patients, there was an inverse correlation with aspartate aminotransferase (r, -.31; P = .046).

Peak plasma endotoxin levels after the first dose were significantly higher in the ceftazidime-treated patients (table 5; P = .008). The quantitative AUC for circulating endotoxin was also significantly higher in the ceftazidime-treated patients than in the imipenem-treated patients (P < .001). Although this difference in AUC was most apparent in those patients who had not received any prior antimicrobial agents (P < .001), the same finding was observed in those patients who received other antimicrobial agents in addition to either imipenem or ceftazidime (P < .05). Peak endotoxin concentrations were correlated with serum alkaline phosphatase concentrations only (r, .33; P = .046).



Figure 1. Plasma endotoxin levels over the first 6 h after the initial dose of imipenem (n = 34) or ceftazidime (n = 34) in patients with acute septicemic melioidosis. Results are presented as median values with 25%–75% interquartile ranges.

 Table 2.
 Baseline cytokine and endotoxin levels as predictors of fatal outcome.

Substance, pg/mL	Survivors ($n = 44$)	Nonsurvivors ($n = 24$)	Р
TNF-α	0 (0-3.7)	14.4 (5.2–5.4)	<.001
IL-6	116.5 (43.4-245.2)	825.2 (228.8-2829.0)	<.001
IL-10	18.9 (9.1-41.1)	191.9 (65.6–526.6)	<.001
Endotoxin	58.4 (13.8–170.3)	34 (10.8–146)	.77

NOTE. Data are median (interquartile range). TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IL, interleukin.

Baseline TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-10 levels Cytokine levels. are shown in table 3. As reported elsewhere in a different series, elevated baseline cytokine levels were strongly predictive of a lethal outcome in this group of patients [24] (table 2). There were strong positive correlations between levels of all 3 cytokines at baseline (IL-6 vs. IL-10, Spearman rank-correlation coefficient: r, .58; P < .001; IL-6 vs. TNF: r, .40; P = .001; IL-10 vs. TNF: r, .64, P < .001). There were also strong positive correlations between peak levels of all 3 cytokines (IL-6 vs. IL-10: r, .72, P < .001; IL-6 vs. TNF, r, .50, P = .002; IL-10 vs. TNF, r, .68, P < .001). None of the 3 cytokines (baseline concentrations) showed any correlation with baseline endotoxin levels (TNF: r, .07, P = .59; IL-6: r, -.01, P = .92; IL-10: r, .04, P = .75), nor did peak levels of the 3 cytokines show any correlation with peak endotoxin levels (TNF: r, -.02, P = .93; IL-6: r, .23, P = .18; IL-10: r, .10, P = .58). Despite the clear differences in endotoxin release between the ceftazidime- and imipenem-treated groups, the mortality rate during the course of hospitalization for melioidosis was similar in both treatment groups (35%). In patients who died, the time to death after study enrollment was similar for the 2 arms: in the ceftazidime group, the median was 4 days (IQ range, 1-8 days); and in the imipenem group, the median was 2 days (IQ range, 1–11 days; P = .46). In surviving patients, the median duration of fever after starting ceftazidime therapy (288 h; IQ range, 93-648) was similar to that for the imipenem-treated group (240 h; IQ range, 66–396; P = .52). Four patients were never febrile (3 in the imipenem arm), and 5 patients were still febrile when discharged (4 in the ceftazidime arm). Total duration of hospitalization in surviving patients in the ceftazidime-treated group (median, 17 days; IQ range, 14-23 days) was similar to that for imipenem patients (median, 17 days; IQ range, 14-21 days; P = .98).

Discussion

The current standard therapy for severe melioidosis is highdose intravenous ceftazidime, followed by maintenance therapy for extended periods with either amoxicillin-clavulanate or the 4-drug combination of doxycycline, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole [5, 7, 25]. Maintenance regimens lasting several months after successful acute treatment are required to prevent relapse, which is common in short-course treatment [26]. Despite dramatic reductions in mortality in severe melioidosis since the introduction of ceftazidime, acute mortality remains high [5, 7]. For this reason, alternative treatment strategies have been sought, including the use of carbapenems such as imipenem. A large clinical trial to prospectively evaluate the relative efficacy of imipenem compared with ceftazidime in the treatment of severe melioidosis provided an opportunity to investigate the incidence and effects of differential antibioticinduced endotoxin release in this disease.

Melioidosis generally occurs as a community-acquired infection in a homogeneous, ambulatory patient population. It represents an ideal infectious-disease process in which to determine the clinical relevance of antibiotic-induced endotoxin release in a single, defined infection [12]. This type of investigation can be performed unencumbered by the myriad clinical, microbiological, and immunological variables found in series of patients with gram-negative bacterial infections of multiple etiology and treated with multiple doses of antimicrobial agents [12, 16, 19, 20]. Melioidosis is now well characterized and is recognized in endemic areas as an important cause of community-acquired, life-threatening illness [1, 3]. Although many patients have underlying conditions such as DM or renal disease [27], these are not usually acutely life threatening in themselves. This greatly simplifies the analysis of the clinical impact of the infection itself.

The heterogeneous patient groups that constitute septicshock study populations in developed countries typically exhibit a multitude of underlying conditions. Concomitant underlying diseases and comorbidities often dominate the clinical course and mortality rates [12, 16]. Severe melioidosis itself, like primary meningococcemia, is the predominant factor determining outcome [1, 3, 5]. Although many patients with severe melioidosis have DM or renal disease, most would otherwise have survived had the systemic infection not occurred. Finally, the immunodominant LPS structure of B. pseudomallei exists as a single major serotype [28]. This greatly simplifies quantitative measurement of endotoxin release in melioidosis. There is considerable variability inherent in measurements of endotoxin from different bacterial species because of the variety of LPS biochemical structures and the degree of substitution with O-specific side chains [12].

It has been clearly shown for in vitro and animal models that PBP-3–specific inhibitors induce filament formation during the course of killing gram-negative bacilli [9, 10, 14]. These filaments generate large quantities of free endotoxin, which

Table 3. Baseline cytokine and endotoxin levels by drug treatment.

Substance, pg/mL	Ceftazidime	Imipenem	Р
TNF-α	0 (0-19.7)	3.7 (0-14.3)	.59
IL-6	211.6 (71.6-730.1)	223.9 (99.2-1021.6)	.33
IL-10	39.5 (12.6-143.7)	38.1 (14.0-151.5)	.62
Endotoxin	65.5 (28.4–130.8)	28.2 (5.8–146)	.12

NOTE. Data are median (interquartile range). TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IL, interleukin.

Time in hospital

.72

lation coefficient).			
Parameter	Correlation coefficient	Р	
Hemoglobin	076	.69	
Platelet count	.055	.66	
White blood cell count	057	.64	
Prothrombin time	010	.95	
Partial thromboplastin time	055	.74	
Bicarbonate	205	.14	
Plasma lactate	.124	.60	
Plasma glucose	.079	.54	
Blood urea nitrogen	.094	.45	
Creatinine	.155	.21	
Albumin	003	.98	
Alkaline phosphatase	151	.22	
Aspartate aminotransferase	160	.20	
Alanine aminotransferase	103	.40	
Bilirubin	047	.71	
APACHE II score	.137	.27	
Age	055	.66	
Pulse	.035	.78	
Temperature	069	.58	
Fever clearance time	095	.44	

Table 4. Correlations between baseline plasma endotoxin concentration and clinical or laboratory parameters (Spearman rank-correlation coefficient).

could have adverse consequences during the treatment of gramnegative infections [13, 29]. In contrast, imipenem and other penicillin-binding protein type 2–specific agents induce spheroplast formation and rapid cell lysis in gram-negative bacilli, with release of minimal amounts of endotoxin [9, 13, 18].

.044

Since the beginning of the antimicrobial era, it has been speculated that initial treatment of gram-negative infections with antimicrobial agents may paradoxically injure the host, because large quantities of bacterial endotoxin may be released during bacterial killing [17, 19]. This observation was first made in the late 1940s [30] and has been the subject of much debate and controversy over the last 4 decades [19]. It has been difficult to verify the clinical relevance of antibiotic-induced endotoxin release, although it has been studied in considerable detail in numerous trials [15, 16, 18]. The most recent studies have not shown clinical evidence of a worse outcome associated with those antimicrobial agents that cause increased antibioticinduced endotoxin release in vitro [16, 20].

The results of the current study show that significant differences in systemic endotoxin release occur in severe melioidosis after initial antimicrobial therapy with a PBP-2–specific agent (imipenem) versus a PBP-3–specific agent (ceftazidime). However, the excess endotoxin release induced by PBP-3–specific agents did not result in a significant worsening in mortality when compared with the case of PBP-2–specific agents in this group of patients. The mortality rate was 12 (35%) of 34 in the ceftazidime-treated group and 12 (35%) of 34 in the imipenemtreated group. The rather small sample size generates wide confidence intervals (CIs) with respect to potential differences in mortality rates (95% CI, 20%–50%). Thus, it is apparent that differential antibiotic-induced endotoxin release alone is not sufficient to explain excess mortality in patients with severe melioidosis. Numerous other determinants of survival, independent of antibiotic-induced endotoxin release, affect the outcome in patients with systemic bacterial infections [12, 19, 30, 31].

Combination therapy with aminoglycosides and bacteriostatic antimicrobial agents affect the amount of endotoxin release associated with β -lactam antimicrobial agents [10, 14, 19]. The fact that the majority of patients in this study had received other antimicrobial agents before the first dose of either imipenem or ceftazidime may also have affected the results and minimized the differences in mortality rates between the 2 groups. Endotoxin was detectable in most patients at significant concentrations before starting treatment. In this small clinical study, modest increases in circulating endotoxin associated with PBP-3–specific agents may not have been sufficient to cause statistically significant differences in outcome.

It is possible that B. pseudomallei endotoxin is simply less potent in vivo than LPSs from other bacterial species-there is both animal and in vitro evidence to this effect [32]. This is important if antiendotoxin strategies are shown to be of benefit in other forms of sepsis, such as meningococcal infection, and are considered possible candidates for future therapeutic trials in melioidosis [33]. It is also possible that, because most patients had detectable endotoxin levels at study entry, the subsequent incremental increase in endotoxin concentrations associated with ceftazidime was insufficient to significantly worsen the outcome [12]. However, baseline endotoxin levels were similar in survivors and nonsurvivors and were not related to the presence of bacteremia. Baseline levels in this study did not correlate with other surrogate markers of outcome, such as plasma lactate concentration or APACHE II score, or with plasma cytokine concentrations. This also suggests that endotoxin release is of relatively minor importance in melioidosis.

In summary, this study demonstrates that PBP-3–specific β lactam agents induce high levels of free endotoxin in acute, severe melioidosis when compared with PBP-2–specific agents. This excess endotoxin release was not associated with delayed resolution of fever or longer hospitalization and did not affect overall mortality. Endotoxin from *B. pseudomallei* is probably not of major pathophysiologic importance in melioidosis. Whether the lack of clinical consequences of differential antibiotic-induced endotoxin release observed in this study can be

 Table 5.
 Peak cytokine and endotoxin levels after first dose, by drug treatment.

Substance, pg/mL	Ceftazidime	Imipenem	Р
ΓΝΓ-α	10 (0-37.6)	13.7 (3.1-30.9)	.62
IL-6	291.9 (139.0-1366.0)	594.8 (70.8-4305.0)	.36
IL-10	36.9 (10.8-301.6)	39.6 (12.8-348.1)	.74
Endotoxin	725.8 (231.8-2477.2)	146 (54.2-895.0)	.008

NOTE. Data are median (interquartile range). TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IL, interleukin.

generalized to other microbial causes of gram-negative sepsis remains to be determined.

Acknowledgments

We thank the director and the medical and nursing staff of Sappasitprasong Hospital, Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand, for their support and assistance. Drs. Paul Newton, Yupin Suputtamongkol, and Petey Laohaburanakit helped to enroll patients into these studies, and Vanaporn Wuthiekanun and Paul Howe provided microbiology support. This study was part of the Wellcome–Mahidol University, Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Programme, funded by the Wellcome Trust of Great Britain.

References

- Leelarasamee A, Bovornkitti S. Melioidosis: review and update. Rev Infect Dis 1989; 11:413–25.
- Sanford JP. Pseudomonas species (including melioidosis and glanders). In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, eds. Mandell, Douglas and Bennett's principles and practice of infectious diseases. 4th ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone, 1995:2003–9.
- Chaowagul W, White NJ, Dance DAB, et al. Melioidosis: a major cause of community-acquired septicemia in northeastern Thailand. J Infect Dis 1989; 159:890–8.
- Currie B, Howard D, Nguyen VT, Withnall K, Merianos A. The 1990–1991 outbreak of melioidosis in the Northern Territory of Australia: clinical aspects. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 1993:24:436–43.
- White NJ, Dance DAB, Chaowagul W, Wattanagoon Y, Wuthiekanun V, Pitakwatchara N. Halving of mortality of severe melioidosis by ceftazidime. Lancet 1989;2:697–701.
- Sookpranee M, Boonma P, Susaengrat W, Bhuripanyo K, Punyagupta S. Multicenter prospective randomized trial comparing ceftazidime plus cotrimoxazole with chloramphenicol plus doxycycline and co-trimoxazole for treatment of severe melioidosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1992; 36:158–62.
- Suputtamongkol Y, Rajchanuwong A, Chaowagul W, et al. Ceftazidime vs. amoxicillin/clavulanate in the treatment of severe melioidosis. Clin Infect Dis 1994; 19:846–53.
- Chaowagul W. Melioidosis: a treatment challenge. Scand J Infect Dis Suppl 1996; 101:14–6.
- Jackson JJ, Kropp H. Beta-lactam antibiotic-induced release of free endotoxin: in vitro comparison of penicillin-binding protein (PBP)-2-specific imipenem and PBP-3-specific ceftazidime. J Infect Dis 1992; 165:1033–41.
- Dofferhoff ASM, Potthoff H, Bon VJJ, et al. The release of endotoxin, TNF, and IL-6 during the antibiotic treatment of experimental gram-negative sepsis. J Endotoxin Res 1995;2:37–44.
- Smith MD, Wuthiekanun V, Walsh AL, White NJ. Susceptibility of *Pseudomonas pseudomallei* to some newer β-lactam antibiotics and antibiotic combinations using time-kill studies. J Antimicrob Chemother **1994**; 33: 145–9.
- Horn DL, Opal SM, Lomastro E. Antibiotics, cytokines, and endotoxin: a complex and evolving relationship in gram-negative sepsis. Scand J Infect Dis Suppl 1996; 101:9–13.
- Bucklin SE, Morrison DC. Differences in therapeutic efficacy among cell wall-active antibiotics in a mouse model of gram-negative sepsis. J Infect Dis 1995;172:1519–27.
- 14. Dofferhoff ASM, Nijland JH, deVries-Hospers HG, et al. Effects of different

types and combinations of antimicrobial agents on endotoxin release from gram-negative bacteria: an in-vitro and in-vivo study. Scand J Infect Dis **1991**: 23:745–54.

- Shenep JL, Flynn PN, Barrelt FF, et al. Serial quantitation of endotoxemia and bacteremia during therapy for gram-negative bacterial sepsis. J Infect Dis 1988; 157:565–8.
- Maury E, Barakett V, Blanchard H, et al. Circulating endotoxin during initial antibiotic treatment for severe gram-negative bacteremic infections. J Infect Dis 1998;178:270–3.
- Hopkin DA. Too rapid destruction of gram-negative organisms. Lancet 1977; 2:603–4.
- Prins JM, van Agtmael MA, Kuijper EJ, van Deventer SJH, Speelman P. Antibiotic-induced endotoxin release in patients with gram-negative urosepsis: a double-blind study comparing imipenem and ceftazidime. J Infect Dis 1995;172:886–91.
- Prins JM, van Deventer SJH, Kuiper EJ, Speelman P. Clinical relevance of antibiotic-induced endotoxin release. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1994; 38:1211–8.
- 20. Byl B, Clevenbergh P, Kentos A, et al. Clinical relevance of the antibioticinduced endotoxin release during the treatment of gram-negative infection: a randomized prospective study. In: Program and abstracts of the Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, San Diego, California. Washington, DC: ASM Publishers, **1998**:38.
- Simpson AJH, Suputtamongkol Y, Smith MD, et al. Comparison of imipenem and ceftazidime as therapy for severe melioidosis. Clin Infect Dis 1999; 29:381–7.
- Walsh AL, Wuthiekanun V. The laboratory diagnosis of melioidosis. Br J Biomed Sci 1996; 53:249–53.
- Working Party on Antibiotic Sensitivity Testing of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. A guide to sensitivity testing. J Antimicrob Chemother 1991;27(Suppl D):1–50.
- Suputtamongkol Y, Kwiatkowski D, Dance DAB, Chaowagul W, White NJ. Tumor necrosis factor in septicemic melioidosis. J Infect Dis 1992;165: 561–4.
- Rajchanuvong A, Chaowagul W, Suputtamongkol Y, Smith MD, Dance DAB, White NJ. A prospective comparison of co-amoxiclav and the combination of chloramphenicol, doxycycline, and co-trimoxazole for the oral maintenance treatment of melioidosis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1995; 89:546–9.
- Chaowagul W, Suputtamongkol Y, Dance DAB, Rajchanuvong A, Pattara-Arechachai J, White NJ. Relapse in melioidosis: incidence and risk factors. J Infect Dis 1993;168:1181–5.
- Suputtamongkol Y, Hall AJ, Dance DAB, et al. The epidemiology of melioidosis in Ubon Ratchatani, northeast Thailand. Int J Epidemiol 1994; 23:1082–90.
- Perry MB, MacLean LL, Schollaardt T, Bryan LE, Ho M. Structural characterization of the lipopolysaccharide O antigens of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Infect Immun 1995;63:3348–52.
- Leeson NC, Fujihara I, Morrison DL. Evidence for lipopolysaccharide (LPS) as the predominant pro-inflammatory mediator in supernatants of antibiotic-treated bacteria. Infect Immun 1994;62:4975–80.
- 30. Galpine JF. Chloramphenicol in typhoid fever. BMJ 1949;2:1047-8.
- Gardlund B, Siölin J, Nilsson A, Roll M, Wickerts CJ, Wretlind B. Plasma levels of cytokines in primary septic shock in humans: correlation with disease severity. J Infect Dis **1995**; 172:296–301.
- Matsuura M, Kawahara K, Ezaki T, Nakano M. Biological activities of lipopolysaccharide of *Burkholderia (Pseudomonas) pseudomallei*. FEMS Microbiol Lett **1996**;137:79–83.
- Opal SM, Yu RL Jr. Antiendotoxin strategies for the prevention and treatment of septic shock: new approaches and future directions. Drugs 1998; 55:497–508.