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# Orthogonal Polynomials and Laurent Polynomials Related to the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel Function 


#### Abstract

H. T. Koelink and W. Van Assche

Abstract. Laurent polynomials related to the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function, which are $q$-analogues of the Lommel polynomials, have been introduced by Koelink and Swarttouw. The explicit strong moment functional with respect to which the Laurent $q$ Lommel polynomials are orthogonal is given. The strong moment functional gives rise to two positive definite moment functionals. For the corresponding sets of orthogonal polynomials, the orthogonality measure is determined using the three-term recurrence relation as a starting point. The relation between Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind and the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials and related functions is used to obtain estimates for the latter.


## 1. Introduction and Motivation

The Lommel polynomials are orthogonal polynomials closely related to the Bessel function. Although the Lommel polynomials have a representation involving a hypergeometric ${ }_{2} F_{3}$-series, they do not fit into Askey's scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials. The reason for this is that the orthogonality measure for the Lommel polynomials is supported on the set consisting of one over the zeros of a Bessel function, which are not explicitly known in general. So there is no Rodrigues formula or difference equation for the Lommel polynomials.

The Bessel function $J_{v}(z)$ of order $v$ and argument $z$ is given by the absolutely convergent series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{v}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}(z / 2)^{v+2 k}}{k!\Gamma(v+k+1)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties of this special function are well understood; see, e.g., the book on Bessel functions by Watson [24]. A simple recurrence relation for the Bessel functions is (cf.

[^0][24, §3.2(1)])
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{v+1}(z)=\frac{2 v}{z} J_{v}(z)-J_{v-1}(z) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

From iteration of (1.2) we see that we can express $J_{v+m}(z)$ in terms of $J_{v}(z)$ and $J_{v-1}(z)$ and the coefficients of $J_{v}(z)$ and $J_{\nu-1}(z)$ are polynomials in $z^{-1}$. This was first observed by Lommel in 1871. Explicitly, we have [24, §9.6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{v+m}(z)=h_{m, v}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) J_{\nu}(z)-h_{m-1, v+1}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) J_{v-1}(z), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{m, v}(z)$ are the Lommel polynomials, which are also known as associated Lommel polynomials. The Lommel polynomials satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m+1, v}(z)=2 z(m+v) h_{m, v}(z)-h_{m-1, v}(z), \quad h_{-1, v}(z)=0, \quad h_{0, v}(z)=1 . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Favard's theorem [7, Ch. II, thm. 6.4] implies that the Lommel polynomials are orthogonal polynomials with respect to a positive weight function for $v>0$. The explicit orthogonality relations are [7, Ch. VI, §6], [9], [10], [16], [21],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1}\right)^{2}} h_{m, \nu}\left(\frac{ \pm 1}{j_{k}^{v-1}}\right) h_{n, \nu}\left(\frac{ \pm 1}{j_{k}^{\nu-1}}\right)=\frac{\delta_{n, m}}{2(\nu+n)}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{k}^{\nu}, \nu>-1$, are the positive zeros of the Bessel function $J_{\nu}(z)$ numbered increasingly [24, Ch. 15]. The squared norm of (1.5) is not correct in [7] and [9].

Another relation between the Lommel polynomials and the Bessel function is given by Hurwitz's asymptotic formula [24, 9.65(1)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(2 z)^{1-\nu-m} h_{m, v}(z)}{\Gamma(\nu+m)} \longrightarrow J_{\nu-1}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad m \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Bessel function (1.1) there exist several $q$-analogues. The oldest $q$-analogues for the Bessel function were introduced by Jackson in a series of papers in 1903-1905 (see the references in [16]). For the Jackson $q$-Bessel function, Ismail [16] introduced the associated $q$-Lommel polynomials, which turned out to satisfy an orthogonality relation similar to (1.5), but involving the zeros of the Jackson $q$-Bessel function. Ismail used these $q$-Lommel polynomials to prove that the zeros of the Jackson $q$-Bessel functions behave like the zeros of the Bessel function.

A more recent $q$-analogue of the Bessel function was introduced by Hahn in a special case and by Exton in full generality (see the references in [19]). The zeros of the HahnExton $q$-Bessel function and several associated $q$-analogues of the Lommel polynomial have been studied by Koelink and Swarttouw [18]. The zeros of the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function behave like the zeros of the Bessel function. In that paper [18], a $q$-analogue of the Lommel polynomials was introduced. However, this $q$-analogue of the Lommel polynomial is no longer a polynomial, but a Laurent polynomial. One of the goals of this paper is to give an explicit orthogonality measure for these orthogonal Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials.

The Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials are defined by [18, prop. 4.3 with $R_{m, v}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)=$ $\left.h_{m, v}(z ; q)\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m+1, v}(x ; q)=\left(\frac{1}{x}+x\left(1-q^{\nu+m}\right)\right) h_{m, v}(x ; q)-h_{m-1, v}(x ; q) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $h_{-1, v}(x ; q)=0, h_{0, \nu}(x ; q)=1$. A second independent solution of (1.7) is given by $h_{m-1, v+1}(x ; q)$. Note that taking the limit $q \uparrow 1$ in (1.7) after replacing $x$ by $2 z /(1-q)$ gives (1.4). The Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials originate from a relation similar to (1.3); see Proposition 3.1.

The explicit orthogonality relations for the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials $h_{m, \nu}(x ; q)$ defined in (1.7) is derived in Section 3. The method of proof is based on the existence of asymptotically well-behaved solutions of (1.7) reminiscent of $J_{v+m}(x)$, cf. (1.3). The method used by Dickinson [9] to prove (1.5) can then be adapted to our situation. The orthogonality measure gives rise to a strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$; i.e., a functional on the space of Laurent polynomials so that all moments $\mathcal{L}\left(x^{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{Z}$, exist. From $\mathcal{L}$ we obtain two moment functionals $\mathcal{L}_{ \pm}$, as considered in, e.g., [7, Ch. 1], by putting $\mathcal{L}_{+}\left(x^{n}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(x^{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{-}\left(x^{n}\right)=-\mathcal{L}\left(x^{-2-n}\right), n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$. (The 2 has to do with the fact that all moment functionals are symmetric.) It turns out that both $\mathcal{L}_{+}$and $\mathcal{L}_{-}$are positive definite moment functionals.

The orthogonal polynomials for $\mathcal{L}_{+}$are $q$-analogues of the Lommel polynomials and the support of the orthogonality measure consists of the origin and one over the zeros of a Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function, where the mass at zero is strictly positive. This is worked out in detail in Section 4, where we use Dickinson's method [9] once more. In Section 5 , we study the orthogonal polynomials for $\mathcal{L}_{-}$. We give explicit expressions for these polynomials in terms of Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials, which can be used to determine the asymptotic behavior as the degree tends to infinity. The asymptotic behavior is expressed in terms of a function $j_{\nu}(x ; q)$ closely related to the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function. Since we can do this for the associated polynomials as well, we have the Stieltjes transform of the orthogonality measure from which the orthogonality follows. Using the results of Section 5 , we can simplify the expression for the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ using a Wronskian type formula. This is done in Section 6.

For $q=0$, or for $v \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $U_{m}\left(\left(x+x^{-1}\right) / 2\right)$, where $U_{m}$ denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind, satisfies (1.7) with the same initial conditions. So we can view the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials $h_{m, v}(x ; q)$ as a perturbation of the Chebyshev polynomials. This point of view allows us to obtain estimates for the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials, the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function, and the related function $j_{v}(x ; q)$. This is done in Section 7.

Finally, in Section 2 we show that the general theory of orthogonal Laurent polynomials presents us with an existence theorem for the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$. We also state a result concerning the zeros of the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials.

To end this introduction we briefly recall the notation for basic (or $q$ )-hypergeometric series. We follow the standard notation of Gasper and Rahman [11, Ch. 1]. We take $0<q<1$ for the rest of the paper. A $q$-shifted factorial is a product defined by

$$
(a ; q)_{k}=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(1-a q^{i}\right), \quad a \in \mathbf{C}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}
$$

where the empty product equals 1 by definition. Since $0<q<1$, we can take $k \rightarrow \infty$ to get $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(a ; q)_{k}=(a ; q)_{\infty}$. A basic (or $q-$ ) hypergeometric series is

$$
\begin{align*}
& r \varphi_{s}\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \\
b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}
\end{array} q, z\right)={ }_{r} \varphi_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} ; q, z\right) \\
&  \tag{1.8}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a_{1} ; q\right)_{k} \ldots\left(a_{r} ; q\right)_{k}}{(q ; q)_{k}\left(b_{1} ; q\right)_{k} \ldots\left(b_{s} ; q\right)_{k}}\left((-1)^{k} q^{\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)}\right)^{1+s-r} z^{k}
\end{align*}
$$

For generic values of the parameters, the radius of convergence of the series in (1.8) is $0,1, \infty$, corresponding to $r>s+1, r=s+1, r<s+1$.

## 2. Orthogonal Laurent Polynomials

In this section, we apply some of the theory of orthogonal Laurent polynomials to the Laurent polynomials $h_{m, \nu}(x ; q)$ to obtain the existence of a strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$-i.e., a linear functional on the space of Laurent polynomials for which the moments $\mathcal{L}\left(x^{m}\right)$ exist for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}$-for which the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials are orthogonal. We use the paper by Hendriksen and van Rossum [15] as the main reference for this section. The recurrence relation, as in (2.1), has been generalised to a wider class of recurrence relations by Ismail and Masson [17] by replacing $x$ in front of the $V_{m-l, v}(x)$ by $\left(x-a_{m}\right)$, for which they prove a Favard-type theorem. Specialization to the case considered here yields the Favard-type theorem contained in Hendriksen and van Rossum [15]. For further information concerning this section, the reader may consult the introductory paper by Cochran and Cooper [8].

From the recurrence relation (1.7), it follows that $h_{m, v}(x ; q)$ is an even function for even $m$ and an odd function for odd $m$. Consequently, $x^{m} h_{m, v}(x ; q)$ is a polynomial in $x^{2}$, which we denote by $V_{m, v}\left(x^{2}\right)=x^{m} h_{m, v}(x ; q)$. For $V_{m}$, we obtain from (1.7) the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m+1, \nu}(x)=\left(1+x\left(1-q^{\nu+m}\right)\right) V_{m, v}(x)-x V_{m-1, v}(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $V_{-1, \nu}(x)=0, V_{0, v}(x)=1[15,(2.2)]$. The Favard-type theorem [15, thm. 1.1] implies that for the Laurent polynomials $Q_{n}(x)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{2 n}(x) & =x^{-n} V_{2 n, v}(x)=h_{2 n, v}(\sqrt{x} ; q) \\
Q_{2 n+1}(x) & =x^{-n-1} V_{2 n+1, v}(x)=x^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_{2 n+1, v}(\sqrt{x} ; q)
\end{aligned}
$$

there exists a strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(Q_{n} Q_{m}\right)=0$ for $n \neq m$.
If we form the lacunary Laurent polynomials [15, (1.16)], we get the Laurent polynomials $P_{2 m}(x)=h_{m, v}(x ; q), P_{2 m+1}(x)=x^{-1} h_{m, v}(x ; q)$. The lacunary Laurent polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ defined by $\mathcal{L}\left(x^{2 n}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(x^{n}\right), \mathcal{L}\left(x^{2 n+1}\right)=0$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ [15, prop. III]. So the orthogonality relations for the even lacunary Laurent polynomials gives

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(h_{n, \nu}(x ; q) h_{m . \nu}(x ; q)\right) \begin{cases}=0, & n \neq m  \tag{2.2}\\ \neq 0, & n=m\end{cases}
$$

But we also have the orthogonality for the odd lacunary Laurent polynomials,

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(x^{-2} h_{n, v}(x ; q) h_{m, v}(x ; q)\right) \begin{cases}=0, & n \neq m  \tag{2.3}\\ \neq 0, & n=m\end{cases}
$$

The space $\Lambda_{n}$ of Laurent polynomials of the form $\sum_{p=-n}^{n} c_{p} x^{p_{\text {w }}}$ is $(2 n+1)$ dimensional, $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$. The Laurent polynomials $h_{m, v}(x ; q), m=0,1, \ldots, n$, form an ( $n+1$ )-dimensional subspace of $\Lambda_{n}$. Moreover, they form an orthogonal basis for this subspace with respect to $\mathcal{L}$. Equation (2.3) states that this orthogonal basis can be complemented with $x^{-1} h_{m, \nu}(x ; q), m=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, to give an orthogonal basis of $\Lambda_{n}$ with respect to $\mathcal{L} . \mathcal{L}\left(x^{-1} h_{m, v}(x ; q) h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right)=0$ follows immediately from $\mathcal{L}\left(x^{2 p+1}\right)=0$.

Remark 2.1. For orthonormal polynomials, the three-term recurrence relation can be used to prove that the zeros of the orthonormal polynomials correspond precisely to the eigenvalues of a truncated Jacobi matrix. A similar approach can be used here. Define coefficients by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x V_{n, \nu}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} c_{n, k} V_{k, v}(x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the matrix $H_{n}=\left(c_{i, j}\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$ is a Hessenberg matrix, i.e., $c_{i, j}=0$ for $i+1<j$. Using (2.4) in (2.1) gives recurrence relations for the matrix elements $c_{i, j}$, which can be solved to give

$$
c_{n, k}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1-q^{\nu+n}}, & \text { if } k=n+1,  \tag{2.5}\\ \frac{\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{k-1} q^{v+k-1}}{\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{n+1}}, & \text { if } 0<k \leq n, \\ \frac{-1}{\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{n+1}}, & \text { if } k=0 .\end{cases}
$$

Note that each row sum of $H_{n}$, except the last, equals zero.
Introduce the vector $w_{n}(x)=\left(V_{0, v}(x), V_{1, v}(x), \ldots, V_{n-1, v}(x)\right)^{t}$; then we see from (2.1) that $H_{n} w_{n}(x)=x w_{n}(x)$ if $V_{n, v}(x)=0$. So a zero $x$ of $V_{n, v}$ implies that $H_{n}$ has an eigenvector for the eigenvalue $x$. It is also possible to prove that an eigenvalue $x$ of $H_{n}$ implies that $V_{n, v}(x)=0$, which can be proved by showing that the characteristic polynomial of $H_{n}$ times the normalisation constant $(-1)^{n}\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{n}$ satisfies (2.1). So we conclude that the zeros of $V_{n, v}(x)$, and hence the zeros of the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$, are completely determined by the spectrum of the Hessenberg matrix $H_{n}$.

## 3. Minimal Solutions and Orthogonality Relations

In this section, we give an explicit formulation for the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ introduced in the previous section. We describe $\mathcal{L}$ in terms of contour integrals, where
the integrands depend on the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function and on a function closely related to the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function. These functions give rise to two other solutions of the recurrence relation (1.7), but with prescribed behavior for $m \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of orthogonality of the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials for $\mathcal{L}$ uses a method already introduced by Dickinson [9] to prove the orthogonality relations (1.5) for the Lommel polynomials.

Using a generating function argument, the following explicit expressions for the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials have been derived in [18, (4.23)] from the recurrence relation (1.7)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
h_{m, \nu}(x ; q) & =\sum_{n=0}^{m} x^{m-2 n} \frac{\left(q^{n+1} ; q\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{(q ; q)_{\infty}\left(q^{\nu+m-n} ; q\right)_{\infty}} 2 \varphi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
q^{-n}, q^{\nu+m-n} \\
q^{\nu}
\end{array} q, q^{n+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{m} x^{m-2 n}{ }_{2} \varphi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
q^{n-m}, q^{n+1} \\
q
\end{array} ; q, q^{\nu+m-n}\right. \tag{3.2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function is defined by

$$
J_{\nu}(x ; q)=\frac{\left(q^{\nu+1} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{(q ; q)_{\infty}} x^{\nu}{ }_{1} \varphi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{3.3}\\
q^{v+1}
\end{array} ; q, q x^{2}\right) ;
$$

the following $q$-analogue of Hurwitz's formula (1.6) then holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x^{-m} h_{m, v}(x ; q)=\frac{(q ; q)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{-2} ; q\right)_{\infty}} x^{\nu-1} J_{\nu-1}\left(\frac{1}{x} ; q\right), \quad|x|>1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (3.4) has been proved formally in [18, (4.24)] from (3.1), but it follows from their proof that it is valid only for $|x|>1$.

In order to state the asymptotic behavior of the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials inside the circle we introduce the function

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{\nu}(x ; q) & =x^{\nu}\left(q x^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty 1} \varphi_{1}\left(0 ; q x^{2} ; q, q^{\nu+1} x^{2}\right) \\
& =x^{\nu}\left(q^{v+1} x^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty} \mid \varphi_{1}\left(0 ; q^{v+1} x^{2} ; q, q x^{2}\right), \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use $(x ; q)_{\infty} \varphi_{1}(0 ; x ; q, y)=(y ; q)_{\infty} \varphi_{1}(0 ; y ; q, x)[19,(2.3)]$. This function is related to the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function in the following way

$$
x^{-v} j_{\nu}(x ; q)=\left.(q ; q)_{\infty}\left(x^{-\mu} J_{\mu}(x ; q)\right)\right|_{\mu=v+2 \ln x / \ln q}
$$

Now we can use (3.2) to obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x^{m} h_{m, v}(x ; q) & =\sum_{n=0}^{m} x^{2 m-2 n}{ }_{2} \varphi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
q^{n-m}, q^{n+1} \\
q
\end{array} q, q^{v+m-n}\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

and by dominated convergence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x^{m} h_{m, v}(x ; q) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{2 n}{ }_{2} \varphi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
q^{-n}, 0 \\
q
\end{array}{ }^{2}, q, q^{v+n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\nu l}}{(q ; q)_{l}(q ; q)_{l}} \sum_{n=l}^{\infty}\left(q^{-n} ; q\right)_{l} q^{n l} x^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from interchanging the summations, which is allowed for $|x|<1$. The inner sum can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\left(q^{-p-l} ; q\right)_{l} x^{2(p+l)} q^{l(p+l)} & =x^{2 l}(-1)^{l} q^{\frac{1}{2} l(l-1)} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\left(q^{p+l} ; q^{-1}\right)_{l} x^{2 p} \\
& =x^{2 l}(-1)^{l} q^{\frac{1}{2} l(l-1)}(q ; q)_{l} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(q^{l+1} ; q\right)_{p}}{(q ; q)_{p}} x^{2 p} \\
& =x^{2 l}(-1)^{l} q^{\frac{1}{2} l(l-1)} \frac{(q ; q)_{l}}{\left(x^{2} ; q\right)_{l+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the $q$-binomial theorem [11, (1.3.2)]. This leads to the result

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x^{m} h_{m, v}(x ; q) & =\frac{1}{1-x^{2}} 1 \varphi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
q x^{2}
\end{array} ; q, q^{\nu} x^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{x^{1-v}}{\left(x^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty}} j_{v-1}(x ; q), \quad|x|<1 \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 3.1. The functions $J_{v+m}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)$ and $j_{v+m}(x ; q)$ satisfy the recurrence relation (1.7). Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{v+m}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right) & =h_{m, v}(x ; q) J_{v}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)-h_{m-1, v+1}(x ; q) J_{v-1}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right), \\
j_{v+m}(x ; q) & =h_{m, v}(x ; q) j_{v}(x ; q)-h_{m-1, v+1}(x ; q) j_{v-1}(x ; q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $h_{m, \nu}(x ; q)$ and $h_{m-1, v+1}(x ; q)$ are linearly independent solutions of the recurrence relation (1.7), the last statement of the proposition implies the first. Also, if $J_{\nu+m}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)$ and $j_{v+m}(x ; q)$ satisfy (1.7), then they must be a linear combination of $h_{m, v}(x ; q)$ and $h_{m-1, v+1}(x ; q)$, from which the second statement follows by considering the cases $m=0$ and $m=-1$.

The last statement for $J_{\nu+m}$ has already been proved in [18, (4.12)], so it remains to consider $j_{v+m}$. The second order $q$-difference equation for the ${ }_{1} \varphi_{1}$-series-or by taking a suitable limit in [18, (4.14)] in combination with (3.6)-reveals that

$$
j_{v+1}(x ; q)=\left(\frac{1}{x}+x\left(1-q^{\nu}\right)\right) j_{v}(x ; q)-j_{v-1}(x ; q)
$$

Replacing $v$ by $v+m$ proves the statement.

Remark. (a) The solutions $J_{v+m}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)$ and $j_{v+m}(x ; q)$ of (1.7) have the following asymptotic behavior for $m \rightarrow \infty$ valid for $x \in \mathbf{C}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x^{m+v} J_{v+m}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right) & =\frac{\left(q x^{-2} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{(q ; q)_{\infty}}  \tag{3.7}\\
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x^{-m-v} j_{v+m}(x ; q) & =\left(q x^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $x^{ \pm m}$ are solutions of (1.7) for $m \rightarrow \infty$ (or for $q=0$ ). So the solutions $J_{v+m}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)$ and $j_{v+m}(x ; q)$ behave as $x^{\mp m}$ up to a factor independent of $m$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
(b) The functions $J_{v+m}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)$ and $j_{v+m}(x ; q)$ are related to a minimal solution $X_{m}(x)$ of (2.1); i.e., $X_{m}(x)$ is a solution such that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} X_{m}(x) / V_{m, v}(x)=0$, where $V_{m, v}(x)$ is the polynomial solution of (2.1). Using the limit transitions (3.4) and (3.6) and the relations in Proposition 3.1, we obtain

$$
X_{m}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
j_{v}(\sqrt{x} ; q) V_{m, v}(x)-x^{\frac{1}{2}} j_{v-1}(\sqrt{x} ; q) & V_{m-1, v+1}(x) \\
& =x^{\frac{1}{2} m} j_{v+m}(\sqrt{x} ; q), & |x|<1 \\
J_{v}(1 / \sqrt{x} ; q) V_{m, v}(x)-x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{v-1}(1 / \sqrt{x} ; q) V_{m-1, v+1}(x) & \\
& =x^{\frac{1}{2} m} J_{v+m}(1 / \sqrt{x} ; q), & |x|>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

With the functions $J_{v}(x ; q)$ and $j_{v}(x ; q)$ and their relation with the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials described in Proposition 3.1 at hand, we can give an explicit expression for the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$. The proof we give is an adaption to the Laurent case of Dickinson's proof of the orthogonality (1.5) of the Lommel polynomials [9].

First we investigate the quotient of two Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel functions.
Lemma 3.2. For $v>0$, the following expansion holds around 0 for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$:

$$
\frac{J_{v+n}(x ; q)}{J_{v-1}(x ; q)}=\frac{x^{n+1}}{\left(q^{v} ; q\right)_{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{2 k}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{k}$ are recursively defined by $c_{0}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\frac{(-1)^{k} q^{\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)}}{\left(q^{v+n+1} ; q\right)_{k}(q ; q)_{k}}-\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} c_{p} \frac{(-1)^{k-p} q^{\frac{1}{2}(k-p)(k-p+1)}}{\left(q^{v} ; q\right)_{k}(q ; q)_{k-p}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (3.3) we immediately get

$$
\frac{J_{v+n}(x ; q)}{J_{v-1}(x ; q)}=\frac{x^{n+1}}{\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{n+1}} \frac{1 \varphi_{1}\left(0 ; q^{v+n+1} ; q, q x^{2}\right)}{{ }_{1} \varphi_{1}\left(0 ; q^{v} ; q, q x^{2}\right)}
$$

so we have to solve for the coefficients $c_{k}$ by comparing powers of $x$ on both sides of

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{2 k} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p} q^{\frac{1}{2} p(p+1)} x^{2 p}}{\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{p}(q ; q)_{p}}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} q^{\frac{1}{2} m(m+1)} x^{2 m}}{\left(q^{\nu+n+1} ; q\right)_{m}(q ; q)_{m}}
$$

from which the recurrence relation (3.8) for the coefficients $c_{k}$ is obtained.

A rough estimate gives

$$
\left|\frac{(-1)^{k} q^{\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)}}{\left(q^{v+n+1} ; q\right)_{r}(q ; q)_{r}}\right| \leq A=\frac{1}{\left(q^{v} ; q\right)_{\infty}(q ; q)_{\infty}}
$$

for $v>0$. The same estimate applies to the factor in front of $c_{n}$ on the right-hand side of (3.8); thus, we obtain

$$
\left|c_{k}\right| \leq A+\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} A\left|c_{n}\right|
$$

A discrete version of Gronwall's inequality [23, p. 440],

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k} \leq A+\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} d_{n} a_{n}, \text { and } A, a_{n}, d_{n} \geq 0 \Longrightarrow a_{n} \leq A \exp \left(\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} d_{n}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields $\left|c_{k}\right| \leq A e^{k A}$; thus, the series on the right-hand side of the statement of the lemma is absolutely convergent for $|x|<e^{-A / 2}$.

Choose $0<R<j_{1}^{\nu-1}$, where $j_{1}^{\nu-1}$ denotes the smallest positive zero of $J_{\nu-1}(x ; q)$, $v>0$, cf. [18, sect. 3]. Using Lemma 3.2, we obtain for $v>0, m \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=1 / R} z^{m} \frac{J_{v+n}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)} d z= \begin{cases}0, & m-n \text { odd or } m<n  \tag{3.10}\\ \left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{n+1}^{-1}, & m=n\end{cases}
$$

Note that the coefficients $c_{k}$ of Lemma 3.2 for $n=0$ are in fact the moments of the linear functional $\mathcal{L}_{+}$defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{+}\left(x^{m}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=1 / R} z^{m} \frac{J_{v}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)} d z= \begin{cases}0, & m \in \mathbf{Z}_{+} \text {odd }  \tag{3.11}\\ c_{m / 2}, & m \in \mathbf{Z}_{+} \text {even }\end{cases}
$$

We will return to this moment functional in Section 4 and calculate the corresponding orthogonal polynomials, which turn out to be $q$-analogues of the Lommel polynomials.

The following lemma is the analogue of Lemma 3.2 for the functions $j_{v}(x ; q)$ instead of the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function.

Lemma 3.3. For $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{R}$, the following expansion holds around 0 for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$:

$$
\frac{j_{v+n}(x ; q)}{j_{v-1}(x ; q)}=x^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k} x^{2 k}
$$

where the coefficients $d_{k}$ are recursively defined by $d_{0}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}={ }_{2} \varphi_{1}\left(q^{-k}, 0 ; q ; q, q^{\nu+n+1+k}\right)-\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} d_{p 2} \varphi_{1}\left(q^{p-k}, 0 ; q ; q, q^{v+k-p}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.2 and we only give the differences. Here use the expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)} \varphi_{1}\left(0 ; q x^{2} ; q, q^{\nu+1} x^{2}\right) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} q^{\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)}}{(q ; q)_{k}\left(x^{2} ; q\right)_{k+1}} q^{(\nu+1) k} x^{2 k} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} q^{\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)}}{(q ; q)_{k}} q^{(\nu+1) k} x^{2 k} \frac{\left(q^{k+1} ; q\right)_{l}}{(q ; q)_{l}} x^{2 l} \\
& =\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} x^{2 p}{ }_{2} \varphi_{1}\left(q^{-p}, 0 ; q ; q, q^{\nu+1+p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is valid for $|x|<1$ by the $q$-binomial theorem, and rearrange the absolutely convergent sum using $l=p-k$. From this we obtain the recurrence relation (3.12). The general estimate

$$
\left.\right|_{2} \varphi_{1}\left(q^{-p}, 0 ; q ; q, x q^{p}\right) \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{(-q,-|x| ; q)_{\infty}}{(q ; q)_{\infty}}\right.
$$

and Gronwall's inequality (3.9) prove that the sum is absolutely convergent around 0.

Choose $r>0$ so that $j_{v-1}(x ; q)$ has no nonzero zeros in the ball with radius $r$ and the origin as center, which is possible since $\varphi_{1}\left(0 ; q x^{2} ; q, q^{v} x^{2}\right)$ equals 1 at $x=0$ and defines an analytic function for $|x|<q^{-1 / 2}$. Using Lemma 3.3, we obtain, for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=r} z^{m} \frac{j_{v+n}(z ; q)}{j_{v-1}(z ; q)} d z= \begin{cases}0, & m-n \text { odd or } m>-n-2  \tag{3.13}\\ 1, & m=-n-2\end{cases}
$$

The coefficients $d_{k}$ of Lemma 3.3 for $n=0$ can be interpreted as the moments of the moment functional $\mathcal{L}_{-}$defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{-}\left(x^{m}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=\frac{1}{r}} z^{m} \frac{j_{v}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)}{j_{\nu-1}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)} d z= \begin{cases}0, & m \in \mathbf{Z}_{+} \text {odd }  \tag{3.14}\\ d_{m / 2}, & m \in \mathbf{Z}_{+} \text {even }\end{cases}
$$

In Section 5 we consider the orthogonal polynomials for $\mathcal{L}_{-}$from which some properties for $j_{v}(x ; q)$ can be derived.

Define the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ for $v>0$ on the space of Laurent polynomials by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=1 / R} p(z) \frac{J_{v}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)} d z-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=r} p(z) \frac{j_{v}(z ; q)}{j_{v-1}(z ; q)} d z \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any Laurent polynomial $p(z)=\sum_{p=n}^{m} c_{p} z^{p}, n \leq m, n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Note that $\mathcal{L}$ is independent of the choice of $R$ (respectively $r$ ) as long as $J_{v-1}(x ; q)$ (respectively $j_{\nu-1}(x ; q)$ ) has no nonzero zeros in the ball with radius $R$ (respectively $r$ ). All moments of $\mathcal{L}$, both positive and negative, are well defined due to Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.

The moments of the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ and the moments of the moment functionals $\mathcal{L}_{ \pm}$defined in (3.11) and (3.14) are related by $\mathcal{L}_{+}\left(x^{n}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(x^{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$, and by $\mathcal{L}_{-}\left(x^{n}\right)=-\mathcal{L}\left(x^{-2-n}\right), n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $v>0$. The Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ defined by (1.7) are orthogonal Laurent polynomials with respect to the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$; see (3.15). Moreover, the Laurent polynomials $x^{-1} h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ are also orthogonal with respect to L. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\left(h_{n, v}(x ; q) h_{m, v}(x ; q)\right) & =\frac{\delta_{n, m}}{1-q^{v+m}}, \\
\mathcal{L}\left(x^{-1} h_{n, \nu}(x ; q) x^{-1} h_{m, v}(x ; q)\right) & =-\delta_{n, m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. (a) This result corresponds nicely with the fact that the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials correspond to a sequence of lacunary orthogonal Laurent polyomials; see (2.2) and (2.3).
(b) Since $\mathcal{L}\left(x^{-2}\right)=-1$ we see that $\mathcal{L}$ is not a positive definite strong moment functional.

Proof. The asymptotically well-behaved solutions $J_{\nu+n}\left(\dot{x}^{-1} ; q\right)$ and $j_{v+n}(x ; q)$ of the recurrence relation (1.7) are expressible in terms of the Laurent polynomials $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ and the associated Laurent polynomials $h_{n-1, v+1}(x ; q)$; Proposition 3.1. From this we obtain, for any $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, the expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{m} \frac{J_{v+n}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)}=x^{m} \frac{J_{v}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)} h_{n, v}(x ; q)-x^{m} h_{n-1, v+1}(x ; q), \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{m} \frac{j_{v+n}(x ; q)}{j_{v-1}(x ; q)}=x^{m} \frac{j_{v}(x ; q)}{j_{v-1}(x ; q)} h_{n, v}(x ; q)-x^{m} h_{n-1, v+1}(x ; q) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we obviously have

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=\frac{1}{R}} z^{m} h_{n-1, v+1}(z ; q) d z=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=r} z^{m} h_{n-1, v+1}(z ; q) d z
$$

we get, from the combination of (3.16), (3.17), (3.10), and (3.13), the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\left(x^{m} h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right) & = \begin{cases}0, & -n \leq m<n, \\
\left(q^{v} ; q\right)_{n+1}^{-1}, & m=n,\end{cases} \\
\mathcal{L}\left(x^{m} x^{-1} h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right) & = \begin{cases}0, & -n<m \leq n, \\
-1, & m=-n-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the orthogonality.
It remains to calculate the norm. From (3.1) and (3.2), we see that the coefficient of $x^{n}$ in $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ equals $\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{n}$ and that the coefficient of $x^{-n-1}$ in $x^{-1} h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ equals 1 .

## 4. Orthogonal q-Lommel Polynomials Associated with the Positive Moments

In this section, we consider the orthogonal polynomials for the moment functional $\mathcal{L}_{+}$, (3.11), which corresponds to the positive moments of the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$. These polynomials are $q$-analogues of the Lommel polynomials $h_{n, v}(z),(1.4)$.

We consider the following three-term recurrence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n+1}(x)=x\left(1-q^{\nu+n}\right) p_{n}(x)-\lambda_{n} p_{n-1}(x), \quad \lambda_{2 n}=q^{n}, \quad \lambda_{2 n+1}=q^{\nu+3 n+1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $p_{-1}(x)=0$ and $p_{0}(x)=1$. Note that we can write the recurrence coefficient $\lambda_{n}$ in closed form as $q^{(v+n)(\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor-\lfloor n / 2\rfloor)+\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$, where $\lfloor a\rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to $a \in \mathbf{R}$. So the recurrence relation (4.1) depends on whether $n$ is odd or even. Favard's theorem implies that these polynomials are orthogonal with respect to a positive definite moment functional for $v>0$. Taking $q \uparrow 1$ in (4.1) after replacing $x$ by $2 z /(1-q)$, we get the three-term recurrence relation (1.4) for the Lommel polynomials; thus, we have $q$-analogues of the Lommel polynomials. The recurrence relation (4.1) was found by guessing using the explicit form for the positive moments of $\mathcal{L}$-i.e., the moments of $\mathcal{L}_{+}$, obtainable from Lemma 3.2-and calculating the first few terms of the recurrence relation (4.1) using Mathematica.

The monic orthogonal polynomials satisfy a recurrence relation of the type

$$
r_{n+1}(x)=x r_{n}(x)-\mu_{n} r_{n-1}(x), \quad r_{-1}(x)=0, \quad r_{0}(x)=1,
$$

with $\mu_{n}>0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}<\infty$. This type of orthogonal polynomials has been studied by Dickinson, Pollak and Wannier [10]; by Goldberg [14], who corrected some of the results of [10]; and, from the point of view of continued fractions, by Schwartz [21]. See also Chihara [7, Ch. IV, thm. 3.5]. The support of the corresponding orthogonality measure, which is uniquely determined, is a purely discrete denumerable bounded set with only one accumulation point at zero. This result can also be obtained by remarking that the Jacobi matrix $J$ for the corresponding orthonormal polynomials defines a self-adjoint operator $J: \ell^{2}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{+}\right) \rightarrow \ell^{2}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{+}\right)$, which is an operator of trace class. Since the spectral measure of $J$ is the orthogonality measure for the orthogonal polynomials $r_{n}$, the result follows from standard facts on the spectral measure of a self-adjoint trace-class operator. Moreover, for the orthogonal polynomials in this class we have the asymptotic behavior of the form $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{-n} r_{n}(x)=f(x)$ for an analytic function $f$ in $\mathbf{C} \backslash\{0\}$ [10], [14], [21].

We denote by $p_{n}^{(1)}$ the associated orthogonal polynomials-i.e., the polynomials satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{(1)}(x)=x\left(1-q^{v+n}\right) p_{n-1}^{(1)}(x)-\lambda_{n} p_{n-2}^{(1)}(x), \quad \lambda_{2 n}=q^{n}, \quad \lambda_{2 n+1}=q^{v+3 n+1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $p_{-1}^{(1)}(x)=0, p_{0}^{(1)}(x)=1$.
The following proposition is a $q$-analogue of the identity (1.3) relating the Bessel functions and Lommel polynomials.

Proposition 4.1. For $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$, the polynomials defined by (4.1) and (4.2) satisfy

$$
p_{n}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) J_{v}(x ; q)-p_{n-1}^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) J_{\nu-1}(x ; q)=q^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor(n+\nu) / 2} J_{v+n}\left(x q^{\lfloor(n+1) / 21 / 2} ; q\right),
$$

where $J_{\nu}(x ; q)$ denotes the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function (3.3).

Proof. The left-hand side is a solution of the three-term recurrence relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+1}=\frac{1-q^{\nu+n}}{x} a_{n}-\lambda_{n} a_{n-1} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side satisfies the same recurrence relation (4.3). To see this, we use, for even $n$, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-q^{\nu}}{x} J_{v}(x ; q)-J_{v-1}(x ; q)=q^{(\nu+1) / 2} J_{v+1}\left(x q^{1 / 2} ; q\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for odd $n$, we use the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-q^{\nu}}{x} J_{\nu}(x ; q)-q^{(\nu-1) / 2} J_{\nu-1}\left(x q^{-1 / 2} ; q\right)=J_{\nu+1}(x ; q) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

These identities can be checked straightforwardly by comparing the coefficients of the powers of $x$ on both sides of (4.4) and (4.5).

Since $p_{n}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ and $p_{n-1}^{(1)}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ are linearly independent solutions of (4.3), we obtain the proposition after checking the equality for $n=0$, which is trivial, and for $n=1$, which is (4.4).

The polynomials defined by (4.1) turn out to be orthogonal polynomials with respect to the moment functional $\mathcal{L}_{+}$. For more information concerning the zeros of the HahnExton $q$-Bessel function, which play a role in the following theorem, the reader is referred to [18, sect. 3].

Theorem 4.2. We have the following orthogonality relations for $v>0$ for the polynomials defined by (4.1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} & p_{n}\left(\frac{ \pm 1}{j_{k}^{\nu-1}}\right) p_{m}\left(\frac{ \pm 1}{j_{k}^{\nu-1}}\right) \frac{-J_{v}\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)}{\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1}\right)^{2} J_{\nu-1}^{\prime}\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)}+p_{n}(0) p_{m}(0) \\
& =\delta_{n, m} \frac{q^{(n+\nu)\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor}}{1-q^{n+\nu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $j_{k}^{\nu-1}$ are the positive simple zeros of the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function $J_{v-1}(x ; q)$ numbered increasingly. All weights are positive.

Proof. We start, as in the previous section, by establishing a complex orthogonality, following Dickinson's method [9]. For this we need the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor(n+\nu) / 2} \frac{J_{v+n}\left(x q^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor / 2} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}(x ; q)}=q^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor(n+\nu)} \frac{x^{n+1}}{\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{2 k} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is absolutely convergent for small $x$. Moreover, $c_{0}=1$. This is proved as in Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.

Let $R>0$ be smaller than the smallest positive zero $j_{1}^{p-1}$ of $J_{v-1}(x ; q)$; we then obtain from Proposition 4.1 and (4.6), for $0 \leq m \leq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\oint_{|z|=1 / R} & z^{m} p_{n}(z) \frac{J_{v}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)} d z \\
& =\oint_{|z|=1 / R} z^{m} q^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor(n+\nu) / 2} \frac{J_{v+n}\left(z^{-1} q^{L(n+1) / 2\rfloor / 2} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)} d z \\
& = \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leq m<n, \\
2 \pi i q^{(v+n) \backslash(n+1) / 2]}\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{n+1}^{-1}, & m=n,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\oint_{|z|=1 / R} z^{m} p_{n-1}^{(1)}(z) d z=0$. The leading coefficient of $p_{n}$ is $\left(q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{n}$, as can be seen from (4.1), and so we get the complex orthogonality relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{+}\left(p_{n} p_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=1 / R} p_{m}(z) p_{n}(z) \frac{J_{\nu}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)} d z=\delta_{n, m} \frac{q^{(n+v)\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor}}{\left(1-q^{v+n}\right)} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The considerations given at the beginning of this section show that we can rewrite (4.7) as a sum over the zeros of the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function $J_{\nu-1}(z ; q)$ and possibly zero. The residues at the pole $\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1}\right)^{-1}$ of the left-hand side of (4.7) equal

$$
p_{n}\left(\frac{1}{j_{k}^{v-1}}\right) p_{m}\left(\frac{1}{j_{k}^{v-1}}\right) \frac{-J_{v}\left(j_{k}^{v-1} ; q\right)}{\left(j_{k}^{v-1}\right)^{2} J_{\nu-1}^{\prime}\left(j_{k}^{v-1} ; q\right)}
$$

To see this we note that $J_{v-1}^{\prime}\left(j_{k}^{v-1} ; q\right) \neq 0$ since the zeros of $J_{v-1}(x ; q)$ are simple [18, Lemma 3.3] and that $J_{v}\left(j_{k}^{v-1} ; q\right) \neq 0$ by the interlacing property of the zeros of the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function [18, thm. 3.7]. The positivity of the corresponding mass follows from the fact that $J_{v}\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)$ and $J_{v-1}^{\prime}\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)$ have opposite signs, which follows from the Fourier-Bessel orthogonality relations for the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function [18, prop. 3.6] or from the fact that the zeros of the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel functions $J_{v}(x ; q)$ and $J_{v+1}(x ; q)$ are interlaced as described in [18, thm. 3.7]. The mass at $-\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1}\right)^{-1}$ yields the same weight.

The set of mass points $\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1}\right)^{-1}, k \in \mathbf{N}$, has zero as the only point of accumulation, so that zero may occur as a mass point as well. This happens if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\tilde{p}_{k}(0)\right|^{2}<\infty$, where $\tilde{p}_{n}$ are the corresponding orthonormal polynomials [5, thm. 2.8]. Now the orthonomal polynomials $\tilde{p}_{n}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}_{n}(x)=\left(\frac{1-q^{n+\nu}}{1-q^{\nu}}\right)^{1 / 2} q^{-\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor(n+v) / 2} p_{n}(x) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{M}\left(\tilde{p}_{n} \tilde{p}_{m}\right)=\delta_{n, m}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the moment functional given by

$$
\mathcal{M}(p)=\frac{1-q^{v}}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=1 / R} p(z) \frac{J_{v}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)}{J_{v-1}\left(z^{-1} ; q\right)} d z=\left(1-q^{\nu}\right) \mathcal{L}_{+}(p)
$$

From (4.1) with $x=0$ we see that $p_{2 n+1}(0)=0$ and that $p_{2 n}(0)$ satisfies a simple twoterm recurrence relation from which we get $p_{2 n}(0)=(-1)^{n} q^{n(v+1)+3 n(n-1) / 2}$. Combining
this with (4.8) shows that $\tilde{p}_{2 n+1}(0)=0$ and

$$
\tilde{p}_{2 n}(0)=(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{1-q^{\nu+2 n}}{1-q^{\nu}}\right)^{1 / 2} q^{n \nu / 2+n(n-1) / 2}
$$

Hence,

$$
\rho=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\tilde{p}_{k}(0)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{1-q^{\nu}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{\nu+2 n}\right) q^{n \nu+n(n-1)}
$$

and this sum is an absolutely convergent telescoping series; thus, $\rho=\left(1-q^{\nu}\right)^{-1}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{M}$ has a mass point at zero with weight $\rho^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{+}$has a mass point at zero with weight 1.

From the explicit orthogonality relations of Theorem 4.2 , we see that the orthogonality measure for $p_{n}(x)$ is supported in $\left[-1 / j_{1}^{\nu-1}, 1 / j_{1}^{\nu-1}\right]$. On the other hand, from the explicit values of the recurrence coefficients for the orthonormal polynomials $\tilde{p}_{n}$, which are easily obtained from (4.1) and (4.8), and the bound on the spectrum from [23, (1.3) with $n \rightarrow \infty$ ], which is Gershgorin's theorem for the Jacobi matrix, we see that the orthogonality measure is supported in $[-N, N]$ with $N \leq 2 /\left(1-q^{v}\right)$. So we obtain the following corollary after shifting $v$ by 1 .

Corollary 4.3. For $v>-1$, the first positive zero $j_{1}^{\nu}$ of $J_{\nu}(x ; q)$ satisfies $j_{1}^{\nu} \geq(1-$ $\left.q^{v+1}\right) / 2$.

For more information on bounds for the first zero of the Jackson and Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel functions we refer to Kvitsinsky [20, sect. 4] and references therein.

## 5. Orthogonal Polynomials Associated with the Negative Moments

In this section we consider the orthogonal polynomials for the moment functional $\mathcal{L}_{-}$ related to the negative moments of the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ introduced in (3.14). In subsection 5.1, we introduce the three-term recurrence relation for the polynomials we study. The three-term recurrence relation has been obtained by calculating the first few recurrence coefficients using Lemma 3.3 with $n=0$ using Mathematica and then guessing the general result. In subsection 5.1, we give explicit expressions for these orthogonal polynomials and the associated orthogonal polynomials in terms of Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials. From the explicit expressions we can determine the asymptotic behavior of the (associated) polynomials as the degree tends to infinity in terms of the function $j_{v}(x ; q)$. In particular, we obtain the Stieltjes transform of the orthogonality measure. In subsection 5.2, we use the Stieltjes transform to obtain information on the zeros of $j_{v}(x ; q)$ in a similar way as in [16, sect. 4] (see also [2, sect. 4]) and to give explicit orthogonality relations. In subsection 5.3, we give a different derivation of some of these results in the special case $\nu=1 / 2$, which turns out to be related to known orthogonal polynomials [2], [22]. Comparison of these two approaches yields a summation formula for a one-parameter terminating ${ }_{3} \varphi_{2}$-series.

### 5.1. Explicit expressions for orthogonal polynomials

We investigate the monic orthogonal polynomials satisfying the three-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}(x)=x P_{n}(x)-\lambda_{n} P_{n-1}(x), \quad \lambda_{2 n}=q^{n}, \quad \lambda_{2 n+1}=q^{n+\nu} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $P_{-1}(x)=0$ and $P_{0}(x)=1$. By Favard's theorem, the polynomials $P_{n}$ are orthogonal with respect to a positive definite moment functional for $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, the polynomials $P_{n}$ fit into the same class of [10], [14], and [21] described at the beginning of the previous section.

The polynomials $P_{n}$ are even functions of $x$ for even $n$ and odd functions of $x$ for odd $n$. Introduce

$$
P_{2 n}(x)=R_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad P_{2 n+1}(x)=x S_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

so that the monic polynomials $R_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ satisfy the three-term recurrence relations (see [7, p. 45])

$$
R_{n+1}(x)=\left(x-\lambda_{2 n}-\lambda_{2 n+1}\right) R_{n}(x)-\lambda_{2 n-1} \lambda_{2 n} R_{n-1}(x)
$$

and

$$
S_{n+1}(x)=\left(x-\lambda_{2 n+1}-\lambda_{2 n+2}\right) S_{n}(x)-\lambda_{2 n} \lambda_{2 n+1} S_{n-1}(x)
$$

with initial conditions $R_{0}(x)=1, R_{1}(x)=x-q^{\nu}$ and $S_{-1}(x)=0, S_{0}(x)=1$. A simple computation from (5.1) gives the recurrence coefficients for the polynomials $R_{n}$ :

$$
\lambda_{2 n}+\lambda_{2 n+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n} & \text { if } n>0, \\
q^{v} & \text { if } n=0
\end{array}, \quad \lambda_{2 n} \lambda_{2 n-1}=q^{2 n-1+\nu}, \quad n \geq 0\right.
$$

For the recurrence coefficients of $S_{n}$, we find similarly

$$
\lambda_{2 n+1}+\lambda_{2 n+2}=\left(q+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n}, \quad \lambda_{2 n} \lambda_{2 n+1}=q^{2 n+v}, \quad n \geq 0
$$

The recurrence coefficients of $R_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ decrease exponentially.
Consider the monic polynomials $u_{n}(x ; a, b ; q)$ satisfying the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}(x ; a, b ; q)=\left(x-a q^{n}\right) u_{n}(x ; a, b ; q)-b^{2} q^{2 n-2} u_{n-1}(x ; a, b ; q) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u_{-1}(x)=0, u_{0}(x)=1$, which are studied in [22]; then, $S_{n}(x)=u_{n}(x ; q+$ $\left.q^{v}, q^{(v+2) / 2} ; q\right)$. For $R_{n}$ we have to be a little bit more careful, since for $n=0$ one of the recurrence coefficients behaves differently. However, $R_{n}$ is still a solution of the recurrence relation (5.2) with $a=1+q^{\nu}$ and $b^{2}=q^{\nu+1}$, but it satisfies the different initial condition $R_{1}(x)=x-q^{\nu}=u_{1}(x)+1$. Such polynomials are known as co-recursive polynomials [6] and can be expressed as

$$
R_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x ; 1+q^{\nu}, q^{(v+1) / 2} ; q\right)+u_{n-1}^{(\mathrm{I})}\left(x ; 1+q^{\nu}, q^{(v+1) / 2} ; q\right)
$$

The associated polynomials corresponding to the recurrence relation (5.2) are given by $u_{n}^{(1)}(x ; a, b ; q)=u_{n}(x ; a q, b q ; q)=q^{n} u_{n}(x / q ; a, b ; q)$; thus,

$$
R_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x ; 1+q^{\nu}, q^{(\nu+1) / 2} ; q\right)+q^{n-1} u_{n-1}\left(x / q ; 1+q^{v}, q^{(v+1) / 2} ; q\right)
$$

An explicit expression of the polynomials $u_{n}(x ; a, b ; q)$ in terms of Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials is given by Van Assche [22, thm. 2]:

$$
u_{n}(x ; a, b ; q)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{n-k} q^{k(k-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}} P_{k}\left(-a ; q ;-a q^{n-k+1}, b^{2} q^{2(n-k)+1}, b^{2} / q\right)
$$

Here $P_{n}(x ; q ; a, b, c)$ are Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials [1, (6.1)] which satisfy the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{n+1}(x ; q ; a, b, c)= & \left(x-a q^{n}\right) P_{n}(x ; q ; a, b, c)  \tag{5.3}\\
& -\left(c-b q^{n-1}\right)\left(1-q^{n}\right) P_{n-1}(x ; q ; a, b, c) .
\end{align*}
$$

More information, including the orthogonality relations, concerning the Al-SalamChihara polynomials can be found in [5, sect. 3].

Thus, we obtain the explicit expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{n-k} q^{k(k-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}} P_{k}\left(-\left(q+q^{v}\right) ; q ;-\left(1+q^{v-1}\right) q^{n-k+2}, q^{2(n-k)+v+3}, q^{v+1}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n}(x)= & \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{n-k} q^{k(k-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}} P_{k}\left(-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ;-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n-k+1}, q^{2(n-k)+\nu+2}, q^{\nu}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{n-1-k} q^{k(k+1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}} P_{k}\left(-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ;-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n-k}, q^{2(n-1-k)+v+2}, q^{\nu}\right) \\
= & x^{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{x^{n-k} q^{k(k-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}}\left[P_{k}\left(-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ;-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n-k+1}, q^{2(n-k)+v+2}, q^{\nu}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-q^{k}\right) P_{k-1}\left(-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ;-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n-k+1}, q^{2(n-k)+\nu+2}, q^{\nu}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

A generating function for the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials is [1, p. 23]

$$
\Phi(x, z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n}(x ; q ; a, b, c) \frac{z^{n}}{(q ; q)_{n}}=\frac{(\alpha z ; q)_{\infty}(\beta z ; q)_{\infty}}{(\gamma z ; q)_{\infty}(\delta z ; q)_{\infty}}
$$

where $1-a z+b z^{2}=(1-\alpha z)(1-\beta z)$ and $1-x z+c z^{2}=(1-\gamma z)(1-\delta z)$. Take $x=-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right)$ and $c=q^{\nu}$ so that $\gamma=-1$ and $\delta=-q^{\nu}$. Consequently, $(1+z) \Phi\left(z,-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right)\right)$ is the generating function for $x=-\left(q+q^{\nu}\right)$ and $c=q^{\nu+1}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n}\left(-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ; a, b, q^{\nu}\right)+\left(1-q^{n}\right) P_{n-1}\left(-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ; a, b, q^{\nu}\right) \\
& \quad=P_{n}\left(-\left(q+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ; a, b, q^{\nu+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{n-k} q^{k(k-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}} P_{k}\left(-\left(q+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ;-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n-k+1}, q^{2(n-k)+v+2}, q^{\nu+1}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now that we have the explicit expression for the polynomials $P_{n}$ defined in (5.1) at hand, we can determine the asymptotic behavior, which is related to the function $j_{v}(x ; q)$ introduced in (3.5).

Proposition 5.1. For the orthogonal polynomials $P_{n}(x)$ defined by (5.1), we have, for every $x \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{n} P_{n}(1 / x)=x^{1-v} j_{\nu-1}(x ; q)
$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 2 of [22]. For this we need the continuous $q$ Hermite polynomials $H_{n}(x \mid q)$ introduced by Rogers in 1894. The three-term recurrence relation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+1}(x \mid q)=2 x H_{n}(x \mid q)-\left(1-q^{n}\right) H_{n-1}(x \mid q) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $H_{-1}(x \mid q)=0$ and $H_{0}(x \mid q)=1[4$, sect. 6]. From (5.3) and (5.6) we obtain [22, thm. 2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{k}\left(-a ; q ;-a q^{n-k+1}, b^{2} q^{2(n-k)+1}, b^{2} / q\right)=(-1)^{k} b^{k} q^{-k / 2} H_{k}\left(\left.\frac{a q^{1 / 2}}{2 b} \right\rvert\, q\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this limit relation and dominated convergence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{n} R_{n}(1 / x) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{n} S_{n}(1 / x) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} q^{k(k-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}} x^{k} q^{\frac{k}{2}(v+1)} H_{k}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(q^{(1-v) / 2}+q^{(v-1) / 2}\right) \right\rvert\, q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{n} P_{n}(1 / x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} q^{k(k-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}} x^{2 k} q^{k(v+1) / 2} H_{k}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(q^{(1-v) / 2}+q^{(v-1) / 2}\right) \right\rvert\, q\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see that the right-hand side of (5.8) equals $x^{1-\nu} j_{v-1}(x ; q)$, we insert the explicit expression [4, (6.1), (3.1)]

$$
H_{k}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(x+x^{-1}\right) \right\rvert\, q\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{(q ; q)_{k}}{(q ; q)_{l}(q ; q)_{k-l}} x^{k-2 l}
$$

for $x=q^{(\nu-1) / 2}$ in (5.8). Interchanging summations and introducing $m=k-l$ shows that (5.8) equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} & \frac{(-1)^{l} q^{\frac{1}{2} l(l+1)} x^{2 l}}{(q ; q)_{l}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} q^{\frac{1}{2} m(m-1)}}{(q ; q)_{m}} x^{2 m} q^{m(l+v)} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l} q^{\frac{1}{2} l(l+1)} x^{2 l}}{(q ; q)_{l}}\left(x^{2} q^{l+v} ; q\right)_{\infty}=\left(x^{2} q^{\nu} ; q\right)_{\infty} \varphi_{1}\left(0 ; x^{2} q^{\nu} ; q, q x^{2}\right) \\
& =x^{1-\nu} j_{v-1}(x ; q)
\end{aligned}
$$

by use of [11, (1.3.16)].

Observe that the continuous $q$-Hermite polynomials are orthogonal on the interval $[-1,1]$; thus, the inequality $2 \leq q^{(1-\nu) / 2}+q^{(\nu-1) / 2}$ shows that the variable of the continuous $q$-Hermite polynomial in (5.8) lies outside the support of the orthogonality measure for the continuous $q$-Hermite polynomials-except when $v=1$, in which case it is an endpoint of the interval.

The Stieltjes transform of the orthogonality measure $\mu$ for the orthogonal polynomials $P_{n}$ can be obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d \mu(t)}{z-t}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n-1}^{(1)}(z)}{P_{n}(z)} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}^{(1)}$ are the associated polynomials; see [5, thm. 2.4] and further references therein.

So let us now consider the associated monic polynomials $P_{n}^{(1)}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}^{(1)}(x)=x P_{n}^{(1)}(x)-\gamma_{n} P_{n-1}^{(1)}(x), \quad P_{-1}^{(1)}(x)=0, \quad P_{0}^{(1)}(x)=1 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{n}=\lambda_{n+1}$ is defined in (5.1). These polynomials can be determined as before. Because of the parity of these polynomials, we again set

$$
P_{2 n}^{(1)}(x)=T_{n}\left(x^{2}\right), \quad P_{2 n+1}^{(1)}(x)=x U_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

the monic polynomials $T_{n}$ and $U_{n}$ then satisfy the recurrence relations

$$
T_{n+1}(x)=\left(x-\gamma_{2 n}-\gamma_{2 n+1}\right) T_{n}(x)-\gamma_{2 n-1} \gamma_{2 n} T_{n-1}(x),
$$

and

$$
U_{n+1}(x)=\left(x-\gamma_{2 n+1}-\gamma_{2 n+2}\right) U_{n}(x)-\gamma_{2 n} \gamma_{2 n+1} U_{n-1}(x)
$$

$T_{0}(x)=1, T_{1}(x)=x-q$ and $U_{-1}(x)=0, U_{0}(x)=1$, where

$$
\gamma_{2 n}+\gamma_{2 n+1}= \begin{cases}\left(q+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n} & \text { if } n>0, \\ q & \text { if } n=0 . \quad \gamma_{2 n} \gamma_{2 n-1}=q^{2 n+\nu}, \quad n \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{2 n+1}+\gamma_{2 n+2}=\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n+1}, \quad \gamma_{2 n} \gamma_{2 n+1}=q^{2 n+\nu+1}, \quad n \geq 0 .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{n}(x) & =u_{n}\left(x ; q\left(1+q^{\nu}\right), q^{(v+3) / 2} ; q\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{n-k} q^{k(k-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}} P_{k}\left(-q\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ;-\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n-k+2}, q^{2(n-k)+v+4}, q^{v+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The polynomials $T_{n}$ are again co-recursive polynomials for the recurrence relation (5.2) with $a=q+q^{\nu}$ and $b=q^{(\nu+2) / 2}$, with $T_{1}(x)=u_{1}(x)+q^{\nu}$; thus,

$$
T_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x ; q+q^{\nu}, q^{(v+2) / 2} ; q\right)+q^{v+n-1} u_{n-1}\left(x / q ; q+q^{v}, q^{(v+2) / 2} ; q\right)
$$

From the generating function of the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n}\left(-q\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ; a, b, q^{v+2}\right) \\
& \quad=P_{n}\left(-\left(q+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ; a, b, q^{v+1}\right)+q^{\nu}\left(1-q^{n}\right) P_{n-1}\left(-\left(q+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ; a, b, q^{v+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
T_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{n-k} q^{k(k-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{k}} P_{k}\left(-q\left(1+q^{\nu}\right) ; q ;-\left(q+q^{\nu}\right) q^{n-k+1}, q^{2(n-k)+v+3}, q^{\nu+2}\right)
$$

The proof of the following proposition is analogous to the proof of Proposition 5.1.
Proposition 5.2. For every $x \in \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{n} P_{n}^{(1)}(1 / x)=x^{-v} j_{v}(x ; q)
$$

### 5.2. Zeros of $j_{v}(x ; q)$ and orthogonality relations

Combining Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 and (5.9) shows that the Stieltjes transform of the orthogonality measure $\mu$ for the polynomials is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d \mu(t)}{z-t}=\frac{j_{v}(1 / z ; q)}{j_{v-1}(1 / z ; q)} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \notin \operatorname{supp}(d \mu)[5$, thm. 2.4]. From the Stieltjes transform, we can derive the orthogonality relations for the orthogonal polynomials $P_{n}$ defined in (5.1). We start with an investigation of the zeros of $j_{v}(x ; q)$. It turns out that the zeros of the function $j_{v}(x ; q)$ behave like the zeros of the (Hahn-Exton $q$-)Bessel function for $v>-1$. The method of proof largely follows Ismail's investigation [16] of the roots of the Jackson $q$-Bessel function; see also [2, sect. 4].

Theorem 5.3. Let $v \in \mathbf{R}$ and let the function $j_{v}(x ; q)$ be defined by (3.5).
(a) The functions $j_{v}(x ; q)$ and $j_{v+1}(x ; q)$ have no common zeros, except possibly $x=0$.
(b) The zeros of $x^{-v} j_{\nu}(x ; q)$ are real, simple, and symmetric with respect to $x=0$. There are infinitely many of them and their only point of accumulation is $\infty$.
(c) The zeros of $x^{-v} j_{v}(x ; q)$ and $x^{-\nu-1} j_{v+1}(x ; q)$ interlace. Moreover, the smallest positive zero of $x^{-v} j_{v}(x ; q)$ is smaller than the smallest positive zero of $x^{-v-1} j_{v+1}(x ; q)$.

Proof. First we prove (a) by use of an equality for the ${ }_{1} \varphi_{1}$-series. The relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} \varphi_{1}(0 ; c ; q, z)-{ }_{1} \varphi_{1}(0 ; c ; q, q z)=\frac{-z}{1-c}{ }_{1} \varphi_{1}(0 ; c q ; q, q z) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be proved directly or can be obtained from one of Heine's contiguous relations for the ${ }_{2} \varphi_{1}$-series [11, ex. 1.9(iv)]. Take $c=q x^{2}$ and $z=q^{\nu+1} x^{2}$ in (5.12) to get, from (3.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{v}(x ; q)-x^{-1} j_{v+1}(x ; q)=-q^{1+v / 2} x^{2} j_{v}(x \sqrt{q} ; q) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $c=q^{v+2} x^{2}$ and $z=q x^{2}$ in (5.12) and using (3.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{v+1}(x ; q)-q^{-\nu / 2} x j_{\nu}(x \sqrt{q} ; q)=-q^{(1-\nu) / 2} x^{2} j_{\nu+1}(x \sqrt{q} ; q) . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $0 \neq a$ is a zero of $j_{v}(x ; q)$ and $j_{v+1}(x ; q)$, then (5.13) implies that $a \sqrt{q}$ is a zero of $j_{v}(x ; q)$. Next, (5.14) implies that $a \sqrt{q}$ is a zero of $j_{v+1}(x ; q)$ as well. So $a q^{k / 2}, k \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$, are zeros of the analytic function $x^{-v} j_{v}(x ; q)$, which implies that this function is zero. This contradiction proves (a).

To prove (b) we recall that the orthogonality measure $d \mu$ is supported on a bounded denumerable discrete set with zero as the only point of accumulation. So let $d \mu$ have mass $A_{k}$ at the points $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$; then, (5.11) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{k}}{z-t_{k}}=\frac{j_{\nu}(1 / z ; q)}{j_{\nu-1}(1 / z ; q)}, \quad z \neq t_{k} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zeros of $x^{1-v} j_{v-1}(1 / x ; q)$ correspond precisely to the nonzero poles $t_{k}$ of the lefthand side. So the zeros are real and simple. Since $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has zero as the only point of accumulation, the only point of accumulation of the zeros of $j_{\nu-1}(x ; q)$ is infinity.

To prove (c) we consider the (positive) mass of $d \mu$ at a nonzero $t_{k}$,

$$
0<A_{k}=-t_{k}^{2} \frac{j_{v}\left(1 / t_{k} ; q\right)}{j_{v-1}^{\prime}\left(1 / t_{k} ; q\right)}
$$

So $j_{v}(a ; q)$ and $j_{v-1}^{\prime}(a ; q)$ have opposite signs for $0 \neq a$, a zero of $j_{v-1}(x ; q)$. If $0<a<b$ are two consecutive zeros of $j_{\nu-1}(x ; q)$, then $j_{v-1}^{\prime}(a ; q) j_{\nu-1}^{\prime}(b ; q)<0$. Hence also $j_{v}(a ; q) j_{v}(b ; q)<0$ and $j_{v}(x ; q)$ has at least one zero in $(a, b)$. In the interval $(1 / b, 1 / a)$ both sides of (5.15) are differentiable, and the derivative of the lefthand side is strictly negative. If $j_{\nu}(1 / z ; q)$ has more than one zero in $(1 / b, 1 / a)$, then the derivative has a zero in that interval. Thus, $j_{v}(x ; q)$ has precisely one zero in $(a, b)$. This proves the interlacing property.

Denote by $x_{k}^{v}$ the positive zeros of $j_{v}(x ; q)$ numbered increasingly;

$$
0<x_{1}^{\nu}<x_{2}^{\nu}<\cdots<x_{j}^{\nu}<x_{j+1}^{\nu}<\cdots .
$$

Then it remains to prove that $x_{1}^{\nu-1}<x_{1}^{\nu}$. Since $x^{-\nu} j_{v}(x ; q)$ equals 1 for $x=0$ we get that $j_{v-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)<0$ and, thus, $j_{v}\left(x_{1}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)>0$. So $j_{v}(x ; q)$ has an even number of zeros in $\left(0, x_{1}^{\nu-1}\right)$, and the same argument as in the previous paragraph shows that this number is zero.

The following proposition is the analogue of Proposition 4.1 for the orthogonal polynomials $P_{n}$ and the functions $j_{v}(x ; q)$.

Proposition 5.4. For $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$, the polynomials $P_{n}$ and $P_{n}^{(1)}$ defined by (5.1) and (5.10) satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) j_{v}(x ; q)-P_{n-1}^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) j_{v-1}(x ; q) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}q^{m(m+\nu / 2)} x^{2 m} j_{\nu}\left(x q^{m / 2} ; q\right), & n=2 m, \\
q^{m(m+(\nu-1) / 2)} x^{2 m} j_{v-1}\left(x q^{m / 2} ; q\right), & n=2 m-1,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j_{v}(x ; q)$ is defined in (3.5).

Proof. It suffices to show that the right-hand side satisfies (5.1) with $x$ replaced by $x^{-1}$, since the left-hand side satisfies this equation and the cases $n=0$ (trivial) and $n=1$ (from (5.13)) are easily proved. This follows from (5.13) for $n=2 m$ with $x, v$ replaced by $x q^{m / 2}, v-1$, and from (5.14) for $n=2 m-1$ with $x, v$ replaced by $x q^{(m-1) / 2}$, $v-1$.

In the proof of Theorem 5.3 we obtained information on the orthogonality measure for the polynomials $P_{n}$ defined in (5.1). In the next theorem we describe the full orthogonality relations. This theorem can also be proved from Proposition 5.4 by analogy with the proof of Theorem 4.2 from Proposition 4.1.

Theorem 5.5. Let $v \in \mathbf{R}$ and denote by $x_{k}^{\nu-1}, k \in \mathbf{N}$, the positive zeros of the function $j_{v-1}(x ; q)$ defined in (3.5). Then for the polynomials $P_{n}$ defined by (5.1), we have the orthogonality relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{n}\left(\frac{ \pm 1}{x_{k}^{\nu-1}}\right) P_{m}\left(\frac{ \pm 1}{x_{k}^{\nu-1}}\right) \frac{-j_{v}\left(x_{k}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)}{\left(x_{k}^{\nu-1}\right)^{2} j_{v-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)}+\left(1-q^{\nu-1}\right) P_{n}(0) P_{m}(0) \\
& \quad=\delta_{n, m} \begin{cases}q^{l(l+\nu) / 2}, & n=2 l \\
q^{(l+1)(l+v) / 2}, & n=2 l+1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the mass at $x=0$ only occurs for $v>1$. All weights are positive.
Proof. The only statements to be proved concern the norm and the weight at $x=0$. Denote the squared norm of $P_{n}$ by $\left\|P_{n}\right\|^{2}$; then (5.1) implies [10, (7)]

$$
\left\|P_{n}\right\|^{2}=\lambda_{n}\left\|P_{n-1}\right\|^{2} \Longrightarrow\left\|P_{n}\right\|^{2}=\lambda_{n} \ldots \lambda_{1}\|1\|^{2}
$$

Together with the explicit value for $\lambda_{n}$ in (5.1), the statement on the norm follows if we prove $\|1\|^{2}=1$. The value of $\|1\|^{2}$ can be seen from the Stieltjes transform (5.11) as the coefficient of $z^{-1}$ on the right-hand side and Lemma 3.3 for $n=0$ and $x=z^{-1}$ shows that it equals 1 .

The weight at $x=0$ equals $\rho$, where $\rho^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{n}(0)^{2}$ and $\tilde{P}_{n}$ denote the orthonormal polynomials [5, thm. 2.8]. From (5.1) we compute $P_{2 n+1}(0)=0, P_{2 n}(0)=$ $(-1)^{n} q^{n \nu+n(n-1) / 2}$; thus, for the orthonormal polynomials, we have

$$
\tilde{P}_{2 n}(0)=\frac{P_{2 n}(0)}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \ldots \lambda_{2 n}}}=(-1)^{n} q^{n(\nu-1) / 2}
$$

and hence

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{n}^{2}(0)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(v-1)}= \begin{cases}\infty & \text { if } v \leq 1 \\ \left(1-q^{v-1}\right)^{-1} & \text { if } v>1\end{cases}
$$

Therefore there is a mass $1-q^{\nu-1}$ at the origin whenever $v>1$.
Again, as in the proof of corollary 4.3, using [23, (1.3) with $n \rightarrow \infty$ ] shows that the orthogonality measure for the $P_{n}$ is contained in $[-N, N]$ with $N \leq 1+q^{\nu / 2}$. Shifting $v$ to $v+1$, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 5.6. The first positive zero $x_{1}^{\nu}$ of $j_{\nu}(x ; q)$ satisfies $x_{1}^{\nu} \geq\left(1+q^{(v+1) / 2}\right)^{-1}$.

### 5.3. The case $\nu=1 / 2$

In the simple case $v=1 / 2$, we have $\lambda_{n}=q^{n / 2}$. For simplicity, we take $p=q^{1 / 2}$ so that the recurrence relation (5.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}(x)=x P_{n}(x)-p^{n} P_{n-1}(x) . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the generating function $G(z, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n}(x) z^{n}$. Multiply (5.16) by $z^{n+1}$ and add all the terms from $n=0$ to infinity; we then get
$G(z, x)-1=x z G(z, x)-z^{2} p G(p z, x) \Longrightarrow G(z, x)=\frac{1}{1-x z}-\frac{z^{2} p}{1-x z} G(z p, x)$.
Solving the $p$-difference equation with respect to the condition $G(0, x)=1$ gives, by iteration,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} z^{2 k} p^{k^{2}}}{(z x ; p)_{k+1}} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the $p$-binomial theorem,

$$
\frac{1}{(z x ; p)_{k+1}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(p^{k+1} ; p\right)_{n}}{(p ; p)_{n}}(z x)^{n}
$$

in (5.17). Changing the summation index $n$ to $j-2 k$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(z, x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=2 k}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} z^{j} x^{j-2 k} p^{k^{2}} \frac{\left(p^{k+1} ; p\right)_{j-2 k}}{(p ; p)_{j-2 k}} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor j / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{k} x^{j-2 k} p^{k^{2}} \frac{\left(p^{k+1} ; p\right)_{j-2 k}}{(p ; p)_{j-2 k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next identify the coefficient of $z^{n}$ and use $\left(p^{k+1} ; p\right)_{j-2 k}=(p ; p)_{j-k} /(p ; p)_{k}$ to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{k} x^{n-2 k} p^{k^{2}} \frac{(p ; p)_{n-k}}{(p ; p)_{k}(p ; p)_{n-2 k}} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

These polynomials are a special case of orthogonal polynomials associated with the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction; they correspond to the case $a=0, b=p$, and $q=p$ in [2]; (5.18) corresponds to [2,(3.7)]. These polynomials are also the special case $u_{n}(x)$ in [22] with $a=0, b=q$, and $q^{2}=p ;(5.18)$ corresponds to [22, (2.7)] after observing that, for the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials in (5.3), we have

$$
P_{2 n+1}(0 ; q ; 0, b, c)=0, \quad P_{2 n}(0 ; q ; 0, b, c)=(-1)^{n} c^{n}\left(\frac{b}{c} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}
$$

The associated polynomials $P_{n}^{(1)}$ satisfy the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}^{(1)}(x)=x P_{n}^{(1)}(x)-p^{n+1} P_{n-1}^{(1)}(x), \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P_{-1}^{(1)}=0$ and $P_{0}^{(1)}(x)=1$. Replace $x$ by $x / \sqrt{p}$ in (5.19); then the monic polynomials $p^{n / 2} P_{n}(x / \sqrt{p})$ satisfy the recurrence relation (5.19) so that $P_{n}^{(1)}(x)=$ $p^{n / 2} P_{n}(x / \sqrt{p})$.

For the case $v=1 / 2$, we have two different expressions for the same polynomials. From (5.4) and (5.18), we obtain the following summation formula for the Al-SalamChihara polynomials, $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{k}(- & \left.\left(q+q^{1 / 2}\right) ; q ;-\left(1+q^{-1 / 2}\right) q^{n-k+2}, q^{2(n-k)+7 / 2}, q^{3 / 2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(-q^{1 / 2}\right)^{k}(q ; q)_{k}\left(q^{1 / 2} ; q^{1 / 2}\right)_{2 n+1-k}}{\left(q^{1 / 2} ; q^{1 / 2}\right)_{k}\left(q^{1 / 2} ; q^{1 / 2}\right)_{2 n+1-2 k}} \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials are expressible in a ${ }_{3} \varphi_{2}$ series, as proved by Askey and Ismail [5, sect. 3.8]. Explicitly, the following connection between the original notation of [1] and the notation of [5] holds:
(5.21)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha^{-k}}{(q ; q)_{k}} P_{k}\left(2 \alpha x ; q ;(\gamma+\delta) \alpha, \gamma \delta \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{2}\right) & =S_{k}(x ; \gamma, \delta \mid q) \\
& =\frac{(\gamma \delta ; q)_{k}}{(q ; q)_{k}} \gamma^{-k}{ }_{3} \varphi_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
q^{-k}, \gamma y, \gamma / y \\
\gamma \delta, 0
\end{array} q, q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x=\left(y+y^{-1}\right) / 2$.

Corollary 5.7. The summation formula

$$
\left(c^{2} ; q\right)_{k 3} \varphi_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
q^{-k}, c q^{-1 / 2}, c \\
c^{2}, 0
\end{array} ; q, q\right)=\left(c q^{-1 / 2}\right)^{k}\left(-q^{1 / 2} ; q^{1 / 2}\right)_{k}\left(c ; q^{1 / 2}\right)_{k}
$$

holds for $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$and $c \in \mathbf{C}$.
Proof. In (5.20), we use (5.21) with the parameters $\alpha=-q^{3 / 4}, x=\left(q^{1 / 4}+q^{-1 / 4}\right) / 2$, $\gamma=q^{n-k+3 / 4}, \delta=q^{n-k+5 / 4}$ to get the result of the proposition for $c=q^{n-k+1}$. Replace $n-k$ by $m$ in this result to prove the corollary for $c=q^{m+1}, m \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$. Since both sides are polynomial in $c$, the result follows for arbitrary values of $c$.

Remark. (a) Comparison of (5.5) with (5.18) instead of (5.4) with (5.18) leads to the same corollary. The same result is also obtained if we work out the different expressions for the associated polynomials in the case $v=1 / 2$.
(b) Corollary 5.7 can be obtained directly from $q$-analogues of Gauss's quadratic transformation and of the Chu-Vandermonde summation formula [11, ex. 3.1, (1.5.3)], or by taking $a=1$ and $z=q^{-k}$ in [11, ex. 3.8]. We thank Mizan Rahman, René Swartouw, and the referee for pointing this out.

Proposition 5.8. Consider the monic orthogonal polynomials given by (5.16) and the associated polynomials given by (5.19). Then, for every $x \in \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{n} P_{n}(1 / x)=F(x), \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{n} P_{n}^{(1)}(1 / x)=F(x \sqrt{p}),
$$

where

$$
F(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{2 k} p^{k^{2}}}{(p ; p)_{k}}={ }_{0} \varphi_{1}\left(-\frac{-}{0} ; p,-x^{2} p\right)
$$

Proof. Straightforward by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.18) after changing $x$ to $1 / x$ and multiplying by $x^{n}$.

From Proposition 5.8 and Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 for $v=1 / 2$, we obtain the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \varphi_{1}\left(-; 0 ; q^{1 / 2},-x^{2} q^{1 / 2}\right) & =x^{1 / 2} j_{-1 / 2}(x ; q), \\
0 \varphi_{1}\left(-; 0 ; q^{1 / 2},-x^{2} q\right) & =x^{-1 / 2} j_{1 / 2}(x ; q) \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

which give two transformations of a ${ }_{0} \varphi_{1}$-series of base $q^{1 / 2}=p$ in terms of ${ }_{1} \varphi_{1}$-series of base $q$. In two special cases the left-hand sides of (5.22) can be summed by the RogersRamanujan identities [11, (2.7.3), (2.7.4)]; this gives explicit values for $j_{-1 / 2}(x ; q)$ for $x= \pm i, \pm i q^{1 / 4}$ and for $j_{1 / 2}(x ; q)$ for $x= \pm i, \pm i q^{-1 / 4}$.

## 6. Orthogonality for the Laurent $\boldsymbol{q}$-Lommel Polynomials

In this section, we give a different form for the strong moment functional introduced in Section 3. The limit transitions (3.4) and (3.6) suggest the rewriting of the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ defined in (3.15) as a contour integral over the unit circle. This can be done if $j_{v-1}(1 ; q) \neq 0$, since we have sufficient knowledge on the location of the zeros of $j_{v-1}(x ; q)$ (see Theorem 5.3) and of $J_{v-1}(x ; q)$ (see [18, sect. 3] and Section 4). A Wronskian type formula can be used to simplify the integrand.

Lemma 6.1. Let $r_{m}(x)$ and $s_{m}(x)$ be solutions of the recurrence relation (1.7); then the Wronskian $r_{m}(x) s_{m+1}(x)-s_{m}(x) r_{m+1}(x)$ is independent of $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. Multiply the recurrence formula for $r_{m}(x)$ by $s_{m}(x)$ and multiply the recurrence relation for $s_{m}(x)$ by $r_{m}(x)$. Subtract the resulting identities to find the result.

## Lemma 6.2.

$$
J_{v}(1 / x ; q) j_{v-1}(x ; q)-J_{v-1}(1 / x ; q) j_{v}(x ; q)=x^{-1} \frac{\left(q x^{-2} ; q\right)_{\infty}\left(x^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{(q ; q)_{\infty}}
$$

Proof. $\quad J_{v+m}(1 / x ; q) j_{v+m-1}(x ; q)-J_{v+m-1}(1 / x ; q) j_{v+m}(x ; q)$ is independent of $m$ by proposition 3.1 and Lemma 6.1. Take $m=0$ to obtain the left-hand side of the lemma and use (3.7) and $m \rightarrow \infty$ to see that it also equals

$$
\left(x^{-1}-x\right) \frac{\left(q x^{-2} ; q\right)_{\infty}\left(q x^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{(q ; q)_{\infty}}
$$

which proves the lemma.
Lemma 6.2 implies that $x(q ; q)_{\infty}\left[J_{v}(1 / x ; q) j_{v-1}(x ; q)-J_{\nu-1}(1 / x ; q) j_{v}(x ; q)\right]$ is a theta product $[3$, sect. 1].

Now we can rewrite the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to which the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials are orthogonal (see Theorem 3.4).

Theorem 6.3. Let $s>0$ such that $s$ is not a zero of $J_{\nu-1}(1 / x ; q)$ and $j_{\nu-1}(x ; q)$. For $v>0$, the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ defined in (3.15) equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(p)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i(q ; q)_{\infty}} \oint_{|z|=s} p(z) \frac{\left(q z^{-2} ; q\right)_{\infty}\left(z^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{J_{v-1}(1 / z ; q) j_{\nu-1}(z ; q)} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(p\left(\frac{1}{j_{k}^{\nu-1}}\right)+p\left(\frac{-1}{j_{k}^{v-1}}\right)\right) \frac{-J_{v}\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)}{\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1}\right)^{2} J_{v-1}^{\prime}\left(j_{k}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)} \\
& +\sum_{l=1}^{M}\left(p\left(x_{l}^{\nu-1}\right)+p\left(-x_{l}^{\nu-1}\right)\right) \frac{j_{v}\left(x_{l}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)}{j_{v-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{l}^{\nu-1} ; q\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p$ is an arbitrary Laurent polynomial. Here $j_{k}^{\nu-1}$ (respectively $x_{l}^{\nu-1}$ ) denote the positive zeros of $J_{v-1}(x ; q)$ (respectively $\left.j_{v-1}(x ; q)\right)$ numbered increasingly. $N$ is defined by $j_{N}^{\nu-1}<s<j_{N+1}^{\nu-1}$, and so the sum over the zeros of $J_{v-1}(x ; q)$ is empty if $j_{1}^{v-1}>s$. $M$ is defined by $x_{M}^{\nu-1}<s<x_{M+1}^{\nu-1}$, and so the sum over the zeros of $j_{v-1}(x ; q)$ is empty if $x_{1}^{\nu-1}>s$. The discrete weights in the first sum over $k$ are positive and the discrete weights in the second sum over $l$ are negative.

Remark. (a) By choosing $s=r$ (respectively $s=1 / R$ ) with $r$ and $R$ as in Section 3, we get $M=0$ (respectively $N=0$ ). In Section 7 we show that for $v$ sufficiently large we have $N=M=0$ for a suitable choice of $s$.
(b) The nonzero poles of the integrand in Theorem 6.3 are simple. Indeed, if $0 \neq a$ satisfies $J_{\nu-1}(1 / a ; q)=0=j_{\nu-1}(a ; q)$, then Lemma 6.2 implies that the numerator is zero as well. Moreover, $a=q^{p / 2}$ for some $p \in \mathbf{Z}$, which is a simple zero of the numerator. There exist only finitely many such values in the (possibly empty) interval $\left[x_{1}^{\nu-1}, 1 / j_{1}^{\nu-1}\right]$.

Proof. In the first contour integral in (3.15), we shift the contour integration from $|z|=1 / R$ to $|z|=s$ and in general we assume $s<1 / R$. We pick up residues at the simple poles $z= \pm 1 / j_{k}^{\nu-1}, k=1, \ldots, N$ (see Theorem 4.2). For $1 / R \leq s$ we have the case $N=0$. The second contour integral in (3.15) is shifted from $|z|=r$ to $|z|=s$. In general we assume $r<s$, otherwise we have the case $M=0$. Here we pick up residues at the simple poles $z= \pm x_{l}^{\nu-1}, l=1, \ldots, M$. The residues are easily calculated. Next we take together the integrands of the contour integrals over $|z|=s$ using Lemma 6.2 to prove the expression for $\mathcal{L}(p)$ in this case. The last statement follows from Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.5.

Remark. The most natural choice for $s$ in Theorem 6.3 seems to be $s=1$. This is motivated by the fact that there is a transition in the asymptotic behavior of the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials on the unit circle (see (3.4) and (3.6)). Moreover, numerical experiments indicate that for $m \rightarrow \infty$ the non-real zeros of the Laurent $q$-Lommel polynomials (remark 2.1) are possibly dense on the unit circle. Of course, from (3.4)
(respectively (3.6)), we see that the real zeros outside (respectively inside) the unit circle tend to the zeros of $J_{v-1}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)$ (respectively $j_{v-1}(x ; q)$ ). This corresponds precisely to the discrete set in the orthogonality measure of Theorem 6.3 for $s=1$.

## 7. Laurent $\boldsymbol{q}$-Lommel Polynomials as Perturbations of Chebyshev Polynomials

Let us now return to the recurrence relation (1.7), which we rewrite as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n+1, v}(x ; q)-\left(x^{-1}+x\right) h_{n, v}(x ; q)+h_{n-1, v}(x ; q)=-x q^{v+n} h_{n, v}(x ; q) . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way, as $q \rightarrow 0$ or as $v \rightarrow \infty$, the Laurent polynomials $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ should be close to a solution of the three-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n+1}(x ; 0)-\left(x^{-1}+x\right) h_{n}(x ; 0)+h_{n-1}(x ; 0)=0 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this recurrence, with initial values $h_{0}(x ; 0)=1$ and $h_{-1}(x ; 0)=0$, is given by $h_{n}(x ; 0)=\left(x^{n+1}-x^{-n-1}\right) /\left(x-x^{-1}\right)$, which, in terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, can be written as

$$
h_{n}(x ; 0)=U_{n}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}
$$

In this way the Laurent polynomials $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ can be considered as perturbations of the Chebyshev polynomials. We now do a perturbation analysis, much as is done for perturbations of orthogonal polynomials in [12] and [23]. In the spirit of the LiouvilleGreen approximation (WKB method), we will consider (7.1) as a second order recurrence relation with nonhomogeneous term $-x q^{\nu+n} h_{n, \nu}(x ; q)$, even though this term depends on the desired solution $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$.

We solve this nonhomogeneous recurrence relation by Green's method. We need the Green function $G_{1}(n, m)$, which is the solution of the recurrence relation with nonhomogeneous term $\delta_{n, m}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(n+1, m)-\left(x^{-1}+x\right) G_{1}(n, m)+G_{1}(n-1, m)=\delta_{n, m}, \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(n, m)=0, \quad n \geq m \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $G_{1}(m, m)=G_{1}(m+1, m)=0$; thus, from (7.3) we find $G_{1}(m-1, m)=1$. For $k \geq 0$ we find that $r_{k}(x)=G_{1}(m-k-1, m)$ is a solution of the homogeneous recurrence relation (7.2) with the same initial conditions $r_{0}(x)=1$ and $r_{-1}(x)=0$; hence,

$$
G_{1}(n, m)=U_{m-n-1}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right), \quad n<m
$$

Now multiply (7.1) by $G_{1}(n, m)$ and (7.3) by $h_{n, v}(\dot{x} ; q)$ and subtract the equations obtained to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{n+1, v}(x ; q) G_{1}(n, m)-h_{n, v}(x ; q) G_{1}(n-1, m)+h_{n, v}(x ; q) \delta_{n, m} \\
& \quad=h_{n, v}(x ; q) G_{1}(n+1, m)-h_{n-1, v}(x ; q) G_{1}(n, m)-x q^{v+n} h_{n, v}(x ; q) G_{1}(n, m)
\end{aligned}
$$

Add all the equations from $n=0$ to $n=m$ and use the boundary conditions (7.4) to find

$$
h_{0, v}(x ; q) G_{1}(-1, m)=h_{m, v}(x ; q)+x \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} q^{v+n} G_{1}(n, m) h_{n, v}(x ; q)
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m, v}(x ; q)=U_{m}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right)-x \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} q^{v+n} U_{m-n-1}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right) h_{n, v}(x ; q) . \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this relation we can deduce some useful properties.
Lemma 7.1. Suppose $x=e^{i \theta}$ with $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$; then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right| \leq(n+1) \exp \left(\frac{q^{v}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sin \theta h_{n, \nu}(x ; q)\right| \leq 1+\frac{q^{\nu}}{(1-q)^{2}} \exp \left(\frac{q^{\nu}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right) \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $|x| \neq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x^{n} h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right| \leq \frac{2}{\left|1-x^{2}\right|} \exp \left(\frac{2}{\left|1-x^{2}\right|} \frac{q^{v}}{1-q}\right), & |x|<1 \\
\left|x^{-n} h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right| \leq \frac{2}{\left|1-x^{-2}\right|} \exp \left(\frac{2}{\left|1-x^{-2}\right|} \frac{q^{v}}{1-q}\right), & |x|>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We use Gronwall's inequality (3.9); for nonnegative $A, c_{n}$, and $d_{n}(n \geq 0)$, we have

$$
c_{n} \leq A+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} c_{k} \Longrightarrow c_{n} \leq A \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k}\right) .
$$

From the bound $\left|U_{n}(\cos \theta)\right| \leq n+1$ and (7.5), we find

$$
\left|h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right| \leq n+1+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{v+k}(n-k)\left|h_{k, v}(x ; q)\right|
$$

Hence, taking $c_{n}=\left|h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right| /(n+1)$ in Gronwall's inequality gives

$$
\frac{\left|h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right|}{n+1} \leq \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(k+1) q^{v+k}\right)
$$

The desired inequality (7.6) then follows from $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1) q^{k}=(1-q)^{-2}$. If we use this inequality (7.6) and $\left|\sin \theta U_{n}(\cos \theta)\right| \leq 1$ in (7.5), then

$$
\left|\sin \theta h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right| \leq 1+\exp \left(\frac{q^{v}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(k+1) q^{v+k}
$$

which gives (7.7). The bounds away from the unit circle follow by using

$$
\left|x^{n} U_{n}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right)\right|=\left|x^{n} \frac{x^{n+1}-x^{-n-1}}{x-x^{-1}}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\left|1-x^{2}\right|}, \quad|x|<1
$$

and

$$
\left|x^{-n} U_{n}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right)\right|=\left|x^{-n} \frac{x^{n+1}-x^{-n-1}}{x-x^{-1}}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\left|1-x^{-2}\right|}, \quad|x|>1,
$$

and by using Gronwall's inequality.
From these bounds we see that the Laurent Lommel polynomials have an exponentially increasing upper bound both inside and outside the unit circle, and that the Laurent polynomials are bounded on the unit circle, except when $x= \pm 1$, in which case $\left|h_{n, v}(x ; q)\right|=O(n)$. This strongly suggests that in Theorem 6.3 the choice $s=1$ for the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ is the most natural.

The Laurent polynomial solution of (7.1) is not the only interesting solution. In Section 3, we obtained the minimal solutions $j_{v+n}(x ; q)$ and $J_{v+n}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)$ on the open unit disk and the exterior of the closed unit disk, respectively. The minimal solutions $h_{n}^{-}(x ; 0)$ and $h_{n}^{+}(x ; 0)$ of the recurrence relation (7.2) on the open unit disk $\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$ and the exterior of the closed unit disk $\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|>1\}$ are given by $h_{n}^{-}(x ; 0)=x^{n}$ and $h_{n}^{+}(x ; 0)=x^{-n}$, respectively. Our intention now is to find similar solutions $h_{n, \nu}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) x^{ \pm n}=1
$$

on $\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$ and $\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|>1\}$. Such functions clearly exist, since by Proposition 3.1 and (3.7) we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)=\frac{(q ; q)_{\infty}}{\left(q x^{-2} ; q\right)_{\infty}} x^{v} J_{v+n}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right),  \tag{7.8}\\
& h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)=\frac{1}{\left(q x^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty}} x^{-v} j_{v+n}(x ; q)
\end{align*}
$$

fulfill the required conditions.
We will now do a perturbation analysis of these minimal solutions in a similar way as for orthogonal polynomials [12], [13]. Again, we write the recurrence relation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n+1, v}^{ \pm}-\left(x^{-1}+x\right) h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)+h_{n-1, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)=-x q^{v+n} h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and look at this equation as a nonhomogeneous second-order recurrence relation with nonhomogeneous term $-x q^{v+n} h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$. The homogeneous equation has two simple solutions, $h_{n}^{ \pm}(x ; 0)=x^{\mp n}$. We solve the nonhomogeneous recurrence relation using Green functions, but now the Green function $G_{2}(n, m)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2}(n+1, m)-\left(x^{-1}+x\right) G_{2}(n, m)+G_{2}(n-1, m)=\delta_{n, m} \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2}(n, m)=0, \quad n \leq m . \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G_{2}(m, m)=G_{2}(m-1, m)=0$, we find $G_{2}(m+1, m)=1$ and, in general,

$$
G_{2}(n, m)=U_{n-m-1}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right), \quad n>m
$$

Multiply the recurrence (7.9) by $G_{2}(n, m)$ and (7.10) by $h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$ and subtract to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{n+1, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) G_{2}(n, m)-h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) G_{2}(n-1, m)+h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) \delta_{n, m} \\
& \quad=h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) G_{2}(n+1, m)-h_{n-1, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) G_{2}(n, m)-x q^{v+n} h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) G_{2}(n, m)
\end{aligned}
$$

Add the equations from $n=m$ to $n=M$, with $m<M$ and use the boundary conditions (7.11) to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{M+1, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) G_{2}(M, m)-h_{M, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) G_{2}(M+1, m) \\
& \quad=-h_{m, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)-x \sum_{n=m+1}^{M} q^{v+n} h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) G_{2}(n, m)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (7.8) and (3.7), we obtain

$$
\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} h_{M+1, v}^{+}(x ; q) G_{2}(M, m)-h_{M, v}^{+}(x ; q) G_{2}(M+1, m)=-x^{-m}, \quad|x|>1
$$

and

$$
\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} h_{M+1, \nu}^{-}(x ; q) G_{2}(M, m)-h_{M, v}^{-}(x ; q) G_{2}(M+1, m)=-x^{m}, \quad|x|<1
$$

so by letting $M \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)=x^{\mp n}-x \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q^{\nu+k} h_{k, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) U_{k-n-1}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right) \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compare these relations to (7.5). We can find appropriate bounds on these solutions and from this we can obtain bounds for $J_{v+n}\left(x^{-1} ; q\right)$ and $j_{v+n}(x ; q)$.

Lemma 7.2. If $x \neq \pm 1$ then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|x^{n} h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right| \leq \exp \left(\frac{2}{\left|1-x^{-2}\right|} \frac{q^{v+n+1}}{1-q}\right), & |x| \geq 1 \\
\left|x^{-n} h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)\right| \leq \exp \left(\frac{2}{\left|1-x^{2}\right|} \frac{q^{v+n+1}}{1-q}\right), & |x| \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x^{n} h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right| \leq \exp \left(\frac{n q^{\nu+n+1}}{1-q}+\frac{q^{v+n+1}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right), & |x| \geq 1 \\
\left|x^{-n} h_{n, v}^{-}(x)\right| \leq \exp \left(\frac{n q^{v+n+1}}{1-q}+\frac{q^{v+n+1}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right), & |x| \leq 1 \tag{7.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We now use a backward version of Gronwall's inequality: for nonnegative $A$, $c_{n}$, and $d_{n}(n \geq 0)$, we have

$$
c_{n} \leq A+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_{k} c_{k}<\infty \Longrightarrow c_{n} \leq A \exp \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_{k}\right)
$$

The inequalities (7.13) then follow from (7.12) and the inequalities

$$
\left|x^{ \pm n} U_{n}\left(\left(x+x^{-1}\right) / 2\right)\right| \leq 2 /\left|1-x^{ \pm 2}\right|,
$$

which hold for $|x| \leq 1$ (for the $+\operatorname{sign}$ ) and $|x| \geq 1$ (for the $-\operatorname{sign}$ ).
Inequality (7.14) uses the inequality $\left|x^{ \pm n} U_{n}\left(\left(x+x^{-1}\right) / 2\right)\right| \leq n+1$ on $|x| \leq 1$ and $|x| \geq 1$ respectively. So from (7.12) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x^{n} h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right| & \leq 1+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q^{v+k}\left|x^{k} h_{k, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right|(k-n) \\
& \leq 1+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k q^{v+k}\left|x^{k} h_{k, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right|, \quad|x| \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

from which the first inequality of (7.14) follows by Gronwall's inequality.
We are now ready to give some information about the zeros of the functions $h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$ inside and outside the open unit disk.

Theorem 7.3. The zeros of $h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$ are all real. The function $h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ has no zeros in $\{x \in \mathbf{C}:|x| \geq 1\}$ and $h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)$ has no zeros inside $\{x \in \mathbf{C}:|x| \leq 1\}$, whenever $n \geq M(\nu, q)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(v, q)=-v-1+2 \frac{\ln (1-q)}{\ln q}-\frac{1}{\ln q} . \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $h_{-1, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ has at most $2 M(\nu, q)+2$ zeros in $\{x \in \mathbf{C}:|x| \geq 1\}$ and $h_{-1, v}^{-}(x ; q)$ has at most $2 M(v, q)+2$ zeros in $\{x \in \mathbf{C}:|x| \leq 1\}$.

Proof. The reality of the zeros follows from the explicit representation (7.8) and the reality of the zeros of the Hahn-Exton Bessel function [18, sect. 3] and the zeros of $j_{v}(x ; q)$ (see Theorem 5.3). For an upper bound on the number of zeros, we use (7.12) to find

$$
1-x^{ \pm n} h_{n, \nu}^{ \pm}(x ; q)=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q^{v+k} x^{ \pm k} h_{k, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q) x^{ \pm n \mp k+1} U_{k-n-1}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right)
$$

Use the inequality (7.14) and $\left|x^{ \pm n} U_{n}\left(\left(x+x^{-1}\right) / 2\right)\right| \leq n+1$ to find, for $|x| \geq 1$,

$$
\left|1-x^{n} h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(k-n) q^{v+k} \exp \left(\frac{k q^{\nu+k+1}}{1-q}+\frac{q^{\nu+k+1}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right)
$$

and, similarly, for $|x| \leq 1$,

$$
\left|1-x^{-n} h_{n, \nu}^{-}(x ; q)\right| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(k-n) q^{\nu+k} \exp \left(\frac{k q^{\nu+k+1}}{1-q}+\frac{q^{\nu+k+1}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right)
$$

The right-hand side can be bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(k-n) q^{\nu+k} \exp \left(\frac{k q^{\nu+k+1}}{1-q}+\frac{q^{\nu+k+1}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right) & \leq \exp \left(\frac{q^{\nu+1}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(k-n) q^{v+k} \\
& =\frac{q^{\nu+n+1}}{(1-q)^{2}} \exp \left(\frac{q^{\nu+n+1}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $M=M(v, q)$ such that

$$
\frac{q^{v+M+1}}{(1-q)^{2}} \exp \left(\frac{q^{v+M+1}}{(1-q)^{2}}\right)<1
$$

then $h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ for $n \geq M$ cannot be zero for any $x$ such that $|x| \geq 1$. An appropriate $M(\nu, q)$ is given by (7.15). The same reasoning holds for $h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)$ on the closed unit disk. So now we have established that, for $n \geq M$, the function $h_{n, \nu}^{+}$has no zeros for $|x| \geq 1$ and $h_{n, v}^{-}$has no zeros for $|x| \leq 1$. The zeros of $h_{n, v}^{+}$are equal to the zeros of $J_{v+n}(1 / x ; q)$. If $j_{k}^{\nu}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$, are the zeros of $J_{v}(x ; q)$ numbered increasingly, then, from the interlacing property of Theorem 3.7 in [18], we have $j_{k}^{v}<j_{k}^{\nu+1}<j_{k+1}^{v}$; hence, when the parameter $v$ is decreased by one, then the $k$ th positive zero moves to the left. This means that the $k$ th positive zero (counted from the right) of $h_{n-1, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ is to the right of the $k$ th positive zero of $h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)$. Since $h_{M, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ has no zeros $x \geq 1$, this means that $h_{M-1, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ can have one zero $x \geq 1$, namely $1 / j_{1}^{v+M-1}$, and it cannot have two zeros $x>1$ since $1 / j_{2}^{\nu+M-1}<1 / j_{1}^{\nu+M}<1$. Decreasing the degree of $h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ by one thus increases the number of zeros in $|x| \geq 1$ by at most 2 (one positive zero and one negative zero). Therefore $h_{-1, \nu}^{+}(x ; q)$ has at most $2 M+2$ zeros in $|x| \geq 1$. Similar reasoning works for the zeros of $h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)$ in $|x| \leq 1$ by using the interlacing property of the zeros of $j_{v}(x ; q)$ and $j_{v+1}(x ; q)$ given by Theorem 5.3.

The upper bound on the number of zeros of $h_{-1, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$ gives a useful upper bound on the number of discrete mass points of the strong moment functional $\mathcal{L}$ as given in Theorem 6.3 when $s=1$. Indeed, the zeros of $h_{-1, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ correspond to the zeros of $J_{v-1}(1 / x ; q)$ and thus $N \leq M(v, q)+1$. Similarly, the zeros of $h_{-1, v}^{-}(x ; q)$ correspond to the zeros of $j_{v-1}(x ; q)$ and, thus, $M \leq M(\nu, q)+1$. In particular, $M=N=0$ in Theorem 6.3 for $s=1$ for $v$ satisfying $M(\nu, q)<0$.

Finally, let us give another derivation of the orthogonality of the Laurent polynomials $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ by using the minimal solutions $h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$. Observe that, from (3.3), (3.5), and (7.8), it follows that $h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$ have a power expansion of the form

$$
x^{n} h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)=1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K^{+}(n, k) x^{-2 k}, \quad|x|>1
$$

and

$$
x^{-n} h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K^{-}(n, k) x^{2 k}, \quad|x|<1
$$

We can get some information on the coefficients $K^{ \pm}(n, k)$ by introducing Banach algebras. If $f$ is analytic in the open unit disk with Taylor series

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{k} z^{k}
$$

then we define

$$
\|f\|_{-}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{k}\left|f_{k}\right|
$$

and we denote by $A^{-}$all the functions $f$ for which $\|f\|_{-}<\infty$. Here $\nu_{k}, k \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$, is a positive increasing sequence for which $\nu_{0}=1$ and $\nu_{n} \leq \nu_{m} \nu_{n-m}$ for every $n \geq m \geq 0$. Similarly, when $g$ is analytic near infinity with Laurent series

$$
g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k} z^{-k}
$$

then we define

$$
\|g\|_{+}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{k}\left|g_{k}\right|
$$

and denote by $A^{+}$all the functions $g$ for which $\|g\|_{+}<\infty$. One easily verifies that for two functions $f_{1}, f_{2} \in A^{ \pm}$one has

$$
\left\|f_{1} f_{2}\right\|_{ \pm} \leq\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{ \pm}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{ \pm},
$$

so that we must be dealing with Banach algebras.
Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{n} h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right\|_{+} & =1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{2 k}\left|K^{+}(n, k)\right| \\
\left\|x^{-n} h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)\right\|_{-} & =1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{2 k}\left|K^{-}(n, k)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking norms in (7.12) gives

$$
\left\|x^{n} h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right\|_{+} \leq 1+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q^{\nu+k}\left\|x^{k} h_{k, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right\|_{+}\left\|x^{n-k+1} U_{k-n-1}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right)\right\|_{+}
$$

Now

$$
\left\|x^{-n} U_{n}\left(\frac{x+x^{-1}}{2}\right)\right\|_{+}=\left\|\sum_{j=0}^{n} x^{-2 j}\right\|_{+}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} v_{2 j} \leq(n+1) v_{2 n},
$$

so that Gronwall's inequality gives

$$
\left\|x^{n} h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)\right\|_{+} \leq \exp \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k q^{v+k} v_{2 k}\right)
$$

Taking $\nu_{n}=a^{n}$ with $a<q^{-1 / 2}$ shows that

$$
1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{2 k}\left|K^{+}(n, k)\right|<\infty
$$

thus, $x^{n} h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q) \in A^{+}$. This shows that the function $h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ is, in fact, defined for $x>q^{1 / 2}$. Similar reasoning shows that $x^{-n} h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q) \in A^{-}$and that $h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)$ is defined for $x<q^{-1 / 2}$. From (7.8) we see that $h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)$ has poles at the zeros of $\left(q x^{-2} ; q\right)_{\infty}$ and that $x= \pm q^{1 / 2}$ are the poles of largest modulus. Similarly, $h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)$ has poles at the zeros of $\left(q x^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty}$ and $x= \pm q^{-1 / 2}$ are the poles of smallest modulus.

Suppose now that $\pm 1$ are not zeros of $h_{-1}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$. Evaluate the contour integral

$$
I_{+}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|x|=1} \frac{h_{n, v}(x ; q) h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)}{h_{-1, v}^{+}(x ; q)} d x
$$

If $m<n$, then the integrand behaves as $x^{m-n-1}$ near $x=\infty$ and thus $I_{+}$has no contribution from $x=\infty$. So when $x_{j}^{+}(j \geq 1)$ are the zeros of $h_{-1, v}^{+}(x ; q)$, then

$$
I_{+}=-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{h_{m, v}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right) h_{n, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right)}{\left[h_{-1, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right)\right]^{\prime}}
$$

where $N$ is defined as in Theorem 6.3 for $s=1$. Similarly, we compute the contour integral

$$
I_{-}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|x|=1} \frac{h_{m, v}(x ; q) h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)}{h_{-1, v}^{-}(x ; q)} d x
$$

The integrand behaves as $x^{n-m+1}$ near $x=0$ and, thus, there is no pole at the origin when $m<n$ (even for $m \leq n+1$ ). There are poles at the zeros $x_{j}^{-}(j \geq 1)$ of $h_{-1, v}^{-}(x ; q)$ and we thus have

$$
I_{-}=\sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{h_{m, \nu}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right) h_{n, \nu}^{-}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right)}{\left[h_{-l, \nu}^{-}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right)\right]^{\prime}}
$$

where $M$ is defined as in Theorem 6.3 for $s=1$. Subtracting $I_{+}$and $I_{-}$gives

$$
I_{-}-I_{+}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|x|=1} h_{m, v}(x ; q)\left(\frac{h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q) h_{-1, v}^{+}(x ; q)-h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q) h_{-1, v}^{-}(x ; q)}{h_{-1, v}^{+}(x ; q) h_{-1, v}^{-}(x ; q)}\right) d x
$$

The Laurent polynomial $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ is a solution of the three-term recurrence relation (7.1) and therefore a linear combination of the two special solutions $h_{n, v}^{ \pm}(x ; q)$. With the initial conditions $h_{0, v}(x ; q)=1$ and $h_{-1 ; \nu}(x ; q)=0$ and by combining (7.8) with Lemma 6.2, we find

$$
\left(x^{-1}-x\right) h_{n, v}(x ; q)={h_{-1, v}^{-}}^{-}(x ; q) h_{n, v}^{+}(x ; q)-h_{-1, v}^{+}(x ; q) h_{n, v}^{-}(x ; q)
$$

so that

$$
I_{-}-I_{+}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|x|=1}\left(x-x^{-l}\right) h_{n, v}(x ; q) h_{m, \nu}(x ; q) \frac{d x}{h_{-1, \nu}^{+}(x ; q) h_{-1 . \nu}^{-}(x ; q)}
$$

On the other hand, at a zero $x_{j}^{+}$we see that $h_{n, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right)$ is a solution of (7.1) with initial value $h_{-1, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right)=0$, so that $h_{n, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right)=h_{0, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right) h_{n, v}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right)$. Similarly, at a zero $x_{j}^{-}$we have $h_{n, v}^{-}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right)=h_{0, v}^{-}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right) h_{n, v}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{-}-I_{+}= & \sum_{j=0}^{M(v, q)} h_{n, v}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right) h_{m, v}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right) \frac{h_{0, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right)}{\left[h_{-1, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right)\right]^{\prime}} \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{M(\nu, q)} h_{n, v}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right) h_{m, v}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right) \frac{h_{0, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{+} ; q\right)}{\left[h_{-1, v}^{+}\left(x_{j}^{-} ; q\right)\right]^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining both expressions for $I_{-}-I_{+}$gives the orthogonality of the Laurent polynomials $h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ and corresponds to the result given in Theorem 6.3 for $s=1$. In the case $q^{1 / 2}<s<q^{-1 / 2}$, the orthogonality relations of Theorem 6.3 can be derived in a similar way.

This approach can also be used to prove orthogonality for the Laurent polynomials $x^{-1} h_{n, v}(x ; q)$ (see (2.3)). Note also that the case $q=0$ gives the orthogonality relations for the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind.
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