#### **Research Article**

### Geostatistical based soil fertility mapping of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

Dinesh Khadka<sup>1</sup>\*, Sushil Lamichhane<sup>1</sup>, Raj K. Giri<sup>2</sup>, Basant Chalise<sup>2</sup>, Rita Amgain<sup>1</sup> and Sushila Joshi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Soil Science Research Centre, NARC, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal
<sup>2</sup>Horticultural Research Station, NARC, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal
\*Correspondence: dinesh.khadka92@gmail.com;
\*ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0909-8342
Received: June 27, 2020; Accepted: October 10, 2020; Published: October 30, 2020

© Copyright: Khadka et al. (2020).

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0</u> International License.

#### ABSTRACT

Geostatistical soil mapping is important for determining spatial distribution of soil parameters. This study was conducted to assess soil spatial distribution of the Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal. The total 27 samples were collected randomly at a depth of 0-20 cm by using soil sampling auger. A GPS device was used for determination of geographical position of soil sampling points. The collected samples were analyzed following standard analytical methods in the laboratory of National Soil Science Research Centre, Khumaltar. The Arc-GIS 10.1 software was used for the mapping spatial distribution of various soil parameters. The observed data revealed the structure was sub-angular blocky and granular, whereas colour were dark brown to dark grayish brown and dark yellowish brown. The sand, silt and clay content were ranged 24.40-72.10%, 19.40-63.10% and 6.20-14.50%, respectively and categorized loam, sandy loam and silt loam in texture. The soil pH was slightly acidic to moderately alkaline (5.01-8.06), and very low available sulphur (0.59-2.41 mg/kg) and very low to low available boron (0.04-0.87 mg/kg). Moreover, very low to medium available manganese (2.18-13.46 mg/kg), very low to very high available iron (4.50-138.58 mg/kg), and low to medium available magnesium (7.20-177.60.53 mg/kg) and zinc (0.26-1.66 mg/kg). Similarly, medium total nitrogen (0.09-0.22%), medium to high organic matter (1.71-6.26%) and available calcium (1200-3144 mg/kg), medium to very high available phosphorus (3.71-82.4 mg/kg) and potassium (59.37-173.05 mg/kg). Correspondingly, high to very high available copper (0.78-4.20 mg/kg). The determined soil test data can be used for sustainable soil management as well as developing future research strategy in the farm.

Keywords: Arc-GIS, Jumla, Ordinary kriging, Soil fertility mapping, Soil analysis

**Correct citation:** Khadka, D., Lamichhane, S., Giri, R.K., Chalise, B., Amgain, R., & Joshi, S. (2020). Geostatistical based soil fertility mapping of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal. *Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 3(2), 257-275. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/janr.v3i2.32513

#### INTRODUCTION

Soil is a natural dynamic body, and plays important role for agriculture production (Jones, 2011). Soil can play their role accurately, when it is fertile and productive. In Nepal, Keeping fertile- productive soil has great challenges because of various intrinsic and extrinsic factors continuing in the soil system. The soil erosion, reduced organic matter, nutrients mining and imbalance use of various agro-chemical

are the major cause of reducing productivity of soils in Nepal (Tripathi, 2019). Moreover, most of the sites of Nepal soil organic matter, boron and sulphur are extremely critical in status due to natural and human- induced factor (Khadka *et al.*, 2016; Khadka *et al.*, 2017; Khadka *et al.*, 2018). In addition, increasing soil acidity is also greater challenge for increasing crops production in Nepal (Tripathi, 2019).

The soil fertility evaluation is the most basic decision making tool in order to efficient plan for sustainable soil management (Havlin *et al.*, 2010). Soil testing, Plant analysis, Biological tests and Visual deficiency symptoms are the commonly used methods for soil fertility evaluation (Panda, 2010). Among them soil testing is most popular as well as more scientific then other methods. Soil testing provides information regarding soil physico-chemical characteristics, which is useful for recommending amounts and types of fertilizer and other amendments for increased and profitable crop production (Biswas and Mukherjee, 2015). The bulk density, texture, structure, colour etc. are important soil physical parameters. Similarly, soil reaction (pH), organic matter, macro and micronutrients etc. are also important soil chemical parameters (Panda, 2010). Among them, some physical parameters can be determined in the field, while most of the chemical parameters in the laboratory.

Soil properties vary spatially in an area might be due to the effect of various factors such as soil management practices, indigenous fertility status, crop rotation, nature of standing crop etc. (Cambardella and Karlen, 1999). The Global Positioning Systems (GPS) and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are important tools, which makes easier for describing spatial variability of soil fertility in a different area. For preparing thematic soil fertility maps collecting soil samples by using GPS has greater role (Mishra *et al.*, 2013). Similarly, Geographical Information System (GIS) is a potential tool used for easy access, retrieval and manipulation of larger natural resources data often difficult to handle manually. It facilitates manipulation of spatial and attributes data of diverse origin (Mandal and Sharma, 2010). Based on the geo-statistical analysis, several studies have been conducted to characterize the spatial variability of different soil properties for digital soil mapping (Weindorf and Zhu, 2010; Liu *et al.*, 2013). Among the different geo-statistical methods for interpolation, ordinary kriging is widely used to map spatial soil variation because of its higher prediction accuracy (Song *et al.*, 2013).

Nepal Agricultural Research Council (NARC) was established to strengthen agriculture sector in the country through agriculture research. Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla is an important wing among the research farms of NARC, in order to generate appropriate horticultural crop production technologies for western high hills of Nepal. This site is most food insecure place of Nepal (Acharya *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, Information on digital soil mapping of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla are not done yet. Therefore, it is important to investigate the soil fertility status and mapping for digital soil mapping, thus may provide valuable information relating research strategy development. Considering this, the present study was initiated with the objective to assess the soil fertility status as well as mapping their spatial distribution of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study Area

The study was carried out at Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal (Figure 1). Moreover, in that site two blocks are separated namely B and C. The research station is located within the latitude 29°16'50"N to 29°12'20"N and longitude 82°12'20"E to 82°12'40"E E as well altitude 2376masl to 2398masl. The average temperature varies from 18°c to 30°c in summer and -14 °c to 8°c in winter and the annual average rainfall is 1343 mm. Apple, Walnut, Apricot, Peach, Plum, Sweet cherry, Kiwi, Potato, Pea, Bean, Cauliflower, Cabbage, Radish, Carrot and Garlic are the major horticultural crops grown in the farm.



Figure 1. Location Map of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### Soil Sampling

Surface soil samples (0-20 cm depth) were collected from different sites of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal during April 2017. The total 27 soil samples were collected from the two blocks (B; 15 Samples and C;12 Samples) by using soil sampling auger (Figure 2). The exact locations of the samples were recorded using a handheld GPS receiver. The random method based on the variability of the land was used to collect soil samples.



Figure 2. Soil Sample Distribution during Soil Sampling in the Study Area

#### Laboratory Analysis

The collected soil samples were analyzed at laboratory of National Soil Science Research Centre, Khumaltar. The different soil parameters tested as well as methods adopted to analyze is shown on the Table 1.

	Division, Khuma	ltar	
S.N.	Parameters	Unit	Methods
1.	Physical		
	Soil texture		Hydrometer (Bouyoucos, 1927)
	Soil colour		Munshell-colour chart (Munsell, 2009)
	Soil structure		Field-feel
	Bulk Density		Core (Keen and Raczkowaski, 1921)
2.	Chemical		
	Soil pH		Potentiometric 1:2 (Jackson, 1973)
	Organic matter	%	Walkely and Black (Walkley and Black, 1934)
	Total N	%	Kjeldahl (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982)
	Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	mg/kg	Olsen's (Olsen et al., 1954)
	Available K <sub>2</sub> O	mg/kg	Ammonium acetate (Jackson, 1967)
	Available Ca	mg/kg	EDTA Titration (El Mahi et al., 1987)
	Available Mg	mg/kg	EDTA Titration (El Mahi et.al., 1987)
	Available S	mg/kg	Turbidimetric (Verma, 1977)
	Available B	mg/kg	Hot water (Berger and Truog, 1939)
	Available Fe	mg/kg	DTPA (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978)
	Available Zn	mg/kg	DTPA (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978)
	Available Cu	mg/kg	DTPA (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978)
	Available Mn	mg/kg	DTPA (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978)

### Table 1. Parameters and Methods Adopted for the Laboratory Analysis at Soil Science Division, Khumaltar

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics (mean, range, standard deviation, standard error, coefficient of variation) of soil parameters were computed using the Minitab 17 package. Rating (very low, low, medium, high and very high) of determined values were based on Soil Science Division, Khumaltar. The coefficient of variation was also ranked for determination of nutrient variability according to the procedure of (Aweto, 1982) where,  $CV \le 25\%$  = low variation,  $CV > 25 \le 50\%$  = moderate variation, CV > 50% = high variation.

Arc Map 10.1 with geostatistical analyst extension of Arc GIS software was used to prepare spatial distribution map of soil parameters, while interpolation method employed was ordinary kriging with stable semi-variogram. Moreover, area of rating also calculated from the raster calculator tool. Correspondingly, spatial dependency was calculated to determine strength of spatial correlation from the various parameters of semi-variogram (nugget, partial sill, sill etc.). For calculation of spatial dependency we used following formulae, and interpreted in three category namely; strong (SD=<25%), moderate (SD=25-75%) and weak (SD=>75%) (Cambardella *et al.*, 1994).

Spatial Dependency (SD%) =  $\frac{Nugget}{Sill} \times 100$ 

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The soil fertility distribution of the studied site was assessed with respect to texture, colour, structure, bulk density, pH, organic matter, primary nutrients, secondary nutrients and micronutrients such as B, Fe, Zn, Cu, and Mn, and the results obtained are presented and discussed in the following headings.

#### Soil Texture

Soil texture is an important physical parameter showing drainage, water holding capacity, aeration, susceptibility to erosion, organic matter content, cation exchange capacity, pH buffering capacity and soil tilth (Berry *et al.*, 2007). The sand content of samples ranged from 24.4 to 72.10% with a mean of 43.87% and that of silt content were 19.40 to 63.10% with a mean of 46.35%, while the range of clay content was 6.2 to 45.5% with a mean of 9.79% (Table 3). This shows loam, sandy loam and silt loam

texture, where among them distribution of loam (area: 63.38%) was dominant (Figure 3). The site inhabiting loam texture is good for cultivation various kinds of horticultural crops and fruits, while in silt loam site care should be taken for tillage and water management. The coefficients of variation between the soil samples were moderate for sand (29.85%), and silt (25.01%), whereas low for clay (20.03%).

#### Table 3. Soil Texture Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

		Soil separates			
Descriptive Statistics	Sand	Silt	Clay		
	%				
Mean	43.87	46.35	9.79		
SEM	2.57	2.27	0.38		
SD	13.09	11.59	1.96		
Minimum	24.40	19.40	6.20		
Maximum	72.10	63.10	14.50		
CV%	29.85	25.01	20.03		
Class (Area)	Loam (63 38%): San	dy Loam (4 36%): Silt Lo	am(32.26%)		

SEM=Standard error of the mean; SD=Standard deviation



Figure 3. Soil Texture Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### Soil Colour

Soil colour is an indirect measure of other important characteristics such as water drainage, aeration, and organic matter content of soils (Foth, 1990). Three kinds of soil colour namely; dark brown (10YR 3/3), dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/3) and dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) were observed in the studied sites. The observed colour denotes satisfactory amount of humus in the soil.

#### **Soil Structure**

Soil structure refers to the pattern of spatial arrangement of soil particles in a soil mass (Brady and Weil, 2004). The sub-angular blocky (block B) and granular (Block C) kind of structure was observed in all the sites. The granular structure is good for agricultural point of view because in such structure soil aggregates are separated by elongated continuous pores, which allows good water and nutrient movement, and facilitates root growth (Pagliai and Vignozzi, 2002). The sub-angular blocky structure was also satisfactory for various agricultural practices.

#### **Bulk Density**

Soil bulk density is a basic dynamic soil property that influenced by various physical and chemical properties (Chaudhari *et al.*, 2013). The bulk density ranged from 1.05-1.29 g/cm<sup>3</sup> with a mean of 1.19 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. This shows the bulk density is ideal for the plants growth. The satisfactory conditions of organic matter (Figure 5) as well as fine texture (Figure 3) might be the cause of relatively ideal bulk density in the farm. The optimum condition of bulk density indicates less compaction inside the soil system, which helps to make water and nutrient movement easier; hence root growth also becomes easier. This causes suitable environment for root growth of deep rooted fruits like apple, walnut etc.

#### Soil pH

The pH is an excellent indicator for determining chemical nature of the soil (Shalini *et al.*, 2003). The soil pH varied from 5.01 to 8.06 with a mean of 7.02 (Table 4). The distribution soil pH varied from slightly acidic to moderately alkaline, but majority area (45.08%) contained moderately alkaline range (Figure 4; Figure 17). The variation on soil management practice in the different sites of the farm from the longer period might be the cause of high variation of soil pH (moderately acidic to moderately alkaline). The determined pH is suitable for most of the vegetable crops and fruits. But special care should be taken in the slightly acidic pH inhabiting sites; because day to day nutrient management practice may decrease pH in long-term. Soil pH showed low variability (11.49%) among the soil samples.

		Soil I	Fertility Paramet	ers	
Descriptive Statistics	pH	OM	Ν	$P_2O_5$	K <sub>2</sub> O
		%	, 0	mg/	′kg
Mean	7.02	3.80	0.15	30.18	117.38
SEM	0.16	0.21	0.01	4.46	6.59
SD	0.81	1.10	0.03	22.29	34.24
Minimum	5.01	1.71	0.09	3.71	59.37
Maximum	8.06	6.26	0.22	82.4	173.05
CV%	11.49	29.05	21.45	73.85	29.17

Table 4. Soil Fertility Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

SEM=Standard error of the mean; SD=Standard deviation



Figure 4. Soil pH Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### **Organic Matter**

Organic matter plays important role for improving various physical, chemical and biological properties (Hoyle *et al.*, 2011). The organic matter varied from 1.71 to 6.26% with a mean of 3.80% (Table 4). The distribution of organic matter ranged from medium to high, meanwhile medium status (97.74%) was prevalent (Figure 5; Figure 17). For long-term cultivation, there may be more chance of organic matter deterioration due to various cultivation practice adopted for fruit and vegetable cultivation. Therefore, different organic matter improving program (adding compost, crop residue retention etc.) is suggested regularly for maintaining organic matter in long-term. Organic matter showed moderate variability (29.05%) among the soil samples.



Figure 5. Organic Matter Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### **Total Nitrogen**

Nitrogen is the most important nutrient, and required by the plant in largest proportion (Havlin *et al.*, 2010). The total nitrogen ranged from 0.09 to 0.22% with a mean of 0.15% (Table 4). The data revealed all area was medium in distribution (Figure 6; Figure 17). Being medium status in all the area; 75% of the total recommended nitrogen dose is requires for adequate supply of nitrogen for crops in the farm (Joshi and Deo, 1975). Total nitrogen showed low variability (21.45%) among the soil samples.



Figure 6. Total Nitrogen Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### **Available Phosphorus**

Phosphorus is the second most limiting nutrient after nitrogen and less available to crop because of its complex chemistry in soil (Rashmi *et al.*, 2014). The available phosphorus varied from 3.71 to 84.40 mg/kg with a mean of 30.18 mg/kg (Table 4). The distribution of available phosphorus was medium to very high; while high status (72.27%) was prevalent (Figure 7; Figure 17). The higher content of available phosphorus in the farm might be due to the continuous application of phosphatic fertilizers for every crop without knowing phosphorus availability in soil. The area having medium and high status, 60% and 40%, respectively of recommended phosphorus dose should be sufficient for the crops in the farm (Joshi and Deo, 1975). Available phosphorus showed moderate variability (73.85%) among the soil samples.



Figure 7. Available Phosphorus of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### **Available Potassium**

Potassium is essential for growth of all the plants, but unique on horticultural crop because of playing role for improving their quality (Mikkelsen, 2018). The available potassium varied from 59.37 to 173.05 mg/kg with a mean of 117.38 mg/kg (Table 4). The distribution of available potassium ranged from medium to high, but medium (65.66%) status was dominant (Figure 8; Figure 17). The minerals such as muscovite, biotite, feldspars, orthoclase, microcline, mica etc. are major K-bearing minerals found in the earth (Sparks, 1987). The occurrence of their different minerals; optimum organic matter status might be the cause of satisfactory conditions of available potassium in the farm. The area having medium and high status, 60% and 40%, respectively of recommended potassium dose should be sufficient for the crops in the farm (Joshi and Deo, 1975). Available potassium showed moderate variability (29.17%) among the soil samples.



Figure 8 Available Potassium in the Agricultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### **Available Calcium**

Calcium is a secondary macronutrient, and is vital to run various living process in plants (Medvedev, 2005). The available calcium ranged from 1200 to 3144 mg/kg with a mean of 2264 mg/kg (Table 5). The distribution of available calcium was medium to high; whereas high status (77.60%) was dominant (Figure 9; Figure 17). The occurrence of nearly neutral to alkaline soil pH in the majority sites might be the cause of satisfactory status of available calcium. Available calcium showed low variability (24.88%) among the soil samples.

	Soil Fertility Parameters				
Descriptive Statistics –	Ca	Mg	S	В	
		mg/kg			
Mean	2264.00	50.04	0.99	0.40	
SEM	108.00	7.82	0.09	0.04	
SD	563.00	40.62	0.44	0.22	
Minimum	1200.00	7.20	0.59	0.04	
Maximum	3144.00	177.60	2.41	0.87	
CV%	24.88	81.17	44.42	55.38	

#### Table 5. Soil Fertility Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

SEM=Standard error of the mean; SD=Standard deviation



Figure 9. Available Calcium Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### Available Magnesium

Magnesium is the second most abundant cation in living plant cells, and involved in many metabolic processes namely photosynthesis, enzyme catalysis, and nucleic acid synthesis (Tanol and Kobayashi, 2015). The available magnesium varied from 7.2 to 177.6 mg/kg with a mean of 50.04 mg/kg (Table 5; Figure 17). The distribution of available magnesium was low to medium; but low status (78.50%) was prevalent (Figure 10; Table 7). Being low status, application of Mg@50-100 kg/ha (broadcast) or 10-20 kg/ha (row application) is suggested for reducing magnesium deficiency stress for horticultural crops (Khatri-Chettri, 1991). Available magnesium showed high variability (81.17%) among the soil samples.



Figure 10. Available Magnesium Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### **Available Sulphur**

Sulphur is an important element for running life of plant, but at most critical status in various sites of Nepal (Khadka *et al.*, 2016; Khadka *et al.*, 2017; Khadka *et al.*, 2018). The available sulphur ranged from 0.59 to 2.41 mg/kg with a mean of 0.99 mg/kg (Table 5). The distribution of available sulphur was very low in all the site (Figure 11; Figure 17).



# Figure 11. Available Sulphur Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

The intense cultivation of crops without application of sulphur containing fertilizer might be the cause of deficient status of available sulphur in the field. Being critical sulphur status, application at the rate of 15-30 kg S/ha is mandatory for reducing sulphur deficiency stress for crops (Khatri-Chettri, 1991). During sulphur fertilizer application care should have to take in slightly acidic inhibiting site, as they have acidity causing behavior. Available sulphur showed moderate variability (44.42%) among the soil samples.

#### **Available Boron**

Among the micronutrients, Boron is documented as second most deficit element after zinc in all over the world (Ahmad *et al.*, 2012). The available boron ranged from 0.04 to 0.87 mg/kg with a mean of 0.40 mg/kg (Table 5). The distribution of available boron was very low to low, but very low (51.10%) status was prevalent (Figure 11; Figure 17). The very deficient status of available boron was also reported by Khadka *et al.*, 2016; Khadka *et al.*, 2017; Khadka *et al.*, 2018; Khadka *et al.*, 2019 during their study in the various sites of Nepal. The intense cultivation of crops without addition of boron containing fertilizer might be the cause of deficient status of available boron in the field. Being inadequate boron, application of 2-3 kg B/ha is advisable for reducing boron deficiency stress for crops (Khatri-Chettri, 1991). Available boron showed high variability (53.38%) among the soil samples.



Figure 12. Available Boron Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### **Available Iron**

Iron is an important micronutrient in the life cycle of plant (Rout and Sahoo, 2015), and possesses adequate to toxic status in various sites of Nepal (Khadka *et al.*, 2016; Khadka *et al.*, 2017; Khadka *et al.*, 2018). The available iron varied from 4.50 to 138.58 mg/kg with a mean of 28.24 mg/kg (Table 6). The diverse distribution ranged from very low to very high was determined, although very high status (35.40%) was prevalent (Figure 13; Figure 17). The occurrence of primary and secondary iron minerals such as hematite, olivine, siderite, goethite, magnetite etc. might be the cause of high content of available iron, because these are the major mineral containing iron element (Havlin *et al.*, 2010). The high iron availability reduces the uptake of different nutrients such as P, K, Mn and Zn; thus shows deficiency stress of these elements in the plants (Fageria *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, proper care should be taken for reducing deficiency stress of these antagonistic elements in very high status inhabiting sites shown in the map. Available iron showed high variability (104.37%) among the soil samples.

<b>_</b>	Soil Fertility Parameters				
Descriptive Statistics	Fe	Zn	Cu	Mn	
	mg/kg				
Mean	28.24	0.92	2.10	5.50	
SEM	5.67	0.07	0.16	0.52	
SD	29.47	0.36	0.85	2.68	
Minimum	4.50	0.26	0.78	2.18	
Maximum	138.58	1.66	4.20	13.46	
CV%	104.37	39.24	40.46	48.68	

Table 6. Soil Fertilit	v Status of Horticult	tural Research Station,	Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal
	) // //////////////////////////////////		

SEM=Standard error of the mean; SD=Standard deviation



Figure 13. Available Iron Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### **Available Zinc**

Zinc deficiency is a major micronutrient constraint for food production in every parts of the world (Welch, 2002) and considered second most deficit micronutrient after boron in Nepal (Anderson, 2007). The available zinc varied from 0.26 to 1.66 mg/kg with a mean of 0.92 mg/kg (Table 6). The distribution of available zinc ranged from low to medium, however low status (70.28%) was dominant (Figure 14; Figure 17). The inadequate zinc, means there may have more chance being zinc mining problem. Therefore, application of Zn@4-8 kg/ha (broadcast) or 2-4 kg/ha (band placement) is suggested for reducing this problem (Khatri-Chettri, 1991). Available zinc showed moderate variability (39.24%) among the soil samples.



Figure 14. Available Zinc Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### **Available Copper**

Copper is an important micronutrient for plant growth and development, although it is also potentially toxic (Yruela, 2005). The available copper ranged from 0.78 to 4.20 mg/kg with a mean of 2.10 mg/kg (Table 6). The distribution of available copper was high to very high, even though high (area: 63.98%) status was dominant (Figure 15; Figure 17). The high level of available copper may showed different

kinds of toxic effects in the plants. Low seed germination, inhibition of root and shoot growth, disturbance on photosynthetic apparatus and pigments etc. may be the toxic effects of copper (Adrees *et al.*, 2015). Being high status of available copper in the soil, care should be taken during fungicide, pesticides, herbicides application in the field because these chemical already contains copper element (Husak, 2015). Available copper showed moderate variability (40.46%) among the soil samples.



## Figure 15. Available Copper Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### Available Manganese

Manganese is an important micronutrient, acts as a cofactor, activates various enzymes involved in the oxidation–reduction, decarboxylation and hydrolytic reactions in plants (Mousavi *et al.*, 2011). The available manganese varied 2.18 to 13.46 mg/kg with a mean of 5.50 mg/kg (Table 6).



## Figure 16. Available Manganese Status of Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

The distribution of available manganese ranged from very low to medium, while low status (91.13%) was widespread (Figure 16; Figure 17). The intense cultivation of crops without application of

manganese containing fertilizer might be the cause of deficient status of available manganese in the field. Being inadequate available manganese, application of 8-16 kg Mn/ha is advisable for reducing manganese deficiency stress in plants (Khatri-Chettri, 1991). Available manganese showed moderate variability (48.68%) among the soil samples.



### Figure 17. Coverage Area of Fertility Parameters in Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal

#### Spatial structure of studied parameters

The data regarding various parameters of stable semi-variogram ordinary kriging interpolation method for different soil properties showed weak to strong spatial dependency (Table 7). The strong spatial dependency (SDI=<25%) was determined on potassium, calcium and iron; means these parameters have less or do not influence of artificial factor like tillage, fertilization and other management practice. But they are mainly affected by natural factor like climate, parent materials, topography, soil type etc.

Table 7. Summary of semi-variogram	parameters for studied soil parameters
------------------------------------	--

SN	Parameters	Range (m)	Nugget (C <sub>0</sub> )	Sill $(C_0+C_1)$	SDI%	Interpretation
1.	Sand	86.3	112.61	236.87	45.54	moderate
2.	Silt	90.52	72.83	139.02	38.53	moderate
3.	Clay	911.52	3.69	4.11	89.78	moderate
4.	pH	542	0.3	0.89	33.71	moderate
5.	OM	283.61	0.71	1.66	42.77	moderate
6.	Ν	283.61	0.00059	0.0014	42.45	moderate
7.	$P_2O_5$	184.36	323.35	738.35	43.87	moderate
8.	K <sub>2</sub> O	90.52	0	1690.33	0	strong
9.	Ca	86.31	0	418488.4	0	strong
10.	Mg	920.9	1515.25	1854.84	81.69	weak
11.	S	920.9	0.19	0.19	100	weak
12.	В	920.9	0.049	0	100	weak
13.	Fe	90.52	0	844.8	0	strong
14.	Zn	509.05	0.079	0.18	44.21	moderate
15.	Cu	237.58	0.46	0.64	71.88	moderate
16.	Mn	233.63	3.73	6.73	55.42	moderate

Most of the parameters possessed moderate spatial dependency (SDI=25-75%) means have both artificial and natural influence for the spatial structure. On the other hand, magnesium, sulphur and

boron determined weak spatial dependency (SDI=>75%) indicates more influence of artificial factor than the natural factors (Chien et al., 1997).

#### CONCLUSIONS

The determined soil test data can be used mainly in two purposes. First one is for sustainable soil management, while another for developing research strategy as being a farm of research station. The studied physical properties symbolize the current status is satisfactory for agricultural purpose. Soil pH is suitable for growing horticultural crops, but care should taken at slightly acidic sites as there may chance of reducing pH due to various nutrient management practice. The organic matter is optimal in the farm. For maintaining present status, existing organic matter management practice should be continued. The fertilizer should be applied for each crops based on the determined nutrient distribution status shown in the prepared digital maps. The plants may suffer from deficiency stress of low, and toxicity stress of very high status of nutrients. The proper care should be taken for such types of nutrients and sites shown in the maps. For enhancing research efficacy of the horticultural crops in the farm, future research strategy should be built based on the prepared digital distribution map.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Nepal Agricultural Research Council for funding this research. We are very much thankful to Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal for their cooperation. Similarly, support of the National Soil Science Research Centre, Khumaltar for providing laboratory facilities to analyze the soil samples and preparation of soil fertility maps as well as other technical support is highly acclaimed.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

D. Khadka planned, designed and conducted this experiment. S. Lamichhane, R. K. Giri, B. Chalise, R. Amgain and S. Joshi helped during this experiment. All authors approved the final version of this manuscript.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding publication of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Acharya, A.K., Paudel, M. P., Wasti, P.C, Sharma, R.D., & Dhital, S. (2018). Status Report on Food and Nutrition Security in Nepal. Ministry of Agriculture, Land Management and Cooperatives, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Adrees, M., Ali, S., Rizwan, M., Ibrahim, M., Abbas, F., Farid, M., & Bharwana, S.A. (2015). The effect of excess copper on growth and physiology of important food crops: a review. *Environmental Science & Pollution Research*, 22(11), 8148-8162. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4496-5
- Ahmad, W., Zia, M. H., Malhi, S. S., & A. Niaz. (2012). Boron Deficiency in soils and crops: a review. In: A. Goyal (ed.), Crop plant. Intech Open. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5772/36702
- Andersen, P. (2007). A review of micronutrient problems in the cultivated soil of Nepal. *Mountain research & development*, 27(4), 331-336. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1659/mrd.0915
- Aweto, A.O. 1982. Variability of upper slope soils developed under sandstones in Southwestern Nigeria. *Georgian Journal*, 25:27-37.
- Berger, K. C. & E. Truog. 1939. Boron determination in soils and plants. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Analytical Edition*, 11(10), 540-545.

- Berry, W., Ketterings, Q., Antes, S. Page, S., RussellAnelli, J., Rao, R., & DeGloria, S. (2007). Soil Texture. Agronomy Fact Sheet Series, Fact Sheet 29. Cornell University Cooperative Extension.
- Biswas, T.D., & Mukherjee, S.K. (2015). Textbook of Soil Science. Second Edition. McGraw Hill Education (India) Private Limited. New Delhi, India. 433p.
- Bouyoucos, G. J. 1962. Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analyses of soils. *Agronomy Journal*, 54(5), 464-465.
- Brady, N. C., & Weil, R.R. (2002). The nature and properties of soils. 13<sup>th</sup> Ed. Pearson Education, New Jersey.
- Bremner, J. M., & Mulvaney, C.S. (1982). Nitrogen total. In A. L. Page (ed), Methods of soil analysis. Agron. No. 9, Part 2: Chemical and microbiological properties, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (pp. 595-624). American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, USA.
- Cambardella C., Moorman, T., Parkin, T., Karlen D., & Novak, J. (1994). Field-scale variability of soil properties in central lowa soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 58, 1501-1511. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2136/sssai1994.03615995005800050033x
- Cambardella, C. A., & Karlen, D. L. 1999. Spatial analysis of soil fertility parameters. *Precision Agriculture*, 1, 5-14.
- Chaudhari, P. R., Ahire, D. V., Ahire, V. D., Chkravarty, M., & Maity, S. (2013). Soil bulk density as related to soil texture, organic matter content and available total nutrients of Coimbatore soil. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 3(2):1-8.
- Chien, Y.J., Lee, D.Y., and Guo, H.Y. (1997). Geostatistical analysis of soil properties of midwest Tai Wan soil. *Soil Science*, 162(4), 151-162.
- El Mahi, Y. E., Ibrahim, I.S., Abdel Magid, H.M., and Eltilib, A.M.A. (1987). A simple method for the estimation of calcium and magnesium carbonates in soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 51(5), 1152-1155.
- Fageria, N. K., Santos, A. B., Filho, M.P., & Guimaries, C. M. (2008). Iron toxicity in lowland rice. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 31: 1676-1697. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160802244902
- Foth, H.D. (1990). Fundamental of Soil Science. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Havlin, H.L., Beaton, J.D., Tisdale, S. L., & Nelson, W. L. (2010). Soil Fertility and Fertilizersan introduction to nutrient management. 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. PHI Learning Private Limited, New Delhi.
- Hoyle, F.C., Baldock, J.A., and Murphy, D.V. (2011). Soil organic carbon role in rainfed farming systems: with particular reference to Australian conditions. In P. Tow, I. Cooper, I. Partridge and C. Birch (eds.). Rainfed farming systems (pp. 339–361). Springer: New York, NY.
- Husak, V. (2015). Copper and copper containing pesticides: metabolism, toxicity and oxidative stress. *Journal of Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University*, 2(1), 39-51. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15330/jpnu.2.1.38-50
- Jackson, M. L. 1973. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Jones Jr, J.B. 2012. Plant nutrition and soil fertility manual. CRC press.
- Joshy, D., & Deo, G.P. (1976). Fertilizers Recommendations for Major crops of Nepal. Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Department of Agriculture, HMG/Nepal.
- Keen, B.A., & Raczkowski, H. (1921). The relation between clay content and certain physical properties of soil. *Journal of agricultural Sciences*, 11, 441-449.

- Khadka, D., Lamichhane, S., Amgain, R., Joshi, S., Vista, S.P., Sah, K., & Ghimire, N.H. (2019). Soil fertility assessment and mapping spatial distribution of Agricultural Research Station, Bijayanagar, Jumla, Nepal. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*, 8(3):237-248. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18393/ejss.566551
- Khadka, D., Lamichhane, S., Bhurer, K.P., Chaudhary, J.N., Ali, M.F., & Lakhe, L. (2018). Soil Fertility Assessment and Mapping of Regional Agricultural Research Station, Parwanipur, Bara, Nepal. *Journal of Nepal Agricultural Research Council*, 4(28), 33-47. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3126/jnarc.v4i1.19688
- Khadka, D., Lamichhane, S., Malla, R., & Joshi, S. (2016). Assessment of Soil Fertility Status of Oilseed Research Program, Nawalpur, Sarlahi, Nepal. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 4(6), 1472-1483. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/665
- Khadka, D., Lamichhane, S., Tiwari D.N., & Mishra, K. (2017). Assessment of soil fertility status of National Rice Research Program, Hardinath, Dhanusha, Nepal. *International Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Research*, 3(1), 86-105.
- Khatri-Chhetri, T.B. (1991). Introduction to Soils and Soil fertility. Tribhuvan University Institute of Agricultural and Animal Science, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal. 233p.
- Lindsay, W. L., & Norvell, W. A. (1978). Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper. *Soil Science Society of American Journal*, 42(3), 421-428.
- Liu Z.P., Shao, M.A., & Wang, Y.Q. (2013). Spatial patterns of soil total nitrogen and soil total phosphorus across the entire Loess Plateau region of China. *Geoderma*, 197, 67-78. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.12.011
- Mandal, A.K., & Sharma, R. C. (2010). Computerized Database of Salt-affected soils in Peninsular India using Geographic Information System. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*, 58(1), 105-116. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/10106040801966829
- Medvedev, S.S. (2005). Calcium signaling system in plants. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 52, 249–270.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11183-005-0038-1
- Mikkelsen, R. L. (2018). The Importance of Potassium Management for Horticultural Crops. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers*, 13(11), 82-86.
- Mishra, A., Das, D., & Saren, S. (2013). Preparation of GPS and GIS based soil fertility maps for Khurda district, Odisha. *Indian Agriculture*, 57(1), 11-20.
- Mousavi, S.R., Shahsavari, M., & Rezaei, M. (2011). A general overview of manganese (Mn) importance for crops production. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, 5(9), 1799–1803.
- Munsell. (2009). Standard soil colour charts. Munsell color company, Inc. Baltimore, Maryland, United States of America.
- Olsen, S. R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S., & Dean, L. A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U. S. Dep. Agric. Circ. 939, USA.
- Panda, S.C. (2010). Soil Management and Organic Farming. Agrobios, Bharat Printing Press, Jodhpur, India. 462p.
- Ramamurthy, B., & Bajaj, J. C. (1969). Available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium status of Indian soils. *Fertilizer News*, 14, 25-36.
- Rashmi I., Biswas, A.K., & Ramkrishna Parama V.R. (2014). Phosphorus management in agriculture: a review. *Agriculture Reviews*, 35(4).
- Rout, G. R., & Sahoo, S. (2015). Role of iron in plant growth and metabolism. *Reviews in Agricultural Science*, *3*, 1-24. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7831/RAS.3.1

- Shalini, K., Devenda, H.S., and Dhindsa, S.S. (2003). Studies on causes and possible remedies of water and soil pollution in Sanganer town of Pink City. *Indian International Journal of Environment Science*, 7(1), 47-52.
- Song, G., Zhang, L., Wang, K., & Fang, M. (2013). Spatial simulation of soil attribute based on principles of soil science. IEEE
- Sparks, D.L. (1987). Potassium dynamics in soils. Advances in Soil Science, 6, 1-63.
- Tanol, K., & Kobayashi, N.I. (2015). Leaf Senescence by Magnesium Deficiency. *Plants*, 4: 756-772. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/plants4040756
- Tripathi, B. P. (2019). Sustainable Soil Fertility Management Practices in Nepal. Acta Scietific Agriculture, 3(4), 112-113.
- Verma, B. C., Swaminathan, K., and Sud, K.C. (1977). An improved turbidimetric procedure for the determination of sulphate in plants and soils. *Talanta*, 24(1), 49-50.
- Walkley, A.J., & Black, I.A. (1934). Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*, 37(1), 29-38.
- Weindorf, D.C., & Ghu, Y. (2010). Spatial variability of soil properties at Capulin volcano, New Mexico, USA: Implications for sampling strategy. *Pedosphere*, 20(2), 185-197.
- Welch, R.M. (2002). The impact of mineral nutrients in food crops on global human health. *Plant and Soil*, 247, 83-90.
- Yruela, I. (2005). Copper in plants. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 17(1): 145-156. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/S1677-04202005000100012