### doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1484/1/012013

## Europium Doped Magnesium Zinc Sulfophosphate Glass as **Potential Red Laser Host**

I M Danmallam<sup>a,c</sup>, H Bhaktiar<sup>a,b</sup>, R Ariffin<sup>a</sup>, I Bulus<sup>a,d</sup>, S K Ghoshal<sup>\*a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Phosphor Research Group & AOMRG, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Skudai, Johor, Malaysia.

<sup>b</sup>Laser Centre and Advance Optical Materials Research Group, , Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Skudai, Johor, Malaysia.

<sup>c</sup>Sokoto Energy Research Center, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Sokoto, Nigeria.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Physics, School of Sciences, Kaduna State College of Education Gidan waya, Kafanchan, Nigeria.

Email: sibkrishna@utm.my, ibrahimdanmallam @gmail.com

Abstract. Demand for rare earth ions (REIs) doped inorganic glasses have been everincreasing for diverse photonic applications. Synthesis of these glasses needs the appropriate choice of suitable host matrices, modifiers, and REIs as dopants to improve their spectroscopic traits. In this realization, a new series of magnesium-zinc-sulfophosphate glasses were prepared with varied europium ions ( $Eu^{3+}$ ) doping contents (0 to 2.0 mol%). Such melt-quench synthesized glasses were characterized at room temperature by diverse analytical techniques to determine their physical and optical properties. XRD pattern of as-quenched samples confirmed their amorphous nature. Densities of the glass system were observed to increase from 2.540 to 2.788 g.cm<sup>-3</sup> with the increase in Eu<sup>3+</sup> doping contents from 0 to 2.0 mol% which were attributed to the generation of more bridging oxygen atoms and enhanced network compactness. Photoluminescence (PL) emission spectra of glasses exhibited four characteristic peaks positioned at 593, 613, 654 and 701 nm assigned to corresponding  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{0}$ ,  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$ ,  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{3}$ . and  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{4}$  transitions in Eu<sup>3+</sup>, in which the intensity of the peak at 613 nm (red) was highest. Emission intensities of all peaks were enhanced with the rise in Eu<sup>3+</sup> content up to 1.5 mol% and quenched thereafter. It was affirmed that the physical and optical traits of these glass compositions can be improved by adjusting the Eu<sup>3+</sup> doping contents. The proposed glass compositions may be potential for the development of varied photonic devices especially for eye safe solid-state red laser and fibre sensors.

#### 1. Introduction

It has been established that the high solubility of rare earth ions (REIs) in the oxide glass host matrix is beneficial for the improvement various properties of the host materials. Despite such exceptional physiochemical properties of oxide glass hosts the low quantum efficiency, the concentration dependent emission intensity quenching and small absorption coefficient of REIs doped glasses limits their practical applications [1]. Trivalent europium ion (Eu<sup>3+</sup>) display  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$  transition (deep in red colour at 613 nm) in the presence of non-degenerate  ${}^{7}F_{0}$  (ground) and  ${}^{5}D_{0}$  (excited) states [2]. Repeated researches revealed that incorporation of metallic nanostructures (with varied morphologies and concentrations) into the glass system can significantly modify their structure and physical properties, thereby influence the PL emission intensity of the phosphor. Energy efficiency, environmental friendliness (devoid of mercury pollution), higher illumination, ease of installation and

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

conveyance over incandescent fluorescent bulbs truly make glass based phosphors gifted materials [3]. For most of the REIs doped oxide glasses the spectroscopic properties are intensively studied to see their feasibility for practical device applications. In this regard, the Judd-Ofelt (J-O) intensity parameters ( $\Omega_2$ ,  $\Omega_4$  and  $\Omega_6$ ) and radiative properties as well as the nephelauxetic ratio and the bonding parameters were routinely calculated to support the measured spectroscopic and structural characteristics.

Considering the abovementioned significant attributes of REIs doped phosphate-based glasses, in this work we synthesized and characterized a series of (65-x) P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-20MgO-15ZnSO<sub>4</sub>-xEu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glasses (where x was varied from 0.0 to 2.0 mol%) using melt-quenching method. As-synthesized glasses were characterized using various analytical techniques to assess their physical and structural properties. PL spectra were used to determine the emission cross-section. Besides, J-O intensity and radiative parameters were calculated to complement the experimental spectroscopic data. The achieved results were analysed, interpreted, discussed and compared. The proposed glass compositions with improved optical traits were shown to be effective for the development of various efficient photonic devices.

### 2. Experimental procedures

High purity phosphorus pentoxide, magnesium oxide, zinc sulfate, europium oxide and silver chloride (purchased from Sigma Aldrich) were used as raw chemicals for the glass preparation. The melt quenching method was used for the glass synthesis. Depending on the nominal glass composition, these chemicals (without further purification) were weighed and placed in an alumina crucible before being melted in an electrical furnace at 1100 °C for 90 minutes. Upon achieving the required viscosity, the melt was dispensed onto metal plate and annealed at 300 °C for 180 minutes before being cooled down to room temperature. The molar composition of glasses molar composition (65-x) P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-20MgO-15ZnSO<sub>4</sub>-xEu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (x = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0) were prepared using melt-quenching method. Asquenched samples were coded as MZSPE0.0, MZSPE0.5, MZSPE1.0, MZSPE1.5 and MZSPE2.0 depending on Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> contents. Synthesized glasses were cut and polished to achieve desired transparency and uniform thickness for further characterizations.

The amorphous character of the as-quenched samples was confirmed using X-ray diffraction analyses (Rigaku X-ray Diffractometer that used Cu-K $\alpha$  radiations of wavelength,  $\lambda \simeq 1.54$  Å) operated at 40 kV and 40 mA, scanning angle (2 $\theta$ ) range of 10-80°, step size of 0.02° and resolution of 0.01°. Jeol JSMeIT300LV (operated at 30 kV with 10 mm resolution) was used to record elemental maps and EDX spectra. Absorption spectra of studied glasses in the range of 350–2500 nm (at resolution of ±1 nm) were recorded by Shimadzu UVPC-3101 spectrophotometer.

### 3.0 Result and Discussions

### 3.1 Structural properties

Figure 1 shows the XRD pattern of two selected as-quenched samples (MZSPE0.5 and MZSPE2.0). Complete absence of any characteristic structural long-range order clearly confirmed their amorphous nature. It did not show any crystallization peak and revealed a broad hump at 25° which is the character of glassy or amorphous system [4].

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

**1484** (2020) 012013 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1484/1/012013

**IOP** Publishing



Figure 1. XRD pattern of MZSPE0.5 and MZSPE2.0 glasses.

Figure 2 and 3 depicts EDX spectra and EDX maps of the glasses ascertaining the presence of right elements (Eu, Zn, Mg, O, S and P in Wt%) in the glass system and their regular homogenous distribution within the disorder network, respectively. Appearance of sharp peak at 1.5 keV indicated the existence of oxygen in the sample. The EDX maps of MZSPE2.0 glass depicted homogeneous distribution of elements within glass system [5].



Figure 2. EDX pattern of MZSPE2.0 glass.



Figure 3. Elemental composition mapping in the MZSPE2.0 glass.

### 3.2 Optical properties

Figure 4 (a) and (b) shows the absorption spectra of synthesized glasses in visible and NIR region, respectively. The former one (Figure 4 (a)) consisted of six peaks and the later (Figure 4 (b)) composed of two peaks which aroused from the ground state to excitation state transitions of  $Eu^{3+}$ . Six visible absorption peaks centred at 360, 380, 394, 414, 465, and 531 nm corresponded to  ${}^{7}F_{0-}{}^{5}L_{6}$ ,  ${}^{7}F_{1-}{}^{5}D_{2}$ ,  ${}^{7}F_{0-}{}^{5}D_{2}$  and  ${}^{7}F_{1-}{}^{5}D_{1}$  transitions in  $Eu^{3+}$ . Besides the appearance of two NIR peaks at 2091 and 2205 nm were allotted to  ${}^{7}F_{6-}{}^{7}F_{0}$  and  ${}^{7}F_{1-}{}^{7}F_{6}$  transitions in  $Eu^{3+}$  [6]. Irrespective of  $Eu^{3+}$  concentration variation, the peak positions of all spectral transition remained unchanged which was attributed to the weak crystal field interaction in the proposed glass system [7]. The occurrence of inhomogeneous broadening in the absorption peak affirmed the disorder nature of the glass network.

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1484/1/012013



Figure 4. Absorption spectra of the glasses in the (a) visible region and (b) NIR region.

Table 1 presents the observed band position (cm<sup>-1</sup>), their assignments and the bonding parameters ( $\beta$  and  $\delta$ ) of studied glasses. By exploring the Eu<sup>3+</sup> ligand bond, the nephelauxetic ratio in terms of ligand field properties and the bonding parameter yields.

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{1 - \bar{\beta}}{\bar{\beta}}\right) \times 100 \tag{1}$$

with

$$\bar{\beta} = \frac{v_c}{v} \tag{2}$$

where  $v_c$  and  $v_a$  denotes the respective energies (in cm<sup>-1</sup>) of glass host for equivalent transition in aquo and complex ions.

The Eu<sup>3+</sup> contents dependent variation in the average nephelauxetic ratios and bonding parameters of glasses. Ionic or covalent character among ligand and metal ion were verified using the bonding parameter ( $\delta$ ) demonstrating improve covalency of the synthesized glasses with values range from (-0.000371 to 0.00726) as shown in table 1.

**Table 1.** Band locations (cm<sup>-1</sup>), assignments and bonding parameters ( $\beta$  and  $\delta$ ) of synthesized glasses.

Transitions	MZSPE0.5	MZSPE1.0	MZSPE1.5	MZSPE2.0	Aquo
$^{7}F_{0}\rightarrow ^{5}L_{6}$	27777.8	27777.8	27472.5	27624.3	27670
$^{7}F_{0}\rightarrow ^{5}G_{4}$	26315.9	26525.2	26246.7	26109.7	26620
$^{7}F_{0}\rightarrow ^{5}L_{6}$	25380.7	25445.3	25316.5	25000	25400
$^{7}F_{1} \rightarrow ^{5}D_{3}$	24154.6	24178.6	24200.4	24120.7	24038
$^{7}F_{0}\rightarrow ^{5}D_{2}$	21505.4	21551.7	21598.3	21505.4	21519
$^{7}F_{1}\rightarrow ^{5}D_{1}$	18832.4	18761.7	18726.6	18761.7	19028
$^{7}F_{0}\rightarrow ^{7}F_{6}$	17006.8	16666.7	16611.2	16583.5	16638.9
β	1.000371	0.999957	0.995390	0.99274	1
δ	-0.00371	0.000043	0.00461	0.00726	0

# International Laser Technology and Optics Symposium 2019 (iLATOS2019)IOP PublishingJournal of Physics: Conference Series1484 (2020) 012013doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1484/1/012013

Figure 5 displays the excitation spectra of a selected glass obtained at 613 nm. It consisted of eight excitation peaks positioned at 364, 376, 381, 433, 463, 533, 593 and 613 nm assigned to the transitions of  ${}^{7}F_{0} \rightarrow {}^{5}L_{10}$ ,  ${}^{7}F_{0} \rightarrow {}^{5}L_{7}$  at 381,  ${}^{7}F_{0} \rightarrow {}^{5}L_{6}$ ,  ${}^{7}F_{0} \rightarrow {}^{5}D_{2}$ ,  ${}^{7}F_{1} \rightarrow {}^{5}D_{1}$ ,  ${}^{7}F_{1} \rightarrow {}^{5}D_{0}$  and  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$ . The peak appeared at 613 nm was more pronounced and hence selected for recording emission spectra of prepared glasses ranged from of 550–720 nm [8].



Figure 5 :Excitation spectra of MZSPE2.0.

### 3.3 Photoluminescence spectra

Figure 6 depicts the PL emission spectra of studied glasses which exhibited four significant peaks centred at 593, 613, 654, and 701 nm. The most intense PL peak appeared at 613 nm (red) clearly showed the potential of the proposed glass system towards solid state laser development provided the stimulated emission cross-section of this transition is high [9]. To verify this argument, the oscillator strength, J-O intensity and radiative parameter, stimulated emission cross-section and quality factor of this emission transition was further calculated. Additionally, the band at 593 nm was allotted to the magnetic dipole (MD) transition ( ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$ ) and the one at 613 nm was endorsed to the electric dipole (ED) transition ( ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$ ) of Eu ${}^{3+}$ [10]. The revelation of these two emission spectral transition in Eu ${}^{3+}$  was attributed to their separation into low phonon energy [11].

Journal of Physics: Conference Series



Figure 6. Room temperature PL emission spectra of synthesized glasses.

### *3.4 Judd-Ofelt intensity and radiative parameters*

This theory provides a way of ascertaining the spectral line of transition, fundamentally Judd-Ofelt theory govern a set of parameters (t = 2, 4, 6), using absorption or emission spectra, in a least of square fit difference. The limited transitions of  ${}^{7}F_{0}$  and  ${}^{7}F_{1}$  ground state to various excited state such as  ${}^{5}D_{1}$ ,  ${}^{5}D_{2}$  and  ${}^{5}L_{6}$  of the transitions of europium ion in absorption spectra necessitated the use of emission spectra for Judd-Ofelt analysis. The most important factor in J-O parameters is stimulated emission cross section as a significant lasing material[13]. The higher simulated emission cross section makes the material good potential for solid state laser application [14]. Intensity parameter  $\Omega_{2}$  are linked to ligand field asymmetry around rare earth ion while  $\Omega_{4}$  are connected to rigidity and bulk properties of ions medium. The nonexistence of  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{6}$  transition disallowed omega 6 ( $\Omega_{6}$ ) to be found as such it is taken as zero [15]. The intensity ratio (R) value of  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$  and  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$  decrease with proportional europium ions concentration increase signifying high asymmetry and covalent bond atmosphere among REI and ligands of oxygen.

$$\frac{\int I_{J} dv}{\int I_{1} dv} = \frac{A_{J}}{A_{1}} = \frac{e^{2} v_{J}^{3}}{S_{md} v_{1}^{3}} \times \frac{n \left(n^{2} + 2\right)^{2}}{9n^{3}} \Omega_{t} \left\| U^{t} \right\|^{2}$$
(3)

where parameters  $\Omega$  are evaluated from the intensity ratios of transitions and vj wavenumber of transitions

$$\frac{n\left(n^2+2\right)^2}{9n^3} \tag{4}$$

where n is refractive index.

The intensity ratio is often used in determining the host material quality providing symmetry information around Eu<sup>3+</sup> covalency, higher R (R > 1) signifies lower symmetry while (0 < R < 1) characterize higher symmetry. Table 3 shows the R rate of MZSPE glasses where R values decrease and then subsequently increase from 4.012 to 2.96 but the R value of 4.012 shows high laser potential of the glass material [16]. Table 2 compares linear trend of Judd Ofelt parameter values with that of existing literature where The MZSPE0.5 glass exhibited highest value of  $\Omega_2$ . The  $\Omega_2$  values decline from 14.4 ×10<sup>-20</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> to 10.7×10<sup>-20</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> with RE increase from 0.5 mol% to 2.0 mol% largely attributed to generation of bridging oxygen. The reduced  $\Omega_2$  values increases the ionicity of the glass

system thus having more network symmetric structure in relation to others reported but MZSPE2.0 increases showing high covalency totally incoherent with nephelauxetic ratio.

Glass code	$\Omega_2$	$\Omega_4$	Trend	Ref.
MZSPEu0.0	14.4	1.26	$\Omega_2 > \Omega_4$	Present work
MZSPEu1.0	10.7	2.52	$\Omega_2 > \Omega_4$	Present work
MZSPEu1.5	11.3	2.23	$\Omega_2 > \Omega_4$	Present work
MZSPEu1.75	11.2	1.83	$\Omega_2 > \Omega_4$	Present work
MZSPEu2.0	12.6	2.10	$\Omega_2 > \Omega_4$	Present work
Lithium fluoroborate	6.60	3.50	$\Omega_2 > \Omega_4$	[17]
Oxyfluoroborate	3.45	2.93	$\Omega_2 > \Omega_4$	[18]
telluroborate	5.54	1.09	$\Omega_2 > \Omega_4$	[19]
Silicate	4.23	1.04	$\Omega_2 \! > \! \Omega_4$	[20]
phosphate	11.9	3.8	$\Omega_2 \! > \! \Omega_4$	[21]
Germanate	4.81	1.41	$\Omega_2 > \Omega_4$	[47]

**Table 2.** The Judd-Ofelt intensity parameters comparison with different glasses.

**Table 3**. Compared transition ratio intensity (R) of  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$  to  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$  of MZSPE glasses.

Glass composition	$R=5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_2$	Ref.
	${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$	
MZSPE0.5	4.01	Present work
MZSPE1.0	2.98	Present work
MZSPE1.5	2.96	Present work
MZSPE2.0	3.15	Present work
PKSAEU10	4.43	[15]
PAZE20	2.55	[16]
KBZFB	2.7	[22]
EFBA	0.7	[23]
KLA(PO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	1.659	[24]

Table 4 depicts results of transition probabilities ( $A_{rad}$ ), branching ratios (Br), stimulated emission cross section of excited state, optical band width and optical gain width of  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$  for Eu<sup>3+</sup> in various concentrations. The important parameter for laser design that characterize prospect of achieving stimulated emission in all the transitions[25]The above 0.5 value of branching ratio symbolises potential laser transition within the glass medium, cross section informs a possible laser potential which shows energy excitation rate from the lasing material estimated from emission spectrum [26]. Intensity parameters follow trend which indicates structural changes in europium (III) ion surrounding (short range effects) and covalent nature dominancy, whereas  $\Omega_4$  is correlated to bulk properties like inflexibility and viscosity[27]. Superior intensity of parameter  $\Omega_2$  suggest hypersensitive transition  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_2$  transition indicating Eu<sup>3+</sup> ions are situated around extremely polarizable chemical environment around the REIs [28]. High numerical value of  $\Omega_2$  signifies great symmetry and covalency character of Eu<sup>3+</sup> ions ligand bond which shows improved homogeneity of converse parity electronic composition liable for spectral intensity discrepancy [29]. Journal of Physics: Conference Series

### 1484 (2020) 012013 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1484/1/012013

$$A_{ed} = \left(\frac{64\pi^4 v^3}{3h(2J+1)}\right) \left(\frac{n(n^2+2)^2}{9}\right) S_{ed}$$
(5)

From equation 5, where  $(A_{ed})$  represent electric dipole and  $(A_{md})$  represent magnetic dipole transition probabilities

$$\beta_r = \frac{A_{rad}}{\sum A_{rad}} \tag{6}$$

 $\beta_r$  is the fluorescence branching being the ratio of radiative transition probability to total radiative transition probability to all levels.

$$\tau_{cal} = \frac{1}{\sum A_{rad}} \tag{7}$$

the calculated radiative lifetime,  $\tau_{cal}$  of an emitting state is related to its total radiative transition probability to all sublevels

**Table 4.** Fluorescence branching ratio ( $\beta_r$ ,), Radiative transition probability ( $A_{rad}$ , $s^{-1}$ ), emission band position ( $\lambda_p$ ,nm), total radiative transition probability ( $A_T$ , $s^{-1}$ ), calculated lifetime ( $\tau_{cal}$ ,ms), emission cross section ( $\sigma \times 10^{-22}$  cm<sup>2</sup>) emission cross section ( $\sigma \times 10^{-22}$  cm<sup>2</sup>), gain bandwidth ( $\sigma \times FWHM \times 10^{-20}$  cm<sup>2</sup>) and optical gain ( $\sigma \times \tau_{cal} \times 10^{-25}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) for (65 – x)P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 20MgO 15ZnSO<sub>4</sub>–x Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Transition	Parameter	MZSPEu0.5	MZSPEu1.0	MZSPEu1.5	MZSPEu2.0
${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$	$\lambda_p$	591	591	591	591
	$A_{rad}$	181.76	181.634	179.994	178.30
	$\beta_{\rm r}$	0.196	0.235	0.228	0.212
	σ	4.78	4.765	3.81	3.78
	σ×FWHM	0.613	0.613	0.607	0.602
	$\sigma  imes  au_{cal}$	5.154	6.16	4.84	4.49
${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$	$\lambda_{\rm p}$	615	615	615	615
	A <sub>rad</sub>	715.74	531.417	437.66	612.709
	$\beta_r$	0.771	0.687	0.687	0.729
	σ	18.64	13.860	0.15	16.9
	σ×FWHM	2.815	2.09	2.18	2.41
	$\sigma \times \tau_{cal}$	20.09	17.9	19.1	20.2
$^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7\!F_4$	$\lambda_{ m p}$	701	701	701	701
	$A_{rad}$	30.39	60.74	373.47	49.562
	$\beta_r$	0.033	0.079	0.079	0.59
	σ	2.04	40.2	3.25	3.03
	σ×FWHM	0.202	0.404	0.354	0.33
	$\sigma \times \tau_{cal}$	2.20	5.20	4.25	3.6
	$A_{T}(s^{-1})$	927.89	773.795	788.629	840.57
	$\tau_{cal}(ms)$	1.077	1.292	1.268	1.189

### 4.0 Conclusion

For the first-time optical properties of  $Eu^{3+}$  doped magnesium-zinc-sulfophosphate glasses were experimentally measured and then analysed using J-O theory. Photoluminescence emission spectra were used to evaluate the J-O intensity and radiative parameters. The ligand interaction in the glasses exhibited strong ionic character regardless of  $Eu^{3+}$  contents from (-0.000371 to 0.00726). REIs clustering induced PL intensity quenching was evidenced in MZSPE2.0. Excellent radiative properties such as branching ratio in the range of 0.687 to 0.771 and emission cross section ranging from (0.15×10<sup>-22</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> – 40.2×10<sup>-22</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>) shown by the proposed glasses confirmed their effectiveness as laser active medium. Coherent trends in the J-O intensity parameters ( $\Omega_2$ > $\Omega_4$ ) indicate its potential for laser applications.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their appreciation to the sponsors with UTM KTP NMG project No 4Y161, Tier 1-18H78, FRGS-5F050 and Sokoto Energy Research Centre, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria

### References

- Komaraiah D, Radha E, James J, Kalarikkal N, Sivakumar J, Ramana Reddy MV, Sayanna R 2019 J. Lumin. 211 320–333
- [2] Danmallam IM, Krishna S, Ariffin R, Aisha S 2018 Malaysian J. Fundam. Appl. Sci. 222 78–82
- [3] Chen L, Deng X, Zhao E, Chen X, Xue S, Zhang W, Chen S, Zhao Z, Zhang W, Chan TS 2014 J. Alloys Compd. 613 312–316
- [4] Shajan D, Murugasen P, Sagadevan S 2017 Optik (Stuttg). 136 165–171
- [5] Segawa H, Cho Y, Sekiguchi T, Hirosaki, Deguchi K, Ohki S, Shimizu T 2018 J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 38 735–741
- [6] Sudheendra HS, Darshan GP, Basavaraj RB, Naik YV, Premakumar HB, Nagabhushana H, Williams JF, Hareesh K, Kokila MK 2019 *Opt. Mater. (Amst).* **90** 159–171
- [7] Xinqiang Yuan XY, Long Zhang LZ, Jin He JH, Yan Wang YW, Rihong Li, RL 2014 Chinese Opt. Lett. 12 071602–071604
- [8] Vijaya N, Jayasankar CK 2013 J. Mol. Struct. 1036 42–50
- [9] Righini GC, Ferrari M 2005 *Riv. Del Nuovo Cim.* **28** 1–53
- [10] Khaidir REM, Fen YW, Zaid MHM, Matori KA, Omar NAS, Anuar MF, Wahab SAA, Azman AZK 2019 Optik (Stuttg). 182 486–495
- [11] Han J, Talbot J, McKittrick J 2010 Chinese Opt. Lett. 15 183–190
- [12] Xia H, Zhang J, Wang J, Zhang Y, Haiping Xia, Jianli Zhang et al., *Chin. Opt. Lett.* **4** 476-479
- [13] Mohd Saidi MSA, Ghoshal SK, Arifin R,Roslan MK, Muhammad R, Shamsuri WNW, Abdullah M, Shaharin MS 2018 J. Alloys Compd. 754 171–183
- [15] Linganna K, Jayasankar CK 2012 Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 97 788– 797
- [16] Saad M, Stambouli W, Mohamed SA, Elhouichet H, 2012 J. Alloys Compd. 705 550–558
- [17] Gupta SK, Mohapatra M, Kaity S, Natarajan V, Godbole SV 2012 J. Lumin. 132 1329–1338
- [18] Annapoorani K, Marimuthu K 2017 J. Non. Cryst. Solids. 463 148-157
- [19] Pravinraj S, Vijayakumar M, Marimuthu K 2017, Phys. B Phys. Condens. Matter. 509 84–93
- [20] Moustafa SY, Sahar MR, Ghoshal SK 2017 J. Alloys Compd. 712 781–794
- [21] Ahmadi F, Hussin R, Ghoshal SK 2016 J. Non. Cryst. Solids. 452 266–272
- [22] Gopi S, Jose SK, George A, Unnikrishnan NV, Joseph C, Biju PR 2018 J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 29 674–682
- [23] Devi R, Dalal M, Bala M, SP Khatkar, Taxak VB, Boora P 2016 J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 27 12506–12516
- [24] Ferhi M, Bouzidi C, Horchani-Naifer K, Elhouichet H, Ferid 2015 J. Lumin. 157 21-27
- [25] Danmallam IM, Ghoshal SK, Ariffin R, Jupri SA, Sharma S 2019 J. Lumin. 216
- [26] Ram GC, Narendrudu T, Suresh S, Kumar AS, Rao MVS, Kumar VR, Rao DK 2017 Opt. Mater.

International Laser Technology and Optics Symposium 2019 (iLATOS2019)

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

sium 2019 (iLATOS2019)IOP Publishing1484 (2020) 012013doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1484/1/012013

(Amst). 66 189–196

- [27] Bulus I, Hussin R, Ghoshal SK, Tamuri AR, Jupri SA 2019 Ceram. Int. 0–1.
- [28] Ćirić A, Stojadinović S, Dramićanin MD, Opt. Mater. (Amst). 88 392–395
- [29] Danmallam IM, Ghoshal SK, Ariffin R, Jupri SA, Sharma S, Bulus I 2019 Optik (Stuttg). 196 163197