Aalborg Universitet



## Suicide Related Phenotypes in a Bipolar Sample

Genetic Underpinnings

Lybech, Line K. M.; Calabró, Marco; Briuglia, Silvana; Drago, Antonio; Crisafulli, Concetta

Published in: Genes

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.3390/genes12101482

Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0

Publication date: 2021

**Document Version** Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA): Lybech, L. K. M., Calabró, M., Briuglia, S., Drago, A., & Crisafulli, C. (2021). Suicide Related Phenotypes in a Bipolar Sample: Genetic Underpinnings. Genes, 12(10), [1482]. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12101482

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
   You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





# Article Suicide Related Phenotypes in a Bipolar Sample: Genetic Underpinnings

Line K. M. Lybech<sup>1</sup>, Marco Calabró<sup>2</sup>, Silvana Briuglia<sup>2</sup>, Antonio Drago<sup>1,\*</sup> and Concetta Crisafulli<sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Unit for Psychiatric Research, Psychiatry, Aalborg University Hospital, DK-9100 Aalborg, Denmark; line.moeller@rn.dk
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biomedical and Dental Sciences and Morphofunctional Imaging, University of Messina, 98125 Messina, Italy; marco\_3917w@hotmail.it (M.C.); sbriuglia@unime.it (S.B.)
- \* Correspondence: antonio.drago76@gmail.com (A.D.); ccrisafulli@unime.it (C.C.); Tel.: +4597-64-30-00 (A.D.); +39-(0)9-0221-3373 (C.C.)

Abstract: Suicide in Bipolar Disorder (BD) is a relevant clinical concern. Genetics may shape the individual risk for suicide behavior in BD, together with known clinical factors. The lack of consistent replication in BD may be associated with its multigenetic component. In the present contribution we analyzed a sample of BD individuals (from STEP-BD database) to identify the genetic variants potentially associated with three different suicide-related phenotypes: 1) a feeling that the life was not worth living; 2) fantasies about committing a violent suicide; 3) previous attempted suicide. The sample under analysis included 1115 BD individuals. None of the SNPs reached genome-wide significance. However, a trend of association was evidenced for rs2767403, an intron variant of AOPEP gene, in association with phenotype #1 ( $p = 5.977 \times 10^{-6}$ ). The molecular pathway analysis showed a significant enrichment in all the investigated phenotypes on pathways related to post synaptic signaling, neurotransmission and neurodevelopment. Further, NOTCH signaling or the γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) -ergic signaling were found to be associated with specific suiciderelated phenotypes. The present investigation contributes to the hypothesis that the genetic architecture of suicide behaviors in BD is related to alteration of entire pathways rather than single genes. In particular, our molecular pathway analysis points on some specific molecular events that could be the focus of further research in this field.

Keywords: Suicide; Bipolar Disorder; SNP; Gene; Molecular Pathway Analysis

#### 1. Introduction

Bipolar disorder (BD) is a group of recurrent affective disorders characterized by episodes of mania (a pathological elation of mood sometimes with psychotic symptoms), hypomania (a lesser form of mania) and/or depression (a pathological depressed mood sometimes with psychotic symptoms). BD definition has undergone major changes over the last decades. In this evolving classification system, one clinical phenotype remains of unchanged and vital relevance: suicide behavior. Suicide behavior is a prime concern in BD as BD patients hold the highest risk of suicide when compared with all other psychiatric subjects [1]: the expected lifespan in this disease is reduced by 8.5–16.7 years compared to the general population [2], and the higher suicide rates in BD are strictly implicated with this decrease [3]. Further, the high prevalence of BD, 0.3 to 1.5% in the general population, makes suicide risk an important concern in modern society [4,5] (Please refer to Appendix A for definitions of suicide related phenotypes and Appendix B for clinical risk factor along with preventive strategies for suicide behavior).

One-third up-to one-half of BD patients will attempt suicide at least once and 15–20% of BD individuals die from suicide. Suicide attempts in BD have a higher rate of success: 1 out 3–4 completers compared to the 1 out of 30 completers observed in the general

Citation: Lybech, L.K.M.; Calabró, M.; Briuglia, S.; Drago, A.; Crisafulli, C. Suicide Related Phenotypes in a Bipolar Sample: Genetic Underpinnings. *Genes* **2021**, *12*, 1482. https://doi.org/10.3390/ genes12101482

Academic Editor: Mariarosa Anna Beatrice Melone

Received: 25 August 2021 Accepted: 21 September 2021 Published: 23 September 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). population [6]. Genetics may be one of the factors contributing to the risk of suicide in BD, as reported by numerous adoption studies, twin studies and family studies. Data obtained evidenced that the rates of suicide attempts and completions are higher in individuals with a family history of attempters and/or completers (please refer to Table 1). Further, the genetic component for suicide seems to be partly shared with the inheritance of psychiatric disorders [7,8]: Indeed, recent GWAS analyses showed interesting correlation between suicide attempts and depressive symptoms, neuroticism, Schizophrenia, insomnia and major depressive disorder [9,10]. However, the genetic background behind suicide behaviors remains elusive: GWAS studies with polygenic risk scores have detected numerous SNPs potentially correlated with suicide [11–13]. The heritability calculated from these common variations only ranges between 4 and 4.6% [10,14], suggesting a complex biological background and a potential multifactorial origin behind this disease.

The high rates of suicide attempts and committed suicides with BD call for further research aiming at predict and hopefully reduce this behavior and ameliorate the devastating consequences for both relatives and the patients themselves. In this study we tried to investigate associations between suicidality and genetic variations in genotyped participants from the STEP-BD program (The Treatment Enhancement Program for Bipolar Disorder) [15] with a particular focus on biological pathways, which have a higher capacity of explaining complex phenotypes.

References	Sample	Main findings	Type of study
[16]	79 individuals with bi- polar I 30 individuals with bi- polar II 86 healthy controls	No association between bipolar disorder and the SERT gene. No associa- tion was found between suicidal behavior and the SERT gene.	Candidate gene, cases and controls
[17]	<ul> <li>67 individuals with depressive disorders</li> <li>28 individuals with bipolar disorder</li> <li>106 healthy controls</li> </ul>	No association between the 5-HT2A polymor- phism 1438G/A and the patient group or suicide attempts.	Candidate gene, cases and controls
[18]	46 individuals with de- pressive disorders 34 individuals with bi- polar disorder 92 healthy controls	No association between the serotonin trans- porter polymorphism in <i>SLC6A4</i> gene and mood disorders or suicide at- tempts.	Candidate gene, cases and controls
[19]	70 individuals with a history of suicide at- tempts and various psy- chiatric disorders 42 individuals with MDD 10 individuals with bi- polar disorder 97 healthy controls	No association between the <i>G2457A</i> polymor- phism in <i>ABCG1</i> gene and affective disorders or suicidal behavior.	Candidate gene, cases and controls
[20]	2025 affected relative pairs with depressive disorders and mood disorders	Significant association between regions at 2p, 5q, 6q, 11q and Xq and suicide attempt. Strong- est evidence for the phe- notype Depression	Genome-wide linkage

Table 1. Main Previous Findings about the Genetics of Suicide Behavior in Affective Disorders.

		Spectrum Disorder was	
		found at D8S1145	
		marker at 8p22-p21. Sig-	
		nificant association be-	
		tween recurrent, early-	
		onset major depressive	
		disorder (RE-MDD) and	
		Xq at DXS1047 marker.	
		For all depressive phe-	
		notypes significant cor-	
		relation with D8S1145	
		and suicide attempt.	
		Significant association	
		for the phenotype "ever	
		tried suicide" and chro-	
	9265 individuals, pro-	mosome 2 near	
	bands with alcohol de-	D2S1790. Some associa-	
[21]	pendence and biological	tion between the quanti-	Genome-wide linkage
	relatives	tative suicidality index	
		and chromosome 1 near	
		D1S1602, and chromo-	
		some 3 near D1S1602.	
		The variants 5-HTTLPR	
		and STin2 in 5-HTT	
		were considered	
		A significant association	
		was found between sui-	
	106 individuals with	cide completion and	
	completed suicide and	having at least one copy	Candidate gene cases
[22]	MDD or depression not	of the STin2 10 allele	and controls
	otherwise specified	Added a positive family	und controls
	152 controls with MDD	history of suicide risk	
		increases the risk of sui-	
		cide 5 56 times after ad-	
		iustment for other clini-	
		cal risk factors	
		Cenome-wide signifi	
		cance between 6025 2 at	
		D6S2436 and enjoided	
	1060 individuals with	behavior Suggestive	
[02]	hipolar disorder from	linkage was observed	Canoma-wide linkage
[23]	154 multiplay familias	an 2x24 1 at D2S1252	Genome-wide mikage
	154 multiplex families	4m16.1  at  D4S2366	
		4p10.1 at D452500,	
		10-25 2 -+ D1061227	
		10q25.5 at D1051257.	
		Suggestive linkage sig-	
		nai between 2p12 and	
		suicide attempt; from	
		D251394 on 2p13 to	
[24]	162 individuals, multi-	D252972 on 2q11, in-	Genome-wide linkage
	plex bipolar pedigrees	cluding TACR1 and	0
		TGOLN2.	
		The second suggestive	
		association was found at	
		( -0) ( -1) D(01077)	

[25]	154 individuals with MDD 154 healthy, age and gender matched con- trols	No association between the <i>Val66Met</i> polymor- phism of the <i>BDNF</i> and development of MDD. Significant association between the dose of the Met allele and the clini- cal features psychotic and suicidal behavior, which suggest associa- tion with severe MDD.	Candidate gene, cases and controls
[26]	3117 individuals with bipolar disorder 1273 individuals with MDD	Suicide attempts in the bipolar sample were as- sociated with following SNPs: rs1466846 ( $TBL1XR1$ ), rs924134 ( $IRX2$ ), rs6548036 ( $CAPN13$ ), rs1457463 ( $ZNF409$ ), rs11130703 ( $FLJ42117$ ). Suicide attempts in the MDD sample were asso- ciated with following SNPs: rs2576377 ( $ABI3BP$ ), rs2601098 ( $SLC4A4$ ), rs1417259 ( $LRRC44$ ), rs7655668 ( $SLC4A4$ ), rs12462673 ( $HAS1$ ), rs6737169 ( $ARL6IP2$ ). None of these results were repli- cated. Modest support was found for candidate genes <i>FKBP5</i> and <i>NGFR</i> ( $p75NTR$ ).	Genome-wide associa- tion study
[27]	2023 individuals with MDD	The quantitative SSU score showed suggested association for rs4751955 ( <i>GFRA1</i> ). For the discrete trait of serious suicidal at- tempts suggested asso- ciation was found at rs203136 ( <i>KIAA1244</i> ). None of these results were replicated. Candidate gene analysis supported the associa- tion of a polymorphism in <i>NTRK2</i> with suicidal- ity.	Genome-wide associa- tion study
[28]	2836 individuals with bipolar disorder	Associated SNP (rs300774) on 2p25 re- lated to the <i>ACP1</i> gene	Genome-wide associa- tion study

		was marginally associ-	
		ated with suicide risk.	
		No association was	
		found between geno-	
		typed SNPs in the	
		COMT gene and suicide	
[20]	250 individuals with	attempts and suicide	Candidate gene, ge-
[29]	treatment resistant	risk. Significant associa-	nome-wide association
	MDD	tion between suicide	study
		risk and non-responders	
		to antidepressant treat-	
		ment was found.	
		Suggestive significance	
		for suicide attempt and	
		Rs935194.	
		Meta-analysis found	
		SNPs with suggestive	
		significance: rs17173608	
		( <i>RARRES2</i> ), rs17387100	
		(PROM1), rs3781878	Genome-wide associa-
[10]	4047 individuals with	(NCAM1), rs17010519	tion study, polygenic
[12]	MDD, recurrent MDD,	(HK2), rs13049531	score analysis, meta-
	and bipolar disorder	(RCAN1), rs9394433	analysis.
		(RNF8).	5
		Polygenic scores for	
		MDD significantly pre-	
		dicted suicidal ideation,	
		this was also found for	
		suicide attempt in a val-	
		idation dataset.	
		Associated genes with	
		suicide severity were	
		found at chromosome	
		8q12	
		(LINC000968/PENK),	
		and at chromosome	Genome-wide associa-
[30]	959 individuals with bi-	10p11.2	tion study, meta-analy-
	polar disorder	(CCDC7/C10orf6/ITGB1)	sis.
		Suggestive genes associ-	
		ated with suicide at-	
		tempt were found at	
		8q12-q21 ( <i>IL7</i> ) and at	
		18q22 (TMX3).	
		No association between	
		suicidal behavior and	
		CNV was found at ge-	
	475 individuals, suicide	nome-wide significant	
	attempters and suicides	level.	Cases and controls.
[31]	1133 controls. with	Highlighted results	PCR.
	MDD or healthy	were CNVs at 6p22.2 in-	
		cluding a H1 gene clus-	
		ter and at 12012	
		(LRRK2)	
		Comparing suicidal be-	Genome-wide associa-
[13]	577 individuals, suicide	havior (SB) to no SB. no	tion study, pathway
	attempters and suicides	SNPs reached genome	analysis

	1233 individuals, non-	wide significance, five	
	attempter psychiatric	SNPs had significant	
	and healthy controls	levels; rs11852984 (inter-	
		genic), rs6480463	
		(ADAMTS14), rs4575	
		(PSME2/RNF31),	
		rs336284 (TBX20) and	
		rs3019286 (STK3).	
		Pathway analysis identi-	
		fied: "Cellular assembly	
		and organization",	
		"nervous system devel-	
		opment and function",	
		"cell death and sur-	
		vival", "immunological	
		disease", "infectious	
		disease" and "inflam-	
		matory response".	
		The top polygenes asso-	
		ciated with neurodevel-	
		opment and suicide at-	
		tempt were: CDH4,	
	660 individuals with se-	CDH12,CDH11, CDH13,	
	vere suicide attempt	CDH20, NRXN1,	
	88 individuals with	NRXN3, FGF12, NELL1,	Conome-wide associa-
[32]	SCZ-related diagnoses	EPHB2, EPHA6, GLI2,	tion study polygenic
[32]	85 individuals with	MIXL1, MAML2, MS12,	risk scores
		NTRK3, NPAS3, ODZ4,	lisk scores
	489 healthy individuals	МҮСВР2.	
	40) ficality individuals	Support evidence of a	
		polygenic neurodevel-	
		opmental etiology in SB,	
		also in absence of major	
		psychiatric diagnoses.	
		Meta-analysis found	
		significant association	
		between suicide attempt	
	GWAS:	and a locus on chromo-	
	473 individuals, cases	some 6, near MRAP2	
	9778 individuals, con-	and CEP162, this con-	
	trols	sisted of 12 SNPs, peak	
[44]	Including psychiatric	SNP rs12524136-1, this	Genome-wide associa-
[11]	disorders and suicide	was replicated in a	tion study, meta-analy-
	attempters	meta-analysis of all	sis, cases and controls
	Clinical case-control:	studies and ancestral	
	51 individuals, suicide	subgroups.	
	attempters	Suggestive association	
	112 controls	was found for suicide	
		attempt and bipolar dis-	
		order regarding the pol-	
		ygenic risk scores.	
	1780 individuals with	A 10 times higher mor-	
r1	schizophrenia	tality rate as well as	Cases and controls, pol-
[33]	1768 healthy matched	high risk of multiple su-	ygenic risk scores
	controls	icide attempts was repli-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
		cated for persons with	

		schizophrenia com-	
		pared to the controls.	
		No genetic overlap was	
		found between PRS and	
		mortality, or between	
		PRS and multiple sui-	
		cide attempts. Family	
		history of mental disor-	
		ders was found to be as-	
		sociated with higher	
		mortality and multiple	
		suicide attempts.	
		Three significant loci	
		were found: for MDD a	
		SNP rs45593736 (an in-	
		tron of the ARL5B), for	
		BD an insertion-deletion	
		polymorphism	
	6569 individuals with	<i>chr4</i> 23273116 <i>D</i> (an in-	
	psychiatric disorders, all	tronic variant in the	Genome-wide associa-
[9]	suicide attempters	noncoding RNA	tion study, polygenic
	17232 individuals with	LOC105374524).	risk scores
	psychiatric disorders, all	Polygenic risk scores for	
	non-attempters	MDD were significantly	
		associated with suicide	
		attempt in MDD	
		(R2=0,25%), BD	
		(R2=0.24%) and schizo-	
		phrenia (R2=0.40%).	
		One genome-wide sig-	
		nificant SNP s1677091	
		(LDHB). Other associa-	
		tions were rs683813	
	6320 individuals with	(ARNTL2), rs72740082	Genome-wide associa-
[34]	psychiatric disorders	(FAH) and s11876255.	tion study, polygenic
1	and SUD.	Significant genetic over-	risk scores
		lap between MDD and	
		suicide attempt severity	
		was estimated up to	
		0.7% using PRS.	
		For suicide attempt sig-	
		nificant heritability from	
		common variation was	
		estimated to 4%, and	
		significant genetic corre-	
	2433 individuals all at-	lation was found for de-	
	tempters including psv-	pressive symptoms	Genome-wide associa-
	chiatric disorders	neuroticism MDD	tion study polygenic
[10]	334766 controls	schizophrenia and in-	risk scores machine
	61676 individuals from	somnia For one sample	learning
	electronic health records	two genomic regions	icarining
	electronic neurin records	with genome-wide sig-	
		nificance were identi-	
		fied on chromosomes 5	
		and 19 the most signifi-	
		cant SNPs being	
		cuit of vi o beilig	

		rs12972617 and	
		rs12972618.	
		Suggestive associations between SNPs, rs6880062 and	
[14]	6024 individuals, all at- tempters, including psy- chiatric disorders 44240 controls, non-at- tempters, including psy- chiatric disorders	rs6880461, and suicide attempt. Adjusted for mental disorders three significant associations were found on chromo- some 20; rs4809706, rs4810824 and rs6019297. Heritability was found to be 4,6%, adjusted for mental disorders herita-	Genome-wide associa- tion study

bility was 1,9%.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Clinical Sample

The sample under analysis was obtained from the NIMH genetics available Treatment Enhancement Program for Bipolar Disorder (STEP-BD). The STEP-BP remains one of the largest public investigations conducted so far for BD. It was located in the USA and initially enrolled 4361 participants distributed in 21 sites. Of them, only half gave consent for genetic analyses (please refer to 2.3). The trial included both naturalistic and randomized nested studies. Subjects had the opportunity to enter the randomized studies within the general trial once and re-enter the naturalistic design at exit. The study is described in details here [15].

#### 2.2. Participants

Individuals included in the study were 18 years or older subjects with BD I or II. Diagnoses were confirmed by the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) [35]. Moreover, a set of information was retrieved from the Affective Disorder Evaluation (ADE) set of questions prepared and focused on that specific study [15]. At the time of randomization all the subjects met criteria for acute depression at the MINI. Every patient received a standard care and follow-up, until accepting and meeting the criteria for being offered a randomized clinical treatment according to STEP-BD clinical states (SCSs) [15,36]. SCSs comprised: 1) the "acute depression SCS"; 2) the "refractory depression SCS", which included patients that failed to respond to 12 months treatment or at least two trials in the past; and 3) the "relapse prevention pathway" in which the index episode (mania, hypomania or mixed) occurred in patients under either lithium or valproate treatment and with normal levels of Thyroid-stimulating hormone and creatinine [15,36].

#### 2.3. Original Genetic Sample

About half of the original participants in the STEP-BD gave consent for the genetic analysis. From the original data (from the NIMH genetics database) there were 2453 people (1218 males, 1235 females), with a total genotype rate of 0.99 before quality control. Genotyping was performed using the Affymetrix GeneChip Human Mapping 500K Array Set (Affymetrix, part of Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.) by the Genetic Analysis Platform at the Broad Institute of Harvard and Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The link to the original study can be found at [15]. 372193 variants were available in the original file before quality control. The Hapmap genome database b23 was instrumental for the imputation process. The sample was further filtered to exclude individuals with no information on the phenotypes under analysis. The final sample comprised 670 males and 485 females.

#### 2.4. Outcomes

Three phenotypes were under analysis. Subjects that experienced 1) a feeling that the life was not worth living, AND/OR 2) fantasies about committing a violent suicide AND/OR 3) previous attempted suicide; were classified as "cases" or "controls" for main analysis: Individuals who had a score > 0 in one specific phenotype were considered cases for that phenotype. Individuals who had a score = 0 for a specific phenotype were considered cases for that phenotype. Individuals who had a score = 0 for a specific phenotype were considered cases for that phenotype. Individuals who had a score = 0 for a specific phenotype were considered cases for that phenotype. Individuals who had a score = 0 for a specific phenotype were considered cases for that specific phenotype. This analysis was performed with the aim of evidencing potential differences in the genetic background between the three phenotypes. These variables were chosen because they cover some relevant psychopathological aspects of suicide behavior, rather than the dichotomic attempted / completed suicide VS non suicide behavior. Additionally, an exploratory analysis which evaluated individuals with none of the described phenotypes as "controls" versus individuals with at least one of the described phenotypes as "cases" was performed. The aim of the exploratory analysis was to evidence the main genetic/biological differences between suicidal and non-suicidal bipolar patients. Only subjects with bipolar disorder were included in both analyses.

#### 2.5. Clinical Covariates

Age, gender, ethnical background, marital status, living alone, education, kind of job and drug or alcohol abuse at the entry of the study, were the covariates included in the analysis. Variables are detailed in table 1. Age, gender, ethnical background, marital status, living alone, kind of job and employment status and drug or alcohol abuse at the entry of the study were retrieved from the DF database and downloaded after permission from the NIMH genetics database. As for the ethnical background, the questionnaire included two questions, the first being "What is your primary race" and including answers as for example, "White or Caucasian" or "Black of African American". The second was "Are you Hispanic or Latino?" BD classification type was excluded from covariates since the phenotype under analysis is associated with the depressive phase, which is similar in type I and type II and this classification is based mainly on manic phase.

#### 2.6. Statistical Model and Flow of Analysis

All analyses were conducted in R [37], or in bash environment. Plink [38], gtool (https://www.well.ox.ac.uk/, (accessed on 1 April 2021)) and impute [39] were instrumental to perform the genetic analyses. The bash environment provided the basis for the use of plink, impute and gtool. It also allowed for an effective manipulation of phenotype data, in order to adapt them to the input requirements for the above-mentioned programs. The single nucleotide association analyses were conducted in Plink after the genotype was imputed (with gtool and impute), pruned and checked for quality control to standard defaults for this kind of analysis. Molecular pathway analyses were performed on R environment, using the result of the single nucleotide association analysis as input, and providing as output the molecular pathways found to be enriched in mutations associated

with the phenotypes under analysis. Figures and tables were also created in R. R script is available on request.

#### 2.7. Analysis of Clinical Data

The clinical phenotypes were created and their association with the clinical covariates tested with the appropriate statistical test (for example ANOVA or Chi2, or the correspondent nonparametric test) in order to protect the genetic analysis from clinical stratification factors. Covariates that were significantly associated with the outcomes under analysis were included in files containing binary variables. For example, if "living alone" was found to be associated with the phenotypes under analysis, the variable was commuted to a binary 1 or 2 variable where 1 was for example "married or living as married" and 2 contained every other clinical variation of the original variable. This process was instrumental to reduce the degree of freedom of the analysis, to avoid the risk of a NA (not assessable) result from the plink analysis (genetic association analysis).

#### 2.8. Analysis of Genetic Data

The standard quality thresholds were applied to the original sample before pruning and imputing. Minor allele frequency was set at 0.05, genotype rate was set at 0.95, Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium was set at 0.00001. Pruning was set at the standard --indep 50 5 2, where 50 is the number of SNPs considered at every step, 5 is the number of SNPs to be shift at every step and 2 is the VIF threshold  $(1/(1-R^2))$  where  $R^2$  is the multiple correlation coefficient). An R<sup>2</sup> equals to 10 implies that two SNPs carry the same signal. An R<sup>2</sup> equals to 1 implies that two SNPs are completely independent. The enrichment analysis was conducted using R software suite, through Bioconductor [40] and the package ReactomePA [41]. The ReactomePA (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/ReactomePA.html, accessed on 1 April 2021) is a manually curated database that includes chemical reactions, biological processes and molecular pathways . To test for possible stratification factors associated with the phenotypes under analysis at plink test was performed under standard parameters for test the non-genetic identity of the samples (cases and controls, Permutation test for between group IBS differences where IBS stands for identical by state). Please refer to Figure 1 and Table 2. Single tests for association were generated for every SNP under a regression model. SNPs associated with the investigated phenotype were ranked according to the pValue of association. SNPs showing a significant (p < 0.05) association with the phenotypes under analysis were selected. It is of note, that this level of significance is not be used in a classic GWAS study because of the risk of false positives. This - or similar - classic level of significance was nevertheless chosen in the present as in previous studies [42–46] to identify all the possible significant associations throughout the genome. Moreover, the same level of significance for this kind of analysis is standard for the package in use. The risk for false positives was then controlled by correcting for multiple testing, a function that is embedded in the R package in use. In particular, Bonferroni correction (p.adjust) and False Discovery Rate correction (q value) were provided. The genes that harbored such variations were identified and investigated for enrichment. Enrichment in this context means, that the number of SNPs that are significantly associated with the phenotypes under analysis is larger than expected by chance. As a consequence, the genetic variations distributed in specific molecular pathways, those enriched, are shown to have a potential role in differentiating cases from controls. This approach takes into consideration the likely multigenetic nature of such complex phenotypes and provides more power for this kind of analysis. The analysis was conducted in a Linux system in Bash language; the computations were conducted through access at the Aalborg University superPC.



**Figure 1.** MDS plot: IBS clustering analysis of the genetic stratification factors. Increasing values of the first component do not correspond to visual significant increasing or decreasing values of the second component, suggesting that the covariance between the two components in the genetic is minimal. For example, to small and increasing values of the first component correspond both small and higher values of the second component. The points are concentrated in the left end of the figure, indicating a larger variance of the first component. In order to further test this visual impression, the plink permutation test for between group IBS differences confirmed that there was no significant group genetic differences (stratification factors) with respect to all the phenotypes under analysis. That does not necessarily mean, that there is no genetic stratification in the STEP-BD sample, but that this stratification is not of main significant interest when considering the phenotypes under analysis. The pairwise clustering based on IBS (identity by state) is useful for detecting pairs of individuals who look more different from each other than what is expected in a random, homogeneous sample. This method allows for identification of clusters of patients, that are more genetically similar to each other than they are similar to the rest of the sample. Such groups are identified by different colors in the figure.

	Not_worth	Fantasies about hurting suicide	Tried suicide
T1: Case/control less similar	p = 0.209928	<i>p</i> = 0.209928	p = 0.553834
T2: Case/control more similar	p = 0.790082	p = 0.790082	p = 0.446176
T3: Case/case less similar than control/control	p = 0.207738	p = 0.207738	p = 0.553984
T4: Case/case more similar than control/control	p = 0.792272	p = 0.792272	p = 0.446026
T5: Case/case less similar	p = 0.200218	p = 0.200218	p = 0.563414
T6: Case/case more similar	p = 0.799792	p = 0.799792	p = 0.436596
T7: Control/control less similar	p = 0.791442	p = 0.791442	p = 0.446156
T8: Control/control more similar	p = 0.208568	p = 0.208568	p = 0.553854
To: Case/sees loss similar than asse/sentral	m = 0.799222	n = 0.799222	m = 0.446246
19. Case/case less similar than case/control	<i>p</i> = 0.788322	p = 0.768322	<i>p</i> = 0.440340
110: Case/case more similar than case/control	p = 0.211688	p = 0.211688	p = 0.553664
T11: Control/control less similar than case/con-			
trol	p = 0.790802	p = 0.790802	p = 0.446166
T12: Control/control more similar than case/con-	n = 0.200208	n = 0.200208	n = 0.552844
trol	<i>p</i> = 0.209208	<i>p</i> = 0.209208	<i>p</i> = 0.553844

Table 2. Test between group IBS (identical by state) differences for stratification factors.

### 3. Results

The sample under analysis and the covariate analysis are described in Table 3. Gender was not included in the analysis because not associated with the phenotypes under analysis and because there was no evidence for major genetic stratification factors for the phenotypes under analysis.

Varia	able	Not Worth Class (Yes, No)	Hurt Class (Yes, No)	Suicide Attempt- ers Class (Yes, No)
		Age		
mean: 41.6	69+/-12.26	Yes: 41.1+/-11.49	Yes: 39.85+/-11.14	Yes: 35.28+/–11.24
		No: 42.24+/-12.9	No: 42.45+/-12.62	No: 41.98+/-12.22
		t = 1.5936, df =	t = 3.4719, df =	t = 4.1073, df =
		1153.9,	706.97,	54.366,
		<i>p</i> -value = 0.111	<i>p</i> -value = 0.0005	<i>p</i> -value = 0.0001
		Gender	1	
		X-squared =	X-squared =	X-squared =
Males =	Females =	0.19584, df = 1,	0.74851, df = 1,	0.37699, df = 1,
670(58.01%)	485(41.99%)	<i>p</i> -value = 0.6581	<i>p</i> -value = 0.3869	<i>p</i> -value = 0.5392
		Race	1	1
Asian or Pacific Islander $n =$ 25(2.13%) Black or African American $n =$ 53(4.51%)	No Primary Race n = 6(0.51%) Other, Specify n = 8(0.68%)	X-squared =	X-squared =	X-squared =
Native American, Eskimo or Aleut n = 5(0.43%) White or Cauca- sian $n =$ 1060(90.29\%)	N/A <i>n</i> = 17(1.45%)	12.689, df = 6, p-value = 0.04826	11.531, df = 6, p-value = 0.07328	1.9257, df = 6, p-value = 0.9264
		Marital status		
Divorced $n =$ 234(19.93%) Living as Mar- ried $n = 28(2.39\%)$ Married $n =$ 435(37.05%) Never Married (never lived as) $n$ = 389(33.13%)	Separated/No longer living as married $n =$ 56(4.77%) Widowed $n =$ 15(1.28%) Unknown $n =$ 17(1.45%)	X-squared = 16.528, df = 6, <i>p</i> -value = 0.01118	X-squared = 17.963, df = 6, <i>p</i> -value = 0.006327	X-squared = 11.739, df = 6, <i>p</i> -value = 0.06806
Yes <i>n</i> = 297(25.3%)	Unknown <i>n</i> = 16(1.36%)	Living alone <b>X-squared =</b> 11.023, df = 2,	X-squared = 2.4716, df = 2,	X-squared = 1.6656, df = 2,

 Table 3. Sample characteristics and clinical covariate analysis.

No <i>n</i> = 861(73.34%)		<i>p</i> -value = 0.00404	<i>p</i> -value = 0.2906	<i>p</i> -value = 0.4348
Less than sev- enth grade $n = 0(0\%)$ Seventh grade - ninth grade $n = 7(0.6\%)$ Partial High School $n = 17(1.45\%)$ High School Di- ploma or GED $n = 156(13.29\%)$ Some college (at least one year) $n = 285(24.28\%)$	College Diploma (Bachelors De- gree) $n =$ 342(29.13%) Technical School or Associates De- gree $n =$ 131(11.16%) Graduate or Pro- fessional Degree n = 219(18.65%) Unknown $n =$ 17(1.45%)	X-squared = 13.408, df = 7, <i>p</i> -value = 0.06278	X-squared = 6.6033, df = 7, <i>p</i> -value = 0.4713	X-squared = 14.007, df = 7, <i>p</i> -value = 0.05105
200(21.2070)		Job		
Clerical and sales workers $n =$ 237(20.19%) Craftsmen and kindred workers n = 135(11.5%) Laborers, opera- tives and kindred workers $n =$ 91(7.75%) Managers and administrators $n$ = 152(12.95%)	Professional <i>n</i> = 349(29.73%) Other <i>n</i> = 170(14.48%) Unknown <i>n</i> = 40(3.41%)	X-squared = 12.654, df = 6, <i>p</i> -value = 0.04888	X-squared = 13.007, df = 6, <i>p</i> -value = 0.04292	X-squared = 11.523, df = 6, <i>p</i> -value = 0.07349
		Employment		
Disabled $n =$ 230(19.59%) Full-time $n =$ 358(30.49%) Homemaker $n =$ 56(4.77%) Leave of Absence n = 22(1.87%) Other $n =$ 17(1.45%)	Part-time for pay n = 164(13.97%) Retired n = 46(3.92%) Unemployed n = 259(22.06%) Unknown n = 22(1.87%)	X-squared = 31.957, df = 8, <i>p</i> -value = 9.48 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	X-squared = 14.495, df = 8, <i>p</i> -value = 0.06974	X-squared = 13.29, df = 8, <i>p</i> -value = 0.1022
		Earnings		
less than \$10000 n = 565(48.13%) \$10000 - \$19999 $n$ = 141(12.01%)	575000 - 599999 n = 31(2.64%) 100000 - 1499999 n = 17(1.45%)	X-squared = 18.28, df = 10, <i>p</i> -value = 0.05043	X-squared = 5.8668, df = 10, <i>p</i> -value = 0.8263	X-squared = 6.8626, df = 10, <i>p</i> -value = 0.7384

$\begin{aligned} \$20000 - \$29999 n \\ = 110(9.37\%) \\ \$30000 - \$39999 n \\ = 96(8.18\%) \\ \$40000 - \$49999 n \\ = 63(5.37\%) \\ \$50000 - \$74999 n \\ = 90(7.67\%) \end{aligned}$	\$150000 or more <i>n</i> = 18(1.53%) Refused <i>n</i> = 3(0.26%) Unknown <i>n</i> = 40(3.41%)			
		Home income		
less than \$10000 \$ $n = 166(14.14\%)$ \$10000 - \$19999 n\$ $= 149(12.69\%)$ \$20000 - \$29999 n\$ $= 125(10.65\%)$ \$30000 - \$39999 n = 95(8.09%) \$40000 - \$49999 n	575000 - \$999999 n = 107(9.11%) 5100000 - \$1499999 n = 117(9.97%) 5150000 - \$1999999 n = 40(3.41%) \$200000  or more n = 37(3.15%) Refused $n =$ 11(0.040())	X-squared = 33.938, df = 11, <i>p</i> -value = 0.0003702	X-squared = 15.01, df = 11, <i>p</i> -value = 0.1821	X-squared = 18.084, df = 11, <i>p</i> -value = 0.07966
= 86(7.33%)	11(0.94%)			
50000 - 574999 n = 150(12 78%)	Unknown $n =$ 91(7 75%)			
- 130(12.7070)	91(7.7570)	Personal income		
less than \$10000 \$ $n = 454(38.67\%)$ \$10000 - \$19999 n\$ $= 199(16.95\%)$ \$20000 - \$29999 n = 122(10.39\%) \$30000 - \$39999 n = 106(9.03\%) \$40000 - \$49999 n = 69(5.88\%) \$50000 - \$74999 n = 84(7.16\%)	575000 - \$999999 n = 36(3.07%) 5100000 - \$1499999 n = 32(2.73%) \$150000  or more n = 25(2.13%) Refused n = 4(0.34%) Unknown n = 43(3.66%)	X-squared = 17.176, df = 10, <i>p</i> -value = 0.07057	X-squared = 8.5879, df = 10, <i>p</i> -value = 0.5716	X-squared = 10.109, df = 10, <i>p</i> -value = 0.431
	Ν	/ledical insurance		
Yes n = 955(81.35%) No n = 196(16.7%)	Unknown <i>n</i> = 23(1.96%)	X-squared = 7.4714, df = 2, <i>p</i> -value = 0.02386	X-squared = 0.35551, df = 2, <i>p</i> -value = 0.8371	X-squared = 0.32662, df = 2, <i>p</i> -value = 0.8493
	Li	mited mental care	e	
Yes <i>n</i> = 469(39.95%) No <i>n</i> =	N/A <i>n</i> = 448(38.16%) Unknown <i>n</i> =	X-squared = 23.657, df = 3, <i>p</i> -value = 2.945	X-squared = 3.0221, df = 3, <i>p</i> -value = 0.3882	X-squared = 3.6797, df = 3, <i>p</i> -value = 0.2982
16/(14.22%)	90(7.67%)	× 10->		• • • • •
inpatient day	per year (Numbe	r of days admittee	a in the hospital as	s inpatients)
1  es  n = 351(20.0%)	1N/A n = 705(60.05%)	x-squarea =	X-squared =	X-squared =
No $n = 72(6.13\%)$	Unknown n = 46(3.92%)	<i>p</i> -value = 0.001076	3.9117, df = 3, <i>p</i> -value = 0.2712	2.7955, df = 3, <i>p</i> -value = 0.4242

outpatient day per year (Number of days admitted in the hospital as outpatients)

Yes <i>n</i> = 398(33.9%) No <i>n</i> = 31(2.64%)	N/A <i>n</i> = 705(60.05%) Unknown <i>n</i> = 40(3.41%)	X-squared = 13.383, df = 3, <i>p</i> -value = 0.003878	X-squared = 2.3971, df = 3, <i>p</i> -value = 0.4942	X-squared = 2.7176, df = 3, <i>p</i> -value = 0.4372
Life not worth living				
Yes <i>n</i> = 567	No <i>n</i> = 607	/	/	/
	Fanta	asies on a violent s	uicide	
Yes <i>n</i> = 347	No <i>n</i> = 827	/	/	/
		Attempted suicide	e	
Yes $n = 51$	No <i>n</i> = 1123	/	/	/

Bold: It identifies the significant association, it is used to navigate the table in a faster way.

#### 3.1. Main Analysis

Figure 1 reports the analysis of the genetic stratification factors. Figure 2 reports the result of the genome wide analysis. Figure 3 reports the result of the molecular pathway analysis. 957418 SNPs were available for the analysis after standard quality control and imputation. Briefly, no single variation reached genome wide significance in the GWAS analysis. The strongest association resulted for rs2767403 (C > G) ( $p = 5.977 \times 10^{-6}$ ) in association with phenotype #1 (Not worth). Rs2767403 is an intron variant of the AOPEP (aminopeptidase O), a gene implicated in the angiotensin IV pathway.



**Figure 2.** Manhattan plots. Manhattan plots for the three outcomes under analysis are presented in the top of the picture. At the bottom of the picture the genomic areas with the strongest associations are shown. The outcomes under analysis are, respectively, from left to right, "having a feeling of not worth", "fantasies about a hurting suicide" and "tried suicide". None of the single investigated SNP reached the genome-wide threshold.



**Figure 3.** Molecular pathway analysis. The molecular pathways are listed in the Y axis. X axis describes the number of genes found to be enriched in association with, respectively, from left to right, "having a feeling of not worth", "fantasies about a hurting suicide" and "tried suicide".

According to in silico analyses of the effects of rs2767403 on splicing, this mutation introduces a donor splice site within the *AOPEP* sequence, which can potentially alter the splicing pattern of *AOPEP* mRNA. Table 4 reports the results of the in silico analysis that was performed using Genomnis HSF software (https://www.genomnis.com/, accessed on 21 April 2021).

Overview (on GRCh38.13)							
	Mutation		Gene				
Name:	rs2767403	9:94811838 C/G	C9orf3 (AO- PEP)				
HGVS Nomen-	ENST0000277	7198.6:c.1364+1	9:94726669-				
clature:	0836	0836C > G					
Analysis Re-							
sults							
Signal		Interpretation					
New Donor	Activation of	Activation of a cryptic Donor site. Potential alteration of					
splice site		splicing					
Details							
Name	Position	Sequences	Variation				
HSF Donor site (mat	trix GT) chr9:94811835	TCTCTCTGA > TCTGTCTGA	38.58 > 65.72 (70.35%)				
Analysis in silico was performed with Genomnis Human Splicing Finder software ( www.genomnis.com/, accessed on 21 April 2021)							

Table 4. Splicing Analysis of rs2767403.

The molecular pathways analysis reported a list of molecular pathways enriched in genetic variations associated with the phenotypes under analysis. Some shared molecular pathways enriched in variations associated with all the phenotypes under investigation were the pathways involved in post synaptic signaling, neurotransmission in general and neurodevelopment (axon). Finally, NOTCH signaling and the GABAergic signaling were found to be associated with specific suicidal behaviors (please refer to Table 5, Figures 4 and Figure 5).

Table 5. Result from the molecular pathway analysis (main analysis).

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Attempted			Not worth			
R+H5A. 112316         Neuronal System $5.07 \times 10^{+} 4.83 \times 10^{+}$ R+H5A. 5576891         Cardiac conduction $1.04 \times 10^{+} 9.97 \times 10^{+}$ R+H5A. 112314         Neurotransmitter receptors and postsynaptic signal transmission $3.78 \times 10^{+} 3.60 \times 10^{+}$ R+H5A. 373752         Netrin-1 signaling $2.09 \times 10^{+} 2.01 \times 10^{+}$ R+H5A. 112315         Transmission across Chemical Synap- ses $6.87 \times 10^{+} 6.55 \times 10^{+}$ R+H5A. 20854         Regulation of commissural axon path- finding by SLIT and ROBO $3.17 \times 10^{+} 3.04 \times 10^{-}$ R+H5A. 300054         NOTCH-HLH transcription pathway $1.84 \times 10^{-} 1.76 \times 10^{-}$ $2.50 \times 10^{-} 2.28 \times 10^{-}$ R+H5A. 3073752         Netrin-1 signaling $2.50 \times 10^{-} 2.28 \times 10^{-}$ R+H5A. 3073760         Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.71 \times 10^{-} 8.35 \times 10^{-}$ R+H5A. 3775752         Cell coli communication $2.70 \times 10^{-} 2.58 \times 10^{-}$ R+H5A. 5083635         LICAM interactions $1.50 \times 10^{-} 1.43 \times 10^{-}$ R+H5A. 418990         Adherens junctions interactions $3.75 \times 10^{-} 3.57 \times 10^{-}$ $5.73764$ Cell coli ordination organization $2.27 \times 10^{-2} 3.12 \times 10^{-2}$ Collagen chain trimerization $2.81 \times 10^{-3} 2.69 \times 10^{-3}$ R+H5A. 418900         Adherens junctions interactions $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ </th <th>ID</th> <th>Description</th> <th>p.adjust</th> <th>qvalue</th> <th>ID</th> <th>Description</th> <th>p.adjust qvalue</th>	ID	Description	p.adjust	qvalue	ID	Description	p.adjust qvalue
112316       Neuronal System $5.07 \times 10^{-5} 4.83 \times 10^{-5}$ $576891$ Cardiac conduction $1.04 \times 10^{-9} 9.97 \times 10^{-5}$ R-H5A       Neurotransmitter receptors and signal transmission $3.78 \times 10^{-4} 3.60 \times 10^{-4}$ $8^{-H5A}$ Netrin-1 signaling $2.09 \times 10^{-5} 2.01 \times 10^{-5}$ R-H5A       Transmission across Chemical Synap $6.87 \times 10^{-4} 6.55 \times 10^{-4}$ $8^{-H5A}$ Regulation of commissural axon path- finding by SLIT and ROBO $3.17 \times 10^{-5} 3.04 \times 10^{-5}$ R-H5A       GABA receptor activation $1.46 \times 10^{-7} 1.99 \times 10^{-7}$ $8^{-H5A}$ Neuronal System $4.16 \times 10^{-5} 3.99 \times 10^{-5}$ R-H5A       MOTCH-HLH transcription pathway $1.84 \times 10^{-1} 1.76 \times 10^{-7}$ $8^{-H5A}$ Neuronal System $8.71 \times 10^{-5} 8.35 \times 10^{-7}$ R-H5A       Netrin-1 signaling $2.50 \times 10^{-2} 2.38 \times 10^{-2}$ $8^{-H5A}$ Muscle contraction $9.81 \times 10^{-5} 9.41 \times 10^{-5}$ R+H5A       Cell junction organization $2.70 \times 10^{-2} 2.57 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ $8^{-H5A}$ LICAM interactions $1.50 \times 10^{-4} 1.43 \times 10^{-4}$ R+H5A       finding by SLIT and ROBO $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ $8^{-H5A}$ O-glycosylation of TSR domain-contani- rap proteins $7.40 \times 10^{-4} 7.09 \times 10^{-4}$ R+H5A       Cell-ce	R-HSA-				R-HSA-	<b>^</b>	
R-HSA- 112314         Neurotransmitter receptors and postsynaptic signal transmission R-HSA- 777443 $3.78 \times 10^{+4} 3.60 \times 10^{+4}$ $3.78 \times 10^{+4} 3.60 \times 10^{+4}$ HHSA- 428542         Netrin-1 signaling $3.78 \times 10^{+4} 3.60 \times 10^{+4}$ $2.09 \times 10^{+2} 2.01 \times 10^{+3}$ R-HSA- 777443         GABA receptor activation $9.77443$ $1.46 \times 10^{-2} 1.39 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 428542         Neuronal System $1.12316$ $3.17 \times 10^{-3} 3.04 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 330054         NOTCH-HLH transcription pathway $1.84 \times 10^{-2} 1.76 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095         Neuronal System $4.16 \times 10^{-3} 3.99 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 330752         Netrin-1 signaling $2.50 \times 10^{-2} 2.38 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 373760         Nucle contraction $9.81 \times 10^{-9} 9.41 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA- 446728         Cell junction organization $2.70 \times 10^{-2} 2.58 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 373760         L1CAM interactions $9.91 \times 10^{-9} 9.41 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA- 446728         Cell-Cell communication $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 150844         Cell-Cal communication $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 1650844         Collagen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes $2.40 \times 10^{-2} 2.30 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 412270         Cell-cell junction organization $2.40 \times 10^{-2} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 172444         Collagen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes $2.40 \times 10^{-2} 2.30 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 412270         Lucth <td< td=""><td>112316</td><td>Neuronal System</td><td>5.07 × 10-6</td><td><math>4.83 \times 10^{-6}</math></td><td>5576891</td><td>Cardiac conduction</td><td><math>1.04 \times 10^{-5} 9.97 \times 10^{-6}</math></td></td<>	112316	Neuronal System	5.07 × 10-6	$4.83 \times 10^{-6}$	5576891	Cardiac conduction	$1.04 \times 10^{-5} 9.97 \times 10^{-6}$
112314       postsynaptic signal transmission $3.78 \times 10^{-5} 3.00 \times 10^{-7}$ $3.78 \times 10^{-5} 3.00 \times 10^{-7}$ $3.78 \times 10^{-5} 3.00 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA       Transmission across Chemical Synap $6.87 \times 10^{+6} 6.55 \times 10^{+4}$ R-HSA       Regulation of commissural axon path finding by SLT1 and ROBO $3.17 \times 10^{+5} 3.04 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA       GABA receptor activation $1.46 \times 10^{-2} 1.39 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA       Neuronal System $4.16 \times 10^{-3} 3.99 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA       NOTCH-HILH transcription pathway $1.84 \times 10^{-2} 1.76 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA       Neuronal System $4.16 \times 10^{-5} 3.99 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA       Notrin -1 signaling $2.50 \times 10^{-2} 2.38 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA       Muscle contraction $9.81 \times 10^{-5} 9.41 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA       Cell Junction organization $2.70 \times 10^{-2} 2.58 \times 10^{-2}$ $8.HSA$ L1CAM interactions $1.50 \times 10^{-1} 1.43 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA       Cell-Cell communication $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ $8.HSA$ Collagen biosynthesis and modifying $2.40 \times 10^{-3} 2.30 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA       Cell-cell junction organization $4.29 \times 10^{-2} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA       Collagen biosynthesis and modifying $2.40 \times 10^{-3} 2.30 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA       Inding by SLIT and ROBO $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 2.17 \times 10^$	R-HSA-	Neurotransmitter receptors and	2 70 10 4	2 (0 10 4	R-HSA-	NT ( ' 1 ' 1'	2 00 10 5 2 01 10 5
R-HSA.       Transmission across Chemical Synap $6.87 \times 10^{-4} 6.55 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA.       Regulation of commissual axon path 42842 $3.17 \times 10^{-5} 3.04 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA.       GABA receptor activation $1.46 \times 10^{-2} 1.39 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA.       Neuronal System $4.16 \times 10^{-2} 3.99 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA.       NOTCH-HLH transcription pathway $1.84 \times 10^{-2} 1.76 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA.       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.71 \times 10^{-5} 8.35 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA.       NOTCH-HLH transcription organization $2.50 \times 10^{-2} 2.38 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA.       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.71 \times 10^{-5} 8.35 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA.       Cell junction organization $2.70 \times 10^{-2} 2.58 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA.       LICAM interactions $5.50 \times 10^{-4} 1.43 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA.       Gegulation of commissural axon path $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA.       Collogen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes $2.40 \times 10^{-2} 2.69 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA.       Adherens junctions interactions $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA.       Collagen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes $2.40 \times 10^{-3} 2.69 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA.       Adherens junction organization $2.23 \times 10^{-2} 2.11 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA.       Collagen chain trimerization $2.81 \times 10^{-3} 2.69 \times 10^{-3}$ <td>112314</td> <td>postsynaptic signal transmission</td> <td><math>3.78 \times 10^{-4}</math></td> <td><math>3.60 \times 10^{-4}</math></td> <td>373752</td> <td>Netrin-1 signaling</td> <td>2.09 × 10<sup>-5</sup> 2.01 × 10<sup>-5</sup></td>	112314	postsynaptic signal transmission	$3.78 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.60 \times 10^{-4}$	373752	Netrin-1 signaling	2.09 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> 2.01 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>
112315       ses       1.65 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> 6.53 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> 428542       Finding by SLIT and ROBO $3.17 \times 10^{-3} 3.04 \times 10^{-3}$ R-H5A-       GABA receptor activation $1.46 \times 10^{-2} 1.39 \times 10^{-2}$ R-H5A-       Neuronal System $4.16 \times 10^{-3} 3.99 \times 10^{-5}$ R-H5A-       Solo54       NOTCH-HLH transcription pathway $1.84 \times 10^{-2} 1.76 \times 10^{-2}$ R-H5A-       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.71 \times 10^{-3} 9.31 \times 10^{-5} 9.35 \times 10^{-5}$ R-H5A-       Netrin-1 signaling $2.50 \times 10^{-2} 2.38 \times 10^{-2}$ R-H5A-       Muscle contraction $9.81 \times 10^{-5} 9.41 \times 10^{-5}$ R-H5A-       Cell junction organization $2.70 \times 10^{-2} 2.58 \times 10^{-2}$ R-H5A-       L1CAM interactions $1.50 \times 10^{+1} 4.33 \times 10^{-4}$ R-H5A-       Cell-Cell communication $3.27 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ $8.H5A-$ Defective B3GALTL causes Pters-plus $5.91 \times 10^{-4} 7.69 \times 10^{-4}$ R-H5A-       Adherens junctions interactions $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ $8.H5A-$ Collagen biosynthesis and modifying $2.40 \times 10^{-3} 2.03 \times 10^{-3}$ R-H5A-       Cell-cell junction organization $4.29 \times 10^{-2} 4.10 \times 10^{-2}$ R-H5A-       Collagen chain trimerization $3.18 \times 10^{-3} 3.05 \times 10^{-3}$ R-H5A-       Cell-cell junction organization	R-HSA-	Transmission across Chemical Synap-	( 07 v 10-4	( EE + 10-4	R-HSA-	Regulation of commissural axon path-	2 17 4 10-5 2 04 4 10-5
R-HSA- 977443       GABA receptor activation $1.46 \times 10^{-2} \ 1.39 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- H230       Neuronal System $4.16 \times 10^{-3} \ 3.99 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 330054       NOTCH-HLH transcription pathway $1.84 \times 10^{-2} \ 1.76 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095       Neuronal System $4.16 \times 10^{-3} \ 3.99 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA- 373752       Netrin-1 signaling $2.50 \times 10^{-2} \ 2.38 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 37760       Muscle contraction $9.81 \times 10^{-3} \ 9.41 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 446728       Cell junction organization $2.70 \times 10^{-2} \ 3.12 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 37750       L1CAM interactions $5.91 \times 10^{-4} \ 5.67 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 446728       Cell-Cell communication $3.27 \times 10^{-2} \ 3.12 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 577312       Cellcommon of TSR domain-contain- 1608835 $contain-9.91 \times 10^{-4} \ 5.67 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 428542       Regulation of commissural axon path finding by SLT and ROBO $3.75 \times 10^{-2} \ 3.57 \times 10^{-2} \ 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 577312       Collagen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes $2.40 \times 10^{-3} \ 2.30 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 412970       Cell-cell junction organization $4.29 \times 10^{-2} \ 4.10 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 8948216       Collagen chain trimerization $3.18 \times 10^{-3} \ 3.05 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112316       Neuronal System $2.23 \times 10^{-7} \ 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 8000178       Collagen chai	112315	ses	6.87 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	6.55 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	428542	finding by SLIT and ROBO	3.17 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> 3.04 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>
977443       GRAP Receptor activation       148 × 10 * 139 × 10 *       112316       Returbul system       4.18 × 10 * 139 × 10 *         R-HSA- 330054       NOTCH-HLH transcription pathway $1.84 \times 10^2 1.76 \times 10^2$ R+HSA- 445095       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.71 \times 10^{-5} 8.35 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA- 373752       Netrin-1 signaling $2.50 \times 10^2 2.38 \times 10^2$ $R+HSA-$ 397014       Muscle contraction $9.81 \times 10^{-5} 9.41 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA- 466728       Cell junction organization $2.70 \times 10^{-2} 2.58 \times 10^{-2}$ $R+HSA-$ 373760       LICAM interactions $1.50 \times 10^{-4} 1.43 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 1500931       Cell-Cell communication $3.27 \times 10^{-2} 3.12 \times 10^{-2}$ S6835       syndrome (Pp5) $5.91 \times 10^{-4} 5.67 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 150990       Adherens junctions interactions $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ $R+HSA-$ Collagen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes $2.40 \times 10^{-3} 2.30 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 418990       Adherens junction organization $4.29 \times 10^{-2} 4.10 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 1650814       Collagen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes $2.40 \times 10^{-3} 2.30 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 418940       Cell-cell junction organization $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 8948216       Solidagen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes $2.40 \times 10^{-3} 2.69 \times 10^{-3}$ <	R-HSA-	CAPA recentor activation	$1.46 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.20 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Nouronal System	4 16 x 10-5 2 00 x 10-5
R-HSA- 350054       NOTCH-HLH transcription pathway 500 × 10^2 $1.84 \times 10^2$ $1.76 \times 10^2$ $R$ -HSA- 445095       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.71 \times 10^{-5} 8.35 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA- 373752       Netrin-1 signaling $2.50 \times 10^2$ $2.38 \times 10^2$ $R$ -HSA- 397014       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.71 \times 10^{-5} 8.35 \times 10^{-5}$ R-HSA- 446728       Cell junction organization regulation of communication R-HSA- 1600391 $2.70 \times 10^2$ $3.27 \times 10^2$ $3.12 \times 10^2$ $R$ -HSA- 5083635       LICAM interactions $1.50 \times 10^{-4} 1.43 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 1500391       Cell-Cell communication finding by SLIT and ROBO R-HSA- 418990 $3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^2$ $8.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 165084       Collagen biosynthesis and modifying enzymes $2.40 \times 10^{-3} 2.69 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 4112216       Hurt       Padjust       qvalue       R-HSA- 165084       Collagen chain trimerization $3.18 \times 10^{-3} 3.05 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 4121270       Neuronal System $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 8948216       Ion channel transport $3.82 \times 10^{-3} 3.66 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 412216       Neuronal System $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 8045210       Ion channel transport $3.82 \times 10^{-3} 3.66 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 55766891       Muscle contraction $8.462 \times 10^{-4}$	977443	.43 GABA receptor activation		1.39 × 10 -	112316	Neuronai System	4.10 ~ 10 * 3.99 ~ 10 *
	R-HSA-	NOTCH HI H transcription pathway	$1.84 \times 10^{-2}$ 1.76 ×	1 76 x 10-2	R-HSA-	Interaction between I 1 and Ankwing	8 71 × 10-5 8 35 × 10-5
R-HSA- 373752         Netrin-1 signaling $2.50 \times 10^{-2} \ 2.38 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 397014         Muscle contraction $9.81 \times 10^{-3} \ 9.41 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 446728         Cell junction organization $2.70 \times 10^{-2} \ 2.58 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 373760         L1CAM interactions $1.50 \times 10^{-1} \ 1.43 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 1500931         Cell-Cell communication $3.27 \times 10^{-2} \ 3.12 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 5083635         Defective B3GALTL causes Peters-plus syndrome (PpS) $5.91 \times 10^{-4} \ 5.67 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 150931         Regulation of commissural axon path finding by SLIT and ROBO $3.75 \times 10^{-2} \ 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 5173214         O-glycosylation of TSR domain-contain- syndrome (PpS) $7.40 \times 10^{-4} \ 7.09 \times 10^{-4} \ 7.40 \times 10^{-4} \ 7.09 \times 10^{-4} \ 7.40 \times 10^$	350054			1.70 ~ 10	445095	incraction between Er and Ankyrins	0.71 × 10 × 0.55 × 10 ×
373752Rettrict signaling $2.50 \times 10^{-2} 2.58 \times 10^{-2} 3.9710^{-1}$ Muscle contraction $2.50 \times 10^{-1} 1.43 \times 10^{-1}$ R-HSA- 446728Cell junction organization $2.70 \times 10^{-2} 2.58 \times 10^{-2} 3.7760^{-1}$ $R.HSA-508363L1CAM interactions1.50 \times 10^{+1} 1.43 \times 10^{-4}R-HSA-1500931Cell-Cell communication3.27 \times 10^{-2} 3.12 \times 10^{-2}3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}R.HSA-5083635Defective B3GALTL causes Peters-plussyndrome (PpS)5.91 \times 10^{-4} 5.67 \times 10^{-4}R-HSA-428542finding by SLIT and ROBO3.75 \times 10^{-2} 3.57 \times 10^{-2}8.HSA-150814Collagen biosynthesis and modifyingenzymes2.40 \times 10^{-3} 2.30 \times 10^{-3}R-HSA-421270Cell-cell junction organization4.29 \times 10^{-2} 4.10 \times 10^{-2}R-HSA-1650814Collagen chain trimerization3.18 \times 10^{-3} 3.05 \times 10^{-3}R-HSA-421270HurtR-HSA-122316R-HSA-R-HSA-Collagen chain trimerization3.18 \times 10^{-3} 3.05 \times 10^{-3}R-HSA-112316Neuronal System2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}877165R-HSA-88712NcAM signaling for neurite out-growth 3.18 \times 10^{-3} 3.66 \times 10^{-3}R-HSA-112315Cardiac conduction2.49 \times 10^{-5} 2.36 \times 10^{-6}887104R-HSA-887725Ion channel transport3.82 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}R-HSA-112315Ses4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-6}R-HSA-887725Ion homeostasis9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}R-HSA-112315Ses4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}8.HSA-9268775Ion homeostasis9.01$	R-HSA-	Netrin-1 signaling	$250 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.38 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Muscle contraction	9 81 x 10-5 9 /1 x 10-5
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	373752	Neuri-1 signaling	2.50 ~ 10	2.50 ~ 10	397014	Musele contraction	J.01 ~ 10 J.41 ~ 10
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	R-HSA-	Cell junction organization	2 70 x 10-2	2 58 x 10-2	R-HSA-	I 1CAM interactions	1 50 × 10-4 1 43 × 10-4
R-HSA- 1500931       Cell-Cell communication $3.27 \times 10^{-2}$ $3.12 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 508363       Defective B3GALTL causes Peters-plus syndrome (PpS) $5.91 \times 10^{+3}$ $5.91 \times 10^{-4}$	446728	cen junction organization	2.70 10	2.00 10	373760		1.50 ** 10 1.45 ** 10
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	R-HSA-	Cell-Cell communication	$3.27 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.12 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Defective B3GALTL causes Peters-plus	5 91 × 10-4 5 67 × 10-4
R-HSA- 28542       Regulation of commissural axon path finding by SLTT and ROBO $3.75 \times 10^{-2} \ 3.57 \times 10^{-2} \ 3.57 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 173214       O-glycosylation of TSR domain-contain- ing proteins $7.40 \times 10^{-4} \ 7.09 \times 10^{-4$	1500931	cen cen communeation	5.27 10	0.12 ** 10	5083635	syndrome (PpS)	5.51 ~ 10 5.67 ~ 10
$\begin{array}{c} 428542 \\ 428542 \\ R-HSA- \\ 418990 \\ R-HSA- \\ 421270 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Adherens junctions interactions \\ R-HSA- \\ 421270 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Adherens junctions interactions \\ R-HSA- \\ 421270 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Cell-cell junction organization \\ Hurt \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3.75 \times 10^{-2} \ 3.57 \times 10^{-2} \\ 4.29 \times 10^{-2} \ 4.10 \times 10^{-2} \\ 4.29 \times 10^{-2} \ 4.10 \times 10^{-2} \\ 1474244 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} R-HSA- \\ 1474244 \\ R-HSA- \\ 1474244 \\ R-HSA- \\ 112316 \\ R-HSA- \\ 112316 \\ R-HSA- \\ 112316 \\ R-HSA- \\ 112316 \\ R-HSA- \\ 5576891 \\ R-HSA- \\ 397014 \\ R-HSA- \\ 112315 \\ R-HSA- \\ 122928 \\ R-HSA- \\ 100 \ R-HSA- \\ 1$	R-HSA-	Regulation of commissural axon path-	$3.75 \times 10^{-2}$	3 57 × 10 <sup>−2</sup>	R-HSA-	O-glycosylation of TSR domain-contain	- 7 40 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> 7 09 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	428542	finding by SLIT and ROBO	0.75 ** 10	0.07 . 10	5173214	ing proteins	7.40 ** 10 * 7.07 ** 10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	R-HSA-	Adherens junctions interactions	$3.75 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.57 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Collagen biosynthesis and modifying	2 40 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> 2 30 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	418990	runerens junctions interactions	0.75 ** 10	0.07 10	1650814	enzymes	2.40 ** 10 2.50 ** 10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	R-HSA-	Cell-cell junction organization	$4.29 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.10 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Extracellular matrix organization	2 81 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> 2 69 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
HurtR-HSA- 8948216Collagen chain trimerization $3.18 \times 10^{-3} 3.05 \times 10^{-3}$ IDDescriptionp.adjustqvalueR-HSA- 93712R-HSA- 983712NCAM signaling for neurite out-growth $3.18 \times 10^{-3} 3.05 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112316Neuronal System $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 983712Ion channel transport $3.82 \times 10^{-3} 3.66 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 5576891Cardiac conduction $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 3000178ECM proteoglycans $8.98 \times 10^{-3} 8.61 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 397014Muscle contraction $2.49 \times 10^{-2} 2.36 \times 10^{-6}$ R-HSA- 2022928HS-GAG biosynthesis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315Transmission across Chemical Synap ses $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 96837Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837Ion transport by P-type ATPases $1.04 \times 10^{-2} 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105Colladen digue cosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	421270	Cell cell junction organization	4.27 10	4.10 10	1474244	Extracentatar matrix organization	2.01 10 2.09 10
IDDescription $p.adjust$ $qvalue$ $R-HSA-$ $112316$ $NcAM$ signaling for neurite out-growth $3.18 \times 10^{-3} 3.05 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112316Neuronal System $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ $R-HSA-$ $983712$ $R-HSA-$ $983712$ Ion channel transport $3.82 \times 10^{-3} 3.66 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 5576891Cardiac conduction $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ $R-HSA-$ $3000178$ $R-HSA-$ $3000178$ $R-HSA-$ $2022928$ $R-HSA-$ $2022928$ $R-HSA-$ $2022928$ $R-HSA-$ $112315$ $8.98 \times 10^{-3} 8.61 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315Transmission across Chemical Synap- ses $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ $R-HSA-$ $578775$ $R-HSA-$ Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ $R-HSA-$ $936837$ $R-HSA-$ Ion transport by P-type ATPases $1.04 \times 10^{-2} 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ $R-HSA-$ $5173105$ $O-linked$ glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	H+			R-HSA-	Collagen chain trimerization	3 18 x 10-3 3 05 x 10-3	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Huit			8948216	Conagen chain uninerization	0.10 ** 10 ** 0.00 ** 10
R-HSA- 112316Neuronal System $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 983712Ion channel transport $3.82 \times 10^{-3} 3.66 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 5576891Cardiac conduction $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 3000178R-HSA- 2022928R-HSA- 112315 $8.98 \times 10^{-3} 8.61 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 397014Muscle contraction $2.49 \times 10^{-6} 2.36 \times 10^{-6}$ R-HSA- 2022928R-HSA- 100 homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315Transmission across Chemical Synap- 1235 $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5578775Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 373760L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837Ion transport by P-type ATPases 936837 $1.04 \times 10^{-2} 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	ID Description p a		n adjust	avalue	R-HSA-	NCAM signaling for neurite out-growth	13 18 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> 3 05 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
R-HSA- 112316       Neuronal System $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 983712       Ion channel transport $3.82 \times 10^{-3} 3.66 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 5576891       Cardiac conduction $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 3000178       Ion channel transport $3.82 \times 10^{-3} 3.66 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 397014       Muscle contraction $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 3000178       ECM proteoglycans $8.98 \times 10^{-3} 8.61 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 397014       Muscle contraction $2.49 \times 10^{-6} 2.36 \times 10^{-6}$ R-HSA- 2022928       HS-GAG biosynthesis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315       Transmission across Chemical Synap 1235 $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5578775       Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 373760       L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837       Ion transport by P-type ATPases 936837 $1.04 \times 10^{-2} 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105       O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	10	Description	Piudjust	qvarae	375165	iver his signaling for heathe out grown	10.10 10 0.00 10
112316Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins1.1210983712Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins1.1210983712R-HSA- 397014Cardiac conduction $2.23 \times 10^{-7} \ 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 3000178R-HSA- 2022928ECM proteoglycans $8.98 \times 10^{-3} \ 8.61 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315Muscle contraction $2.49 \times 10^{-6} \ 2.36 \times 10^{-6}$ R-HSA- 2022928HS-GAG biosynthesis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} \ 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315ransmission across Chemical Synap- 1235 $4.62 \times 10^{-4} \ 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5578775Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} \ 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 373760L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} \ 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837Ion transport by P-type ATPases $1.04 \times 10^{-2} \ 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} \ 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} \ 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Neuronal System	2 23 × 10-7	2 11 × 10-7	R-HSA-	Ion channel transport	3 82 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> 3 66 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
R-HSA- 5576891       Cardiac conduction $2.23 \times 10^{-7} 2.11 \times 10^{-7}$ R-HSA- 3000178       ECM proteoglycans $8.98 \times 10^{-3} 8.61 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 397014       Muscle contraction $2.49 \times 10^{-6} 2.36 \times 10^{-6}$ R-HSA- 2022928       HS-GAG biosynthesis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315       Transmission across Chemical Synap ses $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5578775       Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315       L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837       Ion transport by P-type ATPases $1.04 \times 10^{-2} 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105       O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	112316		2.20 10 2.	2.11 10	983712	ion channel manopoire	10 0100 10
5576891Calculate contraction2.49 × 10^{-6} 2.36 × 10^{-6}R-HSA- 2022928R-HSA- 2022928HS-GAG biosynthesis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315ransmission across Chemical Synap- 112315 $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5578775R-HSA- 10n homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 373760L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 373760L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837Ion transport by P-type ATPases 9.01 × 10^{-2} 1.00 × 10^{-2}R-HSA- 445095Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Cardiac conduction	2.23 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.11 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	R-HSA-	ECM proteoglycans	8 98 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> 8 61 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
R-HSA- 397014Muscle contraction $2.49 \times 10^{-6} \ 2.36 \times 10^{-6}$ R-HSA- 2022928HS-GAG biosynthesis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} \ 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 112315Transmission across Chemical Synap- ses $4.62 \times 10^{-4} \ 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5578775Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} \ 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 373760L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} \ 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} \ 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 373760L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} \ 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837Ion transport by P-type ATPases 936837 $1.04 \times 10^{-2} \ 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} \ 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} \ 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	5576891				3000178	Zeni proteogrytano	0.00 10 0.01 10
3970142022928Character and the constraint of the	R-HSA-	Muscle contraction	$2.49\times10^{-6}$	2.36 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	R-HSA-	HS-GAG biosynthesis	9.01 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> 8.64 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
R-HSA- 112315Transmission across Chemical Synap- ses $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5578775Ion homeostasis $9.01 \times 10^{-3} 8.64 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- 373760L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837Ion transport by P-type ATPases $1.04 \times 10^{-2} 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	397014				2022928		
112315       ses       5578775         R-HSA-       L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} + 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA-       Ion transport by P-type ATPases $1.04 \times 10^{-2} + 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA-       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} + 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA-       O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} + 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Transmission across Chemical Synap-	$4.62 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.37 \times 10^{-4}$	R-HSA-	Ion homeostasis	9.01 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> 8.64 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
R-HSA- 373760L1CAM interactions $4.62 \times 10^{-4} \ 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 936837Ion transport by P-type ATPases $1.04 \times 10^{-2} \ 1.00 \times 10^{-2}$ R-HSA- 445095Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4} \ 8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2} \ 1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	112315	ses		107 10	5578775		
373760       936837       1       9       91         R-HSA-       Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4}$ $8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA-       0-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2}$ $1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	L1CAM interactions	$4.62 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.37 \times 10^{-4}$	R-HSA-	Ion transport by P-type ATPases	1.04 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> 1.00 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
R-HSA- 445095         Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins $8.83 \times 10^{-4}$ $8.37 \times 10^{-4}$ R-HSA- 5173105         O-linked glycosylation $1.49 \times 10^{-2}$ $1.43 \times 10^{-2}$	373760				936837	1 5 51	
445095 5173105 67 9	R-HSA-	Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins	$8.83 \times 10^{-4}$	8.37 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	R-HSA-	O-linked glycosylation	1.49 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> 1.43 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
	445095				5173105	0,5 ,5	
R-HSA- Neurotransmitter receptors and $1.60 \times 10^{-3}$ $1.52 \times 10^{-3}$ R-HSA- Phase 0 - rapid depolarization $1.71 \times 10^{-2}$ $1.64 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Neurotransmitter receptors and	1.60 × 10⁻³	1.52 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	R-HSA-	Phase 0 - rapid depolarization	1.71 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> 1.64 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
112314 postsynaptic signal transmission 55/6892	112314	postsynaptic signal transmission			5576892		
R-HSA- Phase 0 - rapid depolarization $2.02 \times 10^{-3} 1.92 \times 10^{-3}$ $1.89 \times 10^{-2} 1.81 \times 10^{-2}$	R-HSA-	Phase 0 - rapid depolarization	2.02 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.92 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	R-HSA-	Diseases associated with O-glycosyla-	1.89 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> 1.81 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
55/6892 to of proteins	5576892	2			3906995	tion of proteins	
K-H5A- Extracellular matrix organization $4.49 \times 10^{-3} 4.26 \times 10^{-3}$ Transmission across Chemical Synapses $1.90 \times 10^{-2} 1.82 \times 10^{-2}$	K-HSA-	A- Extracellular matrix organization		4.26 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	K-HSA-	Transmission across Chemical Synapses	s 1.90 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> 1.82 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
14/4244 112315 1	1474244 D.1124				112315 D.LICA	2 1	
K-H5A- Ion homeostasis $5.64 \times 10^{-3} 5.34 \times 10^{-3}$ K-H5A- NCAM1 interactions $2.28 \times 10^{-2} 2.18 \times 10^{-2}$	K-HSA-	Ion homeostasis	5.64 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.34 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	K-HSA-	NCAM1 interactions	2.28 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> 2.18 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
55/8//5 41903/	5578775				419037 D.LICA		
K-H5A- Netrin-1 signaling $5.64 \times 10^{-3} 5.34 \times 10^{-3}$ K-H5A- Collagen formation $4.63 \times 10^{-2} 4.44 \times 10^{-2}$	K-HSA-	Netrin-1 signaling	5.64 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.34 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	K-HSA-	Collagen formation	4.63 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> 4.44 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
3/3/5Z 14/429U	373752	0 0			1474290	C C	
5173105 O-linked glycosylation $4.12 \times 10^{-2} 3.90 \times 10^{-2}$	к-п5А- 5173105	O-linked glycosylation	$4.12 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.90\times10^{-2}$			



**Figure 4.** Distribution of enriched pathways for the 3 suicide classes. The figure reports the Venn Diagram of enriched pathways distribution for the 3 suicide classes. Attempted + Hurt + Not Worth (3): R-HSA-373752, R-HSA-112316, R-HSA-112315; Attempted + Hurt (1): R-HSA-112314; Attempted + Not Worth (1): R-HSA-428542; Hurt + Not Worth (8): R-HSA-397014, R-HSA-5173105, R-HSA-1474244, R-HSA-445095, R-HSA-5578775, R-HSA-5576891, R-HSA-373760, R-HSA-5576892; Attempted (6): R-HSA-421270, R-HSA-350054, R-HSA-446728, R-HSA-1500931, R-HSA-977443, R-HSA-418990; Not Worth (12): R-HSA-1650814, R-HSA-5083635, R-HSA-5173214, R-HSA-1474290, R-HSA-8948216, R-HSA-3906995, R-HSA-2022928, R-HSA-375165, R-HSA-3000178, R-HSA-983712, R-HSA-419037, R-HSA-936837.



**Figure 5.** Overlap of the genetic structure associated with specific suicide related phenotypes in BD. This figure reports the unique pathways we found involved in the three suicide classes. Further we reported through a venn diagram the number of genes shared by each of these pathways.

#### 3.2. Exploratory Analysis

The result of the analyses on individuals reporting at least one of the investigated phenotypes (cases) against individuals reporting none (controls) showed no significant data in single SNPs analysis (please refer to Figure 6). The molecular pathways analysis reported a list of molecular pathways enriched in genetic variations associated with the phenotypes under analysis. Results are reported in Table 6 and Figure 7.



**Figure 6.** Manhattan plots. Left: Manhattan plots for the outcome under analysis were reported. Right: the genomic areas with the strongest associations with outcome are shown. The outcome under analysis is suicidal behavior versus controls. None of the single investigated SNP reached the genome-wide threshold.

ID	Description	<i>p</i> .adjust	q value
R-HSA-112316	Neuronal System	$3.94 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.67 \times 10^{-4}$
R-HSA-9013508	NOTCH3 Intracellular Domain Regulates Transcription	5.05 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.71 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
R-HSA-210744	Regulation of gene expression in late stage (branching morphogenesis) pancreatic bud precursor cells	5.05 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.71 × 10⁻₃
R-HSA-350054	NOTCH-HLH transcription pathway	$5.82 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.42 \times 10^{-3}$
R-HSA-5173105	O-linked glycosylation	$5.95 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.54 \times 10^{-3}$
R-HSA-8941856	RUNX3 regulates NOTCH signaling	$2.69 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.50 \times 10^{-2}$
R-HSA-186712	Regulation of beta-cell development	$3.11 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.89 \times 10^{-2}$
R-HSA-373760	L1CAM interactions	$3.29 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.06 \times 10^{-2}$
R-HSA-112315	Transmission across Chemical Synapses	$3.36 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.13 \times 10^{-2}$
R-HSA-445095	Interaction between L1 and Ankyrins	$4.48 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.17 \times 10^{-2}$
R-HSA-2122947	NOTCH1 Intracellular Domain Regulates Transcription	$4.48 \times 10^{-2}$	4.17 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
R-HSA-163685	Integration of energy metolism	$4.48 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.17 \times 10^{-2}$
R-HSA-9012852	Signaling by NOTCH3	$4.48\times10^{_{-2}}$	$4.17 \times 10^{-2}$
R-HSA-5576892	Phase 0 - rapid depolarisation	4.68 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.35 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
R-HSA-9013695 NOTCH4 Intracellular Domain Regulates Transcription		4.68 × 10-2	4.35 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>

Table 6. Result from the molecular pathway analysis (exploratory analysis).



**Figure 7.** Molecular pathway analysis. The molecular pathways are listed in the Y axis. X axis describes the number of genes found to be enriched in the suicidal behavior versus controls analysis.

#### 4. Discussion

Suicidal attempts are dramatic, frequent events in psychiatric clinical practice. Even though several studies have been carried out, there are no data on the biological factors influencing the risk of this event that can be used in the current clinical practice. In this paper we have focused on the potential genetic backbone which may be indicative of risk in BD.

#### 4.1. Analysis of Single SNPs

In our study, no single DNA variation reached genome-wide significance, emphasizing that no gene or SNP, alone, has a high enough impact on suicide risk. Still, some insights could be obtained from the observed trend. The most significant association, with a  $p = 5.977 \times 10^{-6}$ , was related to an intronic variant (rs2767403) of the *AOPEP* gene. The other two SNPs with a low (but not significant) *p*-value (rs11106868 and rs7637875) were localized in intergenic regions as such no further analysis was conducted. Regarding the exploratory analysis, no SNPs reached the significant threshold and the most significant trend (rs2371605) was localized in an intergenic region. According to in silico analyses, rs2767403 introduces a donor splice site within the *AOPEP* sequence (Table 4).

Even though this prediction highlights the potential variability caused by rs2767403, the *AOPEP* gene encodes a metallo protease linked to the renin-angiotensin system [47]. In particular, it is able to cleave angiotensin III (but not I and II) to generate Angiotensin IV. It is mainly expressed in heart, but it can also be found, with lower concentrations, in brain. Overall, *AOPEP* RNA has poor tissue specificity and can be found in almost all tissue of the body. Unfortunately, except for its correlation with the renin-angiotensin system, little is known about its function. Of note, several studies consistently associated *AOPEP* with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) [48–53].

Of interest, the *AOPEP* region also holds the miR-23b/27b/24 cluster [54]. This cluster is composed of three miRNA genes located within 14 intron of *C9orf3* (*AOPEP*) [54]. The precise mechanism of regulation of the miR-23b/27b/24 cluster expression is still not clear [54]. According to current knowledge on intronic miRNAs biogenesis, the pri-miR-23b/27b/24 cluster is potentially transcribed as part of the transcript of the *AOPEP* gene. Therefore, potential alterations of *AOPEP* maturation processes by rs2767403 can potentially interfere with the cluster expression.

The role of these miRNAs has not been fully elucidated, however studies examining the roles of miR-23b, miR-27b and miR-24-1 have demonstrated their multiple functions, ranging from metabolic disorders to proliferation and development disorders [55–60]. These miRNAs are highly expressed in vascularized tissues [60]. In addition, miR-27b was shown to target the NOTCH ligand Delta-like ligand 4 (Dll4) [55]. To note, the NOTCH pathway was enriched in our pathway analysis. Within their functions, this cluster, and in particular miR-23b and miR-27b, seem to exert an important control on neuronal apoptosis, modulating the expression of *Apaf-1* gene (in a murine model) [61]. Apaf-1 is a key

apoptotic protein associated with neuronal apoptosis [62]. This protein levels are extremely low in adult brains. Conversely, the expression of miR-23-27 cluster is significantly higher in adults than in embryos. Even though its function in vivo remains elusive, this cluster likely regulates Apaf-1 expression, thus affecting the sensitivity of neurons to apoptosis during development. A potential alteration of this tight regulation system can lead to impaired neural nets (brain) development. Even though there is currently no evidence in literature that correlates this cluster and suicide, miRNAs expression can be closely related to neurophysiology and suicidal behavior [63,64].

#### 4.2. Molecular Pathway Analysis

Regarding our pathway analysis, our data evidenced that specific molecular cascades are enriched in the above defined suicide classes (please refer to Table 5). The three classes of suicide (Attempted, Hurt and Not worth) shared some of these pathways, as also evidenced by exploratory analysis, while others were unique. In particular, the biological processes involved in post synaptic signaling, neurotransmission in general and neurodevelopment (axon) were enriched in all these classes and in the exploratory analysis (Neuronal System), although GABA signaling was only enriched in the Attempted class. In addition, NOTCH signaling and cell-cell communication pathway were found to be significantly enriched only in this class. The Not worth class was related to the biological processes involved in ion equilibrium, with extracellular matrix and glycosylation processes. Figure 4 reports a Venn diagram showing pathways distribution in the 3 classes.

A further focus on the genes within each enriched pathway explains the significance of some apparently unrelated pathways. Indeed, as can be seen in the Venn diagrams (figure 5), cardiac conduction related pathway shares 12 genes with Netrin-1 signaling (axon development related process). Common genes are the *SCN* genes, which encode for sodium voltage-gated channel subunits. They are important in both cardiac and brain function. In particular, the pathway associated with *SCN* genes seems to be involved in the biological processes of pain, especially in the development of inflammatory pain [65–68]. This could explain the link between these genes (and related pathways) and suicide risk, as pain is a robust predictor of suicidal desire [69].

In the Not Worth class, the pathway of cardiac function also shared its genetic background with the ion transport by P-type ATPases pathway. The genes shared by the two play a role in both cardiac function and neurotransmission related processes. Transmembrane ion transport by ATPases is closely related to the membrane potential. Alterations within this process likely alter neurotransmission processes. Interestingly, literature data associate ATPase activity coupled to the transport of ions across the cell membrane with suicide risk [70,71].

The associated pathways related to the neurotransmission processes (common in the three classes) and to GABA neurotransmission, specific for suicide attempters were deeply investigated in the literature. The data obtained showed that the perturbation of glutamatergic and GABAergic neurotransmission systems, which play roles in excitatory and inhibitory responses, respectively, contribute to the neurobiology of psychiatric disorders and have been associated with suicide [70–79]. The mechanisms by which such complex behaviors are not well understood, but likely involve the function of both ionotropic GABA<sub>A</sub> and metabotropic GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors (GABA<sub>A</sub>R and GABA<sub>B</sub>R) [79,80].

In addition, the Attempted class was associated with processes related to adherent junctions. The molecules involved in this cascade have been linked to anxiety and mood disorders [81], which represent substantial risk factors contributing to suicidal behavior [81].

Finally, NOTCH signaling was also associated with the class of suicide attempters. Recent studies have associated alterations of neuronal plasticity in specific brain areas with suicidal behavior [82]. In this context, the NOTCH signaling pathway plays a relevant role in neuronal plasticity as well as cell survival and migration [83], which are biological processes proven to be altered in suicide victims [84]. It also has been identified as critical regulator of neurogenesis and gliogenesis in the adult brain [85,86]. During the last decade, alterations in neurogenesis processes were identified in suicide victims [87]. The alteration of these processes is supported by the structural anomalies that can be observed in different brain areas of suicide attempters such as PFC and HIP [87–89]. The role of the NOTCH signaling pathway in neurogenesis strongly suggests its potential involvement in suicidal behavior.

The Hurt and Not Worth classes were found to be linked to less neuron-specific processes: Glycosylation and ExtraCellular Matrix (ECM) Organization. Even though, these biological cascades may not seem to be important for brain functioning, literature data has demonstrated their essential role for the physiological function of the brain [90]. Indeed, a large portion of the brain volume is made up of ECM and its interaction with local cells is essential for functions such as memory and learning [91]. ECM also has a prominent role in brain development, maturation of neural circuits and adult neuroplasticity (cell migration, axonal outgrowth and synaptogenesis) [92]. It also has important roles in neurotransmission and signal transduction since it influences the exchange of ions and neurotransmitters through extracellular space [92,93]. Proteins used to anchor cells to ECM, such as CD44, have been found to be expressed by several brain cells including neurons [94], astrocytes [95] and microglia [96]. Interestingly, these proteins required to organize ECM have been found to be related to suicidal behavior [97,98], likely influencing brain homeostasis through their immune control [90,99] and regulation of the blood-brain barrier permeability [90,100]. This multifactorial role of the ECM suggests that alterations in its organization could potentially lead to impaired brain function and, consequently, increasing the risk for neuropsychiatric and/or neurodegenerative diseases [90,101,102]. The role of ECM is strongly related to glycosylation. In the brain, the formation and structure of the ECM contains the hyaluronic polysaccharide, and a large variety of glycoproteins and proteoglycans [103]. The glycosylation process is tightly regulated as it is an enzymatic modification that is site and substrate specific [104]. Further glycosylation plays an important role in various cellular processes, from cell adhesion and pattern recognition [105] to more specific brain-related roles in controlling neurite sprouting and development [104,106,107]. This process may alter the synthesis of gangliosides, impairing the physiological function of the brain [108]. Even though no literature data exposed a precise causal correlation between altered glycosylation processes and suicidal behavior, this pathway is implicated in several disease states including psychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders [109-116].

#### 5. Conclusions

Bipolar Disorder (BD) is a recurrent, frequent and devastating affective disorder. The current treatments mitigate the exacerbations of BD symptoms at the cost of side effects, including weight gain, together with a non-optimal efficacy. Moreover, suicide rates are higher among individuals with BD. Clinical interviews and treatment alliance are the current strategies employed to decrease suicide rate in BD. There are no current biologic variables that can help identify the BD individuals at risk for suicide. The identification of such variables would prompt a Copernical revolution in the treatment of these individuals. Suicide behavior is a complex phenotype. It is unreasonable to look for the "suicide gene" or "suicide genetic variation". It is more likely that several genetic variations distributed in different genes concur in shaping the general risk for suicide behavior. Our paper enforces the complex, multigenetic background behind suicidal behavior, since the lack of significant association on single gene analysis, although we should also report some suggestive trends on AOPEP gene. In addition, environmental factors related to distal and proximal history, e.g., early-life adversity and psychiatric disorder also need to be taken in consideration when trying to evaluate the risk of suicide. In particular, including epigenetic and epigenomic modifications in individuals could represent an important step for future studies to include the effects of environment on genes' expression. Despite this, we have highlighted how some specific biological processes, when altered can contribute

to the risk of suicide more than others. We also evidenced slight differences between Suicide Attempters, Not Worth and Hurt classes, with the first more related to neuro-like processes: Neurotransmission, and Development (in particular GABA and NOTCH which were unique for this class). While others interestingly correlated with processes such as ECM organization and glycosylation processes. Growing evidence is available correlating these cascades to brain functions [91,92], suggesting that they may underlie the development and progression of neurologic alterations which can affect suicide risk. In the present research the combined effect of several genetic variations is analyzed in order to identify BD individuals at risk for suicide. A hypothesis - free analysis is conducted throughout the whole genome of each individual. Genes and their embedded variations are grouped in consistent molecular pathways across the whole genome and tested for "enrichment". That means that the molecular pathways that contain more genetic variations significantly associated with suicide behavior than expected by chance are identified. The result of the present investigation helps in prompting further analysis of specific molecular pathways. This knowledge can be used both for the identification of BD that have a genetic predisposition to suicide behavior, and to the engineering of specific drugs able to tackle the molecular pathways at risk.

**Author Contributions:** L.K.M.L. and M.C. written the first draft; A.D. retrieved the sample data and performed data analyses; S.B. cured the revision of the first draft and performed further literature search; A.D. and C.C. supervised the study. All authors contributed to write the final version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** The following study was based on STEP-BD public database. Ethics approval and patients' informed consent to analyses were already included in the dataset.

**Data Availability Statement:** The Data and biomaterials analyzed in this study were obtained from the STEP-BD study (2N01MH080001-001), available on NIMH Repository with permission of NIMH (https://www.nimhgenetics.org/, accessed on 21 April 2021).

Acknowledgments: Data and biomaterials were collected for the Systematic Treatment Enhancement Program for Bipolar Disorder (STEP-BD), a multi-center, longitudinal (5–8 years) project selected from responses to RFP #NIMH-98-DS-0001, "Treatment for Bipolar Disorder." The project was led by Gary Sachs, and coordinated by Massachusetts General Hospital in Boston, MA. The NIMH grant number was 2N01MH080001-001. Given the major public health implications of identifying genes responsible for severe neuropsychiatric disorders, the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) has funded a Human Genetics Initiative. The goal of this Initiative is to establish a national resource of clinical data and biomaterials that are collected from individuals with Alzheimer disease, schizophrenia or bipolar I disorder (BP), in order to aid researchers in understanding the genetic bases of these disorders. The NIMH Bipolar Disorder Genetics Initiative is supported by the Office of Human Genetics and Genomic Resources in NIMH's Division of Neuroscience and Basic Behavioral Science (DNBBS). Since 1996, data and biomaterials (cell lines and DNA samples) have been available to qualified investigators who study the genetics of BP and may be accessed by following a set of instructions. We thank Rasmus Licht and René Ernst Nielsen for suggestions and guide in the writing process.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Appendix A. Definitions of Suicide-Related Phenotypes

The term suicidal ideation, i.e., suicidal thoughts or ideas, describes a broad range of contemplations, wishes and preoccupations with death and suicide. There is no established definition of suicidal ideation, making the clinical assessment difficult, and also research is hindered by the need of operational definitions leading to inability to compare findings [117].

Suicide attempt is defined by WHO as "any non-fatal suicidal behavior", further described as any "intentional self-inflicted poisoning, injury or self-harm which may or may not have a fatal intent or outcome", while suicide is defined as "the act of deliberately killing oneself". Worldwide approximately 800,000 individuals commit suicide each year, it is the 10th leading cause of death overall and the number is probably underestimated due to the sensitivity of the circumstance and countries where suicide is illegal.

# Appendix B. Clinical Risk Factors and Prevention Measures for Suicide Behavior in BD

Risk factors - Some risk factors are seen as general risk factors for suicide, especially regarding socio-demographic factors; gender (male), marital status (single, widowed, divorced), living alone, age under 35 years or over 75 years, no children and unemployment. In addition, some clinical risk factors are overall risk factors for committing suicide, e.g., history of suicide attempt and family history of completed suicide. Other clinical risk factors are related to the characteristics and phase of the illness: predominant depressive episode, major depressive episode, rapid cycling subtype, earlier age of onset, longer duration of untreated illness, previous hospitalization, concurrent medical comorbidity and mood-incongruent psychotic symptoms. Psychiatric comorbidity in the form of personality disorders (borderline, antisocial, histrionic and narcissistic) also higher the risk.

Prevention strategies—When it comes to the prevention of suicide in BD, several studies have shown the anti-suicidal effect of lithium treatment, including a large Swedish study with over 50,000 patients. The register-based longitudinal study found a decreased incidence of suicide-related events of 14% compared to those that received valproate [118]. Another study found a 5-fold greater risk of suicide attempts among patients with poor adherence to long-term lithium maintenance treatment compared to those with high adherence [119]. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) has proven to be effective in treating acute suicidal danger in severely depressed patients; furthermore ECT also prevents subsequent suicidal behavior [120]. Medical treatment should never stand alone; a general national guideline for preventing suicide could consist of education of the patient as well as friends and family, a 24-hours telephone hotline, the development of a personalized safety planning and for some psychotherapy.

#### References

7.

- 1. Miller, J.; Black, D.W. Bipolar disorder and suicide: A review. Curr. Psychiatry Rep. 2020, 22, 6.
- Hansen, P.S.; Laursen, M.F.; Grøntved, S.; Straszek, S.P.V.; Licht, R.W.; Nielsen, R.E. Increasing mortality gap for patients diagnosed with bipolar disorder-A nationwide study with 20 years of follow-up. *Bipolar Disord*. 2019, 21, 270–275.
- Crump, C.; Sundquist, K.; Winkleby, M.A.; Sundquist, J. Comorbidities and mortality in bipolar disorder: A Swedish national cohort study. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013, 70, 931–939.
- Pinto, J.V.; Saraf, G.; Kozicky, J.; Beaulieu, S.; Sharma, V.; Parikh, S.V.; Yatham, L.N. Remission and recurrence in bipolar disorder: The data from health outcomes and patient eval-uations in bipolar disorder (HOPE-BD) study. J Affect. Disord. 2020, 268, 150–157.
- 5. Bauer, M.; Pfennig, A. Epidemiology of Bipolar Disorders. *Epilepsia* **2005**, *46*, 8–13.
- Gonda, X.; Pompili, M.; Serafini, G.; Montebovi, F.; Campi, S.; Dome, P.; Duleba, T.; Girardi, P.; Rihmer, Z. Suicidal behavior in bipolar disorder: Epidemiology, characteristics and major risk factors. J. Affect. Disord. 2012, 143, 16–26.
  - Voracek, M.; Loibl, L.M. Genetics of suicide: A systematic review of twin studies. Wien. Klin. Wochenschr. 2007, 119, 463–475.
- 8. Brent, D.A.; Mann, J.J. Family genetic studies, suicide, and suicidal behavior. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part C Semin. Med. Genet.* 2005, 133C, 13–24.
- Mullins, N.; Bigdeli, T.B.; Børglum, A.; Coleman, J.; Demontis, D.; Mehta, D.D.; Power, R.A.; Ripke, S.; Stahl, E.A.; Starnawska, A.; et al. GWAS of suicide attempt in psychiatric disorders and association with major depression polygenic risk scores. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2019, 176, 651–660.
- Ruderfer, D.M.; Walsh, C.G.; Aguirre, M.W.; Tanigawa, Y.; Ribeiro, J.D.; Franklin, J.C.; Rivas, M.A. Significant shared heritability underlies suicide attempt and clinically predicted probability of attempting suicide. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2020, 25, 2422–2430.
- Stein, M.B.; Ware, E.B.; Mitchell, C.; Chen, C.-Y.; Borja, S.; Cai, T.; Dempsey, C.L.; Fullerton, C.S.; Gelernter, J.; Heeringa, S.G.; et al. Genomewide association studies of suicide attempts in US soldiers. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* 2017, 174, 786–797, doi:10.1002/ajmg.b.32594.
- Mullins, N.; Perroud, N.; Uher, R.; Butler, A.W.; Cohen-Woods, S.; Rivera, M.; Malki, K.; Euesden, J.; Power, R.; Tansey, K.; et al. Genetic relationships between suicide attempts, suicidal ideation and major psychiatric disorders: A genome-wide association and polygenic scoring study. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* 2014, *165*, 428–437, doi:10.1002/ajmg.b.32247.

- Galfalvy, H.; Haghighi, F.; Hodgkinson, C.; Goldman, D.; Oquendo, M.A.; Burke, A.; Huang, Y.-Y.; Giegling, I.; Rujescu, D.; Bureau, A.; et al. A genome-wide association study of suicidal behavior. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Part B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* 2015, 168, 557–563, doi:10.1002/ajmg.b.32330.
- Erlangsen, A.; Appadurai, V.; Wang, Y.; Turecki, G.; Mors, O.; Werge, T.; Mortensen, P.B.; Starnawska, A.; Børglum, A.; Schork, A.; et al. Genetics of suicide attempts in individuals with and without mental disorders: A population-based genome-wide association study. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2020, 25, 2410–2421.
- Sachs, G.S.; E Thase, M.; Otto, M.; Bauer, M.; Miklowitz, D.; Wisniewski, S.; Lavori, P.; Lebowitz, B.; Rudorfer, M.; Frank, E.; et al. Rationale, design, and methods of the systematic treatment enhancement program for bipolar disorder (STEP-BD). *Biol. Psychiatry* 2003, *53*, 1028–1042.
- 16. Bellivier, F.; Laplanche, J.-L.; Leboyer, M.; Feingold, J.; Bottos, C.; Allilaire, J.-F.; Launay, J.-M. Serotonin transporter gene and manic depressive illness: An association study. *Biol. Psychiatry* **1997**, *41*, 750–752.
- 17. Ohara, K.; Nagai, M.; Tsukamoto, T.; Tani, K.; Suzuki, Y.; Ohara, K. 5-HT2A receptor gene promoter polymor-phism--1438G/A and mood disorders. *Neuroreport* **1998**, *9*, 1139–1141.
- Ohara, K.; Nagai, M.; Tsukamoto, T.; Tani, K.; Suzuki, Y.; Ohara, K. Functional polymorphism in the serotonin transporter promoter at the SLC6A4 locus and mood disorders. *Biol. Psychiatry* 1998, 44, 550–554.
- Rujescu, D.; Giegling, I.; Dahmen, N.; Szegedi, A.; Anghelescu, I.; Gietl, A.; Schäfer, M.; Müller-Siecheneder, F.; Bondy, B.; Möller, H.J. Association study of suicidal behavior and affective disorders with a genetic polymorphism in ABCG1, a positional candidate on chromosome 21q22.3. *Neuropsychobiology* 2000, 42, 22–25.
- Zubenko, G.S.; Maher, B.S.; Hughes HB 3rd Zubenko, W.N.; Scott Stiffler, J.; Marazita, M.L. Genome-wide linkage survey for genetic loci that affect the risk of suicide attempts in families with recurrent, early-onset, major depression. *Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* 2004, 129B, 47–54.
- 21. Hesselbrock, V.; Dick, D.; Hesselbrock, M.; Foroud, T.; Schuckit, M.; Edenberg, H.; Bucholz, K.; Kramer, J.; Reich, T.; Goate, A.; et al. The search for genetic risk factors associated with suicidal behavior. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* **2004**, *28*, 70S–76S.
- De Lara, C.L.; Dumais, A.; Rouleau, G.; Lesage, A.; Dumont, M.; Chawky, N.; Alda, M.; Benkelfat, C.; Turecki, G. STin2 Variant and Family History of Suicide as Significant Predictors of Suicide Completion in Major Depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2006, 59, 114–120.
- Cheng, R.; Juo, S.H.; E Loth, J.; Nee, J.; Iossifov, I.; Blumenthal, R.; Sharpe, L.; Kanyas, K.; Lerer, B.; Lilliston, B.; et al. Genomewide linkage scan in a large bipolar disorder sample from the National Institute of Mental Health genetics initiative suggests putative loci for bipolar disorder, psychosis, suicide, and panic disorder. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2006, 11, 252–260.
- Willour, V.L.; Zandi, P.P.; Badner, J.A.; Steele, J.; Miao, K.; Lopez, V.; MacKinnon, D.F.; Mondimore, F.M.; Schweizer, B.; McInnis, M.G.; et al. Attempted Suicide in Bipolar Disorder Pedigrees: Evidence for Linkage to 2p12. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2007, *61*, 725–727.
- Iga, J.-I.; Ueno, S.-I.; Yamauchi, K.; Numata, S.; Tayoshi-Shibuya, S.; Kinouchi, S.; Nakataki, M.; Song, H.; Hokoishi, K.; Tanabe, H.; et al. The Val66Met polymorphism of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene is associated with psychotic feature and suicidal behavior in Japanese major depressive patients. *Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* 2007, 144B, 1003–1006.
- 26. Perlis, R.H.; Huang, J.; Purcell, S.; Fava, M.; Rush, A.J.; Sullivan, P.F.; Hamilton, S.P.; McMahon, F.J.; Schulze, T.; Potash, J.B.; et al. Genome-wide association study of suicide attempts in mood disorder patients. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **2010**, *167*, 1499–1507.
- 27. Schosser, A.; Butler, A.W.; Ising, M.; Perroud, N.; Uher, R.; Ng, M.Y.; Cohen-Woods, S.; Craddock, N.; Owen, M.J.; Korszun, A.; et al. Genomewide Association Scan of Suicidal Thoughts and Behaviour in Major Depression. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e20690.
- Willour, V.L.; Seifuddin, F.; Mahon, P.B.; Jancic, D.; Pirooznia, M.; Steele, J.; Schweizer, B.; Goes, F.S.; Mondimore, F.M.; Mackinnon, D.F.; et al. A genome-wide association study of attempted suicide. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2011, 17, 433–444.
- Schosser, A.; Calati, R.; Serretti, A.; Massat, I.; Kocabas, N.A.; Papageorgiou, K.; Linotte, S.; Mendlewicz, J.; Souery, D.; Zohar, J.; et al. The impact of COMT gene polymorphisms on suicidality in treatment resistant major depressive disorder A European Multicenter Study. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2012, 22, 259–266.
- 30. Zai, C.C.; Gonçalves, V.F.; Tiwari, A.K.; Gagliano, S.A.; Hosang, G.; de Luca, V.; Shaikh, S.A.; King, N.; Chen, Q.; Xu, W.; et al. A genome-wide association study of suicide severity scores in bipolar disorder. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **2015**, *65*, 23–29.
- 31. Gross, J.A.; Bureau, A.; Croteau, J.; Galfalvy, H.; Oquendo, M.A.; Haghighi, F.; Turecki, G. A genome-wide copy number variant study of suicidal behavior. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0128369.
- 32. Sokolowski, M.; Wasserman, J. Polygenic associations of neurodevelopmental genes in suicide attempt. *Mol. Psychiatry* **2016**, 21, 1381–1390.
- 33. Laursen, T.M.; Trabjerg, B.B.; Mors, O.; Børglum, A.; Hougaard, D.M.; Mattheisen, M.; Meier, S.M.; Byrne, E.; Mortensen, P.B.; Munk-Olsen, T.; et al. Association of the polygenic risk score for schizophrenia with mortality and suicidal behavior – A Danish population-based study. *Schizophr. Res.* 2017, 184, 122–127.
- Levey, D.F.; Polimanti, R.; Cheng, Z.; Zhou, H.; Nuñez, Y.Z.; Jain, S.; He, F.; Sun, X.; Ursano, R.J.; Kessler, R.C.; et al. Genetic associations with suicide attempt severity and genetic overlap with major depression. *Transl. Psychiatry* 2019, 9, 22.
- Sheehan, D.V.; Lecrubier, Y.; Sheehan, K.H.; Amorim, P.; Janavs, J.; Weiller, E.; Hergueta, T.; Baker, R.; Dunbar, G.C. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): The development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 1998, *59*, 22–33.

- Bowden, C.; Perlis, R.; Thase, M.; Ketter, T.; Ostacher, M.; Calabrese, J.; Reilly-Harrington, N.; Gonzalez, J.; Singh, V.; Nierenberg, A.; et al. Aims and Results of the NIMH Systematic Treatment Enhancement Program for Bipolar Disorder (STEP-BD). CNS Neurosci. Ther. 2011, 18, 243–249.
- 37. Team R Core. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing; R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, 2020.
- Purcell, S.; Neale, B.; Todd-Brown, K.; Thomas, L.; Ferreira, M.A.R.; Bender, D.; Maller, J.; Sklar, P.; de Bakker, P.I.W.D.; Daly, M.J.; et al. PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2007, *81*, 559–575.
- Howie, B.N.; Donnelly, P.; Marchini, J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genomewide association studies. *PLoS Genet.* 2009, 5, e1000529.
- 40. Huber, W.; Carey, V.J.; Gentleman, R.; Anders, S.; Carlson, M.; Carvalho, B.S.; Bravo, H.C.; Davis, S.; Gatto, L.; Girke, T.; et al. Orchestrating high-throughput genomic analysis with Bioconductor. *Nat. Methods* **2015**, *12*, 115–121.
- 41. Yu, G.; He, Q.-Y. ReactomePA: An R/Bioconductor package for reactome pathway analysis and visualization. *Mol. BioSyst.* **2016**, 12, 477–479.
- 42. Corfitsen, H.T.; Drago, A. Enriched developmental biology molecular pathways impact on antipsychotics-induced weight gain. *Pharm. Genom.* **2020**, *30*, 9–20.
- Corfitsen, H.T.; Krantz, B.; Larsen, A.; Drago, A. Molecular pathway analysis associates alterations in obesity-related genes and antipsychotic-induced weight gain. *Acta Neuropsychiatr.* 2020, 32, 72–83.
- Drago, A.; Fischer, E.K. A molecular pathway analysis informs the genetic risk for arrhythmias during antipsychotic treatment. *Int. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 2018, 33, 1–14.
- 45. Fischer, E.K.; Drago, A. A molecular pathway analysis stresses the role of inflammation and oxidative stress towards cognition in schizophrenia. *J. Neural Transm.* **2017**, 124, 765–774.
- O'Dushlaine, C.; Kenny, E.; Heron, E.; Donohoe, G.; Gill, M.; Morris, D.; International Schizophrenia Consortium; Corvin, A. Molecular pathways involved in neuronal cell adhesion and membrane scaffolding contribute to schizophrenia and bipolar disorder susceptibility. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2011, 16, 286–292.
- Ichikawa, M.; Konoshita, T.; Makino, Y.; Suzuki, J.; Ishizuka, T.; Nakamura, H. An association study of C9orf3, a novel component of the renin-angiotensin system, and hypertension in diabetes. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 1–7.
- Zeber-Lubecka, N.; Hennig, E.E. Genetic susceptibility to joint occurrence of polycystic ovary syndrome and hashimoto's thyroiditis: How far is our understanding? *Front. Immunol.* 2021, 12, 606620.
- Hayes, M.G.; Urbanek, M.; Ehrmann, D.A.; Armstrong, L.L.; Lee, J.Y.; Sisk, R.; Karaderi, T.; Barber, T.M.; McCarthy, M.I.; Franks, S.; et al. Genome-wide association of polycystic ovary syndrome implicates alterations in gonadotropin secretion in European ancestry populations. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 7502.
- 50. Louwers, Y.V.; Stolk, L.; Uitterlinden, A.G.; Laven, J.S. Cross-ethnic meta-analysis of genetic variants for polycystic ovary syndrome. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2013, 98, E2006–E2012.
- Day, F.R.; Hinds, D.A.; Tung, J.Y.; Stolk, L.; Styrkarsdottir, U.; Saxena, R.; Bjonnes, A.; Broer, L.; Dunger, D.B.; Halldórsson, B.V.; et al. Causal mechanisms and balancing selection inferred from genetic associations with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, *6*, 8464.
- Brower, M.A.; Jones, M.R.; Rotter, J.I.; Krauss, R.M.; Legro, R.S.; Azziz, R.; Goodarzi, M.O. Further investigation in europeans of susceptibility variants for polycystic ovary syndrome discovered in genome-wide association studies of Chinese individuals. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2015, 100, E182–186.
- Day, F.; Karaderi, T.; Jones, M.R.; Meun, C.; He, C.; Drong, A.; Kraft, P.; Lin, N.; Huang, H.; Broer, L.; et al. Large-scale genomewide meta-analysis of polycystic ovary syndrome suggests shared genetic architecture for different diagnosis criteria. *PLoS Genet.* 2018, 14, e1007813.
- Nishida, K.; Kuwano, Y.; Rokutan, K. The MicroRNA-23b/27b/24 Cluster Facilitates Colon Cancer Cell Migration by Targeting FOXP2. *Cancers* 2020, 12, 174.
- 55. Jiang, Y.-H.; Man, Y.-Y.; Liu, Y.; Yin, C.-J.; Li, J.-L.; Shi, H.-C.; Zhao, H.; Zhao, S.-G. Loss of miR-23b/27b/24-1 Cluster Impairs Glucose Tolerance via Glycolysis Pathway in Mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 550.
- McAlinden, A.; Varghese, N.; Wirthlin, L.; Chang, L.-W. Differentially Expressed MicroRNAs in Chondrocytes from Distinct Regions of Developing Human Cartilage. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e75012.
- 57. 57. He, H.-C.; Zhu, J.-G.; Chen, X.-B.; Chen, S.-M.; Han, Z.-D.; Dai, Q.-S.; Ling, X.-H.; Fu, X.; Lin, Z.-Y.; Deng, Y.-H.; et al. MicroRNA-23b downregulates peroxiredoxin III in human prostate cancer. *FEBS Lett.* **2012**, *586*, 2451–2458.
- 58. Bang, C.; Fiedler, J.; Thum, T. Cardiovascular importance of the microRNA-23/27/24 family. *Microcirculation* 2012, 19, 208–214.
- Zhu, S.; Pan, W.; Song, X.; Liu, Y.; Shao, X.; Tang, Y.; Liang, D.; He, D.; Wang, H.; Liu, W.; et al. The microRNA miR-23b suppresses IL-17-associated autoimmune inflammation by targeting TAB2, TAB3 and IKK-α. *Nat. Med.* 2012, *18*, 1077–1086.
- Boon, R.A.; Hergenreider, E.; Dimmeler, S. Atheroprotective mechanisms of shear stress-regulated microRNAs. *Thromb. Haemost.* 2012, 108, 616–620.
- Chen, Q.; Xu, J.; Li, L.; Li, H.; Mao, S.; Zhang, F.; Zen, K.; Zhang, C.-Y.; Zhang, Q. MicroRNA-23a/b and microRNA-27a/b suppress Apaf-1 protein and alleviate hypoxia-induced neuronal apoptosis. *Cell Death Dis.* 2014, 5, e1132.

- Johnson, C.E.; Huang, Y.Y.; Parrish, A.B.; Smith, M.I.; Vaughn, A.E.; Zhang, Q.; Wright, K.; Van Dyke, T.; Wechsler-Reya, R.J.; Kornbluth, S.; et al. Differential Apaf-1 levels allow cytochrome c to induce apoptosis in brain tumors but not in normal neural tissues. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2007, 104, 20820–20825.
- 63. Lopez, J.P.; Fiori, L.M.; Gross, J.A.; LaBonte, B.; Yerko, V.; Mechawar, N.; Turecki, G. Regulatory role of miRNAs in polyamine gene expression in the prefrontal cortex of depressed suicide completers. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **2013**, *17*, 23–32.
- 64. Kouter, K.; Paska, A.V. Biomarkers for suicidal behavior: miRNAs and their potential for diagnostics through liquid biopsy— A systematic review. *Epigenomics* **2020**, *12*, 2219–2235.
- Cox, J.J.; Reimann, F.; Nicholas, A.K.; Thornton, G.; Roberts, E.; Springell, K.; Karbani, G.; Jafri, H.; Mannan, J.; Raashid, Y.; et al. An SCN9A channelopathy causes congenital inability to experience pain. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2006, 444, 894–898.
- Fertleman, C.R.; Baker, M.D.; Parker, K.A.; Moffatt, S.; Elmslie, F.V.; Abrahamsen, B.; Ostman, J.; Klugbauer, N.; Wood, J.N.; Gardiner, R.M.; et al. SCN9A mutations in paroxysmal extreme pain disorder: Allelic variants underlie distinct channel defects and phenotypes. *Neuron* 2006, *52*, 767–774.
- 67. Han, C.; Dib-Hajj, S.D.; Lin, Z.; Li, Y.; Eastman, E.M.; Tyrrell, L.; Cao, X.; Yang, Y.; Waxman, S.G. Early- and late-onset inherited erythromelalgia: Genotype–phenotype correlation. *Brain* **2009**, *132*, 1711–1722.
- Eberhardt, M.; Nakajima, J.; Klinger, A.B.; Neacsu, C.; Hühne, K.; O'Reilly, A.O.; Kist, A.M.; Lampe, A.K.; Fischer, K.; Gibson, J. Inherited pain: Sodium channel Nav1.7 A1632T mutation causes erythromelalgia due to a shift of fast inactivation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2014, 289, 1971–1980.
- 69. Tsai, M.; Lari, H.; Saffy, S.; Klonsky, E.D. Examining the Three-Step Theory (3ST) of Suicide in a Prospective Study of Adult Psychiatric Inpatients. *Behav. Ther.* **2021**, *52*, 673–685.
- A Klempan, T.; Sequeira, A.; Canetti, L.; Lalovic, A.; Ernst, C.; Ffrench-Mullen, J.; Turecki, G. Altered expression of genes involved in ATP biosynthesis and GABAergic neurotransmission in the ventral prefrontal cortex of suicides with and without major depression. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2007, 14, 175–189.
- Pantazatos, S.P.; Huang, Y.-Y.; Rosoklija, G.B.; Dwork, A.J.; Arango, V.; Mann, J.J. Whole-transcriptome brain expression and exon-usage profiling in major depression and suicide: Evidence for altered glial, endothelial and ATPase activity. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2017, 22, 760–773.
- 72. Duman, R.S.; Sanacora, G.; Krystal, J.H. Altered connectivity in depression: GABA and glutamate neurotransmitter deficits and reversal by novel treatments. *Neuron* **2019**, *102*, 75–90.
- 73. Levinson, A.J.; Fitzgerald, P.; Favalli, G.; Blumberger, D.M.; Daigle, M.; Daskalakis, Z.J. Evidence of cortical inhibitory deficits in major depressive disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* **2010**, *67*, 458–464.
- 74. Zhao, J.; Verwer, R.; Gao, S.-F.; Qi, X.-R.; Lucassen, P.J.; Kessels, H.; Swaab, D. Prefrontal alterations in GABAergic and glutamatergic gene expression in relation to depression and suicide. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **2018**, *102*, 261–274.
- 75. Ghosal, S.; Bang, E.; Yue, W.; Hare, B.D.; Lepack, A.E.; Girgenti, M.J.; Duman, R.S. Activity-dependent brain-derived neurotrophic factor release is required for the rapid antidepressant actions of scopolamine. *Biol Psychiatry* **2018**, *83*, 29–37.
- Lener, M.S.; Niciu, M.J.; Ballard, E.D.; Park, M.; Park, L.T.; Nugent, A.C.; Zarate, C.A., Jr. Glutamate and gamma-aminobutyric acid systems in the pathophysiology of major depression and antidepressant response to ketamine. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2016, *81*, 886–897.
- 77. Lin, L.C.; Sibille, E. Somatostatin, neuronal vulnerability and behavioral emotionality. Mol. Psychiatry 2015, 20, 377–387.
- Godfrey, K.E.M.; Gardner, A.C.; Kwon, S.; Chea, W.; Muthukumaraswamy, S.D. Differences in excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitter levels between depressed patients and healthy controls: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Psychiatr Res.* 2018, 105, 33–44.
- Fee, C.; Banasr, M.; Sibille, E. Somatostatin-positive gamma-aminobutyric acid interneuron deficits in depression: Cortical microcircuit and therapeutic perspectives. *Biol. Psychiatry* 2017, 82, 549–559.
- 80. Radhu, N.; de Jesus, D.R.; Ravindran, L.N.; Zanjani, A.; Fitzgerald, P.; Daskalakis, Z.J. A meta-analysis of cortical inhibition and excitability using transcranial magnetic stimulation in psychiatric disorders. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* **2013**, *124*, 1309–1320.
- Tanti, A.; Lutz, P.-E.; Kim, J.; O'Leary, L.; Théroux, J.-F.; Turecki, G.; Mechawar, N. Evidence of decreased gap junction coupling between astrocytes and oligodendrocytes in the anterior cingulate cortex of depressed suicides. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2019, 44, 2099–2111.
- Monsalve, E.M.; García-Gutiérrez, M.S.; Navarrete, F.; Giner, S.; Laborda, J.; Manzanares, J. Abnormal expression pattern of notch receptors, ligands, and downstream effectors in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and amygdala of suicidal victims. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 2013, 49, 957–965.
- Alberi, L.; Liu, S.; Wang, Y.; Badie, R.; Smith-Hicks, C.; Wu, J.; Pierfelice, T.J.; Abazyan, B.; Mattson, M.P.; Kuhl, D.; et al. Activityinduced notch signaling in neurons requires Arc/Arg3.1 and is essential for synaptic plasticity in hippocampal networks. *Neuron* 2011, 69, 437–444.
- 84. Torres-Platas, S.G.; Hercher, C.; Davoli, M.A.; Maussion, G.; LaBonte, B.; Turecki, G.; Mechawar, N. Astrocytic hypertrophy in anterior cingulate white matter of depressed suicides. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2011**, *36*, 2650–2658.
- 85. Bagheri-Mohammadi, S. Adult neurogenesis and the molecular signalling pathways in brain: The role of stem cells in adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *Int. J. Neurosci.* **2021**, 1–13, doi:10.1080/00207454.2020.1865953.
- 86. Snyder, J.L.; A Kearns, C.; Appel, B. Fbxw7 regulates Notch to control specification of neural precursors for oligodendrocyte fate. *Neural Dev.* **2012**, *7*, 15.

- 87. Dwivedi, Y. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor in suicide pathophysiology. In *The Primate Visual System*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2012.
- Soloff, P.H.; Pruitt, P.; Sharma, M.; Radwan, J.; White, R.; Diwadkar, V.A. Structural brain abnormalities and suicidal behavior in borderline personality disorder. J. Psychiatr. Res. 2012, 46, 516–525.
- Hwang, J.-P.; Lee, T.-W.; Tsai, S.-J.; Chen, T.-J.; Yang, C.-H.; Lirng, J.-F.; Tsai, C.-F. Cortical and subcortical abnormalities in lateonset depression with history of suicide attempts investigated with MRI and Voxel-based morphometry. *J. Geriatr. Psychiatry Neurol.* 2010, 23, 171–184.
- Ventorp, F.; Barzilay, R.; Erhardt, S.; Samuelsson, M.; Träskman-Bendz, L.; Janelidze, S.; Weizman, A.; Offen, D.; Brundin, L. The CD44 ligand hyaluronic acid is elevated in the cerebrospinal fluid of suicide attempters and is associated with increased blood–brain barrier permeability. J. Affect. Disord. 2016, 193, 349–354.
- 91. Dityatev, A.; Schachner, M.; Sonderegger, P. The dual role of the extracellular matrix in synaptic plasticity and homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2010**, *11*, 735–746.
- 92. Gundelfinger, E.D.; Frischknecht, R.; Choquet, D.; Heine, M. Converting juvenile into adult plasticity: A role for the brain's extracellular matrix. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2010, *31*, 2156–2165.
- Tamura, H.; Ishikawa, Y.; Shiosaka, S. Does extracellular proteolysis control mammalian cognition? *Rev. Neurosci.* 2013, 24, 365–374.
- Glezer, I.; Bittencourt, J.C.; Rivest, S. Neuronal expression of Cd36, Cd44, and Cd83 antigen transcripts maps to distinct and specific murine brain circuits. J. Comp. Neurol. 2009, 517, 906–924.
- 95. Kaaijk, P.; Pals, S.T.; Morsink, F.; Bosch, D.; Troost, D. Differential expression of CD44 splice variants in the normal human central nervous system. *J. Neuroimmunol.* **1997**, *73*, 70–77.
- 96. Jones, L.L.; Liu, Z.; Shen, J.; Werner, A.; Kreutzberg, G.W.; Raivich, G. Regulation of the cell adhesion molecule CD44 after nerve transection and direct trauma to the mouse brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **2000**, *426*, 468–492.
- Thalmeier, A.; Dickmann, M.; Giegling, I.; Schneider, B.; Hartmann, A.M.; Maurer, K.; Schnabel, A.; Kauert, G.; Möller, H.-J.; Rujescu, D. Gene expression profiling of post-mortem orbitofrontal cortex in violent suicide victims. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharma*col. 2007, 11, 217–228.
- Galfalvy, H.; Zalsman, G.; Huang, Y.-Y.; Murphy, L.; Rosoklija, G.; Dwork, A.J.; Haghighi, F.; Arango, V.; Mann, J.J. A pilot genome wide association and gene expression array study of suicide with and without major depression. *World J. Biol. Psychiatry* 2011, 14, 574–582.
- Serafini, G.; Parisi, V.M.; Aguglia, A.; Amerio, A.; Sampogna, G.; Fiorillo, A.; Pompili, M.; Amore, M. A Specific inflammatory profile underlying suicide risk? Systematic review of the main literature findings. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17, 2393, doi:10.3390/ijerph17072393.
- Zetterberg, H.; Jakobsson, J.; Redsäter, M.; Andreasson, U.; Pålsson, E.; Ekman, C.J.; Sellgren, C.; Johansson, A.G.; Blennow, K.; Landén, M. Blood–cerebrospinal fluid barrier dysfunction in patients with bipolar disorder in relation to antipsychotic treatment. *Psychiatry Res.* 2014, 217, 143–146.
- Lubbers, B.R.; Smit, A.B.; Spijker, S.; Oever, M.C.V.D. Neural ECM in addiction, schizophrenia, and mood disorder. *Prog. Brain Res.* 2014, 214, 263–284.
- 102. Robinson, A. Living history: An autobiography of Arthur Robinson. Am. J. Med. Genet. 1990, 35, 475-480.
- Zimmermann, D.R.; Dours-Zimmermann, M.T. Extracellular matrix of the central nervous system: From neglect to challenge. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* 2008, 130, 635–653.
- 104. Abou-Abbass, H.; Abou-El-Hassan, H.; Bahmad, H.; Zibara, K.; Zebian, A.; Youssef, R.; Ismail, J.; Zhu, R.; Zhou, S.; Dong, X.; et al. Glycosylation and other PTMs alterations in neurodegenerative diseases: Current status and future role in neurotrauma. *Electrophoresis* 2016, *37*, 1549–1561.
- 105. Wei, X.; Li, L. Comparative glycoproteomics: Approaches and applications. Briefings Funct. Genom. Proteom. 2009, 8, 104–113.
- Yi, J.-H.; Katagiri, Y.; Susarla, B.; Figge, D.; Symes, A.; Geller, H.M. Alterations in sulfated chondroitin glycosaminoglycans following controlled cortical impact injury in mice. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 2012, 520, 3295–3313.
- Myer, D.J.; Gurkoff, G.G.; Lee, S.M.; Hovda, D.A.; Sofroniew, M.V. Essential protective roles of reactive astrocytes in traumatic brain injury. *Brain* 2006, 129, 2761–2772.
- 108. Desplats, P.A.; Denny, C.A.; Kass, K.E.; Gilmartin, T.; Head, S.R.; Sutcliffe, J.G.; Seyfried, T.N.; Thomas, E.A. Glycolipid and ganglioside metabolism imbalances in Huntington's disease. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **2007**, *27*, 265–277.
- 109. Chauhan, N.B. Chronic neurodegenerative consequences of traumatic brain injury. Restor. Neurol. Neurosci. 2014, 32, 337–365.
- 110. Silveyra, M.-X.; Cuadrado-Corrales, N.; Marcos, A.; Barquero, M.-S.; Rabano, A.; Calero, M.; Saez-Valero, J. Altered glycosylation of acetylcholinesterase in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. J. Neurochem. 2006, 96, 97–104.
- 111. Willison, H.J.; Goodyear, C. Glycolipid antigens and autoantibodies in autoimmune neuropathies. *Trends Immunol.* **2013**, *34*, 453–459.
- 112. Karis, K.; Eskla, K.-L.; Kaare, M.; Täht, K.; Tuusov, J.; Visnapuu, T.; Innos, J.; Jayaram, M.; Timmusk, T.; Weickert, C.S.; et al. Altered expression profile of IgLON family of neural cell adhesion molecules in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of schizophrenic patients. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 2018, 11, 8.
- 113. An, H.; Zhou, L.; Yu, Y.; Fan, H.; Fan, F.; Tan, S.; Wang, Z.; Z, B.; Shi, J.; Yang, F.; et al. Serum NCAM levels and cognitive deficits in first episode schizophrenia patients versus health controls. *Schizophr. Res.* 2018, 192, 457–458. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2017.06.011

- 114. Pivac, N.; Knežević, A.; Gornik, O.; Pučić, M.; Igl, W.; Peeters, H.; Crepel, A.; Steyaert, J.; Novokmet, M.; Redžić, I.; et al. Human plasma glycome in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorders. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* **2011**, *10*, 110 004200.
- 115. Yamagata, H.; Uchida, S.; Matsuo, K.; Harada, K.; Kobayashi, A.; Nakashima, M.; Higuchi, F.; Watanuki, T.; Matsubara, T.; Watanabe, Y. Altered plasma protein glycosylation in a mouse model of depression and in patients with major depression. *J. Affect. Disord.* **2018**, *233*, 79–85.
- 116. Huang, Y.; Xu, Y.; Liu, A. Increased levels of serum glycosylated hemoglobin are associated with depressive symptoms in a population with cancer (>/=49 Years): An antidepressant-stratified analysis. *Clin. Interv. Aging* **2021**, *16*, 205–212.
- 117. Harmer, B.; Lee, S.; Duong, T.V.H.; Saadabadi, A. Suicidal Ideation; StatPearls: Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2021.
- 118. Song, J.; Sjölander, A.; Joas, E.; Bergen, S.; Runeson, B.; Larsson, H.; Landén, M.; Lichtenstein, P. suicidal behavior during lithium and valproate treatment: A within-individual 8-year prospective study of 50,000 patients with bipolar disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2017, 174, 795–802.
- 119. Gonzalez-Pinto, A.; Mosquera, F.; Alonso, M.; López, P.; Ramírez, F.; Vieta, E.; Baldessarini, R.J. Suicidal risk in bipolar I disorder patients and adherence to long-term lithium treatment. *Bipolar Disord.* 2006, *8*, 618–624.
- 120. Wasserman, D.; Rihmer, Z.; Rujescu, D.; Sarchiapone, M.; Sokolowski, M.; Titelman, D.; Zalsman, G.; Zemishlany, Z.; Carli, V. The European Psychiatric Association (EPA) guidance on suicide treatment and prevention. *Eur. Psychiatry* **2012**, *27*, 129–141.