

### Sensitivity analysis for two wave breaking models used by the Green-Naghdi equation

Maria Kazolea Subodh M. Joshi Mario Ricchiuto



### **Context and motivation**

Near shore: wave transformation due to interaction with complex bathymetry

- Strong non-linearity, dispersion
- Refraction diffraction
- Shoaling
- Breaking
- Induced currents
- Run-up, inundation





# Modeling and discretisation



$$h_t + (hu)_x = 0$$
$$(hu)_t + (hu^2)_x + gh\eta_x = \phi$$
$$(I + \alpha T) \phi = \mathcal{W} - \mathcal{R} + (r_{wb})_x$$

$$\mathcal{W} = g\mathcal{T}(h_0\eta_x) \qquad (r_{wb})_x = (\nu_t H u_x)_x$$
$$\mathcal{R} = h\mathcal{Q}(u)$$
$$\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = -\frac{1}{3}h^2(\cdot)_{xx} - \frac{1}{3}hh_x(\cdot)_x + \frac{1}{3}[h_x^2 + hh_{xx}](\cdot) + \left[b_xh_x + \frac{1}{2}hb_{xx} + b_x^2\right](\cdot)$$
$$\mathcal{Q}(\cdot) = 2hh_x(\cdot)_x^2 + \frac{4}{3}h^2(\cdot)_x(\cdot)_{xx} + b_xh(\cdot)_x^2 + b_{xx}h(\cdot)(\cdot)_x + \left[b_{xx}h_x + \frac{1}{2}hb_{xxx} + b_xb_{xx}\right](\cdot)^2$$

- Elliptic part: Continuous Galerkin FE method
- Hyperbolic part: Third order MUSCL FV metod

## Breaking closure: Shallow water dissipation - Hybrid

- 1. Detect Breaking Regions
- 2. Remove dispersive terms
- 3. Shallow water shock
- 4. Dissipation



## Breaking closure: Shallow water dissipation -Hybrid

1. Detect and flag breaking regions







- Surface variation criterion:  $|\eta_t| \ge \gamma \sqrt{gH}$
- Local slope angle criterion:  $||\nabla \eta|| \ge \tan \varphi_c$
- The Froude number of each wave is computed and breaking is deactivated if Fr<1.3

### Breaking closure

- Codes using this closure: Funwave, BOSZ, Coulwave, Mike 21 Tucwave, Slows etc...
- Drawback: can not be used on variable meshes\*



Solitary wave breaking on a slope: hybrid treatment with order reduction at the coupling interface. Wave height at times t = 4.5258 s, 4.5267 s (top and bottom rows), on mesh sizes (from left to right)  $\Delta x = 0.01$  m, 0.005 m, 0.001 m.

\*

- P. Bacigaluppi, M. Ricchiuto, P.Bonneton, Implementation and Evaluation of Breaking Detection Criteria for a Hybrid Boussinesq model, Water Waves ,2, 2020
- Kazolea, M., Ricchiuto, M.: On wave breaking for Boussinesq-type models. Ocean Modelling 123, 16–39 (2018)
- Shi, F., Kirby, J.T., Harris, J.C., Geiman, J.D., Grilli, S.T.: A high-order adaptive time-stepping TVD solver for Boussinesq modeling of breaking waves and coastal inundation. Ocean Modelling 43, 36–51 (2012)

### Breaking closure: Eddy viscosity model via a PDE based TKE model

- 1. Detect breaking Regions (same as before)  $\gamma$ ,  $\varphi_{o}$
- 2. Dissipation required through the definition of artificial viscosity:

$$v_{t} = \underbrace{C_{\nu} (\kappa_{b} k_{b} + \kappa_{j})}_{\kappa_{b} k_{b} + \kappa_{j} k_{j} k_{j} k_{j}} A$$

$$k_{t} + u k_{x} = \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{E}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \underbrace{\sigma}_{\nu} t k_{xx} \quad \mathcal{E} = -C_{\nu}^{3} \frac{k^{3/2}}{\ell_{t}} \quad \mathcal{P} = B(t, x) \frac{\ell_{t}}{\sqrt{C_{\nu}^{3}}} (u^{s})^{3}$$

$$\ell_{t} = \kappa H$$

$$\kappa, \sigma, C_{\nu} = \kappa_{b}, \sigma_{b}, C_{\nu_{b}} \text{ Bore}$$

 $\kappa, \ \sigma, \ C_{
u} = \kappa_j \ \sigma_j \ C_{
u_j}$  Hydraulic jump

### Sensitivity analysis model

- Surrogate model Kriging model
- ANOVA
  - Sobol decomposition

$$Y = F(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = F_0 + \sum_{i=1}^M F_i(x_i) + \sum F_{ij}(x_i x_j) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le M} F_{ij}(x_i, x_j) + \dots + F_{1,2,\dots,M}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \quad \bar{\mathbf{x}} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M]$$

$$F_0 = E(Y)$$

$$F_i(x_i) = E(Y|x_i) - F_0$$

$$F_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = E(Y|x_i, x_j) - F_0 - F_i - F_j$$

UQLab The Framework for Uncertainty Quantification



UQLab: A Framework for Uncertainty Quantification in MATLAB, Stefano Marelli and Bruno Sudret, In *The 2nd International Conference on Vulnerability and Risk Analysis and Management (ICVRAM 2014)*, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom, July 13-16, 2014, pp. 2554–2563. DOI: 10.1061/9780784413609.257 →

### Sensitivity analysis model

- First order indices  $S_i = \frac{Var_{x_i}(P(Y|x_i))}{Var(Y)}$
- Second order indices  $S_{i,j} = \frac{Var_{x_i,x_j}(P(Y|x_i,x_j))}{Var(Y)}$
- Total Sobol index of an input variable == sum of all Sobol indices involving this variable.

UQLab The Framework for Uncertainty Quantification



UQLab: A Framework for Uncertainty Quantification in MATLAB, Stefano Marelli and Bruno Sudret, In *The 2nd International Conference on Vulnerability and Risk Analysis and Management (ICVRAM 2014)*, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom, July 13-16, 2014, pp. 2554–2563. DOI: 10.1061/9780784413609.257 →

- Set up: *A*/*d* = 0.28m, *d*=1m, *x*=[-20, 80]m, *Nm*=0.01, *WGs*: 0,2,5m
- 1-5 : First phase of the flow (shoaling breaking and runup)
- 6-9 : Second phase of the flow (run-down and breaking )



Free surface elevation of solitary wave run-up on a plane beach for the GN model.

### Solitary wave on a plane beach: Input parameters

• Surface variation criterion:

 $|\eta_t| \ge \gamma \sqrt{gH} \qquad \gamma \in [0.2, \ 0.6]$ 

• Local slope angle criterion:

 $||\nabla \eta|| \ge \tan \varphi_c \qquad \varphi_c \in [0.3, \ 0.53]$ 

• Constant parameters:  $\Delta x = 0.05, Cfl = 0.01, Fr < 1.3$ 

### Solitary wave on a plane beach: Output parameters

\* Wave's breaking point location.

\* Wave's height at the breaking point.

\* Maximum run up.



0.3

5 x (m) 10 15

\* Maximum wave height at wave gauges.







#### Sobol's indices







#### Sobol's indices





### Second phase of the flow 6-9

### Range: 0.0144 Std: 0.0041



#### Range: 0.05 Std: 0.0205

#### Sobol's indices





### Second phase of the flow 6-9

Range: 0.023 Std: 7.48\*10^-4

Range: 0.01340 Std: 0.0161

#### Range: 0.0207 Std: 0.0024

#### Sobol's indices











Contours

Second phase of the flow 6-9

Same initial condition

### Range: 0.0118 Std: 0.0041

Range: 0.05 Std: 0.0201

#### Sobol's indices





### Second phase of the flow 6-9

Same initial condition

#### Range: 0.1402 Std: 0.019

First phase of the flow 1-5

#### Sobol's indices









Range: 0.027 Std: 0.0076

First phase of the flow 1-5

#### Sobol's indices-wave gauges









Range: 0.1501 Std: 0.0515

First phase of the flow 1-5

#### If we freeze all the input parameters except $\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle b}$ and $c_{\scriptscriptstyle b}$







#### Maximum wave height at x=5.0m Maximum wave height at x=2.5m First order First order First order Total Total ٠ Total 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 0 Cvb Cvb Cv, Cv Cv, $\gamma$ $\kappa_{\rm b}$ $\sigma_{b}$ $\kappa_{\rm i}$ $\sigma_{i}$ $\sigma_{i}$ 2 ĸь $\sigma_{\rm b}$ $\kappa_{i}$ σ Maximum wave height at x=2.5m Maximum wave height at x=5.0m 1



Cvb

 $\sigma_{b}$ 

 $\kappa_{i}$ 

 $\kappa_{\rm b}$ 

Maximum wave height at x=0 m

1

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

 $\gamma$ 

٠

٠





### Second phase of the flow 6-9

Same initial condition

Second phase of the flow 6-9

Same initial condition



### Conclusions

- Hybrid closure: when works , more robust
- Tke always works but many more parameters to tune
- The variation with TKE quite smooth /no discontinuity in the output parameters

Thank you!!