

ECONOMIC ESTIMATION OF THE VALUE OF CACTUS PEAR PRODUCTION CONSIDERING ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES (PÓSTER)

Lucia Andreu^a, Marina Cano^a, Luis Noguera^a, Leontina Lipan^a, Ángel Carbonell^a, Beatriz Rocamora^b, Pilar Legua^a, Francisca Hernández^a, David López^{a*}

^a Centro de investigación e innovación agroalimentaria y agroambiental (CIAGRO-UMH). Escuela Politécnica Superior de Orihuela (EPSO-UMH). Ctra. Beniel km 3.2. 03312 (Orihuela). Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche. Spain

^bDepartamento de Producción y Sanidad Animal, Salud Pública Veterinaria y Ciencia y Tecnología de los Alimentos. Universidad Cardenal Herrera-CEU. C. Tirant Lo Blanc, 7. Alfara del Patriarca, Valencia Spain

*Author for correspondance: david.lopez@umh.es

Keywords: Opuntia, carbon soil sequestration, Spain, economic analysis

1. Introduction

Among the environmental implications of agriculture, climate change mitigation through soil carbon sequestration (SCS) is going to be a key question. SCS is considered an affordable and cost-effective way to mitigate the effect of agriculture to climate change (Glenk et al., 2011). Arid Mediterranean agriculture possesses a SCS potential. The case of olive tree cultivation is well documented; changing practices in favor of more sustainable agricultural procedures (Nieto et al., 2012; Rodríguez-Entrena et al., 2014) has been proved to be successful in increasing the amount of carbon in the soil (IPCC, 2003). Cactus pear is one of the few agricultural options due to the edaphic and climatic conditions in many areas. It presents advantages over other agricultural activities because of practices that attenuate, avoid and even restore damage to the productive ecosystem (Nefzaoui et al., 2014).

Bautista-Cruz et al. (2018) compared the patterns of emissions of C–CO₂ and total organic carbon (TOC) in a highland of central Mexico. They compared 6 management systems that included maize under several agricultural practices, cactus without and with composted manure mulching and soil in oak-pine forest. They found that TOC in cactus approached the reference line of soil under forest. Results showed that cactus crop is presently contributing effectively to the accumulation of organic carbon in the soil. Large scale plantation of spineless cactus in central- and southern-Tunisia proved that this plant species plays a key role in natural resources conservation and prevents long term degradation of ecologically weak environments (Nefzaoui et al., 2002).

An important question is how to achieve that these agricultural sustainable practices, that mitigate climate change, become part of producers' way of cultivating. From a policy perspective, ROAECS (Results Orientated Agro-Environment Climate Scheme) are a type of agro-environmental scheme based on the idea of paying landowners, not for performing management actions but for achieving specific environmental outcomes (Burton and Schwarz, 2013). As such, ROAECS encourage landowners to innovate, drawing on their experience and local knowledge to achieve improved and more cost-effective results (Colombo and Rocamora-Montiel, 2018). ROAECS can be designed to adapt not only to each production system but also to the management practices used in each farm (by not including any mandatory agricultural or management practices). A key factor to ensure reliability in ROAECS development is the existence of measurable and objective indicators (Burton and Schwarz, 2013), which must be clearly measurable, attributable to specific management actions, not in conflict with agricultural goals and consistent with ecological goals.

In this sense, sequestration of carbon (SOC) can be measured and monitored through various laboratory and field methods by using appropriate sampling procedures. Nevertheless, difficulties in monitoring and verifying the rate of SOC in a clear, cost-effective and credible manner can still exist and can, thus, arise as a potential impediment for a result-based approach in carbon sequestration (Colombo and Rocamora-Montiel, 2018). In the specific case of cactus pear orchards, the indicator of SOC totally fulfils the requirements previously mentioned. This opens an opportunity to ensure sustained income and a moderate impact on the environment. In this sense, cactus pear plantations could be part of a strategy to lessen the accumulation of CO₂ in the atmosphere in arid and semi-arid areas implementing ROAECS. Cactus pear plantations can function, not only as a water reserve, but as a carbon reservoir in arid and semi-arid regions offering a cost-effective contribution to climate change mitigation from the agricultural sector. Furthermore, it will reduce soil erosion and water pollution. This research explores the economic estimation

of the possibilities of applying carbon soil sequestration schemes in cactus pear production considering environmental issues.

2. Material and Methods

A literature revision was undertaken to estimate CO₂ accumulation in a cactus plant and the price to be paid for the carbon sequestration. Its economic value was calculated looking at its carbon sequestration and with respect to the environmental good that is generated when cultivating it. In this sense, it must be considered that research shows that agriculture production with environmental concerns would require viable subsidies for farmers. To estimate the exchange surface of each plant, 50 of them were measured in width, height and length. Then, the number of cladodes *per* plant was counted and 20 of each were measured in height and length to estimate their surface area. Average area of each plant and average cladode surface were calculated to estimate the exchange surface and, this way, the CO₂ daily net intake for cultivated *per* m² and day. The weight of a cactus plant was calculated counting the number of cladodes *per* plant and weighting 25 of them. Roots were not considered although they can scatter 4-8 m long and reach a depth of 30 cm (Sudzuki, 1999). Soil has not been considered.

3. Results

Most plants open their stomata at dawn. This is the time when they begin to take CO₂ from the atmosphere, which is incorporated into various products of photosynthesis that is a process that occurs only in light. The diurnal opening of the stomata leads to an inevitable loss of water from within the leaves and the meristematic stems. In opuntias and other cactuses, the pattern of carbon dioxide (CO₂) intake and water loss occur mainly at night, when the temperature is lower and the humidity is higher, which reduces the loss of water (Nobel, 1999). The intake of CO₂ and the resulting accumulation of the opuntia biomass depends on the environmental conditions. The four main factors are the soil water content, air temperature, light and various soil elements (Nobel, 1999). There are several studies that quantify this intake. **Table 1** shows a summary of them. Data are considered for temperatures close to optimal, wet soil and indicated photosynthetic photon flow (PPF).

Table 1. Average temperature (*T*), daily total photosynthetic photon flow (PPF), soil water potential and CO₂ daily net intake for cultivated CAM plants in monitored laboratory conditions

Source: Adapted from Allegra et al. (2015), Inglese et al. (1994) and Pimienta-Barrios et al. (2005).

| CAM plants | Day/night average air T (°C/°C) | PPF (mol m ² d ⁻¹) | Soil water potential (MPa) | CO ₂ daily net intake (mol m ² d ⁻¹) | CO ₂ net intake periods | | | CO ₂ daily net intake contribution (%) | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------|-------|---|-------|
| | | | | | Day | Night | Total | Day | Night |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Agave salmaniana</i> | 25 / 15 | 22 | -0.2 | 481 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 3 | 97 |
| <i>Agave tequilina</i> | 15 / 10 | 22 | -0.1 | 298 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 30 | 70 |
| <i>O. ficus-indica</i> | 25 / 10 | 20 | -0.1 | 550 | 3 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| <i>Sten. queretaroensis</i> | 28 / 15 | 19 | -0.2 | 317 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 14 | 14 |

According to this, *O. ficus-indica* takes daily 550 mol CO₂ m². A count has been made in 3 Spanish orchards. As an average, a 5 years old plant of *O. ficus-indica* presents 75 cladodes with an average area of 0.09 m² each (0.45 m × 0.21 m). This means an average area per plant of 7.8 m². The average density is 714 plants *per* ha. So, 1 ha will contain 5,060.47 m² of cladodes. This implies that 1 ha of *O. ficus-indica* can take 2,783,261 mol CO₂ *per* d, or using other units 63.25 kg d⁻¹. A plant of *O. ficus-indica* is fully productive when it is 5 years old and can reach 20 years of full production. As a result, 1 ha of *O. ficus-indica* can take ~462 t of CO₂ during its complete producing life (20 years).

In order to calculate the weight of a cactus plant, the number of cladodes were counted in 50 plants. Three cladodes *per* plant were weighted. A young plant (6-8 years old) presents 150 cladodes with an average weight of 2.5 kg each. An adult plant (20 years old) reaches, as average, 250 cladodes, leading to ~625 kg *per* adult plant. According to El-Mostafa et al. (2014), García-Cayuela et al. (2019), Mena et al. (2018), the average quantity of water in a cactus pear is 80 %. Thus, an adult cactus pear plant has 125 kg of dry mass.

The IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) established that estimated amount of C stored by a plant is 50 % of the carbon fraction contained in the dry matter, if there is no available data (IPCC, 2002). However, Gómez-Casanovas et al. (2007) indicate that the percentage of C in a cladode is 36.2 %. According to this, an adult plant has 45.25 kg of C (~166 kg of CO₂). thus, an adult cactus pear plant fixes 8.29 kg of CO₂ per year through its cladodes. This should be revised and checked with in-depth studies, but it allows to estimate the value of a hectare of the crop considering environmental issues.

As it has been seen, Bautista-Cruz et al. (2018) showed how cactus crop can contribute effectively to the accumulation of organic carbon in the soil. Thus, it can be stated that cactus pear cultivation can be a successful way to mitigate climate change in arid and semiarid regions.

It is obvious that the amount of CO₂ that remains in the soil will depend on the agricultural practices that producers apply. It has also been analyzed that a major concern is how to implement and achieve that these agricultural sustainable practices that mitigate climate change become part of producers' way of cultivating. As presented, ROAECS are a type of agro-environmental scheme based on the idea of paying landowners for achieving specific environmental outcomes. ROAECS could be designed to adapt cactus pear production and management practices used in each plot defining measurable and objective indicators consistent with ecological goals. SOC in cactus pear farms can be an effective indicator as it totally fulfils the requirements previously mentioned. Cactus pear plantations can function, not only as a water reserve, but as a carbon reservoir in arid and semi-arid regions offering a cost-effective contribution to climate change mitigation from the agricultural sector. Furthermore, it will reduce soil erosion and water pollution.

Carbon price is an issue to be analyzed. According to Point Carbon (Reuters, 2014) carbon price estimates would remain unstable below 10 € during 2015 and 2016 and would drop below 5 € in 2020, to rise very steeply up to around 50 € by 2030. Carbon has been marketed in recent years within the EU Carbon Trading Scheme. Starting from a value close to 30 €/ ton of CO₂ in 2008 (Carbon Market Watch, 2014), since 2012 the price has persistently been under 10 €/ton of CO₂ until March 2018. It has been over 20 €/ton since December 2018. However, voluntary carbon offset prices are also affected by the compliance market, and can be higher or lower, depending on the buyer. Given the range of carbon prices and the uncertainty over which prices might apply, various scenarios should be considered. The current average value of 2020, according to the European bourse for Unit Allowances and Carbon Credits (SENDECO2, 2020) is around 25 € t of CO₂. A 20 € value could, therefore, represent an average estimate during the period 2020-2030, but as previously stated, there can be no guarantee as to actual carbon prices in the future (UK-Department of Energy and Climate Change, 2013). Nowadays, price is over 50 €.

4. Conclusions

It has been proved that cactus pear cultivation is a successful tool to mitigate climate change in arid and semiarid regions. Obviously, farm and cultivation practices and systems are a key aspect of how cactus crop can contribute effectively to the accumulation of organic carbon in the soil. ROAECS should be designed to adapt these production and management practices defining measurable and objective indicators consistent with ecological goals.

References

- Allegra, A., Sortino, G., Miciletta, G., Riotto, M., Fasciana, T. and Inglese, P. (2015). "The influence of harvest period and fruit ripeness at harvest on minimally processed cactus pears (*Opuntia ficus-indica* L. Mill.) stored under passive atmosphere". *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 104: 57–62.
- Bautista-Cruz, A., Leyva-Pablo, T., de León-González, F., Zornoza, R., Martínez-Gallegos, V., Fuentes-Ponce, M., and Rodríguez-Sánchez, L. (2018). "Cultivation of *Opuntia ficus-indica* under different soil management practices: A possible sustainable agricultural system to promote soil carbon sequestration and increase soil microbial biomass and activity". *Land Degradation & Development*, 29(1): 38-46.
- Burton, R.J.F. and Schwarz, G. (2013). "Result-oriented agri-environmental schemes in Europe and their potential for promoting behavioural change". *Land Use Policy* 30: 628–641.
- Carbon Market Watch, (2014). *What's needed to fix the EU's carbon market Recommendations for the Market Stability Reserve and future ETS reform proposals. Book 8.* Available on line: <https://carbonmarketwatch.org/publications/whats-needed-to-fix-the-eus-carbon-market-recommendations-for-the-market-stability-reserve-and-future-ets-reform-proposals> (Last accessed: 18th March 2021)
- Colombo, S. and Rocamora-Montiel, B. (2018). "Result-oriented agri-environmental climate schemes as a means of promoting climate change mitigation in olive growing". *Outlook Agric.* 47: 141–149.

- El-Mostafa, K., El Kharrassi, Y., Badreddine, A., Andreoletti, P., Vamecq, J., El Kebbij, M.S., Latruffe, N., Lizard, G., Nasser, B. and Cherkaoui-Malki, M., (2014). “Nopal cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) as a source of bioactive compounds for nutrition, health and disease”. *Molecules* 19: 14879–14901.
- García-Cayuela, T., Gómez-Maqueo, A., Guajardo-Flores, D., Welti-Chanes, J. and Cano, M.P. (2019). “Characterization and quantification of individual betalain and phenolic compounds in Mexican and Spanish prickly pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica* L. Mill) tissues: A comparative study”. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 76: 1–13.
- Glenk, K. and Colombo, S. (2011). Designing policies to mitigate the agricultural contribution to climate change: An assessment of soil based carbon sequestration and its ancillary effects. *Clim. Change* 105, 43–66.
- Gomez-Casanovas, N., Blanc-Betes, E., Gonzalez-Meler, M. A., and Azcon-Bieto, J. (2007). “Changes in respiratory mitochondrial machinery and cytochrome and alternative pathway activities in response to energy demand underlie the acclimation of respiration to elevated CO₂ in the invasive *Opuntia ficus-indica*”. *Plant physiology*, 145(1): 49-61.
- Inglese, P., Israel, A.A. and Nobel, P.S. (1994). “Growth and CO₂ uptake for cladodes and fruit of the Crassulacean acid metabolism species *Opuntia ficus-indica* during fruit development”. *Physiol. Plant.* 91: 708–714.
- IPCC: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2002). *Climate change and biodiversity*. IPCC Technical paper V. IPCC-WMO-UNEP.
- IPCC: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2003). *Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Hayama (Japan).
- Mena, P., Tassotti, M., Andreu, L., Nuncio-Jáuregui, N., Legua, P., Del Rio, D. and Hernández, F. (2018). “Phytochemical characterization of different prickly pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Mill.) cultivars and botanical parts: UHPLC-ESI-MSn metabolomics profiles and their chemometric analysis”. *Food Res. Int.* 108: 301–308.
- Nefzaoui, A. and Ben Salem, H. (2002). “Cacti: Efficient tool for rangeland rehabilitation, drought mitigation and to combat desertification”. *Acta Hort.* 581: 295–315.
- Nefzaoui, A., LOuhaichi, M. and Ben Salem, H. (2014). “Cactus as a Tool to Mitigate Drought and to Combat Desertification”. *J. Arid L. Stud.* 124, 121–124.
- Nieto, O.M., Castro, J. and Fernández-Ondoño, E. (2012). “Uso sustentável de solos de olival. Influência das técnicas de manejo nas suas propriedades”. *Spanish J. Soil Sci.* 2: 70–77.
- Nobel, P. S. (1999). *Biología ambiental. Agroecología, cultivo y usos del nopal*. FAO, Roma (Italia).
- Pimienta-Barrios, E., Zañudo-Hernandez, J., Rosas-Espinoza, V.C., Valenzuela-Tapia, A. and Nobel, P.S. (2005). “Young daughter cladodes affect CO₂ uptake by mother cladodes of *Opuntia ficus-indica*”. *Ann. Bot.* 95: 363–369.
- Reuters, T., (2014) EU carbon price to average €23/t between 2021 and 2030: Thomson Reuters assess the future Available at <https://www.refinitiv.com/perspectives/market-insights/eu-carbon-price-average-e23t-2021-2030-thomson-reuters-assess-future> Last accessed: 18th March 2021
- Rodríguez-Entrena, M., Espinosa-Goded, M. and Barreiro-Hurlé, J. (2014). “The role of ancillary benefits on the value of agricultural soils carbon sequestration programmes: Evidence from a latent class approach to Andalusian olive groves”. *Ecol. Econ.* 99: 63–73.
- SENDECO2 (2014). Sistema Electrónico de Negociación de Derechos de Emisión de Dióxido de Carbono. Available at: <http://www.sendeco2.com/es/conocenos.asp> . Last accessed: 19th January 2021
- Sudzuki, H. F. (1999). Anatomía y morfología. In *Agroecología, cultivo y usos del nopal. Producción y protección vegetal*. FAO. Roma, Italia: 29-36.
- UK-Department of Energy and Climate Change (2013). Updated short-term traded carbon values for policy appraisal. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/240095/shortterm_traded_carbon_values_used_for_UK_policy_appraisal_2013_FINAL_URN.pdf. Last accessed: 18th March 2021.