DIVERSE FORAGE PRODUCTION SYSTEMS AND THEIR POTENTIAL FOR GREENHOUSE GAS MITIGATION

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades

der Agrar- und Ernährungswissenschaftlichen Fakultät

der Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

vorgelegt von

M.Sc. John Kormla Nyameasem aus Ghana Kiel, 2021

Dekan: Prof. Dr. K.H. Mühling

1. Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Friedhelm Taube

2. Berichterstatter: Prof. Simon Oosting (Wageningen University & Research)

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 07.07.2021

DIVERSE FORAGE PRODUCTION SYSTEMS AND THEIR POTENTIAL FOR GREENHOUSE GAS MITIGATION

A Thesis

submitted to attain the degree Doctor of Agricultural Sciences from the Faculty of Agricultural and Nutritional Sciences of the Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel

submitted by

M.Sc. John Kormla Nyameasem citizen of Ghana Kiel, 2021

Dean: Prof. Dr. K.H. Mühling

Examiner: Prof. Dr. Friedhelm Taube

Co-examiner: Prof. Simon Oosting (Wageningen University & Research)

Date of oral examination: 07.07.2021

This thesis is dedicated to Edith, Elkanah and Hannie Nyameasem.

And God blessed them: and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it; and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the birds of the heavens, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.

Genesis 1:28 (Holy Bible, American Standard Version)

Contents

AbbreviationsX				
Zusa	mmenfassung	. XIII		
SummaryXV				
CHA	PTER 1	1		
Gene	eral introduction	1		
1.1	Global agriculture needs to grow sustainably	1		
1.2	The impact of climate change on agriculture	2		
1.3	Relevance of grassland systems	4		
1.4 Challenge: increasing inputs without destabilizing agricultural production systems				
1.5	Justification of the studies	7		
1.6	Research objectives and hypotheses	9		
1.7	The experimental study sites	10		
1.8	Thesis structure	11		
CHA	PTER 2	15		
Nitro	pgen availability determines the long-term impact of land-use change on soil carbon stocks in	15		
Ahsti	ract	15		
2 1 h	ntroduction	16		
221	Aaterials and methods	18		
2.2 1	2.2.1 Site characteristics	18		
	2.2.2 Soil sampling	21		
	2.2.3 Soil analyses	21		
	2.2.4 Plant sampling and proanthocyanidin analyses			
	2.2.5 Calculations and statistics	23		
2.2.5 Carculations and statistics				
	2.3.1Land use change, soil C stocks and soil chemical properties	24		
	2.3.2Plant secondary metabolites in cut–use forages	26		
	2.3.3Relationships between soil organic carbon and soil/plant chemical parameters	26		
2.4 D	Discussion	30		
	2.4.1Land use change and carbon stocks	30		
	2.4.2Impact of differential land uses and plant types on soil quality	32		
	2.4.3Condensed tannin concentration in cut–use forages as affected by functional group differences	34		
	2.4.4 Relationships between SOC and soil and plant chemical properties	34		
	2.4.5Implications of the study	36		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		

2.5 (2.5 Conclusions						
СНА	PTER 3		40				
Nitro conc	ous oxide ditions	emissions from grazing are low across a gradient of plant functional diversity and sc	oil 40				
3.1.	Introducti	on	.41				
3.2. Materials and methods							
	3.2.1.	Site characteristics	.43				
	3.2.3 Exp	periments	.45				
	3.2.3.1 Urine and dung collection, handling and application						
	3.2.3.2 N ₂ O sampling						
	3.2.3.3 Soil and biomass sampling						
	3.2.4.	Chemical analyses	.47				
	3.2.5.	Calculations	.48				
	3.2.6.	Statistics	.49				
3.3.	Results		. 50				
	3.3.1	Plant yields, botanical composition and soil residual N	. 50				
	3.3.2	N ₂ O emissions	.51				
3.4.	Discussior	٦	. 58				
	3.4.1	Plant yields, botanical composition and soil residual N	. 58				
	3.4.2	N ₂ O emissions and emission factors	. 59				
	3.4.3.	Factors that controlled N_2O emission and EF at the site	. 64				
3.5.	Conclusio	ns and implications of the study	.67				
CHA	PTER 4		. 69				
Nitrous oxide emission from forage plantain and perennial ryegrass swards is affected by resource allocation dynamics belowground							
4.1	Introdu	uction	70				
4.2.	.2. Materials and methods		72				
	4.2.1	Experimental design	72				
	4.2.2	N ₂ O sampling and measurement	73				
	4.2.3	Biomass sampling and chemical analyses	73				
	4.2.4	Soil sampling and measurement of LAP activity	74				
	4.2.5	Statistics	75				
	4.3.2	N ₂ O emission	. 79				
	4.3.3	LAP expression	. 79				
	4.3.4	Relationships between the measured variables	80				
4.4	Discus	sion	83				

	4.4.1	Dry matter, carbon and nitrogen yields	83			
	4.4.2	LAP activity	85			
	4.4.3	Nitrous oxide emission	86			
4.5	Conclu	ision	89			
CHAPTER 5						
General discussion						
5.1	Forage plants and the livestock- climate change nexus90					
5.2	To what mana	To what extent do existing forage species affect C and N cycling under low-input management systems?91				
5.3	How c emiss	How could the capacity of pastures be enhanced within the farm system to mitigate GHG emissions?94				
5.4	Some	Some methodological perspectives				
5.5	Outloc	5k	97			
Appendices						
	Appendix A101					
	Appendix C					
	Appendix D108					
Ackı	Acknowledgements114					
Refe	References					

Abbreviations

- AGB Aboveground biomass
- AIC Akaike information criterion
- AIC_C Akaike information criterion, correction for small sample sizes
- AMC 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin
- ANCOVA Analysis of covariance
- ANOVA Analysis of variance
 - aP Available phosphorus
 - ARC Arable crops
 - BFN Biologically fixed nitrogen
 - BGB Belowground biomass
 - BIC Bayesian information criteria
 - CFI comparative fit index
 - CG Cut-use grass
 - CLH Cut-use legume herbs
 - CLT Cut-use legume trees/shrubs
 - CNLTS Cut-use non-legume trees/shrubs
 - CSIR Council For Scientific and Industrial Research
 - CTs Condensed tannins
 - DM Dry matter
 - ECT Extractable condensed tannins
 - EF Emission factor
 - eK Exchangeable potassium
 - EPA Environmental Protection Agency
 - FAO Food and Agriculture Organization
 - FCT Fibre-bound condensed tannins
 - GHG Greenhouse gas
 - GSG Grazed seeded-grassland
 - ILCA International Livestock Centre for Africa
 - IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
 - Irrig irrigated
 - IUSS The International Union of Soil Sciences

- IUSS The International Union of Soil Sciences
- LAP Leucine aminopeptidase
- LCA Life Cycle Analysis
- LTA Lon-term average
- NEE Net ecosystem exchange
- NEP Net ecosystem production
- NGL Native grassland
- NH₃ Ammonia
 - NI Nitrification inhibitor

Non-irrig Non-irrigated

- NPP Net primary production
- NUpE Nitrogen uptake efficiency
- NUT Nitrogen uptake
- OM Organic matter
- PCA Principal Component Analysis
- PCT Protein-bound condensed tannins
 - PR Perennial ryegrass
- PRN Patch residual nitrogen
- prwc Perennial ryegrass/white clover swards mixed swards
- prwrc Perennial ryegrass/white clover swards/ Red clover mixed swards
- prwrch Perennial ryegrass/white clover swards/ Red clover/Herbs mixed swards
 - PTFE Polytetrafluoroethylene
 - PVC Polyvinyl chloride
 - RC Red clover
- RMSEA root means square error of approximation
 - RNUE Root nitrogen use efficiency
 - SDG Sustainable Development Goals
 - SEM Structural equation modelling
 - SNUE Shoot nitrogen use efficiency
 - SOC Soil organic carbon
 - SOM Soil organic matter
 - SRMR standardized root mean square residual
 - SSA Sub-Saharan Africa

- *t*N Total nitrogen
- UNCCD United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
 - UPLC Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography
 - VWC Volumetric water content
 - WC White clover
 - WFPS Water-filled pore space
 - AMF Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

Zusammenfassung

Die Auswirkungen der Landwirtschaft auf die Umwelt sind vermehrt in den Fokus von Politik und gesellschaftlichen Debatten gerückt. Diese Bedeutung wird weiter steigen, da sich der Bedarf an Nahrungsmitteln in den nächsten 2-3 Jahrzehnten verdoppeln soll. Diese negativen Auswirkungen werden in erster Linie der Intensivierung und Vereinfachung der Produktionsysteme angelastet, die sich über sämtliche Produktionsebenen zeigt. Der Grünlandnutzung kommt eine Art Sonderrolle bei, da sie sowohl zum Klimawandel beitragen, aber auch durch besonders hohe Kohlenstoffeinträge als Instrument zur Verringerung der Treibhausgasemissionen eingesetzt werden kann. Hier sind vorallem Low-Input systeme vielversprechend, da diese häufig auch die Biodiversität fördern. Doch sind viele Einflussgrößen auf die Klimabilanz dieser Systeme noch ungewiss, insbesondere da Rückkopplungen zwischen der Pflanze und dem Boden den Kohlenstoff- und Stickstoffkreislauf im Boden über Erntereste, Wurzelzersetzung und Wurzelexsudate steuern. Diese Auswirkungen können jedoch je nach Bodenoder Vegetationstyp variieren. Die Verbesserung der Fähigkeit von Low-Input-Systemen den Klimawandel abzuschwächen erfordert somit eine representative Datengrundlage für viele verschiedene Produktionssysteme. Daher wurde in Kapitel 2 dieser Arbeit der Einfluss einer Reihe von verschiedenen Pflanzenarten im Vergleich zu einem Dauergrünlandsystem auf die C-Einträge und -Austräge einer tropischen Savanne nach mehr als 50 Jahren gleichbleibender Landnutzung bewertet. Es wurden Bodenproben (0-30 cm Tiefe) von beweidetem Grasland, gemähten Dauerkulturen und Dauergrünland genommen. Die Vorräte an organischem Kohlenstoff (SOC) im Boden reichten von 17 bis 64 Mg SOC ha⁻¹ (Mittelwert \pm Sd = 32,9 \pm 10,2 Mg ha⁻¹). Die SOC-Vorräte waren bei beweidetem, temporären Grünland niedriger als bei gemähtem Gras, Leguminosen und Sträuchern. Dementsprechend wurde geschätzt, dass bei der Umwandlung von Dauergrünland in Weideland 44 % des SOC über den Zeitraum von 50 Jahren verloren gingen, während die Umwandlung in bestimmte Leguminosen zu geringen (5%) zusätzlichen Gewinnen führte. Innerhalb der Ansaatsysteme schien die Verfügbarkeit von Stickstoff (N) der kritischste Faktor zu sein, der das Schicksal der SOC-Vorräte bestimmt, wobei die N-Konzentration im Boden und der SOC hoch korreliert waren (r = 0.86; p<0.001). Insgesamt waren N, P und K signifikante Prädiktoren für die SOC-Dichte in den Böden. Ein Experiment zur Überprüfung der Hypothese, dass leguminosenbasierte N-Quellen durch signifikant niedrigere Emissionsfaktoren gekennzeichnet sind als mineralische N-basierte Milchsysteme, wurde in Kapitel 3 beschrieben. In dieser Studie wurden die N2O-Emissionen über einen Zeitraum von mindestens 100 Tagen und bis zu zwei Vegetationsperioden über einen Gradienten

Zusammenfassung

der Pflanzenartenvielfalt überwacht. Die Emissionen wurden von Weiden und einer kontrollierten Ausbringung von Urin und Dung unter Verwendung der statischen Kammermethode gemessen. Etwa 90 % der kumulierten N2O-Emissionen der simulierten Experimente traten während der ersten 60-75 Tage auf. Die durchschnittlichen akkumulierten N2O-Emissionen betrugen 0,11, 0,87, 0,99 und 0,21 kg ha⁻¹ für die Kontrolle, sowie die Apllikation von Dung und Urinflecken, sowie der beweideten Weideflächen. Die N-Aufnahmeeffizienz bei den Ausscheidungsflecken lag sowohl für Dung als auch für Urin bei etwa 70 %. Doch selbst der höchste N2O-N-Emissionsfaktor war um mehr als die Hälfte geringer im Vergleich zum IPCC-Standard (0,3 vs. 0,77), was auf eine Überschätzung der N₂O-N-Emissionen von ökologisch bewirtschafteten Weiden in gemäßigten Klimazonen hindeutet. Die Pflanzendiversität zeigte keinen signifikanten Effekt auf die N2O-Emission. Daher wurde für ein besseres Verständnis der Mechanismen, mit denen Pflanzen die N2O-Emission beeinflussen, in Kapitel 4 in dieser Arbeit ein Gewächshausexperiment beschrieben, in dem die Hypothese getestet wurde, dass ein hoher Anteil von Spitzwegerich (Plantago lanceolata (PL)) gegenüber dem deutschen Weidelgras (Lolium perenne (LP)) in Mischungen die N2O-Emission reduzieren kann. Der Gewächshausversuch wurde in einem 3x3 faktoriellen, voll randomisierten Design angelegt, das drei mineralische N-Düngerraten (0, 150 und 300 kg N ha⁻¹) umfasste, die auf Monokulturen der beiden Arten und eine binäre Mischung ausgebracht wurden. Gemessen wurden die täglichen N2O-Emissionen, die oberirdische (AGB) und unterirdische Biomasse (BGB), die N- und C-Erträge ober- und unterirdisch sowie die Leucin-Aminopeptidase (LAP)-Aktivität im Boden als Indikator für die mikrobielle Aktivität im Boden. Die Ergebnisse zeigten, dass die akkumulierten N₂O-Emissionen (83 Tage) bei der PL Monokultur etwa doppelt so hoch waren wie bei der Mischung oder der LP Monokultur (p<0,05). Zudem lieferte PL weniger Trockenmasse (AGB+BGB) und N Ertrag als LP oder LP-PL (p<0,001). Die binäre Mischung erzielte die höchste N-Nutzungseffizienz und LAP-Aktivität. Die Arbeit zeigt somit generell das Potential von Mischungen die Umweltauswirkungen der Landwirtschaft zu generieren. Trotz der Identifikation einiger Einflussgrößen auf die Nährstoffkreisläufe, und der Erkenntnis, dass Emissionsfaktoren in Grünlandsystemen in gemäßigten Klimaten überschätzt werden, bleiben weiterhin Unsicherheiten bestehen die eine genaue Abschätzung der Emissionen über verschiedene Bodentypen schwierig machen.

Summary

Summary

The impact of agriculture on the environment has become a sharp focus, especially as future demand for food is projected to double over the next 2-3 decades. The negative impact of agriculture on the environment is primarily blamed on intensifying and simplifying production evident on the field, farm, landscape or regional scale. Grassland use contributes to climate change and environmental degradation, but it can also be used as a tool for greenhouse gas emissions mitigation. Moreover, low-input systems are often considered ecologically beneficial, but their sustainability under intensification is uncertain. Plant-soil feedbacks regulate soil carbon and nitrogen cycling via litterfall, root decay and root exudates, controlling microbial population dynamics in most soils, yet their impact may vary depending on the vegetation type. Enhancing the capacity of low-input systems to resist soil degradation and mitigate climate change requires long-term assessments. In Chapter 2, this thesis assessed the outcome of C inputs and outputs across an array of plant functional groups in arable and permanent systems of a tropical Savannah after more than 50 years of consistent land use. Soil samples were taken (0-30 cm depth) from arable crop fields, grazed-seeded grassland, cut-use permanent crops and native grassland. Soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks ranged from 17 to 64 Mg SOC ha⁻¹ $(\text{mean}\pm\text{sd}=32.9\pm10.2 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1})$. SOC stocks were lower for grazed-seeded grassland relative to cut-use grass, legume trees and shrubs. Accordingly, while converting the native grassland to grazed pastures was estimated to have lost 44 % of SOC over the period, the conversion to woody legumes resulted in slight (5 %) incremental gains. Within sown systems, nitrogen (N) availability seemed to be the most critical factor that determines the fate of the SOC stocks, with soil N concentration and SOC being highly correlated (r = 0.86; p < 0.001). In total, N, P and K were significant predictors of SOC density in the soils. Experiments to test the hypothesis that legume-based N sources are characterized by significantly lower emission factors than mineral N based dairy systems were described in Chapter 3. This study monitored N₂O emissions for a minimum of 100 days and up to two growing seasons across a gradient of plant species diversity. Emissions were measured from grazed pastures and a controlled urine and dung application using the static chamber method. About 90% of the simulated experiments' accumulated N₂O emissions occurred during the first 60–75 days. The average accumulated N₂O emissions were 0.11, 0.87, 0.99, and 0.21 kg ha⁻¹ for control, dung, urine patches, and grazed pastures, respectively. The N uptake efficiency at the excreta patch scale was about 70% for both dung and urine. The highest N2O-N emission factor was less than half compared with Summary

the IPCC default (0.3 vs 0.77), suggesting an overestimation of N₂O-N emissions from organically managed pastures in temperate climates. Plant diversity showed no significant effect on N₂O emission. However, functional groups were significant (p < 0.05). Moreover, soil-plant interactions affecting N₂O production in soils are not well understood, and experimental data are scarce. A better understanding of the mechanisms by which plants affect N₂O emission would facilitate the selection of forage species for sustainable intensification. In Chapter 4, this thesis described a greenhouse experiment that tested the hypothesis that high forage plantain Plantago lancelota (PL) than Lolium perenne (LP) in mixtures reduces N₂O emission. The greenhouse experiment was arranged in a 3x3 factorial, fully randomized design, comprising three mineral N fertilizer rates (0, 150 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹) applied to two monocultures (LP and PL) and one binary (LP-PL) sward mixture. Parameters measured included daily N2O emissions, aboveground (AGB) and belowground biomass (BGB), N- and C- yields above- and belowground, as well as leucine aminopeptidase (LAP) activity in the soil as an indicator for soil microbial activity. Results showed that accumulated N₂O emissions (83 days) were about two times higher for *PL* than *LP* or *LP-PL* swards (p < 0.05). N application increased AGB in all treatments (p < 0.001), however, the N300 rate reduced biomass allocation belowground. The PL yielded lower dry matter (AGB+BGB) and N than LP or LP-PL (p<0.001). As a result, the binary sward (LP-PL) showed the highest N use efficiency and increased LAP activity. Spearman correlation analyses and structural equation modelling suggested C allocation dynamics belowground as a potential means by which plants might impact N₂O emission. Thus, the work generally demonstrates the potential of mixtures to reduce the environmental impacts of agriculture. Despite identifying some variables influencing nutrient cycling and recognising that emission factors are overestimated in grassland systems in temperate climates, uncertainties remain, making the accurate estimation of emissions across different soil types difficult.

Chapter 1

General introduction

1.1 Global agriculture needs to grow sustainably

In 2017, 11% of the world population suffered from hunger, with undernourishment rates increasing since 2014 and being most prevalent in Sub-Saharan Africa (JANSSENS et al., 2020). At the same time, about USD 1 trillion worth of eatables is discarded or lost each year due to lack of infrastructure in developing countries or waste in the developed countries (FAO, 2013). Food consumption also results in environmental problems, particularly in the western world, where food production is estimated to account for 20-30% of the negative ecological impacts (REYNOLDS et al., 2014). These pressing problems are exacerbating as the world population is increasing with implications for food demand. Already, food demand is projected to grow substantially at 54% by 2050 compared with 2010, as the world population hits 9 billion (TAL-LIS et al., 2018). Correspondingly, due to shifts in diet, meat and dairy demand are expected to rise by even 76% and 65%, respectively, by 2050, compared with 2005 (ALEXANDRATOS & BRUINSMA, 2012). These high increments are driven by urbanization and income growth in developing countries (SATTERTHWAITE et al., 2010). As calories from meat and dairy require more energy during production than vegetarian diets (PIMENTEL & PIMENTEL, 2003), the existing overproduction combined with increasing demand for agricultural products and more energy-intensive animal-based products will increase the requirements for sustainable intensification to reduce environmental impacts.

The impact of agriculture on the environment is different for temperate and tropical regions. For instance, whereas soils in many developing countries are hugely degraded and impoverished in nutrients due to inadequate or lack of nutrient replenishment or poor soil management practices, many developed nations are high in soil organic matter (SOM) and nitrogen (N), most times leading to high deposition of reactive N in those ecosystems. In any case, it is imperative that as efforts are made to close yield gaps in the less developed countries, the mistakes of "industrialized countries" are not repeated. This caution is particularly relevant, as previous efforts to intensify agricultural systems have been characterized by a high input of non-renewable resources and simplification of diversity levels across the field, farm landscape or regional scales (HENDRICKSON *et al.*, 2008; LEMAIRE *et al.*, 2015; RUSSELLE *et al.*, 2007). This was particularly the case in industrialized countries but also in less developed countries (LE-MAIRE *et al.*, 2015). Over the years, the over-simplification of agricultural systems from crop rotations to monocropping, and diverse mixtures to monocultures, has resulted in the interrelated problem of ecosystem destruction, soil and water body degradation, as well as biodiversity losses (SCHULTZE-KRAFT *et al.*, 2018). These changes were also visible in the livestock sector, a significant source of greenhouse gas emissions worldwide (SMITH *et al.*, 2008). Agricultural activities generate multiple greenhouse gasses, contributing 10%–14% to global anthropogenic GHG emissions (SMITH *et al.*, 2007; TUBIELLO *et al.*, 2013), predominantly from farm machinery and soil cultivation (carbon dioxide), enteric fermentation (methane) and application of synthetic fertilizers and manure (nitrous oxide) (FIELD *et al.*, 2012). These emissions from anthropogenic sources, to which agriculture contributes about 60% to global emissions (SYAKILA & KROEZE, 2011), is of primary environmental concern due to its global warming (265–298 times greater than carbon dioxide) and stratospheric ozone depletion (IPCC, 2013; OENEMA *et al.*, 2009; PORTMANN *et al.*, 2012).

1.2 The impact of climate change on agriculture

While agriculture contributes to climate change, it also is affected by it; however, the extent and mode of change will vary regionally. Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) is considered to be the most vulnerable region to the current and future climate variability with impacts on rainfall (CHRISTENSEN et al., 2007; NIANG et al., 2014) and could reduce agriculture between 20-50% by 2050 (SARR, 2012). For Northern Germany, on the other hand, an initially beneficial extension of the growing season will likely be outweighed by large increments in drought events in summer (TRNKA et al., 2011). Already, the mean global atmospheric CO₂ concentration and temperature has been steadily increasing (EKWURZEL et al., 2017; GOGLIO et al., 2015) with ramifications for crop productivity and livestock performance in Africa and Europe (US EPA, 2016). The increasing incidence of drought in northern Germany has reduced pasture yield (EMADODIN et al., 2021), while crop losses attributable to climate change are evident in West Africa (SULTAN et al., 2019). Moreover, the hitherto agricultural lands are under intense competition from other sectors, making land increasingly less available for agricultural use (VAN ZANTEN et al., 2018). This trend is particularly problematic, as there is a considerable yield gap in many developing countries due to low investment in agriculture and innovation.

Consequently, general improvements in animal and crop husbandry are needed to close the significant productivity gaps, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, mitigate and build resilience to climate change. On the other hand, in Europe and other temperate production systems, mitigating greenhouse gas emissions and increasing production systems' resilience to drought and other environmental stresses should be the highest priority. The United Nations acknowledge and seeks to address these challenges by 2030 as reflected in the SDGs (Goals 1-3, 6, 12-15). However, any attempt to reduce GHG emissions from agriculture is unlikely to be adopted unless production efficiency is maintained if not enhanced. Henceforth, the tetrahedral challenge of food security, climate change, rural development and poverty alleviation debacle must be resolved using knowledge-based sustainable agricultural approaches to enhance crop and animal productivity, ensure better soil health, and improve ecosystems service provision. The above challenges call for a new paradigm to find new ways to produce agricultural goods sustainably.

To this end, various propositions have come up, including the concept of sustainable intensification, which aims at producing more food using fewer resources with low environmental impact (THE ROYAL SOCIETY, 2009). Accordingly, sustainable production of agricultural goods is a significant pillar of the knowledge-based bio-economy agenda pursued by the European Union, USA, Japan, India and Brazil (CELIKKANAT OZAN & BARAN, 2014). The concept of ecological intensification, which involves using modern technologies to produce socio-economic goods and multiple environmental services simultaneously, has been forcefully proposed (FRANZLUEBBERS *et al.*, 2011; LEMAIRE *et al.*, 2015; SCHIERE *et al.*, 2002; SULC & TRACY, 2007). This concept is pivoted on the diversification at the field, farm, landscape and/or regional scales to optimize agricultural productivity per unit natural resource (LEMAIRE *et al.*, 2014). Such diversification might include re-introducing crop-livestock systems, increasing crop diversity at all scales and rotation, and introducing forage crops and grasslands into crop rotation cycles. These systems' workability might vary depending on environmental and managerial conditions, making research on their economic and ecological viability necessary.

1.3 Relevance of grassland systems

Grasslands and savannahs comprise 26–40% of the earth's land area and constitute 80% of the worlds' agricultural lands (DUDLEY *et al.*, 2020). Grasslands form a significant source of goods and services such as food, forage and energy, feeding over 800 million of the world's population (WHITE *et al.*, 2000). However, almost all (92%) natural grassland on the earth have been converted to human use, mostly grazing and cropland production (STERLING & DUCHARNE, 2008). The high inherent SOM content of grasslands supplies plant nutrients, increases soil aggregation, limits soil erosion and increases cation exchange and water holding capacities (CONANT & PAUSTIAN, 2002). Accordingly, grasslands have a greater propensity to store SOC than croplands (CONANT, 2010; FRANZLUEBBERS, 2010; GUO & GIFFORD, 2002). However, grasslands are sensitive to perturbations and respond rapidly to manipulations (BLAIR *et al.*, 2014). For example, conversion of grasslands to arable has been shown in a meta-analysis to reduce carbon stocks by 59% (GUO & GIFFORD, 2002). Ploughing can also result in large fluxes of nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide (REINSCH *et al.*, 2018). Thus, changes in management or land use type in tropical and temperate grasslands could have an enormous impact on global N and C cycles.

The soil is a significant reservoir for C and N, storing about three times more C and N than the atmosphere (LAL, 2004). Carbon storage in grassland soils depends on the carbon cycle constituents, as shown in Figure 1.1. The four major factors, including biomass input and offtake and the retention and stabilization of the SOM (WHITEHEAD, 2020), influence C balance considerably. Whereas the amount of input from roots and exudates is a function of species type and soil nutrient availability, SOC retention and stabilization depend on soil management, soil type, and organic input quality. Carbon sequestration, which refers to the natural and deliberate processes by which CO₂ is either removed from the atmosphere or diverted from emission sources and stored in the ocean, terrestrial environments (vegetation, soils, and sediments), and geologic formations (SUNDQUIST et al., 2008), provides an opportunity for agriculture to offset non-preventable emission sources and achieve climate neutrality. However, many practices, including reducing the length of fallow periods, over-grazing, excessive aboveground biomass export and grassland termination or renovation, have reduced soil organic matter, water holding capacity and nutrients in many ecosystems (JONES & DONNELLY, 2004). Consequently, grasslands and savannahs have begun to contribute to greenhouse gas emission budgets (CONANT, 2010; RIDDER, 2007).



Figure 1.1. Grassland C cycle, showing the major constituents 1, 2, 3 and 4. Adopted from (WHITEHEAD, 2020)



Figure 1.2: Typical N cycle in a temperate grassland ecosystem (Source; (BUCKTHOUGHT, 2013)

A typical grassland N cycle is strongly regulated by complex interactions between soil, plants, and livestock (Figure 1.2). These interactions are mediated by prevailing climatic conditions and the farmer's management decisions, resulting in N gains or losses. These heterogeneous factors include N source and amount, temperature and rainfall, soil moisture and microbiome, as well as botanical factors (FIRESTONE & DAVIDSON, 1989; LEDGARD, 2001; VOGLMEIER *et al.*, 2019). Accordingly, N losses from grasslands (intensively managed and grazed grasslands) are associated with the highest uncertainty (FLECHARD *et al.*, 2007; VAN DER WEERDEN *et al.*, 2020; WHITEHEAD, 2020). Previous studies, as summarized by (SELBIE *et al.*, 2015), showed that N losses from urine N applied to European grasslands ranged 1–27% via volatilization (NH₃), 0–14% through nitrification and denitrification (N₂O and N₂) and 13–54% via NO₃ leaching while N immobilization and uptake by plants ranged 13–54% and 19–65%, respectively.

1.4 Challenge: increasing inputs without destabilizing agricultural production systems

Nitrogen inputs are crucial to the profitability of most agricultural systems. However, the addition of N beyond the absorptive capacity of an ecosystem, as is the case in many temperate agricultural regions, results in excess N, which get lost to the environment via leaching, volatilization, nitrification and denitrification. Currently, N application to global ecosystems is very high (> 100 kg N ha⁻¹) and is projected to increase by a factor of 2.5 in the next century (GAL-LOWAY *et al.*, 2004; LAMARQUE *et al.*, 2005), as agriculture is intensified to meet the increasing global food demand. Furthermore, nitrogen losses via leaching are a threat to groundwater bodies, thus potentially polluting drinking water. For these reasons, the deposition of reactive N is a significant threat to ecosystems' functioning and sustenance (FIELD *et al.*, 2014). The increased deposition of reactive N will further increase N₂O emissions from agricultural systems. Indeed, increased adoption of farm practices that decouple C and N cycles decrease C and N ratio, reduce C residence time in soils have heightened the negative impact of agriculture on the environment (LEMAIRE *et al.*, 2015). These worrying trends have rendered most agroecosystems less resilient to climate change and have negatively impacted soil health, air quality, and underground water.

Introduction of grassland leys into arable cropping systems (e.g. legume-based) could improve soil structure and soil fertility, control weeds, improve C stocks, enhance productivity, reduce water runoff and soil erosion and increase biodiversity. Although grazing converts consumed plants into CO₂ and other greenhouse gases, multiple studies have shown that grazing can often

lower net ecosystem carbon emissions and promote soil carbon storage (MCSHERRY & RITCHIE, 2013), especially in dry ecosystems (CHEN *et al.*, 2015). However, the effect of grazing on SOC is inconclusive due to inadequate data. The introduction of grasslands in farm systems may reduce N losses if N input and stocking rate, proper timing of tillage or good rotation management (KHALIL *et al.*, 2019). As the most geographically extensive grassland use today, well-managed grazing systems can significantly influence global soil carbon storage (BAGCHI & RITCHIE, 2010). Nevertheless, the impact of grasslands on N loss mitigation may depend on grassland types, environments and managements (LEMAIRE *et al.*, 2015).

1.5 Justification of the studies

Plants play a significant role in C and N cycles (Figure 1.1 & 1.2), regulating C and N supply to soils via litterfall, root decay and root exudates, controlling microbial population dynamics in most soils, yet their impact may vary depending on the vegetation type (YANG *et al.*, 2019). Most ruminant livestock systems worldwide rely on a wide range of grasses, legumes and herbs. Adopting diverse forage species in intensified grassland systems could enhance the sustainable production of goods and ecological services. However, it is necessary to identify trade-offs by considering environmental carrying capacity (LEMAIRE *et al.*, 2015), which might differ from one location to another. FREDEEN *et al.* (2013) contend that emission of GHGs is system-related, and the type of feed used in pasture and confinement systems has potential implications on both nutrient excretion and total GHGs emissions (ARSENAULT *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, such forage species should have the capacity to improve animal performance while enhancing their ecological efficiency. However, forage breeding lines are often developed and promoted without considering their ability to improve ecological efficiency.

Grasslands have a high capacity to sequester soil C and contribute to the global effort to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions (MINASNY *et al.*, 2017; SOUSSANA *et al.*, 2010). The adoption of improved grasslands species was reported to have the highest C sequestration rate than other recommended practices (LAL, 2008). Despite the lack of data on carbon budget, various model simulations (CIAIS *et al.*, 2009) have observed that Africa could be acting as a net carbon sink. BOMBELLI & HENRY (2009) suggested a more profound knowledge of mitigating climate change on the continent through carbon sequestration. Enhancing the capacity of forage production systems to sequester carbon could help reduce livestock production's carbon footprint. However, poor soil fertility management, primarily seen in land overuse without nutrient replenishment, could derail the C storage potential of soils in SSA. Under such harsh soil and management conditions, plants with high nutrient use efficiency could be more ecologically efficient. Plants that produce greater root mass and produce a litter with slower decomposition rates tend to store more SOC than those that produce lower root mass and produced rapidly decomposing litter. Recent studies have also shown plants' roles in stabilizing soil organic carbon (ADAMCZYK *et al.*, 2016, 2017; CHOMEL *et al.*, 2016; SHANMUGAM *et al.*, 2018). Soil C cycling, therefore, its mean residence time, is regulated by plant residue biochemistry (MARTENS, 2000) through chemical complexing processes between polyphenols, lignin and soil particles (JONES & DONNELLY, 2004).

The loss of N to the environment is an ecological cost and an economic cost to the farmer. The measures proposed to reduce N losses have targeted the soil, using process inhibitors such as nitrification or urease inhibitors. Other measures include manipulating functional pasture structure, managing feeding to reduce N excretion, timing grazing and adopting fertilizer strategies to prevent N deposition at high emission moments (DE KLEIN et al., 2019; SELBIE et al., 2015; WAGNER-RIDDLE et al., 2020). The use of chemical nitrification inhibitors being very effective, reducing N losses up to 56% (SELBIE *et al.*, 2015); however, their applicability has been fraught with practical difficulties at the paddock/farm scale (SELBIE *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, traces of the chemicals have been reported as residues in milk (DANAHER & JORDAN, 2013), making their use in organic livestock systems difficult.

Over the past few years, Europe has developed some strategies and policies to reduce N accumulation in soils. However, it appears these efforts have not yielded significant results as, for example, a substantial amount of groundwater bodies in northern Germany remain in a 'bad state' (BIERNAT *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, the agricultural sector appears to respond slowly to the adoption of these technologies. The German government, for instance, has set an aggressive agenda to reduce N surplus to a maximum of 70 kg ha⁻¹ between 2028 and 2032, with a longterm target of 50 kg ha⁻¹ (STALLMANN, 2014). However, the complexity of the mitigation strategies and cost could be deterrents to adopting climate-smart agricultural practices. Therefore, the urgent need for innovative (LONG *et al.*, 2016) low-cost and easy-to-use climatesmart strategies to mitigate N₂O emissions from agricultural systems is imperative.

Mixed pastures have a higher propensity to reduce N₂O emission due to faster growth and rapid resource acquisition (ABALOS *et al.*, 2014; KRAMER-WALTER *et al.*, 2016; NYA-MEASEM *et al.*, 2021). Moreover, legume use in pastoral systems to reduce mineral N use has

been highly recommended, as the biologically fixed N bypasses the soil mineral N pool resulting in low N losses (FUCHS et al., 2020). Besides atmospheric nitrogen fixation, forage mixtures containing legumes out-yield monocultures and have lower carbon footprints (KHATI-WADA et al., 2020). This over-yielding effect is because mixed pastures have a higher capacity to produce more biomass due to efficient use of resources even under unfavourable climatic conditions (NOBILLY et al., 2013; WOODWARD et al., 2013). Furthermore, plants rich in secondary metabolites may inhibit the nitrification process via root exudates, resulting in low N losses (DE KLEIN et al., 2019). Besides, acquisitive species are aggressive and can remove excess N from soils, reducing N substrate available for microbial transformations (ABALOS et al., 2014). When well harnessed, mixed or diverse swards containing some useful herbs could enhance nutrition and welfare and provide additional ecological services such as supporting other life forms to ensure biodiversity in the ecosystem (VILLALBA et al., 2019). Based on the foregone considerations, it suffices to speculate that the impact of a forage species on the environment will depend on their nutrient use efficiency, phenology and effect on the rhizosphere. However, the effects of forage species on the environment are inconsistent, and the driving factors are not well understood (DE KLEIN et al., 2019) due to insufficient data from diverse environmental and management conditions.

1.6 Research objectives and hypotheses

To assess the potential ecological efficiency of diverse pastures and management systems, we conducted two field studies and a pot experiment under tropical and temperate settings. The objectives of the studies were:

 To assess the impact of different land-use types and plant functional groups on soil carbon storage and explore plant and soil factors contributing to soil carbon storage differences in tropical forage production systems. The study tested the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis: Plant functional diversity affects soil C stocks under similar environmental and management conditions.

 (ii) To investigate the impact of pasture diversity and irrigation on N₂O emission and emission factors and identify factors that drive N₂O emission in legume-based pastures. The hypotheses tested include:

Hypothesis: Pastures with higher functional diversity emit less N₂O-N compared with less diverse swards.

(iii) To assess the N₂O emission mitigation potential of Lancelot plantain in northern Germany and explore the effect of belowground resource allocation N₂O emission and microbial response under varying soil N levels. The tested hypotheses were: *Hypothesis*: Pastures rich in Lancelot plantain emit less N₂O-N compared with perennial ryegrass-rich swards.

1.7 The experimental study sites

The nature of environmental impact by agriculture varies across the globe. Therefore, there is no one-method-fit-all solution to mitigate the effects of agriculture on the environment around the world. Contrasting climatic factors, soil properties, and vegetation have long been the basis for predicting changes in C and N cycles. However, where climatic and soil properties are relatively uniform at the farm level, land use differences may influence C and N's quantity and quality added to the soil. These variables cause plant-soil feedbacks to regulate soil carbon and N cycling, which can cause local variations in C and N fluxes (CHEN *et al.*, 2018; POST *et al.*, 1982; SAIZ *et al.*, 2012). Hence, to develop site-specific solutions (GAO & CARMEL, 2020) and concepts for more extensive research in the future and capture site-specific realities, case study experiments are imperative.

1.7.1 CSIR research farm, Pokuase, Ghana

Field experiments that measured soil carbon stocks from pastoral systems are uncommon in SSA. Thus, the C sequestration potential of grasslands in SSA is estimated using a broad scale model-based approach, extracted from global studies and limited data points. As a result, factors responsible for variations in carbon storage at the farm scale level are not well defined. Given that such long-term experiments are scarce, particularly in SSA, and results emanating from such a facility could be widely applied within similar agroecosystems, this site was chosen for the case study.

The CSIR research fields in Pokuase, located in southern Ghana, originated from the else-while state farms owned by the Ghana government. When the state farm's operations ceased, the arable lands were then allocated to various state research institutions in agricultural research and extension services, including the Crop and Animal Research Institutes of CSIR. Some fields have been used for arable crop experimentations and production annually, while some portions often undergo fallow when not in use. Regular tillage, land fertilization (organic and inorganic sources), and general cultural practices are all management strategies. In addition,

lands were set aside for pasture seed multiplication and agronomic experimentation where regular tillage, pasture crop rotation and soil fertility were practised. Also, a portion of the land was set aside for pasture germplasm maintenance, referred to as the 'Pasture museum', where specific plot sizes of 4 x 4 metres were maintained to house live forage species brought from all over the world. Most of the designated plots have harboured the same species with very few exceptions since their establishment in 1966. General weed control on the plots to maintain the plots' outlines and integrity and regular harvesting were the primary management practice.

1.7.2 University of Kiel Organic Research Farm, Lindhof, Germany

The Lindhof farm, belonging to the Institute of Crop Science and Plant Breeding at Kiel University and managed by the grass and forage science /Organic Agriculture (GFO) department, is a certified organic experimental farm producing beef, pork and arable crops. Milking about 100 organically pasture-based spring calving Jerseys and Jersey/EBI crossbreeds annually, the farm is also known for low-input milk production. Furthermore, being one of the EuroDairy pilot farms, the farm engages in several regional EIP-projects (e.g. "Smart-Grazing", "Catchcrops for forage production", and "Weidemanager Schleswig-Holstein"). Besides, this farm is dedicated to low-input and organic farming research, emphasizing the N and energy use efficiency of various crops and farming systems.

In recent years, Lindhof research farm is integrating secondary legumes like red- clover and birdsfoot trefoil as well as herbal forage plants, e.g. Lancelot plantain, chicory, sheep's burnet and caraway (all deep routing), into their forage systems to increase water use and plant nutrient-use efficiency as well as contribute positively to soil fertility. Furthermore, integrating these species into classical ley consisting of perennial ryegrass and white clover improves forage uptake during the grazing season and forage quality and biodiversity. The availability of these diverse pasture resources at this farm and the fact that the research results could have broader regional applicability necessitated its choice for this doctoral study.

1.8 Thesis structure

Three field-based experiments were conducted between 2018 and 2020 to address the objectives set out for this thesis. In the first part, this thesis assessed the outcome of C inputs and outputs across different arable and permanent systems consisting of diverse plant functional groups of a tropical savannah in southern Ghana after more than 50 years of consistent land use (*Chapter* 2). Next, the hypothesis that relatively low N₂O emission factors characterize legume-based N sources was tested across a gradient of plant species diversity and under irrigation in Lindhof experimental farm (*Chapter* 3). Finally, the effects of N availability and sward differences on N₂O emission were tested in a greenhouse experiment, and the impact of biomass C/N partitioning belowground and rhizospheric microbial enzyme activity on N₂O emission and emission factors was explored (*Chapter* 4). The overall findings and their implications were concluded at the end of this thesis in *Chapter* 5. The thesis has been organized as a cumulative thesis. Accordingly, *Chapters* 2, 3 and 4 have been presented as independent articles; therefore, the introduction, site details and synthesis might be repeated. The format and styles of *Chapters* 2, 3 and 4 followed the guidelines of journals to which the manuscripts were submitted. The articles are listed below:

Chapter 2: NYAMEASEM, J. K., REINSCH, T., TAUBE, F., DOMOZORO, C. Y. F., MARFO-AHENKORA, E., EMADODIN, I. AND MALISCH, C. S. (2020). Nitrogen availability determines the long-term impact of land-use change on soil carbon stocks in grasslands of southern Ghana, published in *SOIL*, 6, 523–539, 2020. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-6-523-2020</u>

• This chapter reports the outcome of C inputs and outputs across various plant functional groups in arable and permanent systems of a tropical savannah after more than 50 years of consistent land use. The hypotheses tested included the following: (i) Soil C stocks in sub-Saharan Africa are profoundly affected by the type of land use management, (ii) Soil macronutrients and CTs can predict long-term changes in SOC stocks of tropical grasslands, and (iii) Plant functional groups influence the relationship between SOC and soil N. Accordingly, the study measured soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks (0–30 cm depth) from arable crop fields, grazed–seeded grassland, cut–use permanent crops and native grassland. Additionally, the study explored how soil nutrients and secondary plant metabolites (condensed tannins) impact SOC's impact using the native grassland as the baseline. The results provide the theoretical that improved soil fertility management and the use of tannin-rich plants can promote long-term SOC storage in the savannah ecological region.

Chapter 3: NYAMEASEM, J. K., MALISCH, C. S., LOGES, R., TAUBE, F., KLUß, C., VOGELER, I. AND REINSCH, T. (2021). Nitrous Oxide Emission from Grazing Is Low across a Gradient of Plant Functional Diversity and Soil Conditions, published in *Atmosphere*, *12*, 223, 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12020223</u>

• This chapter explored the potential of legume-based mixed pastures to mitigate nitrous oxide emission under grazing pressure. Specifically, the study tested the hypotheses that (i) grassland diversity affects N₂O emission under grazing stress, (ii) botanical and environmental factors determine N₂O emission from soils, and (iii) N₂O emission factor (EF) for cow excreta deposited on irrigated pastures is lower for systems with the legume as a sole N source compared with the IPCC default. Accordingly, this study monitored N₂O emissions for a minimum of 100 days and up to two growing seasons across a gradient of plant species diversity. Emissions were measured from grazed pastures and applied urine and dung patches using the static chamber method under ambient and irrigation conditions. The study subsequently reported annual N₂O emissions from grazed legume-based pastures and disaggregated N₂O emission factors for northern Germany, as affected by grassland type, the season of excreta deposition and irrigation. Additionally, the study reports environmental and botanical factors that drove N₂O emissions from the grasslands.

Chapter 4. JOHN K. NYAMEASEM, ENIS B. HALIMA, CARSTEN S. MALISCH, BAHAR S. RAZAVI, FRIEDHELM TAUBE, THORSTEN REINSCH. Nitrous oxide emission from forage plantain and perennial ryegrass swards is affected by resource allocation dynamics belowground.

The study reported a greenhouse experiment that explored the potential of Lancelot plantain inclusion in pastures as a tool to mitigate N₂O emission under northern Germany environmental conditions. The study tested the hypotheses that (i) N₂O emission from Lancelot plantain-rich swards is lower than from perennial ryegrass monoculture swards due to their expected nitrification inhibiting effect, and (ii) that microbial enzyme expression is highly associated with Lancelot plantain proportion in the swards as well as with C/N ratio below-ground. Using soils from Lindhof experimental farm, three grasslands (*Plantago lancelota*, PL; *Lolium perenne*, LP; and LP-PL mixed swards) established in pots, which received 0, 150 or 300 kg N ha⁻¹ equivalents of fertilizer, were monitored for N₂O-N emission for three months. Furthermore, additional parameters, including dry matter yield, carbon and nitrogen assimilation above- and belowground, and microbial enzyme (leucine aminopeptidase) activity as a proxy for soil microbial activity, were measured. Invariably, the results suggest that resource allocation dynamics belowground have a higher dominance on N₂O emission mitigation from soils rather than forage plantain itself.

CHAPTER 2: Nitrogen availability determines the long-term impact on soil carbon stocks

SOIL, 6, 523–539, 2020 https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-6-523-2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Nitrogen availability determines the long-term impact of land use change on soil carbon stocks in grasslands of southern Ghana

John Kormla Nyameasem^{1,2}, Thorsten Reinsch¹, Friedhelm Taube¹, Charles Yaw Fosu Domozoro², Esther Marfo-Ahenkora², Iraj Emadodin¹, and Carsten Stefan Malisch¹

¹Institute for Crop Science and Plant Breeding – Grass and Forage Science/Organic Agriculture, Christian Albrechts University, Kiel, Germany
²Council for Scientific and Industrial Research – Animal Research Institute, Achimota, Accra, Ghana

Correspondence: John Kormla Nyamcasem (jnyamcasem@gfo.uni-kiel.de, jnyamcasem@gmail.com)

Received: 21 March 2020 – Discussion started: 18 May 2020 Revised: 27 August 2020 – Accepted: 26 September 2020 – Published: 5 November 2020

CHAPTER 2

Nitrogen availability determines the long-term impact of land-use change on soil carbon stocks in grasslands of southern Ghana

John Kormla Nyameasem^{1,2}, Thorsten Reinsch¹, Friedhelm Taube¹, Charles Yaw Fosu Domozoro², Esther Marfo-Ahenkora², Iraj Emadodin¹, and Carsten Stefan Malisch¹ ¹Institute for Crop Science and Plant Breeding – Grass and Forage Science/Organic Agriculture, Christian Albrechts University, Kiel, Germany

²Council for Scientific and Industrial Research – Animal Research Institute, Achimota, Accra, Ghana

Published in SOIL, 6, 523-539, 2020. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-6-523-2020

This chapter reports C inputs and outputs across various plant functional groups in arable and permanent systems of a tropical savannah after more than 50 years of consistent land use. This chapter is an original work of this thesis, which involved the first author from the experimental planning, the field and analytical work to the article's writing.

Abstract

Enhancing the capacity of agricultural soils to resist soil degradation and mitigate climate change requires long-term assessments of land-use systems. However, such long-term evaluations, particularly regarding low-input livestock systems, are limited. In the absence of suitable long-term experiments, this study assessed the outcome of C inputs and outputs across an array of plant functional groups in arable and permanent systems of a tropical savannah after more than 50 years of consistent land use. Soil samples were taken (0–30 cm depth) from arable crop fields, grazed-seeded grassland, cut-use permanent crops and native grassland. Soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks ranged from 17 to 64 Mg SOC ha⁻¹ (mean \pm sd = 32.9 \pm 10.2 Mg ha⁻¹). SOC stocks were lower for grazed-seeded grassland relative to cut-use grass, legume trees and shrubs. Accordingly, while the conversion of the native grassland to grazed pastures caused an estimated loss of 44 % of SOC over the period, the conversion to woody legumes resulted in slight (5%) incremental gains. Within sown systems, nitrogen (N) availability seemed to be the most critical factor in determining the fate of the SOC stocks, with the soil N concentration and SOC being highly correlated (r = 0.86; p < 0.001). In total, N, P and K were significant predictors of SOC density in the soils. Moreover, secondary plant metabolites in legumes, namely tannins, were identified as impacting SOC. The results from this study provide the theoretical basis for testing the hypothesis that improved soil fertility management and the use of tannin-rich plants can promote long-term SOC storage in the savannah ecological region. Our study also shows the potential of legume tree/shrub forage species as an environmentally sustainable land use option to mitigate agricultural CO₂ emissions from low-input livestock systems in the grasslands of southern Ghana.

Keywords: grassland, land-use change, legumes, proanthocyanidins, soil carbon sequestration, soil properties, sub-Saharan Africa

2.1 Introduction

Increments in increased carbon (C) sequestration rates in soils of 0.4 % per year have been suggested as a means of compensating for the global emissions of greenhouse gases from anthropogenic sources (CHABBI et al., 2017). On a global scale, grasslands sequester around 0.14 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, thus storing 685 Gt C in the upper soil (1 m depth). This C pool size is nearly 50 % more than that of forests (346 Gt C) and 70 % more than wetlands (202 Gt C; (CO-NANT et al., 2017; GRACE et al., 2006; GOBIN et al., 2011). About 60 % of all grasslands occur in the tropics, containing 10 %-30 % of the global soil C stocks (CAQUET et al., 2012). Besides their potential for mitigating climate change, increased soil C sequestration could enhance ecological efficiency and deliver other related ecosystem services, especially food, water and biodiversity (CONANT et al., 2017; STRINGER et al., 2012). However, large areas of native grasslands worldwide have undergone a substantial use intensification or have been converted into pasture and croplands (STERLING & DUCHARNE, 2008; TAUBE et al., 2014). This action has led to either reduced C sequestration rates or net losses of soil organic C (SOC; CREWS & RUMSEY, 2017; JOHNSTON et al., 2009; REINSCH et al., 2018). This change is also visible in sub-Saharan Africa, where overgrazing and other land uses affect the C cycle (GRIECO et al., 2012) and might constrain the attainment of the 4 ‰ agenda (MINASNY et al., 2017) in the sub-region.

Conversion of natural to managed ecosystems generally results in depleted soil C stocks. For example, the conversion of native grasslands to crop production has resulted in an approximately 50 % loss of SOC in global grassland ecosystems (LAL, 2018) as a consequence of destabilizing stored SOC. Adequate organic matter (OM) input into soils is necessary for increased C sequestration. However, sequestered C is sensitive to management and land-use changes, particularly grazing, changes in species composition, and mineral nutrient availability

(CONANT *et al.*, 2017). Nevertheless, management practices that increase the supply of quality OM could promote C storage in soils. Accordingly, soil nutrients have implications for plant primary productivity and ecosystem functioning (MARQUES *et al.*, 2016; Post et al., 2012); however, their exact effects on C sequestration, particularly in grasslands dominated by C₄ species, are not well understood (MILNE *et al.*, 2016). For example, the impact of N fertilization on SOC dynamics remains controversial because of its dependence on other parameters such as soil pH, available phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) and the frequency of tillage management operations (KHAN *et al.*, 2007; LAL, 2008; REINSCH *et al.*, 2018).

The C input into soils derived from plant residues and organic manures and the carbon's stabilization in the soil are essential for increased soil C sequestration. Some SOC stabilization mechanisms that have been proposed include physico-chemical protection of SOC by microand macroaggregates, spatial separation of SOC from decomposers by encapsulation, occlusion and hydrophobicity and mineral-organic associations (QUESADA et al., 2020; KLEBER et al., 2015; SONG et al., 2018). Moreover, plant secondary metabolites in both above- and below-ground biomass have recently been discussed to have an impact on both C and N cycles, with the potential to either increase or reduce C immobilization rates in soils (ADAMCZYK et al., 2016, 2017; CHOMEL et al., 2016; HALVORSON et al., 2011; KAGIYA et al., 2019; KRAUS et al., 2003; TAMURA & THARAYIL, 2014). Condensed tannins (CTs), for example, enter the soil as leachates and via decomposed litter from plant leaves and roots (HÄT-TENSCHWILER & VITOUSEK, 2000). Although most forage species naturally contain relatively low levels of CTs, remaining suitable for livestock feeding (MUELLER-HARVEY et al., 2019), the concentration of CTs may reach potent levels in the long term because CTs are recalcitrant and could remain in the soil for decades (TAMURA & THARAYIL, 2014). However, CTs' role in mitigating CO₂ emissions, particularly from tropical soils, has received minimal attention.

In livestock systems, where a large part of the net primary production is exported from the soil as hay, silage or livestock products, the below-ground biomass is a significant C input source. Mechanisms driving SOC sequestration in livestock systems, particularly low-input systems in the tropics, are not well understood due to lack of research data and sometimes conflicting results, even for such important factors as grazing (MCSHERRY & RITCHIE, 2013). Previous studies have shown mixed effects of grazing on soil C, including positive (REEDER & SCHU-MAN, 2002), neutral (SHRESTHA & STAHL, 2008), or adverse effects (PEI *et al.*, 2008).

Due to the complexity of the different above-described controlling factors on SOC stocks, longterm experiments are ultimately necessary to validate the assumptions that have been made. In the absence of long-term experiments in sub-Saharan Africa designed to answer this research question, we selected a research farm that has cultivated and managed plots of different plant species according to the same principles for 50 years. The research farm, located in the southern savannah belt of Ghana, was previously reserved government land. Around 50 years ago, parts of it were simultaneously converted to farmland and research plots. The site offers the unique opportunity to test the long-term effects of converting native grassland to agricultural land using the current SOC and nutrient stocks of the undisturbed grassland, which have not been converted within these 50 years, as a reference baseline. The homogeneous environmental conditions across the entire sampling area would enable estimation of the divergence in SOC and nutrient stocks, due to the different land use changes, when compared to the hypothetical SOC and nutrient stocks represented by the undisturbed grassland. Thus, the main aim of this study was to assess the impact of long-term land use practices on soil C storage and the potential role of tannin-rich forages and soil nutrient status. In detail, the current case study was conducted to test the following hypotheses:

- 1. Soil C stocks in sub-Saharan Africa are profoundly affected by the type of land use management.
- 2. Soil macronutrients and CTs can predict long-term changes in SOC stocks of tropical grasslands.
- 3. Plant functional groups influence the relationship between SOC and soil N.

2.2 Materials and methods

2.2.1 Site characteristics

The study was conducted at a research farm of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Ghana, located at 5°70′ N, 0°29′ W and 49 m above sea level (a.s.l), some kilometres from Accra, Ghana (Figure 2.1). The mean monthly temperature ranges from 21 to 31 °C, and the monthly rainfall ranges from 13 to 205 mm (annual rainfall is approximately 800 mm). The principal rainy season is from April to mid-July, with a minor rainy season in October (Figure 2.2). Thus, the climate is moist semi-arid, with a growing period lasting 120– 180 d (GHANA METEOROLOGICAL AGENCY, 2019). The surface lithology is non-carbonate sedimentary, with coarse sandy loam soils belonging to the Haplic Acrisol group (IUSS WORKING GROUP WRB, 2014). The soil at the site had the following features at the 0– 10 cm soil depth: 80.34 % sand, 12.64 % silt, 7.02 % clay, 5.86 pH (water), 0.133 % N, 2.10 parts per million (ppm) available P, 55 ppm available K and 2.61 % OM (BARNES, 1999). The native land cover of the study location is a tropical grassland savannah.



Figure 2.1: Location and plan of the study site. The native grassland (NGL) remains uncultivated since the farm was established in 1966. The portion of NGL labelled "indeterminate history" was excluded from the study due to the high uncertainty associated with its history. The fodder bank consisted of cut-use grasses (CG), legume herbs (CLH), legume tree/shrubs (CLTS) and non-legume tree/shrubs (CNLTS); Map from ©Google Earth (2020).



Figure 2.2: Climate (30-year average) of the study area (Data source: GHANA METEOROLOG-ICAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT, 2019)

The research farm, located on the grazed-seeded grasslands (GSGs), keeps Sanga cattle, a cross between the humped Zebu-type cattle and the local West African Shorthorn known for their resistance to trypanosomiasis (adult weight range of 300–330 kg), and Djallonké sheep (adult weight range of 25–37 kg) that are managed semi-intensively. These animals are grazed rotationally on seeded pastures during the rainy season (April–October) and fed on conserved fodder harvested from arable fields and a fodder bank. While the exact stocking density is not

recorded, typical stocking capacity around that region has been reported to range from 3.5-5 LU ha⁻¹ (TIMPONG-JONES *et al.*, 2013). Compared to that, the native grasslands (NGLs) are infrequently grazed in a nomadic fashion, and no records about their stocking densities are recorded, yet grazing is limited to the dry season when feed is scarce at the GSG plots.

Table 2.1. Brief description of land-use types at the study site					
Land-use type	Description				
Native grassland (field) (NGL)	Dominant plant species included <i>Panicum maximum, Cyperus spp, Talinum triangulare</i> and <i>Panicum decumbens</i> and intermittent occurrence of shrubs, including <i>Acacia sp.</i> and <i>Azadirachta indica</i> , lightly grazed by sheep and cattle; aboveground biomass yield is 5.7 – 7.2 t ha ⁻¹ (TIMPONG-JONES <i>et al.</i> , 2013); uncultivated since the last 50 years				
Arable crop production (ARC) (field)	<i>Three fields; sown crops: Manihot esculenta, Arachis hypogea, Zea mays</i> and <i>Vigna unguiculata,</i> as well as fodder grasses and legume herbs; two fields utilized zero tillage, while one was fully tilled; only one of the fields received fertilizer				
Grazed-seeded grasslands (GSG) (field)	Single-species pastures <i>-Brachiaria brizantha</i> , <i>B. ruziziensis</i> and <i>Digitaria de-</i> <i>cumbens</i> ; grazed rotationally by Djallonke sheep (25-37 kg adult weight) and Sanga cattle (300-330 kg adult weight).				
Cut–use forage plots	Monoculture (25-30 m ²); 38 species of grasses (CG), 11 species of legume herbs (CLH); seven species of legume trees/shrubs (CLTS) and three species of non-legume trees/shrubs (CNLTS), respectively, no soil amendments. The plots were weeded to maintain plot purity and harvested twice in the wet season and once in the dry season. Prostate and erect grasses and herbaceous legumes were harvested at 6-10 cm and 10-20 cm high, respectively, while shrubs were defoliated at 30 cm high. Annual dry matter yields (mean±sd) from the forages were 8.63 ± 3.57 , 4.08 ± 1.41 and 6.53 ± 2.17 Mg ha ⁻¹ for shrub/trees, legume herbs and grasses, respectively.				

The site was selected due to its suitability for agriculture, its proximity to the capital city and its vegetation and climate, representing the most significant grassland type of Ghana (Guinea savannah). Ostensibly, the site had a uniform land usage until parts were converted for different uses in 1966 and subsequent years (Figure 2.1). Briefly, the land uses we encountered comprised arable field crops and grazed–seeded grasslands (both at field scales with at least 1 ha) and a fodder bank that also served as a forage botanical garden housing plant species brought from all over the world. Our study considered 59 species relevant to forage production (Appendix A Table A1) and represented four plant functional groups: cut–use grasses (38 species), cut–use legume herbs (11 species), cut–use legume trees and shrubs (seven species) and cut–use non-legume trees and shrubs (three species) at plot scales (25–30 m²). Several species' accessions were present, and we sampled 72 plots from the 59 species. The management practices associated with the land use types are summarized in Table 2.1.
2.2.2 Soil sampling

Field/plot selection was based on the following two main criteria: (I) that the field was established in 1966 and (II) that the management remained relatively stable over the 50-year time frame. Information regarding the management of fields and biomass productivity of the species was obtained from the farm documentation. Plots/fields that underwent some management changes or were re-established for any reason were omitted from the study. Consequently, there were no replicated plots, as in a traditional agricultural experiment, in the case of the cut–use forage species to control for variation. Hence, we categorized the forage species into functional groups, with each functional group's species constituting a pseudo replicate.

Soil samples were taken from the native grasslands and arable crop fields by zone-based composite sampling, where we divided the fields into three zones (northern, central and southern). We randomly selected three paddocks out of the nine for soil sampling from the seeded-grazed paddocks. We collected 10–20 subsamples (depending on the zone's size), spread evenly across each zone in a zigzag pattern, to constitute a composite sample, with each composite soil constituting a replicate. The sampling procedure for the plot-scale samples followed the recommendations by (SAIZ & ALBRECHT, 2016). Sampling locations were determined by roughly locating the first at the centre of the field, with three replicates laid out according to a pattern of three axes separated by 120° to a primary axis pointing north. Replicates were selected along these axes at an approximate midpoint between the centre of the field and its boundaries.

Before any sampling, surface litter was removed by hand. Then, soil samples were taken for each sampling plot or field (0–30 cm soil depth) using a soil probe of 1 in. (25.4 mm) diameter. Replicate samples obtained were bulked and thoroughly mixed, and a representative sample was taken in a zipped polythene bag for analysis. Due to the higher number of accessions, the total number of soil samples obtained from the cut–use forages was 72, derived from 59 species. For the estimation of soil bulk density, three to eight sets of soil samples were taken from each experimental unit (replicate plots/fields), depending on size, using a stainless steel core sampler at depths of 0–5, 5–10, 10–15, 15–20 and 20–25 cm. Soil bulk density and C stocks were estimated according to methods by (GUO & GIFFORD, 2002).

2.2.3 Soil analyses

Soil samples meant for C and nutrient analysis were initially oven-dried at 30 °C for 48 h. Dried samples were sieved with a 2 mm sieve to remove coarse particles and plant roots. Sieved samples were milled and stored in a desiccator before analyses. Soil samples were analyzed for C

and total soil N (*t*N) with the C–N analyzer (Vario MAX CN; Germany), using aspirin (50 mg; N - 9.7%; C – 34.0%) and a standard soil sample (1 g; N – 1.2%; C – 1.4%) after every ten tests of soil samples to aid in the calibration of the equipment. Bulk density was estimated after oven-drying at 105 °C. It was assumed that soil samples did not contain inorganic C because pH values were less than seven and because no liming or any other amendment has been carried out during the past 50 years; therefore, total C was considered SOC. Additional randomly performed HCl tests confirmed this assumption.

Soil pH was determined according to methods by (WIESMEIER *et al.*, 2012). Soil pH was measured directly with a pH meter (microprocessor pH/ION meter; PMX 3000; WTW) after adding 0.0125 M CaCl_2 solution to each sample in the ratio of 1:2.5 (soil/CaCl₂ solution). Plant available P (*a*P) and exchangeable K (*e*K) were extracted from 1 g air-dried fine soil (<2 mm) using the Bray 2 solution, with the reagents being 0.1 M HCl and $0.03 \text{ M NH}_4\text{F}$ (BRAY & KURTZ, 1945). *e*K was determined using flame photometry, and *a*P was measured calorimetrically at 882 nm (MILLER & ARAI, 2016) after a reaction with ammonium molyb-date and the development of the molybdenum (Mo) blue colour (within 30 min).

2.2.4 Plant sampling and proanthocyanidin analyses

Forage samples for CTs determination were harvested during the late annual growth stage. Sampling included leaves and leaf stalks from dicots, which consisted of legume herbs and legume and non-legume tree and shrub species (Appendix 2.1). After cutting, samples were immediately cooled on ice before being freeze-dried, milled with a ball mill and stored in a freezer at -28 °C until further analyses. Condensed tannins (CTs; synonym - proanthocyanidins), which consisted of extractable CTs (ECTs), protein-bound tannins (PCTs) and fibrebound tannins (FCTs), were determined according to methods prescribed by (TERRILL et al., 1992). Despite newer analytical techniques being available, TERRILL et al. (1992) provide the benefit of separating again between protein- and fibre-bound tannins, and hence, this was considered the most suitable method. Extractable condensed tannins (ECTs) were extracted from 20 mg plant samples using an acetone and water mixture (80:20, v/v), vortexed for 5 min and shaken on a plenary shaker (280 min-1) at 4 °C overnight. The samples were then centrifuged for 10 min (14 000 rpm) and decanted into 2 mL Eppendorf tubes. Residues were extracted again, using the same set-up, using the plenary shaker now shaking for three h, and centrifuged, and the supernatant was decanted on top of the first extract. Acetone was evaporated in each case in an Eppendorf concentrator plus (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at room temperature for 90 min. Extracts were frozen overnight and, after that, freeze-dried for 24 h. A total of 1 mL of ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC)-grade water was added to the freeze-dried extracts, vortexed and filtered with a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filter ($0.2 \mu m$).

Protein-bound condensed tannins (PCTs) were extracted twice from the residues by adding 10 g L-1 sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and 50 g L⁻¹ 2-mercaptoethanol in 10 mM Tris-Cl adjusted to a pH of 8, vortexed for 5 min and then placed in a continually boiling water bath for 60 min and cooled on ice to room temperature. The mixture was then centrifuged for 10 min, and the supernatant was decanted into 2 mL Eppendorf tubes in triplicates. For analysis, 960 µL of n-Butanol and HCl (95:5, v/v) solution was added to 240 µL of the extract of either ECTs or PCTs, vortexed for 5 min and heated in an oven at 90 °C for 90 min; after that, it was cooled on ice and transferred to a spectrophotometer (Libra S22; Biochrom) and analyzed at 550 nm to determine the CT concentrations. For the fibre-bound condensed tannin (FCT) concentration in the samples, 1200 µL of BuOH/HCl (95:5, v/v) and 120 µL SDS was added to the residues from the extracts, vortexed for 5 min, centrifuged for 10 min and heated in an oven for 90 min at 90 oC before being cooled on ice to room temperature, centrifuged for 1 min and then measured in the spectrophotometer at 550 nm. Total CT (tCT) was calculated as the sum of ECTs, PCTs and FCTs for each candidate species. Annual tCT was estimated by multiplying tCT with the mean biomass yield of each functional group. The data set and a brief description of the site, materials and methods adopted to generate data are available in NYAMEASEM et al. (2020).

2.2.5 Calculations and statistics

Due to the absence of data regarding the farmland's initial SOC stocks, we estimated per cent changes in soil C stocks using a pseudo baseline. This pseudo baseline is the natural grassland, representing the SOC and nutrient stocks that all other sampled areas would have had they not been converted to farmland and agricultural research plots. We believe this assumption to be valid as all sampled areas were part of the same natural grassland until being converted, around the same time, to their current land use. With environmental conditions and atmospheric deposition being identical for all sites due to their proximity, the variation among plots is assumed to result from the land-use change only. Thus, the natural grassland region without land-use change and, thus, represents the baseline against which all sites were tested.

We performed a one-way ANOVA using generalised linear models to analyse the effect of the different land-use types on SOC and soil properties. Also, we tested the effects of plant functional groups (legume trees/shrubs, legume herbs and non-legume trees/shrubs) and plant parts (leaves and leaf stalks) on the polyphenol content of the forages in a two-way ANOVA, using linear mixed effect modelling, with species as a random factor. P values were estimated based on the type II sum of squares (SSs) in the one-way ANOVA and type III SSs in the two-way ANOVA due to the dissimilarity of the sample sizes (FOX & WEISBERG, 2019). In cases where P values were significant, Tukey's post hoc tests, using the "Ismeans" function of the "multcomp" package (BRETZ et al., 2011), were performed to permit pairwise comparisons of the means. Before the ANOVA, data were checked for normality and the homogeneity of variance. In abnormality or heteroscedasticity cases, data were log-transformed or corrected using "White-adjusted heteroscedasticity-corrected standard errors" from the "car" package of R. Where data normality or the equality of variances were not confirmed even after log transformation, a non-parametric test (Kruskal-Wallis) was used, followed by Dunnett's post hoc tests to permit pairwise comparisons of means of the unequal sample sizes (ZAR, 2010). Full correlations, a bivariate (linear and exponential) and a full hierarchical model were fitted to the data sets to establish relationships between the measured variables and SOC and identify the main effects and interaction terms as SOC predictors. Akaike's information criterion, with a small sample bias adjustment (BURNHAM et al., 2011)(AICc; AKAIKE, 1985;), was estimated and used to identify the best-fit model. All the statistics were performed using R (R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM, 2019).

2.3 Results

2.3.1 Land use change, soil C stocks and soil chemical properties

The different land-use types had varying effects on soil C stocks in the upper soil layer (0– 30 cm), with group means ranging from 19.9 to 36.8 Mg C ha⁻¹ and a mean and standard deviation of 32.9 ± 10.2 Mg ha⁻¹. Whereas SOC stocks did not differ between the agricultural soils and native grassland soils (p>0.05), grazed–seeded grassland soils had 86 % and 77 % less (p<0.01) SOC density relative to cut–use trees/shrubs and grasses, respectively (Figure 2.3a). On the other hand, SOC was 36 % higher for cut-use forage systems among the cut–use grasses relative to legume herbs (p<0.05).



Figure 2.3. Barplots (with error bars) of SOC stocks (A) and per cent changes in SOC stocks (B) due to native grassland conversion under different land-use scenarios. [Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences between the land-use types (p<0.05; se = 1.13); CLTS= cut-use legume trees/shrubs; NGL= native grassland; CG= cut-use grasses; ARC= arable crops; CNLTS=Cut-use non-legume trees/shrubs; CLH= cut-use legume herbs; GSG = grazed-seeded grasslands; broken horizontal line indicate the means].

Using the native grassland as a pseudo baseline, we observed SOC stock changes ranging from -44% to 5 %, with a mean loss of -15%, and the most considerable negative change occurring in grazed–seeded grassland soils (Figure 2.3b). However, there appeared to be near-zero to positive changes in cut–use grass and legume trees/shrub soils. Among the cut–use fodder groups, SOC losses in soils of cut–use legume herbs were 26% greater relative to cut–use grass soils. The mean (\pm sd) OM, tN, aP and eK concentrations observed for the site were $1.32 \pm 0.41\%$, $0.057 \pm 0.02\%$, $10.4 \pm 11.9 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ soil and $68.1 \pm 36.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ soil, respectively. Whereas tN, aP and eK concentrations among the native grassland and agricultural soils (p>0.05), cut–use grasses soils contained 37% more N compared to legume herb soils (Table 2.2).

			Grazed-		Cut-use f	orage producti	on			
Soil chemi- cal trait	Native grassland	Arable crops	seeded grassland	Grass	Legume herb	Legume trees/shrub	Non-leg- ume tree/shrubs	P-value	SEM	
tN, Mg ha ⁻¹	2.52 ^{ab}	2.48 ^{ab}	1.75 ^{ab}	2.66 ^b	1.94 ^a	2.55 ^{ab}	1.93 ^{ab}	0.0196	0.09	
AvP, kg ha ⁻¹	89.6 ^{ab}	185 ^b	15.0 ^a	37.8 ^a	28.1ª	112 ^b	73.6 ^{ab}	0.0001	8.23	
K, Mg ha ⁻¹	6.96 ^{ab}	16.6 ^b	3.34 ^a	7.42 ^{ab}	4.45 ^a	7.43 ^{ab}	4.80 ^{ab}	0.0028	0.52	
C: N ratio	14.4 ^b	13.6 ^{ab}	11.3ª	13.5 ^{ab}	13.6 ^{ab}	14.6 ^{ab}	15.2 ^b	0.0489	0.22	
Soil pH	5.69 ^{bc}	5.80°	4.71 ^{ab}	5.35 ^{bc}	4.79 ^a	5.27^{abc}	5.42 ^{abc}	0.0037	0.05	

 Table 2.2. Soil organic carbon, soil macro-nutrients and other chemical properties of soils under different long-term management

tN= soil total nitrogen; Av. P= plant-available phosphorus; K= soil potassium. ^{abc}Mean values in the same horizontal row with different letters are significantly different (p< 0.05); SEM, standard error of the mean

Arable crop soils contained 5, 7 and 12 times more *a*P density compared with cut–use grass, herbs and seeded–grazed soils (p<0.001), respectively (Table 2.2), while *e*K density in arable crop soils was 5 and 4 times higher (p<0.05) compared with seeded–grazed and legume herb soils, respectively (Table 2.2). CN ratio was 27 % higher in native grassland soils (p<0.05) compared with seeded–grazed and was lower (p<0.05) for grazed–seeded grasslands compared with non-legume trees/shrubs. It appears that C: N ratio values were not different among the forage species (p>0.05). Soil pH ranged from moderately acidic to neutral and was affected by the land use types, was more acidic in the cut–use herb soils relative to the grasses, arable crop and native grassland soils, and was more acid for seeded–grazed fields relative to arable crop soils (p<0.05).

Table 2.3. Condensed tannin (CT) profile and CT yield from three plant functional groups growing at the experimental site

Eunstional group	(tCT yield*			
Functional group	ECTs	PCTs	FCTs	tCTs	(kg ha ⁻¹ yr-1)
Legume herbs	2.63ª	2.60 ^a	2.78 ^a	8.01 ^a	32.7 ^a
Legume trees/shrubs	10.6 ^b	8.52 ^b	6.07 ^b	25.1 ^b	217 ^b
Non-legume trees/ shrubs	5.83 ^{ab}	3.24 ^{ab}	4.79 ^{ab}	13.9 ^b	120 ^b
<i>P</i> -value	< 0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
SEM	1.06	0.72	0.65	1.99	16.9

ECTs= extractable condensed tannins; PCTs= protein-bound condensed tannins; FCTs= fibre-bound condensed tannins; tCTs= total condensed tannins (ECT+PCT+FCT); By plant functional groups, values in a same column with different letters are significantly different. *Annual tCT yield was estimated using the mean annual dry matter yields

2.3.2 Plant secondary metabolites in cut-use forages

The CT distribution in the forages was affected by plant functional groupings (p<0.001) but not by the part of plant analysed or by plant group–plant part interaction terms (p>0.05). Accordingly, the functional group effect on CT distribution in the forages is presented in Table 2.3. ECTs, PCTs and FCTs in the legume herbs were 4, 3 and 2 times, respectively, lower (p<0.001) compared with legume trees/shrubs but not with non-legume trees/shrubs (p>0.05). However, *t*CT per dry matter (DM) and total annual *t*CT yield were 2–3 times and 4–6 times, respectively, higher (p<0.001) in both trees/shrubs compared with the herbs (Table 2.3).

2.3.3 Relationships between soil organic carbon and soil/plant chemical parameters

Pairwise correlation between the measured variables suggested significant (p<0.01) positive associations between SOC and all the soil chemical properties (Table 2.4), with the strongest association between SOC and tN (r – 0.86). Although the CT variables correlated positively with SOC and tN, the associations were weak and insignificant, except for annual tCT yield (Table 2.4). Whereas tN, eK and C:N ratio related exponentially with SOC, aP and soil pH

related linearly with SOC (Figure 2.4a–e). Generally, higher tN,aP and soil pH appeared to be associated with higher SOC stocks; however, there appears to be a weaker association between SOC and tN at higher soil N concentrations. Whereas the response of SOC to tN was similar for non-legume trees/shrubs, grasses and legume herbs (mean slope=– 10.5), it was about 36 % higher compared with legume trees/shrubs (slope = 14); see Figure 2.5.

Table 2.4. Pearson correlation coefficients showing the relationships between soil and plant chemical parameter

	Soil pH	ECTs	PBCTs	FBCTs	tCTs	AnntCT	aP	eК	SOC	tN
CN ratio	0.01	0.24^{*}	0.15	0.21*	0.24^{*}	0.33*	0.18	0.14	0.29**	-0.22*
Soil pH		0.02	-0.05	-0.11	-0.04	0.28^{*}	0.24^{*}	0.30**	0.53***	0.55***
ECTs			0.59^{***}	0.66***	0.90^{***}	0.84^{***}	0.22^{*}	-0.04	0.14	-0.01
PBCTs				0.66***	0.84^{***}	0.78^{***}	0.22^{*}	-0.04	0.12	0.02
FBCTs					0.87^{***}	0.63***	0.05	-0.08	0.01	-0.13
<i>t</i> CTs						0.93***	0.20^{*}	-0.06	0.11	-0.04
AnntCT							0.31*	0.39**	0.47^{***}	0.29^{*}
aP								0.27^{**}	0.29^{**}	0.19^{*}
eК									0.62^{***}	0.55***
SOC										0.86***

ECTs= extractable condensed tannins; PCTs= protein-bound condensed tannins; FCTs= fibre-bound condensed tannins; tCTs= total condensed tannins (ECT+PCT+FCT); AnntCT = annual tCT yield; Significance levels *<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001.

Higher soil K density was associated with higher SOC stocks, but amounts beyond 16 Mg ha⁻¹ appeared to depress SOC accumulation, whilst the relationship between SOC and C: N ratio appeared positive only beyond a ratio of 15 (Figure 2.4c–d). SOC related exponentially with *t*CT yield, with generally higher biomass CTs associating positively with SOC at increased rates beyond 200 kg ha⁻¹ (Figure 2.6). A full regression model predicting SOC density using the measured soil and plant chemical variables as predictors showed significant effects of *t*N, *a*P, *e*K and *t*CT and *a*P × pH and *t*N × *a*P × *e*K interaction terms (*p*<0.05) in the model, with *t*N having the most significant effect (Table 2.5).



Figure 2.4: Bivariate regression curves showing the relationship between SOC and the measured soil variables. The grey band shows the 95 % confidence interval; CLTs = cut-use legume trees/shrubs; NGL= native grass-land; CGs = cut-use grasses; ARCs= arable crops; CNLTs = cut-use non-legume trees/shrubs; CLHs= cut-use legume herbs; GSGs = grazed-seeded grasslands



Figure 2.5: Relationships between SOC and soil total N as influenced by plant functional groups. CLTs = cut-use legume trees/shrubs; CGs = cut-use grasses; CNLTs=cut-use non-legume trees/shrubs; CLHs = cut-use legume herbs

Table 2.5. Results of full regression model to explain the status of soil organic carbon density at the study site ($R^2 = 0.848$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.853$, AIC_C = 698.60*)

Source	Degrees of freedom	Type III sum of squares	F value	Pr(>F)
tN	1	2694	144.9	0.000
aP	1	73.53	3.955	0.049
еK	1	414.1	22.27	0.000
pH	1	34.99	1.882	0.173
tCT	1	230.6	12.40	0.001
<i>t</i> N x <i>a</i> P	1	28.62	1.539	0.218
<i>t</i> N x <i>e</i> K	1	3.316	0.178	0.674
<i>a</i> P x <i>e</i> K	1	202.1	10.87	0.001
<i>t</i> N x pH	1	56.44	3.036	0.085
<i>a</i> P x pH	1	116.7	6.277	0.014
<i>e</i> K x pH	1	9.120	0.491	0.485
$tN \ge aP \ge eK$	1	73.85	3.972	0.049
<i>t</i> N x <i>a</i> P x pH	1	13.26	0.713	0.400
<i>t</i> N x <i>e</i> K x pH	1	11.33	0.609	0.437
<i>a</i> P x <i>e</i> K x pH	1	11.76	0.632	0.428
$tN \ge aP \ge eK \ge pH$	1	33.69	1.812	0.181
Residuals	100	1859		

Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) (AKAIKE, 1985; BURNHAM & ANDERSON, 1992) with the small-sample bias adjustment (AIC_C = n[ln (SSE/n)] + 2K + [(2K*(K + 1))/(n-K-1)]) (HURVICH & TSAI, 1995; BURNHAM & ANDERSON, 2002); *t*N = total N, *t*CT= total condensed tannins, *a*P = available P.



Figure 2.6: A graph showing the stoichiometric relationship between SOC and leaf biomass CT. The grey band shows the 95 % confidence interval; CLTs = cut-use legume trees/shrubs; CNLTs = cut-use non-legume trees/shrubs; CLHs= cut-use legume herbs

2.4 Discussion

2.4.1 Land use change and carbon stocks

We observed a wide range of SOC stocks (17–64 Mg SOC ha⁻¹) across the study site's landuse types. The observed SOC is consistent with previously reported ranges of 10– 50 Mg SOC ha⁻¹ for vegetation gradients varying from the Sudanese–Sahelian savannah to a subtropical forest (BESSAH *et al.*, 2016; SAIZ *et al.*, 2012). Similar to previous reports (BES-SAH *et al.*, 2016; OLSON, 2013), the use of grassland for agriculture resulted in both positive and negative responses by soil C dynamics. (TAN *et al.*, 2009) projected changes of -4% to -23% in soil C stocks of cultivated savannahs of Ghana on a 100-year scale, depending on the climate change scenarios. Hence, the 15% loss of SOC in 50 years appears to be on the higher side. Nevertheless, there was an indication of C sequestration in the cut–use legume trees/shrub production.

Similarly, a previous study (SHANMUGAM *et al.*, 2018) reported a mean annual change of 0.67 ± 0.95 Mg C ha⁻¹ for tropical mineral soils of secondary woodland or savannah converted to cultivated pasture or cropland. Therefore, our observations are not surprising as the type of land management adopted after converting native vegetation affects soil C cycling and determines the soil's ultimate C storage potential (STAHL *et al.*, 2017; 'T MANNETJE *et al.*, 2008). From our study, SOC stocks of arable crop farming did not differ from the native grassland. Arable crop production is known to deplete SOC due to the tillage effect, facilitating SOC

cycling. Among the three arable crop fields considered in this study, only one of the fields occasionally adopted conventional tillage. However, crop production was on a rotational basis on that particular field and occurred only occasionally, i.e. tillage occurred only infrequently. Soils were manually minimum tilled on the other crop fields using simple farm implements such as hoes and sometimes herbicidal weed control. Thus, this is a much less invasive technique than regular ploughing (but a representative for many farms in that area), and hence, it appears that the net effect of the mixed management practices on the arable crop farms did not impact SOC stocks significantly.

The low SOC stock in grazed–seeded grasslands was slightly above half ($20 \text{ vs } 35 \text{ t SOC ha}^{-1}$) that of cut–use grasses and legume trees/shrubs, suggesting a utilization effect. However, the effect size of grazing on SOC stocks might depend on the interactions of several factors, including grazing intensity (MCSHERRY & RITCHIE, 2013). Overgrazing has been widely reported as responsible for the loss of SOC and decreased soil fertility in West Africa (SAIZ *et al.*, 2012; SCHÖNBACH *et al.*, 2011). Generally, higher grazing intensities decrease soil C and N by direct removal of above-ground herbaceous biomass, thus reducing the potential of CO₂ fixation in photosynthetic tissue and reducing below-ground C inputs through lower root production and higher root litter turnover (SEMMARTIN *et al.*, 2010). On the other hand, moderate grazing may increase tiller density and above-ground productivity, particularly in C4-dominated grasslands (MCSHERRY & RITCHIE, 2013) compared to cut–use systems, thereby leading to higher C inputs because of additions from crop residues and leaf senescence, assuming appropriate supplies of abiotic factors such as irrigation and nutrient supply. Thus, reducing grazing intensity may help protect the above-ground biomass of grasslands and improve soil structure and enhanced the accumulation of organic C (XU *et al.*, 2018).

The effect of plant functional differences on SOC stocks was evident in this study. We observed higher C stocks in fodder grass fields (36 % higher; p<0.01) than legume herb fields. Earlier reports (SHANMUGAM *et al.*, 2018; ALONSO *et al.*, 2012) suggested that the species composition of grasslands influences OM input quantity and C sequestration in the soil. Dry matter productivity is an essential factor that influences the accumulation of soil C. Above-ground biomass productivity is higher for fodder trees/shrubs relative to that of grasses and lowest in herbaceous legumes (Table 2.1). SOC stocks under the cut–use forages appear to follow a similar trend (Table 2.2; Figure 2.3). The greater ability of legume trees/shrubs to produce relatively high amounts of biomass (ADJOLOHOUN *et al.*, 2008; BARNES & ADDO-KWARFO, 1996), maintain soil fertility (as shown in Table 2.2), control runoff-related soil erosion

(FRANZEL *et al.*, 2014) and maintain SOM partly explains the higher SOC stocks observed under legume trees/shrubs relative to legume herbs.

Grasslands containing more C₄ grass species were reported to store more SOC than grasslands with more C₃ and legume species (YANG et al., 2019). The grass species considered in this study consisted mainly of C₄ grasses, whereas the legume herbs were mainly C₃ shallow-rooted plants. C4 plants possess morphogenic and architectural traits that enhance their ability to outperform C₃ plants, particularly under harsh environmental conditions (LATTANZI, 2010). For example, they tend to develop a high leaf area index, enhancing their ability to capture light, N and water compared to their C3 counterparts. Besides, C4 plants have higher photosynthetic efficiency in water and N use, yielding higher quantum productivity (TAYLOR et al., 2010) than C₃ plants. In addition, the inherently lower Rubisco concentration and the more lignified tissue in C₄ plants limit organic matter decomposition, thus producing more recalcitrant organic C (YANG et al., 2019). Moreover, C₄ plants are reported to partition C towards roots in N limited situations; thus, they can fix soil C under N stress situations (SAGE & PEARCY, 1987; LONG, 1999). Another reason could be that C₄ plants have a higher concentration of amino acids and organic acids in their root exudates than C3 plants (NABAIS et al., 2011), which could protect SOC and N from microbial decomposition. Therefore, the relative differences in biomass characteristics could be responsible for the observed differences in SOC stocks under fodder grass and legume herbs fields.

2.4.2 Impact of differential land uses and plant types on soil quality

We observed a higher N concentration in the soil of cut–use grass fields than legume herb fields, although legumes are known to fix atmospheric N in soils. Indeed, in the semi-arid and savannah ecosystems of sub-Saharan Africa, legume herb and legume browse species are reported to fix 8–217 and 61–643 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ atmospheric N, respectively (HASSEN *et al.*, 2017). Accordingly, legume-based systems can produce high biomass yield even in the absence of N fertilizer application, showing a high N cycling efficiency (SCHMEER *et al.*, 2014) and generally increasing soil N concentration. However, several factors may affect N fixation in legumes, including environmental conditions, N uptake by plants and soil pH (NUTMAN, 1976; SAGE & PEARCY, 1987). In addition, pure grass stands may show a high fraction of C and N allocation below-ground (LOGES *et al.*, 2018), particularly when the functional traits equilibrium theory of the roots is considered (BROUWER, 1983) and the stands have amino-acid-rich roots (NABAIS *et al.*, 2011), leading, potentially, to a more excessive N and C accumulation in the soil. These attributes of grasses might explain why soils in grass plots had

higher *t*N than the legume herbs. The fairly tight C: N ratio (14:1) observed for the agricultural systems reflects the stoichiometry of stable soil OM at the study site.

This study site has seen a general decline in soil fertility (tN and SOM), although there were marginal increases in available P and K compared with the values reported for the site by BARNES (1999). While P and K concentrations were moderate in arable crop soils, they were low in the other land use types (BATIONO et al., 2018; APAL AGRICULTURAL LABOR-ATORY, 2020). The relatively high P and K values observed in the food crop fields may probably be due to their annual supply through fertilizer application (Table 2.1). Cut-use grasses and legume herbs appeared to deplete soil P, but this effect was not apparent for legume or non-legume trees and shrubs. This trend might be partly related to differences in the root architecture. The grasses and herbs are shallow-rooted and thus exploit nutrients from within the upper soil depth, while the trees/shrubs have roots below the 30 cm soil depth considered in this study and might be less able to exploit nutrients extensively from the upper layer of the soil. The relatively low nutrient concentrations observed in the grazed-seeded grasslands matches the low C stocks (Table 2.2). It is particularly striking that P and K are much lower in grazed grassland despite greater excreta deposition associated with grazing. Although we could not ascertain the stocking rate on the grazed-seeded pastures, it appears that there was frequent overgrazing of the pastures, which is a regular feature in this region, exposing the soils to nutrient loss via erosion (BATIONO et al., 2018).

The soils were generally acidic, a common characteristic of tropical soils (Jayne, 2015). Associated effects of the <5.5 pH observed in grazed–seeded grasslands, cut–use legume herbs and non-legume trees/shrubs soils might cause aluminium and manganese toxicities. Low pH could also cause molybdenum, calcium, magnesium or potassium deficiencies and perhaps reduced microbial activity (APAL AGRICULTURAL LABORATORY, 2020). Among the land use systems, cut–use legume herbs and seeded–grazed soils appeared more acidic relative to the others. Legume plants commonly form symbiotic associations with rhizobia and accumulate most of their N through symbiotic N fixation. During this process, legume plants take up more cations than anions and release more H⁺ ions from roots to the soil, leading to low pH values in both the rhizosphere and bulk soil (YANG *et al.*, 2016; ZHAO *et al.*, 2009). This effect might differ between legume herbs and legume trees/shrubs due to their root architecture differences. Grazing fields are associated with high N and C returns from animal excreta, but C and N cycles might cause acidification in grazed fields; for example, nitrate leaching might increase the concentration of H ions, which might lead to decreased soil pH (RIDLEY *et al.*, 1990).

2.4.3 Condensed tannin concentration in cut–use forages as affected by functional group differences

The total CT concentration of below 67 g kg⁻¹ DM observed in this study is similar to values reported for tropical forages, with lower concentrations in legume herbs than for browse species (MUPANGWA *et al.*, 2000; SOTTIE *et al.*, 2016). CTs may have both positive and negative effects on livestock, depending on their concentration in the plants. The nutritional implications of CTs are particularly vital in the case of tropical shrubs and tree species, as high CTs are known to impair protein availability (JAYANEGARA *et al.*, 2019). Previous authors have reported higher values of more than 70 g CT kg⁻¹ DM for some tropical shrubs and trees (ROSALES, 1999; JACKSON *et al.*, 1996; PEREIRA *et al.*, 2018) which invariably limits their use as fodder for ruminant livestock.

The proportion of total CTs allocated to ECTs was similar to the range of 12 %–44 % reported by MUPANGWA *et al.* (2000) but lower than the 70 %–95 % reported for some tropical browse species (JACKSON *et al.*, 1996). Compared with other tropical forage species, the *t*CT shares of below 7 % indicate comparably low tannin concentrations, which indicates their suitability as potential feeds for livestock (JACKSON *et al.*, 1996; MUPANGWA *et al.*, 2000). However, further analyses on forage quality parameters and tannin composition and other plant secondary metabolites might be required to give reliable estimates of their digestibility value.

2.4.4 Relationships between SOC and soil and plant chemical properties

Our correlation and regression analyses (Figure 2.4; Tables 2.4 and 2.5) indicated significant relationships between the soil chemical properties and SOC. Similar to reports of (STAHL *et al.*, 2017), we found a close association between C and N. Nevertheless, in the literature, the relationship between N and SOC is ambiguous, with evidence of different responses of soil CO₂ fluxes to N levels in soils (YAN *et al.*, 2016), including increases (WANG *et al.*, 2015), decreases (JIANG *et al.*, 2010) and no significant differences (LI *et al.*, 2012). The N cycle is strongly interconnected with the C cycle as N is required for cell growth and division and, therefore, for biomass accumulation (and hence of C). Whereas (SIX *et al.*, 2002) attributed the positive relationship between soil C and N to protection by both macro-and micro-aggregates against mineralization, (WALDROP *et al.*, 2004) explained that greater N availability reduces the decomposition rate of SOC by regulating the production and activity of microbial

extracellular enzymes. Indeed, when N becomes available in N-limited soils, photosynthetic reaction increases, thus enhancing SOC storage, but when amounts of N are low, this may limit the CO₂ fertilization effect (LAL, 2018). Accordingly, (TAN *et al.*, 2009) observed that increasing N application to about 30–60 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ could positively change the soil C stocks of Ghana's cultivated grasslands. In the present study, the multiple linear regression model (Table 2.5) showed that *t*N had the most significant effect on SOC density and with a greater effect in size in legume trees/shrub species compared with the legume herbs, non-legume trees/shrubs and grasses (Figure 2.5).

Interaction effects involving N, P and K and pH and K were significantly associated with SOC stocks (p < 0.05), suggesting that N's effect on SOC sequestration might depend on P, K and the soil pH. The associative effect of N and P on SOC density was also reported in an earlier study (BRADFORD *et al.*, 2008), where P and N additions led to a more significant C sequestration in soils. In a simulated study (LI *et al.*, 2014), a combination of N and P fertilizers increased SOC storage and reduced microbial activity and C mineralization compared to adding N or P fertilizer alone or no fertilizer. In contrast, (GRAHAM *et al.*, 2014) reported a significant release of CO₂ when soil N increased. In the current study, if the relative proportions of soil nutrients impact SOC storage and soils deficient in P are less able to use N efficiently, this might increase N losses by gaseous emissions and leaching and contribute to the depletion of SOC pools.

According to linear mixed-effect regression models (Table 2.5), the theoretical basis suggested tCT concentration in above-ground biomass as one of the potential factors that might affect SOC storage at the site (Table 2.5). This observation partly confirms our hypothesis that above-ground CT concentration might be influential in C storage. Although CT concentrations in the soils were not considered in this study, we speculate that the higher CT content in legume trees/shrubs (Table 2.3) might have slowed the decomposition rate of soil OM (DONG *et al.*, 2016) to promote SOC accumulation (ADAMCZYK *et al.*, 2016, 2017; CHOMEL *et al.*, 2016; HALVORSON *et al.*, 2011; KAGIYA *et al.*, 2019; KRAUS *et al.*, 2003; TAMURA & THA-RAYIL, 2014). By extension, the higher potential of the trees/shrubs to produce more mass and more CTs per unit area might explain the higher SOC stocks under trees/shrubs relative to those for the herbs. However, the bivariate regression analysis showed that positive associations between *t*CT and SOC only occurred at concentrations above 200 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (45 mg kg⁻¹ DM). Although tropical herbs contained relatively higher CTs than their grass counterparts, the CT concentration might not be potent enough to impact SOC storage, as

shown in this study ($tCT = 10 \pm 8$; <45 mg kg⁻¹ DM). Although tropical herbs contained higher amounts of CTs than their grass counterparts, SOC density was lower in soils under the herbs compared with grasses. Even when the CT concentration in the biomass is low, it might be that, in the rhizosphere, it is higher due to accumulation. Below-ground biotic and abiotic conditions, including the presence of some fungi (e.g. *Basidiomycetes* and *Ascomycetes*) and bacteria species (e.g. *Pseudomonas*), temperature, pH, oxygen and substrate availability, are factors that affect the degradation of phenolic compounds in soils (MIN *et al.*, 2015). Although the effect of pH on polyphenol degradation is not consistent, under laboratory conditions low soil pH (<5) was shown to be optimal for extracellular enzymes involved in degrading phenolic compounds in soils (MIN *et al.*, 2015). In our study, soil pH was lower in soils under the legume herbs compared with the grasses (Table 2.2). This low soil pH might have facilitated a faster degradation of the potentially accumulated CTs and mitigated the assumed effect of CTs on SOC.

We observed significant positive associations between annual CT yield and all the measured soil parameters. A previous study (MUDAU *et al.*, 2007) demonstrated that, regardless of the season, application of N, P and K fertilizers increased the total polyphenols in bush tea (*Athrixia phylicoides* L.), suggesting that N, P and K limitation might affect the synthesis of CTs by plants and for SOC storage. Furthermore, the low nutrient density in the soils might partly explain the lower concentration of CTs in the forages compared to earlier reports on similar species (ROSALES, 1999; JACKSON *et al.*, 1996; PEREIRA *et al.*, 2018). Thus, the proportion of extractable CTs and bound CTs, even within species, might change with plant maturity and environmental conditions (JACKSON *et al.*, 1996) and might influence the forage's feeding value. Consequently, increased soil fertility might have some implications for CT concentration in forages and, thus, for livestock feeding and SOC storage.

We speculate that, at the farm level, where climatic and soil properties are relatively uniform, differences in land use might influence the quantity and quality of organic matter added to the soil. These variables might have induced plant-soil feedbacks to regulate soil C cycling at the micro-level, causing local variations in C stocks (CHEN *et al.*, 2018; POST *et al.*, 1982; SAIZ *et al.*, 2012).

2.4.5 Implications of the study

This study has shown that soil nutrients have implications for SOC input and removal and, by extension, for primary plant productivity and ecosystem functioning (MARQUES *et al.*, 2016;

POST *et al.*, 2012). However, most Ghanaian soils are inherently infertile (BATIONO *et al.*, 2018) as a result of leaching, soil erosion by rainfall $(0.6-0.9 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ and the fact that nutrients removed by crop harvest are not replaced by the corresponding amount of plant nutrients (BONSU, 1979; BATIONO *et al.*, 2018). Consequently, the low concentration of soil nutrients across the fields may partly explain the low SOC stocks observed for soils in the study site. These fragile soils would require sustainable agricultural land-use systems to ensure higher below-ground net primary productivity (NPP) for increased C stocks.

The forage legume herbs showed the lowest yields (Table 2.1), indicating low bioactivity compared with grasses, whereas the legume shrubs had the highest yields and, thus, captured a lot of N from the biomass. Besides, soils under the shrubs contained similar *t*N compared with grasses, suggesting that the tested forage legume herbs were not suitable species regarding biomass yield for forage and soil carbon sequestration. Therefore, legume shrubs should be preferred, as they provide more forage and ecosystem services. Invariably, our findings further extend the benefits of cut–use trees/shrubs as they already contribute to food security, incomes and livelihoods in Africa. Furthermore, these plants are deep-rooted, resistant to drought and maintain high protein levels during the dry season when high-quality feed is scarce (WAM-BUGU *et al.*, 2011).

2.5 Conclusions

We tested the hypothesis that the conversion of native grasslands can cause considerable losses in sub-Saharan Africa's soil C stocks. Although SOC stocks in the agricultural soils did not differ statistically from the native grassland soils, most of the land transformations resulted in declining SOC stocks, questioning the potential of such farms to achieve the 4 ‰ target. However, the observed wide range of SOC stocks suggests an enormous potential for SOC storage in the area. Our findings further extend the benefits of cut–use trees/shrubs as they already contribute to food security, incomes and livelihoods in Africa. The inclusion of cut–use shrubs/trees or their integration with grass fodder production could be researched further as they show the potential to store more C. All the measured soil chemical properties correlated positively and significantly with SOC, endorsing the fact that soil chemicals are essential drivers of NPP in ecosystems. Hence a boost in productivity is also most likely to result in a boost in SOC. Condensed tannins were positively associated with SOC and could be explored further to harness their potential to extend SOC residence time in soils. Inappropriate pasture management regarding livestock stocking rate and choices relating to grazing or forage harvest, species selection and nutrient management could affect plant CTs' transformation efficiency to soil CTs. We hypothesize that sustainable soil management practices, coupled with the adoption of CT-rich forages, might improve the SOC storage capacity of livestock production systems in this ecological region of Ghana.





Article

Nitrous Oxide Emission from Grazing Is Low across a Gradient of Plant Functional Diversity and Soil Conditions

John Kormla Nyameasem ^{1,2,*}, Carsten S. Malisch ¹, Ralf Loges ¹, Friedhelm Taube ^{1,3}, Christof Kluß ¹, Iris Vogeler ^{1,4}, and Thorsten Reinsch ¹

- ¹ Grass and Forage Science/Organic Agriculture, Christian Albrechts University, 24118 Kiel, Germany; cmalisch@gfo.uni-kiel.de (C.S.M.); rloges@gfo.uni-kiel.de (R.L.); ftaube@gfo.uni-kiel.de (F.T.); ckluss@gfo.uni-kiel.de (C.K.); iris.vogeler@agro.au.dk (I.V.); treinsch@gfo.uni-kiel.de (T.R.)
- ² Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Animal Research Institute, Achimota, P.O. Box AH20 Accra, Ghana
- ³ Grass Based Dairy Systems, Animal Production Systems Group, Wageningen University (WUR), 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands
- ⁴ Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, 8830 Tjele, Denmark
- * Correspondence: jnyameasem@gfo.uni-kiel.de

CHAPTER 3

Nitrous oxide emissions from grazing are low across a gradient of plant functional diversity and soil conditions

John K. Nyameasem^{12*}, Carsten S. Malisch¹, Ralf Loges¹, Friedhelm Taube¹³, Christof Kluß¹, Iris Vogeler¹⁴ and Thorsten Reinsch¹

¹Grass and Forage Science/Organic Agriculture, Christian Albrechts University, 24118 Kiel, Germany
 ²Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Animal Research Institute, Achimota, P.O. Box AH20
 Accra, Ghana
 ³Grass Based Dairy Systems, Animal Production Systems Group, Wageningen University (WUR), 6708 PB
 Wageningen, The Netherlands
 ⁴Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, 8830 Tjele, Denmark

Published in Atmosphere 2021, 12(2), 223; https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12020223

This chapter explored the potential of legume-based mixed pastures to mitigate nitrous oxide emission under grazing pressure. This chapter is an original work of the author of this thesis, from the experimental planning, the field and analytical work to the article's writing.

Abstract

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from pastures can vary significantly depending on soil and environmental conditions, nitrogen (N) input, as well as the plant's ability to take up the N. We tested the hypothesis that legume-based N sources are characterized by significantly lower emission factors than mineral N based dairy systems. Therefore, this study monitored N₂O emissions for a minimum of 100 days and up to two growing seasons across a gradient of plant species diversity. Emissions were measured from both grazed pastures and a controlled application of urine and dung using the static chamber method. About 90% of the simulated experiments' accumulated N₂O emissions occurred during the first 60-75 days. The average accumulated N₂O emissions were 0.11, 0.87, 0.99, and 0.21 kg ha⁻¹ for control, dung, urine patches, and grazed pastures, respectively. The N uptake efficiency at the excreta patch scale was about 70% for both dung and urine. The highest N2O-N emission factor was less than half compared with the IPCC default (0.3 vs 0.77), suggesting an overestimation of N₂O-N emissions from organically managed pastures in temperate climates. Plant diversity showed no significant effect on N₂O emission. However, functional groups were significant (p < 0.05). We concluded that legume-containing pasture systems without a fertilizer addition generally appear capable of utilizing nitrogen inputs from excreta patches efficiently, resulting in low N₂O emissions.

Keywords: plant diversity; nitrous oxide emission; grass-clover; rotational grazing; organic N fertilization

3.1. Introduction

Agriculture is susceptible to climate change, as global food systems are threatened by an increased risk of intra- and inter-annual yield variability due to the less predictable growing conditions (MYERS *et al.*, 2017). In addition, it is a contributor to climate change by greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Among the GHGs, nitrous oxide (N₂O) is about 265 times stronger in terms of the global warming potential compared with CO₂ (IPCC, 2014), as it destroys the ozone layer in the troposphere (PORTMANN *et al.*, 2012). The N₂O in the atmosphere was increasing linearly at a rate of 0.26% per year (TIAN *et al.*, 2015) and was estimated for 2007–2016 to be about 17.0 Tg N year⁻¹ (TIAN *et al.*, 2020). Agricultural soils are the foremost significant contributor to the global anthropogenic N₂O emissions (about 3.8 Tg N year⁻¹) due to the mineral or organic fertilizer application (TIAN *et al.*, 2020). Similarly, pastures emit N₂O, as grazing animals return high loads of N (200 to 2000 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) in urine and dung patches (SELBIE *et al.*, 2015), which exceed the use capacity of grasslands. The excess N results in losses via leaching, volatilization, and N₂O emissions (LUO *et al.*, 2018). Accordingly, pastures account for 86% of the net global N₂O emissions from grasslands, and almost 2/3 of these result from animal excretion (DANGAL *et al.*, 2019).

Generally, N₂O emissions from pastures are dependent on soil type, climate, N input, the sward composition, and grazing management (LUO *et al.*, 2018; REINSCH *et al.*, 2018). Particularly, soil moisture and ambient temperature influence N₂O emission significantly by modulating the microbial activity and transport of gases in soil (MCAULIFFE *et al.*, 2020; VAN DER WE-ERDEN *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, soil moisture affects nitrification and denitrification processes (DI *et al.*, 2014; VAN DER WEERDEN *et al.*, 2014). The N fertilizer application plays an important role (OENEMA & SAPEK, 2000). External N additions might increase N losses from the ecosystems (BURKE *et al.*, 2002) due to the potential N oversupply and the relatively fast N mineralization beyond plants' uptake rate. The external N fertilization use is restricted in organic production systems. Consequently, N₂O emissions are elevated in conventional production systems using high N–fertilizers per area (NÚÑEZ *et al.*, 2007) compared with legume-containing grassland swards (SCHMEER *et al.*, 2014).

Generally, the use of diverse pastures containing forage legumes is a promising strategy for the sustainable intensification of livestock production in northwest Europe (SCHAUB *et al.*, 2020). Multispecies pastures of different functional traits (particularly grasses and legumes) have the potential to provide ecological services, including the provision of primary productivity with

fewer nutrient inputs due to the improved niche complementarity and inter-species facilitation, resulting in "overyielding" effects compared to monocultures (NYFELER *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, legume-derived nitrogen has the additional advantage of providing a continuous and more synchronized N flow to meet the plants' requirements, thus reducing N₂O emission intensity (FUCHS *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, the additional inclusion of herb species in the pastures can further increase above- and belowground biomass by increasing the share of deeprooted non-leguminous species (CONG *et al.*, 2017). Besides, herbs, such as *Plantago lanceolata*, may reduce N₂O emission losses from grazed grasslands (DE KLEIN *et al.*, 2019; LUO *et al.*, 2018). Some plant species, such as *Plantago lanceolata*, may affect the soil's N cycling processes through root exudation of secondary plant metabolites, including biological nitrification inhibitors (BOWATTE *et al.*, 2018; DE KLEIN *et al.*, 2019). However, the soil-environment interaction effect might affect the degree to which plants mediate N cycling (PODOL-YAN *et al.*, 2020), and the potential of these species in mixtures is not yet fully understood (FUCHS *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, there is high uncertainty regarding the effects of the botanical composition of pastures on the GHG balance (FRANZLUEBBERS, 2019).

In accordance, recent studies (CHADWICK *et al.*, 2018; KROL *et al.*, 2016; MARSDEN *et al.*, 2016; SIMON *et al.*, 2018; THOMAS *et al.*, 2017; VOGLMEIER *et al.*, 2019) have reported low N₂O-N emission factors (EFs) for urine and dung patches in improved grazing management systems, compelling the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) to revise the IPCC (2006) default emission factor from 2 to 0.77% for wet temperate climates (IPCC, 2019). This study assessed the applicability of the revised default N₂O EF (IPCC, 2019) to an organic dairy farm located on sandy loam soil in northern Germany. Accordingly, we measured the N₂O emission from three mixed grasslands differing in diversity under actual and simulated grazing conditions. To simulate the highest possible N₂O emissions, we also measured N₂O emission strategies. Accordingly, we hypothesized that (i) grassland diversity affects N₂O emission from soils, and (iii) N₂O EF for cow excreta deposited on irrigated pastures is lower for systems with the legume as a sole N source compared with the IPCC default.

3.2. Materials and methods

3.2.1. Site characteristics

The experiments were conducted from 2018 to early 2020 at the organic research farm Lindhof (54°27′ N, 9°57′ E; elevation 27 m above sea level) in northern Germany. The soils at the site belong to the Eutric Luvisol soil class (FAO, 2014), with a mean pH of 6.4. The soil texture comprised 13% clay, 26% silt, and 61% sand in the 0–30 cm soil depth, soil bulk density of 1.56 g cm⁻³, 1.45 mg kg⁻¹ of NH₄-N, 1.13 mg kg⁻¹ of NO₃-N, total N of 0.12%, and organic carbon of 2.2% (Appendix B, Table B1). The study was located in a region of high grazing intensity due to the well-distributed precipitation across the year. The long-term (1981–2019) mean temperature and annual precipitation of the site are 9.7 °C and 859 mm, respectively.

The grasslands consisted of a binary (*prwc*), a ternary (*prwrc*), and a multispecies (*prwrch*)sward, with two to three different functional groups (grasses, legumes, non-legume herbs). As previously reported (LOGES *et al.*, 2020), the seed mixtures used were a binary mixture of 24 kg ha⁻¹ perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*, PR) + 4 kg ha⁻¹ white clover (*Trifolium repens*, WC) (PR + WC = prwc), a ternary mixture of 24 kg ha⁻¹ PR+ 2 kg ha⁻¹ WC + 6 kg ha⁻¹ red clover (*Trifolium pratense*, RC) (PR + WC + RC = *prwrc*), and a multispecies mixture of 16 kg ha⁻¹ PR+ 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ WC + 3 kg ha⁻¹ RC that additionally contained herbs (1 kg ha⁻¹ ribwort plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*) + 2 kg ha⁻¹ of each chicory (*Cichorium intybus*), sheep's burnet (*Sanguisorba minor*), caraway (*Carum carvi*), and 5 kg ha⁻¹ birdsfoot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*) (PR + WC + RC + herbs = *prwrch*).

Previously, the site was used for arable cropping in a 5-year crop rotation until 1993, when it was converted to "Bio-land" (prohibiting the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides) and subsequently managed as grassland (grass-clover mixtures). The grassland was managed in a mixed system (1–2 silage cuts followed by 3–4 grazing cycles by cattle). Two years before the start of the experiment, the site was ploughed to establish the pastures. They were managed organically and without any external nutrient input. Therefore, legumes were the primary source of nitrogen supply.

3.2.2. Environmental conditions

Weather parameters, including daily precipitation and soil temperature, were obtained from a meteorological station located within a 70 m radius of the experimental area. The daily maximum and minimum air temperature, daily precipitation, and water-filled pore space (WFPS) in

the soils during the studies are shown in Figure 3.1. The mean annual temperature at the site was similar for 2018 and 2019 (10.3 °C) but 6% higher than the long-term average (LTA, 1981–2019). The mean soil temperature was relatively higher in summer than in spring or autumn in 2018 and 2019. In both experimental years, soil temperature following excreta deposition was slightly below 10 °C in spring but rose quickly and was between 18–20 °C during summer excreta application and decreased to about 15 °C during autumn excreta applications (Figure 3.1).



Figure 3.1. Weather parameters and water-filled pore space (WFPS) during the experimental period. Blue (broken) lines demarcate the experimental years; non-irrig: Non-irrigated; irrig.: Irrigated.

Precipitation was 25% lower (647.4 vs 859.2 mm) and 7% higher (922.4 vs 859.2 mm) for 2018 and 2019, respectively, compared with the LTA. Whereas the spring of 2018 and 2019 had 1.6–2 times higher precipitation relative to the LTA, their summers and autumn of 2018 had reduced (20–40%) precipitation than the LTA. WFPS ranged between 21.3–71.4% (mean \pm SD; 43.5 \pm 16.0) in the simulated grazing plots following the excreta deposition in 2018. Accordingly, urine and dung patches experienced different environmental conditions after each excreta application event (Figure 3.1). Therefore, WFPS ranged between 58.2–86.8% (mean \pm SD = 77.8 \pm 7.7%) across seasons following the excreta application (Figure 3.1). Consequently, the mean WFPS was about 1.6 times higher for the irrigated pastures than non-irrigated ones.

3.2.3 Experiments

Three experiments were conducted to test the hypotheses set out for this study. *Experiment one* was set up to quantify N_2O emission from the three diverse pastures (*prwc, prwrc*, and *prwrch*) under grazing stress. Measurements spanned the period of April 2018 to January 2020. The second experiment was a simulated grazing trial which also started in 2018. Therefore, cow urine and dung with a known amount of N were applied to the three diverse pastures to establish their response to N_2O emission and to calculate N_2O emission factors (EF).

Table 3.1. Summary of the experimental designs and me	asurements
Experimental design	Measurements
Experiment 1: Grassland diversity affects N ₂ O emission	on from pastures under grazing stress
Static chambers were established on the three diverse	Gas sampling was done at least once a week to
pastures (prwc, prwrc and prwrch); in a randomized	measure N ₂ O; gas sampling started from April
complete block design, laid out in three replicate blocks	2018 to January 2020, weather parameters were
(18 experimental units in all); each replicate plot meas-	monitored;
ured 12 x 9 m; Jersey dairy cows rotationally grazed on	
a three-five-week cycle depending on the pastures'	
growth rate	
Experiment 2: Botanical, environmental factors deter	mine N ₂ O emission from soils
Static chambers arranged in a split-split plot design; the	Gas sampling started immediately after excreta
diverse grasslands as main plots, excreta treatments as	application; was done at least once a week for one
split-plots, and season as split-split plots, laid out in three	year, except in December where only three sam-
replicated blocks (27 experimental units per each set-	ples were taken; weather parameters, soil mineral
up); urine and dung applied once in spring, summer and	N concentration, biomass dry matter and N yields,
autumn; each application was measured for one year; du-	species composition, water-filled pore space
plicate plots for soil sampling.	(WFPS) were monitored.
Experiment 3: N ₂ O EF for cow excreta deposited on irr	igated pastures is lower for systems with legume
as sole N source compared with IPCC default.	
Static chambers were arranged in a split-plot design, us-	Gas sampling was done at least once a week for
ing the binary swards; with excreta treatments as main	100 days; weather parameters, mineral N concen-
plots and the season of excreta application as split-plot,	tration, biomass dry matter and WFPS were mon-
all laid out randomly in one block with four replicates	itored; sampling duration was 100 days.
(12 experimental units per each set-up); duplicate plots	
were used for soil sampling;	

The third experiment was also a simulated grazing trial established in April 2019 and was meant to establish N_2O EF under good soil moisture conditions (which the study site is known for), as 2018 was unusually dry. For this, only the binary mixture (*prwc*) was used. Finally, the pasture was irrigated using sprinkler method to simulate rain at least a day before gas sampling each week, depending on the WFPS (i.e., when WFPS fell below 60%), to maintain adequate soil moisture for pasture growth and denitrification. The summary of the experiments, including the specific hypotheses, study design, and measurements, are shown in Table 3.1.

3.2.3.1 Urine and dung collection, handling and application

We collected dung and urine from dairy cows kept under confinement systems (fed conserved fodder and concentrates) to ensure an almost constant N content of dung and urine throughout the year. Urine and dung specimens were collected immediately after morning milking (~05:00 h). Urine samples were taken midstream after manual stimulation of the vulva, while faeces samples were collected as the animal defecated. Faeces and urine were homogenized and stored immediately under refrigeration (<4 °C) and were applied within seven days. When removed from the refrigerator, the urine and dung were allowed to attain ambient temperature before application.

Homogenized and representative quantities of fresh urine and dung were applied once per measurement period (1 year or 100 days) in spring, summer, and autumn to avoid introducing new effects due to differences in excreta volume or mass (CARDOSO *et al.*, 2018). Urine was applied at a constant rate of 8.8 L m⁻² from a height of 40 cm and was expected to cover the entire collar by percolation (CICHOTA *et al.*, 2018), whereas each dung patch of 2.2 kg covered an approximate area of 0.041 m². The timing of excreta application, N concentrations, and total amount of N applied per ha are shown in Table 3.2.

]	Experin	nent 2				Experiment 3					
Parameters	Spr	ing	Sum	mer	Aut	umn	Spr	ing	Sum	mer	Autumn		
	U	D	U	D	U	D	U	D	U	D	U	D	
N (%)	0.44	0.49	0.52	0.42	0.46	0.39	0.54	0.45	0.51	0.36	0.43	0.41	
C:N	2.7	12.2	2.2	14.2	2.5	15.3	2.5	14.5	3.4	14.5	4.0	12.5	
Application rate (kg m ⁻²) *	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.2	
N loading rate (kg ha ⁻¹)	458	449	542	385	479	358	563	413	529	326	451	380	
Date of application	30.04.2018		11.07	11.07.2018 0		08.10.2018		06.05.2019		16.07.2019		09.10.2019	

Table 3.2. Cow excreta chemical characteristics and application rates.

U: Urine; D: Dung; * excreta was applied only once per measurement period.

3.2.3.2 N₂O sampling

We sampled gas fluxes using the closed static chamber method (Hutchinson and Mosier, 1981). This method consisted of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) collar (h = 15 cm, d = 60 cm) installed to a depth of 10 cm into the soil on each replicate plot. The chamber was made of PVC, 30 and 40 cm high for grazed pastures and simulated grazing, respectively, with an internal diameter of 60 cm. In each sampling session, the chamber was deployed onto the plastic collar between 10:00 and 12:00 h (REINSCH *et al.*, 2018), with the chamber's collar sealed with a rubber belt.

In addition, one air sample was taken with a 30-mL polypropylene syringe from the chambertop at 0, 20, 40, and 60 min after closure. The syringe's air sample was transferred to 12 mL pre-evacuated glass vials (Labco, High Wycombe, UK) and stored for a maximum of 2 weeks before analyses.

3.2.3.3 Soil and biomass sampling

Each micro plot for gas measurement had a duplication adjacently placed to evaluate WFPS, ammonium (NH4), and nitrate (NO₃) concentrations in the top 25 cm of soil. At least six soil samples were taken in this duplicated plot within the first two months following excreta application and at least once in the subsequent months, using a core sampler. The soil samples were stored immediately at -17 °C until further processing. Biomass was harvested from the rings and micro-plots in synchrony with the grazing cycle in *Experiment one*. Harvesting was done by cutting all forage within each subplot at a 5 cm height from the soil surface. Total fresh matter (FM) weight of each plot's biomass was recorded immediately after harvesting. After determining the fresh weight, two sub-samples (80–100 g) were taken, one for dry matter determination and nutrient analyses, while the second sub-sample was sorted into three functional groups (grass, legumes, and non-legume herbs).

3.2.4. Chemical analyses

Urine and dung samples were analyzed in duplicates by dry combustion using a C/N analyzer (Vario Max CN, Elementar Analysensysteme, Hanau, Germany) to determine total carbon and nitrogen concentrations, helium as a purge and carrier gas. Two sub-samples of dung were dried at 60 °C to determine the dry mass content. Biomass sub-samples were oven-dried to a constant weight at 55 °C to determine their dry matter. The dried samples were then milled through a 1-mm sieve (Cyclotech mill, Foss analytical, Hilleröd, Denmark) and later used to determine N concentration by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) using a NIR-System 5000 monochromator (Foss Analytical, Hillerød, Denmark). Soil samples were extracted with 0.01 M CaCl₂; NO₃ and NH₄ concentrations were determined photometrically, using a dual-channel continuous flow analyzer (Skalar Analytical Instrument, Breda, the Netherlands). We used 50–80 g of each soil sample to determine the gravimetric moisture by oven-drying the fresh samples at 105 °C to a constant weight. This index was used to correct soil moisture and to calculate WFPS. N₂O concentration in the sampled gas was analyzed by gas chromatography (model 7890a, Agilent Technology Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a ⁶³Ni electron-capture detector. Helium was used as the carrier gas and argon-methane as the make-

up gas. Three certified gas standards (300, 620, 1510 ppb) were measured regularly to determine the analytical precision (standard deviation of 10 repeated measurements <3 ppb). The gas chromatograph procedure used a detector temperature of 320 °C, a column temperature of 40 °C, and an injector temperature of 200 °C.

3.2.5. Calculations

We estimated biologically fixed N (BFN) using a model (Eq. 1; HØGH-JENSEN et al., 2004),

$$BNF = DM_{legume} \times N\% \times P_{fix} \times (1 + P_{root+stubble} + P_{transsoil} + P_{transanimal} + P_{immobile})$$
(Eq. 1)

where DM_{legume} is the accumulated amount of legume shoot dry matter above the standard defoliation height; N% is the concentration of N in the dry matter of the legume (kg kg⁻¹); P_{fix} is the fixed N₂ as the proportion of total N in the shoot dry matter of the legume; P_{root+stubble} is the fixed N₂ in the root and stubble as the proportion of totally fixed shoot N at the end of the growing period; Ptranssoil is the belowground transfer of fixed legume N2 located in the grass mixtures as the proportion of total fixed shoot N at the end of the growing period; P_{immobile} is the fixed N₂ immobilized in an organic soil pool at the end of the growing period as a proportion of fixed shoot N; P_{transanimal} is the above-ground transfer (by grazing animals) of fixed legume N₂ located in the grass in mixtures as the proportion of total fixed shoot N at the end of the growing period. To parameterize the model for N%, Pfix, Proot+stubble, Ptransoil, and Pimmobile, we used 0.0375, 0.845, 0.25, 0.15, and 0.315, respectively for swards with white and red clover, and 0.04, 0.80, 0.25, 0.25, and 0.38, for white clover only swards (HØGH-JENSEN et al., 2004), P_{transanimal} was set to zero. We considered the N applied, BFN, and annual deposition by precipitation (12.5 kg N ha⁻¹; (MINISTRY OF ENERGY, AGRICULTURE, THE ENVIRON-MENT, NATURE AND DIGITALIZATION OF SCHLESWIG HOLSTEIN, 2008) as N input and N yield in harvested biomass as N output. Patch residual N (PRN) was calculated as the difference between N input and output (PARKIN & VENTEREA, 2010). This parameter indicated the available N liable to denitrification and nitrification losses, leaching, ammonia emissions, and immobilization.

Volumetric water content (VWC) was calculated as the product of gravimetric water and soil bulk density (BD) (Appendix Table B1); and WFPS was calculated (Equation (1)) after considering VWC, the BD, and a particle density (PD) of 2.65 g cm⁻³:

$$WFPS = \frac{VWC}{1 - BD/PD} \times 100\%$$
(Eq. 2)

We calculated NO₃ and NH₄ intensities in the 0–25 cm soil depth for 100 days sampling duration by submitting the daily NO₃ and NH₄ data to linear interpolation. NO₃ or NH₄ intensity is an integrated measure of the accumulation of mineral N in the soil over time (SIMON *et al.*, 2018). N₂O-N fluxes were calculated for each treatment and replicate by linear regression between measured N₂O-N concentrations and time (PARKIN & VENTEREA, 2010). Hourly (Equation (3)), daily, cumulative N₂O emissions, as well as emission factors, were estimated according to methods described by KROL *et al.* (2016). The hourly N₂O-N flux (μ g m⁻² h⁻¹) was estimated by taking account of the slope (Δ c/ Δ t) of the line that describes the concentration increase of N₂O inside the chamber during 60 min deployment; the temperature, pressure (assumed as 1 atm), and internal volume of the chamber (V); and the area of micro plot delimited by the metal base (A); the molar weight (M) of N in N₂O; and the ideal gas constant (R):

$$F(hourly) = \left(\frac{\Delta c}{\Delta t}\right) x \frac{MxP}{RxT} x \left(\frac{V}{A}\right)$$
(Eq. 3)

Daily N₂O-N emission (g ha⁻¹ d⁻¹) was calculated by multiplying the hourly fluxes by 24 h. Cumulative emission of N₂O-N in each season (kg ha⁻¹) was estimated by integrating the fluxes over each season's monitoring period (area under the curve). N₂O-N emission factor (EF) was calculated using the IPCC (2006) recommended method (Equation (4)), which only considered the amount of N applied. However, as all swards contained legumes, we also estimated N₂O-N EF using (Equation (5)) based on total N input (modelled BFN + N applied):

$$EF = \frac{N_2 ON_{Treatment} - N_2 ON_{Control}}{N \ applied} \ x \ 100\%$$
 (Eq. 4)

$$EF_{1} = \frac{N_{2}ON_{Treatment/Control}}{Total \ N \ input} \ x \ 100\%$$
 (Eq. 5)

3.2.6. Statistics

We performed all statistical procedures and produced all graphs using R software (R DEVEL-OPMENT CORE TEAM, 2019). For *Experiment one*, the effect of grassland diversity on N₂O emission from grazed pastures was tested using a generalized linear model with the diverse grasslands as a fixed effect and the block as a random factor. For Experiments 2 and 3, N₂O emission, soil, and biomass variables were analyzed using a mixed-effect model with grassland, the season of excreta application and excreta type or control as fixed factors and blocks and replicates as random factors, except for 2019 data where the season of excreta application and excreta type were considered as fixed factors and replicates as random factors. As described, the treatment factors were used to analyze all the variables in a full factorial arrangement. In each case, the adequacy of the model was assessed by examining the appropriateness of residual plots. Variables were log-transformed where necessary before the analysis to ensure a normal distribution of residuals. We separated the effective means at p < 0.05 with Tukey's post hoc tests, using the "lsmeans" function of the "multcomp" package (BRETZ *et al.*, 2016). Regression tests (linear and quadratic) were performed to establish relationships between N₂O emission/EFs and the measured/estimated variables. The best-fitted models were selected based on their Akaike information criterion (AIC) with a small sample second-order bias correction (BURNHAM *et al.*, 2011).

3.3. Results

3.3.1 Plant yields, botanical composition and soil residual N

Grassland diversity significantly affected all the measured biomass traits (p < 0.05), except for the herbs (Table 3.3). Thus, whereas grass proportions in the swards decreased with increasing species richness, legume proportions were highest, intermediate and lowest for the ternary, multispecies and binary pastures, respectively. Consequently, BFN was highest, intermediate, and lowest for *prwrc, prwrch*, and *prwc*, respectively. In addition, the dry matter yield and herbage N were lower for binary swards than ternary or multispecies swards.

Urine and dung application significantly affected (p<0.05) most measured parameters but not dry matter yield or herb proportions (Table 3.3). Grass proportions and herbage N were highest, intermediate, and lowest for urine, dung, and control plots. However, legume proportions and the resulting BFN were lowest, intermediate, and highest for urine, dung, and control plots, respectively.

Seasonal differences in excreta application significantly affected legume proportions, dry matter yield, herbage N and BFN (Table 3.3). Whereas legume proportions only differed between spring and summer excreta treatments, dry matter yield and herbage N differed significantly between the three seasonal excreta applications, being highest, intermediate, and lowest for spring, summer, and autumn, respectively. In addition, BFN was higher for spring treatments compared with summer or autumn.

Grassland diversity, the season of excreta application and excreta type significantly affected (p < 0.05) N input, N uptake, and PRN (Table 3.3). N input was highest, intermediate, and lowest for *prwrc*, *prwrch*, and *prwc*, respectively. However, N uptake was lower for the binary swards

than the ternary or multispecies swards, while PRN was only higher for *prwrc* than *prwc* or *prwrch*. Similar to the legume proportion and resulting BFN, N input was highest, intermediate, and lowest for urine, dung, and control plots. However, N uptake was higher for urine-treated plots than the control plots, while the resulting PRN was lower for the control than urine or dung treated swards. N input and PRN differed significantly (p < 0.05) between the three seasonal treatments, being highest, intermediate, and lowest for spring, summer, and autumn, respectively, but N uptake was higher in autumn compared with summer or spring.

Doromatars		Grasslar	ıd	Т	reatmer	nt		Seasor	1	SEM
Tatalleters	prwc	prwrc	prwrch	Cont	Dng	Urn	Spg	Sum	Aut	
	Expe	eriment 2	?—Non-ir	rigated :	simulate	ed grazir	ıg			
Grass (%)	74 °	55 ^b	47 ^a	48 ^a	60 ^b	67 °	57	61	58	1.77
Legume (%)	18 ^a	42 °	33 ^b	41 °	30 ^b	22 ª	34 ^b	29 ª	30 ^{ab}	1.62
Herb (%)	-	-	18	4	7	7	6	6	7	1.14
Unsown (%)	8 ^b	3 ^a	2 a	6	3	3	3	5	5	0.65
DM yield (Mg ha ⁻¹)	9.8 ^a	11.7 ^b	12.4 ^b	11.8	10.7	11.3	12.7 °	11.2 ^b	9.9 ^a	0.26
Herbage N (g N kg DM ⁻¹)	35.4 ª	37.3 ^b	36.7 ^b	33.2 ª	36.7 ^b	39.5 °	31.2 ª	34.7 ^b	43.4 °	0.74
$^{\beta}$ BFN (kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)	106 ^a	279 °	241 ^b	294 °	187 ^b	146 ^a	263 ^b	190 ^a	174 ^a	13.8
N input (kg N ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)	416 ^a	589 °	550 ^b	307 ^a	597 ^b	651 °	578 °	512 ^b	465 ^a	21.0
N uptake (kg N ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)	344 ^a	432 ^ь	447 ^b	389 ^a	394 ^a	440 ^b	397 ^a	391 ^a	435 ^b	10.6
PRN (kg N ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)	67 ^a	152 ^ь	98 a	-87 ^a	198 ^b	206 ^b	176 °	116 ^b	26 a	18.9
NO ₃ (mg N kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	3.8	3.3	3.5	1.4 ^a	3.5 ^b	5.7 °	2.2 ª	3.1 ^a	5.4 ^b	0.37
NH4 (mg N kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	3.5	2.9	3.2	1.5 ^a	2.9 ^b	5.3 °	2.8	3.9	2.9	0.26
$^{\alpha}$ N ₂ O (kg N ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)	0.61	0.67	0.68	0.11 ^a	0.87 ^b	0.99 ^b	1.01 °	0.59 ^b	0.36 ^a	0.07
N ₂ O (kg N ha ⁻¹ 100 d ⁻¹)	0.46	0.57	0.53	0.03 ^a	0.71 ^b	0.83 b	0.92 ^b	0.48 ^a	0.17 ^a	0.07
g N ₂ O-N kg ⁻¹ N uptake year ⁻¹	1.83	1.73	1.67	0.29 ^a	2.38 ^b	2.56 ^b	2.88 °	1.55 ^b	0.80 ^a	0.21
N ₂ O-N EF (%)	0.17	0.19	0.19	-	0.19	0.18	0.30 °	0.16 ^b	0.09 ^a	0.02
N ₂ O-N EF ₁ (%)	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.04 ^a	0.14 ^b	0.16 ^b	0.16 ^b	0.11 a	0.08 ^a	0.01
	Ex	cperimen	t 3—Irrig	gated sin	ulated g	grazing				
NO ₃ (mg kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	4.64	-	-	1.2 ª	4.7 ^b	8.1 °	2.2 ª	3.2 ^a	8.5 ^b	0.77
NH4 (mg kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	4.80	-	-	1.8 ^a	3.5 ^b	9.1 °	4.6	5.0	4.8	0.65
$^{\alpha}N_{2}O \ (kg \ N \ ha^{-1} \ 100 \ d^{-1})$	0.81	-	-	0.05 ^a	$0.81 \ ^{\rm b}$	1.59 °	0.99	0.78	0.68	0.15
N ₂ O-N EF (%)	0.25	-	-	-	0.21 ª	0.29 ^b	0.24	0.28	0.23	0.04

Table 3.3. Mean values of the measured parameters showing the main effects of grassland diversity (grassland), excrete type (treatment), and timing of excrete deposition (season).

See Appendix B, Table B2 for the corresponding F- and *p*-values. Means with different letters are different at p < 0.05; SEM: Standard error of the pooled mean across grasslands, treatments, and seasons; β Estimated parameter. β BFN: Biologically fixed nitrogen; DM: dry matter; PRN: Patch residual N (PRN = N uptake – N input); N₂O-N EF1 was calculated as % of N input (BFN + applied N) emitted as N₂O-N; N₂O-N EF was calculated as % of applied N emitted as N₂O-N. * Accumulated for one year; α accumulated for 100 days. *prwc*: Perennial ryegrass and white clover swards; *prwrc*: Perennial ryegrass, white- and red clover + herbs. Cont=control; Dng=dung; Urn=urine; Spg=spring; Sum=summer; Aut=autumn

3.3.2 N₂O emissions

3.3.2.1. N₂O emissions from grazed pastures with a gradient in diversity

Daily N₂O-N fluxes from the grazed grasslands (Figure 3.2) ranged from 0.04–3.24 mg m⁻² d^{-1} (mean ± SD = 0.31 ± 0.33). The 8-month (May–December) mean (SD) flux was slightly

higher in 2019 (0.35 ± 0.46) compared with 2018 (0.29 ± 0.23). Grassland diversity had no apparent impact on the daily N₂O-N fluxes, except for 2019, where the highest peak was observed from the *prwrc* swards (Figure 3.2). The peaks usually followed precipitation events and appeared to occur a few days after a grazing activity.



Figure 3.2. Daily N₂O-N emissions from diverse grasslands grazed by dairy cows. prwc = perennial ryegrass and white clover swards; prwrc= perennial ryegrass, white- and red clover swards; prwrch= perennial ryegrass, white- and red clover + herbs. The arrows indicate grazing events.



Figure 3.3: Mean values of the N₂O-N emission from diverse pastures grazed by dairy cows in a 3–5 week rotational cycle. *accumulated for eight months (May–December); the annual mean was accumulated from May 2018 to April 2019. *prwc:* Perennial ryegrass and white clover swards; *prwrc*: Perennial ryegrass, white- and red clover swards; *prwrch*: Perennial ryegrass, white- and red clover + herbs.

Despite no grazing events, some appreciable emissions (up to about 1.0 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹) also occurred during the winter months. The mean (±se) annual N₂O-N emissions were 0.11 ± 0.01 kg N ha⁻¹ and 1.0 ± 0.08 kg N ha⁻¹ for the ungrazed and grazed pastures, respectively, with the differences between the pastures being insignificant (Figure 3.3; p > 0.05). The 8-month (May–December) average was slightly higher for 2018 compared with 2019 (0.71 ± 0.06 vs 0.56 ± 0.11 kg N ha⁻¹) with the differences between pastures between and years or their interaction being insignificant (Figure 3.3; p > 0.05).



Figure 3.4. Changes in the soil a) ammonium (mg N kg⁻¹ dry soil), and (b) nitrate contents (mg N kg⁻¹ dry soil) plus daily emissions of (c) nitrous oxide (mg N m⁻² d⁻¹) from A. non-irrigated pastures pooled across grasslands and B. irrigated pastures.

3.3.2.2 N₂O emission from dung and urine patches (simulated grazing)

3.3.2.2.1 Temporal nitrogen fluxes

Excreta application increased soil mineral N concentration in soils of non-irrigated and irrigated pastures across seasons, being higher for urine than dung (Figure 3.4A, B). Each excreta application resulted within the next days in a large increase in soil NH₄ and subsequently, in soil NO₃, but with varying magnitudes depending on the season and excreta type (Figure 3.4). As expected, the incidence of NH₄ peaks occurred earlier than NO₃ peaks as urine is first transformed into NH₄ and then into NO₃. Therefore, NO₃ flux from spring-applied urine patches was higher for irrigated pastures compared with the non-irrigated ones. For the non-irrigated pastures, summer excrete application resulted in the highest and most extended peaks of NH₄ concentrations when compared with spring and autumn (Figure 3.4A (a)).

NO₃ concentrations returned to background levels within the same timeframe as NH₄ in spring but appeared to persist over a more extended period in summer and autumn (Figure 3.4A(b)). Daily N₂O-N fluxes ranged between $-0.2-24.2 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ with the highest peaks in spring from urine patches (Figure 3.4A(c)). The fluxes were back to background levels between 1-3months, depending on the season (Figure 3.4A(c)). Subsequent fluxes following excreta application in 2018, particularly in summer and autumn, coincided with precipitation events after the initial peak fluxes (Figure 3.1). The highest NH₄ peak from the irrigated pastures occurred in spring but with a shorter lifespan than the other seasons (Figure 3.4B (a)). NO₃ concentrations in summer levelled to the background within the same time frame as NH₄ (Figure 3.4B (a,b)). Whereas NO₃ concentrations in soils were affected by the excreta type and excreta deposition timing, NH₄ concentrations were only affected by the excreta type (Table 3.3). Both NO3 and NH4 concentrations were highest, intermediate, and lowest for urine, dung, and control plots. NO₃ concentrations were three-four times lower when excreta was applied in spring or summer than autumn (p < 0.05). Daily N₂O-N fluxes ranged from -5-293 g ha⁻¹ d⁻¹ with the highest peak in spring from urine patches (Figure 3.4B(c)). Generally, peak N₂O-N fluxes' incidences were earlier from urine patches than from dung patches following the excreta application in all seasons. The fluxes were back to background levels between 1-3 months, depending on the season (Figure 3.4B(c)).

3.3.2.2.2 Cumulative N₂O emissions

Annual N₂O-N emission from Experiment 2 (non-irrigated grasslands) following 2018 excreta applications ranged from 0.071–0.130, 0.288–1.69, and 0.515–1.70 g ha⁻¹ d⁻¹ for control, dung, and urine patches, respectively. All the N₂O emissions were similar across grasslands, but N₂O emissions were affected by the type of excreta or time of application (p < 0.05; Table 3.3; Appendix B, Table B2). Accordingly, cumulative N₂O-N emissions were 8–10 times higher for urine or dung treatments than the control and were highest in spring and lowest in autumn, with 420 and 230 g N ha⁻¹ fewer emissions in summer and autumn, respectively.



Figure 3.5. Best models showing stoichiometric relationships between cumulative N₂O-N emission and (*a*) N input, (*b*) Patch residual N, (*c*) ammonium intensity of (*d*) nitrate intensity. a-b based on data from experiment 2, *c*-*d* based on the irrigated experiment (3); the grey region is 95% confidence interval.

However, grassland and season or treatment interaction effects were not significant (p > 0.05; Appendix B, Table B2). Also, N₂O-N emissions per unit N uptake was significantly affected (p < 0.05) by the excreta type and season of excreta application (Table 3.3; Appendix B, Table B2). Accordingly, this parameter was similar for urine and dung but higher than the control and was highest, intermediate, and lowest in spring, summer, and autumn, respectively.

Cumulative N₂O-N emissions from Experiment 3 (irrigated pastures, sampled for 100-days) ranged from 0.02–0.09, 0.256–1.118, and 0.958–2.615 kg ha⁻¹ from control, dung, and urine treatments (Table 3.3) with mean \pm SD of 0.81 \pm 0.91 kg ha⁻¹. The cumulative N₂O-N emissions from non-irrigated similar swards and a similar sampling duration were 0.46 \pm 0.55 kg ha⁻¹ (mean \pm SD). The cumulative N₂O emissions were significantly (p < 0.01) affected by the treatment type and treatment-season interaction effects (Appendix B, Table B2). Therefore, whereas cumulative N₂O emissions were higher for urine patches than dung in spring, the emissions were similar in summer and autumn but higher than the control plots (Appendix B, Figure B1). Whereas cumulative urine N₂O emissions were higher in spring than summer or autumn, the dung N₂O emission only differed between spring and summer (Appendix B, Figure B1).



Figure 3.6. Bar charts showing N₂O-N EF (% of applied excreta N emitted as N₂O-N) as affected by (a) grassland x treatment interaction effect in non-irrigated pastures and (b) season x treatment interaction effect in irrigated pastures. Means with different letters are significantly different [P<0.05; ^{ABC} being grassland effect, ^{jkl} being seasonal effect and ^{abc} being treatment effect].

3.3.2.2.2.1 Drivers of N₂O emission

We fitted linear and quadratic models around the measured cumulative N₂O emission from excreta patches using the botanical or soil N variables as predictors. For the non-irrigated pastures, an exponential model involving N input as the predictor variable explained 49% of the variations in annual N₂O emission (Figure 3.5a). However, predicting annual N₂O-N emissions using PRN (Figure 3.5b) yielded a relatively higher coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.77$). The cumulative N₂O-N emissions from the irrigated pastures were best described by the mineral N intensity across seasons, with a higher coefficient of determination for the NH₄-N intensity than the NO₃-N intensity ($R^2 = 0.84$ vs 0.65; Figure 3.5c,d).

3.3.2.2.3 N₂O emission factors

 N_2O-N EFs were calculated based on 100 days of sampling, higher than the IPPC recommended 30 days threshold. Calculating N_2O-N EF based on (Equation (5)) reduced the N_2O-N
EFs by 16% across grasslands, excreta type, and seasons of its application (Table 3.2). N₂O-N EFs for the non-irrigated and irrigated pastures ranged from 0.01–0.35% (mean \pm SD = 0.16 \pm 0.11%) and 0.04–0.45% (0.25 \pm 0.14%), respectively. For the non-irrigated swards, N₂O-N EF was significant for the pasture and N source interaction (p < 0.05; Appendix B, Table B2, Figure 3.6a), with *prwc* swards having lower EF for dung compared with *prwrc*. There were treatment and season interaction effects (p < 0.01; Appendix B, Table B2) with the irrigated swards. Therefore, whereas the treatment effect was only evident in spring, N₂O-N EF was only higher for dung in summer than in spring (Figure 3.6b).

3.3.2.2.3.1 Drivers of N₂O-N EF

We tested the effect of the measured/estimated parameters on the calculated N₂O-N EF using data from Experiments 2 and 3 (the results are shown in Appendix AB, Table B3). We found that the soil temperature, precipitation, excreta N and C content, N loading, NO₃-N intensity, legume and grass proportions in the swards, sward N uptake, and PRN significantly (p < 0.05) affected N₂O EFs for the non-irrigated swards. However, for the irrigated swards, excreta C and N, N applied, and soil mineral N intensity, as well as the dry matter yield, significantly affected N₂O-N EF (p < 0.05; Appendix B, Table B3). In addition, the increasing grass proportions resulted in lower N₂O-N EF (Figure 3.7a), while legume proportions >40% resulted in increased N₂O-N EF (Figure 3.7b).

As expected, the N uptake (Figure 3.7c) was negatively correlated with N₂O-N EF, while PRN associated positively with a higher N₂O-N EF (p < 0.05; Table 3.3; Figure 3.7d). Whereas soil temperature was significantly and linearly associated with non-irrigated N₂O-N EFs, the environmental factors did not associate significantly with irrigated N₂O-N EFs. However, using pooled data from both experiments for conducted regression approaches showed that WFPS and soil temperature were most related to N₂O-N EF within the first 15 and 60 days following excreta application, respectively, and were quadratic functions (Appendix B, Table B4; Figure 3.7e, f). It appears N₂O-N EF only increased when WFPS increased above 60% (Figure 3.7f) and when soil temperatures increased above 15 °C (Figure 3.7f).



Figure 3.7. Graphs showing stoichiometric relationships between N₂O-N EF from pastures and (a) grass or (b) legume proportion, (c) N uptake, (d) PRN, (e) water-filled pore space and (f) soil temperature. The grey region is 95% confidence interval. a-d were pooled across grasslands; e-f pooled across experiments 2 and 3. Individual dots indicate replicates of dung and urine patches.

3.4. Discussion

3.4.1 Plant yields, botanical composition and soil residual N

We did not consider yields and forage uptake from the grazed plots (Experiment 1). However, a previous report (LORENZ *et al.*, 2020) showed high forage production from these fields (9.86–11.64 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). As expected, dry matter forage production and N uptake were higher in the diverse *prwrch* pastures than the binary *prwc* pastures in Experiment 2. The higher BFN inputs might partly explain this difference in the *prwrch* pastures due to their higher legume (N) yield (Table 3.3). The similar dry matter production of *prwrch* and *prwrc* despite the higher N input in the mixture without herbs might be due to the higher ability of multispecies swards to utilize resources for growth efficiently. Previous studies reported higher below and above-ground biomass yield more diverse than less diverse swards (CONG *et al.*, 2017). The particularly low dry matter yield of *prwc* pastures can be partly explained by the inability of

white clover-containing swards to withstand drought (KOMAINDA *et al.*, 2020). The summer of 2018 was especially dry but had an extremely productive spring and an extremely productive long autumn, with excellent growing conditions for white clover. As a result, white clover suffered only six weeks of drought. The range of dry matter yield observed in this study agrees with the 9-12 Mg ha⁻¹ reported for similar grasslands under similar climatic and soil conditions, depending on the age or soil N status (LORENZ *et al.*, 2020; REINSCH *et al.*, 2020).

As the N concentration of both urine and dung could not be controlled, N loading rates varied across seasons and treatments with means (\pm SD) of 493 \pm 43 and 397 \pm 47 kg ha⁻¹ for urine and dung patches, respectively, but were similar across grasslands. Still, these differences appear negligible compared to the range of N loading rates of 200–2000 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ from urine and dung patches reported for dairy cows (SELBIE *et al.*, 2015). The excreta application increased the grass proportion in the swards but reduced the legume yield as N became more available (VINTHER, 2020). With this, the partner grass outcompetes the legume easily (LEDGARD & STEELE, 1992), especially the shallow-rooted white clover. This same argument could be offered to explain the low legume yield by *prwc* (Table 3.3).

BFN in grass/legume swards worldwide ranges $13-682 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$, depending on the legume persistence, yield, associated grass(es), or measurement method. Therefore, the BFN range (51–286 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) observed in this study could be considered normal. Furthermore, the N applied and N input correlated positively (but weakly; r = 0.27-0.33), while the dry matter yield, legume proportion, and BFN correlated more strongly (r = 0.63-0.76). This observation confirmed the critical role of BFN in dry matter production and supported the assertion that legumes deliver a more synchronized N to meet plant demand compared with the N supply from external sources and stimulate the over-yielding of biomass (FUCHS *et al.*, 2020; LÜSCHER *et al.*, 2014). Besides, the relatively high dry matter yield from the control plots compared with dung and urine treatments (Table 3.3) further corroborates this assertion. Indeed, LEDGARD (2001) contended that pastoral systems could rely solely on BFN for moderate to high dry matter production with moderate N losses.

3.4.2 N₂O emissions and emission factors

N₂O emissions from grazed pastures are associated with substantial heterogeneity due to the random nature of emission hotspots from urine and dung depositions. This makes the eddy covariance method preferred to the chamber method (VOGLMEIER *et al.*, 2019) for non-simulated grazing experimental designs. However, the chamber method can capture the magnitude

and temporal patterns from emission hotspots (i.e., urine and dung patches), making the comparison of different treatments in parallel possible. Moreover, the accuracy of measurements can be improved if the interval of measurements is increased (MCAULIFFE *et al.*, 2020). Nevertheless, the high coefficient of variation (about 23%) associated with the current measurements might have undermined the detection of significant differences. Due to this, an additional experiment with controlled urine and dung depositions was designed, which allowed creating more uniform N loadings and tracking the emissions from exactly one urine or dung patch.

The range of daily N₂O-N fluxes observed from our grazed pastures was similar to the 1.1–2.9 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹ reported for grazed Irish pastures on sandy soils (RAFIQUE *et al.*, 2011) and 0.03–2.5 mg N₂O m⁻² d⁻¹ reported in New Zealand (SAGGAR *et al.*, 2004). However, the range of annual N₂O-N emission observed for the grazed pastures (mean \pm SD = 1.0 \pm 0.2 kg N ha⁻¹) appears lower than the values reported by these earlier authors due to the infrequent incidence of high peaks (Figure 3.2). In addition, the pastures in these previous studies received additional chemical N fertilizers and were conducted in locations with slightly higher temperatures compared with our site. These factors might explain the lower fluxes in our case since farm management contributes to site characteristics and climate variability on the N₂O emission (REES *et al.*, 2013). Nevertheless, the result agrees with the 0.04–21.21 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ reported for European grasslands (Jena Experiment), depending on the site and management (REES *et al.*, 2013).

The range of cumulative N₂O-N fluxes (0.05-1.59 kg N ha⁻¹) observed in this study from experiment 2 was slightly lower than the 0.08-3.2 kg N ha⁻¹ reported for similar simulated grazing experiments (BOWATTE *et al.*, 2018; LUO *et al.*, 2018), probably since these previous authors used mono-crop swards. The N₂O EFs observed for urine and dung in the current study might be related to the soil, climate, and management practices. Previous studies conducted in similar climates (CHADWICK *et al.*, 2018; KROL *et al.*, 2016; SIMON *et al.*, 2018; THOMAS *et al.*, 2017; VOGLMEIER *et al.*, 2019) reported low EFs for urine and dung in line with our findings. DE KLEIN *et al.* (2014) and MARSDEN *et al.* (2016) reported low (0.03-0.3%) N₂O-N EF for animal excrete deposited on free-draining soils. The soils at the site are free-draining sandy loam (Appendix B, Table B1) which must have increased infiltration and percolation of urine, leading to increased N losses via leaching (SIMON *et al.*, 2018) and reduced N₂O emission. Recently, low N₂O-N EFs (0.12-0.41%), depending on the age of sward and

fertilization (slurry) rate, was observed for grass-legume grasslands at the study site (REIN-SCH *et al.*, 2020). As the current study measured N₂O emissions for at least 100 days, the N₂O-N EFs could be considered site-specific. However, the summer of 2018 was unusually dry, though spring was typical, and autumn very productive.

When N₂O-N EF was estimated based on the total N input (i.e., N₂O-N EF₁ = BFN + N applied), to account for the contribution from legumes in the swards, the mean EF reduced by 16% but was not significantly (p > 0.05) affected by the sward diversity nor excreta type (Table 3.3). However, this method of estimating EF contrasts with the IPCC 2006 guidelines, which requires that background emissions are considered. Nevertheless, this method provides an opportunity to illustrate the impact of legumes on N₂O emission and might guide the maximal N input for an appreciable level of N₂O emission from grass-legume swards (REINSCH *et al.*, 2020). The N₂O EFs from our study were relatively low compared with the revised IPCC default EF of 0.77% (IPCC, 2019).

HOLTAN-HARTWIG *et al.* (2002) observed that some soils generally have the intrinsic potential for low N₂O emission irrespective of environmental conditions, and this has been attributed to differences in denitrifying communities (CAVIGELLI & ROBERTSON, 2001). Moreover, previous and current soil management practices might affect microbial dynamics in soils. The initial N status of soils is likely to have reverberating effects on the microbial soil community's functions, including N transformations, abundance, and the activity of nitrifying and denitrifying microorganisms (LEVY-BOOTH *et al.*, 2014), and by extension, the production of N₂O. The microbial community's composition is an essential factor determining the N₂O: N₂ ratio emitted from temperate soils (SAGGAR *et al.*, 2013). The soils were not fertilized and must have had a low residual N, as shown by the control plots' negative PRN (Table 3.3).

3.4.2.1 The effect of grassland diversity

We expected a lower N₂O emission from the most diverse pasture (*prwrch*) under grazing and simulated grazing experiments. This expectation was based on the assumption that diverse pastures increase plant productivity through increased niche complementarity, resource uptake efficiency, and N transfer from legumes to grasses/herbs, and particularly, as these also contained plants reported to reduce N₂O emission via nitrification inhibitors (BOWATTE *et al.*, 2018; LUO *et al.*, 2018). A decreasing trend in N₂O emission as grassland diversity increased was observed from grazed plots in 2019 (Figure 3.3), and when excreta N₂O emitted was related to

the N uptake (Table 3.3). However, the annual N₂O, N₂O EF or N₂O emission intensity were statistically similar for the grasslands. Moreover, the timing of peak N₂O production appeared similar across grasslands, seasons, and treatments. This observation contradicts reports by HOEFT *et al.* (2012), who observed alterations in the timing of peak N₂O production after urine addition due to species differences. Although the N input differed among the grasslands, obviously due to the differences in legume proportions, N₂O emission was similar across the grasslands. In addition, the mineral N concentration was similar for the grassland soils (Table 3.3), suggesting that grasslands might not be too different functionally to influence N cycling. Therefore, we reject the hypothesis that a more diverse grassland would necessarily reduce the N₂O emission.

The effect of sward diversity on N₂O emission is not conclusive. (HOEFT *et al.* (2012) reported no difference in the N₂O emission between grass pastures and more diverse pastures in previous studies. In contrast, NIKLAUS *et al.* (2016) observed reduced N₂O emission from more diverse pastures (species richness from 1–16) but not in the presence of fertilizer or large proportions of legumes. In this study, herbs constituted about 18% of the most diverse swards and forage plantain proportion was only around 5% (results not shown). This herb proportion is likely too low for any effects on N₂O emissions. Besides, the soil-environment interaction might affect plantain BNI effectiveness (LUO *et al.*, 2018; PODOLYAN *et al.*, 2020). According to *Experiment 2*, the binary pasture yielded less dry matter and less nitrogen (Table 3.3) and had possibly a higher uptake by the animals, which would have resulted in higher droppings of urine and dung. Therefore, similar N₂O emissions from higher N-yields in the more complex grasslands could be considered positive.

3.4.2.2. Effect of N source

The effect of excreta type on the N₂O emission was not consistent as the interaction effects were evident for both non-irrigated and irrigated pastures. However, PRN and all the N₂O emission indices were generally similar for both dung and urine in non-irrigated pastures, but with irrigation, N₂O emissions/EFs were 1–2 times higher for urine patches compared with dung. LUO *et al.* (2018) also reported a higher N₂O emission from urine patches relative to dung, and this could be ascribed to the slow mineralization of dung N. Whereas urine contains high amounts of available N, dung is rich in C and organic N, and undergoes slower mineralization rates and higher fixation of N by adsorption to soil particles, depending on soil properties and environmental conditions (VAN GROENIGEN *et al.*, 2005). However, the N mineralization from dung patches and subsequent emission of N₂O might be a function of biological, environmental conditions, and time, as over time immobilized N becomes available for mineralization resulting in a later peak, as occurred in experiment 2. It is not clear if all N from dung patches mineralized during the period as dung N were bound in very slowly decaying organic bindings, or more complex molecules might need more time than urine, in which most N is in mineral form. The relatively dry soil conditions in 2018 might have limited N₂O emission from the urine patches. The drought must have slowed down mineralization even further in dung patches as they remained visibly dry in the collars.

3.4.2.3 Effect of timing of excreta deposition

The peak N₂O emissions were visually associated with a decline in NH₄ and increased NO₃ concentration in the soils. The highest N₂O-N peak was obtained in spring, followed by summer, and then autumn in both years, similar to previous reports (KROL *et al.*, 2016; LUO *et al.*, 2018; VAN DER WEERDEN *et al.*, 2011). The seasonal effect on PRN, N₂O-N emission, and N₂O-N EF might be due to the prevailing soil moisture and temperature interaction effects at or following excrete deposition (VAN DER WEERDEN *et al.*, 2011). These environmental differences influence the N uptake and residual N in the soils, and hence N-leaching to the groundwater, which is a function of timing of excrete deposition, soil inorganic N status, and climatic conditions (BIERNAT *et al.*, 2020). Although the leaching load was not measured in this study, BIERNAT *et al.* (2020) reported higher leaching loads when soil mineral N was high in late summer, autumn or early winter. This observation might partly explain the low residual N or N₂O emission associated with summer and autumn excrete applications relative to spring.

3.4.2.3 Effect of irrigation

The irrigated pastures in Experiment 3 had relatively high WFPS compared with Experiment 2 (Figure 3.1). It is worth mentioning that irrigation was adopted to provide conducive soil conditions for denitrification losses. Such high soil moisture conditions could promote plant growth and enhance plant N uptake and promote N leaching to the underground water pool. Similar to reports by SMIT *et al.* (2020), the irrigation increased (almost doubled) both cumulative N₂O-N emitted (0.46 vs 0.81) and N₂O-N EF (0.25 vs 0.16%) compared with non-irrigation emissions. The dry conditions in 2018 might have reduced N mineralization, particularly in dung patches, as they were visibly dry and would have limited denitrification. With the irrigated swards, both nitrification and denitrification were significant processes by which N₂O fluxes were produced; nitrification seemed to be more dominant, as shown by the more robust

relationship between N₂O and NH₄ compared with NO₃ ($R^2 = 0.84$ vs 0.65; Figure 3.5c,d; SIMON *et al.*, 2018).

3.4.3. Factors that controlled N₂O emission and EF at the site

3.4.3.1 Botanical characteristics

The measured/estimated botanical variables were significantly associated with N₂O-N EFs in this study. The impact of plants on N₂O emissions and underlying mechanisms are not always conclusive (DE KLEIN *et al.*, 2019), as several factors might play confounding roles. However, grasslands' ability to influence N₂O emission might depend on factors including N uptake from soils, legume proportion, and the production of soluble sugars (DE KLEIN *et al.*, 2019; NI-KLAUS *et al.*, 2016). Regression analyses showed a significant effect of grass proportion, legume proportion, and N uptake on N₂O-N EFs (Appendix B, Table B3, Figure 3.7a–c). The higher grass proportion and N uptake appeared to depress the N₂O emission factor, but the higher legume proportion associated positively with N₂O-N EF. Accordingly, BRACKEN *et al.* (2020) reported a significant functional group identity effect on cumulative N₂O emissions, with emissions increasing with the increasing white clover proportion and decreasing with the increasing perennial ryegrass proportion for both irrigated and non-irrigated pastures. Similarly, NIKLAUS *et al.* (2016) reported a significant legume effect on N₂O emission. On the contrary, BARNEZE *et al.* (2020) found no legume effect on N₂O emission, total mineral N or mineral N in fertilized or unfertilized soils.

Under monoculture, legumes appeared to emit more N₂O than other species (NIKLAUS *et al.*, 2016). However, this might not be necessarily additive in mixed pastures (ABALOS *et al.*, 2014), but increases in the proportion of legumes might influence C and N cycling processes (BARNEZE *et al.*, 2020). This legume effect on N₂O emission might be related to the increased N supply (Table 3.3), leading to nitrification and denitrification losses. Therefore, the increased NO₃ availability due to the release of fixed N from legume roots via decomposition and legumes' inefficiency in acquiring mineral N (BARNEZE *et al.*, 2020) may explain the high association of legumes with N₂O emission. The inclusion of legumes in mixed-swards at proportions of 30–50% is described as most effective, providing multiple outputs including reduced greenhouse gas emission, higher productivity and increased protein self-sufficiency, and reduced N leaching (LÜSCHER *et al.*, 2014; VOGELER *et al.*, 2019). In this study, legume proportions ranging from 30–50% resulted in N₂O-N EFs ranging from 0.17–0.25% (Figure

3.7b). Meanwhile, legume proportions of up to 30% have been widely promoted in New Zealand and Switzerland as a norm (FUCHS *et al.*, 2020).

3.4.3.2 Soil mineral N availability and plant N uptake

In our study, soil mineral N intensity, PRN, and N uptake were significantly associated with N₂O-N EF. The N input was 116–289 kg ha⁻¹, more than the 300 kg N ha⁻¹ required by highly productive grasslands to meet plant demand (RAFIQUE *et al.*, 2011). At the same time, the N uptake (320–540 kg ha⁻¹) was lower than the N input, resulting in patch residual N ranging from -87-206 (mean = 103 kg ha⁻¹), being positive for excreta patches (Table 3.3) and negative for the control plots. This estimate might not be precise as lateral flows from urine and dung patches outside the rings were possible (CICHOTA *et al.*, 2018). Also, N losses via nitrification, denitrification, and leaching were not considered. Moreover, these pastures can sequester about 1 Mg C per year, equivalent to ~100 kg N (assuming a CN ratio of 10), captured in soil organic matter. Nonetheless, the residual soil N on the entire pasture must be far lower, considering that excreta deposition by grazing livestock affects about 60% of the paddock.

In any case, N₂O production is primarily driven by the amount of residual N in the soil (SAG-GAR et al., 2013), as corroborated by the high association between N₂O emission and PRN $(R^2 = 0.77; p < 0.001;$ Figure 3.5b), which is a function of N input and uptake of the swards. The higher residual N will potentially result in higher N₂O emission as N becomes available for nitrifies and denitrifies to utilize. It appears values up to 250 kg ha⁻¹ might still keep N₂O-N EF from cow excreta patches below 0.2% (Figure 3.5b) at this site, but the high surplus N might be leached to pollute the underground water pool and/or become a secondary source of N₂O emission. PRN was about 67% higher for *prwrc* than *prwc* or *prwrc*, leading to a relatively low N uptake for *prwrc*. The similar N uptake for *prwrc* and *prwrch* might be due to the high grass proportion (Table 3.3) as grasses have a higher potential to utilize soil N for growth, reducing soil N and the nitrification/denitrification potential of the soils (BOWATTE et al., 2018). The range of N uptake by the grasslands in this study appears to be on the upper side of the range of values (10–450 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) reported by BESSLER *et al.*, 2012). It appears grass-legume swards are associated with high N uptake, as corroborated in this study and by REINSCH et al. (2020). This high N uptake potential has been attributed to species complementarity, evident by the development of high root length and root density by grass-legume species (CHEN et al., 2016), leading to low PRN.

The high N uptake (>70%) in this study might partly explain the low N₂O-N EFs observed in this study, as the higher N uptake was significantly associated with low N₂O-N EF (Figure 3.7c). The higher N uptake reduced N₂O EF, and the higher N input might stimulate the higher N uptake, as observed in this study (results not shown; r = 0.44, p < 0.05), similar to reports by LÜ *et al.* (2014). This observation supports the general concept that the nutrient uptake by roots increases in response to increasing nutrient supply (LAMBERS *et al.*, 2008). Besides, this highlights the critical role of plant N uptake and its potential usage in mediating the effects of N addition from grazing animals. However, it must be noted that plant N uptake/productivity also depends on other nutrients and has a physiological maximum. Although N₂O emissions were similar across the grasslands, the most diverse grassland had a relatively high N uptake compared with the binary-sward grassland, suggesting a higher potential for reduced N₂O emission as corroborated by the 9% lower N₂O emission intensity by *prwrch* compared with *prwc* (Table 3.3).

3.4.3.3 Soil moisture and temperature

As shown by regression analyses, soil moisture and temperature were significant predictors of N₂O-N EF for both non-irrigated and irrigated pastures but appeared to be most vital within the first two weeks and two months, respectively, following excreta application (Appendix B, Table B4). Soil moisture and ambient temperature influence N₂O emission significantly by modulating the microbial activity and transport of gases in soil (MCAULIFFE et al., 2020; VAN DER WEERDEN et al., 2014). Higher N₂O-N EFs associated positively with higher WFPS. However, it appears that increases in N₂O-N EF only occurred when WFPS was above 60%, respectively (Figure 3.7e). Soil moisture affects nitrification and denitrification processes (VAN DER WEERDEN et al., 2014), and for that matter, ammonia-oxidizing species (DI et al., 2014) reduce their population below 60% of field capacity. The soil water threshold value differs according to the soil type (SAGGAR et al., 2013), and the critical WFPS for denitrification in many soils is equivalent to the field capacity or above (DE KLEIN & VAN LOG-TESTIJN, 1996). At higher WFPS, where denitrification becomes the primary process, the N₂ produced might exceed the N₂O production, reducing N₂O emissions at high WFPS, especially when temperatures are high. (DAVIDSON, 1991) explained that both nitrification and denitrification processes co-occur within soils, but the low soil water content and coarse soil texture favour nitrification. In contrast, the high soil water content and fine soil texture with a high organic matter content promote denitrification (DE KLEIN & ECKARD, 2008). The seemingly

high occurrence of nitrification might be due to the sandy nature of soils at the site, partly explaining the relatively low N₂O emission observed in this study.

Higher N₂O-N EF associated positively with higher soil temperature, but it appears increases in N₂O-N EF only occurred when the soil temperatures went above 15 °C (Figure 3.7f). MAAG & VINTHER (1996) reported maximum nitrification rates at 20 °C and small responses to soil temperature changes at lower temperatures. Although denitrification depends on the soil type, with sandy loam soils responding significantly to both increases in soil temperature and soil moisture, temperatures above 5°C are often considered to be required for effective denitrification rates (GRANLI & BØCKMAN, 1995). However, we observed an appreciable N₂O emission from grazed fields in winter and early spring (Figure 3.2), similar to earlier reports (FLESSA et al., 1995). Therefore, the observed N₂O fluxes in winter, despite the absence of grazing activity, might be due to substrates accumulations from the preceding grazing season. Such winter emissions have been attributed to specific freeze/thaw phenomena (BURTON & BEAUCHAMP, 1994). However, HOLTAN-HARTWIG et al. (2002) explained that decreasing temperatures increase the N₂O/N₂ product ratio under anaerobic soil conditions due to differences in the temperature response of N₂O diffusion versus biological process rates.

3.5. Conclusions and implications of the study

In this study, the grasslands' species richness did not significantly affect the N₂O emission, although there was a tendency for low N₂O emission from the multispecies swards when the N₂O emission was expressed fraction of the N uptake. Differences in the swards' functional composition (i.e., grass and forage legumes) were significantly related to the N₂O emission. We observed a high N uptake efficiency by the grasslands, partly explaining the low N₂O emission and EFs at the site. In addition, soil temperature and WFPS, botanical factors, including legume or grass proportions in the swards, and N uptake were dominant factors that drove the N₂O emission from dung and urine patches. The high N uptakes observed for grasslands show their high potential to optimize N use. An elevated WFPS almost doubled the N₂O-N EFs; however, the EF values were below the default IPCC (2019) for wet temperate climates. This observation suggests a further disaggregation of the default N₂O EF value to cater for organic dairy systems in wet temperate regions.

The excreta CN ratio, N applied, total N input, PRN, grass and legume proportions, as well as N uptake, were factors that drove the N_2O emission from dung and urine patches. The high N uptake (>70%), legume proportions (16–46%), as well as soil type might explain the relatively low N_2O EFs observed in this study. Results suggest that simple regression approaches using

grass or legume proportions and N uptake can be used to predict the N₂O emission more precisely over a wide range of environments. We further concluded that an efficient proportion of legumes without additional mineral fertilizer use accelerates an efficient N-cycling and is more important than a complex species diversity to reduce undesired N₂O emissions from pastures. However, the year 2018 was unusually dry, and results might be or were probably affected by this. Hence, the experiments or at least the simulated grazing studies should be continued to see the difference to "normal" years.

CHAPTER 4

Nitrous oxide emission from forage plantain and perennial ryegrass swards is affected by resource allocation dynamics belowground John K. Nyameasem^{1,2}, Enis Ben Halima¹, Carsten S. Malisch¹, Bahar S. Razavi¹, Friedhelm

Taube^{1,4}, Thorsten Reinsch¹

¹Grass and Forage Science/Organic Agriculture, Christian Albrechts University, 24118 Kiel, Germany ²Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Animal Research Institute, Achimota, P.O. Box AH20 Accra, Ghana ³Department of Soil and Plant Microbiome, Institute of Phytopathology, University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany

This chapter has been submitted as an original scientific article to the Plant and Soil Journal. It reports the outcome of a greenhouse experiment that tested the efficacy of forage plantain in reducing nitrous oxide emission and explored the impact of belowground resource allocation on N_2O emission.

Abstract

Plantago lancelota has been hypothesized to reduce nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from perennial grasslands. However, soil-plant interactions affecting N₂O production in soils are not well understood, and experimental data are scarce. A greenhouse experiment was conducted in a 3x3 factorial, fully randomized design, comprising three mineral N fertilizer rates (0, 150 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹) applied to monocultures and a binary mixture of *P. lanceolata* and *Lolium perenne*. Measured Parameters included daily N₂O emissions, aboveground (AG) and belowground biomass (BG), N- and C- yields, as well as leucine aminopeptidase (LAP) activity in the soil as an indicator for soil microbial activity. Accumulated N₂O emissions were about two times higher for *P. lanceolata* than for *L. perenne* monocultures or mixtures (p<0.05). Monocultures of *P. lanceolata* also obtained lower dry matter (AG+BG) and N yields than *L. perenne* and mixtures (p<0.001). As a result, the binary mixtures showed the highest N use efficiency and increased LAP activity. Thus, c allocation dynamics belowground appeared as a potential means by which plants might impact N₂O emission. *Plantago lanceolata* was generally ineffective at reducing N₂O emissions. Resource allocation dynamics belowground appear to have a higher dominance on N₂O emission from soils rather than forage plantain itself.

⁴Grass Based Dairy Systems, Animal Production Systems Group, Wageningen University (WUR), 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands

Keywords: Fertilization; nitrous oxide; perennial ryegrass; forage plantain, nitrification inhibition; northern Germany

4.1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from agriculture contribute about 60% of global anthropogenic N₂O emissions (SYAKILA & KROEZE, 2011). It has a high global warming (the CO₂ equivalent is 265–298) and stratospheric ozone depletion potential (IPCC, 2013; OENEMA *et al.*, 2009; PORTMANN *et al.*, 2012). Although grasslands provide a range of ecosystem services, such as carbon (C) sequestration, soil erosion prevention, landscape, and biodiversity protection (Taube et al., 2014), they can also be a significant source of N₂O emissions, especially when utilized for ruminant livestock production (VAN DER WEERDEN *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, N₂O emissions from intensively managed grasslands are associated with the highest uncertainty of agricultural greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (FLECHARD *et al.*, 2007; VAN DER WEERDEN *et al.*, 2020). This high uncertainty results because N₂O emission depends on heterogeneous factors, including climatic factors, soil properties, the soil microbiome and botanical factors (FIRESTONE & DAVIDSON, 1989; NYAMEASEM *et al.*, 2021; VOGLMEIER *et al.*, 2019), complicating the development of mitigation strategies. Thus, a better understanding of the factors resulting in N₂O emissions is required to reduce these emissions effectively.

The most commonly sown grassland species in Europe is perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) (ABALOS *et al.*, 2018; FRANKOW-LINDBERG *et al.*, 2009) due to its persistence under frequent harvesting and production of high-quality forage; combining it with other species can enhance the productivity of both grassland and animals further while also reducing environmental impacts (ABALOS *et al.*, 2018). Mixed pastures have been reported to have a higher tendency to mitigate N₂O emission due to faster growth and rapid resource acquisition (ABA-LOS *et al.*, 2014; KRAMER-WALTER *et al.*, 2016; NYAMEASEM *et al.*, 2021). The optimization of agroecosystems to retain more N under intensive management has generally focused on aboveground traits with little focus on belowground plant-soil interactions (ABALOS *et al.*, 2017; VERHEIJEN *et al.*, 2016). Even though roots play critical roles in ecosystems processes, including nutrient cycling and generating microbial niches for N₂O producing Processes (LEGAY *et al.*, 2014). Some botanical factors that affect the N₂O mitigation potential of pasture may include belowground species diversity (ABALOS *et al.*, 2015).

2014), the presence or absence of species capable of nitrification inhibition (NI) (BARDON et al., 2014; COSKUN et al., 2017), rhizosphere priming effect (HAMILTON & FRANK, 2001) and whether the species are conservative or acquisitive (ABALOS et al., 2018). The nitrification inhibiting species in mixed swards are particularly appealing and have received attention, as they can concomitantly provide high-quality forage and reduce environmental effects. One of the most promising species in previous studies has been ribwort plantain (Plantago lanceolata), which has exhibited the potential to reduce N₂O emissions in grazed pastures (BRACKEN et al., 2020; GARDINER et al., 2018; JUDSON et al., 2019; SIMON et al., 2019). The results have not been consistent, however, probably due to the large number of factors that affect N₂O emissions, with examples ranging from climatic, edaphic and botanical factors such as the percentage of a species present (LUO et al., 2019; NYAMEASEM et al., 2021; PODOL-YAN et al., 2020). Accordingly, ABALOS et al. (2018) conducted a study and identified that high biomass production and fast N uptake are the main pathways by which plants impact N₂O emission and cited specific leaf area and root length density as particularly relevant determinants. However, their study was based on grasses only, and hence did not include any species with known NI potential.

Differences in resource allocation belowground by pastures can impact soil microbial activity with important implications for nutrient cycling and ecosystem functioning (HARGREAVES & HOFMOCKEL, 2014). Additionally, N deposition has implications for soil microbial dynamics, as N is essential for their metabolic functioning. When applied N exceeds plant demand, it becomes a substrate for microbial metabolic pathways that can produce (or consume) N₂O (BUTTERBACH-BAHL et al., 2013; LUO et al., 2017). Microorganisms are, therefore, sensitive to changes in their environment and may express enzymes in response to soil N availability. These extracellular enzymes, such as leucine aminopeptidase (LAP), are produced to catalyze chemical reactions that facilitate soil organic matter degradation in order to acquire the necessary resources for microbial metabolic functions (CENINI et al., 2016). The expression of such N acquiring (or N₂O-consuming) enzymes varies according to N concentrations in the soil (CENINI et al., 2016). While the factors that affect microbes' role in N₂O emission are numerous and complex, their population dynamics and expression of enzymes producing and consuming N₂O (SPIRO, 2012) in soils could be essential biomarkers for N₂O emission. A high microbial population in the soil might lead to increased consumption or immobilization of surplus N, especially when N-acquiring microbes are involved, leading to reduced N₂O emission (DE KLEIN *et al.*, 2019). However, the mechanisms by which plants reduce N₂O emissions are not well understood (DE KLEIN *et al.*, 2019).

Consequently, understanding the impact of forage plants on plant functional traits is vital to improving estimates of N₂O emissions from pastures (DE KLEIN *et al.*, 2019). Thus, we designed a greenhouse pot experiment with constant soil temperature and moisture and three different mineral fertilizer rates to evaluate the effects of monocultures and mixtures of *L. perenne* and *P. lanceolata* on N₂O emission and aboveground (AG) and belowground (BG) C and N partitioning. Using this setup, we tested the following hypotheses: (i) N₂O emission from *P. lanceolata* swards are lower than in *L. perenne* monocultures due to their expected nitrification inhibiting effect, (ii) N-uptake efficiency plays an essential role in estimating N₂O emission, and therefore a suitable biomarker for N₂O emission from pastures.

4.2. Materials and methods

4.2.1 Experimental design

The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse located in the University of Kiel, northern Germany (54°20'N, 10°6'E). The soil was unsterilized topsoil obtained from grazed grass-clover swards obtained from an organic experimental dairy farm. The soil texture was a sandy loam and contained 1.6 % C, 0.13 % N, 8 mg/100 mg P₂SO₅, 10 mg/100 mg K₂O and 6.9 mg/100 mg Mg. The soil's pH was 5.9, and the bulk density was uniformly set to 1.56 g/cm³. The soil was sieved through a 3 mm mesh, homogenized and placed in circular-shaped pots, measuring 0.14 m and 0.18 m in diameter and depth, respectively. This comparably small size allowed for a better root/soil ratio while being large enough to provide adequate rooting volume for the duration of the experiment, even for the deep-rooting *P. lanceolata*. The botanical composition was varied and included monocultures of *L. perenne* and *P. lanceolata* and their binary mixture.

Plantago lanceolata seeds were pregerminated in Petri dishes, and one viable plant was transplanted into each pot with a *P. lanceolata* treatment to ensure an even distribution of *P. lanceolata* in all pots. As a result, the sowing density of *L. perenne* was the equivalent of 32 kg ha⁻¹ for all *L. perenne* containing pots. Before starting the gas measurements, all plants were allowed to establish for approximately one month to ensure viable swards. Subsequently, a standardization cut was performed, which was not measured for dry matter (DM) yields. The experimental units consisted of a 3x3 factorial design, comprising three N fertilizer (urea) rates (0,

150 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹ equivalents, subsequently called N0, N150 and N300) applied in three splits (see Figure 4.1), and the three swards types (*L. perenne* (LP), *L. perenne* – *P. lanceolata* (LP+PL), and *P. lanceolata* (PL)), replicated four times and arranged in a completely randomized block design. The fertilizer was dissolved in 30 mL water and applied by sprinkling from above. The greenhouse was illuminated for 16 hours each day, with daytime temperatures set to 20°C, and nighttime temperatures to 15°C. Volumetric soil moisture was maintained between 0.290–0.330 via capillary irrigation (Ortmann, Votloh, Germany) to ensure optimal growing conditions and was monitored continuously using 5TM volumetric water content sensors coupled with EM 60 loggers (Meter Group, Pullman, WA, USA).

4.2.2 N₂O sampling and measurement

After successfully establishing the swards and the standardization cut, gas sampling started on the 5th of March 2020 and ended on the 27^{th} of May 2020 using static chambers (height = 0.19) m). With the chamber collar sealed using a waterlogged basement, one air sample was taken with a 30-mL polypropylene syringe from the chamber-top at 0, 20, 40, and 60 min after closure. The gas samples were transferred to 12 mL pre-evacuated glass vials (Labco, High Wycombe, UK) and stored for a maximum of one week before analyses. The gas samples were analyzed for N₂O through a gas chromatograph (SCION 456-GC, Bruker, Leiderdorp, Netherlands) equipped with a ⁶³Ni electron-capture detector. Helium was used as the carrier gas and argon-methane as the make-up gas. Three certified N₂O gas standards (300, 620, 1510 ppb) were measured regularly to determine the analytical precision (standard deviation of 10 repeated measurements <3 ppb). The gas chromatograph procedure used a detector temperature of 320 °C, a column temperature of 40 °C and an injector temperature of 200 °C. The samples were injected using an auto-sampler (model 271 LH, Gilson Inc., Middleton, WI, USA). N₂O-N fluxes were calculated by linear regression between measured N₂O-N concentrations and sampling time (PARKIN & VENTEREA, 2010). Accumulated N₂O emissions over the experimental period (83 days) for each treatment and replicate were calculated by linear interpolation between the consecutive measurements.

4.2.3 Biomass sampling and chemical analyses

Aboveground biomass (AG) was harvested from each pot when swards attained a height between 10-12 cm, while *P. lanceolata* achieved flowering stage. The plants were harvested by cutting AG from each pot at 5 cm sward height. At the end of the pot experiment, the sward stubble was removed from the soil surface. The belowground biomass (BG) was separated from the soil with a hydropneumatic elutriation system (Smucker et al., 1982) using a 0.63 mm sieve to separate the roots from soil particles. Floating fine roots were collected with tweezers. All the plant samples (AG, stubble and BG) were oven-dried to a constant weight at 58 °C for 48 hours to determine DM yield. Each dried sample was milled through a 2-mm sieve (Cyclotech mill, Foss analytical, Hilleröd, Denmark), and then N and C contents were measured using a C/N analyzer (Vario Max CN, Elementar, Hanau, Germany). The ratio of N uptake by plants to fertilizer N input constituted N uptake efficiency (NUpE). Shoot N use efficiency was calculated as SNUE = shoot dry weight/shoot N content, and root N use efficiency as RNUE = root dry weight/root N content (JIANG *et al.*, 2016).

4.2.4 Soil sampling and measurement of LAP activity

Soil samples were obtained at each biomass harvest using procedures described by PIJLMAN et al. (2020) and ROSEAUX et al. (2020). Three soil cores (0–10 cm depth, 1 cm diameter) were randomly sampled from each pot and mixed to obtain a composite sample. A subsample of soil (~2 g) was stored immediately on dry ice and later transferred to a -20°C freezer for microbial enzyme analysis. The resulting hole was filled with a 10 mm diameter PVC pipe and closed off on top to prevent air and light interactions. The kinetics of hydrolytic enzymes involved in the N cycle was measured by fluorimetric microplate assays of 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC) (MARX et al., 2005). The leucine aminopeptidase and tyrosine aminopeptidase activities were measured using L-leucine-7-amino-4-methyl coumarin (AMC-L) and Ltyrosine-7-amido-4-methyl-coumarin (AMC-T). All substrates and chemicals were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). We determined enzyme activities in a range of substrate concentrations from low to high (0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100, 200 µmol g⁻¹ soil). Saturation concentrations of fluorogenic substrates were determined in preliminary experiments. Suspensions of 0.5 g soil (dry weight equivalent) with 50 mL water were prepared using low-energy sonication (40 J s⁻¹ output energy) for 2 min (Stemmer et al., 1998). Then, 50 µL of soil suspension was added to 100 µL substrate solution and 50 µL of buffer [TRIZMA (pH:7.2) buffer for AMC substrate] in a 96-well microplate (KOCH et al., 2007). Fluorescence was measured in microplates at an excitation wavelength of 355 nm and an emission wavelength of 460 nm, slit width of 25 nm, with a Victor 3 1420-050 Multi-Label Counter (Perkin Elmer, USA).

All enzymes were determined and incubated at the exact temperature within 2 hours. After each fluorescence measurement (i.e. after 30 *min*, one *h* and two *h*), the microplates were promptly returned to the climate chambers so that the measurement time did not exceed 2–2.5 min. The assay of each enzyme at each substrate concentration was replicated three times in

each plate. Also, for all four incubation replicates, each enzyme's assay at each substrate concentration was performed in three analytical replicates (12 wells in the microplate). The Michaelis-Menten equation was used to determine parameters of the enzyme activity (V):

$$V = \frac{V_{max}\left[S\right]}{K_m + \left[S\right]} \tag{1}$$

where V_{max} is the maximum enzyme activity; K_m represents the half-saturation constant or the substrate concentration at which the reaction rate equals $V_{max}/2$; and S is the substrate amount (MICHAELIS & MENTEN, 1913). Both V_{max} and K_m parameters were approximated by the Michaelis-Menten equation (1) with the non-linear regression routine of STATISTICA.

4.2.5 Statistics

All statistical analyses and graph works were performed using R software (R DEVELOP-MENT CORE TEAM, 2019). The measured variables were analyzed using a linear model with pasture type, N fertilization rate, and interaction term as fixed factors. In each case, the adequacy of the model was assessed by examining the appropriateness of residual plots. Effective means were separated at p < 0.05 with Tukey's post hoc tests, using the "Ismeans" function of the "multcomp" package (BRETZ et al., 2016). Pearson correlation and linear regression tests were performed to establish the relationships among the measured variables. Correlation coefficients between variables, and their significance, were computed using the "sjPlot" package by pairwise deletion procedure. The Lavaan package in R (ROSSEEL, 2012) was used to perform structural equation modelling (SEM) to assess the measured plant traits' direct and indirect controls on N₂O emissions. A conceptual model based on the hypotheses and theoretical knowledge of plant effects on N₂O emissions was constructed. Before the SEM analyses, the predictor and dependent parameters' units were adjusted to obtain comparable parameter variances. Non-significant relationships were removed following a stepwise procedure using model modification indices, (DE VRIES & BARDGETT, 2016). The quality of the SEM model (GRACE, 2006) was determined using the chi-square goodness-of-fit statistic (P > .05, which indicated statistically significant model fit), the root means square error of approximation value (RMSEA, <0.08), the comparative fit index (CFI, >0.95), the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR, <0.08).

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Biomass allocation and CN partitioning

Nitrogen application increased the total biomass yield of AG + BG approximately 4-fold (Table 4.1) when compared with the control treatment (p<0.05). However, there were no significant differences in the total yields between the N150 and N300 treatments. Only at the third harvest did *P. lanceolata* DM yield increase with N rate, where it was 2-fold higher for N300.



Figure 4.1: Graphs showing daily N_2O fluxes from the diverse swards at different fertilization rates. LP represents *Lolium perenne*; PL represents *Plantago lanceolate*. [Arrows with + represent fertilizer application event and arrows with x represent biomass harvesting event]

- LP - LP_PL - PL

Pasture (P)		LP				LP-PL			PL			<i>F/P</i> -value		
N rate	kg/ha	0	150	300	0	150	300	0	150	300	Р	Ν	P x N	
1 st harvest	g	0.6 ^{aA}	1.1^{aB}	1.4 ^{aB}	0.4^{abA}	1.5 ^{aB}	1.8^{aB}	0.4^{bA}	0.4^{bA}	$0.6^{bA}(0.1)$	27***	00***	10***	
		(0.02)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.02)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.04)	(0.1)		27	88	19	
2 nd harvest	g	0.2^{A}	1.8^{B}	2.6 ^B	0.3 ^A	1.8^{B}	2.7^{B}	0.3 ^A	1.5 ^B	$1.8^{AB}(0.2)$ 2 ns	? ns	62***	1 ^{ns}	
		(0.03)	(0.3)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.9)	(0.1)	(0.4)		2			
3 rd harvest	g	0.6 ^A	4.5^{aB}	4.6 ^B	0.5 ^A	4.0^{abB}	5.0 ^B	0.5^{A}	2.6 ^{bB}	$4.3^{\circ}(0.3)$	2 ^{ns}	247***	4*	
		(0.1)	(0.3)	(0.6)	(0.2)	(0.4)	(0.1)	(0.04)	(0.2)					
Total harvest	a	1.3 ^A	7.4 ^B	8.6^{B}	1.2 ^A	7.3 ^B	9.5 ^B	1.2 ^A	4.5 ^B	$6.6^{\mathrm{B}}(0.3)$	2 ns	259***	5**	
	g	(0.1)	(0.7)	(0.6)	(0.2)	(0.6)	(0.9)	(0.1)	(0.6)		2	239	5	
Stubbles	a	0.8^{aA}	2.3 ^{aB}	2.9 ^B	0.8^{aA}	2.2^{aB}	2.5 ^B	0.4^{bA}	1.2 ^{bB}	$1.6^{B}(0.1)$ 41^{***}	151***	5**		
	B	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.4)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.3)	(0.03)	(0.2)		71	151	5	
AG DM	g	2.1 ^{bA}	9.7 ^{ьв}	11.5 ^B	2.0^{abA}	9.5 ^{bB}	11.9 ^B	1.5 ^{aA}	5.7^{aB}	$8.2^{\circ}(0.3)$	23***	373***	1 ns	
		(0.1)	(0.8)	(0.9)	(0.2)	(0.5)	(1.0)	(0.1)	(0.7)	_	23	515	1	
BG DM	σ	1.5 ^A	4.0^{B}	4.6 ^{bB}	1.4 ^A	3.6 ^B	4.6 ^{bB}	0.8^{A}	2.3 ^B	$2.2^{\mathrm{aB}}(0.2)$	21***	99***	4 **	
DO DIM	5	(0.2)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.1)	(0.4)	15	21	<i></i>	-	
Root/shoot ratio	σ/σ	0.69 ^A	0.42 ^B	0.40^{aB}	0.72 ^A	0.39 ^B	0.39 ^{aB}	0.54 ^A	0.41 ^A	0.26 ^{вв}	4*	29***	2 ^{ns}	
1000 biloot lutio	5'5	(0.09)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.07)	(0.03)	(0.03)	•	2)	2	
Plant biomass ^α	g	3.6 ^{aA}	13.7 ^{aB}	16.1 ^{aB}	3.4^{abA}	13.1 ^{aB}	16.5 ^{aB}	2.4 ^{bA}	8.0 ^{bB}	10.4 ^{6В}	18***	285***	7**	
T fully offerhauss	Б	(0.1)	(1.1)	(1.1)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(1.2)	(0.1)	(1.1)	(0.4)	10	200	,	
Species proportion	%	100	100	100	74.4/	93.3/	91.9/	100	100	100	_	-	-	
species proportion	/0			1.4	25.6	6.7	8.1	D	D					
AG C/N ratio	σ/σ	29.6 ^{ob}	31.7 ^{св}	22.1 ^{bA}	31.4 ^{ов}	25.2 ^{bA}	22.3 ^{bA}	20.1 ^{aB}	19.6 ^{aB}	15.0 ^{aA}	95***	63***	4**	
	5.2	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.2)	(1.7)	(2.1)	(0.8)	(0.5)	(0.7)	(0.5)	20	00	·	
BG C/N ratio	g/g	44.6 ^{bAB}	46.0 ^A	39.6 ^{вь}	43.8 ^{ab}	43.1	37.2°	35.8 ^{aAB}	41.4 ^в	29.5 ^{aA}	13**	18***	2 ^{ns}	
	66	(3.1)	(1.5)	(1.1)	(2.0)	(1.3)	(2.2)	(0.8)	(1.4)	(2.2)			_	
N uptake	kg ha ⁻¹	16.7 ^A	65.6 ^B	107.0 ^C	16.2 ^A	74.5 [₿]	110.6 ^C	15.9 ^A	57.4 ^B	104.4	0.3ns	363***	0.9ns	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8	(0.2)	(6.0)	(6.7)	(1.6)	(2.0)	(8.3)	(0.8)	(9.4)	(3.7)			• • • • • • •	
SNUE	g g ⁻¹	7 5.6 °в	76.1 ^{cB}	52.8 ^{0A}	76.3 [℃]	63.7 ^{ob}	53.0 ^{bA}	51.5 ^{aB}	47.9 ^{aB}	36.0 ^{aA}	99***	70***	2 ^{ns}	
		(2.2)	(1.9)	(0.5)	(4.1)	(4.4)	(2.0)	(1.6)	(1.8)	(1.0)				
RNUE	g g ⁻¹	116.6°	123.5	103.9	104.7 ^{ab}	106.2	98.4°	88.9 ^{aA}	115.4 ^B	$77.5^{aA}(5.6)$	12***	13***	3*	
		(14.3)	(4.2)	(1.3)	(3.6)	(2.7)	(3.4)	(2.3)	(4.9)					

Table 4.1: Dry matter yield, C: N ratios and N uptake/use efficiencies from Lolium perenne (LP) and Plantago lancelota (PL) swards as affected by different fertilization rates

LP represents *Lolium perenne; PL represents Plantago lanceolate.* SEM = standard error of the mean (pooled across pastures and fertilization rates; means with different letters are different at p<0.05, ^{abc} represent the effect of sward type, ^{ABC} represent the effect of fertilization rate. AG is above-ground biomass (harvested + stubbles); BG is below-ground biomass; Plant biomass^a is AG + BG.

Pasture (P)	LP			LP-PL			PL				2	
N rate	NO	N150	N300	NO	N150	N300	NO	N150	N300	Р	N	P v N
(N, kg ha ⁻¹)	100	11150	1000	110	11150	11000	110	11150	11000	1	1	I AIV
N_2O , kg N ha ⁻¹	0.01 ^A	0.09 ^{aA}	0.55^{abB}	0.01 ^A	0.17^{abB}	0.43 ^{aB}	0.01 ^A	0.24 ^{bB}	0.85 ^{bC}	0.09 ^{ns}	83***	4**
	(0.00)	(0.02)	(0.1)	(0.00)	(0.04)	(0.1)	(0.00)	(0.04)	(0.1)			
N ₂ O, g N kg BG ⁻¹	0.01 ^A	0.06 ^{aA}	0.30^{aB}	0.01	0.12 ^{ab}	0.24 ^a	0.02 ^A	0.27^{bB}	0.99 ^{bC}	22***	47***	11***
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.04)	(0.00)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.01)	(0.09)	(0.15)			
N ₂ O, g N kg	0.01 ^A	0.02 ^{Aa}	0.08^{Ba}	0.01	0.03 ^{ab}	0.07 ^a	0.01 ^A	0.08^{Bb}	0.20 ^{Cb}	2 ^{ns}	44***	7**
(AG+BG) ⁻¹	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.00)	(0.02)	(0.04)			
N ₂ O, g N kg N up-	0.41 ^A	1.37 ^{aA}	5.12^{abB}	0.46 ^A	2.30^{abB}	4.06^{aB}	0.49 ^A	4.59 ^{bB}	8.32 ^{bB}	4*	59***	0.75
take ⁻¹	(0.12)	(0.13)	(0.5)	(0.1)	(0.6)	(1.0)	(0.17)	(1.5)	(1.6)			2113

Table 4.2: Cumulative N₂O emissions (83 days) as well as N₂O emission in relation to above- (AG) and belowground biomass (BG) among the different treatments

Values in parenthesis are the standard error of the mean; means with different letters are different at p<0.05, ^{abc} represents the effect of sward type, ^{ABC} represents the effect of fertilization rate. *LP* represents *Lolium perenne; PL represents Plantago lanceolata*

The binary mixture achieved comparable yields as the *L. perenne* monoculture but was around 50% higher than those of the *P. lanceolata* monoculture independent of the fertilizer rate (p<0.05; Table 4.1). The root/shoot ratio effect was only evident at N300, where the *P. lanceolata* had a 32% lower root/shoot ratio than the *L. perenne*-treatment. The C/N ratio of the AG was significantly higher for *L. perenne* or the mixture compared to *P. lanceolata* at N0 and N300 and generally ranged between 15 and 32. The C/N ratio of the BG ranged between 30 and 46 and was 20% lower for *P. lanceolata* relative to *L. perenne* at 0N and 23% lower for *P. lanceolata* relative to the mixture or the *P. lanceolata* monoculture at the N300 rate (Table 4.1).

The proportion of *P. lanceolata* in the binary mixtures ranged between 7–26% (mean=13%), depending on the N rate and was negatively correlated with increasing N rate (Table 4.1). With increasing N fertilizer, the plant N uptake increased across all the treatments (Table 4.1). Compared with the control, the N300 rate reduced SNUE of the swards, but the N150 rate only decreased SNUE of the mixed swards. The N150 rate increased RNUE across swards compared with the control, although the differences were not significant for the *L. perenne*-rich swards (Table 4.1).

4.3.2 N₂O emission

Daily N₂O emission ranged from 0 - 8.70 mg N m⁻² across all treatments, with means (±sd) of 0.01±0.02, 0.40±0.49 and 1.37±1.94 mg N m⁻² at the N0, N150 and N300 rates, respectively. The highest peak fluxes of 0.11, 1.67 and 8.70 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹ observed for the N0, N150, and N300 rates, respectively, were recorded from the *P. lanceolata* swards (Figure 4.2). Generally, increasing N rates increased cumulative N₂O emission (~ 85-fold), but the differences were not significant in some cases (Table 4.2). For example, whereas the N300 rate consistently increased N₂O emission (kg ha⁻¹) across the swards compared with control, N addition at the N150 did not increase N₂O emissions from the *L. perenne* swards significantly (*p*>0.05; Table 4.2). Unlike the monocultures, the N150 and N300 rates did not affect (*p*>0.05) cumulative N₂O emission per pot, per root biomass or total biomass in the mixed swards (Table 4.2).

4.3.3 LAP expression

LAP expression in the soils increased after the first growth stage at all N rates and appeared to have stabilized or dropped slightly at the third growth stage (Figure 4.3a). Increasing N avail-

ability in the soils significantly (p < 0.05) reduced LAP activity at each growth stage. LAP activity was highest in the mixtures and lowest in *P. lanceolata* monocultures (p < 0.05), except for the N150, where differences between the two monocultures were marginal (Figure 4.3b).



Figure 4.2: Graphs showing leucine aminopeptidase (LAP) expression in fertilized soils cultivated with forage species as affected by (a) stage of growth and (b) sward type. Lowercase letters represent significant differences between the sward types and uppercase letters between the N-rate within one treatment. LP represents *Lolium perenne;* PL *represents Plantago lanceolata*

4.3.4 Relationships between the measured variables

4.3.4.1 Binary relationships between N2O emission and the plant/microbial traits

N₂O-N emission did not significantly correlate with any plant/microbial trait in the N0 treatment (Table 4.3). At N150, lower N₂O-N emissions were significantly (p<0.05) associated with a higher BG C/N ratio and higher SNUE; however, higher N₂O-N emission associated with higher *L lanceolata* proportions. Lower N₂O-N emission was associated with higher BG DM and higher microbial activity at the N300 rate (Table 4.3). Generally, a higher percentage of plantain in the sward correlated negatively with BG DM, BG C/N ratio and SNUE at all the N rates and BG C/N ratio correlated positively with SNUE at all the N rates.

4.3.4.2 The relative importance of the plant/microbial traits to N₂O emission

The structural equation models (SEM) shown in Figure 4.3 describe the direct and indirect effects of the measured plant/microbial traits on N₂O emission. The SEM path coefficients largely agree with the correlation coefficients reported in Table 4.3. Accordingly, the N₂O emissions in the 150 N treatment were predominantly positively influenced by the percentage of plantain in the sward (r=0.50) and negatively influenced by the C/N ratio of the belowground

biomass (*r*=-0.42) and the root/shoot ratio (*r*=-0.30), with all contributing factors resulting in a combined coefficient of determination of $R^2 = 0.62$ (Figure 4.3).

BG AG BG NUpE N2ON BG/AG RNUE SNUE LAP	Plan											
DM C/N C/N												
NO												
AG DM 0.75** 0.75** 0.54 0.64* 0.00 0.22 0.41 0.72* 0.54	-0.78**											
BG DM 0.71 [*] 0.76 ^{**} 0.40 -0.28 0.78 ^{**} 0.78 ^{**} 0.64 [*] 0.53	-0.79**											
AG C/N 0.73** 0.08 -0.09 0.29 0.57 0.95*** 0.75**	-0.64*											
BG C/N -0.01 0.06 0.54 0.93*** 0.74** 0.50	-0.69*											
NUpE 0.11 0.15 0.01 0.03 -0.06	-0.40											
N ₂ ON -0.34 -0.15 0.00 -0.19	0.20											
BG/AG 0.69* 0.22 0.26	-0.44											
RNUE 0.53 0.34	-0.61*											
SNUE 0.69*	-0.73**											
LAP	-0.46											
N150												
AG DM 0.71* 0.59* 0.46 0.48 -0.49 -0.23 0.08 0.66* 0.22	-0.73**											
BG DM 0.37 0.36 0.62* -0.56 0.47 0.31 0.53 0.16	-0.76**											
AG C/N 0.48 -0.30 -0.60* -0.18 0.28 0.95*** -0.09	-0.81**											
BG C/N 0.15 -0.59* -0.11 0.62* 0.52 -0.27	-0.65*											
NUpE -0.03 0.20 -0.06 -0.15 0.23	-0.19											
-0.19 -0.38 -0.73* -0.27	0.73**											
BG/AG 0.37 -0.08 -0.06	-0.15											
RNUE 0.25 -0.62*	-0.40											
SNUE 0.10	-											
	0.87***											
LAP	-0.04											
N300												
AG DM 0.83** 0.80** 0.69* 0.66* -0.53 0.48 0.86*** 0.80** 0.50	-0.60*											
BG DM 0.70 [*] 0.53 0.41 -0.60 [*] 0.84 ^{**} 0.82 ^{**} 0.71 [*] 0.59 [*]	-0.65*											
AB C/N 0.83** 0.17 -0.52 0.50 0.89*** 0.99*** 0.58	-0.78**											
BG C/N 0.10 -0.57 0.38 0.81** 0.83** 0.41	-0.67*											
NUpE -0.07 -0.07 0.36 0.19 -0.02	0.11											
$-0.54 -0.43 -0.52 -0.69^*$	0.45											
BG/AG 0.59* 0.48 0.54												
RNUE 0.80*** 0.37	-0.72**											
NIVOL 0.07	-0.72** -0.75**											
SNUE 0.55	-0.72** -0.75** -0.79**											

Table 4.3: Spearman correlation coefficients showing the relationships between N₂ON emission, biomass variables and microbial activity

^aComputed correlation used spearman-method with pairwise deletion. BG – below ground biomass; AG – aboveground biomass; DM– dry matter; C-carbon; N- nitrogen; RNUE/SNUE- root/shoot nitrogen use efficiency; NUpE- whole plant N uptake; LAP- leucine aminopeptidase, a proxy for microbial activity; level of significance: "***" p<0.001, "**" p<0.01, "*" p<0.05, "" p>0.05



Figure 4.3: Structural equation models showing the relationships between the measured variables at (A) N150 fertilizer rate and (B) at N300 fertilizer rate. Plan-forage plantain share; NUpE- whole plant N uptake; Rt_St- root/shoot ratio; BGCN-belowground biomass C/N ratio; LAP- leucine aminopeptidase, a proxy for microbial activity; green arrows represent a positive association, and red arrows represent negative association; numbers within arrows represent standardized path coefficients; whereby negative path coefficients indicate a negative relationship. The higher the absolute value of the path coefficient, the more important is the path. Numbers in the white boxes show the percentage of explained variance of each of the endogenous variables. X2 indicates the fit of the model, Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Tuckere Lewis Index (TLI), and the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA).

While the plantain share negatively influenced both the LAP activity (r= -0.68, R^2 =0.38) and the whole plant N uptake (r= -0.44, R² =0.21), this did not translate into a strong influence on N₂O emissions. For 300N, the percentage of plantain in the biomass was again positively linked to the N₂O emissions (r= 0.68), with no other factors having strong direct impacts. However, again, the plantain percentage negatively impacted LAP activity (r= -0.89, R^2 = 0.34); this again did not translate into a strong impact on N₂O emissions (r = -0.22). At both N rates, plantain percentage and BG C/N ratio appeared to be the dominant factors influencing soil microbial activities. The plant and microbial factors' impact on N₂O emission reduced by about 10% when N application increased by 100%.

4.4 Discussion

4.4.1 Dry matter, carbon and nitrogen yields

Nitrogen application generally increased dry matter production, C and N yields (Appendix C Figure C1). This trend was expected as N is a significant component of chlorophyll required for plant metabolism, including proteins and photosynthates synthesis (OHYAMA, 2010). Nitrogen addition stimulates root growth and development, enhancing uptake of other nutrients (AI *et al.*, 2017). Application of N affected biomass yield most during the third growth stage. However, the *P. lanceolata* sward response to higher N rates was weaker than the *L. perenne*rich swards. Surprisingly, DM yield did not differ significantly between the two fertilizer rates across the swards, despite a general tendency to increase yields (FERREIRA *et al.*, 2017). This was even though the N rates used in this study were within the range of annual inputs (up 450 kg N/ha) from fertilizer or fixation by legumes on most intensively cultivated pastures (BALL & RYDEN, 1984).

We hypothesized that the mixed sward would yield higher DM than the mono-species swards due to their higher capacity to utilize resources efficiently, even at the N300 rate (NYFELER *et al.*, 2009). Although DM yield was slightly higher (about 3%) for the mixed swards relative to the *L. perenne* swards, this difference was not statistically significant. The similar DM yield observed for mixed and *L. perenne* swards might be due to the relatively low proportion of *P. lanceolata* (average of about 13%) in the mixed swards, which probably did not suffice for optimal niche utilization. Regarding the monocultures, consistent with our observation, MIN-NEÉ *et al.* (2019) found a consistently lower (about 30%) DM yield from *P. lanceolata* than perennial ryegrass monocultures in a meta-analysis. This trend was attributable to the high water content of Plantago (O'CONNELL *et al.*, 2016). This attribute of *P. lanceolata* can induce diuresis when consumed by livestock and has been described as beneficial as animals are required to increase their water uptake and dilute the concentration of N in the urine, thus minimizing N losses to the environment.

The results showed that N fertilization reduced the C/N ratio in both below- and aboveground biomass, with this trend being most evident in the N300 treatment. Thus, C and N were assimilated differently depending on the N rate, plant part or sward type (Table 4.1). Similarly, earlier authors reported increased N assimilation and decreased C/N ratios in maize straw (CHEN *et al.*, 2009) and grass swards (GORISSEN & COTRUFO, 2000; JIANG *et al.*, 2016) with fertilizer application. However, VAN GINKEL *et al.*, (1997) observed no fertilizer effect on the root C/N ratio of *L. perenne* swards. Still, in most plants, nutrient availability and light intensity drive C acquisition and biomass allocation (IRVING, 2015). N supply is necessary to synthesise C and N assimilates (FOYER *et al.*, 2001); thus, N is tightly linked with photosynthesis. The C/N ratio in plant parts is not fixed but varies according to the availability of resources, particularly N but also CO₂ (THEOBALD *et al.*, 1998). Inadequate N reduces amino acid concentration and decreases growth, leading to the accumulation of carbohydrates, hence a high C/N ratio (LAWLOR, 2002). On the other hand, higher NO₃⁻⁻ uptake relative to CO₂ uptake increases amino acid accumulation relative to carbohydrates, leading to a low C/N ratio and growth stimulation (LAWLOR *et al.*, 1987).

The N application also reduced the NUE of both above- and belowground biomass and was consistently lower for the *P. lanceolata* swards than *L. perenne* or mixed swards. The similarity between *L. perenne* and mixed swards in NUE might again be due to the high *L. perenne* proportion mixtures (Figure 4.1). NUE in the plant appears genetically determined (HEILMEIER & MONSON, 1994) and might differ from one plant to another. Significant uptake of N by plants depends, among other factors, on the efficiency of NO₃⁻ absorption by roots, which is a function of the storage capacity for NO₃⁻ (LAWLOR *et al.*, 1987). N availability promotes root growth, a direct response to increasing root capacity to accommodate the incoming NO₃⁻. However, N accumulation reduces root sugar content, affecting plant growth as sugar content correlates with growth (SCHEIBLE *et al.*, 1997), partly explaining why at very high N application rates, NUE appears to diminish. As observed in this study, NUE significantly dropped when N was added at 300 kg ha⁻¹ equivalent.

The proportion of *P. lanceolata* in the mixed-swards increased over time, particularly under low soil N conditions. This result was comparable to reports by (OLFF & BAKKER, 1991), who observed increased *Plantago* proportions in mixed swards on nutrient-poor soils, especially when the cutting intervals are extended. However, under the medium to high N fertilization, *P. lanceolata* proportions remained low, as a result of competition from perennial ryegrass in mixed swards. This trend was expected, as individual species' performance in mixtures depends on the companion species due to interspecific interactions, which can be synergistic or antagonistic. The strength of the interaction also depends on the species relative abundances (BLACK *et al.*, 2017). Perennial ryegrass is a fast and aggressive species and has a high capacity to utilize N for biomass productivity, as shown in this study and might dominate mixed plant communities (ABALOS *et al.*, 2014). This attribute of *L. perenne* swards might partly explain the higher response of the *L. perenne* swards to N fertilization than the *P. lanceolata* swards.

4.4.2 LAP activity

One of our objectives was to assess whether changes in soil N status and differences in sward diversity affect LAP enzyme activity. The swards' structural and functional differences affected LAP expression in the soils, with a higher enzyme activity associated with the mixed swards relative to the monocultures at all N fertilization rates. Plants may affect the abundance and activity of soil microbes, especially those involved in N cycling. Previous studies reported high microbial activity associating with more acquisitive swards than conservative swards (BAXENDALE et al., 2014; GRIGULIS et al., 2013; ORWIN et al., 2010). This trend might relate to the high exudation associated with mixed-species communities and the consequent stimulation of microbial activity. Soil microorganisms derive energy from root exudates for biomass production (BAUDOIN et al., 2003). The amount and type of exudates depend on several factors, including the plant species, plant age (HERTENBERGER et al., 2002; MAR-TIN & BREWBAKER, 1971) and soil factors (e.g. soil type, moisture; (BOONE et al., 1998; NEUMANN et al., 2014). Accordingly, plants often affect their rhizobiomes via root exudation (HOOPER et al., 2000, MOMMER et al., 2016). Variations and stoichiometry in root exudates can alter microbial communities and functions and influence the relationship between plant diversity and microbial communities (HOOPER et al., 2000).

Plant-soil- feedbacks might determine soil biota and enzyme expression changes (BEVER *et al.*, 2012). ALLISON *et al.* (2011) hypothesized that extracellular enzyme production is under evolutionary pressure to minimize enzyme production's cost-benefit ratio. Under low N soil

conditions, plants depend heavily on microbes to acquire N. This extra demand from the plants stimulates microbes to express N-acquiring enzymes to mine N (LIU *et al.*, 2018). Thus, LAP activity in soils may be a good indicator for evaluating N cycling response to N availability in soils (SHI *et al.*, 2016). However, LAP expression response to N availability might be mediated by environmental conditions, including soil pH, soil water availability, and enzyme co-factors availability (SHI *et al.*, 2016). Although we did not measure soil pH changes in this study, it is speculated that N addition must have reduced soil pH (BARDGETT *et al.*, 1999; BARNEZE *et al.*, 2020; YOU *et al.*, 2017). N addition is also associated with increased biomass production (Table 4.3), which increases leaf transpiration, leading to water loss (XU *et al.*, 2010; ZITER & MACDOUGALL, 2013). Thus, the possible decreasing soil pH and reducing water availability due to increasing N addition may negatively affect microbial activity, and for that matter, LAP expression (DICK *et al.*, 2000; ZHOU *et al.*, 2013).

Furthermore, we found a positive association between LAP expression and the BG C/N ratio (Table 4.3; Figure 4.3). Similarly, previous authors (EISENHAUER et al., 2017; THAKUR et al., 2015) reported a positive association between increased root biomass, root exudates and microbial biomass as well as increased species richness. PROMMER et al. (2020) reported a direct relationship between root biomass, microbial growth and microbial biomass carbon. Thus, higher C availability below ground will stimulate microbial growth (HOPKINS et al., 2014) and further explain why there appeared to be an increased LAP activity associated with increasing growth stage and the mixed swards (Figure 4.2). However, soil microbial communities can also affect root exudates' amount and composition (MEIER et al., 2013). SØREN-SEN et al. (2009) contended that various plants' different sets of organic compounds affect the structure, abundance, and microbes' activity. Thus, more diverse exudates would stimulate a higher microbial diversity (PHILIPPOT et al., 2013) and alter extracellular enzyme expression (KREYLING et al., 2008). Moreover, we found increasing N availability associated with decreasing LAP expression (Figure 4.3). This trend was also reported for temperate Mongolian steppe (SHI et al., 2016) and other grassland ecosystems (CENINI et al., 2016; STURSOVA et al., 2006).

4.4.3 Nitrous oxide emission

Nitrogen balance increased with increasing N rate applied, consequently increasing N₂O emission from the swards. Increasing rates of N supply were expected to increase N₂O emission as N₂O emission is a function of soil residual N. The oxidation of NH₄ and reduction of NO₃ results in N₂O production and consumption in terrestrial ecosystems. N fertilizers provide a

rich source of N for the nitrification and denitrification processes by microbes (ALLEN *et al.*, 2010; CAI *et al.*, 1997; SUN *et al.*, 2016), given that all environmental conditions are suitable. NH₄ forming fertilizers (urea in this case) undergo rapid nitrification to stimulate N₂O production. Generally, fertilizer application increases N₂O emissions for approximately 5-8 weeks (BOUWMAN *et al.*, 2002; GRANLI & BØCKMAN, 1995; MÜLLER & SHERLOCK, 2004). Hence, the consequent effect of N addition on N₂O emission observed in this study is not surprising.

However, it was previously reported that *P. lanceolata* may release plant secondary metabolites, including mucilage, pectin, flavonoids and tannins, which inhibit microbial growth (PEN-SANTES-SANGAY *et al.*, 2020). The release of these chemicals via root exudates was reported to inhibit nitrification in soils (CARLTON *et al.*, 2019; GARDINER *et al.*, 2018; JUD-SON *et al.*, 2019; MANGWE *et al.*, 2019). Thus, the negative relationship between the *P. lanceolata* share in the swards and LAP expression observed in this study (Figure 4.3) is not surprising. We hypothesized that a higher *P. lanceolata* proportion in the swards might reduce N₂O emissions from the soils. Contrary to this, a higher *P. lanceolata* proportion in the swards resulted in higher N₂O emission (Table 4.3; Figure 4.3). This observation is consistent with previous studies, which reported no difference in N₂O emission or the growth of ammoniumoxidizing bacteria (AOB) when ruminant urine N was applied to ryegrass-white clover pasture and pastures containing 30–100 % plantain (PODOLYAN *et al.*, 2020) and there was no evidence of plantain affecting soil inorganic-N concentrations via biological nitrification inhibition following ruminant urine N application (LUO *et al.*, 2018; ROSEAUX *et al.*, 2020; SI-MON *et al.*, 2019).

In contrast, (CARLTON *et al.*, 2019) reported reduced AOB abundance for a *P. lanceolata* rich pasture (ca. 30% plantain), with a strong impact of contrasting soils and environmental conditions. The presence of a symbiotic association of plants with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) is known to affect N cycling by reducing available N, with consequent effects on denitrifying and nitrifying communities and N₂O emission (BENDER *et al.*, 2014; HODGE & FIT-TER, 2010; VERESOGLOU *et al.*, 2012). Meanwhile, the abundance of AMF is reported to vary with plant age, with well-established AMF communities reducing N₂O emission significantly (VERESOGLOU *et al.*, 2012). Thus, it appears this age effect might explain the lack of a plantain nitrification inhibition effect in the current study and previous mesocosm experiments (PIJLMAN *et al.*, 2020; ROSEAUX *et al.*, 2020), which involved less established PL

swards when compared with the experiment by CARLTON *et al.* (2019) which involved older PL pastures (about 2 yrs). Besides, the NI effect of forage plantain might depend on other ecological factors, including light irradiation, soil fertility, water, salinity, temperature, microbial degradation, or interaction with soil particles (YANG *et al.*, 2018; LUO *et al.*, 2018).

Previous authors have suggested the use of yield-scaled N₂O emission or N₂O emission intensity (cumulative N₂O divided by biomass or N uptake; (VAN GROENIGEN et al., 2010) to identify potential trade-offs between food production and environmental sustainability (PIT-TELKOW et al., 2014; ABALOS et al., 2018). However, yield-scaled N₂O emissions showed a similar trend, suggesting the L. perenne-based pastures' superiority in ecological efficiency. At low soil N conditions, N₂O emission and emission intensity were similar across the forage swards but differed at higher soil N concentrations, suggesting that grasslands' response to N₂O emission depends on soil N status. Root/shoot and biomass C/N ratios were higher for L. perenne-rich swards than the P. lanceolata swards, and higher root/shoot ratio or higher belowground C/N ratio were associated with low N2O emission. Furthermore, biomass allocation belowground correlated positively with a high BG C/N ratio as well as microbial activity (Figure 4.3; Table 4.3). These results point to the assertion that the C belowground availability stimulates microbial activity, including boosting N consuming species' activity. This relatively enhanced microbial activity must have resulted in the consumption or immobilization of soil residual N (BIERNAT et al., 2020; DE KLEIN et al., 2019). From the results, it can be hypothesized that the relatively high N2O emission observed from the P. lanceolata swards compared with the L. perenne-rich swards might be due to the lower resource allocation belowground, which limited their capacity to store N and limited the activity N- acquiring microbes. Meanwhile, the negative relationship between N₂O emission and microbial activity at the N300 rate projects N-acquiring microbes' role as N2O-N consumers. Thus, a higher abundance of N₂O consuming microbes might lead to reduced N₂O emission.

Root/shoot and biomass C/N ratio were slightly higher for the *L. perenne* swards than the mixed swards; however, N uptake, N uptake efficiency and LAP expression were 6 %, 9% and 41%, respectively, higher, while N₂O-N emission was 9 % lower for mixed swards compared with the *L. perenne* swards. Previous studies have reported the high N use efficiency of mixed swards due to their high potential for niche differentiation and species complementarity (NYFELER *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, the high microbial enzyme expression associated with the mixed swards compared with the mono-crops might be due to increased root exudation, which

must have stimulated the proliferation of N-acquiring microbes. However, the abundance of N-acquiring microbes, such as AMF, in soil declines with fertilization and intensive land use (Figure 4.2b; EGERTON-WARBURTON & ALLEN, 2000; OEHL *et al.*, 2004), which consequently reduces the N₂O mitigation potential of forage species (Figure 4.3). These results suggest that low input systems may have a higher potential to enhance beneficial plant-microbe symbiotic associations with positive consequences for the ozone layer and the earth's climate. The high association between LAP expression, root/shoot ratio, and N₂O emission suggests that LAP is a potential biomarker for screening pastures for low N₂O emissions.

4.5 Conclusion

This study aimed to understand the role of plant belowground resource allocation in N_2O emission and establish the potential impact of forage plantain on N_2O emission from soils of northern Germany. N addition increased biomass C and N, which was consistently higher for the *L. perenne*-rich swards than *P. lanceolata* monocultures. Contrary to our hypothesis, higher *P. lanceolata* proportions in the swards increased N_2O emission but reduced N-acquiring microbes' activities. The mixed swards saw the most increased microbial activity and the highest NUE, suggesting LAP activity as a potential indicator or biomarker for higher efficiency. Besides, the results suggest C allocation dynamics belowground as a likely means by which plants impact N_2O emission. Forage plant breeders could explore this to enhance the capacity of intensively cultivated grasslands to mitigate N losses to the environment. Our study highlights the need to factor plant traits into models that predict N_2O emission from soils.

CHAPTER 5

General discussion

5.1 Forage plants and the livestock- climate change nexus

Agriculture is an essential anthropogenic activity that predates modern history, and its importance keeps growing through transformations to meet the growing demand for food. However, the impact of agriculture on the environment has become a sharp focus, especially as future demand for food is projected to double over the next 2-3 decades. The negative impact of agriculture on the environment is primarily blamed on intensification and simplification of production evident on the field, farm, landscape or regional scale (LEMAIRE *et al.*, 2014). Grassland use contributes to climate change and environmental degradation but can also be used as a tool for greenhouse gas emissions mitigation. Most low-input systems, spanning from extensive mixed farming to organic farming, which form a substantial part of the global agricultural production systems, are located in grasslands. These systems must be optimized to increase both production and ecological efficiencies to remain sustainable. Optimizing production will require the optimization and use of internal production inputs (i.e., on-farm resources) to lower production costs, avoid pollution, reduce the farmer's overall risk, and increase both short and long-term farm profitability (PARR *et al.*, 1990).

Low-input systems are often considered ecologically beneficial; however, reducing inputs might either reduce or increase environmental impacts per product unit, depending on the initial situation and the impacts under consideration (KULAK *et al.*, 2013). Assessing the ecological efficiency of farming systems requires appropriate data upon which production decisions could be made. However, data on agroecological issues are scarce, particularly from developing countries where research investment is usually low (HARRIS, 2004). Due to data inadequacy, generalized proxies or models are used to estimate, for example, GHG emissions at the land-scape, regional or global scales. GHG emissions from agriculture are already associated with high uncertainties because processes leading to greenhouse gas production are susceptible to environmental factors (FIRESTONE & DAVIDSON, 1989; FLECHARD *et al.*, 2007; IPCC, 2006; VAN DER WEERDEN *et al.*, 2020; VOGLMEIER *et al.*, 2019). Much more data covering gradients of environments and management practices are required to reduce the colossal uncertainty associated with greenhouse gas production. Apart from presenting actual measured figures regarding SOC stocks and N₂O emission and emission factors from the case studies,

this thesis also deepened our understanding regarding factors that might affect GHG emissions from such a neglected food basket. The results from these studies would generally contribute towards the attainment of global goals of environmental protection as seen in various treaties and conventions such as the "4 per mille" concept adopted at the 21st Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in Paris (MINASNY *et al.*, 2017), the Sustainable Development Goals (e.g. SDG15; UNCCD, 2015) and many other regional and national programmes.

Adoption of improved grassland species is expected to improve productivity while offering ecological services. Therefore, there is strong advocacy for using diverse grasslands to impact soil health, fertility, and productivity while delivering environmental services (DE KLEIN et al., 2019; SUTER et al., 2015). However, pasture species' role as greenhouse gas mitigation agents is poorly researched (BARNEZE et al., 2020; FUCHS et al., 2020). Interactions among plants or between plants and soil within an ecosystem result in feedback systems that regulate ecosystem functions, thus, making grassland species composition an essential factor (BARDGETT, 2011; BARNEZE et al., 2020; DE DEYN et al., 2008; LOREAU & HECTOR, 2001; TILMAN, 2001). However, the effects of grassland species composition on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions is relatively unexplored (ABALOS et al., 2014, 2018; BARNEZE et al., 2020; SUN et al., 2013). Although the past few years have seen increasing research to ascertain the potential of grasslands in enhancing ecological efficiency within farms, several issues remain unresolved, three of which are addressed in this thesis: (i) the prospects of low input forage production systems regarding C and N emission mitigation remain blurred, (ii) the factors that might confound the emission mitigation potential of low-input forage systems are not well understood, and (iii) the impact of mixed-sward pastures, particularly those containing forage plantain on N losses, has not been consistent. This thesis explored these issues to ascertain the degree to which existing forage species/pastures can enhance the ecological efficiency of low-input ruminant livestock feeding systems.

5.2 To what extent do existing forage species affect C and N cycling under low-input management systems?

In this thesis, the forage species' botanical and functional diversity at the farm scale significantly influenced SOC storage (*Chapter* 2) and N₂O emission (*Chapter* 3 & 4). The wide variation in SOC stocks (range = 16–42 Mg ha; CV=27-30%) among species within each functional group suggests that some of the forage species have unique characteristics, leading to a differential impact on SOC storage and soil chemical properties. Among the host of plants encountered (*Chapter* 2), however, only a few grass species (such as *Cenchrus ciliaris, Tripsacum laxum, Pennisetum purperuem*) and legume tree/shrubs (such as *Baphia nitida, Cajanus cajan and Gliricidia sepium*) appeared to have a higher potential (*Chapter* 2) to impact the soils positively under the current management practices (Appendix Figure A1). The tropical herbaceous legumes encountered in this study (*Chapter* 2) had relatively low SOC storage potential (*Chapter* 2). However, when established in mixtures with grasses, the tropical legume herbaceous species could improve soil fertility, belowground NPP and consequently SOC storage capacity (LÜSCHER et al., 2014; MORTENSON et al., 2004; RUZ-JEREZ et al., 1994).

It was found that diversity among the mixed pastures did not affect annual N₂O emission significantly both under grazing and simulated grazing (*Chapter* 3), contrary to our hypothesis. Nevertheless, a decreasing cumulative N₂O emission was evident when excreta N₂O emitted was related to the N uptake. Although the role of belowground spatial complementarity is not well understood (HOEKSTRA *et al.*, 2015), it appears one main route by which mixed swards affect N₂O emission is by their high N uptake efficiency (*Chapter* 4). However, the efficiency with which such pastures take up N from the soil could be limited by unfavourable soil moisture conditions, which also have implications for N₂O emission (*Chapter* 3). In *Chapter* 3, for instance, there was a decreasing trend of N₂O emission with increasing grassland diversity from grazed plots in 2019 but not in 2018. The mean soil temperatures for the two years were similar, but rainfall was slightly higher in 2019 compared with 2018. The relatively higher soil moisture conditions in 2019 might have enhanced the diverse pastures' capacity to impact N use from the soils. This hypothesis could be tested further to understand better the factors that might confound the N use efficiency of diverse pastures.

The effect of legume proportions in mixed swards on N₂O emission is not well understood (BARNEZE *et al.*, 2020; FUCHS *et al.*, 2020). This thesis (*Chapter* 3) showed that higher legume share in swards might increase N₂O emission by increasing soil residual N. However, N₂O emission from the legume-based pastures was relatively low from both grazed and simulated plots on the field (*Chapter* 3). This trend is attributable to high productivity and high N assimilation into aboveground biomass (BARNEZE *et al.*, 2020; FUCHS *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, higher legume proportions in pastures might decrease ecosystem respiration in fertilized pastures, leading to C and N accumulation in soils (DE DEYN *et al.*, 2011) as well as in unfertilized systems due to the increase of above- and belowground biomass, with positive implications for C and N storage in the soil (WU *et al.*, 2017).
Many forage species, including legumes, herbs, and grasses, contain bioactive chemicals with environmental benefits (DE KLEIN *et al.*, 2019; VERMA *et al.*, 2021). This thesis has shown that topical forage dicots yielding more than 200kg CT/ha/year might positively affect SOC storage in the tropical grassland (*Chapter* 2). The role of plant secondary metabolites in SOC stabilization and mean retention time extension has been reported in the literature (ADAMCZYK *et al.*, 2017; CHOMEL *et al.*, 2016; HALVORSON *et al.*, 2011; KRAUS *et al.*, 2003; TAMURA & THARAYIL, 2014). The CT levels in the dicots at the site were within acceptable livestock feeding levels (*Chapter* 2). Thus, the additional ecological benefit from the tree/shrub species in this agroecosystem is essential, particularly as CTs are already beneficial in animal nutrition, with appreciable levels being able to reduce enteric methane emission, improve amino acid supply, act as an anthelminthic and reduce urinary N excretion (NYAMEASEM *et al.*, 2017; VERMA *et al.*, 2021).

The mechanisms through which individual plants directly impact N₂O emission is not well understood. DE KLEIN *et al.* (2019) suggested that a key mechanism by which plants such as plantain affect N₂O emissions is through root exudates that inhibit nitrification and/or increase available C and thus N immobilization. In *Chapter* 3, the presence of forage plantain in the most diverse pasture, a species known to be rich in bioactive compounds and effective against nitrification (SIMON *et al.*, 2019), did not impact N₂O emission or N₂O emission factor. In an earlier study (PODOLYAN *et al.*, 2020), the N₂O emission mitigation effect of forage plantain was only evident at 30-100%. Therefore, it was assumed that the most diverse pasture (*Chapter* 3) did not affect N₂O emission, probably due to the low forage plantain percentage in the mixture. Further work was necessary to establish the efficacy of forage plantain as a valuable plant to mitigate N₂O emission from pastures under northern Germany's environmental conditions.

As reported in *Chapter* 4, results showed that accumulated N₂O emission was about two times higher for the 100% forage plantain swards than the perennial ryegrass-rich swards, although the inhibitory effect on microbial activity was evident. The result is similar to previous reports, which also involved young plantain swards (Pijlman et al. 2020; Roseaux et al. 2020) but in contrast with reports by Carlton et al. (2019), who used relatively older pastures (about 2 yr old). These results suggest the probable effect of sward age on plantain's ability to inhibit nitrification. At the same time, environmental factors must have constrained the forage plantain's bioactivity (YANG *et al.*, 2018). Meanwhile, further studies to identify such factors might inform breeding decisions towards improving this promising forage species' potentials. However, in this thesis (*Chapter* 4), it was shown that the allocation of more biomass C belowground

reduced N₂O emission. It appears that higher biomass allocation belowground enhanced the N uptake efficiency of roots and increased microbial activity, leading to immobilization of N. This proposition could be explored further to improve forage accessions in that regard. It is worth mentioning that higher belowground biomass allocation may also enhance pastures' SOC storage capacity (WILSON *et al.*, 2018).

5.3 How could the capacity of pastures be enhanced within the farm system to mitigate GHG emissions?

At the farm scale, land use/management differences resulted in varying SOC stocks (Chapter 2) and N₂O emissions (*Chapter 3*). The conversion of native grassland to arable cropping or forage monocultures resulted in SOC losses, except for shrubby/tree legume fodders. Carbon storage in soils is determined by the balance of inputs and outputs in respiration, caused mainly by soil disturbances (SOUSSANA et al., 2010). Conversion of native grasslands to agriculture within the first few years results in SOC losses due to the soil's disturbance, exposing stored C to decomposition and losses by respiration (DENG et al., 2016; SOUSSANA et al., 2010). However, the management style adopted after land conversion might influence the soils' SOC storage potential. Management decisions might affect the soil's physical, chemical, and biological properties, increasing or decreasing organic C content (LAL, 2004; WANG et al., 2018). Farm practices that increase organic matter input or reduce outputs promote C sequestration in the soil (THE ROYAL SOCIETY, 2018). SOC storage in low-input systems might be enhanced by increasing biomass input (either by applying farmyard manure or by adjusting harvested biomass), decreasing losses from erosion or decomposition (through cover cropping or mulching) or increasing mean retention time by stabilizing the sequestered SOC through minimal tillage (LAL, 2018). Other feasible measures might include an appropriate combination of ecoefficient genotypes to minimize nitrification rates or reduce urinary N excretion. Further, the grass, legume, and herb species' potential could be explored to obtain species ratios that optimize N uptake and minimize economic and environmental losses. The implementation of the strategies mentioned above could be complicated and might require further field studies and modelling.

Grazing is a significant source of methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions. Moreover, grazing instead of cut –and –carry system increased N emissions (*Chapter* 3) via the return of high loads of feed N (SELBIE *et al.*, 2015). Meanwhile, grazed livestock production in Ghana's grassland ecosystems is an integral part of the cultural, social,

and economic identities of communities. However, grazing has been shown to stimulate C sequestration in soils with inconsistent results (MCSHERRY & RITCHIE, 2013). This study (*Chapter* 2) found the lowest SOC stocks in the grazed paddocks, probably due to improper grazing management and frequent pasture renovation (REINSCH *et al.*, 2018). On the contrary, light grazing instead of heavy grazing, converting grass leys to grass-legume mixtures and avoiding frequent tillage could enhance SOC storage at the paddocks (SOUSSANA *et al.*, 2010). These actions could increase N or organic matter input and decrease the decomposition of SOC. Grazing could be optimized to improve N uptake further at the site by adopting appropriate animal, plant and soil management practices on the grazing fields. These measures might include the appropriate timing of grazing to regulate N input and maximize N uptake or minimize N losses.

In *Chapter* 2, it was shown that soil nutrient availability, especially N, was a critical driver of SOC stocks in the low-input forage systems of SSA. This strong N effect and its interaction with P and/or K show the importance of fertilizer application if substantial SOC sequestration is to be achieved in this agroecosystem. Replenishing lost soil nutrients enhances belowground NPP, the primary means by which pastoral systems increase SOC sequestration. However, increasing the N fertilizer supply may not be ideal, as it promotes the expansion of grasses over dicots in mixed pastures. Moreover, the abundance of N-acquiring microbes, such as AMF, which positively influence the N cycle, might decline with increased N fertilization, decreasing the N₂O mitigation potential of forage species (*Chapter* 4). Accordingly, low input systems may have a higher potential to enhance beneficial plant-microbe symbiotic associations with positive consequences for the ozone layer and the earth's climate.

The results also suggest that species that use soil nutrients optimally might impact SOC sequestration in the low-input system. It, therefore, suffice to suggest that efforts be geared towards identifying or breeding plants with high N use efficiency, as N is always a limiting nutrient in such systems (PASLEY *et al.*, 2019). Also, plants with high N uptake efficiency had reduced N losses (*Chapter* 3 & 4). However, higher residual N (or surplus N) in soils might result in N losses to the environment (*Chapter* 3 & 4). By extension, it could be said that plants with relatively high N uptake efficiency might have a higher potential to mitigate C and N losses from low-input agroecosystems. In this regard, adopting mixed-sward systems, including legumes species, might enhance C sequestration in these soils (LANGE *et al.*, 2015). The inclusion of forbs and N-fixing legumes in mixed-pastures is imperative, particularly as these functional groups are more responsive to elevated CO₂ levels (BACA CABRERA *et al.*, 2021). Irrigation could serve as an essential strategy to mitigate GHG emissions by enhancing pastures' productivity, leading to an increased grassland capacity to assimilate more N into biomass. In northern Germany, this might be necessary for later spring and summer but will require that soil moisture is just enough to meet plant needs as excess soil moisture might enhance N losses to the environment via denitrification or leaching. As reported in *Chapter* 3, relatively high water-filled pore space (about 60%) almost doubled N₂O emission from a binary pasture.

5.4 Some methodological perspectives

To obtain credible data, the methods by which the data is obtained and handled is essential. The methods adopted in this thesis generally followed recommended procedures (BUTTER-BACH-BAHL et al., 2016; IPCC, 2006, 2019). However, SOC sequestration assessment is often constrained by spatial and temporal variability, thus requiring proper sampling techniques and long-term field experiments (lasting several years and decades) to detect changes in C stocks (NAYAK et al., 2019). It must be noted that C sequestration in agricultural systems can be determined over a relatively shorter period using the Edy-covariance flux system, which can measure net ecosystem exchange (NEE), net ecosystem production (NEP) and carbon sequestration but at a landscape or whole-farm scale (CHAPIN et al., 2006; POYDA et al., 2020). Another emerging method of measuring SOC sequestration is through the life cycle analysis (LCA) approach at a farm or even larger scales (GOGLIO et al., 2015; KIMMING et al., 2011; NAYAK et al., 2019). The fields/plots used in the SSA study (Chapter 2) were about 50 years old under almost the same management conditions but were not originally designed to address the objectives set out in this thesis. As a result, the sample sizes of the experimental units were unbalanced. This irregularity was, however, resolved using appropriate statistical procedures to produce fair results. The sampling methods adopted in this thesis (Chapter 2) were most appropriate for plot/paddock scale SOC measurements, with the added advantage of being cheap and easy to apply in such a system.

The determination of initial SOC stocks to assess SOC stock changes is imperative in C sequestration studies (OLSON, 2013). In this study (*Chapter* 2), data on initial SOC stocks could not be obtained. This difficulty was especially so as the experimental fields/plots were not initially set up for this study. Consequently, SOC stocks from the converted land-use types were compared with the unconverted (non-treated) native grassland as the baseline (FRANZLUEBBERS, 2010; NAYAK *et al.*, 2019; SHAHID *et al.*, 2017) without reporting the initial SOC level (before treatment is implemented). This procedure might not accurately detect SOC change, steady-state, retention, and SOC loss within the baseline soil (OLSON, 2013). Moreover, the depth of soil sampling is vital as C concentration is a function of soil sampling depth (WUEST, 2009). This thesis considered SOC concentration in the top 30 cm depth, which is the usual soil depth recommended by the (IPCC, 2006), to facilitate comparisons across other agroecosystems. However, this depth might fail to capture the full effect of deep-rooted species encountered at this site (tree/shrub species) and might have underestimated the SOC stocks reported for the tree/shrub species in *Chapter* 2. Deeper depths are, therefore, recommended for such forage systems to uncover their full potential.

Gas sampling from both the simulated and non-simulated experiments and N₂O quantifying were done using the static chamber and gas chromatography methods, respectively. Although these traditional methods are characterized by poor spatial and temporal resolution, they are more flexible in applying at a smaller scale with relatively lower costs. Micrometeorological methods, including the Eddy covariance method (a laser technology), have been used to measure N₂O emissions from grazed pastures (VOGLMEIER et al., 2019; WECKING, 2021). These methods offer continuous measurements but are relatively expensive and can only be used at a much larger scale, with frequently changing wind direction or low wind speed being some factors that might challenge their use. Thus, the chamber method was chosen to capture the magnitude and temporal patterns from emission hotspots (i.e., urine and dung patches) in this thesis (Chapter 3) due to its adaptability to plot scale measurements, better control of treatments and the possibility to compare the different treatments in parallel. In addition, the accuracy of measurements was expected to be enhanced with an increased interval of measurements (BUTTERBACH-BAHL et al., 2016). The simulated experiment with controlled urine and dung depositions allowed for more uniform N loadings and tracking of emissions from exactly one urine or dung patch. Chambers effectively identifies processes and treatment effects and mitigation; however, more versatile high frequency and sensitivity sensors should be the future focus (HENSEN et al., 2013).

5.5 Outlook

This thesis has clarified the actual C storage under long-term low-input land use in a tropical ecosystem. However, the measurements cannot be compared across different soils or climatic conditions due to the lack of similar long-use sites in the sub-region. Therefore, while the values presented in this study provide estimates upon which management decisions can be taken, care must be taken when comparing the results with similar land-use types under different soils

or ecosystems. Moreover, the sample sizes used in *Chapter* 2 were restricted as some plots/fields had to be removed for non-compliance with the set criteria. As a result, the samples sizes were unbalanced, with a relatively high sample size for grass compared with the other functional groups. Thus, care must be taken when comparing the potentials of the plant functional groups. Again, it was not possible to capture the C storage potential of the individual species encountered in the SSA study, as many of the plots lacked replication, though the use of PCA revealed some potential species (Appendix A, Figure A1).

To improve the characterization of the ecological efficiency of the land-use types and forage species in the grasslands of southern Ghana, comprehensive longitudinal studies covering a range of soils, climatic zones and forage production systems will be worthwhile. Locating land use types under a relatively long-term uniform usage might not be possible. However, net eco-system respiration experiments could be used to estimate potential C storage and GHG emissions. A comprehensive study of selected tropical species, especially those identified as having a relatively high beneficial effect on the environment, and mixed swards, covering their nutritive value, botanical traits, C and N assimilation above- and belowground and GHG emission could be beneficial. In considering CT effects on SOC storage, leaf/stalk CTs were used for the assessment. While this provided a general understanding of CTs' potential role on SOC storage in tropical soils, the effect size could not be estimated as polyphenol content in root might not be similar to shoots. Further studies might be necessary to quantify the effect of belowground polyphenol content on SOC storage in different soil types.

Grazing has been shown to stimulate SOC storage in grasslands when well managed. In this thesis (*Chapter 2*), grazed paddocks had the lowest SOC stocks. A further study to identify factors that might affect C sequestration in grazed pastures might be helpful. This study must, however, cover different soils and climatic zones within the tropical grassland ecosystem. Information from such a study could help formulate strategies to enhance grazing benefits in the sub-region.

In *Chapter* 3, the N₂O emission factors for urine and dung were estimated based on measurements spanning one year. Care must be taken when using the values in calculations owing to inter-annual variability in climatic conditions. Again, N₂O emission is highly dependent on N input, and N loads depend on grazing intensity. To improve the N₂O EF values, further measurements over several years covering different soils, pastures, and climatic zones will provide data that could develop models for estimating N₂O emissions across northern Germany. Moreover, forage plantain share in perennial ryegrass mixed sward dropped temporally, especially under N fertilization, probably due to perennial ryegrass's aggressive nature under high soil N availability (*Chapter* 4). Therefore, a higher plantain percentage in the mixed swards might have a different effect on N₂O emission than presented in *Chapter* 4. Experiments to determine appropriate seed rates to maintain an appreciable plantain share in mixtures will be worthwhile, with possible effects on urinary N concentration and N₂O production in soils. Another issue is that plant bioactive compounds act differently under different environmental conditions. In *Chapter* 4, the plantain effect on N₂O emission mitigation was not evident under greenhouse conditions but might differ on the open field and with time. It might be interesting to repeat the experiment in *Chapter* 4 on different soil types and under different environmental conditions on this account.

Notwithstanding the above considerations, this thesis's primary goal is to provide additional knowledge on low-input forage production systems' potential to mitigate GHG emissions. This thesis has revealed the impact of specific species and mixed-pastures on the GHG fluxes by showing the actual SOC stocks and N₂O-N emissions from low-input tropical and temperate systems. In addition, soil and botanical factors that might regulate C and N cycles under the prevailing environmental conditions have been identified. Accordingly, the results from this thesis could be helpful to the following stakeholders:

- Modellers: The data reported in this thesis can be used to fill gaps in data to enrich model outcomes when predicting C and N fluxes from tropical and temperate agroecological systems, respectively. These results provide accurate data that could be used with other data to develop proxies and models to ease data collection, particularly in developing countries, and reduce the high uncertainty associated with estimating GHG fluxes using process-based models or life cycle analyses.
- Policymakers: The results provide the basis for which strategies or policies could be formulated to address GHG mitigation at a broader scale. The N₂O EFs and range of SOC stocks reported in this thesis could provide the basis for estimating C and N₂O budgets for low-input systems when reporting to national, regional or international GHG accounting bodies. The challenges reported in this thesis might also inform policymakers to provide the needed infrastructure to foster agroecological research, particularly in low-income countries.

- Plant breeders can begin to breed forage species with a higher ability to allocate resources belowground, produce much more exudates, or have much higher N uptake efficiency. These traits might enhance pastures' overall capacity to mitigate GHG emissions and sequester much more C belowground.
- In the meantime, seed companies, farmer advisers/extension officers, ecologists and farmers could promote/adopt forage species/mixed pastures projected in this thesis as beneficial to enhance the agroecological environment.

Appendices

Appendix A

Cut-use fodder grasses Andropogon gabonensis Cenchrus setigerus Eragrostis curvula Andropogon gabonensis Chloris gayana Eragrostis turgida Andropogon tectorum Chrysopogon aciculatus Heteropogon contortus Brachiaria mutica Cymodon dactylon Hypershelia dissoluta Brachiaria nutzicansis Cymodon plectostackyus Panicum maximum (Guinea fine) Buchloe dactyloides Digitaria vanzilandensis Panicum maximum (ICA) Cenchrus settigerus Digitaria svanzilandensis Panicum maximum (Iocal) Cenchrus settigerus Digitaria valida Penicum maximum (Iocal) Paspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum notatum Seteria sph. Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pramidalis Stenotaphrum dinidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use fodder herbs C Cutoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecoccephla (Lenge-haam) <	Table A1: Observed plant species according to land-use systems at the study site							
Andropogon gabonensis Cenchrus setigerus Eragrostis curvula Andropogon gayanus Chloris gayana Eragrostis turgida Andropogon tectorum Chrysopogon aciculatus Heteropogon contortus Brachiaria brizantha Cymobopogon giganteus Hydropogon contortus Brachiaria brizantha Cymodon dactylon Hyberopogon contortus Brachiaria ruziziensis Cymodon plectostachyus Panicum maximum (fucA) Cenchrus setigens Digitaria decumbens Panicum maximum (South Africa) Paspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum conjugatum Seteria sp. Vettveria fulvivanus Paspalum orajugatum Seteria sphacelata Vettveria zizanioides Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dimidiatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum lacum Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilum atropurpureum (Si- ratro) Caturase fodder herbs Calopogonium mucunoides Sylosanthes guianensis Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Sylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Sylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees	Cut-use fodder grasses							
Andropogon gayanus Chloris gayana Eragrostis turgida Andropogon tectorum Chrysopogon aciculatus Heteropogon conaliculata Brachiaria brizantha Cymodon dactylon Hydropogon canaliculata Brachiaria brizantha Cymodon plectostachyus Panicum maximum (Guinea fine) Buchloe dactyloides Digitaria swanzilandensis Panicum maximum (Gouinea fine) Buchloe dactyloides Digitaria swanzilandensis Panicum maximum (Gouinea fine) Cenchrus ciliaris Digitaria swanzilandensis Panicum maximum (South Africa) Caspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum noiatum Seteria sph. Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum noiatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum noiatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si- ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Labiab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis	Andropogon gabonensis	Cenchrus setigerus	Eragrostis curvula					
Andropogon tectorum Chrysopogon aciculatus Heteropogon contortus Brachiaria brizantha Cymodon giganteus Hydropogon contortus Brachiaria mutica Cymodon dactylon Hyperthelia dissoluta Brachiaria mutica Cymodon dactylon Hyperthelia dissoluta Brachiaria muticiensis Cymodon plectostachyus Panicum maximum (Guinea fine) Buchloe dactyloides Digitaria decumbens Panicum maximum (ICA) Cenchrus citiaris Digitaria swanzilandensis Panicum maximum (ICA) Cenchrus setigerus Digitaria valida Panicum maximum (ICA) Cenchrus citigerus Digitaria swanzilandensis Panicum maximum (ICA) Paspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelti Urochloa pullulans Paspalum notatum Seteria sp. Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrun dimidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptillum laxhyroides Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptillum lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens <td< td=""><td>Andropogon gayanus</td><td>Chloris gayana</td><td>Eragrostis turgida</td></td<>	Andropogon gayanus	Chloris gayana	Eragrostis turgida					
Brachiaria mutica Cymbopogon giganteus Hydropogon canaliculata Brachiaria mutica Cynodon dactylon Hyperthelia dissoluta Brachiaria mutica Cynodon dactylon Hyperthelia dissoluta Brachiaria mutica Cynodon plectostachyus Panicum maximum (Guinea fine) Buchloe dactyloides Digitaria decumbens Panicum maximum (ICA) Cenchrus setigerus Digitaria valida Panicum maximum (South Africa) Paspalum onjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum virgatum Seteria sp. Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dimidiatum Pennisetum purgureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use fodder herbs C Clitoria ternatea Macroptillum atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purgureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Sylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder feres Fodder trees and shrubs Eacaena lecocephla (local) Moringa oleijera Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis	Andropogon tectorum	Chrysopogon aciculatus	Heteropogon contortus					
Brachiaria mutica Cynodon dactylon Hyperthelia dissoluta Brachiaria ruziziensis Cynodon plectostachyus Panicum maximum (Guinea fine) Buchloe dactyloides Digitaria accumbens Panicum maximum (ILCA) Cenchrus ciliaris Digitaria svanzilandensis Panicum maximum (ILCA) Cenchrus setigerus Digitaria svanzilandensis Panicum maximum (ICA) Paspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum notatum Seteria sp. Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum notatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum notatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Cutuse fodder herbs C Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium L	Brachiaria brizantha	Cymbopogon giganteus	Hydropogon canaliculata					
Brachiaria ruziziensis Cynodon plectostachyus Panicum maximum (Guinea fine) Buchloe dactyloides Digitaria decumbens Panicum maximum (ICA) Cenchrus ciliaris Digitaria svanilandensis Panicum maximum (Iocal) Cenchrus settigerus Digitaria valida Panicum maximum (Iocal) Cenchrus settigerus Digitaria valida Panicum maximum (Iocal) Cenchrus settigerus Digitaria valida Panicum maximum (Iocal) Paspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum virgatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioles Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dimidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use fodder herbs C Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guitanensis Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocoephla (Peru) Cajanus cajan	Brachiaria mutica	Cynodon dactylon	Hyperthelia dissoluta					
Buchloe dactyloides Digitaria decumbens Panicum maximum (ILCA) Cenchrus ciliaris Digitaria swanzilandensis Panicum maximum (local) Cenchrus ciliaris Digitaria valida Panicum maximum (local) Cenchrus setigerus Digitaria valida Panicum maximum (local) Paspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum notatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dimidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use fodder herbs C Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephla (Peru) Cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena lecococephla (Peru) Cajan	Brachiaria ruziziensis	Cynodon plectostachyus	Panicum maximum (Guinea fine)					
Cenchrus ciliaris Digitaria swanzilandensis Panicum maximum (local) Cenchrus settigerus Digitaria valida Panicum maximum (local) Paspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum notatum Seteria sp. Vetiveria fubrivanus Paspalum notatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum notatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum notatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dimidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use fodder herbs C Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena leocoephla (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leocoephla (Langerham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (Iocal) Moringa oleifera	Buchloe dactyloides	Digitaria decumbens	Panicum maximum (ILCA)					
Cenchrus setigerus Digitaria valida Panicum maximum (South Africa) Paspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum dilatatum Seteria sp. Vetiveria divivanus Paspalum notatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dinidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use fodder herbs Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Siratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephla (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leococephla (Langerham) Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum maximum Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens </td <td>Cenchrus ciliaris</td> <td>Digitaria swanzilandensis</td> <td>Panicum maximum (local)</td>	Cenchrus ciliaris	Digitaria swanzilandensis	Panicum maximum (local)					
Paspalum conjugatum Pennisetum ruppelii Urochloa pullulans Paspalum dilatatum Seteria sp. Vetiveria fidvivanus Paspalum notatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dimidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use fodder herbs Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Eucaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena lecocephala (Peru) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Paitheelobium dulce Spondias mombin Moringa oleifera Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum dati brizantha Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens	Cenchrus setigerus	Digitaria valida	Panicum maximum (South Africa)					
Paspalum dilatatum Seteria sp. Vetiveria juvianus Paspalum notatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dimidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use fodder herbs Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Leacaena lecocephla (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leacaena lecocephla (Peru) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum <	Paspalum conjugatum	Pennisetum ruppelii	Urochloa pullulans					
Paspalum notatum Seteria sphacelata Vetiveria zizanioides Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dimidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use folder herbs Tripsacum laxum Cut-use folder herbs Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Sylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena leocoephala (Peru) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leocoephla (Icangerham) Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Native grassland Acacia spp. Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum	Paspalum dilatatum	Seteria sp.	Vetiveria fulvivanus					
Paspalum virgatum Sporobolus pyramidalis Stenotaphrum dimidiatum Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use fodder herbs Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Maccoptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leococephla (Langerham) Oratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum maximum Seeded grazing fields Brachiaria brizantha Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria	Paspalum notatum	Seteria sphacelata	Vetiveria zizanioides					
Pennisetum purpureum Urochloa bolbodes Tripsacum laxum Cut-use folder herbs Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leococephla (Langerham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Native grassland Acacia spp. Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum maximum Italinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum decumbens Food crop fields Marihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Arachis hypogaea NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name Vigna unguiculata	Paspalum virgatum	Sporobolus pyramidalis	Stenotaphrum dimidiatum					
Cut-use fodder herbs Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leococephla (Langerham) Oraylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Native grassland Acacia spp. Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Imagenesis Digitaria decumbens Sceded grazing fields Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Prodo crop fields Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Arachis hypogaea NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name Vigna unguiculata	Pennisetum purpureum	Urochloa bolbodes	Tripsacum laxum					
Cut-use fodder herbs Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Image: Stylosanthes hamata Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leococephala (Peru) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Image: Spondias mombin Image: Spondias mombin Native grassland Acacia spp. Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Image: Spondias mombin Image: Spondias mombin Seeded grazing fields Image: Spondias mombin Image: Spondias mombin Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Image:		·						
Calopogonium mucunoides Clitoria ternatea Macroptilium atropurpureum (Si-ratro) Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Iteacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena lecocephala (Peru) Cratylia argentea Leucaena lecocephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Macroptilium data Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum maximum Italinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Seeded grazing fields Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Brachiaria brizantha Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Marachis hypogaea NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name Vigna unguiculata	Cut-use fodder herbs							
Centrosema pubescens Desmodium distortum Macroptilium lathyroides Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Image: Stylosanthes hamata Fodder trees and shrubs Image: Stylosanthes guianensis Image: Stylosanthes hamata Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena lecocephla (Langerham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Image: Stylosanthes Image: Stylosanthes Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Image: Stylosanthes Image: Stylosanthes Seeded grazing fields Image: Stylosanthes Image: Stylosanthes Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Arachis hypogaea Image: Stylosanthes Image: Stylosanthes	Calopogonium mucunoides	Clitoria ternatea	<i>Macroptilium atropurpureum (</i> Siratro)					
Centrosema pubescens (ILCA) Lablab purpureus Mucuna pruriens Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Image: Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leococephla (Langerham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Image: Spondias mombin Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Marihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Arachis hypogaea Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata	Centrosema pubescens	Desmodium distortum	Macroptilium lathyroides					
Mucuna pruriens (Bunso Sesbania grandiflora Stylosanthes hamata Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena lecocephla (Langerham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Marachis hypogaea NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name Vigna unguiculata	Centrosema pubescens (ILCA)	Lablab purpureus	Mucuna pruriens					
Pueraria phaseoloides Stylosanthes guianensis Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena lecocephla (Langer-ham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Moringa oleifera Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Marachis hypogaea MB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name Nativa rame	Mucuna pruriens (Bunso	Sesbania grandiflora	Stylosanthes hamata					
Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena lecoccephla (Langer-ham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena lecoccephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Moringa oleifera Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name Sumption Sumption	Pueraria phaseoloides	Stylosanthes guianensis						
Fodder trees and shrubs Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena leococephala (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leococephla (Langer-ham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Moringa oleifera Native grassland Acacia spp. Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Seeded grazing fields Brachiaria brizantha Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Marihot sin parenthesis indicate cultivar name Nigna unguiculata								
Baphia nitida Desmanthus virgatus Leacaena lecocephla (Peru) Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leococephla (Langerham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Moringa oleifera Native grassland Acacia spp. Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Seeded grazing fields Brachiaria brizantha Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name Elaeis suineensis	Fodder trees and shrubs							
Cajanus cajan Gliricidia sepium Leucaena leococephla (Langerham) Cratylia argentea Leucaena leococephla (local) Moringa oleifera Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Moringa oleifera Native grassland Azadirachta indica Cyperus rotundata Panicum decumbens Talinum triangulare Elaeis guineensis Panicum maximum Seeded grazing fields Moringa oleifera Brachiaria brizantha Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name Seeded cultivar name Seeded cultivar name	Baphia nitida	Desmanthus virgatus	Leacaena lecocephala (Peru)					
Cratylia argenteaLeucaena leococephla (local)Moringa oleiferaPithecelobium dulceSpondias mombinNative grasslandAcacia spp.Azadirachta indicaCyperus rotundataPanicum decumbensTalinum triangulareElaeis guineensisPanicum maximumSeeded grazing fieldsBrachiaria brizanthaBrachiaria ruziziensisDigitaria decumbensFood crop fieldsManihot esculentaZea maysVigna unguiculataArachis hypogaeaNB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name	Cajanus cajan	Gliricidia sepium	<i>Leucaena leococephla (</i> Langer- ham)					
Pithecelobium dulce Spondias mombin Native grassland	Cratylia argentea	Leucaena leococephla (local)	Moringa oleifera					
Native grasslandAcacia spp.Azadirachta indicaCyperus rotundataPanicum decumbensTalinum triangulareElaeis guineensisPanicum maximumSeeded grazing fieldsBrachiaria brizanthaBrachiaria ruziziensisDigitaria decumbensFood crop fieldsManihot esculentaZea maysVigna unguiculataArachis hypogaeaNB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name	Pithecelobium dulce	Spondias mombin						
Acacia spp.Azadirachta indicaCyperus rotundataPanicum decumbensTalinum triangulareElaeis guineensisPanicum maximumSeeded grazing fieldsBrachiaria brizanthaBrachiaria ruziziensisDigitaria decumbensFood crop fieldsManihot esculentaZea maysVigna unguiculataArachis hypogaeaNB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name	Native grassland							
Panicum decumbensTalinum triangulareElaeis guineensisPanicum maximumSeeded grazing fieldsBrachiaria brizanthaBrachiaria ruziziensisDigitaria decumbensFood crop fieldsManihot esculentaZea maysVigna unguiculataArachis hypogaeaNB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name	Acacia spp.	Azadirachta indica	Cyperus rotundata					
Panicum maximum Image: Seeded grazing fields Seeded grazing fields Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Brachiaria brizantha Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Image: Seeded grazing fields Image: Seeded grazing fields Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Arachis hypogaea Image: Seeded grazing fields Image: Seeded grazing fields NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name Image: Seeded grazing fields Image: Seeded grazing fields	Panicum decumbens	Talinum triangulare	Elaeis guineensis					
Seeded grazing fields Brachiaria brizantha Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Arachis hypogaea NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name	Panicum maximum							
Brachiaria brizantha Brachiaria ruziziensis Digitaria decumbens Food crop fields Image: Comparison of the second of	Seeded grazing fields							
Food crop fields Manihot esculenta Zea mays Arachis hypogaea Vigna unguiculata NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name	Brachiaria brizantha	Brachiaria ruziziensis	Digitaria decumbens					
Manihot esculenta Zea mays Vigna unguiculata Arachis hypogaea NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name	Food crop fields							
Arachis hypogaea NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name	Manihot esculenta	Zea mays	Vigna unguiculata					
NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cultivar name	Arachis hypogaea	-						
	NB: Names in parenthesis indicate cult	tivar name						



Figure A1. A plot of the first two PC loading vectors, describing the relationship among the variables (total nitrogen (tN), available P, exchangeable K, soil pH, C/N ratio) in the monocots, and plots of the first two PC score vectors, describing the classification of each tropical forage species within the PC loading vectors. The relationships among variables were determined by each variable's position in the loading plot. Accordingly, close variables have high correlations, variables on the opposite side of origin are negatively correlated, and those on the same side are positively correlated. Moreover, the magnitude of importance of each variable is determined by the distance from the origin.



Figure A2. A plot of the first two PC loading vectors, describing the relationship among the variables (total nitrogen (tN), available P, exchangeable K, soil pH, C/N ratio, total condensed tannins (CT)) in the dicots, and plots of the first two PC score vectors, describing the classification of each tropical forage species within the PC loading vectors. The relationships among variables were determined by each variable's position in the loading plot. Accordingly, close variables have high correlations, variables on the opposite side of origin are negatively correlated, and those on the same side are positively correlated. Moreover, the magnitude of importance of each variable is determined by the distance from the origin.

Appendix B



Figure B1. Graph showing season x treatment interaction effect (P<0.01) on cumulative N ₂ O emission irrigated
binary-sward grasslands (Experiment 3). Means with different letters are significantly different [P <0.05; ^{abc} for the
seasonal effect, ABC for treatment effect].

Properties	
Soil texture	Sandy loam
Sand	61.1
Silt	26.2
Clay	12.7
Bulk density (g m^{-3})	1.56
Organic C (%)	2.2
pH	6.4
Total C (%)	1.29
Total N (%)	0.12
CN ratio	10.9
$\rm CEC~(cmol~kg^{-1})$	17.2
Field capacity (%)	37.5

	F-/P-Value								
Parameters	(G)rassland	(T)reatment	(S)eason	$\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{S}$	$\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S}$	$G \times T \times S$		
	(2,52)	(2,52)	(2,52)	(4,52)	(4,52)	(4,52)	(8,52)		
Experiment 2—Non-irrigated simulated grazing									
Grass (%)	94.4 ***	52.3 ***	2.45 ns	1.47 ^{ns}	2.84 *	1.88 ^{ns}	0.44 ^{ns}		
Legume (%)	94.7 ***	62.7 ***	4.57 *	1.25 ns	5.36 **	0.66 ns	0.38 ^{ns}		
Herb (%)	143.4 ***	2.72 ^{ns}	0.62 ns	3.66 *	0.62 ns	$0.97 \ {}^{\rm ns}$	0.97 ^{ns}		
Unsown (%)	16.3 ***	3.76 *	2.85 ns	3.65 *	0.42 ns	0.24 ^{ns}	0.36 ^{ns}		
DM yield (Mg ha ⁻¹)	44.0 ***	1.35 ^{ns}	39.3 ***	2.97 *	0.76 ns	15.2 ***	0.87 ^{ns}		
$N (g N kg DM^{-1})$	9.4 ***	67.4 ***	291.0 ***	4.39 **	1.54 ^{ns}	26.9 ***	0.53 ^{ns}		
$^{\beta}$ BFN (kg ha ⁻¹)	87.7 ***	79.0 ***	29.8 ***	4.63 **	6.96 ***	13.0 ***	0.69 ^{ns}		
N input (kg N ha^{-1})	87.7 ***	512.7 ***	42.7 ***	4.63 **	6.96 ***	16.9 ***	0.69 ^{ns}		
N uptake (kg N ha ⁻¹)	22.5 ***	6.44 **	5.77 **	2.43 ns	0.94 ^{ns}	21.8 ***	1.85 ^{ns}		
PRN (kg N ha^{-1})	10.1 ***	45.7 ***	46.5 ***	3.40 *	3.11 *	19.7 ***	2.46 *		
$NO_3 (mg kg^{-1} soil d^{-1})$	0.44 ^{ns}	64.4 ***	22.9 ***	1.06 ns	0.26 ns	4.54 **	1.03 ^{ns}		
$ m NH_4~(mg~kg^{-1}~soil~d^{-1})$	0.90 ^{ns}	29.6 ***	2.70 ns	1.30 ^{ns}	2.19 ns	2.27 ^{ns}	0.72 ^{ns}		
N_2O - $N_{excreta}$ (kg N ha ⁻¹)	0.28 ^{ns}	51.6 ***	24.0 ***	1.80 ns	1.05 ns	6.06 ***	0.90 ^{ns}		
$N_2O~(kg~N~ha^{-1}~100~day^{-1})$	0.40 ^{ns}	10.5 **	17.6 ***	1.35 ^{ns}	0.57 ns	5.05 **	0.56 ^{ns}		
$\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{N} \in (0/)$	0.63 ^{ns}	0.005 ns	34.4 ***	3.37 *	1.40 ^{ns}	2.44 ^{ns}	1.63 ^{ns}		
$\mathbf{N}_{2}\mathbf{O}_{-}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{F}(76)$	(2,34)	(1,34)	(2,34)	(2,34)	(4,34)	(2,34)	(4,34)		
g N ₂ O-N kg ⁻¹ N uptake	0.31 ^{ns}	99.3 ***	34.0 ***	1.73 ^{ns}	0.71 ns	11.1 ***	1.04 ^{ns}		
N_2O - N_{grazed} (kg N ha ⁻¹)	0.19 ^{ns}								
	Experiment 3	Irrigated simula	ted grazing						
Parameters		(T)reatment	(S)eason		$T \times S$				
1 arameters		(2,24)	(2,24)			(4,24)			
NO ₃ (mg kg ⁻¹ soil day ⁻¹)		79.8 ***	75.3 ***			16.9 ***			
$ m NH_4~(mg~kg^{-1}~soil~day^{-1})$		135.9 ***	3.22 ^{ns}	3.22 ^{ns} 3.19 *					
N_2O - $N_{excreta}$ (kg N ha ⁻¹)		53.9 ***	0.44 ^{ns}			8.68 ***			
N.O. N. EE (0/)		8.37 *	2.76 ns		12.5 ***				
1N2O-IN EF (70)		(1,15)	(2,15)			(2,15)			

 Table B2. Analyses of variance of the measured parameters showing main effects of pasture diversity (G), excreta type (T), and season (S) of excreta deposition on measured parameters.

DM: dry matter; Level of significance, ${}^{ns} = p > 0.05$, * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001; ${}^{\beta}$ Estimated parameter. BFN: Biologically fixed nitrogen; N₂O-N_{excreta}: Emission from excreta patches; N₂O-N_{grazed}: Emitted from grazed fields. Figures in parenthesis indicate degrees of freedom followed by the sample size.

	-							
Parameter	Estimate	$Adj. \mathbb{R}^2$	F	Pr > F	Estimate	$Adj. \mathbb{R}^2$	F	Pr > F
	Non-Irrig	gated Simula	ated Gra	zing	Irrigated Simulated Grazing			
Soil temp.	0.05	0.15	5.52	*	0.02	-0.02	0.38	ns
Precipitation	-0.01	0.14	5.27	*	$2.75 imes 10^{-4}$	-0.03	0.13	ns
WFPS	-0.002	0.002	1.04	ns	-0.03	0.02	1.82	ns
WFPS^2	-0.002	0.13	2.92	*				
N content	1.19	0.21	9.65	**	6.54	0.17	5.82	*
C content	-0.10	-0.06	0.07	ns	-0.23	0.26	9.18	**
C content^2	-0.10	0.30	7.68	**				
C:N ratio	-0.002	-0.05	0.17	ns				
C:N ratio ²	-0.03	0.54	19.8	***	-0.08	0.22	7.64	*
N load	0.002	0.42	23.7	***	0.01	0.22	7.38	*
NH4 intensity	$-7.94 imes 10^{-5}$	0.07	2.29	ns	0.003	0.83	53.4	***
NO ₃ intensity	-8.45×10^{-5}	0.37	10.8	**	0.002	0.61	18.4	**
Grass	-0.02	0.45	14.9	**				
Clover	0.02	0.52	19.1	***				
Clover:grass	0.50	0.63	30.1	***				
Herbs	-0.003	-0.06	0.04	ns				
Herbs:clover	-0.28	0.12	3.25	ns				
Herbs:grass	0.50	0.01	1.24	ns				
Dry matter yield	-1.21×10^{-4}	-0.05	0.22	ns	$4.37 imes 10^{-4}$	0.10	4.87	*
Harvested N	-0.01	-0.002	0.98	ns				
N input	0.0003	0.03	2.85	ns				
N uptake	$-8.93 imes 10^{-4}$	0.40	12.6	**				
PRN	$7.0 imes10^{-4}$	0.34	28.7	***				

Table B3. Bivariate relationships between N₂O-N emission factors and the measured/estimated variables

Level of significance, ns = p > 0.05, * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001; WFPS: Water-filled pore space.

Table B4: Effect of sampling days on the relationships (R^2) between N₂O-N emission and environmental factors (soil temperature and water-filled pore space)

Days after excreta application	15	30	60	100
Soil temperature (°C)	0.08	-0.03	0.07	0.26***
Soil temperature ^2 (°C)	0.38***	0.32***	0.49***	0.43***
Water-filled pore space (%)	0.18^{**}	0.19**	0.03	-0.004
Water-filled pore space^2 (%)	0.16^{*}	0.18^{*}	0.002	-0.03

' ' = P> 0.05, * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001

Appendix C



Appendix D

The potential of dairy production in sub-Saharan Africa

Nyameasem, J.K., Reinsch, T., Malisch, C. and F. Taube

Vorträge und Posterbeiträge der 62. Jahrestagung der AGGF in Kiel. 223-227. ISBN 978-3-00-060516-1; 2018.

Introduction

There is a rapid increase in aggregate consumption of milk globally because of rising income levels, causing people to diversify from starch-based diets to diets containing growing amounts of dairy and meat (MUEHLHOFF *et al.*, 2013). The demand for milk and meat is projected to double over the next two decades in Africa because of the faster population growth rate, particularly in the East and West (Figure A1). The ability of agriculture to meet this growing demand for animal food products hinges on sustainable agricultural practices, which must ensure food security, combat climate change and stimulate the development of new markets.



Figure. A1: Population trend of Africa (billion). Source: United Nations

Due to its vast available resources, Africa has the potential for economic development and to supply food resources to the rest of the world. However, consistent and committed actions are required by stakeholders towards improving the investment climate, close the huge infrastructure gap, focus more on innovation as the primary driver of productivity, growth and competitiveness and develop institutional and human capital (NDULU *et al.*, 2007). Milk consumption per capita in Africa is the lowest in the world because of limited access and affordability (HILL, 2017). Although dairy products are traditionally not a significant part of many African diets, consumption has increased over the years. However, the continuous dependence on imported milk has discouraged local milk production and has led to increased cost of milk, putting milk consumption beyond the reach of the average African (ADEWUMI AND OLORUNNISOMO, 2008). This paper discusses the importance, status and ways to improve the dairy sector of sub-Saharan Africa (SSA).

The potential impact of dairy production in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)

Increased availability and consumption of milk in Africa could accelerate the achievement of the sustainable development goals 1 (no poverty) and goal 3 (good health and well-being for people). Stunting, which reflects the cumulative effects of poor maternal nutrition, poor diet and infections during the first two years of life, is prevalent in Africa (MUEHLHOFF *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, animal milk could be used as a supplement to breast milk and as an additional protein source to children, reducing child malnutrition, especially in children less than five years old. In addition, dairy consumption can translate into a substantive reduction in national healthcare costs. Dairy intake ensures a significant supply of various macro and micro nutrients that improve metabolic health and muscular-skeletal health and reduce dental caries, the incidence of cardio-vascular diseases, hypertension, and type-2 diabetes (HILL, 2017).

Increased availability and consumption of milk could positively impact the health of both infants and adults on the continent. More than 80 per cent of the milk produced in SSA comes from small-scale dairy producers. When well developed, dairy farming could improve food security and provide employment and income to millions of smallholder farm families. At larger scales of production, dairying could be an essential source of employment in marketing, processing and retailing, providing partial or total income for players in the dairy chain. In Kenya, for instance, every 1000L of milk produced generated full-time employments for 77 people in milk production and 3 - 20 jobs in processing and marketing and provided an average income 1.4 times higher than the Kenyan per capita GDP (WORLD BANK, 2003). In addition, the dairy sector generates year-round income, ensures higher labour productivity and promotes women empowerment (HILL, 2017). Undoubtedly, the dairy industry, when developed, could make a tremendous social impact on poverty alleviation, agricultural growth, combat malnutrition and increase the income levels of small-holder farm families in sub-Sahara Africa. It is often said that the socio-economic benefits of dairying are attained at an ecological cost. However, it is worth noting that over 77% of the feed for dairy animals in SSA is human–inedible pastures and straws that grow in rangelands. Moreover, a higher proportion (52 - 67%) of farmbased greenhouse gas emissions from dairy farms is methane, a relatively shorter-lived gas compared to CO₂ (5-10%) (FAO, 2010; HILL 2017). Invariably, several examples across the globe have demonstrated that improvements in breeding, feeding and management systems could increase milk production with reduced animal numbers, improved biological efficiency and, consequently, reduced environmental footprint (HILL, 2017).

Status and trends of milk production in Africa

The majority of the world's low-income tropical livestock producers are in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, where dairy is produced in mixed crop-livestock systems, ranging from low-input, extensive grazing to more specialized intensive enterprises (MCDERMOTT *et al.*, 2010). Intensive systems are common in Sudan, Egypt, Kenya, South Africa, and Algeria, the top milk-producing countries on the continent regarding milk volume, producing about 52% of total African milk (SHITTU *et al.*, 2008). Apart from southern Africa, where high outputs have been reported, the rest of Africa is struggling to meet the milk demand of their respective countries. Much of the milk produced from the extensive system is for home consumption or sale at local markets, with only 5% of milk produced sold through commercial markets (USAID, 2013).

Nevertheless, milk production on the continent has doubled from 1996, with significant growth in North Africa, Kenya, and South Africa, with the other countries in Sub-Sahara Africa experiencing high relative growth but extremely low (PM FOOD AND DAIRY CONSULTING, 2014). Even though local production increased by 16.8% between 2005 and 2017 (1.5% per annum) (Figure A2a), undoubtedly, due to the increased number of milk cows by 27% (2.5% per annum), demand far outweighed supply. Meanwhile, milk output for 2018 has been estimated at 45.7 million tonnes, almost unchanged from 2016, with higher outputs in South Africa, Algeria and Tunisia that were primarily offset by lower production in Sudan, Ethiopia, Somalia and Tanzania (FAO, 2018). SHITTU *et al.* (2008) reported an average milk yield of 461kg ECM per cow, which was only one-fifth of the world average yield. An analysis of milk yield data from 2006 – 2016 showed a decrease of 8.1% (an annual decreasing rate of 0.74%) for Africa, whereas Europe and the world saw an increase of 2% and 11%, respectively, with a decreased milk cow population of 6% in the case of Europe (Figure A2c).







Figure A3: Milk consumption and imports trends in Africa Source of data: FAOSTATS

The decreasing trend in milk yield in Africa could be attributed to the negative impact of climate change on feed resources and animal performance (HIDOSA AND GUYO, 2017) since the majority of dairying in Africa is weather-dependent and probably, due to the deterioration of genes responsible for milk yield. Furthermore, the low milk production by SSA has led to huge imports of milk and milk products by many countries to augment local supply (Figure A3). With this development being exacerbated by continued climate change, measures are required to increase milk production drastically in SSA to meet the increasing demand.

Improving dairy production in SSA

It is suggested that the nature of the yield gaps in the dairy sector of Africa provides opportunities to increase production past the currently attainable yields despite the constraints being faced by the dairy sector (MAYBERRY *et al.*, 2017). However, the right policies, marketing systems and technical support are needed to stimulate development in the dairy sector of SSA (ILRI, 2003). One of the major setbacks to development in SSA's dairy sector is a large number of local breeds with low milk-yielding capacity. Milk productivity of these local breeds has been below 200 litres per cow per year against 12,500 litres in some developed countries (DA-VIS JR, 2018), although differences in production parameters exist. A study in one sub-Saharan country showed that almost all cattle were local breeds, with crossbred and exotic breeds such as Holstein-Friesian and Jerseys comprising only 1.3% of the national cattle herd (USAID, 2013). Replacing local livestock breeds with crossbred animals or exotic breeds is a plausible strategy to increase yield per cow. The selection of such productive breeds must be based on both economic and environmental considerations to ensure success.

Another challenge is the dominance of traditional systems for milk production, which account for over 90% of dairy production in Sub-Saharan Africa (OLALOKU AND DEBRE, 1992). These smallholder dairy production systems vary significantly according to the location, agroecological zone and socio-economic conditions (GIZAW *et al.* 2016). More than 80 per cent of farms in SSA are less than two hectares in size (LOWDER *et al.*, 2016). The small size of farms and their low level of creditworthiness create difficulty for producers to access credit. It is suggested that smallholder farmers pool resources together through cooperative systems to ensure economies of scale and consequently enhancing efficiency, productivity and market access. Banks could also assist in creating platforms to provide credit to dairy farmers and potential actors in the dairy value chain. The major limitation to ruminant production in many tropical regions of Africa is poor nutrition. The productivity of animals is restricted by the low nitrogen and high fibre content of native grasses and crop residues which form the basis of the diets in these regions. The problem becomes aggravated during the dry season when pastures, cereal residues and maize stover limit nutritional quality. Therefore, interventions such as improving livestock nutrition are one effective strategy to improve milk yield and production. Communal grazing lands could be improved by reseeding natural pastures with perennial herbaceous legumes to increase nitrogen supply to soils and livestock and ensure soil carbon sequestration. In more intensive production scenarios, nutrition could be improved by increasing the amount and quality of supplements offered to livestock and increasing the nutritive value of crop residues by growing improved cultivars with higher metabolisable energy content (ANANDAN *et al.*, 2013).

Conclusion

The dairy industry has the propensity to create wealth for SSA, and governments of African countries must play a lead role in the transformation agenda. However, investment in scientific research has been very low in sub-Saharan Africa, making it challenging to develop homegrown technologies and policies to change the dynamics of dairying on the continent. With the continent already experiencing the adverse impact of climate change, it is vital to tackle the emerging issues of reducing feed quality and quantity, diseases, and environmental stress, which adversely influence milk production. African governments must be committed to ensuring the extension of transportation infrastructure to dairy production areas for quick transport of milk to processing facilities, ensuring cheap and reliable energy supply to processing plants, subsidising transportation costs, and stabilising fuel prices. Governments should ensure that farmers have access to improved dairy breeds and pest and disease management strategies. Regulations and policies are needed to safeguard grasslands which constitute a significant resource for the dairy industry. Proper grazing management systems must be adopted to increase yields, ensure yield stability and enhance ecosystem services. The current wave of population growth, land shortage, and increasing interest in production and consumption are expected to stimulate market-oriented dairy systems' emergence to ensure that sub-Saharan Africa derives the full benefits of dairy farming.

Acknowledgements

First, I wish to thank Almighty God for granting me the opportunity, the strength and fortitude to undergo this programme successfully. It is by His grace that I have come this far. The Ghanaian-German Academic Exchange Programme of DAAD deserves special thanks for supporting my doctoral studies financially. I also acknowledge the German Research Foundation's financial support (DFG) within the "Open Access Publizieren" funding programme. I am highly indebted to Professor Dr Frieheim Taube for offering me the opportunity to work in his research group. Professor Dr Frieheim Taube provided the needed support and guidance during my studies and encouraged me during difficult moments; for these, I am grateful. Furthermore, I wish to proffer my sincere gratitude to Dr Carsten Malisch and Dr Thorsten Reinsch for supervising my research activities both on the field and in the laboratories. They offered me valuable suggestions constructively and ensured the attainment of my academic goals. To Carsten, I cannot forget the various help you provided my family and me to ensure we had an enjoyable stay in Deutschland. You have been a strong pillar behind this feat. My sincere thanks go to all my co-authors for the valuable inputs they made into the manuscripts. I wish to thank Rita Kopp, Petra Voß, and Katrin Helmich of the Grassland and Forage Science/Organic Agriculture Department at the CAU for their immense technical assistance during soils, plants, and gas samples analyses, respectively. I am indebted to Thomas Ehmsen and Keanu Heuck of the Organic Research Farm Lindhof and the CSIR staff at Pokuase, Ghana, for their unflinching support during the project implementation and data collection. I thank the CSIR directorate for all the help they offered me during this journey. Dr Emmanuel Adu, Dr Paul Wallace and Ms Mary Kwadwodza deserve special mention for their encouraging words and prayers. I cannot thank my colleagues and friends enough. The special moments we shared built me up and spurred me on to attain this feat. I appreciate my office mate, Cecilia Loca, for all the undulating moments we shared. My heartfelt thanks go to my wife, Edith and children, Elkanah and Hannie, for being there for me in both happy and challenging times. To Edith, I know the sacrifices you have made to ensure that this feat is attained. It is my prayer that Jehovah rewards you at the right time. Lastly, but not least, I am grateful to my mum Christiana and siblings Eric and Evelyn for their support right from infancy to date. May the good Lord bless all your efforts.

References

'T MANNETJE, L., AMÉZQUITA, M. C., BUURMAN, P., & IBRAHIM, M. A (Eds). (2008). *Carbon sequestration in tropical grassland ecosytems*. Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 1–221. https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-632-8

ABALOS, D., DE DEYN, G. B., KUYPER, T. W., & VAN GROENIGEN, J. W. (2014). Plant species identity surpasses species richness as a key driver of N₂O emissions from grassland. *Global Change Biology*, 20(1), 265–275. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12350

ABALOS, D., VAN GROENIGEN, J. W., & DE DEYN, G. B. (2018). What plant functional traits can reduce nitrous oxide emissions from intensively managed grasslands? *Global Change Biology*, 24(1), e248–e258. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13827

ADAMCZYK, B., AHVENAINEN, A., SIETIÖ, O. M., KANERVA, S., KIELOAHO, A. J., SMOLANDER, A., KITUNEN, V., SARANPÄÄ, P., LAAKSO, T., STRAKOVÁ, P., & HEINONSALO, J. (2016). The contribution of ericoid plants to soil nitrogen chemistry and organic matter decomposition in boreal forest soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 103, 394–404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.09.016

ADAMCZYK, B., KARONEN, M., ADAMCZYK, S., ENGSTRÖM, M. T., LAAKSO, T., SARANPÄÄ, P., KITUNEN, V., SMOLANDER, A., & SIMON, J. (2017). Tannins can slow-down but also speed-up soil enzymatic activity in boreal forest. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 107, 60–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.12.027

ADEWUMI, O. O. & OLORUNNISOMO, O. A. (2009). Milk yield and milk composition of West African dwarf, Yankasa and crossbred sheep in southwest of Nigeria. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 21(42). Retrieved May 27, 2018, from http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd21/3/adew21042.htm

ADJOLOHOUN, S., BINDELLE, J., ADANDÉDJAN, C., & BULDGEN, A. (2008). Some suitable grasses and legumes for ley pastures in Sudanian Africa: The case of the Borgou region in Benin. *Biotechnology, Agronomy and Society and Environment*, 12(4), 405–419.

AI, Z., WANG, G., LIANG, C., LIU, H., ZHANG, J., XUE, S., & LIU, G. (2017). The effects of nitrogen addition on the uptake and allocation of macroand micronutrients in *Bothriochloa ischaemum* on loess plateau in China. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8(August), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01476

AKAIKE, H. (1985). *Prediction and entropy, in: A Celebration of Statistics:* The ISI Centenary Volume, edited by: Atkinson, A. C. and Fienberg, S. E., Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 1–24.

ALEXANDRATOS, N., & BRUINSMA, J. (2012). *World Agriculture towards 2030/2050*: The 2012 revision (ESA Working Paper No. 12-03, p. 154). FAO.

ALLEN, B. L., KEDDIE, M. B., & STAR, A. (2010). Controlling the volumetric parameters of nitrogen-doped carbon nanotube cups. *Nanoscale*, 2(7), 1105–1108. https://doi.org/10.1039/C0NR00043D

ALLISON, S. D., WEINTRAUB, M. N., GARTNER, T. B., & WALDROP, M. P. (2011). Evolutionary-Economic Principles as Regulators of Soil Enzyme Production and Ecosystem

Function. In G. Shukla & A. Varma (Eds.), *Soil Enzymology* (pp. 229–243). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-14225-3_12

ALONSO, I., WESTON, K., GREGG, R., & MORECROFT, M. (2012). Carbon storage by habitat – Review of the evidence of the impacts of management decisions and condition on carbon stores and sources, *Natural England Research Reports*, NERR043.

ANANDAN, S., KHAN, A.A., RAVI, D., RAO, M.S.B., REDDY, Y.R. & BLUMMEL, M. (2013). Identification of a superior dual purpose maize hybrid among widely grown hybrids in South Asia and value addition to its stover through feed supplementation and feed processing. *Field Crop Research*, 153, 52–57.

APAL AGRICULTURAL LABORATORY (2020). *Soil Test Interpretation Guide*, available at: https://pdf4pro.com/view/soil-test-interpretation-guide-apal-agricultural-laboratory-514162.html, last access: 2 November 2020.

ARSENAULT, N., TYEDMERS, P., & FREDEEN, A. (2009). Comparing the environmental impacts of pasture-based and confinement- based dairy systems in Nova Scotia (Canada) using life cycle assessments. *International Journal Of Agricultural Sustainability* 7(1) 2009, PAGES 19–41. https://doi.org/10.3763/ijas.2009.0356

BACA CABRERA, J. C., HIRL, R. T., SCHÄUFELE, R., MACDONALD, A., & SCHNY-DER, H. (2021). Stomatal conductance limited the CO2 response of grassland in the last century. *BMC Biology*, 19(1), 50. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-021-00988-4

BALL, P. R., & RYDEN, J. C. (1984). Nitrogen relationships in intensively managed temperate grasslands. *Plant and Soil*, 76(1–3), 23–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02205564

BARDGETT, R. D. (2011). Plant-soil interactions in a changing world. *F1000 Biology Reports*, 3:16. https://doi.org/10.3410/B3-16

BARDGETT, R. D., MAWDSLEY, J. L., EDWARDS, S., HOBBS, P. J., RODWELL, J. S., & DAVIES, W. J. (1999). Plant species and nitrogen effects on soil biological properties of temperate upland grasslands. *Functional Ecology*, 13(5), 650–660. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1999.00362.x

BARNES, P. & ADDO-KWAFO, A. (1996). Research note; Evaluation of introduced forage accessions for fodder production at a sub-humid site in southern Ghana, *Tropical Grasslands*, 30, 422–425.

BARNES, P. (1997). Forage yield and soil improvement potential of some annual and short-term perennial legumes at two sites in Ghana, *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 32, 47–51.

BARNEZE, A. S., WHITAKER, J., MCNAMARA, N. P., & OSTLE, N. J. (2020). Legumes increase grassland productivity with no effect on nitrous oxide emissions. *Plant and Soil*, 446(1–2), 163–177. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04338-w

BATIONO, A., FENING, J. O., & KWAW, A. (2018). Assessment of Soil Fertility Status and Integrated Soil Fertility Management in Ghana. Improving the Profitability, Sustainability and Efficiency of Nutrients Through Site Specific Fertilizer Recommendations in West Africa *Agro-Ecosystems*, 93–138. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58789-9_7

BAUDOIN, E., BENIZRI, E., & GUCKERT, A. (2003). Impact of artificial root exudates on the bacterial community structure in bulk soil and maize rhizosphere. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 35(9), 1183–1192. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00179-2

BAXENDALE, C., ORWIN, K. H., POLY, F., POMMIER, T., & BARDGETT, R. D. (2014). Are plant-soil feedback responses explained by plant traits? *New Phytologist*, 204(2), 408–423. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12915

BENDER, S. F., PLANTENGA, F., NEFTEL, A., JOCHER, M., OBERHOLZER, H.-R., KÖHL, L., GILES, M., DANIELL, T. J., & VAN DER HEIJDEN, M. G. (2014). Symbiotic relationships between soil fungi and plants reduce N₂O emissions from soil. *The ISME Journal*, 8(6), 1336–1345. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.224

BESSAH, E., BALA, A., AGODZO, S. K., & OKHIMAMHE, A. A. (2016). Dynamics of soil organic carbon stocks in the Guinea savanna and transition agro-ecology under different landuse systems in Ghana. *Cogent Geoscience*, 2(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/23312041.2016.1140319

BESSLER, H., OELMANN, Y., ROSCHER, C., BUCHMANN, N., SCHERER-LORENZEN, M., SCHULZE, E.-D., TEMPERTON, V. M., WILCKE, W., & ENGELS, C. (2012). Nitrogen uptake by grassland communities: Contribution of N2 fixation, facilitation, complementarity, and species dominance. *Plant and Soil*, 358(1), 301–322. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1181-z

BEVER, J. D., PLATT, T. G., & MORTON, E. R. (2012). Microbial population and community dynamics on plant roots and their feedbacks on plant communities. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 66, 265–283. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150107

BIERNAT, L., TAUBE, F., VOGELER, I., REINSCH, T., KLUSS, C., & LOGES, R. (2020). Is organic agriculture in line with the EU-Nitrate directive? On-farm nitrate leaching from organic and conventional arable crop rotations. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 298(April). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.106964

BLACK, A. D., ANDERSON, S., & DALGETY, S. K. (2017). Identification of pasture mixtures that maximise dry matter yield. *Journal of New Zealand Grasslands*, 79(November), 103– 109. https://doi.org/10.33584/jnzg.2017.79.563

BLAIR, J., NIPPERT, J., & BRIGGS, J. (2014). *Grassland Ecology*. In R. K. Monson (Ed.), Ecology and the Environment (pp. 389–423). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-7501-9_14

BOMBELLI, A.; HENRY, M.; CASTALDI, S.; ADU-BREDU, S.; ARNETH, A.; DE GRANDCOURT, A.; GRIECO, E.; KUTSCH, W. L.; LEHSTEN, V.; RASILE, A.; REICH-STEIN, M.; TANSEY, K.; WEBER, U.; VALENTINI, R (2009). The Sub-Saharan Africa carbon balance, an overview. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 6(1): 2085-2123. www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/6/2085/2009/

BONSU, M. (1979). Soil erosion studies under different cultural practices within the various ecological zones of Ghana, Lecture Notes, FAO Training Course on Soil Conservation and Management, Kwadaso, Kumasi, 1979.

BOONE, R. D., NADELHOFFER, K. J., CANARY, J. D., & KAYE, J. P. (1998). Roots exert a strong influence on the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration. *Nature*, 396, 570–572.

BOUWMAN, A., BOUMANS, L. J. M., & BATJES, N. (2002). Modeling global annual N2O and NO emissions from fertilized fields. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16, 1080, doi:10.1029/2001GB00812. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GB001812

BOWATTE, S., HOOGENDOORN, C. J., NEWTON, P. C. D., LIU, Y., BROCK, S. C., & THEOBALD, P. W. (2018). Grassland plant species and cultivar effects on nitrous oxide emissions after urine application. *Geoderma*, 323(October 2017), 74–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.03.001

BRACKEN, C. J., LANIGAN, G. J., RICHARDS, K. G., MÜLLER, C., TRACY, S. R., GRANT, J., KROL, D. J., SHERIDAN, H., LYNCH, M. B., GRACE, C., FRITCH, R., & MURPHY, P. N. C. (2020). Sward composition and soil moisture conditions affect nitrous oxide emissions and soil nitrogen dynamics following urea-nitrogen application. *Science of the Total Environment*, 722. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137780

BRADFORD, M. A., FIERER, N., JACKSON, R. B., MADDOX, T. R., & REYNOLDS, J. F. (2008). Nonlinear root-derived carbon sequestration across a gradient of nitrogen and phosphorous deposition in experimental mesocosms. *Global Change Biology*, 14(5), 1113–1124. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01564.x

BRAY, R. H. AND KURTZ, L. T. (1945). Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils, *Soil Science*, 59, 39–45.

BRETZ, F., HOTHORN, T., & WESTFALL, P. (2011). *Multiple comparisons using R.*, Taylor and Francis Group, New York, https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420010909.

BRETZ, F., HOTHORN, T., & WESTFALL, P. (2016). *Multiple comparisons using R*. In Multiple Comparisons Using R. Taylor and Francis Group. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420010909

BROUWER, R. (1983). Functional equilibrium: Sense or nonsense? In Neth. Journal of Agricultural Science, 31, 335–348.

BUCKTHOUGHT, L. (2013). The interactive effects of nitrogen fertiliser and animal urine on nitrogen efficiency and losses in New Zealand dairy farming systems. Doctoral Thesis, Lincoln University, New Zealand.

BURKE, I. C., LAUENROTH, W. K., CUNFER, G., BARRETT, J. E., MOSIER, A., & LOWE, P. (2002). Nitrogen in the central grasslands region of the United States. *BioScience*, 52(9), 813–823. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2002)052[0813:NITCGR]2.0.CO;2

BURNHAM, K. P. AND ANDERSON, D. R. (1992). *Data-based selection of an appropriate biological model: the key to modern data analysis*, in: Wildlife 2001: Populations, edited by: McCullough, D. R. and Barrett, R. H., Elsevier, London, 16–30, 1992.

BURNHAM, K. P. AND WHITE, G. C. 2002). Evaluation of some random effects methodology applicable to bird ringing data, *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 29, 245–264, 2002. BURNHAM, K. P., ANDERSON, D. R., & HUYVAERT, K. P. (2011). AIC model selection and multimodel inference in behavioral ecology: Some background, observations, and comparisons. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 65(1), 23–35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-1029-6

BURTON, D. L., & BEAUCHAMP, E. G. (1994). Profile Nitrous Oxide and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in a Soil Subject to Freezing. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 58(1), 115–122. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1994.03615995005800010016x

BUTTERBACH-BAHL, K., BAGGS, E. M., DANNENMANN, M., KIESE, R., & ZECH-MEISTER-BOLTENSTERN, S. (2013). Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: How well do we understand the processes and their controls? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368(1621). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0122

CAI, Z., XING, G., YAN, X., XU, H., TSURUTA, H., YAGI, K., & MINAMI, K. (1997). Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddy fields as affected by nitrogen fertilisers and water management. *Plant and Soil*, 196(1), 7–14. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004263405020

CAQUET, B., DE GRANDCOURT, A., THONGO M'BOU, A., EPRON, D., KINANA, A., SAINT ANDRÉ, L., & NOUVELLON, Y. (2012). Soil carbon balance in a tropical grassland: Estimation of soil respiration and its partitioning using a semi-empirical model. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 158–159, 71–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.02.008

CARDOSO, A. D. S., ALVES, B. J. R., URQUIAGA, S., & BODDEY, R. M. (2018). Effect of volume of urine and mass of faeces on N2O and CH4 emissions of dairy-cow excreta in a tropical pasture. *Animal Production Science*, 58(6), 1079–1086. https://doi.org/10.1071/AN15392

CARLTON, A. J., CAMERON, K. C., DI, H. J., EDWARDS, G. R., & CLOUGH, T. J. (2019). Nitrate leaching losses are lower from ryegrass/white clover forages containing plantain than from ryegrass/white clover forages under different irrigation. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 62(2), 150–172. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.2018.1461659

CAVIGELLI, M. A., & ROBERTSON, G. P. (2001). Role of denitrifier diversity in rates of nitrous oxide consumption in a terrestrial ecosystem. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33(3), 297–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00141-3

CELIKKANAT OZAN, D., & BARAN, Y. (2014). Comparative development of knowledgebased bioeconomy in the European Union and Turkey. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 34(3), 269–280. https://doi.org/10.3109/07388551.2013.792771

CENINI, V. L., FORNARA, D. A., MCMULLAN, G., TERNAN, N., CAROLAN, R., CRAWLEY, M. J., CLÉMENT, J.-C., & LAVOREL, S. (2016). Linkages between extracellular enzyme activities and the carbon and nitrogen content of grassland soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 96, 198–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.02.015

CHABBI, A., LEHMANN, J., CIAIS, P., LOESCHER, H. W., COTRUFO, M. F., DON, A., SANCLEMENTS, M., SCHIPPER, L., SIX, J., SMITH, P., & RUMPEL, C. (2017). Aligning agriculture and climate policy. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(5), 307–309. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3286

CHADWICK, D. R., CARDENAS, L. M., DHANOA, M. S., DONOVAN, N., MIS-SELBROOK, T., WILLIAMS, J. R., THORMAN, R. E., MCGEOUGH, K. L., WATSON, C. J., BELL, M., ANTHONY, S. G., & REES, R. M. (2018). The contribution of cattle urine and dung to nitrous oxide emissions: Quantification of country specific emission factors and implications for national inventories. *Science of the Total Environment*, 635, 607–617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.152

CHAPIN, F. S., WOODWELL, G. M., RANDERSON, J. T., RASTETTER, E. B., LOVETT, G. M., BALDOCCHI, D. D., CLARK, D. A., HARMON, M. E., SCHIMEL, D. S., VALEN-TINI, R., WIRTH, C., ABER, J. D., COLE, J. J., GOULDEN, M. L., HARDEN, J. W., HEIMANN, M., HOWARTH, R. W., MATSON, P. A., MCGUIRE, A. D., ... SCHULZE, E. (2006). Reconciling carbon-cycle concepts, terminology, and methods. *Ecosystems*, 9(N/A), 1041–1050. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-005-0105-7

CHEN, S., LIN, S., REINSCH, T., LOGES, R., HASLER, M., & TAUBE, F. (2016). Comparison of ingrowth core and sequential soil core methods for estimating belowground net primary production in grass–clover swards. *Grass and Forage Science*, 71(3), 515–528. https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12214

CHEN, S., WANG, W., XU, W., WANG, Y., WAN, H., CHEN, D., TANG, Z., TANG, X., ZHOU, G., XIE, Z., ZHOU, D., SHANGGUAN, Z., HUANG, J., HE, J. S., WANG, Y., SHENG, J., TANG, L., LI, X., DONG, M., ... BAI, Y. (2018). Plant diversity enhances productivity and soil carbon storage. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(16), 4027–4032. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1700298114

CHEN, X.-L., ZHOU, J.-B., LIU, J.-L., GAO, Z.-X., & YANG, X.-Y. (2009). Effects of fertilization on carbon/nitrogen ratio of maize straw and its mineralization in soil. Chinese *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 20(2), 314–319.

CHEN, Y., LIU, Z., RAO, X., WANG, X., LIANG, C., LIN, Y., ZHOU, L., CAI, X. A., & FU, S. (2015). Carbon storage and allocation pattern in plant biomass among different forest plantation stands in guangdong, China. *Forests*, 6(3), 794–808. https://doi.org/10.3390/f6030794

CHOMEL, M., GUITTONNY-LARCHEVÊQUE, M., FERNANDEZ, C., GALLET, C., DESROCHERS, A., PARÉ, D., JACKSON, B. G., & BALDY, V. (2016). Plant secondary metabolites: A key driver of litter decomposition and soil nutrient cycling. *Journal of Ecology*, 104(6), 1527–1541. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12644

CHRISTENSEN, J. H., CARTER, T. R., RUMMUKAINEN, M., & AMANATIDIS, G. (2007). Evaluating the performance and utility of regional climate models: The PRUDENCE project. Climatic Change, 81(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9211-6

CIAIS, P., PIAO, S.-L., CADULE, P., FRIEDLINGSTEIN, P., & CHÉDIN, A. (2009). Variability and recent trends in the African terrestrial carbon balance. *Biogeosciences*, 6(9), 1935–1948. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-1935-2009

CICHOTA, R., VOGELER, I., SNOW, V., SHEPHERD, M., MCAULIFFE, R., & WELTEN, B. (2018). Lateral spread affects nitrogen leaching from urine patches. *Science of the Total Environment*, 635(July), 1392–1404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.005

CONANT, R. T. (2010). Challenges and opportunities for carbon sequestration in grassland systems. A technical report on grassland management and climate change mitigation. In *Integrated Crop Management* (Vol. 9). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. https://doi.org/10.3329/jard.v7i1.4430

CONANT, R. T., & PAUSTIAN, K. (2002). Potential soil carbon sequestration in overgrazed grassland ecosystems. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16(4), 90-1-90–99. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GB001661

CONANT, R. T., CERRI, C. E. P., OSBORNE, B. B., & PAUSTIAN, K. (2017). Grassland management impacts on soil carbon stocks: A new synthesis: A. *Ecological Applications*, 27(2), 662–668. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1473

CONG, W. F., JING, J., RASMUSSEN, J., SØEGAARD, K., & ERIKSEN, J. (2017). Forbs enhance productivity of unfertilised grass-clover leys and support low-carbon bioenergy. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01632-4

CREWS, T., & RUMSEY, B. (2017). What Agriculture Can Learn from Native Ecosystems in Building Soil Organic Matter: A Review. *Sustainability*, 9(4), 578. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9040578

DANAHER, M., & JORDAN, K. (2013). Identification of existing and emerging chemical residue contamination concerns in milk. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 52(2 SPEC. ISSUE 2), 173–183.

DANGAL, S. R. S., TIAN, H., XU, R., CHANG, J., CANADELL, J. G., CIAIS, P., PAN, S., YANG, J., & ZHANG, B. (2019). Global Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Pasturelands and Rangelands: Magnitude, Spatiotemporal Patterns, and Attribution. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 33(2), 200–222. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB006091

DAVIDSON, E. A. (1991). Fluxes of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from terrestrial ecosystems. In Microbial production and consumption of greenhouse gases: Methane, nitrogen oxides and halomethanes. *American Society for Microbiology*, Washington, DC. (pp. 219–235)

DAVIDSON, E. A., & JANSSENS, I. A. (2006). Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature*, 440(7081), 165–173. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04514

DAVIS JR, K. (2018). *The Cash Cow of Africa – Dairy*. The Islamic Corporation for the Development of the Private Sector. Accessed on 15.05.2018 at https://www.africa.com/dairy-consumption-in-africa-part-1 FAO (2010): Greenhouse gas emissions from the dairy sector, A Life Cycle Assessment. Available from <u>http://www.fao.org/docrep/012/k7930e00.pdf</u>

DE DEYN, G. B., CORNELISSEN, J. H. C., & BARDGETT, R. D. (2008). Plant functional traits and soil carbon sequestration in contrasting biomes. *Ecology Letters*, 11(5), 516–531. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01164.x

DE KLEIN, C. A. M., & ECKARD, R. J. (2008). Targeted technologies for nitrous oxide abatement from animal agriculture. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 48(1–2), 14– 20. https://doi.org/10.1071/EA07217 DE KLEIN, C. A. M., SHEPHERD, M. A., & VAN DER WEERDEN, T. J. (2014). Nitrous oxide emissions from grazed grasslands: Interactions between the N cycle and climate change—A New Zealand case study. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 9–10, 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2014.09.016

DE KLEIN, C. A. M., VAN DER WEERDEN, T. J., LUO, J., CAMERON, K. C., & DI, H. J. (2019). A review of plant options for mitigating nitrous oxide emissions from pasture-based systems. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 0(0), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.2019.1614073

DE KLEIN, C. A., & VAN LOGTESTIJN, R. S. (1996). Denitrification in grassland soils in The Netherlands in relation to irrigation, N-application rate, soil water content and soil temperature. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 28(2), 231–237. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(95)00131-X</u>

DE VRIES, F.T. & BARDGETT, R. D. (2016). Plant community controls on short-term ecosystem nitrogen retention. *New Phytologist*, 210:861–874. doi:<u>10.1111/nph.13832</u>

DENG, L., YU ZHU, G., SHENG TANG, Z., & PING SHANGGUAN, Z. (2016). Global patterns of the effects of land-use changes on soil carbon stocks. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 5, 127–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2015.12.004

DEYN, G. B. D. (2017). Plant life history and above–belowground interactions: Missing links. *Oikos*, 126(4), 497–507. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.03967

DEYN, G. B. D., SHIEL, R. S., OSTLE, N. J., MCNAMARA, N. P., OAKLEY, S., YOUNG, I., FREEMAN, C., FENNER, N., QUIRK, H., & BARDGETT, R. D. (2011). Additional carbon sequestration benefits of grassland diversity restoration. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 48(3), 600–608. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01925.x

DI, H. J., CAMERON, K. C., PODOLYAN, A., & ROBINSON, A. (2014). Effect of soil moisture status and a nitrification inhibitor, dicyandiamide, on ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier growth and nitrous oxide emissions in a grassland soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 73(2), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.02.011

DICK, W. A., CHENG, L., & WANG, P. (2000). Soil acid and alkaline phosphatase activity as pH adjustment indicators. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 32(13), 1915–1919. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00166-8

DONG, L., MAO, Z., & SUN, T. (2016). Condensed tannin effects on decomposition of very fine roots among temperate tree species. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 103(October 2009), 489–492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.10.003

DUDLEY, N., PETERSEN, I., CAMPARI, J., PERIAGO, M. E., MIÑARRO, F. O., SI-QUEIRA, C., RINCÓN, S., RENDÓN, E., KAUFFMAN, M., BURNS, A., PERELADOVA, O., SERDYUK, A., DE VALENÇA, A., & MCCONNEL, I. (2020). *Grassland and savannah ecosystems – An urgent need for conservation and sustainable management*. WWF Deutschland, Berlin.

EGERTON-WARBURTON, L. M., & ALLEN, E. B. (2000). Shifts in Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Communities along an Anthropogenic Nitrogen Deposition Gradient. *Ecological Applications*, 10(2), 484–496. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[0484:SIAMCA]2.0.CO;2

EISENHAUER, N., LANOUE, A., STRECKER, T., SCHEU, S., STEINAUER, K., THAKUR, M. P., & MOMMER, L. (2017). Root biomass and exudates link plant diversity with soil bacterial and fungal biomass. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 44641. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44641

EKWURZEL, B., BONEHAM, J., DALTON, M. W., HEEDE, R., MERA, R. J., ALLEN, M. R., & FRUMHOFF, P. C. (2017). The rise in global atmospheric CO2, surface temperature, and sea level from emissions traced to major carbon producers. *Climatic Change*, 144(4), 579–590. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-017-1978-0

EMADODIN, I., CORRAL, D. E. F., REINSCH, T., KLUß, C., & TAUBE, F. (2021). Climate Change Effects on Temperate Grassland and Its Implication for Forage Production: A Case Study from Northern Germany. *Agriculture*, 11(3), 232. https://doi.org/10.3390/agricul-ture11030232

FAO (2018). Dairy Market Review. Available online at http://www.fao.org/3/I9210EN/i9210en.pdf. Accessed 05.05.2018. *FAOSTAT* (2018). Download data. [Online]. Available: http://faostat3.fao.org/home/index.html#DOWNLOAD

FAO. (2013). *Food Wastage Footprint: Impacts on Natural Resources, Summary Report*. FAO. http://www.fao.org/nr/sustainability/food-loss-and-waste/en/

FAO. (2014). World reference base for soil resources 2014. *International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps*. In World Soil Resources Reports No. 106.

FERREIRA, I. E. P., ZOCCHI, S. S., & BARON, D. (2017). Reconciling the Mitscherlich's law of diminishing returns with Liebig's law of the minimum. Some results on crop modeling. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 293(August), 29–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2017.08.008

FIELD, C. B., BARROS, V., STOCKER, T. F., & DAHE, Q. (Eds.). (2012). Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation: Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139177245

FIELD, C. D., DISE, N. B., PAYNE, R. J., BRITTON, A. J., EMMETT, B. A., HELLIWELL, R. C., HUGHES, S., JONES, L., LEES, S., LEAKE, J. R., LEITH, I. D., PHOENIX, G. K., POWER, S. A., SHEPPARD, L. J., SOUTHON, G. E., STEVENS, C. J., & CAPORN, S. J. M. (2014). The Role of Nitrogen Deposition in Widespread Plant Community Change Across Semi-natural Habitats. *Ecosystems*, 17(5), 864–877. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-014-9765-5

FIRESTONE, M. K., & DAVIDSON, E. A. (1989). *Microbiologial Basis of NO and N20 production and consumption in soil* (Vol. 47). Wiley, New York. pp. 7-21. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

FLECHARD, C. R., AMBUS, P., SKIBA, U., REES, R. M., HENSEN, A., VAN AMSTEL, A., DASSELAAR, A. VAN DEN P., SOUSSANA, J.-F., JONES, M., CLIFTON-BROWN, J., RASCHI, A., HORVATH, L., NEFTEL, A., JOCHER, M., AMMANN, C., LEIFELD, J., FUHRER, J., CALANCA, P., THALMAN, E., ... GROSZ, B. (2007). Effects of climate and

management intensity on nitrous oxide emissions in grassland systems across Europe. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 121(1), 135–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.024

FLESSA, H., DORSCH, P., & BEESE, F. (1995). Seasonal variation of N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in differently managed arable soils in southern Germany. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 100(D11), 23115–23124. https://doi.org/10.1029/95jd02270

FOX, J. AND WEISBERG, S. (2019). An R Companion to Applied Regression, 3rd Edition, Sage, Thousand Oaks CA.

FOYER, C. H., FERRARIO-MÉRY, S. & NOCTOR, G. (2001). Interactions between Carbon and Nitrogen Metabolism. In *Plant Nitrogen*. P. J. Lea & J.-F. Morot-Gaudry (Eds.), Springer. pp. 237–254). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-04064-5_9

FRANKOW-LINDBERG, B. E., BROPHY, C., COLLINS, R. P., & CONNOLLY, J. (2009). Biodiversity effects on yield and unsown species invasion in a temperate forage ecosystem. *Annals of Botany*, 103(6), 913–921. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcp008

FRANZEL, S., CARSAN, S., LUKUYU, B., SINJA, J., & WAMBUGU, C. (2014). ScienceDirect Fodder trees for improving livestock productivity and smallholder livelihoods in Africa. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 6, 98–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2013.11.008

FRANZLUEBBERS, A. J. (2010). Will we allow soil carbon to feed our needs? *Carbon Management*, 1(2), 237–251. https://doi.org/10.4155/cmt.10.25

FRANZLUEBBERS, A. J. (2020). Chapter 2 - Cattle grazing effects on the environment: Greenhouse gas emissions and carbon footprint. In M. Rouquette & G. E. Aiken (Eds.), *Management Strategies for Sustainable Cattle Production in Southern Pastures* (pp. 11–34). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814474-9.00002-5

FRANZLUEBBERS, A. J., SULC, R. M., & RUSSELLE, M. P. (2011). Opportunities and challenges for integrating North-American crop and livestock systems. In G. Lemaire, J. Hodgson & A. Chabbi (Eds.), *Grassland productivity and ecosystem services* (pp. 208–218). *CABI*. https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845938093.0208

FREDEEN, A., JUURLINK, S., MAIN, M., ASTATKIE, T., & MARTIN, R. C. (2013). Implications of dairy systems on enteric methane and postulated effects on total greenhouse gas emission. *Animal*, 7(11), 1875–1883. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731113001390

FUCHS, K., MERBOLD, L., BUCHMANN, N., BELLOCCHI, G., BINDI, M., BRILLI, L., CONANT, R. T., DORICH, C. D., EHRHARDT, F., FITTON, N., GRACE, P., KLUMPP, K., LIEBIG, M., LIEFFERING, M., MARTIN, R., MCAULIFFE, R., NEWTON, P. C. D., REES, R. M., RECOUS, S., ... SNOW, V. (2020). Evaluating the Potential of Legumes to Mitigate N₂O Emissions from Permanent Grassland Using Process-Based Models. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *34*(12), e2020GB006561. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006561

GALLOWAY, J. N., DENTENER, F. J., CAPONE, D. G., BOYER, E. W., HOWARTH, R. W., SEITZINGER, S. P., ASNER, G. P., CLEVELAND, C. C., GREEN, P. A., HOLLAND, E. A., KARL, D. M., MICHAELS, A. F., PORTER, J. H., TOWNSEND, A. R., & VÖOSMARTY, C. J. (2004). Nitrogen Cycles: Past, Present, and Future. Biogeochemistry, 70(2), 153–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-004-0370-0

GAO, J., & CARMEL, Y. (2020). A global meta-analysis of grazing effects on plant richness. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment,* 302(June), 107072. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.107072

GARDINER, C. A., CLOUGH, T. J., CAMERON, K. C., DI, H. J., EDWARDS, G. R., & DE KLEIN, C. A. M. (2018). Potential inhibition of urine patch nitrous oxide emissions by *Plantago lanceolata* and its metabolite aucubin. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 61(4), 495–503. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.2017.1411953

GHANA METEOROLOGICAL AGENCY (2019). *Climate data of Pokuase, General Services, Ghana Meteorological Agency*, Legon, Accra, available at: https://www.meteo.gov.gh/gmet/, last access: 3 June 2019.

GIZAW, S., MEGERSA, A., MULUYE, M., HOEKSTRA, D., GEBREMEDHIN, B. & TE-GEGNE, A. (2016). *Smallholder dairy farming systems in the highlands of Ethiopia: System-specific constraints and intervention options*. LIVES Working Paper 23. Nairobi, Kenya: International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI).

GOBIN, A., CAMPLING, P., JANSSEN, L., DESMET, N., VAN DELDEN, H., HURKENS, J., LAVELLE, P., AND BERMAN, S. (2011). *Soil organic matter management across the EU* – *best practices, constraints and trade-offs*. Final Report for the European Commission's DG Environment, https://doi.org/10.2779/17252.

GOGLIO, P., SMITH, W. N., GRANT, B. B., DESJARDINS, R. L., MCCONKEY, B. G., CAMPBELL, C. A., & NEMECEK, T. (2015). Accounting for soil carbon changes in agricultural life cycle assessment (LCA): A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 104, 23–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.05.040

GORISSEN, A., & COTRUFO, M. F. (2000). Decomposition of leaf and root tissue of three perennial grass species grown at two levels of atmospheric CO₂ and N supply. *Plant and Soil*, 224(1), 75–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004744914998</u>

GRACE, J. (2006). References. In *Structural Equation Modeling and Natural Systems* (pp. 350-360). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511617799.016

GRACE, J., JOSÉ, J. S., MEIR, P., MIRANDA, H. S., & MONTES, R. A. (2006). Productivity and carbon fluxes of tropical savannas. *Journal of Biogeography*, 33(3), 387–400. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2005.01448.x

GRAHAM, S. L., HUNT, J. E., MILLARD, P., MCSEVENY, T., TYLIANAKIS, J. M., & WHITEHEAD, D. (2014). Effects of soil warming and nitrogen addition on soil respiration in a New Zealand tussock grassland. *PLoS ONE*, 9(3). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091204

GRANLI, T., & BØCKMAN, O. C. (1995). Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from soils in warm climates. *Fertilizer Research*, 42(1–3), 159–163. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00750510

GRIECO, E., CHITI, T., & VALENTINI, R. (2012). Land use change and carbon stock dynamics in Sub-Saharan Africa—Case study of Western Africa – Ghana. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, 14, 12218. https://doi.org/10.1161/hc0402.104118 GRIGULIS, K., LAVOREL, S., KRAINER, U., LEGAY, N., BAXENDALE, C., DUMONT, M., KASTL, E., ARNOLDI, C., BARDGETT, R. D., POLY, F., POMMIER, T., SCHLOTER, M., TAPPEINER, U., BAHN, M., & CLÉMENT, J.-C. (2013). Relative contributions of plant traits and soil microbial properties to mountain grassland ecosystem services. *Journal of Ecology*, 101(1), 47–57. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12014

GUO, L. B., & GIFFORD, R. M. (2002). Soil carbon stocks and land use change: A metaanalysis. *Global Change Biology*, 8(4), 345–360. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1354-1013.2002.00486.x

HALVORSON, J. J., GONZALEZ, J. M., & HAGERMAN, A. E. (2011). Repeated applications of tannins and related phenolic compounds are retained by soil and affect cation exchange capacity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43(6), 1139–1147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.01.023

HAMILTON, E. W., & FRANK, D. A. (2001). Can Plants Stimulate Soil Microbes and Their Own Nutrient Supply? Evidence from a Grazing Tolerant Grass. *Ecology*, 82(9), 2397–2402. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[2397:CPSSMA]2.0.CO;2

HARGREAVES, S. K., & HOFMOCKEL, K. S. (2014). Physiological shifts in the microbial community drive changes in enzyme activity in a perennial agroecosystem. *Biogeochemistry*, 117(1), 67–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-013-9893-6

HARRIS, E. (2004). Building scientific capacity in developing countries. *EMBO Reports*, 5(1), 7–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400058

HASSEN, A., TALORE, D. G., TESFAMARIAM, E. H., FRIEND, M. A., & MPANZA, T. D. E. (2017). Potential use of forage-legume intercropping technologies to adapt to climatechange impacts on mixed crop-livestock systems in Africa: A review. *Regional Environmental Change*, 17(6), 1713–1724. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1131-7

HÄTTENSCHWILER, S., & VITOUSEK, P. M. (2000). The role of polyphenols in terrestrial ecosystem nutrient cycling. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 15(6), 238–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)01861-9

HEILMEIER, H., & MONSON, R. K. (1994). Carbon and nitrogen storage in herbaceous plants. In *A whole plant perspective on carbon-nitrogen interactions*. SPB Academic Publishing by, The Hague, The Netherlands.

HENDRICKSON, J., SASSENRATH, G. F., ARCHER, D., HANSON, J., & HALLORAN, J.(2008). Interactions in integrated US agricultural systems: The past, present and future. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 23(4), 314–324.https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170507001998

HENSEN, A., SKIBA, U., & FAMULARI, D. (2013). Low cost and state of the art methods to measure nitrous oxide emissions. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(2). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/2/025022

HERTENBERGER, G., ZAMPACH, P., & BACHMANN, G. (2002). Plant species affect the concentration of free sugars and free amino acids in different types of soil. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 165(5), 557–565. https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2624(200210)165:5<57::AID-JPLN1111557>3.0.CO;2-G

HIDOSA D. & GUYO M. (2017). Climate Change Effects on Livestock Feed Resources: A Review. *Journal of Fisheries and Livestock Production* 5, 259. doi:10.4172/2332-2608.1000259.

HILL, J. P. (2017). Assessing the overall impact of the dairy sector. In *Achieving sustainable production of milk* (Volume 2). Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing Limited. Pp. 1–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.19103/AS.2016.0005.43</u>

HODGE, A., & FITTER, A. H. (2010). Substantial nitrogen acquisition by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from organic material has implications for N cycling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(31), 13754–13759. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005874107

HOEFT, I., STEUDE, K., WRAGE, N., & VELDKAMP, E. (2012). Response of nitrogen oxide emissions to grazer species and plant species composition in temperate agricultural grassland. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 151, 34–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.01.029

HOEKSTRA, N. J., SUTER, M., FINN, J. A., HUSSE, S., & LÜSCHER, A. (2015). Do belowground vertical niche differences between deep- and shallow-rooted species enhance resource uptake and drought resistance in grassland mixtures? *Plant and Soil*, 394(1–2), 21–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-014-2352-x

HØGH-JENSEN, H., LOGES, R., JØRGENSEN, F. V., VINTHER, F. P., & JENSEN, E. S. (2004). An empirical model for quantification of symbiotic nitrogen fixation in grass-clover mixtures. *Agricultural Systems*, 82(2), 181–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2003.12.003

HOLTAN-HARTWIG, L., DÖRSCH, P., & BAKKEN, L. R. (2002). Low temperature control of soil denitrifying communities: Kinetics of N₂O production and reduction. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 34(11), 1797–1806. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00169-4

HOOPER, L. V., FALK, P. G., & GORDON, J. I. (2000). Analyzing the molecular foundations of commensalism in the mouse intestine. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 3(1), 79–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5274(99)00055-7

HURVICH, C. M. AND TSAI, C.-L. (1995). Model selection for extended quasi-likelihood models in small samples, *Biometrics*, 51, 1077–1084.

ILRI (2003). Livestock, a pathway out of poverty: ILRI's strategy to 2010. Nairobi-Kenya LOWDER, S. K., SKOET J. & RANEY T. (2016): The Number, Size, and Distribution of Farms, Smallholder Farms, and Family Farms Worldwide. *World Development* 87, 16-29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.10.041</u>

INTERNATIONAL UNION OF SOIL SCIENCE (IUSS) WORKING GROUP (2014). World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps, *World Soil Resources Reports* No. 106, FAO, Rome, ISBN 978-92-5-108369-7. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479706394902

IPCC. (2006). IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. *Institute for Global Environmental Strategies* (IGES), pp. 1–49.

IPCC. (2013). Climate Change 2013: *The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

IPCC. (2019). 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. In Agriculture, Forestry and other Land Use (Vol. 4). Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2019rf/pdf/4_Vol-ume4/19R_V4_Ch11_Soils_N₂O_CO₂.pdf. Kelliher.

IRVING, L. J. (2015). Carbon assimilation, biomass partitioning and productivity in grasses. *Agriculture*, 5(4), 1116–1134. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture5041116

JACKSON, F. S., BARRY, T. N., LASCANO, C., & PALMER, B. (1996). The extractable and bound condensed tannin content of leaves from tropical tree, shrub and forage legumes. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 71(1), 103–110. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(199605)71:1<103::AID-JSFA554>3.0.CO;2-8

JANSSENS, C., HAVLÍK, P., KRISZTIN, T., BAKER, J., FRANK, S., HASEGAWA, T., LECLÈRE, D., OHREL, S., RAGNAUTH, S., SCHMID, E., VALIN, H., VAN LIPZIG, N., & MAERTENS, M. (2020). Global hunger and climate change adaptation through international trade. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(9), 829–835. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0847-4

JAYANEGARA, A., SUJARNOKO, T. U. P., RIDLA, M., KONDO, M., & KREUZER, M. (2019). Silage quality as influenced by concentration and type of tannins present in the material ensiled: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 103(2), 456–465. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.13050

JAYNE, T., KOLAVALLI, S., DEBRAH, K., ARIGA, J., BRUNACHE, P., KABAGHE, C., NUNEZ-RODRIGUEZ, W., OWUSU BAAH, K., BATIONO, A. A., JEROEN HUISING, E., LAMBRECHT, I., DIAO, X., YEBOAH, F., BENIN, S., & ANDAM, K. (2015). Towards a sustainable soil fertility strategy in Ghana, Report submitted to the Ministry of Food and Agriculture Government of Ghana, Feed the Future Innovation Lab for 85 Food Security Policy Research Papers 258733, *Michigan State University, Department of Agricultural, Food, and Resource Economics, Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Food Security* (FSP), https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.258733.

JIANG, C., YU, G., FANG, H., CAO, G., & LI, Y. (2010). Short-term effect of increasing nitrogen deposition on CO2, CH4 and N2O fluxes in an alpine meadow on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, China. *Atmospheric Environment*, 44(24), 2920–2926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.030

JIANG, Y., LI, Y., NIE, G., & LIU, H. (2016). Leaf and Root Growth, Carbon and Nitrogen Contents, and Gene Expression of Perennial Ryegrass to Different Nitrogen Supplies. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 141(6), 555–562. https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS03883-16

JOHNSTON, A. E., POULTON, P. R., & COLEMAN, K. (2009). Chapter 1 Soil Organic Matter: Its Importance in Sustainable Agriculture and Carbon Dioxide Fluxes. In D. L. Sparks (Ed.), *Advances in Agronomy* (Vol. 101, pp. 1–57). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(08)00801-8
JONES, M. B., & DONNELLY, A. (2004). Carbon sequestration in temperate grassland ecosystems and the influence of management, climate and elevated CO₂. *New Phytologist*, 423– 439. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01201.x

JUDSON, H. G., FRASER, P. M., & PETERSON, M. E. (2019). Nitrification inhibition by urine from cattle consuming *Plantago lanceolata*. *Journal of New Zealand Grasslands*, 81, 111–116. https://doi.org/10.33584/jnzg.2019.81.413

KAGIYA, N., REINSCH, T., TAUBE, F., SALMINEN, J. P., KLUSS, C., HASLER, M., & MALISCH, C. S. (2019). Turnover rates of roots vary considerably across temperate forage species. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 139, 107614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soil-bio.2019.107614

KHALIL, M. I., FRANCAVIGLIA, R., HENRY, B., KLUMPP, K., KONCZ, P., LLORENTE, M., MADARI, B. E., MUÑOZ-ROJAS, M. & NERGER, R. (2019). Strategic Management of Grazing Grassland Systems to Maintain and Increase Organic Carbon in Soils. *CO*₂ Sequestration. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84341

KHAN, S. A., MULVANEY, R. L., ELLSWORTH, T. R. & BOAST, C. W. (2007). The Myth of Nitrogen Fertilization for Soil Carbon Sequestration. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 36(6), 1821–1832. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2007.0099

KHATIWADA, B., ACHARYA, S. N., LARNEY, F. J., LUPWAYI, N. Z., SMITH, E. G., ISLAM, M. A. & THOMAS, J. E. (2020). Benefits of mixed grass–legume pastures and pasture rejuvenation using bloat-free legumes in western Canada: A review. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 100(5), 463–476. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2019-0212

KIMMING, M., SUNDBERG, C., NORDBERG, A., BAKY, A., BERNESSON, S., NORÉN, O., & HANSSON, P.-A. (2011). Life cycle assessment of energy self-sufficiency systems based on agricultural residues for organic arable farms. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(2), 1425–1432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.09.068

KLEBER, M., EUSTERHUES, K., KEILUWEIT, M., MIKUTTA, C., MIKUTTA, R., & NICO, P. S. (2015). Mineral-Organic Associations: Formation, Properties, and Relevance in Soil Environments. *In Advances in Agronomy* (Vol. 130). Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2014.10.005

KOCH, O., TSCHERKO, D., & KANDELER, E. (2007). Temperature sensitivity of microbial respiration, nitrogen mineralization, and potential soil enzyme activities in organic alpine soils. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21(4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GB002983

KOMAINDA, M., KÜCHENMEISTER, F., KÜCHENMEISTER, K., KAYSER, M., WRAGE-MÖNNIG, N., & ISSELSTEIN, J. (2020). Drought tolerance is determined by species identity and functional group diversity rather than by species diversity within multi-species swards. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 119(February), 126116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2020.126116

KRAMER-WALTER, K. R., BELLINGHAM, P. J., MILLAR, T. R., SMISSEN, R. D., RICH-ARDSON, S. J., & LAUGHLIN, D. C. (2016). Root traits are multidimensional: Specific root length is independent from root tissue density and the plant economic spectrum. *Journal of Ecology*, 104(5), 1299–1310. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12562 KRAMER-WALTER, K. R., BELLINGHAM, P. J., MILLAR, T. R., SMISSEN, R. D., RICH-ARDSON, S. J., & LAUGHLIN, D. C. (2016). Root traits are multidimensional: Specific root length is independent from root tissue density and the plant economic spectrum. *Journal of Ecology*, 104(5), 1299–1310. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12562

KRAUS, T. E. C., DAHLGREN, R. A., & ZASOSKI, R. J. (2003). Tannins in nutrient dynamics of forest ecosystems—A review. *Plant and Soil*, 256(1), 41–66. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026206511084

KREYLING, J., BEIERKUHNLEIN, C., ELMER, M., PRITSCH, K., RADOVSKI, M., SCHLOTER, M., WÖLLECKE, J., & JENTSCH, A. (2008). Soil biotic processes remain remarkably stable after 100-year extreme weather events in experimental grassland and heath. *Plant and Soil*, 308(1), 175. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9617-1

KROL, D. J., CAROLAN, R., MINET, E., MCGEOUGH, K. L., WATSON, C. J., FOR-RESTAL, P. J., LANIGAN, G. J., & RICHARDS, K. G. (2016). Improving and disaggregating N₂O emission factors for ruminant excreta on temperate pasture soils. *Science of the Total Environment*, 568, 327–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.016

KULAK, M., NEMECEK, T., FROSSARD, E., & GAILLARD, G. (2013). How Eco-Efficient Are Low-Input Cropping Systems in Western Europe, and What Can Be Done to Improve Their Eco-Efficiency? *Sustainability*. https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=CH2018121259

LAL, R. (2004). Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Science*, 304(5677), 1623–1627. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097396

LAL, R. (2008). Sequestration of atmospheric CO₂ in global carbon pools. *Energy and Environmental Science*, 1(1), 86–100. https://doi.org/10.1039/b809492f

LAL, R. (2018). Digging Deeper: A Holistic Perspective of Factors Affecting Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration in Agroecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, December 2017. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14054

LAMARQUE, J.-F., KIEHL, J. T., BRASSEUR, G. P., BUTLER, T., CAMERON-SMITH, P., COLLINS, W. D., COLLINS, W. J., GRANIER, C., HAUGLUSTAINE, D., HESS, P. G., HOLLAND, E. A., HOROWITZ, L., LAWRENCE, M. G., MCKENNA, D., MERILEES, P., PRATHER, M. J., RASCH, P. J., ROTMAN, D., SHINDELL, D., & THORNTON, P. (2005). Assessing future nitrogen deposition and carbon cycle feedback using a multimodel approach: Analysis of nitrogen deposition. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 110(D19). https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD005825

LAMBERS, H., CHAPIN, F. S., & PONS, T. L. (2008). Ecosystem and Global Processes: Ecophysiological Controls. In H. Lambers, F. S. Chapin, & T. L. Pons (Eds.), *Plant Physiological Ecology* (pp. 555–571). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-78341-3_19

LANGE, M., EISENHAUER, N., SIERRA, C. A., BESSLER, H., ENGELS, C., GRIFFITHS, R. I., MELLADO-VÁZQUEZ, P. G., MALIK, A. A., ROY, J., SCHEU, S., STEINBEISS, S., THOMSON, B. C., TRUMBORE, S. E., & GLEIXNER, G. (2015). Plant diversity increases soil microbial activity and soil carbon storage. *Nature Communications*, 6(1), 6707. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7707

LATTANZI F. A. (2010). C3/C4 grasslands and climate change, *Grassland Sci. Eur.*, 15, 3–13, 2010.

LAWLOR, D. W. (2002). Carbon and nitrogen assimilation in relation to yield: Mechanisms are the key to understanding production systems. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 53(370), 773–787. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/53.370.773

LAWLOR, D. W., BOYLE, F. A., KENDALL, A. C., & KEYS, A. J. (1987). Nitrate Nutrition and Temperature Effects on Wheat: Enzyme Composition, Nitrate and Total Amino Acid Content of Leaves. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 38(3), 378–392. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/38.3.378

LEDGARD, S. F. (2001). Nitrogen cycling in low input legume-based agriculture, with emphasis on legume/grass pastures. *Plant and Soil*, 228(1), 43–59. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004810620983

LEDGARD, S. F., & STEELE, K. W. (1992). Biological nitrogen fixation in mixed legume/grass pastures. *Plant and Soil*, 141(1), 137–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00011314

LEMAIRE, GILLES, FRANZLUEBBERS, A., CARVALHO, P. C. DE F., & DEDIEU, B. (2014). Integrated crop–livestock systems: Strategies to achieve synergy between agricultural production and environmental quality. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 190, 4–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.08.009

LEMAIRE, GILLES, GASTAL, F., FRANZLUEBBERS, A., & CHABBI, A. (2015). Grassland–Cropping Rotations: An Avenue for Agricultural Diversification to Reconcile High Production with Environmental Quality. *Environmental Management*, 56(5), 1065–1077. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-015-0561-6

LEVY-BOOTH, D. J., PRESCOTT, C. E., & GRAYSTON, S. J. (2014). Microbial functional genes involved in nitrogen fixation, nitrification and denitrification in forest ecosystems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 75, 11–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.03.021

LI, J. H., YANG, Y. J., LI, B. W., LI, W. J., WANG, G., & KNOPS, J. M. H. (2014). Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on soil carbon fractions in alpine meadows on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *PLoS ONE*, 9(7). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103266

LI, K., GONG, Y., SONG, W., HE, G., HU, Y., TIAN, C., & LIU, X. (2012). Responses of CH₄, CO₂ and N₂O fluxes to increasing nitrogen deposition in alpine grassland of the Tianshan Mountains. *Chemosphere*, 88(1), 140–143. ttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.02.077

LIU, D., HUANG, Y., AN, S., SUN, H., BHOPLE, P., & CHEN, Z. (2018). Soil physicochemical and microbial characteristics of contrasting land-use types along soil depth gradients. *Catena*, 162, 345–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2017.10.028

LOGES, R., BUNNE, I., REINSCH, T., MALISCH, C., KLUSS, C., HERRMANN, A., & TAUBE, F. (2018). Forage production in rotational systems generates similar yields compared to maize monocultures but improves soil carbon stocks. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 97, 11–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2018.04.010

LOGES, R., LOZA, C., VOSS, P., KLUSS, C., MALISCH, C., & TAUBE, F. (2020). The potential of multispecies swards for eco-efficient dairy production in Northern Germany. *Meeting the Future Demands for Grassland Production*, 25, 312–314.

LONG, S. P. (1999). *Environmental responses, in: C4 Plant Biology*, edited by: Sage, R. F. and Monson, R. K., Academic Press, San Diego, USA, pp. 215–249.

LONG, T. B., BLOK, V., & CONINX, I. (2016). Barriers to the adoption and diffusion of technological innovations for climate-smart agriculture in Europe: Evidence from the Netherlands, France, Switzerland and Italy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 9–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.06.044

LOREAU, M., & HECTOR, A. (2001). Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. *Nature*, 412(6842), 72–76. https://doi.org/10.1038/35083573

LORENZ, H., REINSCH, T., KLUSS, C., TAUBE, F., & LOGES, R. (2020). Does the Admixture of Forage Herbs Affect the Yield Performance, Yield Stability and Forage Quality of a Grass Clover Ley? *Sustainability*, 12(14), 5842. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145842

LÜ, X. T., DIJKSTRA, F. A., KONG, D. L., WANG, Z. W., & HAN, X. G. (2014). Plant nitrogen uptake drives responses of productivity to nitrogen and water addition in a grassland. *Scientific Reports*, 4, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04817

LUO, J., BALVERT, S. F., WISE, B., WELTEN, B., LEDGARD, S. F., DE KLEIN, C. A. M., LINDSEY, S., & JUDGE, A. (2018). Using alternative forage species to reduce emissions of the greenhouse gas nitrous oxide from cattle urine deposited onto soil. *Science of the Total Environment*, 610–611, 1271–1280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.186

LUO, JIAFA, SAGGAR, S., VAN DER WEERDEN, T., & DE KLEIN, C. (2019). Quantification of nitrous oxide emissions and emission factors from beef and dairy cattle excreta deposited on grazed pastoral hill lands. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 270–271(October 2018), 103–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.10.020

LUO, JIAFA, WYATT, J., VAN DER WEERDEN, T. J., THOMAS, S. M., DE KLEIN, C. A. M., LI, Y., ROLLO, M., LINDSEY, S., LEDGARD, S. F., LI, J., DING, W., QIN, S., ZHANG, N., BOLAN, N., KIRKHAM, M. B., BAI, Z., MA, L., ZHANG, X., WANG, H., ... RYS, G. (2017). Potential Hotspot Areas of Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Grazed Pastoral Dairy Farm Systems. *Advances in Agronomy* (1st ed., Vol. 145). Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2017.05.006

LÜSCHER, A., MUELLER-HARVEY, I., SOUSSANA, J. F., REES, R. M., & PEYRAUD, J. L. (2014). Potential of legume-based grassland-livestock systems in Europe: A review. *Grass and Forage Science*, 69(2), 206–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12124

MAAG, M., & VINTHER, F. P. (1996). Nitrous oxide emission by nitrification and denitrification in different soil types and at different soil moisture contents and temperatures. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 4(1), 5–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/0929-1393(96)00106-0

MANGWE, M. C., BRYANT, R. H., BECK, M. R., BEALE, N., BUNT, C., & GREGORINI, P. (2019). Forage herbs as an alternative to ryegrass-white clover to alter urination patterns in grazing dairy systems. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 252(October 2018), 11–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2019.04.001

MARQUES, J. D. DE O., LUIZÃO, F. J., TEIXEIRA, W. G., VITEL, C. M., MARQUES, E. M. DE A., MARQUES, J. D. DE O., LUIZÃO, F. J., TEIXEIRA, W. G., VITEL, C. M., & MARQUES, E. M. DE A. (2016). Soil Organic Carbon, Carbon Stock And Their Relationships To Physical Attributes Under Forest Soils In Central Amazonia. *Revista Árvore*, 40(2), 197–208. https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-67622016000200002

MARSDEN, K. A., JONES, D. L., & CHADWICK, D. R. (2016). The urine patch diffusional area: An important N₂O source? Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 92, 161–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.10.011

MARTENS, D. A. (2000). Plant residue biochemistry regulates soil carbon cycling and carbon sequestration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 32(3), 361–369. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00162-5

MARTIN, F. W., & BREWBAKER, J. L. (1971). The Nature of the Stigmatic Exudate and its Role in Pollen Germination. Abstract. In J. Heslop-harrison (Ed.), *Pollen* (pp. 262–266). Butterworth-Heinemann. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-408-70149-5.50034-8

MARX, M.-C., KANDELER, E., WOOD, M., WERMBTER, N., & JARVIS, S. C. (2005). Exploring the enzymatic landscape: Distribution and kinetics of hydrolytic enzymes in soil particle-size fractions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 37(1), 35–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.05.024

MAYBERRY, D., ASH, A., PRESTWIDGE, D., GODDE, C. M., HENDERSON, B., DUN-CAN, A., BLUMMEL, M., REDDY, Y. R. & HERRERO, M. (2017). Yield gap analyses to estimate attainable bovine milk yields and evaluate options to increase production in Ethiopia and India. *Agricultural Systems*, 155(March), 43–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.04.007

MCAULIFFE, G. A., LÓPEZ-AIZPÚN, M., BLACKWELL, M. S. A., CASTELLANO-HI-NOJOSA, A., DARCH, T., EVANS, J., HORROCKS, C., LE COCQ, K., TAKAHASHI, T., HARRIS, P., LEE, M. R. F., & CARDENAS, L. (2020). Elucidating three-way interactions between soil, pasture and animals that regulate nitrous oxide emissions from temperate grazing systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 300(April), 106978. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.106978

MCDERMOTT, J. J. STAAL, S. J. FREEMAN, H. A. HERRERO, M. & VAN DE STEEG, J. A. (2010). Sustaining intensification of smallholder livestock systems in the tropics. *Livestock Science*, 130, 95-109

MCSHERRY, M. E., & RITCHIE, M. E. (2013). Effects of grazing on grassland soil carbon: A global review. *Global Change Biology*, 19(5), 1347–1357. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12144

MEIER, I. C., AVIS, P. G., & PHILLIPS, R. P. (2013). Fungal communities influence root exudation rates in pine seedlings. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 83(3), 585–595. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12016

MICHAELIS, L., & MENTEN, M. L. (1913). Die Kinetik der Invertiiiwirkulig. Universitätsbibliothek Johann Christian Senckenberg, 49, 333–369. MILLER, A. P., & ARAI, Y. (2016). Comparative evaluation of phosphate spectrophotometric methods in soil test phosphorus extracting solutions. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 80(6), 1543–1550. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2016.08.0256n

MILNE, E., AYNEKULU, E., BATIONO, A., BATJES, N. H., BOONE, R., CONANT, R., DAVIES, J., HANAN, N., HOAG, D., HERRICK, J. E., KNAUSENBERGER, W., NEELY, C., NJOKA, J., NGUGI, M., PARTON, B., PAUSTIAN, K., REID, R., SAID, M., SHEP-HERD, K., ... NKONYA, E. (2016). Grazing lands in Sub-Saharan Africa and their potential role in climate change mitigation: What we do and don't know. *Environmental Development*, 19(July), 70–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2016.06.001

MIN, K., FREEMAN, C., KANG, H., & CHOI, S. U. (2015). The Regulation by Phenolic Compounds of Soil Organic Matter Dynamics under a Changing Environment. *BioMed Research International*, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/825098

MINASNY, B., MALONE, B. P., MCBRATNEY, A. B., ANGERS, D. A., ARROUAYS, D., CHAMBERS, A., CHAPLOT, V., CHEN, Z. S., CHENG, K., DAS, B. S., FIELD, D. J., GIMONA, A., HEDLEY, C. B., HONG, S. Y., MANDAL, B., MARCHANT, B. P., MARTIN, M., MCCONKEY, B. G., MULDER, V. L., ... WINOWIECKI, L. (2017). Soil carbon 4 per mille. *Geoderma*, 292, 59–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.01.002

MINISTRY OF ENERGY, AGRICULTURE, THE ENVIRONMENT, NATURE AND DIG-ITALIZATION OF SCHLESWIG HOLSTEIN. (2008). Annual balance of nutrients and alkali / alkaline or trace metals of wet deposition in Schleswig-Holstein. Last accessed on 12.04.2014 from

https://www.schleswig-holstein.de/DE/Fachinhalte/H/hydrologie_niederschlag/Down-loads/JahresbilanzNaehrstoffe.pdf

MINNEÉ, E. M. K., KUHN-SHERLOCK, B., PINXTERHUIS, I. J. B., & CHAPMAN, D. F. (2019). Meta-analyses comparing the nutritional composition of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) and plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*) pastures. *Journal of New Zealand Grasslands*, 81(October 2018), 117–124. https://doi.org/10.33584/jnzg.2019.81.402

MOMMER, L., HINSINGER, P., PRIGENT-COMBARET, C., & VISSER, E. J. W. (2016). Advances in the rhizosphere: Stretching the interface of life. *Plant and Soil*, 407(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-3040-9

MORTENSON, M. C., SCHUMAN*, G. E., & INGRAM, L. J. (2004). Carbon Sequestration in Rangelands Interseeded with Yellow-Flowering Alfalfa (Medicago sativa ssp. Falcata). *Environmental Management*, 33(S1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-003-9155-9

MUDAU, F. N., SOUNDY, P., & DU TOIT, E. S. (2007). Effects of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium nutrition on total polyphenol content of bush tea (*Athrixia phylicoides* L.) leaves in shaded nursery environment. *HortScience*, 42(2), 334–338. https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.42.2.334

MUEHLHOFF, E., BENNETT, A. & MCMAHON, D. (2013). *Milk and dairy products in human nutrition*. FAO, 2013. ISBN 978-92-5-107863-1.

MUELLER-HARVEY, I., BEE, G., DOHME-MEIER, F., HOSTE, H., KARONEN, M., KÖLLIKER, R., LÜSCHER, A., NIDERKORN, V., PELLIKAAN, W. F., SALMINEN, J. P.,

SKØT, L., SMITH, L. M. J., THAMSBORG, S. M., TOTTERDELL, P., WILKINSON, I., WILLIAMS, A. R., AZUHNWI, B. N., BAERT, N., BRINKHAUS, A. G., ... WAGHORN, G. C. (2019). Benefits of condensed tannins in forage legumes fed to ruminants: Importance of structure, concentration, and diet composition. *Crop Science*, 59(3), 861–885. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2017.06.0369

MÜLLER, C., & SHERLOCK, R. R. (2004). Nitrous oxide emissions from temperate grassland ecosystems in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 18(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003gb002175

MUPANGWA, J. F., ACAMOVIC, T., TOPPS, J. H., NGONGONI, N. T., & HAMUDIKU-WANDA, H. (2000). Content of soluble and bound condensed tannins of three tropical herbaceous forage legumes. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 83(2), 139–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(99)00117-0

MYERS, S. S., SMITH, M. R., GUTH, S., GOLDEN, C. D., VAITLA, B., MUELLER, N. D., DANGOUR, A. D., & HUYBERS, P. (2017). Climate Change and Global Food Systems: Potential Impacts on Food Security and Undernutrition. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 38, 259–277. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044356

NABAIS, C., LABUTO, G., GONÇALVES, S., BUSCARDO, E., SEMENSATTO, D., NOGUEIRA, A. R. A., & FREITAS, H. (2011). Effect of root age on the allocation of metals, amino acids and sugars in different cell fractions of the perennial grass *Paspalum notatum* (bahiagrass). *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 49(12), 1442–1447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2011.09.010

NAYAK, A. K., RAHMAN, M. M., NAIDU, R., DHAL, B., SWAIN, C. K., NAYAK, A. D., TRIPATHI, R., SHAHID, M., ISLAM, M. R., & PATHAK, H. (2019). Current and emerging methodologies for estimating carbon sequestration in agricultural soils: A review. *Science of The Total Environment*, 665, 890–912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.125

Ndulu, B.J., Lopamudra, C., Lebohang, L., Vijaya, R. & Wolgin, J. (2007). *Challenges of African Growth: Opportunities, Constraints and Strategic Directions*. Unpublished thesis. USA: World Bank.

NEUMANN, G., BOTT, S., OHLER, M., MOCK, H.-P., LIPPMANN, R., GROSCH, R., & SMALLA, K. (2014). Root exudation and root development of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L. cv. Tizian) as affected by different soils. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00002

NIANG, I., O.C. RUPPEL, M.A. ABDRABO, A. ESSEL, C. LENNARD, J. PADGHAM, AND P. URQUHART (2014). Africa. In: *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Barros, V.R., C.B. Field, D.J. Dokken, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, and L.L. White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 1199-1265.

NIKLAUS, P. A., LE ROUX, X., POLY, F., BUCHMANN, N., SCHERER-LORENZEN, M., WEIGELT, A., & BARNARD, R. L. (2016). Plant species diversity affects soil-atmosphere

fluxes of methane and nitrous oxide. *Oecologia*, 181(3), 919–930. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3611-8

NOBILLY, F., BRYANT, R. H., MCKENZIE, B. A., & EDWARDS, G. R. (2013). Productivity of rotationally grazed simple and diverse pasture mixtures under irrigation in Canterbury. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Grassland Association*, 165–172. https://doi.org/10.33584/jnzg.2013.75.2920

NÚÑEZ, P., DEMANET, R., MATUS, F., & MORA, M. (2007). Grazing Management, Ammonia and Nitrous Oxide Emissions: A General View. *Revista de La Ciencia Del Suelo y Nutrición Vegetal*, 7(3), 61–99. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-27912007000300006

NUTMAN, P. S. (ED.) (1976). *IBP field experiments on nitrogen fixation by nodulated legumes, in: Symbiotic nitrogen fixation by plants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 211–238, 1976.

NYAMEASEM, J. K., AMOAH, K. O., WALLACE, P. A., & ADU, E. K. (2017). Nitrogen partitioning and growth in grasscutters fed freshly cut Panicum maximum alone or supplemented with Leucaena lecocephala treated with 0, 1 or 2 per cent saline solution. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 29(2). http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd29/2/nyam29024.html

NYAMEASEM, J. K., MALISCH, C. S., LOGES, R., TAUBE, F., KLUSS, C., VOGELER, I., & REINSCH, T. (2021). NITROUS OXIDE EMISSION FROM GRAZING IS LOW ACROSS A GRADIENT OF PLANT FUNCTIONAL DIVERSITY AND SOIL CONDI-TIONS. *Atmosphere*, 12(2), 223. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12020223

NYAMEASEM, J. K., REINSCH, T., TAUBE, F., DOMOZORO, C. Y. F., AHENKORA-MARFO, E., EMADODIN, I., & MALISCH, C. S. (2020). Nitrogen availability determines the long-term impact of land-use change on soil carbon stocks in Ghana, *Figshare*, https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12016158.v1, last access: 2 November 2020.

NYFELER, D., HUGUENIN-ELIE, O., SUTER, M., FROSSARD, E., CONNOLLY, J., & LÜSCHER, A. (2009). Strong mixture effects among four species in fertilized agricultural grassland led to persistent and consistent transgressive overyielding. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 46(3), 683–691. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2009.01653.x

O'CONNELL, C. A., JUDSON, H. G., & BARRELL, G. K. (2016). Sustained diuretic effect of plantain when ingested by sheep. 2016 Annual Conference of the New Zealand Society for Animal Production. https://hdl.handle.net/10182/9281

OEHL, F., SIEVERDING, E., MÄDER, P., DUBOIS, D., INEICHEN, K., BOLLER, T., & WIEMKEN, A. (2004). Impact of long-term conventional and organic farming on the diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Oecologia*, 138(4), 574–583. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1458-2

OENEMA, O., & SAPEK, A. (2000). Controlling nitrous oxide emissions from grassland farming systems; the COGANOG project. In O. Oenema, & A. Sapek (Eds.), *Effects of liming and nitrogen fertilizer application on soil acidity and gaseous nitrogen oxide emissions in grassland systems* (pp. 7-13). Falenty IMUZ Publisher. OENEMA, O., WITZKE, H. P., KLIMONT, Z., LESSCHEN, J. P., & VELTHOF, G. L. (2009). Integrated assessment of promising measures to decrease nitrogen losses from agriculture in EU-27. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 133(3–4), 280–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2009.04.025

OHYAMA, T. (2010). Nitrogen Assimilation in Plants: 1. Nitrogen as a major essential element of plants. Ohyama T. & Sueyoshi K (Eds). *Research Signpost*, 37/661 (2), Fort P.O. Trivandrum-695 023 Kerala, India (pp. 1–18).

OLALOKU E. A. & DEBRE S. (1992). Research priorities for the development of appropriate feeding systems for dairy production in sub-Saharan Africa, In: Stares J, Said A and Kategile: The complementarity of Feed Resources for Animal Production in Africa. *Proceedings of the Joint Feed Resources Networks workshop* held in Gaborone, Botswana 4-8 March 1991.

OLFF, H., & BAKKER, J. P. (1991). Long-term dynamics of standing crop and species composition after the cessation of fertilizer application to mown grassland. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 28(3), 1040–1052.

OLSON, K. R. (2013). Soil organic carbon sequestration, storage, retention and loss in U.S. croplands: Issues paper for protocol development. *Geoderma*, 195–196, 201–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.12.004

ORWIN, K. H., BUCKLAND, S. M., JOHNSON, D., TURNER, B. L., SMART, S., OAK-LEY, S., & BARDGETT, R. D. (2010). Linkages of plant traits to soil properties and the functioning of temperate grassland. *Journal of Ecology*, 98(5), 1074–1083. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01679.x

PARR, J. F., PAPENDICK, R. I., YOUNGBERG, I. G., & MEYER, R. E. (1990). Sustainable Agriculture in the United States. *Sustainable Agricultural Systems*, 52, 50–67.

PASLEY, H. R., CAIRNS, J. E., CAMBERATO, J. J., & VYN, T. J. (2019). Nitrogen fertilizer rate increases plant uptake and soil availability of essential nutrients in continuous maize production in Kenya and Zimbabwe. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 115(3), 373–389. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-019-10016-1

PEI, S., FU, H., & WAN, C. (2008). Changes in soil properties and vegetation following exclosure and grazing in degraded Alxa desert steppe of Inner Mongolia, China. Agriculture, *Ecosystems and Environment*, 124(1–2), 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2007.08.008

PENSANTES-SANGAY, S. J., CALLA-POMA, R. D., REQUENA-MENDIZABAL, M. F., ALVINO-VALES, M. I., MILLONES-GÓMEZ, P. A., PENSANTES-SANGAY, S. J., CALLA-POMA, R. D., REQUENA-MENDIZABAL, M. F., ALVINO-VALES, M. I., & MIL-LONES-GÓMEZ, P. A. (2020). Chemical Composition and Antibacterial Effect of Plantago Major Extract on Periodontal Pathogens. *Pesquisa Brasileira Em Odontopediatria e Clínica Integrada*, 20. https://doi.org/10.1590/pboci.2020.100

PEREIRA, T. P., MODESTO, E. C., NEPOMUCENO, D. DE D., DE OLIVEIRA, O. F., DE FREITAS, R. S. X., MUIR, J. P., DUBEUX JUNIOR, J. C. B., & ALMEIDA, J. C. DE C.

(2018). Characterization and biological activity of condensed tannins from tropical forage legumes. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira*, 53(9), 1070–1077. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2018000900011

PHILIPPOT, L., RAAIJMAKERS, J. M., LEMANCEAU, P., & VAN DER PUTTEN, W. H. (2013). Going back to the roots: The microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. *Nature Reviews*. *Microbiology*, 11(11), 789–799. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3109

PIJLMAN, J., HOLSHOF, G., VAN DEN BERG, W., ROS, G. H., ERISMAN, J. W., & VAN EEKEREN, N. (2020). Soil nitrogen supply of peat grasslands estimated by degree days and soil organic matter content. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 117(3), 351–365. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-020-10071-z

PIMENTEL, D., & PIMENTEL, M. (2003). Sustainability of meat-based and plant-based diets and the environment. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 78(3), 660S-663S. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/78.3.660S

PITTELKOW, C., LIANG, X., LINQUIST, B., VAN GROENIGEN, K. J., LEE, J., LUNDY, M., VAN GESTEL, N., SIX, J., VENTEREA, R., & KESSEL, C. (2014). Productivity limits and potentials of the principles of conservation agriculture. *Nature*, 517. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13809

PM FOOD & DAIRY CONSULTING (2014). Dairy Markets in Africa - The Region of Opportunities in The Future. Last accessed on 12.04.2021 and available at http://www.pmfood.dk/upl/9730/AFRICAINFORMATION1.pdf

PODOLYAN, A., DI, H. J., & CAMERON, K. C. (2020). Effect of plantain on nitrous oxide emissions and soil nitrification rate in pasture soil under a simulated urine patch in Canterbury, New Zealand. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 20(3), 1468–1479. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-019-02505-1

PORTMANN, R. W., DANIEL, J. S., & RAVISHANKARA, A. R. (2012). Stratospheric ozone depletion due to nitrous oxide: Influences of other gases. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1593), 1256–1264. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0377

POST, W. M., EMANUEL, W. R., ZINKE, P. J., & STANGENBERGER, A. G. (1982). Soil carbon pools and world life zones. *Nature*, 298(5870), 156–159. https://doi.org/10.1038/298156a0

POST, W. M., IZAURRALDE, R. C., WEST, T. O., LIEBIG, M. A., & KING, A. W. (2012). Management opportunities for enhancing terrestrial carbon dioxide sinks. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 10(10), 554–561. https://doi.org/10.1890/120065

POYDA, A., REINSCH, T., STRUCK, I. J., SKINNER, R. H., KLUß, C., & TAUBE, F. (2020). Low assimilate partitioning to root biomass is associated with carbon losses at an intensively managed temperate grassland. *Plant and Soil*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04771-2</u>.

PROMMER J, WALKER TWN, WANEK W, BRAUN, J., ZEZULA, D., HU, Y., HOFHANS, F. AND RICHTER, A. (2020). Increased microbial growth, biomass, and turnover drive soil

organic carbon accumulation at higher plant diversity. *Global Change Biology*, 26:669–681. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14777.

QUESADA, C. A., PAZ, C., OBLITAS MENDOZA, E., LAWRENCE PHILLIPS, O., SAIZ, G., & LLOYD, J. (2020). Variations in soil chemical and physical properties explain basinwide Amazon forest soil carbon concentrations. *Soil*, 6(1), 53–88. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-6-53-2020

R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM. (2019). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing Vienna Austria*, 0, {ISBN} 3-900051-07-0. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800737

RAFIQUE, R., HENNESSY, D., & KIELY, G. (2011). Nitrous Oxide Emission from Grazed Grassland under Different Management Systems. *Ecosystems*, 14(4), 563–582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-011-9434-x

REEDER, J. D., & SCHUMAN, G. E. (2002). Influence of livestock grazing on C sequestration in semi-arid mixed-grass and short-grass rangelands. *Environmental Pollution*, 116(3), 457–463. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(01)00223-8

REES, R. M., AUGUSTIN, J., ALBERTI, G., BALL, B. C., BOECKX, P., CANTAREL, A., CASTALDI, S., CHIRINDA, N., CHOJNICKI, B., GIEBELS, M., GORDON, H., GROSZ, B., HORVATH, L., JUSZCZAK, R., KASIMIR KLEMEDTSSON, \AA., KLEMEDTSSON, L., MEDINETS, S., MACHON, A., MAPANDA, F., ... WUTA, M. (2013). Nitrous oxide emissions from European agriculture – an analysis of variability and drivers of emissions from field experiments. *Biogeosciences*, 10(4), 2671–2682. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-2671-2013

REINSCH, T., LOGES, R., KLUSS, C., & TAUBE, F. (2018). Renovation and conversion of permanent grass-clover swards to pasture or crops: Effects on annual N₂O emissions in the year after ploughing. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 175(August 2017), 119–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.08.009

REINSCH, T., MALISCH, C., LOGES, R., & TAUBE, F. (2020). Nitrous oxide emissions from grass-clover swards as influenced by sward age and biological nitrogen fixation. *Grass and Forage Science*, September 2019, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12496

REYNOLDS, C. J., BUCKLEY, J. D., WEINSTEIN, P., & BOLAND, J. (2014). Are the Dietary Guidelines for Meat, Fat, Fruit and Vegetable Consumption Appropriate for Environmental Sustainability? A Review of the Literature. *Nutrients*, 6(6), 2251–2265. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu6062251</u>

RIDDER, R. M. (2007). Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010. FAO, 68.

RIDLEY, A. M., SLATTERY, W. J., HELYAR, K. R., & COWLING, A. (1990). Acidification under grazed annual and perennial grass based pastures. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 30(4), 539–544. https://doi.org/10.1071/EA9900539

ROSALES, R. B. (1999). Condensed tannins in tropical forage legumes: their characterization and study of their nutritional impact from the standpoint of structure-activity relationships, PhD Thesis, The University of Reading.

ROSEAUX, M. D. DES, SHI, S., DUFF, A. M., BRENNAN, F. P., CONDRON, L., FINN, J. A., RICHARDS, K. G., O'CALLAGHAN, M., & CLOUGH, T. J. (2020). Impacts of pasture species and ruminant urine on N_2O emissions and nitrogen transforming microbial communities in soil mesocosms. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 0(0), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.2020.1848880

ROSENSTOCK, T. S., RUFINO, M. C., BUTTERBACH-BAHL, K., WOLLENBERG, E., & RICHARDS, M. (2016). Methods for Measuring Greenhouse Gas Balances and Evaluating Mitigation Options in Smallholder Agriculture. *Methods for Measuring Greenhouse Gas Balances and Evaluating Mitigation Options in Smallholder Agriculture*, Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland, 1–203. ISBN 978-3-319-29794-1 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29794-1</u>

ROSSEEL, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modelling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2):1-36.

RUSSELLE, M. P., ENTZ, M. H., & FRANZLUEBBERS, A. J. (2007). Reconsidering Integrated Crop-Livestock Systems in North America. *Agronomy Journal*, 99(2), 325–334. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2006.0139

RUZ-JEREZ, B. E., WHITE, R. E., & BALL, P. R. (1994). Long-term measurement of denitrification in three contrasting pastures grazed by sheep. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 26(1), 29–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(94)90192-9

SAGE, R. F., & PEARCY, R. W. (1987). The Nitrogen Use Efficiency of C3 and C4 Plants: II. Leaf Nitrogen Effects on the Gas Exchange Characteristics of *Chenopodium album* (L.) and *Amaranthus retroflexus* (L.). *Plant Physiology*, 84(3), 959–963. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.84.3.959

SAGGAR, S., ANDREW, R. M., TATE, K. R., HEDLEY, C. B., RODDA, N. J., & TOWN-
SEND, J. A. (2004). Modelling nitrous oxide emissions from dairy-grazed pastures. Nutrient
Cycling in Agroecosystems, 68(3), 243–255.
https://doi.org/10.1023/B:FRES.0000019463.92440.a3

SAGGAR, SURINDER, JHA, N., DESLIPPE, J., BOLAN, N. S., LUO, J., GILTRAP, D. L., KIM, D. G., ZAMAN, M., & TILLMAN, R. W. (2013). Denitrification and N₂O: N₂ production in temperate grasslands: Processes, measurements, modelling and mitigating negative impacts. *Science of the Total Environment*, 465, 173–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.11.050

SAIZ, G., & ALBRECHT, A. (2016). Methods for Smallholder Quantification of Soil Carbon Stocks and Stock Changes. In T. S. Rosenstock, M. C. Rufino, K. Butterbach-Bahl, L. Wollenberg, & M. Richards (Eds.), *Methods for Measuring Greenhouse Gas Balances and Evaluating Mitigation Options in Smallholder Agriculture* (pp. 135–162). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29794-1_7

SAIZ, G., BIRD, M. I., DOMINGUES, T., SCHRODT, F., SCHWARZ, M., FELDPAUSCH, T. R., VEENENDAAL, E., DJAGBLETEY, G., HIEN, F., COMPAORE, H., DIALLO, A., & LLOYD, J. (2012). Variation in soil carbon stocks and their determinants across a precipitation gradient in West Africa. *Global Change Biology*, 18(5), 1670–1683. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02657.x

SARR, B. (2012). Present and future climate change in the semi-arid region of West Africa: A crucial input for practical adaptation in agriculture. *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 13(2), 108–112. https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.368

SATTERTHWAITE, D., MCGRANAHAN, G., & TACOLI, C. (2010). Urbanization and its implications for food and farming. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: *Biological Sciences*, 365(1554), 2809–2820. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0136

SCHAUB, S., FINGER, R., LEIBER, F., PROBST, S., KREUZER, M., WEIGELT, A., BUCHMANN, N., & SCHERER-LORENZEN, M. (2020). Plant diversity effects on forage quality, yield and revenues of semi-natural grasslands. *Nature Communications*, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14541-4

SCHEIBLE, W.-R., LAUERER, M., SCHULZE, E.-D., CABOCHE, M., & STITT, M. (1997). Accumulation of nitrate in the shoot acts as a signal to regulate shoot-root allocation in tobacco[†]. *The Plant Journal*, 11(4), 671–691. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1997.11040671.x

SCHIERE, J. B., IBRAHIM, M. N. M., & VAN KEULEN, H. (2002). The role of livestock for sustainability in mixed farming: Criteria and scenario studies under varying resource allocation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 90(2), 139–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00176-1

SCHMEER, M., LOGES, R., DITTERT, K., SENBAYRAM, M., HORN, R., & TAUBE, F. (2014). Legume-based forage production systems reduce nitrous oxide emissions. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 143, 17–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2014.05.001

SCHÖNBACH, P., WAN, H., GIERUS, M., BAI, Y., MÜLLER, K., LIN, L., SUSENBETH, A., & TAUBE, F. (2011). Grassland responses to grazing: Effects of grazing intensity and management system in an Inner Mongolian steppe ecosystem. *Plant and Soil*, 340(1), 103–115. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0366-6

SCHULTZE-KRAFT, R., RAO, I. M., PETERS, M., CLEMENTS, R. J., BAI, C., & LIU, G. (2018). Tropical forage legumes for environmental benefits: An overview. *Tropical Grass-lands-Forrajes Tropicales*, 6(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.17138/TGFT(6)1-14

SELBIE, D. R., BUCKTHOUGHT, L. E., & SHEPHERD, M. A. (2015). The Challenge of the Urine Patch for Managing Nitrogen in Grazed Pasture Systems. *Advances in Agronomy* (Vol. 129). Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2014.09.004

SEMMARTIN, M., DI BELLA, C., & DE SALAMONE, I. G. (2010). Grazing-induced changes in plant species composition affect plant and soil properties of grassland mesocosms. *Plant and Soil*, 328(1), 471–481. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0126-7

SHAHID, M., NAYAK, A. K., PUREE, C., TRIPATHI, R., LAL, B., GAUTAM, P., BHATTACHARYYA, P., MOHANTY, S., KUMAR, A., PANDA, B. B., KUMAR, U., & SHUKLA, A. K. (2017). Carbon and nitrogen fractions and stocks under 41 years of chemical and organic fertilization in a sub-humid tropical rice soil. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 170, 136–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.03.008

SHANMUGAM, S., DALAL, R., JOOSTEN, H., RAISON, R., JOO, G., SHANMUGAM, S., DALAL, R. C., JOOSTEN, H., RAISON, R. J., & JOO, G. K. (2018). SOC Stock Changes and

Greenhouse Gas Emissions Following Tropical Land Use Conversions to Plantation Crops on Mineral Soils, with a Special Focus on Oil Palm and Rubber Plantations. *Agriculture*, 8(9), 133. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture8090133

SHI, Y., SHENG, L., WANG, Z., ZHANG, X., HE, N., & YU, Q. (2016). Responses of soil enzyme activity and microbial community compositions to nitrogen addition in bulk and microaggregate soil in the temperate steppe of Inner Mongolia. *Eurasian Soil Science*, 49(10), 1149–1160. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064229316100124

SHITTU, A., JUNAIDU, A.U., CHAFE, U.M., MAGAJI, A.A., FALEKE, O.O., SALIHU, M.D., JIBRIL, A. & MAHMUD M.A. (2008). A survey on current milk production and pricing in Sokoto state, Nigeria. *Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 7(1), 53-58.

USAID (2013). Agricultural Growth Project - Livestock Market Development. Value Chain Analysis for Ethiopia. United States Agency for International Development of the United States Government.

SHRESTHA, G., & STAHL, P. D. (2008). Carbon accumulation and storage in semi-arid sagebrush steppe: Effects of long-term grazing exclusion. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment,* 125(1–4), 173–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2007.12.007

SIMON, P. L., DIECKOW, J., DE KLEIN, C. A. M., ZANATTA, J. A., VAN DER WE-ERDEN, T. J., RAMALHO, B., & BAYER, C. (2018). Nitrous oxide emission factors from cattle urine and dung, and dicyandiamide (DCD) as a mitigation strategy in subtropical pastures. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 267(September), 74–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.08.013

SIMON, P. L., KLEIN, C. A. M. D., WORTH, W., RUTHERFORD, A. J., & DIECKOW, J. (2019). The efficacy of *Plantago lanceolata* for mitigating nitrous oxide emissions from cattle urine patches Science of the Total Environment The efficacy of *Plantago lanceolata* for mitigating nitrous oxide emissions from cattle urine patches. *Science of the Total Environment*, 691(July). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.141

SIX, J., FELLER, C., DENEF, K., OGLE, S. M., SA, J. C. DE M., & ALBRECHT, A. (2002). Soil organic matter, biota and aggregation in temperate and tropical soils—Effects of no-tillage. *Agronomie*, 22(7–8), 755–775. https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2002043

SMIT, H. P. J., REINSCH, T., SWANEPOEL, P. A., & KLUSS, C. (2020). Grazing under Irrigation Affects N₂O-Emissions Substantially in South Africa. *Atmosphere*, *11*(925), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11090925

SMITH, P., MARTINO, D., CAI, Z., GWARY, D., JANZEN, H., KUMAR, P., MCCARL, B., OGLE, S., O'MARA, F., RICE, C., SCHOLES, B., & SIROTENKO, O. (2007). Climate Change 2007: *Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. In Agriculture. Cambridge University Press.

SMITH, P., MARTINO, D., CAI, Z., GWARY, D., JANZEN, H., KUMAR, P., MCCARL, B., OGLE, S., O'MARA, F., RICE, C., SCHOLES, B., SIROTENKO, O., HOWDEN, M., MCAL-LISTER, T., PAN, G., ROMANENKOV, V., SCHNEIDER, U., TOWPRAYOON, S., WAT-TENBACH, M., & SMITH, J. (2008). Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture. *Philosophical*

Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363(1492), 789–813. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2184

SONG, Z., LIU, C., MÜLLER, K., YANG, X., WU, Y., & WANG, H. (2018). Silicon regulation of soil organic carbon stabilization and its potential to mitigate climate change. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 185, 463–475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.06.020

SØRENSEN, J., HAUBJERG NICOLAISEN, M., RON, E., & SIMONET, P. (2009). Molecular tools in rhizosphere microbiology—from single-cell to whole-community analysis. Plant and Soil, 321(1), 483–512. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-9946-8

SOTTIE, E., MARFO-AHENKORA, E., DOMOZORO, C., WALLACE, P., & IWAASA, A. (2016). Nutritive value and condensed tannin concentration of some tropical legumes, in: *Proceedings of the 10th international rangeland congress*, edited by: Iwaasa, A., Lardner, H. A., Schellenberg, M., Willms, W., and Larson, K., Saskatoon, 16–22 July 2016, available at: http://2016canada.rangelandcongress.org (last access: 2 November 2020).

SOUSSANA, J. F., TALLEC, T., & BLANFORT, V. (2010). Mitigating the greenhouse gas balance of ruminant production systems through carbon sequestration in grasslands. *Animal*, 4(3), 334–350. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731109990784

SPIRO, S. (2012). Nitrous oxide production and consumption: Regulation of gene expression by gas-sensitive transcription factors. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: *Biological Sciences*, 367(1593), 1213–1225. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0309

STAHL, C., FONTAINE, S., KLUMPP, K., PICON-COCHARD, C., GRISE, M. M., DEZÉCACHE, C., PONCHANT, L., FREYCON, V., BLANC, L., BONAL, D., BURBAN, B., SOUSSANA, J. F., & BLANFORT, V. (2017). Continuous soil carbon storage of old permanent pastures in Amazonia. *Global Change Biology*, 23(8), 3382–3392. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13573

STALLMANN, M. (2014, February 14). *Nitrous oxide and methane*. Umweltbundesamt; Umweltbundesamt. https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/en/topics/soil-agriculture/ecological-impact-of-farming/nitrous-oxide-methane

STERLING, S., & DUCHARNE, A. (2008). Comprehensive data set of global land cover change for land surface model applications. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 22(3). https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GB002959

STRINGER, L. C., DOUGILL, A. J., THOMAS, A. D., SPRACKLEN, D. V., CHESTER-MAN, S., SPERANZA, C. I., RUEFF, H., RIDDELL, M., WILLIAMS, M., BEEDY, T., AB-SON, D. J., KLINTENBERG, P., SYAMPUNGANI, S., POWELL, P., PALMER, A. R., SEELY, M. K., MKWAMBISI, D. D., FALCAO, M., SITOE, A., ... KOPOLO, G. (2012). Challenges and opportunities in linking carbon sequestration, livelihoods and ecosystem service provision in drylands. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 19–20, 121–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2012.02.004

STURSOVA, M., CRENSHAW, C. L., & SINSABAUGH, R. L. (2006). Microbial Responses to Long-Term N Deposition in a Semiarid Grassland. *Microbial Ecology*, 51(1), 90–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-005-5156-y SULC, R. M., & TRACY, B. F. (2007). Integrated Crop-Livestock Systems in the U.S. Corn Belt. *Agronomy Journal*, 99(2), 335–345. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2006.0086

SUN, B.-F., ZHAO, H., LÜ, Y.-Z., LU, F., & WANG, X.-K. (2016). The effects of nitrogen fertilizer application on methane and nitrous oxide emission/uptake in Chinese croplands. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 15(2), Article 2. https://cyberleninka.org/article/n/600214

SUN, J., CHENG, G. W., & LI, W. P. (2013). Meta-analysis of relationships between environmental factors and aboveground biomass in the alpine grassland on the Tibetan Plateau. *Biogeosciences*, 10(3), 1707–1715. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-1707-2013</u>

SUNDQUIST, R. B., STEPHEN FAULKNER, R. G., JENNIFER HARDEN, Y. K., LARRY TIESZEN, & WALDROP, M. (2008). Carbon Sequestration to Mitigate Climate Change. Energy, Dale L. Simmons (Ed), December, 1–4. Last accessed on 13.04.2021. https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2008/3097/pdf/CarbonFS.pdf

SUTER, M., CONNOLLY, J., FINN, J. A., LOGES, R., KIRWAN, L., SEBASTIÀ, M. T., & LÜSCHER, A. (2015). Nitrogen yield advantage from grass-legume mixtures is robust over a wide range of legume proportions and environmental conditions. *Global Change Biology*, 21(6), 2424–2438. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12880

SYAKILA, A., & KROEZE, C. (2011). The global nitrous oxide budget revisited. *Greenhouse Gas Measurement and Management*, 1(1), 17–26. https://doi.org/10.3763/ghgmm.2010.0007

TALLIS, H. M., HAWTHORNE, P. L., POLASKY, S., REID, J., BECK, M. W., BRAUMAN, K., BIELICKI, J. M., BINDER, S., BURGESS, M. G., CASSIDY, E., CLARK, A., FAR-GIONE, J., GAME, E. T., GERBER, J., ISBELL, F., KIESECKER, J., MCDONALD, R., ME-TIAN, M., MOLNAR, J. L., ... MCPEEK, B. (2018). An attainable global vision for conservation and human well-being. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 16(10), 563–570. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1965

TAMURA, M., & THARAYIL, N. (2014). Plant litter chemistry and microbial priming regulate the accrual, composition and stability of soil carbon in invaded ecosystems. *New Phytologist*, 203(1), 110–124. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12795

TAN, Z., TIESZEN, L. L., TACHIE-OBENG, E., LIU, S., & DIEYE, A. M. (2009). Historical and simulated ecosystem carbon dynamics in Ghana: Land use, management, and climate. *Biogeosciences*, *6*(1), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-45-2009

TAUBE, F., GIERUS, M., HERMANN, A., LOGES, R., & SCHÖNBACH, P. (2014). Grassland and globalization—Challenges for north-west European grass and forage research. *Grass and Forage Science*, 69(1), 2–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12043

TAYLOR, P., CHESSELET, P., WOLFSON, M. M., ELLIS, R. P., CHESSELET, P., WOLFSON, M. M., & ELLIS, R. P. (2010). A comparative histochemical study of plant polyphenols in southern African grasses. *Journal of the Grassland Society of Southern Africa*, February 2015, 37–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/02566702.1992.9648311

TERRILL, T. H., ROWAN, A. M., DOUGLAS, G. B., & BARRY, T. N. (1992). Determination of extractable and bound condensed tannin concentrations in forage plants, protein concentrate meals and cereal grains. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 58(3), 321– 329. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740580306 THAKUR, M. P., MILCU, A., MANNING, P., NIKLAUS, P. A., ROSCHER, C., POWER, S., REICH, P. B., SCHEU, S., TILMAN, D., AI, F., GUO, H., JI, R., PIERCE, S., RAMIREZ, N. G., RICHTER, A. N., STEINAUER, K., STRECKER, T., VOGEL, A., & EISENHAUER, N. (2015). Plant diversity drives soil microbial biomass carbon in grasslands irrespective of global environmental change factors. *Global Change Biology*, *21*(11), 4076–4085. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13011

PCC (2014). Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp

THE ROYAL SOCIETY. (2009). Reaping the benefits: Science and the sustainable intensification of global agriculture. *The Royal Society* (London).

THE ROYAL SOCIETY. (2018). Greenhouse gas removal. The Royal Society (London)

THEOBALD, J. C., MITCHELL, R. A. C., PARRY, M. A. J., & LAWLOR, D. W. (1998). Estimating the Excess Investment in Ribulose-1, 5-Bisphosphate Carboxylase/Oxygenase in Leaves of Spring Wheat Grown under Elevated CO₂. *Plant Physiology*, 118(3), 945–955. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.118.3.945

THOMAS, B. W., GAO, X., BECK, R., & HAO, X. (2017). Are distinct nitrous oxide emission factors required for cattle urine and dung deposited on pasture in western Canada? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(33), 26142–26147. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0392-5

TIAN, H., CHEN, G., LU, C., XU, X., REN, W., ZHANG, B., BANGER, K., TAO, B., PAN, S., LIU, M., ZHANG, C., BRUHWILER, L., & WOFSY, S. (2015). Global methane and nitrous oxide emissions from terrestrial ecosystems due to multiple environmental changes. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*, 1(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1890/EHS14-0015.1

TIAN, H., XU, R., CANADELL, J. G., THOMPSON, R. L., WINIWARTER, W., SUN-THARALINGAM, P., DAVIDSON, E. A., CIAIS, P., JACKSON, R. B., JANSSENS-MAENHOUT, G., PRATHER, M. J., REGNIER, P., PAN, N., PAN, S., PETERS, G. P., SHI, H., TUBIELLO, F. N., ZAEHLE, S., ZHOU, F., ... YAO, Y. (2020). A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks. *Nature*, 586(7828), 248–256. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2780-0

TILMAN, D. (2001). Diversity and Productivity in a Long-Term Grassland Experiment. *Science*, 294(5543), 843–845. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1060391

PARKIN, T.B., VENTEREA, R.T. (2010). USDA-ARS GRACEnet Project Protocols, Chapter 3. Chamber-based trace gas flux measurements4. In: Follett, R.F., editor. *Sampling Protocols*. Beltsville, MD. Available at: http://www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/Program

TIMPONG-JONES, E., MUTANGA, O., ADOGLA-BESSA, T., & ATTUA, M. (2013). Herbage Yield and Grazing Capacity Estimation in a Tropical Coastal Savanna Rangeland Using Spatial Statistics. *West African Journal of Applied Ecology*, 21(2): 37–45. TRNKA, M., OLESEN, J. E., KERSEBAUM, K. C., SKJELVÅG, A. O., EITZINGER, J., SEGUIN, B., PELTONEN-SAINIO, P., RÖTTER, R., IGLESIAS, A., ORLANDINI, S., DU-BROVSKÝ, M., HLAVINKA, P., BALEK, J., ECKERSTEN, H., CLOPPET, E., CALANCA, P., GOBIN, A., VUČETIĆ, V., NEJEDLIK, P., ... ŽALUD, Z. (2011). Agro climatic conditions in Europe under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 17(7), 2298–2318. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02396.x

TUBIELLO, F. N., SALVATORE, M., ROSSI, S., FERRARA, A., FITTON, N., & SMITH, P. (2013). The FAOSTAT database of greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(1), 015009. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/015009

UNCCD. (2015). Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) | Knowledge Hub. https://knowledge.unccd.int/topics/sustainable-development-goals-sdgs

US EPA, O. (2016, August 17). *Climate Impacts on Agriculture and Food Supply* [Overviews and Factsheets]. US EPA. https://www.epa.gov/climateimpacts/climate-impacts-agriculture-and-food-supply

VAN DER WEERDEN, T. J., LUO, J., DE KLEIN, C. A. M., HOOGENDOORN, C. J., LITT-LEJOHN, R. P., & RYS, G. J. (2011). Disaggregating nitrous oxide emission factors for ruminant urine and dung deposited onto pastoral soils. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment,* 141(3–4), 426–436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.04.007

VAN DER WEERDEN, T. J., MANDERSON, A., KELLIHER, F. M., & DE KLEIN, C. A. M. (2014). Spatial and temporal nitrous oxide emissions from dairy cattle urine deposited onto grazed pastures across New Zealand based on soil water balance modelling. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 189, 92–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.03.018

VAN DER WEERDEN, T. J., NOBLE, A. N., LUO, J., DE KLEIN, C. A. M., SAGGAR, S., GILTRAP, D., GIBBS, J., & RYS, G. (2020). Meta-analysis of New Zealand's nitrous oxide emission factors for ruminant excreta supports disaggregation based on excreta form, livestock type and slope class. *Science of the Total Environment*, 732, 139235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139235

VAN GINKEL, J. H., GORISSEN, A., & VAN VEEN, J. A. (1997). Carbon and nitrogen allocation in *Lolium perenne* in response to elevated atmospheric CO₂ with emphasis on soil carbon dynamics. *Plant and Soil*, 188(2), 299–308.

VAN GROENIGEN, J. W., KUIKMAN, P. J., DE GROOT, W. J. M., & VELTHOF, G. L. (2005). Nitrous oxide emission from urine-treated soil as influenced by urine composition and soil physical conditions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 37(3), 463–473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.08.009

VAN GROENIGEN, J. W., VELTHOF, G., OENEMA, O., VAN GROENIGEN, K. J., & KESSEL, C. (2010). Towards an agronomic assessment of N2O emissions: A case study for arable crops. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 61, 903–913. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01217.x

VAN ZANTEN, H. H. E., HERRERO, M., VAN HAL, O., RÖÖS, E., MULLER, A., GAR-NETT, T., GERBER, P. J., SCHADER, C., & DE BOER, I. J. M. (2018). Defining a land boundary for sustainable livestock consumption. *Global Change Biology*, 24(9), 4185–4194. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14321

VERESOGLOU, S. D., SHAW, L. J., HOOKER, J. E., & SEN, R. (2012). Arbuscular mycorrhizal modulation of diazotrophic and denitrifying microbial communities in the (mycor)rhizosphere of *Plantago lanceolata*. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 53, 78–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.05.007

VERHEIJEN, L. M., AERTS, R., BÖNISCH, G., KATTGE, J., & VAN BODEGOM, P. M. (2016). Variation in trait trade-offs allows differentiation among predefined plant functional types: Implications for predictive ecology. *The New Phytologist*, 209(2), 563–575. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13623

VERMA, S., TAUBE, F., & MALISCH, C. S. (2021). Examining the Variables Leading to Apparent Incongruity between Antimethanogenic Potential of Tannins and Their Observed Effects in Ruminants—A Review. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2743. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052743

VILLALBA, J. J., BEAUCHEMIN, K. A., GREGORINI, P., & MACADAM, J. W. (2019). Pasture chemoscapes and their ecological services. *Translational Animal Science*, 3(2), 829–841. https://doi.org/10.1093/tas/txz003

VINTHER, F. P. (1998). Biological nitrogen fixation in grass-clover affected by animal excreta. *Plant and Soil*, 203(2), 207–215. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004378913380

VOGELER, I., HANSEN, E. M., THOMSEN, I. K., & ØSTERGAARD, H. S. (2019). Legumes in catch crop mixtures: Effects on nitrogen retention and availability, and leaching losses. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 239, 324–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.03.077

VOGLMEIER, K., SIX, J., JOCHER, M., & AMMANN, C. (2019). Grazing-related nitrous oxide emissions: From patch scale to field scale. *Biogeosciences*, 16(8), 1685–1703. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-1685-2019

WALDROP, M. P., ZAK, D. R., SINSABAUGH, R. L., GALLO, M., & LAUBER, C. (2004). Nitrogen deposition modifies soil carbon storage through changes in microbial enzymatic activity. *Ecological Applications*, 14(4), 1172–1177. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-5120

WAMBUGU, C., PLACE, F., & FRANZEL, S. (2011). Research, development and scalingup the adoption of fodder shrub innovations in East Africa. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 9(1), 100–109. https://doi.org/10.3763/ijas.2010.0562

WANG, F., WANG, X., YAO, B., ZHANG, Z., SHI, G., MA, Z., CHEN, Z., & ZHOU, H. (2018). Effects of land-use types on soil organic carbon stocks: A case study across an altitudinal gradient within a farm-pastoral area on the eastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, China. *Journal of Mountain Science*, 15(12), 2693–2702. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-018-4980-8

WANG, H., YANG, Y., ZHANG, X., & TIAN, G. (2015). Carbon Footprint Analysis for Mechanization of Maize Production Based on Life Cycle Assessment: A Case Study in Jilin Province, China. *Sustainability*, 7(12), 15772–15784. https://doi.org/10.3390/su71115772

WECKING, A. R. (2021). *Paddock scale nitrous oxide emissions from intensively grazed pasture: Quantification and mitigation* [Thesis, The University of Waikato]. https://researchcommons.waikato.ac.nz/handle/10289/14089

WHITE, R., MURRAY, S., & ROHWEDER, M. (2000). *Pilot analysis of global ecosystems: Grassland ecosystems*. https://www.wri.org/publication/pilot-analysis-global-ecosystems-grassland-ecosystems

WHITEHEAD, D. (2020). Management of Grazed Landscapes to Increase Soil Carbon Stocks in Temperate, Dryland Grasslands. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.585913

WIESMEIER, M., SPÖRLEIN, P., GEUSS, U., HANGEN, E., HAUG, S., REISCHL, A., SCHILLING, B., VON LÜTZOW, M., & KÖGEL-KNABNER, I. (2012). Soil organic carbon stocks in southeast Germany (Bavaria) as affected by land use, soil type and sampling depth. *Global Change Biology*, 18(7), 2233–2245. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02699.x

WILSON, C. H., STRICKLAND, M. S., HUTCHINGS, J. A., BIANCHI, T. S., & FLORY, S. L. (2018). Grazing enhances belowground carbon allocation, microbial biomass, and soil carbon in a subtropical grassland. *Global Change Biology*, 24(7), 2997–3009. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14070

WOODWARD, S. L., WAUGH, C. D., ROACH, C. G., FYNN, D., & PHILLIPS, J. (2013). Are diverse species mixtures better pastures for dairy farming? *Proceedings of the New Zea-land Grassland Association*, 79–84. https://doi.org/10.33584/jnzg.2013.75.2926

WU, G. L., LIU, Y., TIAN, F. P., & SHI, Z. H. (2017). Legumes Functional Group Promotes Soil Organic Carbon and Nitrogen Storage by Increasing Plant Diversity. *Land Degradation and Development*, 28(4), 1336–1344. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2570

WUEST, S. B. (2009). Correction of Bulk Density and Sampling Method Biases Using Soil Mass per Unit Area. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 73(1), 312–316. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2008.0063

XU, H., PAERL, H. W., QIN, B., ZHU, G., & GAOA, G. (2010). Nitrogen and phosphorus inputs control phytoplankton growth in eutrophic Lake Taihu, China. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 55(1), 420–432. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.1.0420

XU, Z., LI, Z., LIU, H., ZHANG, X., HAO, Q., CUI, Y., YANG, S., LIU, M., WANG, H., GIELEN, G., & SONG, Z. (2018). Soil organic carbon in particle-size fractions under three grassland types in Inner Mongolia, China. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 18(5), 1896–1905. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-018-1951-1

YAN, G., XING, Y., XU, L., WANG, J., MENG, W., WANG, Q., YU, J., ZHANG, Z., WANG, Z., JIANG, S., LIU, B., & HAN, S. (2016). Nitrogen deposition may enhance soil carbon storage via change of soil respiration dynamic during a spring freeze-thaw cycle period. *Scientific Reports*, 6(June), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29134

YANG, L., WEN, K. S., RUAN, X., ZHAO, Y. X., WEI, F., & WANG, Q. (2018). Response of plant secondary metabolites to environmental factors. *Molecules*, 23(4), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23040762 YANG, YI, TILMAN, D., FUREY, G., & LEHMAN, C. (2019). Soil carbon sequestration accelerated by restoration of grassland biodiversity. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08636-w

YANG, YURONG, LIANG, Y., HAN, X., CHIU, T. Y., GHOSH, A., CHEN, H., & TANG, M. (2016). The roles of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) in phytoremediation and treeherb interactions in Pb contaminated soil. *Scientific Reports*, 6(June 2015), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20469

YOU, C., WU, F., GAN, Y., YANG, W., HU, Z., XU, Z., TAN, B., LIU, L., & NI, X. (2017). Grass and forbs respond differently to nitrogen addition: A meta-analysis of global grassland ecosystems. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01728-x

ZAR, J. H.: Biostatistical Analysis, 5th Edn., Prentice-Hall/Pearson, Upper Saddle River, xiii, 944 pp., 2010.

ZHAO, K., ZHANG, W., ZHOU, L., LIU, X., XU, J., & HUANG, P. (2009). Modeling transfer of heavy metals in soil-rice system and their risk assessment in paddy fields. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 59(3), 519–527. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-009-0049-x</u>

ZHOU, X., CHEN, C., WANG, Y., XU, Z., HAN, H., LI, L., & WAN, S. (2013). Warming and increased precipitation have differential effects on soil extracellular enzyme activities in a temperate grassland. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 444, 552–558. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.023

ZITER, C., & MACDOUGALL, A. S. (2013). Nutrients and defoliation increase soil carbon inputs in grassland. Ecology, 94(1), 106–116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/11-2070.1</u>

CURRICULUM VITAE

John Kormla Nyameasem

Date of birth: 17/12/1974

Nationality: Ghanaian

Gender: Male

Mobile:

(+49) 17676862436 (+49) 4318802142 (+49) 43160109908

Email: jnyameasem@gmail.com

Social media:

https://www.linkedin.com/in/john-kormla-nyameasem-a228b074/ https://www.facebook.com/marketplace/item/2240360002941265/ https://www.researchgate.net/profile/J-K-Nyameasem Whatsapp Messenger: +4917676862436

EDUCATION AND TRAINING

01/10/2017 – 07/07/2021 – Department of Grassland and Forage Production/Organic Farming, Kiel, Germany **DOCTOR OF AGRICULTURE** – Christian-Albrecht University of Kiel **Thesis**: Diverse forage production systems and their impact on greenhouse gas mitigation

10/09/2020 – 10/09/2020 GPW YOUNG CROP SCIENCE ONLINE SCIENTIFIC WRITING WORKSHOP – Geschäftsführer der Gesellschaft für Pflanzenbauwissenschaften e.V.

11/02/2020 – 13/02/2020 SCIENTIFIC WRITING COURSE – Grünland und Futterbau/Ökologischer Landbau, Christian-Albrechts-Universität Kiel

18/04/2016 – 22/04/2016 – Dodowa, Ghana **CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE, SCIENCE WRITING SKILLS** – Council for Scientific and Industrial Reseach, Ghana and African Women in Agricultural Research

14/06/2015 – 18/06/2015 – Dodowa, Ghana **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION, MENTORING** – Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (Ghana) and African Women in Agricultural Research 12/01/2015 – 16/01/2015 – Dakar, Senegal CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE, LIVESTOCK AND CLIMATE CHANGE – EU Animal Change Project

22/09/2014 – 03/10/2014 – Pretoria, South Africa CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE, GREENHOUSE GAS MEASUREMENT FROM LIVESTOCK SYSTEMS – University of Pretoria and Global Research Alliance

14/10/2013 - 18/10/2013 - Accra, Ghana

CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION (Proposal writing, Scientific writing, Project management and intellectual Property) – Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Ghana

11/03/2013 - 15/03/2013 - Kumasi, Ghana

CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE, CLIMATE CHANGE PROCESSES, MITIGA-TION AND ADAPTATION – Aarhus University (Denmark) and Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (Ghana)

01/08/2006 – 31/07/2008 – Kumasi, Ghana **MASTER OF SCIENCE, ANIMAL NUTRITION, FEBRUARY 2010** – Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology **Thesis:** Productive performance and carcss characteristics of captive grasscutters (*Thryonomys swinderianus*)

01/09/1999 - 31/07/2003 - Cape Coast, Ghana

BACHELOR OF EDUCATION, AGRICULTURE (FIRST CLASS HONOURS), AU-GUST 2003 – University of Cape Coast (University College of Education, Winneba) **Thesis**: Pest Incidence, Mortality, Aestivation, Feed Intake and Growth in West African Giant Snails

01/08/1994 – 30/06/1997 – Hohoe, Ghana **TEACHER'S CERTIFICATE "A", JUNE 1997** – University of Cape Coast (St. Francis Training College)

01/09/1989 – 30/06/1994 – Kpando, Ghana GENERAL CERTIFICATE OF EDUCATION (ORDINARY LEVEL), JUNE 1994 – Kpando Secondary School

01/09/1986 – 30/06/1989 – Kpando, Ghana BASIC EDUCATION1 – Kpando Kpodzi Evangelical Presbitarian Middle School

01/09/1984 – 30/06/1986 – Atiwlame (Afram Plains), Ghana BASIC EDUCATION 2 – Atiwlame Local Authority Primary School

01/09/1980 – 30/06/1984 – Nkonya Ahenkro, Ghana BASIC EDUCATION 3 – Nkonya Ahenkro Roman Catholic Primary School

WORK EXPERIENCE

02/10/2012 – 30/06/2017 **RESEARCH SCIENTIST** – COUNCIL FOR SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL RE-SEARCH - ANIMAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE

01/09/2003 – 30/09/2012 SECONDARY EDUCATION TEACHING PROFESSIONAL – GHANA EDUCATION SERVICE

01/07/2000 – 30/09/2000 INDUSTRIAL ATTACHMENT – EVANGELICAL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH HO FARMS

01/09/1997 - 30/07/1999

SECONDARY EDUCATION TEACHING PROFESSIONAL – GHANA EDUCATION SERVICE (ALOKPATSA L/A JUNIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL)

PUBLICATIONS

Nitrous oxide emission from grazing is low across a gradient of plant functional diversity and soil conditions.

Nyameasem JK, Malisch CS, Loges R, Taube F, Kluß C, Vogeler I & Reinsch T. Atmosphere, 12(2):223. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12020223 – 2021</u>

Comparison of early growth and survivability in indigenous guinea fowls from Northern Ghana

Ahiagbe KMJ, Amuzu-Aweh EN, Bonney P, Nyameasem JK, Avornyo FK, Adenyo C, Amoah KO, Naazie A. & Kayang BB. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 53, 89. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-020-02510-4 – 2021

Nitrogen availability determines the long-term impact of land use change on soil carbon stocks in grasslands of southern Ghana

Nyameasem JK, Reinsch T, Taube F, Domozoro CYF, Marfo-Ahenkora E, Emadodin I & Malisch CS

SOIL, 6, 523-539. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-6-523-2020 - 2020

Reproductive traits of the indigenous Guinea fowl under tropical humid conditions; the effect of egg size.

Adu-Aboagye G, Nyameasem JK, Ahiagbe KMJ, Ansah KO, Zagbede GA & Agbe KK Livestock Research for Rural Development, 32, 55. http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd32/4/gadua32055.html – 2020

Protein and energy requirements for indigenous guinea keets (*Numida meleagris*) in southern Ghana

Amoah KO, Nyameasem JK, Asiedu P, Adu-Aboagye GA, Wallace P, Ahiagbe KMJ & Rhule SWA

Ghana Journal of Agricultural Science, 52. ttps://www.ajol.info/index.php/gjas/article/view/179721 – 2018 Curriculum vitae

Effects of protein content on feed intake and performance of grasscutters fed diets containing forage meal

Nyameasem JK, Akoloh M & Adu EK Animal Production Science, 59(2) 314-318. https://doi.org/10.1071/AN15889 – 2018

Time of feeding and predictability of dry matter and water intake of grasscutters fed on grass and supplements containing varying levels of dietary fiber

Nyameasem JK, Affedzie-Obresi S & Adu EK

Tropical Animal Health Production, 50, 1387–1393. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-018-1573-z - 2018

Effects of replacing fishmeal with black soldier fly larval meal in the diets of grower-finishing guinea fowls reared under tropical conditions

Wallace PA, Nyameasem JK, Aboagye GA, Affedzie-Obresi S, Nkegbe K, Murray F, Botchway V, Karbo N, Leschen W,

Maquart P-O & Clottey V

Tropical Animal Health & Production, 50, 1499–1507. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-018-1588-5 - 2018

Impact of black soldier fly larval meal on growth performance, apparent digestibility, haematological and blood chemistry indices of guinea fowl starter keets under tropical conditions

Wallace PA, Nyameasem JK, Adu-Aboagye GA, Affedzie-Obresi S, Nkegbe EK, Karbo N, Murray F, Leschen W & Maquart P-O Tropical Animal Health & Production, 49, 1163–1169. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-017-

1312 - x - 2017

The grasscutter: an untapped resource of Africa's grasslands

Adu EK, Asafu-Adjaye A, Hagan BA & Nyameasem JK Livestock Research for Rural Development, 29, 47. http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd29/3/jnya29047.html – 2017

Nitrogen partitioning and growth in grasscutters fed freshly cut *Panicum maximum* alone or supplemented with *Leucaena lecocephala* treated with 0, 1 or 2 per cent saline solution

Nyameasem JK, Amoah KO, Wallace PA & Adu EK Livestock Research for Rural Development, 29, 24. http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd29/2/nyam29024.htm – 2017

The effect of male proximity on vagina patency, oestrus cycle length and feed intake of female grasscutters.

Nyameasem JK, Adu EK, Amoah KO & Hagan BA Tropical Animal Health & Production, 48, 445–449. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-015-0972-7 – 2016

Predicting live weight of grasscutters using their linear body measurements

Hagan BA, Nyameasem JK, Asafu-Adjaye A & Daffour-Oduro KA Livestock Research for Rural Development, 28, 143. http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd28/8/haga28143.html – 2016

Effects of non-genetic factors on the birth weight, litter size and pre-weaning survivability of West African Dwarf goats in the Accra Plains

Hagan BA, Nyameasem JK, Asafu-Adjaye A & Duncan JL Livestock Research for Rural Development, 26, 13. http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd26/1/haga26013.htm – 2014

Effect of formulated diets on growth and reproductive performance of the West African giant snail (*Achatina achatina*)

Nyameasem JK & Borketey-La EB ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science, 9, 1. http://www.arpnjournals.com/jabs/research_papers/rp_2014/jabs_0114_626.pdf - 2014

Productive performance and Carcass Characteristics of Captive *Thryonomys swinderianus* fed Concentrate diets containing varying levels of *Panicum maximum*

Karikari PK & Nyameasem JK World Applied Sciences Journal, 6 (4): 557-563. http://www.idosi.org/wasj/wasj6(4)/18.pdf – 2009

CURRENT PROJECT

Nitrous oxide emission from forage plantain and perennial ryegrass swards is affected by resource allocation dynamics belowground

John K. Nyameasem, Enis Ben Halima, Carsten S. Malisch, Bahar S. Razavi, Friedhelm Taube, Thorsten Reinsch

CONFERENCES AND SEMINARS

19/10/2020 – 21/10/2020 – Helsinki, Finland 28th EGF General Meeting 2020 "Meeting the future demands for grassland production"

18/09/2019 - 20/09/2019 - University of Kassel, Germany

Tropentag 2019

"Filling gaps and removing traps for sustainable resources management"

29/08/2019 – 31/08/2019 – Raitenhaslach, Germany 63. Jahrestagung der AGGF 2019 in Raitenhaslach: Grünland 2050 "Grünland 2050"

27/09/2018 – 28/09/2018 – Universität Hamburg, Germany Workshop of the working group 'Soil Gases' of the German Soil Science Society

Curriculum vitae

"How can soil process research on various scales contribute to global greenhouse gas modeling?"

10/09/2018 – 13/09/2018 – Berlin, Germany International Conference on Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Food Security "Connecting research to policy and practice"

30/08/2018 - 01/09/2018 - Kiel, Germany

62. Jahrestagung der AGGF in Kiel

"Leistungen von Gras und Klee-Gras auf Acker und Grünland"

LEADERSHIP/ORGANISATIONAL SKILLS

- Leadership skills gained during my work as head of department with Ghana Education Service; and from project team management
- Good organizational skills gained during my activities as secretary to academic board and coordination of projects

COMMUNICATION AND INTERPERSONAL SKILLS

- Communication skills gained through my experience from teaching students and training of farmers.
- Presentation skills gained during academic and professional training

JOB-RELATED SKILLS

- Scientific writing skills (I have led a number of manuscript write-ups)
- Mentoring skills gained through training and experience (I have voluntarily mentored many students from

University of Education, Winneba when I was teaching, and also I mentor university students on internships and

those doing scientific research)

- Presentation and report writing skills gained from academic works and research activities
- Life cycle analyses, modeling using APSIM

HOBBIES

- Reading
- Listening to music & dancing
- Football watching/playing
- Sightseeing

NETWORKS AND MEMBERSHIPS

Curriculum vitae

- Ghana Science Association
- Ghana Society of Animal Production
- Global Research Alliance Integrated Research Group

REFEREES

Prof. Dr. Friedhelm Taube Grass and Forage Science/Organic Agriculture Hermann-Rodewald Str. 9 24118 Kiel, Germany +49 (0)431 880 2134 ftaube@gfo.uni-kiel.de

Dr. Carsten S. Malisch Grass and Forage Science/Organic Agriculture Hermann-Rodewald Str. 9 24118 Kiel, Germany +49 (0)431 880 2134 cmalisch@gfo.uni-kiel.de

Dr. E.K. Adu, Former Director, CSIR-Animal Research Institute, P.O. Box AH 20, Achimota, Accra-Ghana E-mail: nhyirapapa@yahoo.com/ e.adu@csir-ari.org Telephone/Mobile: +233302 912178 /+223264785992

Kiel, 15.07.2021 Signature....