

University of Warwick institutional repository: http://go.warwick.ac.uk/wrap This paper is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please scroll down to view the document itself. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our policy information available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this paper please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published version may require a subscription.

Author(s): SHARON A. HALL, REBECCA L. ALLEN, RACHEL E. BAUMBER, LAURA A. BAXTER, KATE FISHER, PETER D. BITTNER-EDDY, LAURA E. ROSE, ERIC B. HOLUB AND JIM L. BEYNON Article Title: Maintenance of genetic variation in plants and pathogens involves complex networks of gene-for-gene interactions Year of publication: 2009 Link to published version:http;//dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2009.00544.x Publisher statement: The definitive version is available at

www.blackwell-synergy.com

Maintenance of genetic variation in plants and pathogens involves complex networks of gene-for-gene interactions.

Research Article

Sharon A. Hall*¹, Rebecca L. Allen*¹, Rachel E. Baumber*, Laura A. Baxter*, Kate Fisher*,

Peter D. Bittner-Eddy*, Laura E. Rose**, Eric B. Holub* and Jim L. Beynon*†

*Warwick HRI, University of Warwick, Wellesbourne, Warwick, CV35 9EF, UK.

**Section of Evolutionary Biology, University of Munich (LMU), Großhadernerstr. 2, 82152

Martinsried, Germany

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed: Jim Beynon. Warwick HRI, University of Warwick, Wellesbourne, Warwick, CV35 9EF, UK.

Tel: +44 (0)2476 574455

Fax: +44 (0)2476 574500

E-mail: Jim.Beynon@warwick.ac.uk

¹ Hall S.A. and Allen R.L contributed equally to this work.

Running Title: Complexity of Plant-Pathogen Recognition.

Key words: Arabidopsis thaliana, Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis, co-evolution, RPP13, ATR13

Abbreviations: RPP, Recognition of *H. arabidopsidis* (previously known as *Peronospora parasitica.*), ATR, *Arabidopsis thaliana* recognised, indel, insertion or deletion, UKID, identification number for *A. thaliana* accessions of the UK metapopulation.

SUMMARY

The *RPP13* resistance gene in *Arabidopsis thaliana* exhibits the highest reported level of sequence diversity among known *R*-genes. Consistent with a co-evolutionary model, the matching effector protein ATR13 (*A. thaliana*-recognised) from *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* (previously known as *Peronospora parasitica*), reveals extreme levels of allelic diversity. We have isolated 23 new *RPP13* sequences from a UK metapopulation, giving a total of 47 when combined with our previous studies. We have used these in functional studies of the *A. thaliana* accessions for their resistance response to 16 isolates of *H. arabidopsidis*. We characterised the molecular basis of recognition by expression of the corresponding *ATR13* genes from these 16 isolates in these host accessions. This enabled us to determine which alleles of *RPP13* are responsible for pathogen recognition and whether recognition is dependent upon the RPP13/ATR13 combination. Linking our functional studies with phylogenetic analysis we determined that: 1) recognition of ATR13 is mediated by alleles in just a single RPP13 clade; 2) *RPP13* alleles in other clades have evolved the ability to detect other pathogen ATR protein(s); and 3) at least one gene, unlinked to *RPP13* in *A. thaliana*, detects a different subgroup of *ATR13* alleles.

INTRODUCTION

A successful biotrophic pathogen must produce a range of pathogenicity effector proteins, which are targeted to the host cytoplasm to create a favourable environment for growth and reproduction. This may include suppression of the host immune system along with tailoring of host metabolism for parasite nutrition. In response, resistance (R) proteins in plants have evolved that detect the presence of the effector protein and initiate a defence response. As long as effector and R proteins provide a selective advantage to pathogen and host, respectively, they will be maintained.

Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis (recently reclassified by Goker *et al.*, 2004) is an obligate biotrophic oomycete that causes downy mildew on *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Multiple *R* genes have been identified from *A. thaliana* that recognise specific isolates of *H. arabidopsidis* and several of these *R* genes have been cloned (Parker *et al.*, 1997; McDowell *et al.*, 1998; Botella *et al.*, 1998; Bittner-Eddy *et al.*, 2000; van der Biezen 2002; Sinapidou *et al.*, 2004). One of these *R*-genes, *RPP13*, encodes a member of the intracellularly-located R proteins, consisting of a coiled-coil domain, a nucleotide binding site and a leucine-rich repeat domain (CC:NBS:LRR). It is present as a highly diverse allelic series at a single locus and alleles of *RPP13* determine recognition of several *H. arabidopsidis* isolates (Bittner-Eddy *et al.*, 1999).

There are two proposed mechanisms of interaction between resistance proteins and pathogen effectors. In one, an R protein can interact directly with a pathogen gene product and trigger a resistance response. Such direct interactions involving R-proteins have been demonstrated in only a few cases (Scofield *et al.*,1996; Tang *et al.*,1996; Jia *et al.*,2000; Dodds *et al.*,2006). AvrPto from *Pseudomonas syringae* pv tomato, was shown to directly interact with the resistance gene product Pto (Scofield *et al.*,1996; Tang *et al.*,1996). However Pto is not a member of the LRR-containing class of R-proteins, but rather it encodes a

cytoplasmically-located protein kinase. The Avr-Pita protein from *Magnaportha grisea* and the Pita protein, a cytoplasmically-located NBS-LRR R protein from rice, have also been shown to interact directly in yeast and *in vitro* (Jia *et al.*,2000). Avr-Pita is predicted to be a zinc metalloprotease and a mutation in the protease motif caused loss of resistance and failure to interact with the R protein, Pita. The flax rust avirulence protein AvrL567 has been shown to directly interact with the *R* gene product, L, from flax in a yeast two-hybrid system (Dodds *et al.*,2006).

The second proposed mechanism, the guard model (van der Biezen and Jones 1998), posits that the resistance protein monitors the state of the target of a pathogen gene product and responds to changes in its state upon exposure to the pathogen. Thus the guard model implies that a direct interaction between an R-protein and a pathogen gene product is not required. This is exemplified in the interaction between the *A. thaliana* R protein RPM1 and the *A. thaliana* innate immune protein RIN4 (Kim *et al.*,2005). In this example RPM1 acts as a guard to detect the phosphorylation of RIN4 by the *Pseudomonas syringae* effector protein AvrRPM1 (Axtell and Staskawicz, 2003; Mackey *et al.*, 2003).

The *RPP13* resistance gene in *Arabidopsis thaliana* exhibits the highest reported level of sequence diversity among known *R*-genes (Rose *et al.* 2004, Bakker *et al.*, 2006, Ding *et al.* 2007a) and we have shown that it is the LRR region that is under extreme levels of diversifying selection (Rose *et al.* 2004). A pathogen effector gene, *ATR13*, the product of which triggers RPP13-mediated resistance, also reveals extreme levels of allelic diversity (Allen *et al.*,2004; Allen *et al.*,2008). The high level of diversity observed in these two proteins may imply that there is a co-evolutionary battle between them and hints at direct protein-protein interaction. An alternative explanation is that the diversity observed is also driven by the interaction of RPP13 with effector proteins other than ATR13 and by the interaction of ATR13 with other R-proteins.

Our previous work (Allen *et al.*,2008) with *ATR13* alleles revealed that recognition specificity for RPP13-Nd-1 resides in the C-terminal region of the ATR13 protein, but examination of 15 alleles of *ATR13* showed variation existing throughout the molecule. We hypothesised that this extended variation was due to interaction with other resistance genes not yet identified. In this current work, we have assessed the allelic diversity of *RPP13* and used a biolistic assay to determine whether the protein products of the allelic forms can recognise ATR13 protein variants. We show that: 1) only a single clade of *RPP13* alleles was responsible for recognition of ATR13, 2) an *RPP13* allele in a different clade recognised a novel ATR protein from *H. arabidopsidis* and 3) consistent with our hypothesis from our previous studies, other R-protein(s) recognised variants of ATR13. These data demonstrate that a simple gene-for-gene model cannot explain the allelic diversity seen at *RPP13* and *ATR13* and that host-parasite interactions can result in a network of genic interactions between co-evolving species.

RESULTS

Specific recognition of ATR13 by RPP13 is restricted to only a few RPP13 alleles.

Previously, two alleles of RPP13 were shown functionally to provide isolate-specific recognition of H. arabidopsidis: RPP13-Nd-1 recognised isolates Maks9, Emco5, Aswa1 (Bittner-Eddy et al., 2000), and Bico1 (Allen et al., 2008) while RPP13-Rld-2 recognised isolate Wela3 (Bittner-Eddy et al., 2000). These RPP13 alleles fall into distinct clades within the neighbour-joining tree (Fig 1). This suggests that if recognition capability of ATR13 by RPP13 is widespread among A. thaliana accessions, it must have arisen early on during the diversification of this gene and been conserved despite extensive protein evolution at this locus. Alternatively, if alleles such as RPP13-Nd-1 and RPP13-Rld-2 recognise different ATR proteins, then the sequence variation observed at RPP13 may reflect convergent evolution operating at RPP13, for recognition of H. arabidopsidis isolates, involving distinct ATR proteins. To determine the capability of A. thaliana accessions to recognise alleles of ATR13, we selected a range of accessions from the UK metapopulation that represented the clades of the neighbour-joining tree. We tested these and the two accessions that contained previously characterised functional RPP13 genes (Nd-1 and Rld-2) for their recognition response to ATR13 from 16 isolates of H. arabidopsidis, by transient expression in a biolistic assay. Fifteen of the 16 ATR13 alleles encoded different protein variants (ATR13-Emco5 and ATR13-Goco1 were identical). Remarkably only five different recognition profiles were present among 35 A. thaliana accessions (Table 1), illustrated by Groups 1A, 1B, 2, 3 and 4.

Usually in the biolistic assay, recognition response is characterised by a complete macroscopic absence of the reporter gene product (Allen *et al.*, 2008). This archetypal Nd-1

profile (Group 1A) (maximum elicitation of cell death by five ATR13 protein variants) was only found in one other accession, UKID34. We have previously shown that *RPP13-Nd-1* from the Group 1 cluster confers resistance to *H.arabidopsidis* isolates Aswa1, Emco5, Goco1, Maks9 (Bittner-Eddy *et al.*,2000). The *ATR13* gene from these isolates and from Bico1 was responsible for triggering resistance (Allen *et al.*,2004; Allen *et al.*,2008). Here we show that *RPP13-*UKID34 (Group 1A) is sequence identical to *RPP13-Nd-1* and a biolistic assay of accession UKID34, unsurprisingly, resulted in the same *ATR13* recognition profile (Table 1).

An intermediate response (Allen *et al.*,2008) is characterised by some appearance of the reporter gene product, but this is reduced by approximately one order of magnitude in comparison with the non-recognised response (Fig. 2). Four accessions (Group 1B) (UKID5, UKID36, UKID37 and UKID80) recognised the same ATR13 protein variants as Group 1A, however recognition of ATR13-Maks9 was intermediate. Consistent with this, resistance to isolate Maks9 was also weak in cotyledons of these accessions, permitting low-level sporulation following inoculation with this isolate (data not shown).

We cloned *RPP13-UKID37* (Group 1B) and transformed the susceptible *A. thaliana* accession Col-5 with this gene. This transgenic line recognised the same *ATR13* alleles in the biolistic assay as *RPP13-Nd-1*, including the intermediate recognition of *ATR13-Maks9*, characteristic of Group 1B, demonstrating that *RPP13-UKID37* was responsible for this recognition. This transgenic line was inoculated with Bico1, Emco5 and Maks9 and a resistance phenotype was observed with all three isolates. This shows that like *RPP13-Nd-1* and *RPP13-Rld-2*, *RPP13-UKID37* is an allele that exists in the UK metapopulation capable of recognising isolates of *H.arabidopsidis*. Within the clade which contains *RPP13-Nd-1*, there are three alleles of *RPP13*, which encode three protein variants. *RPP13* from UKID36 and UKID80 are sequence identical to *RPP13-UKID37* and, by inference, are responsible for

recognition of ATR13. RPP13-UKID5 differs from RPP13-UKID36, RPP13-UKID37 and RPP13-UKID80 by a single amino acid and this polymorphism is shared with RPP13-Nd-1 and RPP13-UKID37. The UKID5 accession also shows the intermediate recognition of ATR13-Maks9, thus, it is likely that *RPP13-UKID5* is responsible for recognition of ATR13. Therefore, *RPP13* alleles of Group 1 accessions are able to recognise the same group of ATR13 proteins. The *RPP13* alleles of Group 1 accessions show 13 fixed nucleotide differences compared to the *RPP13* alleles from the other accessions lacking ATR13 recognition. Ten of these nucleotide differences encode amino acid changes and these are all localised to the LRR region of RPP13. Considering only Group 1 alleles, 36 nucleotide differences. However, these 32 amino acid differences are distributed throughout the protein posing a challenge for the rapid localisation of the amino acids variants that account for the phenotypic difference in Maks9 recognition between Group 1A and Group 1B alleles.

R-proteins other than RPP13 can recognise ATR13.

Group 2 accessions, UKID8 and UKID66, are resistant to isolate Hind2 and both accessions recognise ATR13-Hind2 in the biolistic assay. To determine if this recognition is conferred by alleles of *RPP13*, we crossed UKID8 with Nd-1 (which does not show a recognition response in the biolistic assay with *ATR13-Hind2*) and tested the F₂ progeny in the biolistic assay with *ATR13-Hind2*. Among 31 F₂ individuals, resulting from a cross between UKID8 and Nd-1, recognition of ATR13-Hind2 segregated 24 recognised and 7 unrecognised which is consistent with a 3:1 ratio ($\chi^2 = 0.10$, p=0.75) consistent with the presence of a single recognition gene or tightly linked genes. A molecular marker within *RPP13-UKID8* segregated independently (45% recombination) from ATR13-Hind2 recognition in the

biolistic assay, demonstrating that a resistance gene other than *RPP13* is responsible for recognition.

The Group 3 accessions, UKID44, UKID65 and UKID71, recognised four alleles of ATR13 including ATR13-Maks9. To determine if this recognition is conferred by alleles of RPP13, we crossed UKID71 with Col-5 (which does not show a recognition response in the biolistic assay with ATR13-Maks9) and tested the F₂ progeny in the biolistic assay with ATR13-Maks9. Among 48 F₂ individuals, resulting from a cross between Col-5 and UKID71, recognition of ATR13-Maks9 segregated 35 recognised and 13 unrecognised which is consistent with a 3:1 ratio ($\chi^2 = 0.11$, p = 0.74) consistent with the presence of a single recognition gene or tightly linked genes. The recognition phenotype of the F₂ population suggested a single resistance gene was responsible for the recognition of ATR13-Maks9. However, molecular markers for RPP13-UKID71 segregated independently (58% recombination) from ATR13 recognition implying that it is a resistance gene other than RPP13 that is responsible for this recognition phenotype. In similar experiments, RPP13-UKID44 did not co-segregate with ATR13 recognition. Therefore, A. thaliana accessions UKID44 and UKID71 harbour resistance genes, other than RPP13, that recognise and trigger a resistance response to alleles of ATR13. This demonstrates that ATR13-Maks9 is recognised both by these novel genes in Group 3 accessions and by alleles of RPP13 in the Group 1 accessions.

Preliminary mapping data indicates that the novel resistance genes in UKID44 and UKID71 map to the same linkage group on chromosome 1. An interesting observation is that both UKID44 and UKID71 are susceptible to infection by the *H. arabidopsidis* isolate Maks9, which suggests that the recognition of the *ATR13-Maks9* allele, as observed in the biolistic assay, does not occur during infection by the pathogen. In addition it would appear that this novel resistance gene is capable of recognising ATR13–Wela3, which is not recognised by

the Group 1 accessions. ATR13-Wela3 is recognised by the same UKID71 x Col-5 F_2 individuals that recognise ATR13-Maks9. In this case the recognition observed in the biolistic assay is mirrored by the pathology, since UKID44 and UKID71 are both resistant to the Wela3 isolate.

RPP13 is capable of recognising pathogen genes other than *ATR13*.

The largest group (Group 4) contained 24 members of the UK metapopulation and Rld-2. These accessions did not recognise any *ATR13* allele so far tested in the biolistic assay. However, Rld-2 can recognise the pathogen isolate Wela3 (Bittner-Eddy *et al.*,2000). The transgenic line HRI3860 :: *RPP13-Rld-2* (Bittner-Eddy *et al.*,2000) (HRI3860 is an *A. thaliana* line susceptible to isolate Wela3) does not show recognition of ATR13-Wela3 in a biolistic assay, but does trigger a hypersensitive reaction in response to infection by isolate Wela3. *ATR13-Wela3* encodes a protein which is recognised by UKID44, UKID65 and UKID71, demonstrating that this allele is functional in the bombardment assay and its nonrecognition phenotype is not due to a lack of protein expression. Therefore, RPP13-Rld-2 recognises a pathogen effector other than ATR13, revealing that multiple independent recognition specificities have evolved at the *RPP13* locus involving more than one pathogen protein.

DISCUSSION

The *RPP13* gene is under high levels of selective pressure resulting in highly diverse alleles (Rose *et al.* 2004, Bakker *et al.*, 2006, Ding *et al.* 2007a). The RPP13 protein belongs to the coiled coil: nucleotide binding site: leucine rich repeat (CC:NBS:LRR) class of intracellularly-located plant resistance proteins. The CC:NBS regions encoded by *RPP13* alleles were shown to be under selection for amino acid conservation, whereas the LRR was under extreme levels of diversifying selection (Rose *et al.*2004).

ATR13, the pathogen protein that can elicit RPP13-mediated resistance in the host, also shows high levels of allelic variation (Allen et al., 2008). This extreme variability of host resistance protein and pathogen effector suggests that these two proteins are under diversifying selection, in which changes in the ATR protein are favoured to avoid detection by RPP13 or other R proteins, presumably without compromising its fitness benefit to the pathogen. Here we describe results demonstrating that ATR13 recognition by RPP13 is restricted to a single clade of *RPP13* alleles. We observed that the recognition profiles of ATR13 by Groups 2 and 3 is due to a novel resistance gene (or genes) at other loci in A. thaliana. In previous studies, we have pinpointed the recognition of ATR13 by RPP13 alleles to relatively few amino acid positions in ATR13, although our collection of pathogen isolates show amino acid variation throughout the ATR13 protein (Allen et al., 2008). Therefore an interaction between ATR13 and novel resistance proteins from Groups 2 and 3 could explain variation outside of the regions identified as important for recognition by RPP13. In the case of accessions UKID44 and UKID71, we observe recognition of ATR13-Maks9, in the biolistic assay, but when infected with the *H. arabidopsidis* isolate Maks9, a resistance response is not triggered. One interpretation of these data is that the *H. arabidopsidis* isolate Maks9 contains a suppressor of recognition between ATR13 and a resistance protein. Evidence for suppression in RPP/ATR interactions has also been observed in the RPP13/ATR13 interaction (Sohn *et al.*,2007) and in the RPP1/ATR1 interaction (Rehmany *et al.*,2005). The expression of a suppressor of recognition of ATR13 would permit the persistence of ATR13 in the pathogen population, even in the presence of the cognate plant resistance protein.

The *RPP13-Rld* allele is unable to recognise *ATR13* alleles and most likely detects an alternative effector protein in *H. arabidopsidis* isolates such as Wela3. The presence of alleles conferring recognition specificity to different effectors from the same pathogen has previously been demonstrated at the *RPM1* disease resistance locus of *A.thaliana* (Bisgrove *et al.*, 1994; Grant *et al.*, 1995) and at the *L* locus in flax (Dodds *et al.* 2004) and also at the *Pto* locus in tomato (Ronald *et al.*, 1992; Kim *et al.*, 2002). Dual recognition of different pathogens by a single resistance gene has been reported for the *Mi* locus in tomato (Vos *et al.*, 1998). The presence of different haplotypes conferring recognition specificity to different pathogen species has been reported at the *RPP8/HRT* locus in *A. thaliana* (Cooley *et al.*, 2000). Each of these previous examples are consistent with a model that recognition is not restricted to a single interacting pair of genes, but involves multiple gene interactions between host and pathogen. In this respect, it will be interesting to determine whether *RPP13* recognition capability extends to other pathogens.

Maintenance of variable proteins in a single RPP-ATR pair could be driven by direct, reciprocal co-evolution at these loci. This model has been heavily influenced by studies of disease resistance in crop plants, which have been intentionally bred for disease resistance to particular pathogens. However, in this study we use accessions from a wild plant population and show that variation in ATR13 is countered in the plant through the deployment of multiple resistance proteins. This is intriguing as it greatly increases the potential of the host resistance proteins to respond to multiple pathogen targets creating a more robust defence strategy, but refutes the idea that this is based on exclusive gene pair co-evolution.

Elucidating the molecular mechanisms of R protein recognition of pathogen effectors is a major goal in host pathogen interaction studies. The two models for R protein function, direct interaction with a pathogen product or to guard a host protein and respond to the pathogen proteins effect on this target, predict different evolutionary outcomes. The direct interaction model predicts the maintenance of diversity at the loci controlling these interactions in hosts and pathogens, whereas diversifying selection is not explicitly advantageous under the guard model. Under the guard model, resistance may be stable and R proteins may display rather limited protein diversity as observed at the *Rps2*, *Rps5* and *Rpm1* genes in A. thaliana. Considering the extensive allelic diversity present at ATR13, we would therefore predict a direct interaction of ATR13 with RPP13. However, the fact that alleles from only one clade of RPP13 recognise ATR13 and no yeast two hybrid interactions can be demonstrated between ATR13 and RPP13 (Hall and Allen, unpublished) may suggest that this interaction functions via the guard model. This is in contrast to the interaction between the resistance genes L5, 6,7 and AvrL567 in the flax rust system, where direct interaction between host and pathogen components is matched by high levels of allelic diversity (Dodds et al. 2006). Alternatively, recognition of ATR13 by RPP13 may have evolved recently, and the observed allelic diversity of RPP13 may instead be a consequence of co-evolution with other avirulence proteins. Consistent with this, RPP13-Rld-2 is capable of recognising a pathogen protein other than ATR13, and such capabilities could be harboured by the large number of UK metapopulation members of Group 4 accessions. It will be interesting to determine whether other functional alleles of RPP13 have recently increased in frequency in local populations, or at larger geographic scales in populations of A. thaliana.

In our study, we have identified new components of the *A. thaliana/ H.arabidopsidis* recognition system, which clearly broadens the opportunities to investigate *RPP13* and *ATR13* interactions. We are currently mapping these new resistance and effector genes and it will be

interesting to examine the variation in these novel genes. This system also provides an ideal context to explore the debate over the origin of polymorphisms in *R*-genes and the maintenance of allelic diversity in natural populations (Ding *et al.* 2007b; Holub 2001; Holub 2008).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The A. thaliana UK metapopulation collection.

The *A. thaliana* accessions used in this study were collected by E. Holub (Holub 2008). Rld-2 is as described in (Holub *et al.*,1994). The Col-5::*RPP13-Nd*-1 and HRI3860::pBaRld-2-WT (denoted as HRI3860::RPP13-Rld-2 in this work) transgenic lines were generated as described (Bittner-Eddy *et al.*,2000). The Col-5::*RPP13-UKID37* transgenic line was generated in the same manner.

Sequencing of the RPP13 from A. thaliana.

RPP13 alleles were sequenced from a series of overlapping PCR products which were generated using primers designed to the Col-5 *RPP13* sequence (see Supplemental Materials and Methods for primer sequences).

Sequence data from this article have been deposited with the EMBL/Genbank data libraries under accession numbers FJ624087-FJ624109 inclusive.

H. arabidopsidis isolates.

All *H. arabidopsidis* isolates used in this study were collected by E. Holub from naturally infected *A. thaliana* populations within the United Kingdom. The collection locations are detailed in Supplemental Table 1.

Cloning the ATR13 alleles.

Cloning of ATR13 alleles was carried out as described (Allen et al., 2004; Allen et al., 2008).

RPP13 molecular marker analysis.

PCR products were generated using primers *RPP13-5* and *RPP13-7* and sequenced using the same primers as above (see Supplemental Materials and Methods for primer sequences).

Phylogenetic analysis.

Multiple sequence alignments were generated using ClustalW (Thompson *et al.*, 1994) and adjusted manually in MacClade 4 (Maddison and Maddison, 2000). The Neighbour joining tree was computed by PAUP^{*} 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003). The tree was rooted using the RPP13 orthologue from A. arenosa.

Biolistic analysis.

Biolistic assays were carried out as described (Allen *et al.*,2004). Assays were repeated several times and at least 4 replicate shots per construct per experiment were carried out. Leaves were incubated for 16h before staining for β-glucuronidase.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank S. Bright, V. Buchanan-Wollaston and B. Thomas for critical review of the manuscript. Contact E. Holub for seed from the more extensive UK and Ireland diversity (UKID) collection of *A. thaliana* that includes the accessions used in this study.

REFERENCES

Allen, R.L., Bittner-Eddy, P.D., Grenville-Briggs, L.J., Meitz, J.C., Rehmany, A.P.,

Rose, L.E. and Beynon, J.L. (2004) Host-parasite coevolutionary conflict between *Arabidopsis* and Downy Mildew. *Science* **306**, 1957-1960.

Allen, R.L., Meitz, J.C., Baumber, R.E., Hall, S.A., Lee, S.C., Rose L.E. and Beynon,

J.L. (2008) Natural Variation reveals key amino acids in a downy mildew effector that alters recognition specificity by an Arabidopsis resistance gene. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **9**, 511-523

Axtell, M.J. and Staskawicz, B.J. (2003) Initiation of RPS2-specified disease resistance in

Arabidopsis is coupled to the AvrRpt2-directed elimination of RIN4. Cell 112, 369-377

Bakker, E.G., Toomajian, C., Kreitman, M. and Bergelson, J. (2006) A genome wide survey of *R* gene polymorphisms in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **18**, 1803-1818

van der Biezen, E.A. and Jones, J.D.G. (1998) Plant disease resistance proteins and the gene-for-gene concept. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 23, 454-456.

van der Biezen, E.A., Freddie, C.T., Kahn, K., Parker, J. and Jones, J.D.G. (2002) Arabidopsis RPP4 is a member of the RPP5 multigene family of TIR-NB-LRR genes and confers downy mildew resistance through multiple signalling components. *Plant J.* **29**, 439-451.

Bisgrove, S.R., Simonich, M.T., Smith, N.M., Sattler, A. and Innes, R.W. (1994) A disease resistance gene in Arabidopsis with specificity for two different pathogen avirulence genes. *Plant Cell* **6**, 927-933

Bittner-Eddy, P., Can, C., Gunn, N., Pinel , M., Tor, M., Crute, I., Holub, E.B. and Beynon J. (1999) Genetic and physical mapping of the *RPP13* Locus, in Arabidopsis, responsible for specific recognition of several *Peronospora parasitica* (downy mildew) isolates. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **12**, 792-802. **Bittner-Eddy, P.D., Crute, I.R., Holub, E.B. and Beynon, J.L**. (2000) RPP13 is a simple locus in Arabidopsis thaliana for alleles that specify downy mildew resistance to different avirulence determinants in Peronospora parasitica. *Plant J.* **21**, 177-188.

Botella, M.A., Parker, J.E., Frost, L.N., Bittner- Eddy, P.D., Beynon, J.L., Daniels, M.J.,
Holub, E.B. and Jones, J.D. (1998) Three Genes of the Arabidopsis *RPP1* Complex
Resistance Locus Recognise Distinct *Peronospora parasitica* Avirulence determinants. *Plant Cell* 10, 1847-1860.

Cooley, M.B, Pathirana, S., Wu, H.J., Kachroo, P. and Klessig, D.F. (2000) Members of the Arabidopsis *HRT/RPP8* Family of Resistance Genes Confer Resistance to Both Viral and Oomycete Pathogens. *Plant Cell* **12**, 663-676.

Ding, J., Cheng, H., Jin, X., Araki, H., Yang, Y. and Tian, D. (2007a) Contrasting patterns of evolution between allelic groups at a single locus in Arabidopsis. *Genetica*, **129**, 235-242.

Ding, J., Zhang, W., Jing, Z., Chen, J.Q. and Tian, D. (2007b) Unique pattern of R-gene variation within populations in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* **277**, 619-629.

Dodds, P.N., Lawrence, G.J., Catanzariti, A-M., Ayliffe, M.A. and Ellis, J.G. (2004) The *Melampsora lini* AvrL567 avirulence genes are expressed in haustoria and their products are recognized inside plant cells. *Plant Cell* **16**, 755–768.

Dodds, P.N., Lawrence, G.J., Catanzariti, A.M., Teh, T., Wang, C-I.A., Aycliffe, M.A., Kobe, B. and Ellis, J.G. (2006) Direct protein interaction underlies gene for gene specificity and co-evolution of the flax resistance genes and flax rust avirulence genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103, 8888-8893.

Goker, M., Reithmuller, A., Voglmayr, H., Weiss, M. and Oberwinkler, F. (2004) Phylogeny of *Hyaloperonospora* based on nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences. Mycological Progress **3**, 83-94

Grant, M.R., Godiard, L., Straube, E., Ashfield, T., Lewald. J., Sattler, A., Innes, R.W.

and Dangl, J.L. (1995) Structure of the Arabidopsis RPM1 gene enabling dual specificity disease resistance. *Science* 269, 843-846

Holub, E.B,. Beynon, J.L. and Crute, I.R. (1994) Phenotypic and genotypic characterisation of interactions between isolates of *Peronospora* parasitica and accessions of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Plant Microbe. Interact.* **7**, 223-239.

Holub, E.B. (2001) The arms race is ancient history in Arabidopsis, the wildflower. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **2**, 516-527.

Holub, E.B. (2008) Natural history of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and oomycete symbioses. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **122**, 91-109

Jia ,Y., McAdams, S.A., Bryan, G.T., Hershey, H.P. and Valent, B. (2000) Direct interaction of resistance gene and avirulence gene products confers rice blast resistance. *EMBO J.* **19**, 4004-4014.

Kim, Y.J., Lin, N.C. and Martin, G.B. (2002) Two Distinct Pseudomonas Effector Proteins Interact with the Pto Kinase and Activate Plant Immunity. *Cell* **109**, 589-598.

Kim, M.G., da Cunha, L., McFall, A.J., Belkhadir, Y., DebRoy, S., Dangl, J.L. and MacKey, D. (2005) Two *Pseudomonas syringae* Type III Effectors Inhibit RIN4-Regulated Basal Defense in *Arabidopsis. Cell* **121**, 749-759

Mackey, D., Belkhadir Y., Alonso, J. and Ecker, J. (2003) *Arabidopsis* RIN4 Is a Target of the Type III Virulence Effector AvrRpt2 and Modulates RPS2-Mediated Resistance. *Cell* **112**, 379-389.

Maddison, D.R. and Maddison, W.P. (2000) MacClade 4: Analysis of phylogeny and character evolution. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.

McDowell, J.M., Dhandaydham, M., Long, T.A., Aarts, M.G.M., Goff, S., Holub, E. and Dangl, J. (1998) Intragenic Recombination and Diversifying Selection Contribute to the

Evolution of Downy Mildew Resistance at the *RPP8* Locus of Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **10**, 1861-1874.

Parker, J.E., Coleman, M.J., Szabo, V., Frost, L.N., Schmidt, R. van der Biezen, E.A.,
Moores, T., Dean, C., Daniels, M.J. and Jones, J.D.G. (1997) The Arabidopsis Downy
Mildew Resistance Gene RPP5 Shares Similarity to the Toll and Interleukin-1 Receptors with
N and L6. *Plant Cell* 9, 879-894

Rehmany, A.P., Gordon, A., Rose, L.E., Allen, R.L., Armstrong, M.R., Whisson, S.C., Kamoun, S., Tyler, B.M., Birch, P.R.J. and Beynon, J.L. (2005) Differential recognition of highly divergent downy mildew avirulence gene alleles by *RPP1* resistance genes from two Arabidopsis lines. *Plant Cell* **17**, 1839-1850.

Ronald, P.C., Salmeron, J.M., Carland, F.M. and Staskawicz, B.J. (1992) The cloned avirulence gene avrPto induces disease resistance in tomato cultivars containing the Pto resistance gene. *J. Bacteriol.* **174**, 1604-1611

Rose, L.E., Bittner-Eddy, P.D., Langley, C.H., Holub, E.B., Michelmore, R.W. and Beynon, J.L. (2004) The Maintenance of Extreme Amino Acid Diversity at the Disease

Resistance Gene, RPP13, in Arabidopsis thaliana. Genetics 166, 1517-1527.

Scofield, S.R., Tobias, C., Rathjen, J.R., Chang, J.A., Lavelle, D.T., Michelmore, R.W. and Staskawicz, B.J. (1996) Molecular basis of gene-for-gene specificity in bacterial speck disease of tomato. *Science* 274, 2063-2065.

Sinapidou, E., Williams, K., Nott, L., Bahkt, S., Tor, M., Crute, I., Bittner-Eddy, P. and Beynon J. (2004) Two TIR:NB:LRR genes are required to specify resistance to *Peronospora parasitica* isolate Cala2 in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **38**, 898-909

Sohn, K.H., Lei, R., Nemri, A. and Jones, J.D.G. (2007) The Downy Mildew Effector Proteins ATR1 and ATR13 promote Disease Susceptibility in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* **19**, 4077-4090 Swofford, D.L. (2003) PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony

(* and Other Methods), Version 4.0b 10. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.

Tang, X., Frederick, R.D., Zhou, J., Halterman, D.A., Jia, Y. and Martin, G.B. (1996)
Initiation of plant disease resistance by physical interaction of AvrPto and Pto kinase. *Science*274, 2060-2063

Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G., Gibson, T.J. (1994) The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 22, 4673-4680.

Vos, P., Simons, G., Jesse, T., Wijbrandi ,J., Heinen, L., Hogers, R., Frijters, A.,
Groenendijk, J., Diergaarde, P., Reijans, M., Fierens-Onstenk, J., de Both, M., Johan
Peleman, J. and Liharska, T. (1998) The tomato *Mi-1* gene confers resistance to both rootknot nematodes and potato aphids. *Nature Biotech.* 16, 1365-1369

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The following supplementary material is available for this article: **Table S1** Geographical locations of *A.thaliana* accessions.

Table 1. Recognition responses between *A. thaliana* accessions and ATR13 as measured by

 transient expression in a biolistic assay.

new between the second of the secon	A.thaliana accession	ATR13	ATR13- Aswal	ATR13- Bicol	ATR13- Cala2	ATR13- Cand5	ATR13- Emco5	ATR13- Emay2	ATR13- Emwal	ATR13- Gocol	ATR13- Hiks1	ATR13- Hind2	ATR13- Hind4	ATR13- Maks9	ATR13- Noks1	ATR13- Waco5	ATR13- Web3
Nort Nort <th< td=""><td>Group 1A</td><td>-, 111141</td><td></td><td>inter</td><td>C. GILL</td><td>C III AL</td><td>1.114.02</td><td>Lanoja</td><td>1</td><td>00001</td><td>mast</td><td>TIMAL</td><td>110011</td><td>1111627</td><td></td><td>THEOD</td><td>(Tells)</td></th<>	Group 1A	-, 111141		inter	C. GILL	C III AL	1.114.02	Lanoja	1	00001	mast	TIMAL	110011	1111627		THEOD	(Tells)
NDMA N	Nd-1	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0		+	0	0	0
Cropp B	UKID34	0	+		0	0	+	0	0		0	0			0	0	
UKDS00<	Group 1B																
UKDSA UKDSA UKDSA UKDSADDD <thd< th="">DDDDD<</thd<>	UKID5	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
NUMBM N	UKID36	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
NUD37 0	UKID80	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
Green 2UK10500 <td< td=""><td>UKID37</td><td>0</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>+</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>+</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>+</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></td<>	UKID37	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
INDOS 0	Group 2																
NDMA 0 <	UKID8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
Series in the series of the s	UKID66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
UKIDH400 <td>Group 3</td> <td></td>	Group 3																
UKDDS00	UKID44	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+
UKID71 0 <td>UKID65</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>+</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>+</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>+</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>+</td>	UKID65	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+
Greater in the second of the	UKID71	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+
Rid-2 0	Group 4																
UKD1200	RId-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UKD1500	UKID12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UKD1400	UKID13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UKD1900	UKID14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UKD21 0	UKID19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UK1D2200 <td>UKID21</td> <td>0</td>	UKID21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UKID2300 <td>UKID22</td> <td>0</td>	UKID22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UK1D2:00 <td>UKID23</td> <td>0</td>	UKID23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UKID2900 <td>UKID28</td> <td>0</td>	UKID28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UKIDS100 <td>UKID29</td> <td>0</td> <td></td>	UKID29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
UKDS100	UKID30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
UKIDSS 0 <td>UKID31</td> <td>0</td> <td></td>	UKID31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
UKIDS 0	UKID35		0		0	0		0			0	0		0	0		
OKLDA* O <td>UKID38</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td>	UKID38	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	0	
CKLD51 0 <td>UKID40</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	UKID40					0					0			0			
OKDS1 0 <td>UKIDSI</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	UKIDSI										0			0			
Children Constraint Constrain	UKID60		0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	0	
Likito C 0<	UKID61	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	0	
LIND7 0 <td>UKID62</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	UKID62	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Line Line <thline< th=""> Line Line <thl< td=""><td>UKID74</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></thl<></thline<>	UKID74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	UKID72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	UKID73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	UKID75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	UKID79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

+ indicates a recognition response, 0 indicates no recognition response,

outlined red box indicates an intermediate response. Coloured boxes denote

recognition group

Fig 1. Neighbour-joining tree of RPP13 nucleotide sequences inferred using PAUP*4.0b10. The HKY85 substitution model was assumed. This model allows for unequal base frequencies and a different rate for transitions versus transversions. Bootstrap proportions of 1000 bootstrap replicates > 50% are indicated on the branches. The recognition capabilities of the *RPP13* alleles are indicated by colours as follows; RPP13 recognises ATR13 (red); RPP13 confers resistance by non-ATR13 recognition (brown); non-RPP13 recognition of ATR13 (unknown R-gene) (green). Black denotes no recognition except for * which denotes accessions not tested. For Rld-2, RPP13 resistance was demonstrated by inoculation of HRI3860::*RPP13-Rld-2* with Wela3

Fig 2. Recognition responses of ATR13 alleles by *A. thaliana* lines. A selection of representative examples of leaves bombarded with ATR13 alleles and stained for GUS. Three distinct phenotypes were observed, no response (N) gives rise to 300-1000 blue-stained cells per leaf, full response (F) generates less than 10 blue-stained cells and a intermediate response (I) gives 40-150 blue stained cells per leaf.