Illinois State University ISU ReD: Research and eData

Clay v. United States, 403 U.S. 698 (1971)

U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun

6-21-1971

06-21-1971 Correspondence from Harlan to Stewart

John Harlan US Supreme Court Justice

Follow this and additional works at: http://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/clayvus

Recommended Citation

Harlan, J.M. Correspondence from Harlan to Stewart, Clay v. United States, 403 U.S. 698 (1971). Box 367, Harry A. Blackmun Papers, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.

This Conference Note is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Clay v. United States, 403 U.S. 698 (1971) by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISUReD@ilstu.edu.

Supreme Court of the United States Mashington, D. C. 20343

CHAMILERS OF

June 21, 1971

Re: No. 783 - Clay v. United States

Dear Potter:

I must confess to finding myself a little uncomfortable with your proposed <u>per curiam</u>. I am not convinced that the passages from the Department of Justice advice letter have the same import in context as they do in the order in which they appear on page four of your opinion. In addition, I am inclined to think that the opinion pushes the Government's concession before us further than they were intended to reach.

On the assumption that a majority of the Court may not share these viewpoints, I would appreciate your adding at the foot of your opinion the following:

"MR. JUSTICE HARLAN, concurring.

I concur in the result on the following ground. The Department of Justice advice letter was at least susceptible to the reading that petitioner's proof of sincerity was insufficient as a matter of law because his conscientious objector claim had not been timely asserted. This would have been erroneous advice had the Department's letter been so read. Since the Appeals Board might have acted on such an interpretation of the letter, reversal is required under Sicurella v. United States, 348 U.S. 385 (1955)."

> Sincerely, J.M.H.

Mr. Justice Stewart CC: The Conference