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# Madam President: Progress, Problems, and Prospects for 2008 

By Robert P. Watson ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

Women have made great progress in electoral politics both in the United States and around the world, and at all levels of public office. However, although a number of women have led their countries in the modern era and a growing number of women are winning gubernatorial, senatorial, and congressional races, the United States has yet to elect a female president, nor has anyone come close. This paper considers the prospects for electing a woman president in 2008 and the challenges facing Hillary Clinton and Condoleezza Rice-potential frontrunners from both major parties-given the historical experiences of women who pursued the nation's highest office.
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## Electing Madam President?

Women make up over 50 percent of the world's population. However, with few exceptions, women are not equally represented as elected officials throughout the world. Frustratingly, the United States ranks only sixty-first in the world in terms of the percentage of women serving in national legislatures. Only twenty-five women in U.S. history have ever served as governor, with a record number of eight (or 16\%) currently leading their states. Of the nation's 100 largest cities, only fourteen (14\%) have female mayors; and the numbers are not much better for cities with populations over 30,000, where 118 of 1,139 ( $10.4 \%$ ) have women running city hall. The current female delegation of fourteen (14\%) in the U.S. Senate and sixty-six (15.2\%) in the U.S. House of Representatives, although few in number, are nonetheless record achievements. At the state level, nationwide 1,662 of 7,382 (22.5\%) legislators are women, and roughly the same percentage of women occupies the nation's statewide executive offices. The numbers for women of color are far lower and even further underrepresented. Yet, as abysmal as these numbers appear, all the aforementioned counts for women in political office reflect, on balance, record gains. (1)

It is also necessary to recognize that women's political leadership is neither new nor unusual. A number of women led their governments throughout history and in nearly every part of the world. (2) History has witnessed the leadership of Cleopatra, Saint Joan of Arc, Marie Antoinette, Catherine the Great, and queens Isabella, Elizabeth, and Victoria, to name a few, many of whom led governments. At present, a number of female monarchs reign, including Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II of Britain, Queen Margrethe II of Denmark, and the Maori monarch Kuini. Since just the end of the Second World

[^0]War, roughly five dozen women have served as prime minister, president, chancellor, or premier, and several remain in office at the time of this writing. (3)

Table 1 below lists women who have headed governments in the modern era (postWWII).

## TABLE 1. WOMEN WORLD LEADERS

| NAME | COUNTRY | OFFICE | YEARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sirimavo Bandarnaike | Ceylon (Sri Lanka) | PM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1960-1965 \\ & 1970-1977 \\ & 1994-2000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Indira Gandhi | India | PM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1966-1977 \\ & 1980-1984 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Golda Meir | Israel | PM | 1969-1974 |
| Isabel Peron | Argentina | President | 1974-1976 |
| Elizabeth Domitien | Central African Rep. | PM | 1975-1976 |
| Marie de Lourdes Pintasilgo | Portugal | PM | 1979-1980 |
| Lidia Gueiler | Bolivia | President | 1979-1980 |
| Margaret Thatcher | Britain | PM | 1979-1990 |
| Mary Eugenia Charles | Dominica | PM | 1980-1995 |
| Vigdis Finnbogadottir | Iceland | President | 1980-1996 |
| Gro Brundtland | Norway | PM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1981 \\ & 1986-1989 \\ & 1990-1996 \end{aligned}$ |
| Agatha Barbara | Malta | President | 1982-1986 |
| Milka Planinc | Yugoslavia | President | 1982-1986 |
| Maria Liberia Peres | Nicaragua | President | 1984-1985 |
| Marie Liveria-Peters | Netherlands Antilles | PM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1984-1986 \\ & 1988-1994 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Corazon Aquino | Philippines | President | 1986-1992 |
| Benazir Bhutto | Pakistan | PM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1988-1990 \\ & 1993-1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Violeta Chimorro | Nicaragua | President | 1990-1996 |
| Ertha Pascal-Trouillot | Haiti | President | 1990-1991 |
| Kazimiera Prunskiene | Lithuania | PM | 1990-1991 |
| Mary Robinson | Iceland | President | 1990-1997 |
| Edith Cresson | France | PM | 1991-1992 |
| Begum Khaleda Zia | Bangladesh | PM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1991-1996 } \\ & \text { 2001-present } \end{aligned}$ |
| Hanna Suchocka | Poland | PM | 1992-1993 |
| Susanne Camelia-Romer | Netherland Antilles | PM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1993 \\ & 1998-1999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Kim Campbell | Canada | PM | 1993 |
| Sylvie Kinigi | Burundi | PM | 1993-1994 |


| Marita Peterson | Faroe Islands | PM | $1993-1994$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agathe Uwilingiyimana | Rwanda | PM | $1993-1994$ |
| Tansu Ciller | Turkey | PM | $1993-1996$ |
| Chandrika Kumaratunga | Sri Lanka | President | $1994-$ present |
| Claudette Werleigh | Haiti | PM | $1995-1996$ |
| Sheikh Hasina Wazed | Bangladesh | PM | $1996-2001$ |
| Ruth Perry | Liberia | President | $1996-2005$ |
| Pamela Gordon | Bermuda | Premier | $1997-1998$ |
| Janet Jagan | Guyana | President | $1997-1999$ |
| Jenny Shipley | New Zealand | PM | $1997-1999$ |
| Mary McAleese | Ireland | President | $1997-$ present |
| Ruth Dreifuss | Switzerland | President | $1998-1999$ |
| Jennifer Smith | Bermuda | Premier | $1998-2003$ |
| Helen Clark | New Zealand | PM | $1999-p r e s e n t ~$ |
| Mireya Moscoso | Panama | PM | $1999-p r e s e n t ~$ |
| Vaira Vike-Freiberga | Latvia | President | $1999-p r e s e n t ~$ |
| Nyam-Osoriyn Tuyaa | Mongolia | Acting PM | 1999 |
| Tarja Halonen | Finland | President | $2000-$ present |
| Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo | Philippines | President | $2001-$ present |
| Mame Madior Boye | Senegal | PM | $2001-2002$ |
| Megawati Sukarnoputri | Indonesia | President | $2001-2004$ |
| Maria Das Neves | Sao Tome | PM | $2002-2004$ |
| Chang Sang | South Korea | Acting PM | 2002 |
| Beatriz Merino | Peru | PM | 2003 |
| Annelli Jaatteenmaaki | Finland | PM | 2003 |
| Luisa Dias Diogo | Mozambique | PM | $2004-$ present |
| Natasa Micic | Serbia | President | $2002-2004$ |
| Nino Burjanadze | Georgia | President | 2003 |
| Barbara Prammer | Austria | President | 2004 |
| Yulia Timoshenko | Ukraine | PM | 2005 |
| Angela Merkel | Germany | Phancellor | $2005-$ present |
| Ellen Johnson-Sirleaf | Liberia | $2006-p r e s e n t ~$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | President |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Note: PM is prime minister
Source: Author's original table
While a number of women have headed their governments around the world, only seven women have been serious candidates for the U.S. presidency, defined herein as announcing their candidacies, receiving media attention, developing platforms, and vigorously campaigning for the office. Five of them campaigned as candidates of major political parties, two as third-party candidates, and only one woman has been the vicepresidential nominee of a major political party, while two other secured third-party vicepresidential nominations.
Table 2 below lists women who campaigned for the presidency, while Table 3 lists women vice presidential nominees.

TABLE 2. WOMEN SEEKING THE UNITED STATES PRESIDENCY

| NAME | YEAR | PARTY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Victoria Woodhull | 1872 | Equal Rights |
| Belva Lockwood | 1884,1888 | Equal Rights |
| Margaret Chase Smith | 1964 | Republican |
| Shirley Chisholm | 1972 | Democrat |
| Pat Schroeder | $1988^{*}$ | Democrat |
| Elizabeth Dole | $2000^{* *}$ | Republican |
| Carol Moseley Braun | 2004 | Democrat |

Note: * Campaigned in 1987 for the 1988 nomination
** Campaigned in 1999 for the 2000 nomination
Source: Author's original table

## TABLE 3. WOMEN VICE-PRESIDENTIAL NOMINEES

| NAME | YEAR | PARTY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Geraldine Ferraro | 1984 | Democrat |
| Winona LaDuke | 2000 | Green |
| Ezola Foster | 2000 | Reform |

Source: Author’s original table
As of the time of this writing, all forty-two individuals who have served as president of the United States have been men (in forty-three difference presidencies because Grover Cleveland served two non-consecutive terms as both the twenty-second and twenty-fourth president). Although women have made progress in all facets of electoral politics and at all levels of public office, no woman has even come close to winning the White House. Indeed, the presidency remains seemingly closed to women. (4) As shall be discussed later, executive office, more so than legislative office, would appear to present its own set of challenges and hurdles for female candidates. Moreover, of the handful of women who have campaigned for the presidency, even fewer - if any at all - were considered to be viable candidates.

This paper briefly reviews both the scholarship on the topic of the challenges women face in pursuing elected office and briefly examines the experiences of female presidential candidates in an effort to identify those barriers potentially unique to female presidential candidates. The focus of the paper is to offer a list of both viable female presidential contenders for 2008 and the likely hurdles they - or future female candidates - will face. The list of candidates is not a list of those the author believes will run in 2008, but rather a list of those the author believes the political establishment and electorate would consider as viable candidates.

## Challenges Facing Female Presidential Candidates: An Analysis of the Literature

The literature on women in politics has devoted much attention to the genderbased challenges women face in winning elected office. (5) In terms of how the literature on women in politics is relevant to a discussion of electing a female president, it is worth noting that studies have generally suggested that the challenges for women seeking any public office are numerous and include: fundraising; limited mentoring when in office; failure by the parties to support female candidates; sexism by the electorate; biased and dissimilar media coverage; and so on.

These challenges appear to be shared by those women pursuing the White House, yet there appears to be an additional set of challenges unique not only to executive office (as opposed to legislative office) but especially to the presidency. For example, will the major political parties identify prospective female candidates, nurture their careers, and back their campaigns? Will the voters, political elites, or special interests? Generally, masculine traits appear to be preferred by the public in so many facets of politics, but most especially the presidency. (6)

Before answering these questions and briefly discussing the challenges, there is some good news. According to many studies, women are now generally winning campaigns with the same frequently as men. (7) But, this is in legislative office and far fewer women than men pursue elected office. Therefore, it might be said that the challenge is twofold: one, more than the ability of women to win it is the lack of women running for office; and two, the unique barriers facing women in executive office. In the last three-and-one-half decades, fewer than twenty percent of candidates seeking state legislative office were women and the numbers for women running for Congress and executive offices have remained in the single digits. (8)

Why? A number of complex factors are at play from sexism and stereotypes to the lack of time (the "second-shift" syndrome) and training, to concerns by prospective female candidates about negative attacks, public scrutiny, and the challenge of fundraising, to ongoing double-standards in the socialization of women toward politics. (9) A number of scholars have also suggested that the political system is biased in favored of men. For instance, there is an inherent advantage for incumbents, who are more likely to be men. Additionally, both female candidates and office holders often lack seasoned, powerful mentors, and a number of "double-binds" exist in the system such as the "role conflict" of women being responsible for raising a family, attempting to run for office with young children or waiting until their children are grown before launching a political career, and having to gingerly navigate society's views on the proper assertiveness and behavior for women. (10)

However, there are regional discrepancies and states on the West Coast and in New England tend to do better not only in terms of electing women but, not surprisingly, the numbers of women pursuing elected office. (11) But these findings, again, are for legislative office, and it remains to be seen whether the tendencies hold true for executive office. In addition, several other broad and basic challenges to women pursing the White House are worth noting.

The presidency is an office already loaded with masculine imagery and symbolism, as well as tradition. Likewise, public perceptions about political leadership and the commander-in-chief simply reinforce the masculine connotations of the presidency. A key challenge, then, in response to the findings in the literature, is for the
voting public to begin assessing candidates for office-nd especially the White House-as individuals rather than through the prism of sex and gender. Admittedly, this is easier said than done and campaigning without drawing attention to one's gender is inescapable given the way the media focuses on the personalities of candidates. However, as more women enter the previously "masculine" bastions of the boardroom, pulpit, newsroom, Congress, and Cabinet, prevailing views about gender and high office will be challenged. It might also help if future female candidates for the presidency bring to the race military, corporate, and executive experiences.

Relatedly, female candidates have always faced perceptual difficulties from the public when it came to their ability to be credible on the issue of national security. This concern is only heightened when the office in question is the presidency. With the country now in a national security orientation, challenges female candidates face on matters of security and war are only amplified today (and will be, presumably, in 2008). Like all presidents, the first female president will find herself limited in her foreign policy and national security agendas by the actions of her predecessor. Yet, stereotypes and age-old biases will doubtless function to create a situation whereby her national security decisions will be examined through the lens of gender in such a way that if she negotiates for peace she will be viewed as weak but if she opts for the hawkish response she will be viewed as trying to prove something. This is also the case for female candidates for the office. Moreover, it is likely that the first woman president will make mistakes in national security policy like all presidents, yet it is probable that hers will be attributed to her sex rather than other factors.

As such, because so many people perceive a woman as not being "tough enough" to be commander-in-chief, female candidates for the presidency are likely to feel the urge to adopt male attitudes and policy positions toward national security and the U.S. military. (12) Women now contemplating public office on the national stage are likely to start early trying to establish their "bona fides" on security, by seeking key endorsements from former generals and security organizations, visiting military bases and troops in combat zones, and making appearances on the talk shows to discuss security.

Would the American electorate vote for a woman for president? Some voters perceive male and female candidates to have different ideological perspectives and bring a different set of policy priorities and values to elected office. Studies suggest that progress has been made but voter bias remains. Such factors as the voter's age, sex, educational level, ideology, traditional religious beliefs, and region of the country might all factor in to voting, whereby older, less educated, more religious voters are less inclined to support female candidates, as are males, conservatives, and those from the South. (13) Yet, it is possible that partisanship trumps other factors and concerns, whereby party affiliation is a better predictor of how one votes than either the sex of the voter or sex of the candidate.
A poll by the Gallup organization in 1999 found that seven percent of those polled stated that they would not vote for a woman for president under any circumstance. (14) A poll by the Sienna Research Institute at Siena College in 2005 discovered that eighty-one percent stated that they would vote for a woman for president, but nine percent would not, and another ten percent of respondents were not sure whether or not they would vote for a woman. (15)

Accordingly, given how close many presidential elections have been in the modern era (1960, 1976, 2000, 2004), these polls are not encouraging. Likewise, because the public often answers poll questions with the socially correct answer, it is possible even more people than indicated would fail to support a woman solely on account of her sex. Moreover, the wording in the question that asks "if she were qualified for the job" is problematic, biased, and not included in similar polls about male candidates.

## TABLE 4. GALLUP POLLS

If your party nominated a woman for president, would you vote for her if she were qualified for the job?

| YEAR | YES | NO | NO OPINION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1975 | $73 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| 1983 | $80 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| 1984 | $78 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 1987 | $82 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 1999 | $92 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

Source: The Gallup Poll (www.gallup.org)

Clearly one of the more formidable obstacles to women campaigning for the presidency is fundraising. Candidates need money to win elections at all levels, but especially for a lengthy nationwide presidential campaign. Raising enough money to win and as much as male candidates have always been problematic for women at all levels of elected office, and most especially for female presidential candidates. (16) For example, during the 2000 campaign cycle, Elizabeth Dole-by most accounts a serious contender for the Republican Party's nomination-struggled to raise enough money to remain a credible presidential candidate and was ultimately forced out of the contest in late 1999.
One of the reasons is that male candidates, for whatever reasons-incumbency advantages, pro-business positions, support for the defense/security industries, or less qualms about the implications of taking special interest money-tend to receive a lot more political action committee (PAC) contributions, especially corporate PACs and the larger, more powerful PACs. This is vitally important in a long, expensive, competitive, and nationwide contest for the White House. Despite the calls for campaign finance reform, this reality of presidential politics is only becoming more pronounced and serious candidates in 2008 will have to raise upwards of $\$ 100$ million.

A study of PAC contributions to Senate candidates from 1996-2000 found that male candidates received, on average, over one-third of their campaign funds from PACS, of which roughly eighty-eight percent came from corporate PACS. Female candidates, on the other hand, received less then twenty percent of their funds from PACs, far less from business PACs, and were reliant on contributions from individuals for roughly two-thirds of the campaign funds. (17)

On the other hand, recent studies have shown that female candidates for local office and legislative offices have been doing better and, in many cases, they are now
matching their male competitors, even occasionally besting them as in the case of successful women in Congress. (18) For instance, in the 2000 election cycle, senators Hillary Rodham Clinton (D-NY), Diane Feinstein (D-CA), and Jean Carnahan (D-MO) were among the ten best funded candidates. (19) To be sure, several PACs have been established that are dedicated to funding female candidates, including EMILY's List (Early Money is Like Yeast), WISH List (Women in the Senate and House), the Women's Campaign Fund, and the National Women’s Political Caucus, to name a few, all of which will be vitally important for appropriate future female presidential candidates. (20)

Studies have shown that the longer time women spend in office-like their male colleagues-it appears that they raise equivalent amounts of money to men. This has occurred in the U.S. House of Representatives and one might expect the same to happen in the U.S. Senate. (21) Yet, even though women are beginning to make progress raising money like men and despite the emergence of women's PACs, it remains to be seen whether the phenomenon will translate into success in executive offices, especially the presidency. (22) No woman who has run for the presidency has raised anywhere near the amount of money necessary to win, including Elizabeth Dole, even though her husband had been the party nominee in the previous election cycle and she had a reputation from her leadership of the American Red Cross as an effective fundraiser.

It can safely be predicted that future female candidates will make fundraising a priority, hire the best fundraisers, and start their campaigns even earlier in order to generate sufficient financial resources. The reality of fundraising might also prove to be something of a filter on which women become candidates, discouraging all but the best female fundraisers and those from larger states from running. Prospective female candidates might also have to prove earlier in their careers-in Congress, in the governor's house-their ability to raise not just the same amounts of money as their male competitors, but more, in order to receive the necessary political and party support to run.

Another concern is the manner in which the media presents female candidates and the amount of coverage devoted to them. A number of studies have demonstrated that, on average, less media attention-in all formats: print, radio, and television-is devoted to female candidates than male candidates. (23) At the same time, because the press focuses more on the "horse race"-that is, who is winning in the polls - rather than on the issues or profiles of candidates, it is both more difficult for women to get their message across and a built in bias in favor of early frontrunners for the White House (who typically will be men). This might force female candidates to develop nontraditional approaches to reaching the public and to start their campaigns earlier than might otherwise have been necessary.

When women do receive media coverage, it is often through a feminine lens. For example, the media focuses more on her clothing, hair style, family, and other "soft" matters for female candidates than for male candidates. This not only does little to make a woman appear to be commander-in-chief material, but makes it even more difficult for her to get her message across. Moreover, female candidates are often presented through the frame of feminine or "nurturing" issues such as health care, education, and children's policies, rather than through national security, foreign policy, economics, or crime and justice. Because the latter issues generally end up being the ones discussed by the public and press during presidential campaigns, female candidates will face yet another gender-
based challenge. Quite simply, the old adage that, sooner or later, all women who run for office will have to share with the press their favorite cookie recipe is grounded in truth.
Paraphrasing John F. Kennedy’s famous quip on being Catholic, a female candidate will be "presented" to the public by the press as, first and foremost, a female candidate rather than a presidential candidate who happens to be female no matter how she campaigns. Ironically, female candidates might have to embrace this reality as an asset in order to secure more media coverage, something that will pose potential problems for her as she tries to raise funds and present both her platform and security "bona fides."

## Female Candidates and their Experiences

Although any study of female presidential candidates is plagued by the population size (so few women have mounted serious campaigns for the presidency), and it is further limited by the fact that two of them ran limited campaigns as third party candidates in the nineteenth century, it is worth noting some similarities and differences among female presidential candidates. One study compiled demographic, social, and political data thought to be potentially important by the literature in order to compare female presidential candidates, and will be used later in this study in analyzing prospective candidates. (24)
To obtain the names of possible female contenders for 2008, this study uses a listing by the White House Project-a well-funded, well known, New York-based organization dedicated to electing a female president-of eight prospective female presidential candidates for 2008. (25) The list includes two frontrunners-Hillary Rodham Clinton and Condoleezza Rice- who have received and, as of the time of this writing, are garnering the lion's share of attention from the press, polls, and pundits. A preliminary assessment of the backgrounds and political biographies of these eight women is worth noting in terms of the similarities and differences with male presidents and their female predecessors.

## FIGURE 1. PREVIOUS FEMALE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

Victoria Woodhull

Born: September 23, 1838; Homer, Ohio
Education: None
Married/Widowed/Divorced
Children: 1 daughter, 1 son
Career: Stockbroker; Publisher
Politics: None
Belva Lockwood
Born: October 24, 1830; Royalton, New York
Educ: BA, Syracuse; LLM, George Washington
Children: 1 daughter
Career: Educator; Principal; Attorney
Politics: None

Margaret Chase Smith
Born: December 14, 1897; Skowhegan, Maine

Race: White
Marital:

State: New York
Party: Equal Rights
Age at campaign: 34

Race: White
Marital: Married/Widowed/Married
State: New York
Party: Equal Rights
Age at campaign: 54

Race: White

Education: Colby College
Children: No children
Career: Educator, executive, newspaper editor
Politics: Congresswoman; U.S. Senator

Marital: Married/Widowed
State: Maine
Party: Republican
Age at campaign: 67

Shirley Chisholm
Born: November 30, 1924, Brooklyn; New York Race: African American
Education: BA, Brooklyn; MA, Columbia Marital: Divorced
Children: No children
Career: Educator
Politics: State Assemblywoman; Congresswoman
State: New York
Party: Democrat
Age at campaign: 48
Pat Schroeder
Born: July 30, 1940; Portland, Oregon
Education: BA, Minnesota; JD, Harvard
Children: 2 children
Career: Attorney; Professor
Politics: Congresswoman
Race: White
Marital: Married
State: Colorado
Party: Democrat
Age at campaign: 47
Elizabeth Dole
Born: July 29, 1936; Salisbury, North Carolina
Education: BA, Duke; JD, Harvard
Children: No children
Career: White House aide; Pres., Red Cross
Politics: Secretary of Labor \& Transportation
Race: White
Marital: Married
State: North Carolina
Party: Republican
Age at campaign: 63
Carol Moseley Braun
Born: August 16, 1947; Chicago, Illinois
Education: BA, Illinois; JD, Chicago
Children: 1 son
Career: Attorney
Politics: U.S. Senator; Ambassador
Race: African American
Marital: Divorced
State: Illinois
Party: Democrat
Age at campaign: 55
Source: Author’s original figure
FIGURE 2. PROSPECTIVE FEMALE CANDIDATES FOR 2008
Hillary Rodham Clinton
Born: October 26, 1947; Chicago, Illinois
Education: BA, Wellesley; JD, Yale
Children: 1 daughter
Career: Attorney (private firm and law professor)
Politics: First Lady of the U.S.; U.S. Senator
Race: White
Marital: Married
State: New York
Party: Democrat Age in '08: 61

Condoleezza Rice
Born: November 14, 1954; Birmingham, Alabama Race: African American
Education: BA \& PhD, Denver; MA, Notre Dame Marital: Single

Children: None
Career: Professor \& provost (Stanford)
Politics: National security aide/advisor
Susan Collins
Born: December 7, 1952; Caribou, Maine
Education: BA, St. Lawrence University
Children: None
Career: Government administrator, legislative aide Party: Republican
Politics: U.S. Senator
Shirley Franklin
Born: May 10, 1945; Philadelphia, Penn.
Education: BA, Howard; MA, Penn
Children: 3 children
Career: Political aide, city administrator
Politics: Mayor of Atlanta
Kay Bailey Hutchison
Born: June 22, 1943; Galveston, Texas
Education: BA, Texas; JD, Texas
Children: 1 daughter, 1 son, 2 stepdaughters
Career: Small business owner; TV correspondent
Politics: U.S. Senator

State: California
Party: Republican
Age in '08: 54

Race: White
Marital: Single
State: Maine

Age in ‘08: 55

Race: African American
Marital: Divorced
State: Georgia
Party: Democrat
Age in ‘08: 63

Race: White
Marital: Married
State: Texas
Party: Republican
Age in '08: 65

Janet Napolitano
Born: November 29, 1957; New York, New York Race: White (Italian)
Education: BA, Santa Clara; JD, Virginia Marital: Single
Children: None
Career: U.S. Attorney; U.S. Appeals Court Clerk Party: Democrat
Politics: Governor
Age in ‘08: 50
Kathleen Sebelius
Born: May 15, 1948; Cincinnati, Ohio
Education: BA, Trinity; MPA, Kansas University
Children: 2 sons
Career: Corrections administrator; administrator
Politics: Insurance Commissioner; Governor
Race: White
Marital: Married
State: Kansas
Party: Democrat
Age in '08: 60
Olympia Snowe
Born: February 21, 1947; Augusta, Maine
Education: BA, Maine
Race: White (Greek)
Marital: Married
Children: None
Career: Business owner
State: Maine
Party: Republican
Politics: Legislator; Congresswoman; U.S. senator Age in ‘08: 62

Source: Author's original figure (26)
The first woman to mount a formal campaign for the American presidency was Victoria Woodhull who, in 1872, made history for this first for women. Born Victoria Claflin in 1838 to a struggling family, she married Canning Woodhull at age fifteen, giving birth to a son the following year and a daughter a few years later. Shortly thereafter, her husband died and the widow remarried in 1866 to Colonel James Blood, whom she would end up divorcing in 1877. Victoria Woodhull married for a third time in 1883 to John Martin and lived to see women secure the right to vote with the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment to the Constitution in 1920, dying in 1927.

Twice in the 1800s-1884 and again in 1888-Belva Lockwood campaigned for the presidency, both times from the Equal Rights Party like her predecessor Victoria Woodhull. Born Belva Burnett in 1830 on a farm near Royalton, New York, as a teenager she married Uriah McNall, a farmer. The couple had a daughter, but Uriah died in 1853. Years later she married Ezekiel Lockwood, a former minister and dentist, several years her senior. Belva became a school teacher and graduated from Genesee College (now Syracuse University) with honors. Her distinguished career in education included serving as a principal, teaching at a seminary, and founding McNall Seminary in Oswego, New York.

Roughly eight decades would pass before another woman mounted what would be the first serious bid for the nation's highest office. When Margaret Chase Smith, born in 1897, ran for president in 1964, she became the first woman to do so on a major party ticket. Unlike her two predecessors, Chase Smith, a Republican, had considerable experience in elected office prior to her presidential campaign-she had represented the state of Maine in both the U.S. House of Representatives and U.S. Senate. Her career began when she was elected in 1940 to her deceased husband's House seat.
Another political first occurred in 1972 when Shirley Chisholm became the first blackand thus the first black woman-to campaign for the White House. Born in 1924, Shirley Anita St. Hill was educated at Brookline College and earned a master's degree from Columbia University. After a career as an educator and educational consultant, Chisholm was elected as an assemblywoman in New York, later making history as the first black woman elected to Congress.

A decade-and-a-half later, after Senator Gary Hart withdrew from the presidential campaign amidst evidence he had been having an affair with a twenty-something model named Donna Rice, his colleague and supporter Pat Schroeder very briefly campaigned for the presidency. In 1987 Schroeder, who was born Patricia Scott in 1940 and earned her law degree at Harvard, tested the waters, marking perhaps another first-that being, she was one of the best known women in politics in the country but more interestingly was one of the most unapologetically pro-woman, outspoken feminists, and strongly liberal figures on the American political stage. Schroeder was first elected to Congress in 1972 and served until 1997. She again tested the waters briefly in 1992.

Only three years after her husband, Bob Dole, secured her party's presidential nomination, Republican Elizabeth Dole ran for the nation's highest office and was considered by some to be the first viable female candidate to pursue the presidency. Dole had prior political experience as a former cabinet member, serving as Ronald Reagan's secretary of transportation and George H.W. Bush's secretary of labor. Educated at Duke
and Harvard Law School, she worked as a consumer affairs administrator in the White House of Richard Nixon and later as a member of the Federal Trade Commission.

The most recent female leader to run for the White House was Carol Moseley Braun, who was born in Chicago in 1947 and educated at both the University of Illinois and University of Chicago Law School. After a successful career as a prosecutor, she was elected to the Illinois State House and eventually the U.S. Senate. The former U.S. ambassador to New Zealand ran in 2004 as the second African American woman to pursue the presidency and the only woman in the race.
All of the women competing for their party's nomination for president were unsuccessful. However, Geraldine Ferraro, a congresswoman from New York, became the first woman to succeed in securing the vice-presidential nomination of a major political party when, in 1984, she accepted Walter Mondale’s offer to join the Democratic ticket. Ferraro had not been a leader in the Democratic Party, but she had risen fast in her short time in Congress and had enjoyed an accomplished legal and political career. Still, she was subjected to not only assertions that she was not vice presidential material but ugly personal attacks about her Catholic faith (how could she be Catholic and pro-choice) and her husband's alleged financial improprieties. It is doubtful Representative Ferraro either helped or hurt Mondale's chances because Ronald Reagan was a popular and seemingly unbeatable incumbent who ended up winning in a landslide. Still, Ferraro's selection signaled a historic first and energized many women around the nation.

## TABLE 5. PROFILES OF FEMALE CANDIDATES

| DEMOGRAPHIC | DESCRIPTION | NAMES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| State of birth | Northeast | Lockwood, Smith, Chisholm, Ferraro, Collins, <br> Napolitano, Snowe |
|  | Midwest | Woodhull, Braun, Clinton, Sebelius |
|  | South | Dole, Rice, Hutchison |
|  | West | Schroeder |
|  | BA degree | Collins, Snowe |
| Education | MA degree+ | Chisholm, Dole, Rice, Franklin, Sebelius |
|  | JD degree | Lockwood, Ferraro, Schroeder, Dole, Braun, <br> Clinton, Hutchison, Napolitano |
|  | No degree | Woodhull, Smith |
|  | Married | Smith, Ferraro, Schroeder, Dole, Hutchison, <br> Sebelius, Snowe |
| Marital status | Divorced | Woodhull, Lockwood, Chisholm, Braun, Franklin |
|  | Single | Rice, Collins, Napolitano |
|  | Have children | Lockwood, Woodhull, Ferraro, Schroeder, Braun, <br> Clinton, Franklin, Hutchison, Sebelius |
| Children | No children | Smith, Chisholm, Dole, Rice, Collins, Napolitano, <br> Snowe |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| Career | Educator | Lockwood, Smith, Chisholm, Schroeder, Rice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attorney | Lockwood, Ferraro, Schroeder, Braun, Clinton, Napolitano |
|  | Politics/Gov't | Dole, Franklin, Sebelius |
|  | Business | Woodhull, Smith, Hutchison, Snowe |
| Political party | Democrat | Chisholm, Ferraro, Schroeder, Braun, Clinton, Franklin, Napolitano, Sebelius |
|  | Republican | Smith, Dole, Rice, Collins, Hutchison, Snowe |
|  | Equal Rights | Woodhull, Lockwood |
| Political Experience | US House | Smith, Chisholm, Ferraro, Schroeder |
|  | US Senate | Smith, Braun, Clinton, Collins, Hutchison, Snowe |
|  | Cabinet/Admin | Dole, Braun, Clinton, Rice |
|  | Governor | Napolitano, Sebelius |
|  | Mayor/Local | Franklin |
|  | None | Woodhull, Lockwood |
| Age at campaign | Younger | Chisholm, Ferraro, Schroeder |
|  | Average | Braun, Rice, Collins, Napolitano |
|  | Older than aver | Smith, Dole, Clinton, Franklin, Hutchison, Sebelius, Snowe |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Note: Names in bold are prospective presidential candidates; Ferraro is included simply for comparative purposes and is listed in italics
Note: "Age" is calculated based on a comparison of women serving in Congress and as governors, with <50 as "younger," 50-59 as "average," and 60+ as "older"
Source: Author’s original table
Clearly, from Table 5 it appears that there is no single model for a female presidential candidate. However, some general similarities and differences can be noted between these female aspirants and male presidents, and among themselves. Interestingly, many women world leaders-including some female prime ministers and presidents-had little or no political experience, or even no career, prior to being elected into high office. (27) However, all the women that ran for the presidency (and Ferraro, the vice-presidential nominee) in the twentieth century did so having considerable political experience at the highest levels of government. As a group, they had similar political resumes as the presidents. All were accomplished in their previous careers and were well educated (except Woodhull and Chase Smith), many, like many presidents, at elite institutions. In fact, more female candidates and prospective contenders had graduate-level degrees and, as a group, the female presidential candidates were better educated than most presidents.

Moreover, within their political careers, all were recognized for a wide array of policy interests and pursuits, far more than simply traditional "women's issues." For example, all the female candidates in the twentieth century except Elizabeth Dole (who was elected to the U.S. Senate after her presidential campaign) had congressional experience and served on committees with a focus well beyond "women's issues." Chase Smith served on the Navy and Defense Committees; Chisholm, on the Veterans Affairs Committees; Ferraro, the Steering and Policy, Budget and Public Works, and Transportation Committees; Schroeder, the Armed Services, Post Office, and Civil Services Committees; and Moseley Braun, the Judiciary Committee. Often, they were trailblazers, having become the first woman ever to serve on said committees. Yet, at the same time, most (except Elizabeth Dole) were also seen as committed champions of women, women's issues, and civil/human rights.

Four of the past five presidents (Carter, Reagan, Clinton, G.W. Bush) were governors prior to the White House. The nation seems, according to recent polls, prefer presidential candidates with such executive experience. However, none of the women yet to pursue the office have been governors, but Dole did have considerable executive experience in two presidential cabinets and as the head of the American Red Cross. Two of the prospective contenders for 2008 (Napalitano and Sebelius) are currently governors and Franklin is the mayor of a major city - just as is Rudolph Giuliani, a male contender for 2008.

Many presidents had military experience, something none of the female candidates or prospective contenders can claim. Yet, in recent elections this "requirement" for the office has been less of an issue (of the last four presidents, only G.H.W. Bush had active-duty military experience). This might presumably benefit women in 2008, both because none of the prospective candidates has military experience and due to the negative public perceptions about a woman's ability to serve as commander-in-chief. Clearly, however, Schroeder's service on the House Armed Services Committee and her expertise on many military subjects did not satisfy the many critics of her presidential aspirations.

Like many world leaders and the male presidents, several female candidates and contenders were the first born in their families (Woodhull, Smith, Chisholm, Ferraro, Braun, Clinton, Rice...). The noticeable differences include several of the women were divorced-Woodhull, Lockwood, Chisholm, Moseley Braun, and Franklin. Only one president (Ronald Reagan) has ever been divorced. Assuredly, the fact that the three contemporary divorced female candidates were also African American presents additional problems for them. Another three prospective contenders are single which, given the family-oriented imagery of the presidency, poses potentially serious, gendered problems. The double-standard which works against women in so many facets of society, might be expected to pose challenges for single and divorced female candidates far beyond what would be experienced by male candidates. Shirley Chisholm, for example, maintained that she encountered more opposition on account of her sex than she did on account of her race, most notably from African American men who felt the first African American presidential candidate should be a male. (28)

The remainder of the prospective female candidates was married and had small families of their own, just like most of the presidents who had children. Just as many of
the presidential spouses were accomplished social hostesses, the husbands of female candidates were generally accomplished, well educated men.

Also, all but one of the presidents was Protestant, many of the presidents were Episcopalian, Presbyterian, or Methodist, and most were reasonably religious. Yet, Ferraro, Moseley Braun, and Napolitano were raised Catholic (John F. Kennedy was the only Catholic president), Snowe was raised Greek Orthodox, and most of the other female candidates/contenders never emphasized or emphasize their religious beliefs, all of which deviate from the presidential norm. Once again, the double standard operating against women in society might be a factor for female presidential candidates who are not "main line" protestant denominations or active churchgoers. The only candidates/contenders that have openly discussed their religious beliefs are Dole and Rice, both of whom were raised Presbyterian like several of the presidents.

In terms of age, the female candidates/contenders vary from thirty-four to sixtyseven, also reflecting the large range for the presidents (forty-two to sixty-nine). There are differences by political party, whereby the Democratic women who ran (plus Ferraro) were younger (average age of forty-nine) than the Republican women (average age sixtyfive). Most pertinently, both Democrats and Republican female candidates were outside of the presidential average age which is in the fifties. Of the prospective female contenders, the age also varies with both party's hopefuls, and only two of the contenders (Rice and Napolitano) are in their fifties.

Despite some similarities with male presidents, none of the female presidential candidates was even close to being successful in winning the White House, which would suggest that it was not their qualifications that were a problem but perhaps the campaigns themselves, some extraneous challenge(s) discussed above, or the fact that they were women. After all, Margaret Chase Smith was harmed by the fact that the press routinely referred to her as "Mrs." Smith, rather than by her title (Senator) as they would for her male competitors. (29)

## The Propsects?

Predicting presidential election outcomes is a risky business as the Chicago Tribune discovered when it prematurely announced in 1948 that "Dewey Defeats Truman." At the time of this writing, it remains to be seen if any of the prospective female contenders will run in 2008. Of the group, Senator Clinton is receiving the most press and has even polled as the comfortable Democratic frontrunner. Her strengths mirror those of some presidents and include family ties to the presidency, a prominent public office from which to mount a campaign, a pedigree education, high name recognition, and fundraising prowess. However, she is equally admired and detested, and both appear in some manner to be attributed to her sex. As such, securing cross-over votes from moderate Republicans (especially men) and swing independent male voters might be problematic for her.

No female candidate or contender has had military experience, which, given polls which state a preference for someone ready to be commander-in-chief, bodes poorly for electing madam president. Of the prospective contenders, only Secretary Rice will have national security credentials by virtue of her position as National Security Advisor and Secretary of State during a war. Her Democratic colleague, Hillary Clinton, lacks such credibility, whether real or imagined. But, even though Rice's age, educational
attainment, and religious practices match the presidential profile, she has never held elective office, a potential problem that only military heroes like generals Jackson, Grant, or Eisenhower have overcome. Moreover, she is African American, single, has no children (consider the negative impact of society's double standards), and only Woodrow Wilson has come to the White House from academia (but he was governor of New Jersey in between).

Because of the perceived strength of Republicans in the area of national security, the perception that women are more liberal than men, and the unwillingness of Republican men to consider voting for a Democratic female for president, it has been suggested that the first woman president might be a Republican. (30) Three prominent Republican women in the U.S. Senate - all impressive and accomplished individuals are included in the contender list. But, two of them are from Maine, a state with few electoral votes and both senators Collins (never married) and Snowe (no children) might face problems at the polls on account of gender-based bias toward married leaders and "traditional" women's roles. Senator Hutchison, on the other hand, has a favorable family, educational, and career background, represents a large state (Texas), and is a Republican.

Considering the trend toward electing governors (the last senator to go directly to the White House did so in 1960), of the group of contenders, Sebelius might match the profile. She is well educated, married with children, and close in age to the presidential average. Democrats from the North/Northeast have not fared well in recent elections (John Kerry did not carry a single Southern or Midwestern state in 2004), so the fact that she is a governor of a Midwestern state might be a plus. States in the West have made some of the most impressive progress in electing women at all levels, so it is possible that this region will be fertile ground for future female candidates.

Whoever the first woman president might be, she will have to overcome challenges in fundraising and media bias unique to women candidates, and it will most likely help her if she has executive and national security experiences and her family and demographic characteristics mirror those of past presidents. A number of challenges remain for female presidential candidates and progress toward that inevitability has been mixed, but women have run and a number of prospective contenders exist.
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