OPEN

Maternal Blood Pressure During Pregnancy and Early Childhood Blood Pressures in the Offspring

*N*edicine

The GUSTO Birth Cohort Study

Wai-Yee Lim, MSc, Yung-Seng Lee, MBBS, PhD, Fabian Kok-Peng Yap, MBBS, MMed, Izzudin Mohd Aris, PhD, Lek Ngee, MBBS, MSc, Michael Meaney, PhD, Peter D. Gluckman, MBChB, DSc, Keith M. Godfrev, BM, PhD, Kenneth Kwek, MBBS, MMed, Yap-Seng Chong, MBBS, MD, Seang-Mei Saw, MBBS, PhD, and An Pan, PhD, on behalf of the GUSTO study group

Abstract: Although epidemiological studies suggest that offspring of women with preeclampsia are at increased risk to higher blood pressures and cardiovascular disease, little is known about the nature of blood pressures between the mother and her offspring. As blood pressures comprise of both pulsatile (systolic blood pressure [SBP] and pulse pressure [PP]) and stable (diastolic blood pressure [DBP]) components, and they differ between central and peripheral sites, we sought to

From the Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore and National University Health System (WYL, SSM), KK Women's and Children's Hospital (WYL, FKPY, LN, KK), Singapore Institute for Clinical Sciences, Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR) (YSL, IMA, MM, PDG, YSC), Clinical Nutrition Research Centre, Singapore Institute for Clinical Sciences, A*STAR and National University Health System (YSL, PDG, YSC), Department of Paediatrics, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine (YSL), Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore and National University Health System (YSL, FKPY, KK, YSC, SSM), Duke-NUS Graduate Medical School, National University of Singapore, Singapore (FKPY, LN, KK), Ludmer Centre for Neuroinformatics and Mental Health University Institute (MM), Sackler Program for Epigenetics and Psychobiology, McGill University, Canada (MM), Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand (PDG), Human Development and Health Academic Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton (KMG), NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust (KMG), Medical Research Council Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK (KMG), Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore and National University Health System, Singapore (YSC), and School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China (AP).

Correspondence: An Pan, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 13 Hangkong Rd, Wuhan 430030, Hubei, China (e-mail: panan@hust.edu.cn).

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

This work is supported by the Translational Clinical Research (TCR) Flagship Program on Developmental Pathways to Metabolic Disease funded by the National Research Foundation (NRF) and administered by the National Medical Research Council (NMRC), Singapore (NMRC/ TCR/004-NUS/2008). Additional funding is provided by the Singapore Institute for Clinical Sciences and A*STAR. KMG is supported by the National Institute for Health Research through the NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives License 4.0, which allows for redistribution, commercial and non-commercial, as long as it is passed along unchanged and in whole, with credit to the author. ISSN: 0025-7974

DOI: 10.1097/MD.000000000001981

examine maternal peripheral and central blood pressure components in relation to offspring early childhood blood pressures.

A prospective birth cohort of 567 Chinese, Malay, and Indian mother-offspring with complete blood pressure information were studied. Maternal brachial artery SBP, DBP, and PP were measured at 26 to 28 weeks gestation; and central SBP and PP were estimated from radial artery waveforms. Offspring brachial artery SBP, DBP, and PP were measured at 3 years of age. Associations between continuous variables of maternal blood pressures (peripheral SBP, DBP, PP, central SBP, and PP) and offspring blood pressures (peripheral SBP, DBP, and PP) were examined using multiple linear regression with adjustment for maternal characteristics (age, education level, parity, smoking status, alcohol consumption and physical activity during pregnancy, and prepregnancy BMI) and offspring characteristics (sex, ethnicity, BMI, and height at 3 years of age).

In the multivariate models, offspring peripheral SBP increased by 0.08 (95% confidence interval 0.00–0.17, P = 0.06) mmHg with every 1-mmHg increase in maternal central SBP, and offspring peripheral PP increased by 0.10 (0.01–0.18, P = 0.03) mmHg for every 1-mmHg increase in maternal central PP. The relations of maternal-offspring peripheral blood pressures (SBP, DBP, and PP) were positive but not statistically significant, and the corresponding values were 0.05 (-0.03 to 0.13; P = 0.21), 0.03 (-0.04 to 0.10; P = 0.35), and 0.05 (-0.02 to 0.13; P = 0.14), respectively.

Maternal central pulsatile blood pressure components (SBP and PP) during pregnancy are associated with higher blood pressures in the offspring. This positive correlation is already evident at 3-years old. Studies are needed to further evaluate the effects of maternal central pulsatile blood pressure components during pregnancy and long-term cardiovascular health in the offspring.

(Medicine 94(45):e1981)

Abbreviations: AGA = appropriate for gestational age, BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, GUSTO = Growing Up in Singapore Towards Healthy Outcomes, PP = pulse pressure, SBP = systolic blood pressure, SD = standard deviation, SGA = small for gestational age.

INTRODUCTION

Findings from epidemiological studies suggest that in-utero exposure to preeclampsia is associated with higher blood pressures and an increased risk of hypertension and cardiovas-cular complications later in life.¹⁻¹³ For example, metaanalyses of observational studies reported about 2.28 to 2.35 and 1.35 to 1.68 mmHg higher systolic (SBP) and diastolic

Editor: Chun Gao.

Received: July 22, 2015; revised: September 23, 2015; accepted: October 11. 2015.

(DBP) blood pressures, respectively, in offspring whose mothers had preeclampsia. $^{12,13}\,$

Although there is evidence to suggest that maternal hypertension is associated with higher offspring blood pressures, little is known on how the pulsatile (SBP and pulse pressure [PP]) and stable [DBP] components of maternal blood pressures from peripheral or central sites may affect offspring blood pressures. Assessing the various components of maternal blood pressures are important as they reflect different cardiovascular adaptation^{14,15} and there is evidence to suggest that central SBP or PP is more strongly associated with cardiovascular outcomes compared to its peripheral measures.^{16,17} Moreover, as blood pressure has a unimodal distribution in the population and has been shown to have a graded relationship with cardiovascular disease,^{18,19} assessment of maternal blood pressures using predetermined blood pressure cut-offs may be suboptimal in elucidating its influence on offspring blood pressure.

Therefore in the present study, we examined the relation between the maternal blood pressure components during pregnancy and offspring blood pressures during early childhood in a prospective mother–offspring cohort of Southeast Asian Chinese, Malay and Indian participants.

METHODS

Study Population

The Growing Up in Singapore Towards Healthy Outcomes (GUSTO) study is a prospective mother-offspring cohort study where 1162 pregnant women less than 14 weeks gestation were recruited at 2 public tertiary hospitals with maternity care in Singapore from 2009 to 2010.²⁰ Women who were enrolled into the GUSTO study were free of type 1 diabetes and were not on chemotherapy treatment or on psychotropic drugs. From the GUSTO study cohort, there were 1152 women with singleton pregnancies and 10 with twin pregnancies. Of the 1152 women with singleton pregnancies, 829 women had mid-pregnancy blood pressure measurements and were eligible for the present study. Among the offspring of women with mid-pregnancy blood pressure measurements, 567 had blood pressure measurements at age 3 years and these 567 maternal-offspring pairs with complete blood pressure information were included in the present study (Fig. 1). The women included in the analysis tended to be older, had higher education, and were less likely to smoke and consume alcohol compared to the 262 women excluded. Maternal blood pressures during pregnancy and offspring blood pressures at 3-years old were similar between women who were included and excluded in the analysis (Supplemental Table 1, http://links.lww.com/MD/A505). The study was approved by the SingHealth Centralised Institutional Review Board and National Healthcare Group Domain Specific Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from the study participants.

Maternal Blood Pressure Measurements

Based on a standardized protocol, maternal blood pressures were taken by trained research coordinators who were blinded to the maternal status of preeclampsia or gestational hypertension during the GUSTO mid-pregnancy follow-up visits at a median gestation of 27 weeks (interquartile range 26–29 weeks). Mothers were rested for at least 10 minutes prior to blood pressure measurement, and the peripheral SBP and DBP were measured thrice from the brachial artery at 30 to 60 second intervals with an oscillometric device (MC3100,



FIGURE 1. Flow chart of women in the Growing Up in Singapore Towards healthy Outcomes (GUSTO) study selected for analysis.

HealthSTATS International Pte Ltd, Singapore). An average of these 3 readings was calculated if the difference between readings was less than 10 mmHg; otherwise, measurements were repeated. Central blood pressures were determined by the radial artery pressure waveforms measured from the A-pulse tonometer (BPro, HealthSTATS International Pte Ltd, Singapore), having calibrated with the average of peripheral SBP and DBP, respectively. From the calibrated radial artery pressure waveforms, central SBP would be estimated as the maximum value of the average data points generated incrementally over a sampling fraction of n/4.²¹ Peripheral and central PP were calculated as the difference between peripheral or central SBP and peripheral DBP.

Offspring Blood Pressure Outcomes

At the age of 3 years, offspring blood pressure outcomes were measured by trained research personnel at outpatient clinics. Prior to blood pressure taking, the child was required to seat with the mother for at least 5 minutes in a quiet room. Peripheral SBPs and DBPs were taken twice from the right brachial artery using a Dynamap CARESCAPE V100 (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) with the arm resting at the chest level. An average of the 2 blood pressure readings was calculated if the difference between readings were less than 10 mmHg; otherwise, a 3rd reading was taken and an average of the 3 readings was taken instead. The coefficients of variation of SBP and DBP were less than 12%. Offspring peripheral PP was calculated as the difference between peripheral SBP and DBP.

Covariates

Information on maternal age, ethnicity and education level, smoking status, alcohol and coffee consumption and physical activity during pregnancy, family history of hypertension, number of living children, and pre-pregnancy weight was obtained via questionnaires, and maternal height was measured by trained research coordinators at GUSTO mid-pregnancy follow-up. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m²). Women who had chronic hypertension or were diagnosed as gestational hypertension or preeclampsia (de novo hypertension with or without proteinuria after 20 weeks gestation) were classified as maternal hypertension during pregnancy.²² Information on the offspring's sex, weight, and gestation at birth were retrieved from medical records. Offspring who were born before 37 weeks gestation would be considered premature and those who were below the 10th percentile for gestational age adjusted birth weight (based on the GUSTO cohort) would be considered as small for gestational age (SGA). At 3 years of age, offspring weight and height were measured at the same time of their blood pressure measurements.

Statistical Analysis

Maternal blood pressures differences between characteristics of eligible mother–offspring pairs were examined using one way analysis of variance test. Partial correlations between maternal and offspring blood pressures were performed using Pearson correlations, adjusted for offspring sex and ethnicity.

Selection of confounders (maternal or offspring) were based on their biological importance or known confounding from the literature. For example, maternal age, race, BMI, parity and offspring BMI, and height were considered as biologically important covariates;8 whereas maternal education, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and physical activity were considered as known confounders.²³ As these covariates may be intercorrelated, a conceptual framework²⁴ was developed to examine their pathways in the maternal-offspring blood pressure relations (Supplemental Figure 1, http://links.lww.com/ MD/A505). Maternal socio-economic factors (age, race, and education) may affect parity, BMI, lifestyle (smoking status, alcohol consumption, and physical activity), and blood pressures during pregnancy. These maternal factors may in turn affect offspring BMI and height at 3-years old and therein offspring blood pressures. Maternal blood pressure and other maternal factors may also affect offspring weight and gestation at birth, which in turn affects offspring BMI and blood pressures at 3years old.

Therefore, the regression of offspring blood pressures (peripheral SBP, DBP, and PP) on maternal blood pressures (peripheral SBP, DBP, PP, central SBP, and PP) were adjusted for maternal age, education level, parity, smoking status, alcohol consumption and physical activity during pregnancy, and pre-pregnancy BMI; and offspring characteristics (including sex, ethnicity, BMI, and height at 3 years of age). Offspring weight and gestation at delivery were not adjusted as they were considered mediators of the maternal–offspring blood pressure relations. From literature, we also considered maternal hypertension, offspring prematurity, and SGA as potential mediating factors as they may lie in the pathway between maternal and offspring blood pressures.^{23,25,26} This is because higher maternal blood pressures during pregnancy are strongly associated with hypertension during pregnancy and can lead to preterm birth and smaller offspring due to shared placental pathophysiology and/or medically indicated birth induction.² In turn, prematurity and/or SGA in the offspring are associated with higher blood pressures in later life.^{23,25,26} Therefore, we repeated our main analysis in subgroups of women, stratified by maternal hypertension, offspring prematurity, and SGA to test the robustness of our results. For the assessment of offspring's SGA status at birth, we have used the 10th percentile cut-offs weight for gestation at delivery based on the GUSTO and INTERGROWTH – 21st Project²⁸ for local and international comparisons, respectively. As there were few women with pregnancy hypertension (n = 30), offspring prematurity (n = 47), and SGA (n = 45, GUSTO; n = 34, INTERGROWTH – 21st), analysis were repeated only in women and offspring without these conditions.

We explored effect modifications by offspring sex (male, female) and ethnicity (Chinese, Malay, or Indian) were evaluated from the multiplicative interaction terms between continuous blood pressure variables and the effect modifier added to the main effect model. Ethnicity and sex stratified analyses were performed, respectively, and likelihood ratio testing used to test for interaction effects. Lastly, we examined the differences in characteristics in mother–offspring pairs that were included and excluded from the present study. Analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test and Chi-square test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. All analyses were performed using Stata version 11.2 (Statacorp, College Station, TX); two-tailed *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Of the 567 mother–offspring pairs followed up in the present study, 310 (54.7%) were of ethnic Chinese, 157 (27.7%) Malay, and 100 (17.6%) Indian. The mean age of women enrolled was 31.0 (standard deviation 5.1) years. Overall, higher maternal blood pressures were observed in women of Malay ethnicity, lower education, who smoked before, had no or light physical activity during pregnancy, or higher pre-pregnancy BMI. Higher maternal blood pressures also observed in women who developed hypertension in pregnancy and those who had premature deliveries (Table 1).

The mean BMI and height of offspring followed up at the age of 3-years old were 15.8 (95% confidence interval [CI] 15.7-15.9) kg/m² and 94.8 (95% CI 94.5–95.1) cm, respectively. The mean peripheral SBP, DBP, and PP of the offspring at 3 years of age were 98.5 (95% CI 97.8–99.2) mmHg, 58.3 (95% CI 57.9–58.8) mmHg, and 40.2 (95% CI 39.7–40.7) mmHg, respectively (Supplemental Table 1, http://links.lww.com/MD/A505). After accounting for offspring sex and ethnicity, maternal blood pressures were weakly correlated with offspring peripheral SBP and PP (adjusted *r* ranged from 0.05 to 0.11), but not with offspring peripheral DBP. Weak correlations were also observed between offspring blood pressures, BMI, and height (*r* ranged from 0.11 to 0.25; Supplemental Figure 2, http://links.lww.com/MD/A505).

In multiple linear regression models, positive maternal– offspring blood pressure relations were observed for the blood pressure measures of SBP and PP (Fig. 2), but not for DBP. Other maternal–offspring blood pressure relations were not statistically significant, except for the relations between

Maternal Characteristics	Overall n, %	Peripheral Blood Pressures, mmHg					Central Blood Pressures, mmHg				
		SBP		DBP		РР		SBP		РР	
		Mean (95% CI)	Р	Mean (95% CI)	Р	Mean (95% CI)	Р	Mean (95% CI)	Р	Mean (95% CI)	Р
Age, years			0.71		0.26		0.28		0.35		0.35
1st quartile (18-26)	106 (18.7%)	110.3 (108.1-112.5)		66.3 (64.7-67.9)		44.0 (42.3-45.6)		96.7 (94.8-98.7)		30.4 (29.1-31.7)	
2nd quartile (27-29)	132 (23.3%)	109.7 (107.8-111.5)		67.3 (65.8-68.7)		42.4 (41.0-43.7)		96.7 (95.0-98.4)		29.4 (28.4-30.4)	
3rd quartile (30–33)	151 (26.6%)	108.7 (106.9-110.4)		65.8 (64.5-67.1)		42.8 (41.5-44.2)		96.3 (94.7-98.0)		30.5 (29.4-31.6)	
4th quartile (34-46)	178 (31.4%)	109.5 (107.9-111.2)		67.5 (66.3-68.7)		42.1 (40.8-43.3)		98.2 (96.7-99.7)		30.7 (29.7-31.7)	
Race)	< 0.001		0.003		0.09	()	< 0.001		0.33
Chinese	310 (54.7%)	108.1 (106.9-109.3)		66.0 (65.1-66.9)		42.1 (41.2-43.0)		95.9 (94.8-97.0)		29.9 (29.2-30.7)	
Malay	157 (27.7%)	112.9 (111.1–114.6)		69.0 (67.7–70.4)		43.8 (42.6–45.1)		99.9 (98.3–101.5)		30.8 (29.9–31.8)	
Indian	100 (17.6%)	108.4 (106.4–110.5)		65.7 (64.0-67.3)		42.8 (41.1-44.5)		96.3 (94.4–98.1)		30.6 (29.1-32.1)	
Education	100 (17.070)	100.4 (100.4–110.5)	0.006	05.7 (04.0-07.5)	0.001	42.0 (41.1-4.5)	0.80	J0.5 (J4.4-J0.1)	0.002	50.0 (27.1-52.1)	0.72
Primary to Secondary	171 (30.3%)	110.3 (108.6-111.9)	0.000	67.5 (66.3-68.8)	0.001	42.7 (41.6-43.9)	0.80	98.1 (96.6-99.6)	0.002	30.5 (29.6-31.4)	0.72
GCE/vocational/polytechnic	194 (34.4%)	110.9 (109.2–112.5)		67.9 (66.8–69.1)		42.9 (41.7-44.2)		98.3 (96.8–99.8)		30.4 (29.4–31.4)	
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		95.1 (93.8–96.4)			
Tertiary and above	199 (35.3%)	107.5 (106.1-108.9)	0.02	65.1 (64.0-66.2)	0.20	42.4 (41.3-43.5)	0.26	95.1 (95.8-96.4)	0.61	30.0 (29.1-30.9)	0.04
Alcohol intake			0.92		0.29		0.36		0.61		0.04
No	372 (67.6%)	109.3 (108.1–110.4)		66.4 (65.5–67.2)		42.9 (42.1-43.7)		97.1 (96.0–98.1)		30.7 (30.0-31.4)	
Yes	178 (32.4%)	109.4 (107.7-111.0)		67.2 (65.9–68.4)		42.2 (41.0-43.4)		96.6 (95.1-98.0)		29.4 (28.5-30.4)	
Smoking status			0.02		0.10		0.11		0.04		0.29
Nonsmoker	497 (88.0%)	109.0 (108.0-110.0)		66.5 (65.8-67.2)		42.5 (41.8-43.2)		96.7 (95.8-97.6)		30.2 (29.6-30.8)	
Ever-smoker	68 (12.0%)	112.5 (109.6-115.4)		68.3 (66.1-70.4)		44.2 (42.4-46.0)		99.4 (96.6-102.1)		31.1 (29.5-32.6)	
Parity			0.77		0.81		0.87		0.33		0.24
Nulliparous	234 (41.3%)	109.3 (107.8-110.8)		66.7 (65.7-67.7)		42.6 (41.5-43.7)		96.6 (95.3-97.9)		29.9 (29.0-30.8)	
Multiparous	333 (58.7%)	109.6 (108.4-110.8)		66.8 (65.9-67.8)		42.8 (41.9-43.6)		97.4 (96.3-98.5)		30.6 (29.9-31.3)	
Physical activity			0.21		0.02		0.55		0.01		0.42
None to light	404 (71.2%)	109.8 (108.8-110.9)		67.3 (66.5-68.1)		42.6 (41.8-43.4)		97.7 (96.7-98.7)		30.4 (29.8-31.1)	
Moderate to strenuous	163 (28.8%)	108.5 (106.9-110.2)		65.5 (64.2-66.8)		43.0 (41.7-44.3)		95.5 (94.0-97.0)		29.9 (29.0-30.9)	
Pre-pregnancy BMI, kg/m ²			< 0.001		< 0.001		0.02		< 0.001		0.39
BMI <25.0	387 (74.1%)	107.1 (106.0-108.1)		65.0 (64.3-65.8)		42.0 (41.2-42.8)		95.0 (94.0-95.9)		29.9 (29.3-30.6)	
BMI 25.0-29.9	93 (17.8%)	113.9 (111.9-115.9)		71.0 (69.2-72.7)		42.9 (41.3-44.5)		101.5 (99.7-103.3)		30.5 (29.3-31.7)	
BMI ≥30.0	42 (8.05%)	119.9 (116.7-123.2)		74.1 (71.8-76.5)		45.8 (42.9-48.6)		105.4 (102.6-108.1)		31.2 (29.2-33.3)	
Hypertension	-= ()		< 0.001	, (, ,)	< 0.001		0.08		< 0.001		0.08
No	537 (94.7%)	108.8 (107.9-109.8)	20.001	66.3 (65.6-67.0)	201001	42.6 (41.9-43.2)	0.00	96.5 (95.6-97.3)	201001	30.2 (29.6-30.7)	0.00
Yes	30 (5.3%)	120.7 (116.8–124.6)		75.4 (72.7–78.1)		45.3 (41.7–48.9)		107.7 (104.3–111.1)		32.3 (30.1–34.6)	
Offspring characteristics											
Sex			0.13		0.03		0.85		0.27		0.27
Male	437 (53.8%)	108.8 (107.5-110.1)		66.0 (65.1-66.9)		42.8 (41.8-43.7)		96.6 (95.5-97.8)		30.6 (29.9-31.3)	
Female	375 (46.2%)	110.2 (108.9-111.5)		67.6 (66.6-68.6)		42.6 (41.6-43.6)		97.6 (96.4-98.8)		30.0 (29.2-30.8)	
Prematurity	× · · · ·		0.05		0.007		0.92		0.03		0.92
No	507 (91.5%)	109.2 (108.2-110.2)		66.5 (65.7-67.2)		42.7 (42.0-43.5)		96.8 (95.9-97.7)		30.3 (29.8-30.9)	
Yes	47 (8.5%)	112.5 (109.3–115.7)		69.9 (67.6-72.1)		42.6 (40.4-44.9)		100.1 (96.9–103.3)		30.2 (28.4–32.1)	
Small for gestational age	., (0.270)		0.77		0.93	.2.0 (1011 11.0)	0.76		0.34	2.5.2 (20.1. 52.1)	0.32
No	509 (91.9%)	109.5 (108.6-110.5)	0.77	66.8 (66.0-67.5)	0.75	42.8 (42.0-43.5)	0.70	97.0 (96.1-97.9)	0.51	30.2 (29.7-30.8)	0.52
Yes	45 (8.1%)	109.0 (106.1–112.0)		66.6 (64.4–68.8)		42.4 (39.9–44.8)		97.9 (95.1–100.7)		31.3 (29.3-33.3)	

TABLE 1. Distribution of Maternal Blood Pressures by Maternal and Offspring's Characteristics*

BMI = indicates body mass index, CI = confidence interval, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, PP = pulse pressure, SBP = systolic blood pressure.

* Data are presented as n (column percentages) or in mean (95% confidence interval). A total of 567 women and their offspring were included in analysis, women with missing information for education (n = 3), alcohol intake (17), smoking status (n = 2), and maternal pre-pregnancy BMI (n = 45); and in offspring with missing information for prematurity and small for gestational age (n = 13) were coded as missing.

Lim et al

Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

Maternal Offspring BP Relations		Increases in Offspri BP, mmHg (95%Cl
All women (n=567) Maternal peripheral SBP-offspring SBP Maternal central SBP-offspring SBP Maternal peripheral DBP-offspring DBP Maternal peripheral PP-offspring PP		- 0.05 (-0.03, 0.13) - 0.08 (-0.00, 0.17) 0.03 (-0.04, 0.10) 0.05 (-0.02, 0.13)
Maternal central PP-offspring PP Non-hypertensive women (n=537)		
Maternal central SBP-offspring SBP Maternal central SBP-offspring SBP Maternal central SBP-offspring SBP Maternal peripheral DBP-offspring DBP Maternal central PP-offspring PP		0.06 (-0.02, 0.14) 0.10 (0.00, 0.19) 0.04 (-0.03, 0.12) 0.05 (-0.03, 0.12) 0.09 (-0.00, 0.18)
Women with term offspring (n=507) Maternal peripheral SBP-offspring SBP Maternal central SBP-offspring SBP Maternal peripheral DBP-offspring DBP Maternal peripheral PP-offspring PP Maternal central PP-offspring PP		- 0.05 (-0.03, 0.14) - 0.08 (-0.02, 0.17) 0.03 (-0.05, 0.10) 0.08 (0.01, 0.16)
Women with AGA offspring (n=509) Maternal peripheral SBP-offspring SBP Maternal central SBP-offspring SBP Maternal peripheral DBP-offspring DBP Maternal peripheral PP-offspring PP Maternal central PP-offspring PP		0.03 (-0.05, 0.11) 0.06 (-0.03, 0.15) 0.02 (-0.05, 0.10) 0.06 (-0.02, 0.13) 0.09 (-0.00, 0.19)
21	0	I .21

FIGURE 2. Per-mmHg increases in maternal blood pressures at 26 to 28 weeks gestation and associations with offspring blood pressures at 3 years of age.

maternal SBP and offspring PP (Table 2). Estimated increases in offspring peripheral SBP and PP were consistently greater for maternal central blood pressures than for the relations with maternal peripheral blood pressures. Findings were not significantly modified by offspring ethnicity and sex (Supplemental Tables 2–3, http://links.lww.com/MD/A505).

Having excluded women who had hypertension during pregnancy, premature, and SGA offspring, women who had normotensive pregnancies (n = 537), term (n = 507), and appropriate for gestational age (AGA; n = 509) offspring were included in the sensitivity analysis (Table 2). The maternal–offspring relations of central SBP persisted in normotensive pregnancies but were slightly attenuated in term and AGA offspring. But for central PP, the maternal–offspring relations persisted in all subgroups of analysis in women with normotensive pregnancies and those with term or AGA offspring. Other maternal–offspring blood pressure relations were qualitatively the same between the main cohort and subgroup analysis.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have shown higher blood pressures in young children and adults whose mothers had pregnancy-related hypertension compared with offspring of mothers with normal pregnancies.^{1–13} The present study builds on that knowledge by demonstrating that higher maternal central pulsatile (SBP and PP) blood pressure components in pregnancy were associated with higher blood pressures in the offspring. Importantly, the positive associations of mother–offspring blood pressures persisted in normotensive women who were

free of hypertension during pregnancy and in offspring who were born term and AGA.

Positive maternal-offspring blood pressure relations have been reported previously in several studies that measured peripheral blood pressures^{29,30} and maternal hypertension in nonpregnant^{31,32} and pregnant women.¹⁻¹³ In the HUNT Study of Norwegians, positive correlations were reported between mother-offspring SBP (r=0.15) and DBP (r=0.14).²⁹ In a study of Dutch families with children aged 5 to 19 years, each mmHg increase in maternal peripheral SBP was associated with a 0.09 mmHg increase in offspring SBP; for DBP relation the corresponding mother-offspring increase was 0.04 mmHg.³ Compared to these findings, we found qualitatively similar maternal-offspring blood pressure correlations in our cohort of Southeast Asian Chinese, Malay, and Indian women. In our study, each 1 mmHg increase in maternal peripheral and central SBP was associated with 0.05 and 0.08 mmHg increases in offspring SBP, although the former was not statistically significant.

In the assessment of maternal central and peripheral blood pressures relations with offspring blood pressures, we observed stronger associations in the central than peripheral blood pressures. This observation similarly has been observed in 2 separate studies in normotensive pregnant Japanese women. In these normotensive women who were free of pregnancy hypertension, central blood pressures were associated pregnancy gestation changes³³ and small for gestational age,³⁴ but not for peripheral blood pressures. Taken together, these findings suggest that central blood pressures may be better arterial markers than peripheral blood pressures in relation to

TABLE 2. Estimated Increases in Offspring Peripheral Blood Pressures, Per-mmHg Increase in Maternal Blood Pressures in all Women and in Subgroups of Women with Normotensive Pregnancies, Term and Appropriate for Gestational Age (AGA) Offspring^{*}

		Offspring Blood Pressure Outcomes, mmHg						
	N	Peripheral SBP	Peripheral DBP		Peripheral PP			
Maternal Blood Pressures, mmHg		ß (95% CI)	Р	ß (95% CI)	Р	β (95 % CI)	Р	
Peripheral SBP								
All women	567	0.05 (-0.03 to 0.13)	0.21	-0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)	0.52	0.07 (0.01 to 0.13)	0.02	
Women with normotensive pregnancies	537	0.06 (-0.02 to 0.14)	0.16	-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.05)	0.86	0.07 (0.01 to 0.13)	0.03	
Women with term offspring	507	0.05 (-0.03 to 0.14)	0.22	-0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	0.29	0.09 (0.02 to 0.15)	0.007	
Women with GUSTO AGA offspring	509	0.03 (-0.05 to 0.11)	0.45	-0.03 (-0.09 to 0.02)	0.24	0.07 (0.01 to 0.13)	0.03	
Women with Intergrowth AGA offspring	528	0.04 (-0.04 to 0.12)	0.30	-0.03 (-0.08 to 0.03)	0.30	0.07 (0.01 to 0.13)	0.02	
Peripheral DBP								
All women	567	0.09 (-0.01 to 0.20)	0.09	0.03 (-0.04 to 0.10)	0.35	0.06 (-0.02 to 0.13)	0.14	
Women with normotensive pregnancies	537	0.10 (-0.01 to 0.21)	0.07	0.04 (-0.03 to 0.12)	0.24	0.06 (-0.02 to 0.14)	0.15	
Women with term offspring	507	0.08 (-0.04 to 0.19)	0.18	0.03 (-0.05 to 0.10)	0.47	0.05 (-0.03 to 0.13)	0.24	
Women with GUSTO AGA offspring	509	0.07 (-0.04 to 0.18)	0.19	0.02 (-0.05 to 0.10)	0.58	0.05 (-0.03 to 0.13)	0.22	
Women with Intergrowth AGA offspring	528	0.09 (-0.01 to 0.20)	0.09	0.03 (-0.04 to 0.10)	0.44	0.06 (-0.01 to 0.14)	0.11	
Peripheral PP								
All women	567	0.00 (-0.10 to 0.09)	0.96	-0.06 (-0.12 to 0.01)	0.09	0.05 (-0.02 to 0.13)	0.14	
Women with normotensive pregnancies	537	0.00 (-0.10 to 0.10)	0.98	-0.05 (-0.12 to 0.02)	0.19	0.05 (-0.03 to 0.12)	0.21	
Women with term offspring	507	0.01 (-0.09 to 0.12)	0.82	-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.00)	0.05	0.08 (0.01 to 0.16)	0.03	
Women with GUSTO AGA offspring	509	-0.02 (-0.12 to 0.09)	0.76	-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.00)	0.05	0.06 (-0.02 to 0.13)	0.14	
Women with Intergrowth AGA offspring	528	-0.02 (-0.11 to 0.08)	0.76	-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.00)	0.04	0.05 (-0.02 to 0.13)	0.14	
Central SBP								
All women	567	0.08 (0.00 to 0.17)	0.06	0.00 (-0.06 to 0.05)	0.88	0.09 (0.02 to 0.15)	0.006	
Women with normotensive pregnancies	537	0.10 (0.00 to 0.19)	0.04	$0.01 \ (-0.05 \ \text{to} \ 0.07)$	0.76	0.09 (0.02 to 0.15)	0.01	
Women with term offspring	507	0.08 (-0.02 to 0.17)	0.12	-0.02 (-0.08 to 0.04)	0.55	0.10 (0.03 to 0.16)	0.006	
Women with GUSTO AGA offspring	509	0.06 (-0.03 to 0.15)	0.21	-0.02 (-0.09 to 0.04)	0.47	0.08 (0.01 to 0.15)	0.02	
Women with Intergrowth AGA offspring	528	0.07 (-0.01 to 0.16)	0.09	-0.02 (-0.08 to 0.04)	0.60	0.09 (0.03 to 0.16)	0.006	
Central PP								
All women	567	0.04 (-0.08 to 0.16)	0.50	-0.05 (-0.14 to 0.03)	0.19	0.10 (0.01 to 0.18)	0.03	
Women with normotensive pregnancies	537	0.05 (-0.08 to 0.17)	0.46	-0.04 (-0.12 to 0.05)	0.36	0.09 (0.00 to 0.18)	0.06	
Women with term offspring	507	0.04 (-0.09 to 0.17)	0.55	-0.07 (-0.16 to 0.01)	0.10	0.11 (0.02 to 0.21)	0.02	
Women with GUSTO AGA offspring	509	0.02 (-0.11 to 0.14)	0.80	-0.08 (-0.16 to 0.01)	0.09	0.09 (0.00 to 0.19)	0.05	
Women with Intergrowth AGA offspring	528	0.02 (-0.10 to 0.15)	0.70	-0.07 (-0.16 to 0.01)	0.10	0.09 (0.00 to 0.19)	0.04	

AGA = appropriate for gestational age, CI = confidence interval, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, GUSTO = Growing Up in Singapore Towards healthy Outcomes, PP = pulse pressure, SBP = systolic blood pressure.

* Normotensive women referred to women without chronic or gestational hypertension or preeclampsia. Term offspring referred to offspring being born at 37 weeks gestation or later and AGA referred to offspring who were at the 10th percentile or greater for gestational age adjusted birth weight by GUSTO cohort and the Intergrowth study cut-offs. All analysis were performed using multiple linear regressions with adjustments for maternal age, education level, parity, smoking status, alcohol consumption and physical activity during pregnancy, pre-pregnancy BMI; and offspring sex, ethnicity, BMI, and height at 3 years of age.

pregnancy outcomes. However, evidence for the stronger role of central blood pressures compared with peripheral blood pressures was demonstrated only in nonpregnant populations¹⁷ as the literature in pregnant women is scarce. Therefore, further studies examining maternal blood pressures during pregnancy incorporating both central and peripheral measures are needed.

The positive association of mother and offspring blood pressures, as early as 3-years old in the present cohort, suggests that the higher blood pressures in offspring of women with higher pregnancy blood pressures occur early in life. And, as blood pressure tracks in life,³⁵ the higher blood pressure in the offspring of women with higher pregnancy blood pressure is also likely to persist in life. This has been demonstrated in studies that examined maternal hypertension in pregnant^{1–13} and nonpregnant women^{31,32} in relation to blood pressures in offspring aged between 5 and 30 years of age.

The higher early childhood SBP and PP may impact on cardiovascular health in later life. Evidence from long-term follow-up studies of children and young adults indicates that childhood SBP is a predictor of arterial stiffness in adulthood³⁶ and higher incidence of hypertension in young adults with higher blood pressure and parental hypertension.³² Although this raises the possibility that optimal blood pressure compliance through antenatal monitoring and primary health prevention strategies could have long-term benefits for offspring health, this hypothesis needs to be further addressed in long-term cohort studies and randomized trials.

Overall, the positive maternal–offspring blood pressures relations in the present study are consistent with earlier studies that the blood pressure phenotype clusters in family.^{12,13,30–32} The independent associations between maternal–offspring blood pressures may be explained by several mechanisms. First, in-utero exposure to higher maternal blood pressures may lead

to higher blood pressures and vascular dysfunction in the offspring. In a sibling study by Jayet et al,³⁷ higher pulmonary artery pressure and smaller flow-mediated dilations in sibling exposed to preeclampsia compared with the sibling unexposed to preeclampsia. And in other studies of offspring of maternal preeclampsia,^{26,37,38} In-utero exposure to preeclampsia or maternal hypertension has been associated with altered renin angiotensin and sympathetic nervous systems, oxidative stress and impaired cardio-vascular structure, and function in the offspring. These observations, collectively, support the intrauterine effect of maternal hypertension during pregnancy on offspring' vascular function in later life and is in line with fetal programming hypothesis.^{26,38}

Second, the positive maternal–offspring SBP and PP relations may also be contributed by genetic factors¹⁵ as SBP and PP, being markers for arterial stiffness, are heritable conditions.^{39–41} Findings from the Framingham Study offspring cohort of 817 pedigrees suggest that measures of central arterial stiffness located at distinct locations within the genome were heritable and may modulate different hemodynamic components.⁴¹ Other evidence from familial studies have also supported a genetic explanation,^{29,31,32} based on the independent and addictive effects of maternal and paternal hypertension observed on blood pressures in the offspring.

Third, a mechanism involving nongenetic factors, such as salt intake,^{23,42} may be shared across the maternal–offspring pairs. Findings from animal studies suggest that maternal salt intake has been found to be associated with altered fetal kidney development and function; and these could have set the offspring to higher blood pressures early in life.⁴³ However, based on 2 recent reports from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, the observation that higher blood pressures in the offspring of women with precelampsia persisted even after accounting for salt intake,^{4,5} suggesting that salt intake may only partially mediate the relations between maternal hypertension and blood pressures in the offspring.

The findings of this study should be interpreted in consideration of its strengths and limitations. Strengths of this study include the prospectively measured maternal and offspring blood pressures, performed according to a standard protocol by trained research personnel and the ability to measure and account for various maternal and offspring factors in our analysis. However, there are several limitations to our study. First, 333 (28.7%) women from the original 1162 women in the GUSTO base cohort were excluded from the present study follow-up due to incomplete or poor maternal recording of radial pulse wave form. Of the remaining 829 (71.3%) women that were followed up, 262 were also excluded due to missing offspring blood pressure information at the GUSTO 3rd year study visit. However, our findings are unlikely to be affected by selection bias as maternal blood pressures were similar in those who were included and excluded from analysis. Second, as maternal blood pressures were measured only once during pregnancy, we were unable to examine the blood pressure changes during pregnancy in relation to blood pressures in the offspring. The equipment used in the present study for the measurement of maternal and offspring blood pressures has yet to be validated in pregnant women and young children. However, the estimation of maternal central blood pressures using n-point moving average has been validated in 2 adult studies with excellent correlation with invasively measured central blood pressures (r ranging from 0.89 to 0.99).^{21,44} Our effect estimates may be affected by residual confounding, for example, from self-reported measures of maternal prepregnancy BMI and from lack of adjustment for maternal dietary intake (like salt).^{45,46} Lastly, the nonsignificant findings from our main analysis and tests for effect modifications may be constrained by the lack of power. Further studies, with adequate sampling of ethnic specific groups, are needed to explore the overall and potential ethnic differences in the maternal– offspring blood pressure relations in Asian women.

In conclusion, the pulsatile central maternal blood pressures during pregnancy may be important determinants of offspring blood pressures. As the maternal–offspring blood pressure persists even in in normotensive pregnancies and in term and AGA offspring, ensuring optimal blood pressure compliance during pregnancy could have long-term implications for cardiovascular health in the offspring.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the GUSTO study group includes Pratibha Agarwal, Arijit Biswas, Choon Looi Bong, Birit FP Broekman, Shirong Cai, Jerry Kok Yen Chan, Yiong Huak Chan, Cornelia Yin Ing Chee, Helen Y. H Chen, Yin Bun Cheung, Audrey Chia, Amutha Chinnadurai, Chai Kiat Chng, Mary Foong-Fong Chong, Yap-Seng Chong, Shang Chee Chong, Mei Chien Chua, Chun Ming Ding, Eric Andrew Finkelstein, Doris Fok, Marielle V. Fortier, Peter D. Gluckman, Keith M. Godfrey, Anne Eng Neo Goh, Yam Thiam Daniel Goh, Joshua J. Gooley, Wee Meng Han, Mark Hanson, Christiani Jeyakumar Henry, Joanna D. Holbrook, Chin-Ying Hsu, Hazel Inskip, Jeevesh Kapur, Kenneth Kwek, Ivy Yee-Man Lau, Bee Wah Lee, Yung Seng Lee, Ngee Lek, Sok Bee Lim, Yen-Ling Low, Iliana Magiati, Lourdes Mary Daniel, Michael Meaney, Cheryl Ngo, Krishnamoorthy Naiduvaje, Wei Wei Pang, Angi Qiu, Boon Long Quah, Victor Samuel Rajadurai, Mary Rauff, Salome A. Rebello, Jenny L. Richmond, Anne Rifkin-Graboi, Seang-Mei Saw, Lynette Pei-Chi Shek, Allan Sheppard, Borys Shuter, Leher Singh, Shu-E Soh, Walter Stunkel, Lin Lin Su, Kok Hian Tan, Oon Hoe Teoh, Mya Thway Tint, Hugo P S van Bever, Rob M. van Dam, Inez Bik Yun Wong, P. C. Wong, Fabian Yap, George Seow Heong Yeo. The authors also thank the support from the Translational Clinical Research (TCR) Flagship Program on Developmental Pathways to Metabolic Disease funded by the National Research Foundation (NRF) and administered by the National Medical Research Council (NMRC), Singapore (NMRC/TCR/004-NUS/2008); additional funding provided by the Singapore Institute for Clinical Sciences and A*STAR and National Institute for Health Research through the NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre.

REFERENCES

- Øglænd B, Forman MR, Romundstad PR, et al. Blood pressure in early adolescence in the offspring of preeclamptic and normotensive pregnancies. J Hypertens. 2009;27:2051–2054.
- Himmelmann A, Svensson A, Hansson L. Five-year follow-up of blood pressure and left ventricular mass in children with different maternal histories of hypertension: the hypertension in pregnancy offspring study. J Hypertens. 1994;12:89–95.
- Himmelmann A. Blood pressure and left ventricular mass in children and adolescents. The hypertension in pregnancy offspring study. *Blood Press Suppl.* 1994;3:1–46.
- Lawlor DA, Macdonald-Wallis C, Fraser A, et al. Cardiovascular biomarkers and vascular function during childhood in the offspring of mothers with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: findings from the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children. *Eur Heart J.* 2012;33:335–345.

- Fraser A, Nelson SM, Macdonald-Wallis C, et al. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and cardiometabolic health in adolescent offspring. *Hypertension*. 2013;62:614–620.
- Lazdam M, De La Horra A, Diesch J, et al. Unique blood pressure characteristics in mother and offspring after early onset preeclampsia. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:1338–1345.
- Miettola S, Hartikainen AL, Vääräsmäki M, et al. Offspring's blood pressure and metabolic phenotype after exposure to gestational hypertension in utero. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2013;28:87–98.
- Geelhoed JJM, Fraser A, Tilling K, et al. Preeclampsia and gestational hypertension are associated with childhood blood pressure independently of family adiposity measures: the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children. *Circulation*. 2010;122:1192– 1199.
- Tenhola S, Rahiala E, Halonen P, et al. Maternal preeclampsia predicts elevated blood pressure in 12-year-old children: evaluation by ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. *Pediatr Res.* 2006;59:320– 324.
- Lazdam M, Davis EF, Lewandowski AJ, et al. Prevention of vascular dysfunction after preeclampsia: a potential long-term outcome measure and an emerging goal for treatment. *J Pregnancy*. 2012;2012:704146.
- Kajantie E, Eriksson JG, Osmond C, et al. Pre-eclampsia is associated with increased risk of stroke in the adult offspring: the Helsinki birth cohort study. *Stroke*. 2009;40:1176–1180.
- Davis EF, Lazdam M, Lewandowski AJ, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors in children and young adults born to preeclamptic pregnancies: a systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129:e1552–e1561.
- Ferreira I, Peeters LL, Stehouwer CD. Preeclampsia and increased blood pressure in the offspring: meta-analysis and critical review of the evidence. J Hypertens. 2009;27:1955–1959.
- Laurent S, Cockcroft JR, Van Bortel LM, et al. Expert consensus document on arterial stiffness: Methodological issues and clinical applications. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:2588–2605.
- O'Rourke MF, Seward JB. Central arterial pressure and arterial pressure pulse: new views entering the second century after korotkoff. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2006;81:1057–1068.
- Roman MJ, Devereux RB, Kizer JR, et al. Central pressure more strongly relates to vascular disease and outcome than does brachial pressure: the strong heart study. *Hypertension*. 2007;50:197–203.
- McEniery CM, Cockcroft JR, Roman MJ, et al. Central blood pressure: current evidence and clinical importance. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:1719–1725.
- Vasan RS, Larson MG, Leip EP, et al. Impact of high-normal blood pressure on the risk of cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345:1291–1297.
- Perlini S, Grassi G. Hypertension-related target organ damage: is it a continuum? J Hypertens. 2013;31:1083–1085.
- Soh SE, Tint MT, Gluckman PD, et al. Cohort profile: growing up in Singapore Towards Healthy Outcomes (gusto) birth cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014;43:1401–1409.
- Williams B, Lacy PS, Yan P, et al. Development and validation of a novel method to derive central aortic systolic pressure from the radial pressure waveform using an n-point moving average method. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;57:951–961.
- Wagner SJ, Barac S, Garovic VD. Hypertensive pregnancy disorders: current concepts. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2007;9:560–566.
- Lawlor DA, Smith GD. Early life determinants of adult blood pressure. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2005;14:259–264.
- Victora CG, Huttly SR, Fuchs SC, et al. The role of conceptual frameworks in epidemiological analysis: a hierarchical approach. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1997;26:224–227.

- Lazdam M, de la Horra A, Pitcher A, et al. Elevated blood pressure in offspring born premature to hypertensive pregnancy: is endothelial dysfunction the underlying vascular mechanism? *Hypertension*. 2010;56:159–165.
- Intapad S, Alexander BT. Pregnancy complications and later development of hypertension. *Curr Cardiovasc Risk Rep.* 2013;7: 183–189.
- Mustafa R, Ahmed S, Gupta A, et al. A comprehensive review of hypertension in pregnancy. J Pregnancy. 2012;2012:105918.
- Villar J, Cheikh Ismail L, Victora CG, et al. International standards for newborn weight, length, and head circumference by gestational age and sex: the newborn cross-sectional study of the intergrowth-21st project. *Lancet.* 2014;384:857–868.
- 29. Vik KL, Romundstad P, Carslake D, et al. Comparison of fatheroffspring and mother-offspring associations of cardiovascular risk factors: family linkage within the population-based hunt study, Norway. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014;43:760–771.
- van den Elzen APM, Ridder MAJd, Grobbee DE, et al. Families and the natural history of blood pressure: a 27-year follow-up study. *Am J Hypertens*. 2004;17:936–940.
- Mitsumata K, Saitoh S, Ohnishi H, et al. Effects of parental hypertension on longitudinal trends in blood pressure and plasma metabolic profile: mixed-effects model analysis. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:1124–1130.
- Wang NY, Young JH, Meoni LA, et al. Blood pressure change and risk of hypertension associated with parental hypertension: the Johns Hopkins precursors study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168:643–648.
- Fujime M, Tomimatsu T, Okaue Y, et al. Central aortic blood pressure and augmentation index during normal pregnancy. *Hypertens Res.* 2012:1–6.
- 34. Tomimatsu T, Fujime M, Kanayama T, et al. Maternal arterial stiffness in normotensive pregnant women who subsequently deliver babies that are small for gestational age. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2013;169:24–27.
- Nelson MJ, Ragland DR, Syme SL. Longitudinal prediction of adult blood pressure from juvenile blood pressure levels. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1992;136:633–645.
- Li S, Chen W, Srinivasan SR, et al. Childhood blood pressure as a predictor of arterial stiffness in young adults: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Hypertension*. 2004;43:541–546.
- Jayet PY, Rimoldi SF, Stuber T, et al. Pulmonary and systemic vascular dysfunction in young offspring of mothers with preeclampsia. *Circulation.* 2010;122:488–494.
- Davis EF, Newton L, Lewandowski AJ, et al. Pre-eclampsia and offspring cardiovascular health: Mechanistic insights from experimental studies. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 2012;123:53–72.
- North KE, MacCluer JW, Devereux RB, et al. Heritability of carotid artery structure and function: the strong heart family study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2002;22:1698–1703.
- Seidlerova J, Bochud M, Staessen JA, et al. Heritability and intrafamilial aggregation of arterial characteristics. *J Hypertens*. 2008;26:721–728.
- Mitchell GF, DeStefano AL, Larson MG, et al. Heritability and a genome-wide linkage scan for arterial stiffness, wave reflection, and mean arterial pressure: The Framingham heart study. *Circulation*. 2005;112:194–199.
- Hanevold CD. Sodium intake and blood pressure in children. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2013;15:417–425.
- 43. Gray C, Al-Dujaili EA, Sparrow AJ, et al. Excess maternal salt intake produces sex-specific hypertension in offspring: putative roles for kidney and gastrointestinal sodium handling. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e72682.

- 44. Garcia-Ortiz L, Recio-Rodriguez JI, Canales-Reina JJ, et al. Comparison of two measuring instruments, b-pro and sphygmocor system as reference, to evaluate central systolic blood pressure and radial augmentation index. *Hypertens Res.* 2012;35:617– 623.
- Maruyama K, Kagota S, Van Vliet BN, et al. A maternal high salt diet disturbs cardiac and vascular function of offspring. *Life Sci.* 2015;136:42–51.
- Wesseling S, Koeners MP, Joles JA. Salt sensitivity of blood pressure: developmental and sex-related effects. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2011;94:1928S–1932S.