Cochrane corner: PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease

John-Paul L Carter (1), Aroon D Hingorani (2, 3, 4), John T Wilkins (5), Amand F Schmidt (2, 3, 6)

1. Centre for Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Institute of Health Informatics, University College London, London. NW1 2DA;

2. Institute of Cardiovascular Science, Faculty of Population Health, University College London, London. WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom;

3. UCL British Heart Foundation Research Accelerator;

4. Health Data Research UK, London;

5. The Department of Medicine (Cardiology) and the Department of Preventive Medicine,

Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, USA;

6. Department of Cardiology, Division Heart and Lungs, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, the Netherlands;

Corresponding author

Amand Floriaan Schmidt, 222 Euston Road, London NW1 2DA, United Kingdom, E-mail: amand.schmidt@ucl.ac.uk

INTRODUCTION:

Drug therapies targeted at the reduction of LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) are mainstream in the treatment of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and particularly for the prevention of coronary heart disease (CHD). In patients who do not have a sufficient response to, or who do not tolerate traditional LDL-C lowering therapies such as statins or ezetimibe, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against PCSK9 (PCSK9 inhibitors) may provide an alternative treatment. Non-monoclonal antibody based PCSK9 inhibitors such as inclisiran are also emerging but currently lack robust outcome data¹ and its effects are not considered in the current review. In this synopsis we summarise findings from a recent update of a Cochrane systematic review on the efficacy and safety of PCSK9 inhibitors². This article focuses on the effects on outcomes (CVD and total mortality), safety, and the quality of the evidence in studies of mAb PSCK-9 inhibitors alirocumab and evolocumab. Most of the available studies compared PCSK9 mAb treatment against placebo (against a background of usual care including statin and or ezetimibe), with a smaller group of studies evaluating the effects of PCSK9 mAb directly against statins and/or ezetimibe (none of the trials compared PCSK9 exclusively against statin treatment).

METHODS:

The following databases were systematically searched for suitable randomised controlled trials (RCTs): Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science, Clinical trials.gov and the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform. Parallel-group and factorial RCTs with at least 24 weeks of follow-up were eligible; due to discontinuation of bococizumab and RG7652, studies examining these mAbs were excluded in this update.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:

<1%

Any stroke

0.73 (0.59-0.91)

The 24 selected randomised trials (60,997 participants, box 1) predominantly selected high risk patients, for example by enrolling patients with non-optimal LDL-C concentration despite treatment with statins or ezetimibe, or with a history of CVD. The study sample included 1,879 who had familial hypercholesterolaemia (22% of the alirocumab participants and 38% of the evolocumab participants that provided information on FH status), and 18,908 (31%) with a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) at baseline (32% in alirocumab and 34% evolocumab trials; out of participants with reported T2DM status). Of the included patients 4590 had no history of CVD (10% of the alirocumab patients and 7% of the evolocumab participants). Alirocumab was evaluated in 18 trials and evolocumab in 6 trials. Comparisons were made against placebo in 18 trials, ezetimibe and/or statins in 6 trials. Tables 1 and 2 display the key results of the meta-analysis for both PCSK9 inhibitors compared with placebo and with statins and/or ezetimibe respectively.

Table 1: Comparing PCSK9 inhibitors with placebo (+ background lipid lowering treatment*)							
Outcomes	Alirocumab vs. placebo (+ background lipid lowering therapy)		Certainty of evidence (GRADE)	Evolocumab vs. placebo (+ background lipid lowering therapy)		Certainty of evidence (GRADE)	
	Risk difference	<u>Relative effect:</u> OR (95% Cl)		Risk difference	Relative effect: OR (95% CI)		
CVD	-2%	0.87 (0.80-0.94)	High	-2%	0.84 (0.78-0.91)	High	
All-cause- mortality	-1%	0.83 (0.72-0.96)	High	<1%	1.04 (0.91-1.19)	High	
Myocardial infarction	-2%	0.86 (0.79-0.94)	High	-1%	0.72 (0.64-0.82)	High	
	1						

----------...

CI: confidence interval; CVD: any cardiovascular disease; OR: odds ratio (values <1 beneficial to patients) Follow-up period 6-36 months

<1%

0.79 (0.65-0.94)

High

*background lipid lowering treatment comprised either statin, ezetimibe or a combination of these

High

Risk difference: the difference between the observed risks in the two groups. NB. A value <0 is beneficial to patients

Outcomes	Alirocumab vs. statins and/or ezetimibe		Certainty of evidence (GRADE)	Evolocumab vs. statins and/or ezetimibe		Certainty of evidence (GRADE)
	<u>Risk difference</u>	<u>Relative effect</u> (95% CI)		<u>Risk difference</u>	<u>Relative effect</u> (95% CI)	
CVD	1%	1.37 (0.65-2.87)	Low	-1%	0.66 (0.14-3.04)	Very low
All-cause- mortality	-1%	0.51 (0.18-1.40)	Low	>-1%	0.43 (0.14-1.30)	Very low
Myocardial infarction	1%	1.45 (0.64-3.28)	Low	>-1%	0.66 (0.23-1.85)	Very low
Any stroke	<1%	0.85 (0.13-5.61)	Low	Insuffi	cient data	

Table 2: Comparing PCSK9 inhibitors vs.	. statins and/or ezetimibe:
---	-----------------------------

CI: confidence interval; **CVD:** any cardiovascular disease; **OR:** odds ratio (values <1 beneficial to patients) Follow-up period 6-12 months

Risk difference: the difference between the observed risks in the two groups. NB. A value <0 is beneficial to patients

LIMITATIONS:

The GRADE profiler (GRADEpro) methodology was exclusively applied to evaluate the evidence quality of the efficacy endpoints all-cause mortality, any CVD, MI, and any stroke. For studies comparing the effect of alirocumab or evolocumab versus placebo when added to background statin or ezetimibe therapy there was high certainty evidence, however for studies directly comparing PCSK 9 inhibitor efficacy with statins and/or ezetimibe there was only low (in the case of alirocumab) or very low certainty evidence (evolocumab) of their effect to prevent disease.

There were limited data potential safety issues of either evolocumab or alirocumab (Figure 1), precluded strong conclusions on the possible presence *or* absence of effects on influenza, type 2 diabetes incidence, cancer, or hypertension.

AREAS IN NEED OF FUTURE STUDY:

In addition to continued exploration of the long-term safety profiles of PCSK9 inhibitors (e.g. cancer, T2DM, hypertension and influenza) further trials comparing the efficacy of PCSK9 inhibitors and current standard of care i.e. statins and ezetimibe, could help establish if there is any role for replacement therapy with PCSK9 inhibitors in certain patients. Small interfering ribonucleic acids (siRNAs) that block the translation of PCSK9 mRNA (e.g. inclisiran) may offer additional benefits to patients and will require further study as well.

CONCLUSION:

This systematic review and meta-analysis highlights the convincing level of evidence to add PCSK9 inhibitors (alirocumab and evolocumab) to traditional lipid lowering therapies to reduce the risk of CVD, including MI, and stroke, as well as all-cause mortality. In the case of PCSK9 inhibitors directly compared to active treatment (either statins, ezetimibe or combination therapy) the evidence base is weaker and it is not clear if there is a protective or harmful effect in these patients. This review further highlights the absence of sufficient data to rule out possible safety signals on influenza, hypertension, cancer diagnosis or T2DM.

Box 1: Synopsis of collected randomised controlled trial data on PCSK9 inhibitors
No. of studies overall: 24

- No. of RCTs: 24
- Study years: 2011-2018 (see full review).
- No. of patients: 60,997
- Men 71%; Women 29%*
- Race/ethnicity: 83% Caucasian
- Age: 61.57 years (IQR 60.08 to 63.11) for alirocumab and 57.93 years (IQR 56.30 to 59.25) for evolocumab
- Setting: outpatient and home settings
- Countries: International
- PCSK9 inhibitors studied: 43.5% randomised to alirocumab; 56.5% randomised to evolocumab
- Comparisons: PCSK9 mAb compared with (1) placebo, (2) ezetimibe and statin combination therapy
- Primary outcomes: (1) Composite endpoint of CVD, defined as urgent coronary revascularisation, unstable angina pectoris, non-fatal and fatal myocardial infarction (MI), non-fatal and fatal stroke, and CHD death, (2) All-cause mortality, (3) MI, (4) stroke.
- Secondary outcomes: (1) influenza, (2) type 2 diabetes mellitus, (3) cancer, (4) hypertension
- Based on the original Cochrane review: PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies for the primary and secondary prevention of CVD

*for whom gender was reported

Conflict of interest statements

AFS has received Servier funding for unrelated work.

JTW reports consulting fees from 3M unrelated to this work

Funding

AFS is supported by BHF grant PG/18/5033837. ADH is an NIHR Senior Investigator. Both AFS and ADH acknowledge support by the UCL BHF Research Accelerator AA/18/6/34223 award. JTW reports receiving grants from the NHLBI

Figure 1 caption: Summary of findings



NB. Associations in the forest plots are given as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Comparisons were made against placebo or lipid lowering therapy consisting of either ezetimibe or ezetimibe and statins. Confidence intervals were truncated were necessary, entries without data are not included. Abbreviations: CVD, cardiovascular disease; and MI, myocardial infarction.

References:

- Fitzgerald K, Frank-Kamenetsky M, Shulga-Morskaya S, Liebow A, Bettencourt BR, Sutherland JE et al. Effect of an RNA interference drug on the synthesis of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) and the concentration of serum LDL cholesterol in healthy volunteers: a randomised, single-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1 trial. Lancet. 2014 Jan 4;383(9911):60-68. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61914-5. Epub 2013 Oct 3. PMID: 24094767; PMCID: PMC4387547.
- Schmidt AF, Carter J-PL, Pearce LS, Wilkins JT, Overington JP, Hingorani AD, Casas J. PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2020, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD011748. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011748.pub3. Accessed 07 June 2021.